

1
2
3
4
5
6
7

Trajectories of Neurologic Recovery after Traumatic Brain Injury

July 21, 2023

Name	Affiliation	Email
Henry E. Wang, MD, MS	The Ohio State University, Department of Emergency Medicine	henry.wang@osumc.edu
Chengcheng Hu, PhD	The University of Arizona Department of Biostatistics, Mel and Enid Zuckerman College of Public Health	hucc@arizona.edu
Bruce J. Barnhart, MSN, RN, CEP	The University of Arizona College of Medicine- Phoenix, Department of Emergency Medicine	bbarnhart@aemrc.arizona.edu
Jan O. Jansen, MBBS, PhD	University of Alabama at Birmingham Department of Surgery Division of Trauma, Burns and Critical Care	jjansen@uabmc.edu
Daniel W. Spaite, MD	The University of Arizona College of Medicine, Department of Emergency Medicine	Dan@aemrc.arizona.edu

8
9

10 **Word Count:** 2,370 words

11

12 **Keywords:** traumatic brain injury, outcomes, recovery

13

14 **Corresponding Author:**

15

16 Henry E. Wang, MD, MS
17 Department of Emergency Medicine
18 The Ohio State University
19 376 W. 10th Ave
20 760 Prior Hall
21 Columbus, OH 43210
22 205-410-1267
23 henry.wang@osumc.edu

24

25 Reprints not available from the authors.

26

27

28

29 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

30 This analysis was prepared using research materials obtained from the National Heart Lung and Blood
31 Institute (NHLBI) Biologic Specimen and Data Repository Information Coordinating Center (BioLINCC)
32 and does not necessarily reflect the opinions or views of NHLBI.
33

34 **PRESENTATION**

35 Presented at the National Associated of EMS Physicians Annual Meeting, Tampa, Florida, January 27,
36 2023.
37
38

39 **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

40 All authors have made substantial contributions to all of the following: (1) the conception and design of
41 the study, or acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data, (2) drafting the article or revising it
42 critically for important intellectual content, (3) final approval of the version to be submitted.
43

44 **DISCLOSURES**

45 There is no overlap with previous publications other than the parent Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium
46 Hypertonic Saline Trial, and we confirm that the manuscript, including related data, figures and tables,
47 has not been published previously and that the manuscript is not under consideration elsewhere at this
48 time.
49

50

51

Long-Term Outcomes of TBI
Page 3 of 24

52 **ABSTRACT**

53 BACKGROUND: Little is known about the trajectory over time of neurologic recovery after traumatic
54 brain injury (TBI). We sought to determine long-term changes in neurologic status after prehospital
55 clinical trial interventions for acute TBI.

56 METHODS: We used data from the Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium Hypertonic Saline (ROC HS)
57 TBI Trial. The trial included adult TBI, with Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) \leq 8 and excluded those with
58 shock (systolic blood pressure (SBP) \leq 70, or SBP 71-90 with HR \geq 108). The primary outcome was
59 Glasgow Outcome Scale-Extended (GOS-E; 1=dead, 8=no disability) determined at: a) hospital discharge
60 and b) 6-month follow-up. We analyzed changes in GOS-E between hospital discharge and 6-month
61 follow-up by examining median changes with exact 95% confidence intervals (CI), mean changes with
62 bootstrapped 95% CIs and Sankey graphs. We repeated the analysis for the high acuity subset of patients
63 undergoing prehospital advanced airway insertion.

64 RESULTS: Among 1,279 TBI subjects included in the analysis, GOS-E categories at hospital discharge
65 were: favorable (GOS-E 5-8) 220 (17.2%), unfavorable (GOS-E 2-4) 664 (51.9%), dead (GOS-E 1) 321
66 (25.1%), missing 74 (5.8%). GOS-E categories at 6-month follow-up were: favorable 459 (35.9%),
67 unfavorable 279 (21.8%), dead 346 (27.1%), missing 195 (15.2%). Among initial TBI survivors with
68 complete GOS-E, >96% followed one of three neurologic recovery trajectories: 1) favorable to favorable
69 (20.0%), 2) unfavorable to favorable (40.3%), and 3) unfavorable to unfavorable (36.0%). Few patients
70 deteriorated from favorable to unfavorable neurologic status and there were few additional deaths.

71 CONCLUSION: Neurologic recovery after TBI follows distinct trajectories. Among those with TBI and
72 unfavorable neurologic status at hospital discharge, almost half will improve to favorable neurologic
73 status at six months. Among those with favorable neurologic status at discharge, very few worsen to

Long-Term Outcomes of TBI

Page 4 of 24

- 74 unfavorable neurologic status or death at six months. These findings have important implications for TBI
- 75 clinical care, research and trial design.

Long-Term Outcomes of TBI
Page 5 of 24

76 **INTRODUCTION**

77 The annual burden of traumatic brain injury (TBI) in the United States is enormous, associated with 2.2
78 million emergency department visits, 280,000 hospitalizations, 52,000 deaths, and more than \$60 billion
79 in economic costs.^{1,2} While early mitigation of secondary injury is a priority, few interventions have
80 proven effective for TBI treatment. Clinical trials offer information to support the effectiveness of novel
81 medical therapies. An essential consideration in clinical trial design is the selection of appropriate
82 outcomes, which must be relevant to the disease, plausibly linked to the intervention, objectively
83 measurable, and pertinent to patients and caregivers.

84 The timing of outcomes is important in TBI research.³ Measurement of outcomes at short-term intervals
85 (such as hospital discharge) is feasible, but may fail to capture changes in important aspects of health
86 status. This is highly relevant as functional recovery from TBI may take months, or even years after the
87 initial injury. Measurement of outcomes at long-term intervals (such as 6-month follow-up) may better
88 capture changes in health status but is logistically difficult, hence increasing trial sample size and costs.
89 Understanding the course of health recovery is important to inform clinical trial design, but there are few
90 studies characterizing changes in neurologic or vital status after TBI.

91 We sought to characterize trajectories of neurologic recovery among TBI patients enrolled in the
92 Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium Hypertonic Saline (ROC-HS) Trial.⁴

93

94

95 **METHODS**

96 *Study Design*

97 We conducted a secondary analysis of the TBI cohort enrolled in the ROC-HS Trial. This analysis was
98 classified as not human subjects research by the University of Arizona Institutional Review Board.

99 *Setting – the Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium Hypertonic Saline Trial*

100 The ROC-HS was a multicenter clinical trial testing the effectiveness of prehospital hypertonic fluids
101 upon outcomes after severe traumatic brain injury (TBI) and hemorrhagic shock.^{4,5} ROC consisted of 114
102 emergency medical services (EMS) agencies from 11 communities in the United States and Canada. The
103 trial included enrollment of two cohorts: 1) TBI and 2) hemorrhagic shock. Inclusion criteria for the TBI
104 cohort were: blunt mechanism of injury, age 15 years or older, Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score ≤ 8 and
105 ineligibility for the hemorrhagic shock cohort. The hemorrhagic shock cohort included patients with
106 systolic blood pressure of ≤ 70 mm Hg or 71-90 mmHg with a concomitant heart rate ≥ 108 beats per
107 minute. Key exclusion criteria included known or suspected pregnancy, prisoners, transferred patients,
108 out-of-hospital cardiopulmonary resuscitation, administration of >2000 ml of crystalloid intravenous fluid
109 or any amount of colloid or blood products. Patients with concomitant head injury and hypotension were
110 included in the shock cohort.

111 The three blinded trial interventions included a 250 ml bolus of: 1) 7.5% saline (hypertonic saline), 2)
112 7.5% saline with 6% dextran 70 (hypertonic saline/dextran), and 3) 0.9% saline (normal saline),
113 randomized in a ratio of 1:1:1.4. Study fluids were provided in identical intravenous bags; EMS providers
114 were blinded to the fluid contents. The trial met prespecified criteria for futility after enrollment of 1,282
115 TBI and 853 hemorrhagic shock. Patient enrollment occurred during 2006-2009.

Long-Term Outcomes of TBI
Page 7 of 24

116 *Selection of Participants*

117 We included all patients enrolled in the TBI cohort of the parent trial.

118 *Outcomes*

119 The outcomes of interest for this analysis were neurologic outcome and death at hospital discharge and 6-
120 month follow-up. The trial determined neurologic status using the Glasgow Outcome Score-Extended
121 (GOSE).^{6,7} The Glasgow Outcome Scale (GOS) was first described by Jennett and Bond as an assessment
122 of global neurologic outcome and death after severe brain injury.⁸ Later extensions improved its
123 sensitivity.^{6,7} Research teams determined GOS-E at hospital discharge using a structured telephone
124 survey.⁹ If the patient was unable to respond to the survey, family members or caregivers provided the
125 requested information, an approach that has been previously validated.^{9,10} If the neurologic status survey
126 occurred after six months, we considered the assessment to have occurred at six months. For patients who
127 died after hospital discharge, we assigned a 6-month GOS-E=1. For patients who died before hospital
128 discharge, we assigned GOS-E=1 for both hospital and 6-month follow-up.

129 *Data Analysis*

130 We excluded patients where hospital discharge occurred after the 6-month follow-up. We assessed
131 characteristics of the study population, including patient demographics (age, sex), clinical presentation
132 (injury mechanism, prehospital Glasgow Coma Scale, revised trauma score (RTS), injury severity score
133 (ISS), head abbreviated injury score (AIS)), EMS care (air medical transport, advanced airway placement,
134 the prehospital time interval), and outcomes (hospital survival, 28-day survival, intensive care unit-free
135 days at 28 days, and GOS-E at hospital discharge and six months). We determined GOS-E changes
136 between hospital discharge and six months by examining median changes with exact 95% CIs and means
137 change with bootstrapped 95% CIs. We stratified neurologic status according to severity categories: GOS-

Long-Term Outcomes of TBI

Page 8 of 24

138 E 1 = dead, 2-4 = unfavorable neurologic status, and 5-8 = favorable neurologic status. We also used

139 Sankey graphs to depict transitions between GOS-E categories at hospital discharge and six months.

140 We repeated the analysis limited to the higher acuity subset of patients undergoing prehospital advanced

141 airway management (endotracheal intubation, supraglottic airways or surgical airways). In an additional

142 analysis, we focused on the subset of patients with unfavorable neurologic status at hospital discharge,

143 distinguishing those who improved to favorable neurologic status with those who remained in

144 unfavorable neurologic status at 6-month follow-up. Using multi-covariate logistic regression, we

145 identified the baseline characteristics independently associated with improvement to good 6-month

146 neurologic function through a backward elimination process starting from a model including all baseline

147 characteristics that were associated with the outcome in univariate analysis at the significance level of

148 0.20.

149 Some authors advocate extending the GOS-E range to 4-8 for classifying favorable neurologic status,

150 noting that many patients with GOS-E=4 (upper severe disability) are able to function at home without

151 supervision for more than 8 hours daily.¹¹ In a sensitivity analysis, we repeated the analysis of the full

152 cohort defining favorable neurologic status as GOS-E 4-8 and unfavorable neurologic status as GOS-E 2-

153 3.

154 We conducted all analyses using SAS (Cary, North Carolina) and Microsoft Excel (Redmond,

155 Washington) with the Power-User add-in (Power-User SAS, Paris, France).

156

Long-Term Outcomes of TBI

Page 9 of 24

157 **RESULTS**

158 The parent trial enrolled a total of 1,282 TBI patients. We excluded three patients who were discharged
159 from the hospital more than six months after injury, leaving 1,279 in the analysis. Trial interventions
160 were: hypertonic saline+dextrose 357 (27.9%), hypertonic saline 340 (26.6%), and normal saline 582
161 (45.5%). Enrolled subjects were mostly male and suffered primarily blunt injury (Table 1). The acuity of
162 the population was high, with high RTS and ISS. Approximately 60% received successful prehospital
163 advanced airway insertion, and approximately 40% underwent air medical transport. One-third of the
164 population experienced severe TBI (head AIS 3 or 4), and one-third suffered critical TBI (head AIS 5-6);
165 one-fifth of the population did not have a significant brain injury. Approximately three-fourths were alive
166 at 28-days after injury. Among the 945 patients alive at hospital discharge, median time from injury to
167 discharge was 14 days (IQR 4, 30), and median time from hospital discharge to 6-month outcome
168 interview was 176 days (IQR 158, 190).

169 At hospital discharge, favorable neurologic status, unfavorable neurologic status and death comprised
170 17.2%, 51.9% and 25.1% of the full cohort. (Table 2) At 6-month follow-up favorable neurologic status,
171 unfavorable neurologic status and death comprised 35.9%, 21.8% and 27.1% of the cohort.

172 Neurologic status was available at both hospital discharge and 6-month follow-up for 1,066 of 1,279
173 (83.3%) subjects. Of this subset, 30.1% were dead at hospital discharge. Of the remaining TBI survivors
174 most (>96%) followed one of three neurologic status trajectories: 1) favorable to favorable (20.0%), 2)
175 unfavorable to favorable (40.3%), and 3) unfavorable to unfavorable (36.0%). (Table 3, Figure 1). Of the
176 220 patients with favorable neurologic status at hospital discharge, only 7 (1.0%) deteriorated to
177 unfavorable neurologic status or death. Compared with hospital discharge, there were only 23 additional
178 deaths at 6-month follow-up.

Long-Term Outcomes of TBI

Page **10** of **24**

179 At hospital discharge, favorable neurologic status, unfavorable neurologic status and death comprised
180 12.5%, 56.2% and 28.5% of the advanced airway cohort. (Appendix 1) At 6-month follow-up favorable
181 neurologic status, unfavorable neurologic status or death comprised 35.9%, 22.7% and 30.1% of the
182 cohort.

183 Neurologic status was available at both hospital discharge and 6-month follow-up for 679 of 771 (88.0%)
184 advanced airway subjects. Of this subset, 32.4 % were dead at hospital discharge. Of the remaining TBI
185 survivors, most (>97%) followed one of three neurologic status trajectories: 1) favorable to favorable
186 (14.4%), 2) unfavorable to favorable (45.3%), and 3) unfavorable to unfavorable (37.7%). (Appendices 2
187 and 3). Of the 220 patients with favorable neurologic status at hospital discharge, only 2 (0.4%)
188 deteriorated to unfavorable neurologic status or death. Compared with hospital discharge, there were only
189 12 additional deaths at 6-month follow-up.

190 In the full cohort, younger age, higher Revised Trauma Score, lower head AIS score, lower Marshall
191 Score, and absence of hypotension at ED were independently associated with progression from
192 unfavorable neurologic status (GOS-E 2-4) at hospital discharge to good neurologic status (GOS-E 5-8) at
193 6-month follow-up. (Appendix 4, Table 4)

194 In a sensitivity analysis recategorizing favorable neurologic status as GOS-E 4-8 and unfavorable
195 neurologic status as GOS-E 2-3, there were only minimal differences in the percentage of surviving
196 patients transitioning from unfavorable to favorable neurologic status (41.5%). (Appendices 5 and 6)

197

198

199

Long-Term Outcomes of TBI
Page 11 of 24

200 **DISCUSSION**

201 In this analysis of data from the ROC-HS trial, the majority of TBI patients alive at hospital discharge
202 subsequently exhibited one of three neurologic recovery trajectories: 1) good neurologic status at both
203 hospital discharge and 6-month follow-up, 2) poor neurologic status at hospital discharge improving to
204 good neurologic outcome at 6-month follow-up, and 3) poor neurologic status at both hospital discharge
205 and 6-month follow-up. Among those with initially unfavorable neurologic status, almost half improved
206 to favorable neurologic status. Very few patients with favorable neurologic status at hospital discharge
207 deteriorated or died at 6-month follow-up. There were also few additional deaths at 6-month follow-up.

208 Our findings have important implications for TBI research and clinical trial design. If the objective of a
209 novel intervention is to mitigate death after TBI, then hospital survival may be suitable as the primary
210 research outcome, as the overall number of deaths does not significantly increase at six months. For
211 interventions targeting optimization of neurologic outcome, extending observation to six months or later
212 may be necessary. However, researchers can likely focus attention on the subset with unfavorable
213 neurologic status at hospital discharge, as half of this group is likely to improve to favorable neurologic
214 status at six months. It also appears that if differences in neurologic outcome are observed at hospital
215 discharge, one may expect even larger widening of effect at later time points. Similarly, our observations
216 suggest that studies focused on TBI rehabilitation will likely target only half of the total TBI population; -
217 i.e., those with unfavorable neurologic status at hospital discharge.

218 Our findings also reinforce existing perspectives regarding the trajectories of TBI neurologic recovery. As
219 suggested by the current and prior studies, the general ominous prognosis of patients with poor neurologic
220 status at hospital discharge may be unwarranted; over the 6-24 months following TBI, almost half of
221 patients will improve from unfavorable to favorable neurologic status.¹¹⁻¹⁶ For example, in an analysis of
222 484 patients from the TRACK-TBI cohort study, McCrea, et al. found that at 12 months, 52% of patients
223 with severe TBI (defined as initial GCS 3-8) achieved favorable neurologic outcomes.¹¹ The distinction of

Long-Term Outcomes of TBI

Page 12 of 24

224 our study is that the data specifically originated from TBI patients enrolled in a clinical trial. Among
225 initial survivors at hospital discharge, we observed only 23 additional deaths at six months, representing
226 1.8% of the study population, rates much lower than in prior cohorts.^{11,13} This may be due to the fact that
227 a TBI clinical trial population will invariably include select patients without head CT abnormalities. A
228 strength of the current analysis is that the rates of GOS-E missingness were 6-15%, much lower than the
229 30-50% missingness observed in prior cohorts.¹¹⁻¹³ These observations highlight the importance of
230 strategies to mitigate and manage missing outcomes in TBI trials.

231 We also offer a novel analytic approach to better depict and conceptualize neurologic recovery after TBI.
232 Prior studies presented the distribution of GOS-E categories at discrete time points, characterizing the
233 heterogeneity of the population but not specifically identifying the transitions of neurological status
234 between discharge and follow-up.¹¹⁻¹³ Our use of Sankey graphs addresses this limitation by depicting
235 both the distributions of, and the transitions between, GOS-E categories. Other studies have characterized
236 TBI trajectories using a range of sophisticated analytic techniques, such as quadratic models and least
237 absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO).^{14,16} Our approach is simpler, more intuitive, and
238 clarifies that neurologic recovery after TBI entails seven potential trajectories, of which four are dominant
239 (Table 3). Among these trajectories, the transition from unfavorable to favorable neurologic status was the
240 largest cohort and of greatest interest. We believe these results will be helpful for designing TBI studies
241 and trials, focusing attention and resources on the subset of patients with initial unfavorable neurologic
242 status. This may provide an efficient approach since it appears that both death and neurological
243 deterioration are very infrequent after discharge.

244

245

246 **LIMITATIONS**

247 Our observations must be interpreted in light of the design of the parent trial. The ROC data are now
248 almost 15 years old, and repeating this analysis in a more recent data set is merited. The ROC-HS Trial
249 included high acuity TBI with an initial presenting GCS ≤ 8 . Thus, the trial may have included some
250 unconscious subjects without intracranial injuries (for example, those with intoxication masquerading as
251 TBI) as well as seemingly conscious patients with severe intracranial injuries. Missingness of GOS-E was
252 also prevalent, influencing 17% of the cohort. If all the missing values were included in sensitivity
253 analyses as “favorable” or “unfavorable” neurologic status, this would have slightly shifted the proportion
254 of patients in each neurologic trajectory. We did not study other measures of neurologic and functional
255 status such as the Functional Independence Measure, the Disability Rating Scale or quality of life.^{3,17} We
256 also did not study other neurologic sequelae such as seizures, dementia and Parkinsonism.¹⁸

257

258

Long-Term Outcomes of TBI

Page 14 of 24

259 **CONCLUSION**

260 Neurologic recovery after TBI follows distinct trajectories. Among TBI patients with unfavorable
261 neurologic status at hospital discharge, almost half will progress to favorable neurologic status at six
262 months. Very few TBI victims experience worsened neurologic status or death at six months. These
263 observations offer key perspectives to guide TBI research and clinical trial design.

264

265

266

Long-Term Outcomes of TBI

Page **15** of **24**

268 **TRANSPARENCY, RIGOR AND REPRODUCIBILITY**

269 This study was a secondary analysis of existing data resulting from the Resuscitation Outcomes
270 Consortium Hypertonic Saline Traumatic Brain Injury Trial. The parent trial was registered at
271 clinicaltrials.gov (NCT00316004). The analysis plan for this secondary analysis was not formally pre-
272 registered. Sample size estimates were not determined for this secondary analysis. The parent trial
273 enrolled a total of 1,282 TBI patients, of which 1,279 with complete outcomes were included in this
274 secondary analysis. The analysis was carried out on a de-identified data set without linkage to individual
275 subjects. Data were acquired from the NHLBI BioLINCC repository. The software used to perform the
276 analyses (SAS and Microsoft Excel) are widely available. The key inclusion criteria (e.g., primary
277 diagnosis or prognostic factor) are established standards in the field. The sample sizes and degrees of
278 freedom reflect the number of independent measurements (i.e., number of individual participants). No
279 replication or external validation studies have been performed or are planned/ongoing at this time to our
280 knowledge. Data for this study are available from the NHLBI BioLINCC. This article will not be
281 available for Open Access.

282

283 **REFERENCES**

- 284 1. Finkelstein E, Corso PS, Miller TR. The incidence and economic burden of injuries in the United
285 States. Oxford ; New York: Oxford University Press; 2006.
- 286 2. Corrigan JD, Selassie AW, Orman JA. The epidemiology of traumatic brain injury. *J Head
287 Trauma Rehabil.* 2010;25(2):72-80.
- 288 3. Shukla D, Devi BI, Agrawal A. Outcome measures for traumatic brain injury. *Clinical Neurology
289 and Neurosurgery.* 2011;113(6):435-441.
- 290 4. Bulger EM, May S, Brasel KJ, et al. Out-of-hospital hypertonic resuscitation following severe
291 traumatic brain injury: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA.* 2010;304(13):1455-1464.
- 292 5. Bulger EM, May S, Kerby JD, et al. Out-of-hospital hypertonic resuscitation after traumatic
293 hypovolemic shock: a randomized, placebo controlled trial. *Annals of surgery.* 2011;253(3):431-
294 441.
- 295 6. Wilson JT, Pettigrew LE, Teasdale GM. Structured interviews for the Glasgow Outcome Scale
296 and the extended Glasgow Outcome Scale: guidelines for their use. *J Neurotrauma.*
297 1998;15(8):573-585.
- 298 7. Jennett B, Snoek J, Bond MR, Brooks N. Disability after severe head injury: observations on the
299 use of the Glasgow Outcome Scale. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry.* 1981;44(4):285-293.
- 300 8. Jennett B, Bond M. Assessment of outcome after severe brain damage. *Lancet.*
301 1975;1(7905):480-484.
- 302 9. Pettigrew LE, Wilson JT, Teasdale GM. Assessing disability after head injury: improved use of
303 the Glasgow Outcome Scale. *J Neurosurg.* 1998;89(6):939-943.
- 304 10. Hall K, Cope DN, Rappaport M. Glasgow Outcome Scale and Disability Rating Scale:
305 comparative usefulness in following recovery in traumatic head injury. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.*
306 1985;66(1):35-37.
- 307 11. McCrea MA, Giacino JT, Barber J, et al. Functional Outcomes Over the First Year After
308 Moderate to Severe Traumatic Brain Injury in the Prospective, Longitudinal TRACK-TBI Study.
309 *JAMA Neurol.* 2021;78(8):982-992.
- 310 12. Puffer RC, Yue JK, Mesley M, et al. Recovery Trajectories and Long-Term Outcomes in
311 Traumatic Brain Injury: A Secondary Analysis of the Phase 3 Citicoline Brain Injury Treatment
312 Clinical Trial. *World Neurosurg.* 2019;125:e909-e915.
- 313 13. Wilkins TE, Beers SR, Borrasso AJ, et al. Favorable Functional Recovery in Severe Traumatic
314 Brain Injury Survivors beyond Six Months. *J Neurotrauma.* 2019;36(22):3158-3163.
- 315 14. Pretz CR, Dams-O'Connor K. Longitudinal description of the glasgow outcome scale-extended
316 for individuals in the traumatic brain injury model systems national database: a National Institute
317 on Disability and Rehabilitation Research traumatic brain injury model systems study. *Arch Phys
318 Med Rehabil.* 2013;94(12):2486-2493.
- 319 15. Puffer RC, Yue JK, Mesley M, et al. Long-term outcome in traumatic brain injury patients with
320 midline shift: a secondary analysis of the Phase 3 COBRIT clinical trial. *J Neurosurg.*
321 2018;131(2):596-603.
- 322 16. Rubin ML, Yamal JM, Chan W, Robertson CS. Prognosis of Six-Month Glasgow Outcome Scale
323 in Severe Traumatic Brain Injury Using Hospital Admission Characteristics, Injury Severity

Long-Term Outcomes of TBI

Page 18 of 24

- 324 Characteristics, and Physiological Monitoring during the First Day Post-Injury. *J Neurotrauma*.
325 2019;36(16):2417-2422.
- 326 17. Linacre JM, Heinemann AW, Wright BD, Granger CV, Hamilton BB. The structure and stability
327 of the functional independence measure. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*.
328 1994;75(2):127-132.
- 329 18. Bazarian JJ, Cernak I, Noble-Haeusslein L, Potolicchio S, Temkin N. Long-term neurologic
330 outcomes after traumatic brain injury. *J Head Trauma Rehabil*. 2009;24(6):439-451.
- 331 19. Maiden MJ, Cameron PA, Rosenfeld JV, Cooper DJ, McLellan S, Gabbe BJ. Long-Term
332 Outcomes after Severe Traumatic Brain Injury in Older Adults. A Registry-based Cohort Study.
333 *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2020;201(2):167-177.
- 334
- 335
- 336

Long-Term Outcomes of TBI
Page 19 of 24

337 **TABLE 1**

338 Characteristics of 1,279 patients enrolled in the Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium Hypertonic Saline
339 Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) trial.

340

Characteristic	Frequency
Age (year), mean (SD)	39 (18.5)
Sex, n (%)	
Male	973 (76.1%)
Female	304 (23.7%)
Unknown	2 (0.2%)
Race	
Asian	40 (3.1%)
Black	109 (8.5%)
White	629 (49.2%)
Other	45 (3.5%)
Unknown	456 (35.7%)
Hispanic	
No	662 (51.8%)
Yes	126 (9.9%)
Unknown/Not noted	491 (38.4%)
Injury Mechanism, n (%)	
Blunt	1258 (98.4%)
Penetrating	18 (1.4%)
Unknown	3 (0.2%)
Prehospital Glasgow Coma Scale, median (IQR)	5 (3, 7)
Hospital Admission Glasgow Coma Scale, median (IQR)	3 (3, 7)
Lowest Prehospital Systolic Blood Pressure, mmHg, median (IQR)	120 (102, 136)
Lowest ED Systolic Blood Pressure, mmHg, median (IQR)	111 (94, 126)
Systolic Blood Pressure on Hospital Admission, median (IQR)	140 (121, 157)
Systolic Blood Pressure on Hospital Admission, mean (SD)	139.2 (33.1)
Revised Trauma Score (RTS), mean (SD)	5 (1.2)
Injury severity score (ISS), median (IQR)	26 (16, 36)
Maximum Head AIS, n (%)	
0	236 (18.5%)
1	6 (0.5%)
2	113 (8.8%)
3	161 (12.6%)
4	274 (21.4%)
5	452 (35.3%)
6	5 (0.4%)
Unknown	32 (2.5%)
Marshall Score, first head CT, n (%)	
Diffuse Injury I	373 (29.2%)
Diffuse Injury II	433 (33.9%)
Diffuse Injury III	151 (11.8%)
Diffuse Injury IV	51 (4.0%)
Mass Lesion	207 (16.1%)
Other	16 (1.3%)
Unknown	48 (3.8%)

Long-Term Outcomes of TBI

Page 20 of 24




Prehospital Advanced Airway, n (%)	
Not attempted	460 (36.0%)
Attempted, unsuccessful	46 (3.6%)
Successful	771 (60.3%)
Unknown	2 (0.2%)
Air Transport, n (%)	
No	772 (60.4%)
Yes	504 (39.4%)
Unknown	3 (0.2%)
Total Prehospital Time (min), median (IQR)	50 (38, 67)
Trial Intervention, n (%)	
Hypertonic Saline + Dextran	357 (27.9%)
Hypertonic Saline	340 (26.6%)
Normal Saline	582 (45.4%)
Survival at Hospital Discharge, n (%)	
No	321 (25.1%)
Yes	945 (73.9%)
Unknown	13 (1.0%)
28-day Survival, n (%)	
No	312 (24.4%)
Yes	957 (74.8%)
Unknown	10 (0.8%)
ICU-free Days through Day 28, median (IQR)	19 (0, 27)

Long-Term Outcomes of TBI
Page 21 of 24

TABLE 2

Comparison of neurologic status (Glasgow Outcome Scale-Extended - GOS-E) at hospital discharge and 6-month follow-up among patients enrolled in the Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium Hypertonic Saline Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) trial. Includes full cohort (n=1,279). Deaths assigned GOS-E=1. GOS-E 2-4 classified as unfavorable neurologic status. GOS-E 5-8 classified as favorable neurologic status. Shaded cells indicate transitions between favorable and unfavorable neurologic status or death.

		GOS-E Classification GOS-E at 6-Month Follow-Up									
		Favorable n=459 (35.9%)				Unfavorable n=279 (21.8%)			Dead n=346 (27.1%)	Missing n=195 (15.2%)	TOTAL
GOS-E Classification	GOS-E at Hospital Discharge	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	Missing	
Favorable n=200 (17.2%)	8	57	9	6	3	2	0	0	0	39	116
	7	17	4	1	3	0	0	0	0	9	34
	6	20	6	3	6	1	0	0	1	12	49
	5	4	7	2	1	1	1	0	1	4	21
Unfavorable n=664 (51.9%)	4	35	16	9	11	17	8	0	0	14	110
	3	78	48	58	44	77	131	1	9	52	498
	2	1	0	0	0	3	25	6	12	9	56
Dead n=321 (25.1%)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	321	0	321
Missing n=74 (5.8%)	Missing	6	2	1	1	1	4	1	2	56	74
	TOTAL	218	92	80	69	102	169	8	346	195	1,279

 No change between favorable/unfavorable status or death
 Improvement in neurologic status
 Decline in neurologic status or death

Long-Term Outcomes of TBI

Page 22 of 24

TABLE 3

Trajectories of neurologic status between hospital discharge and 6-month follow-up among patients enrolled in the Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium Hypertonic Saline Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) trial. Includes 1,066 of 1,279 TBI subjects with complete neurologic status at both hospital discharge and 6-month follow-up. Neurologic status defined as: favorable GOS-E 5-8, unfavorable GOS-E 2-4, dead GOS-E 1.

Neurologic Trajectory (Hospital Discharge → 6-Month Follow-Up)	Full Cohort N (%)	Hospital Survivors Only N (%)
1) Favorable (GOS-E 5-8) → Favorable (GOS-E 5-8)	149 (14.0%)	149 (20.0%)
2) Favorable (GOS-E 5-8) → Unfavorable (GOS-E 2-4)	5 (0.5%)	5 (0.7%)
3) Favorable (GOS-E 5-8) → Dead (GOS-E 1)	2 (0.2%)	2 (0.3%)
4) Unfavorable (GOS-E 2-4) → Favorable (GOS-E 5-8)	300 (28.1%)	300 (40.3%)
5) Unfavorable (GOS-E 2-4) → Unfavorable (GOS-E 2-4)	268 (25.1%)	268 (36.0%)
6) Unfavorable (GOS-E 2-4) → Dead (GOS-E 1)	21 (2.0%)	21 (2.8%)
7) Dead at Hospital Discharge (GOS-E 1)	321 (30.1%)	N/A

Long-Term Outcomes of TBI
Page 23 of 24

TABLE 4

Multi-covariate logistic regression of factors associated with improvement from unfavorable (GOS-E 2-4) to favorable neurologic outcome (GOS-E 5-8) among patients enrolled in the Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium Hypertonic Saline Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) trial. Limited to subset with unfavorable neurologic status at hospital discharge (GOS-E 2-4).

Variable	OR (95% CI)
Age (year)	0.97 (0.96, 0.98)
Revised Trauma Score (RTS)	1.44 (1.20, 1.72)
Maximum Head AIS	
0	Reference
1-3	1.40 (0.75, 2.62)
4	1.06 (0.57, 1.97)
5	0.61 (0.32, 1.14)
Marshall Score, first head CT	
Diffuse Injury I/II	Reference
Diffuse Injury III/IV	0.50 (0.29, 0.85)
Mass Lesion	0.52 (0.28, 0.98)
Hypotension at ED (lowest SBP < 90 mmHg)	
No	Reference
Yes	0.56 (0.34, 0.94)

Long-Term Outcomes of TBI
Page 24 of 24

FIGURE 1

Sankey graph depicting changes in neurologic status (Glasgow Outcome Scale-Extended - GOS-E) between hospital discharge and 6-month follow-up among patients enrolled in the Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium Hypertonic Saline Trial. N=1,279 patients.

