It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Evaluating Clinical Outcomes in Patients Being Treated Exclusively via Telepsychiatry

Cheryl Person^{1, 4}, Nicky O'Connor¹, Lucy Koehler¹, Kartik Venkatachalam², and Georgia

Gaveras^{1, 3}

¹Talkiatry, New York, NY, USA

²Department of Integrative Biology and Pharmacology, McGovern Medical School at the

University of Texas Health Science Center (UTHealth), Houston, TX, USA

³SUNY Downstate Health Sciences University, Brooklyn, NY, USA

⁴Correspondence: cheryl.person@talkiatry.com

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Abstract

Background: Depression and anxiety are highly prevalent conditions in the US. Despite the availability of suitable therapeutic options, limited access to high quality psychiatrists represents a major barrier to treatment. Although telepsychiatry has the potential to improve access to psychiatrists, treatment efficacy in the telepsychiatry model remains unclear.

Objectives: Our primary objective was to determine whether there was a clinically meaningful change in one of two validated outcome measures of depression and anxiety —the Patient Health Questionnaire-8 (PHQ8) or the Generalized Anxiety Disorder Questionnaire-7 (GAD7) — after receiving at least 8-weeks of treatment in an outpatient telepsychiatry setting.

Methods: Treatment-seeking patients enrolled in a large outpatient telepsychiatry service that accepts commercial insurance. All analyzed patients completed GAD7 and PHQ8 prior to their first appointment, and at least once after 8 weeks of treatment. Treatments included comprehensive diagnostic evaluation, supportive psychotherapy, and medication management.

Results: 1826 treatment-seeking patients were evaluated for clinically meaningful changes in GAD7 and PHQ8 scores during treatment. Mean treatment duration was 103 days (SD =34). At baseline, 58.8% and 60% of patients exhibited at least moderate anxiety and depression, respectively. In response to treatment, mean change for GAD7 was -6.71 (95% CI -7.03, -6.40) and for PHQ8 was -6.85 (95% CI -7.18, -6.52). Patients with at least moderate symptoms at baseline, showed 45.7% reductions in GAD7

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

scores and 43.1% reductions in PHQ8. Effect sizes for GAD7 and PHQ8, as measured by Cohen's *d* for paired samples, were d = 1.30 (*P*<.001) and 1.23 (*P*<.001) respectively.

Changes in GAD7 and PHQ8 scores correlated with the type of insurance held by the patients. Greatest reductions in scores were observed among patients with commercial insurance (45.0% and 43.9% reductions in GAD7 and PHQ8, respectively). Although Medicare patients did exhibit statistically significant reductions in GAD7 and PHQ8 scores from baseline, these improvements were attenuated compared to those in patients with commercial insurance (29.2% and 27.6% reduction in GAD7 and PHQ8, respectively). Pairwise comparison tests revealed significant differences in treatment responses in patients with Medicare vs. commercial insurance (P < .001). Responses were independent of patient geographic classification (urban vs rural; P = .475 for GAD7 and P = .065 for PHQ8). The findings that treatment efficacy was comparable among rural and urban patients indicated that telepsychiatry is a promising approach to overcome treatment disparities that stem from geographical constraints.

Conclusion: In this large retrospective data analysis of treatment seeking patients utilizing a telepsychiatry platform, we found robust and clinically significant improvement in depression and anxiety symptoms during treatment. The results provide further evidence that telepsychiatry is highly effective and has the potential to improve access to psychiatric care.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Keywords

Telepsychiatry, PHQ8, GAD7, rural, commercial insurance, telehealth, depression, anxiety, telemental health

Introduction

Depression and anxiety are the two most common mental health conditions in the US and worldwide, ranking 2nd and 8th, respectively, in the list of causes for disability [1]. The chronicity and prevalence of these illnesses have an enormous economic impact and have worsened the quality of life in countries across the globe. A recent health economics review found that depression alone accounts for roughly 16% of the loss of work-related productivity [2]. Those who suffer from depression and anxiety have worse health outcomes if left untreated [3–5].

Although effective treatment is available for both these chronic conditions, access to these treatments can be a challenge, which has led to significant gaps in treatment [6–8]. Difficulties in access to treatment can stem from physical distances from a treatment site, difficulties with arranging for time away from home or work to attend clinical appointments, prohibitive treatment costs, and the paucity of specialist care [9,10]. Remarkably, 27% of the counties in the US are characterized by the complete absence of psychiatrists [11]. Rural residents are noteworthy for having limited access to in person psychiatric care [9,11]. Even more troubling, it appears that issues of access occur independently of the patients' health insurance status. A recent survey found that

31% of US adults reported the inability to access mental health services despite being insured [12]. Further, the medical specialty least likely to participate in health insurance plans is psychiatry [13]. Consequently, the total cost for outpatient psychiatric care is often not mitigated by health insurance. Not only is outpatient psychiatric care without insurance often cost prohibitive, potential paucity of in-network psychiatrists may further delay access to treatment. These delays in access to mental health treatment are burdensome to patients, and frequently worsen other associated medical conditions [14,15].

The aforementioned obstacles are especially disconcerting given the efficacy of treatments for both depression and anxiety. Antidepressants and psychotherapy have long been recognized as being beneficial for treating these conditions [16,17]. Given that modern technology permits the delivery of evidence-based treatments remotely, and that psychiatry as a practice, does not require the presence of patients and psychiatrists in the same physical space, telepsychiatry is a valuable tool for overcoming these persistent barriers. Indeed, the recent easing of regulatory obstacles to the implementation of telepsychiatry platforms has led to a vast expansion in the ease of access to mental health services. Encouragingly, a growing body of literature indicates that patients receiving telehealth treatments exhibit improvements that are comparable to those seen in patients receiving in-person care [18–20]. Others have demonstrated that a combination of flexibly implemented psychotherapy and medication management is effective for management of anxiety disorders [21]. Presently, the

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

potential efficacy of telepsychiatry services for patients who elect a fully virtual telepsychiatry service remains unknown.

In this study, we examined the outcomes of a large private outpatient telepsychiatry practice. Talkiatry is a fully virtual, nationwide outpatient private psychiatry practice that accepts commercial health insurance. This practice employs over 300 psychiatrists and more than 50 therapists across the US. Although virtual, Talkiatry utilizes the traditional psychiatric model of care — an initial comprehensive evaluation, followed by the development of treatment plan that revolves around patient preferences. This type of patient-centric approach comprised of partnerships between physicians and patients that are built on the foundation of effective communication and health promotion has been found to improve medical outcomes and forms the basis of effective outpatient psychiatric care [22].

The concept of therapeutic alliance— the collaborative relationship between the patient and physician — is another core element of psychiatric care that has been described as one of the most valuable aspects of treatment effectiveness with strong positive impact on outcomes in psychotherapy [23–25]. In fact, a patient's adherence to treatment is often motivated by a strong therapeutic alliance [26]. Further, recent studies have suggested that therapeutic alliance is the mediator of change in psychotherapy studies across a broad range of modalities and conditions [27]. The efficacy of therapeutic alliance depends on the ability of the physician to convey empathy and friendliness, to understand a patient's unique set of motivations and interests, and to

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

implement an individualized treatment that is personalized to that patient's preferences [28] Not surprisingly, it takes time to build a therapeutic alliance. Therefore, the establishment of therapeutic alliance is difficult in the common and increasingly dominant 15-minute medication checks that characterize current psychiatric practice [29,30]. Here, we examined whether the Talkiatry telepsychiatry model, which has time set aside specifically for the establishment of a strong therapeutic alliance, led to improved clinical outcomes for patients with anxiety or depression.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Objectives

The primary objective of this study was to determine whether there was a clinicallymeaningful change in one of two validated outcome measures — the Patient Health Questionnaire-8 (PHQ8) or the Generalized Anxiety Disorder Questionnaire (GAD7) after receiving treatment in the outpatient telepsychiatry practice for a minimum of 8weeks. This retrospective data analysis reflects real-world treatment conditions where the combination of therapy and medication management remains the primary modality of treatment. Our secondary objectives were to determine if patient demographic characteristics (sex, urban/rural, initial GAD7/PHQ8 severity score, age, and insurance status) correlated with statistically significant changes in treatment outcomes.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Methods

Study cohort and treatment strategy

The study population was comprised of treatment-seeking individuals who had enrolled in an outpatient telepsychiatry practice. Patients sought enrollment in services through several commercially available booking platforms or the company website and required the completion of a brief online questionnaire. Enrollment was restricted to individuals who did not present with primary psychotic disorder, primary substance use disorder, recent psychiatric hospitalization, current suicidal ideation, and insurance types not accepted by the practice. Once screening was completed, patients were provided several psychiatrists' profiles to help them choose the provider that met their unique preferences (gender, condition specialty, availability outside of business hours, etc.). From that curated group, each patient selected a psychiatrist and scheduled a new patient evaluation. All patients were requested to complete GAD7 and PHQ8 test up to seven days prior to their first appointment, and approximately every month thereafter. Enrolled patients were 18 years of age or older and had at least one baseline measure and one follow-up measure that were completed during the specified timeframe. The follow-up measure was included if it occurred at least eight weeks after the initial measure. If a patient completed multiple measures, the last completed measure was selected for analysis. This study was granted an exemption by an external institutional review board.

Therapy and medication management were provided in an audiovisual format that utilized a commercially available telehealth platform. Psychiatrists conducted It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

comprehensive psychiatric evaluations, and in collaboration with the patient, developed appropriate treatment plans. Ongoing treatments, which included supportive psychotherapy, developing therapeutic alliance, and medication management, were embedded within the appointments.

<u>Measures</u>

The PHQ8 is an 8-item self-reporting questionnaire that quantifies the symptoms of depression, and measures progress in patient outcomes over time [31]. The scale performs similarly to the PHQ9 and is routinely used in telehealth research and clinical practice settings [32]. For PHQ8 the standard cutoff scores were utilized to categorize patients into minimal (0-4), mild (5-9), moderate (10-14), moderately severe (15-19), and severe (20-24). The GAD7 is a 7-item self-reporting questionnaire that quantifies symptoms of generalized anxiety disorder, and track progress of patient outcomes over time [33]. For GAD7 the standard cutoff scores were utilized to categorize patients into minimal (0-4), mild (5-9), moderate (10-14), and severe (15-21). Initial PHQ8 and GAD7 scores were collected within 7-days of the first appointment, and at approximately one-month intervals during treatment. Demographic variables that were analyzed included age, sex, type of health insurance (commercial insurance, Medicare, or self-pay), and urban/rural classification. Self-pay was assigned to patients who were initially insured but changed or lost insurance during the course of treatment.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Statistical Analysis

Primary outcomes of interest in this study were anxiety and depressive symptoms measured by GAD7 and PHQ8, respectively, assessed at the last visit. Changes in GAD7 and PHQ8 scores were calculated by subtracting the last scores from baseline scores for each patient. In order to examine clinically-relevant samples, only patients with at least mild symptoms at baseline (i.e., GAD7≥ 5, PHQ8 ≥ 5) were included in the analyses. We use paired sample Wilcoxon tests to examine whether statistically significant changes in GAD7 and PHQ8 were observed over time (from baseline to last visit) among all patients. Effect sizes for paired sample t-tests were also calculated using the standard deviation of the differences. These analyses were repeated with subsamples including patients with at least moderate baseline symptoms (i.e., baseline GAD7 score ≥ 10 and baseline PHQ8 score ≥ 10).

We examined whether changes in anxiety and depressive symptom scores varied by sex, age group (18-24 years, 25-64 years, and 65+ years), baseline symptoms-severity category, insurance type (i.e., commercial insurance, Medicare, self-pay), and geographical classification (i.e., urban vs. rural) using Wilcoxon, Kruskal-Wallis or Chi-square tests. Binary outcomes indicating patients that showed clinically-significant symptom improvement were, 1 for patients with 50% or greater symptoms improvement, and 0 for patients not at goal. For these outcomes, we chose to include only those patients with at least moderate baseline symptoms (i.e., baseline GAD7 \geq 10, PHQ8 score \geq 10).

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

We determined the fraction of patients with > 50% symptom improvement, including those that exhibited remission (final score <5). Using the Chi-square test, we asked whether the fraction of patients with symptom improvement varied as a function of the category of baseline symptom severity. In these analyses, we included patients with at least moderate baseline symptoms because GAD7 and PHQ8 scores ≥10 represent a high probability of clinically significant symptoms [31]. Statistical analyses were performed using R (R core team 2021 https://www.R-project.org/) and Prism (Version 10.0.02; GraphPad).

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Results

The demographic and baseline characteristics of the patients are presented in Table 1. During the study timeframe, a total of 6465 patients enrolled in outpatient care, and had a baseline measure available. Of those, 1826 participants, representing 39% of the initial sample completed a second measure and were included in the analysis. A total of 1826 participants had data available for analyses and had a mean age of 39.4 years (SD=13.5, range = 18-89). Of those who reported sex (n=1479, 19%) did not report sex), 1084 (73.3%) were female. The mean number of days between baseline and last visit was 103 days (SD = 34). Of those 1826, 1602 (87.7%) patients reported at least mild anxiety symptoms (GAD7 \geq 5) while 1572 (86.1%) patients reported at least mild depressive symptoms (PHQ8 score \geq 5) at baseline. In addition, 58.8% of sample reported at least moderate anxiety symptoms and 60% of sample reported at least moderate depressive symptoms. Of the original sample, a total of 4639 patients who did not complete the second measure. Compared to patients who were non-completers, those who completed repeated measures were older, more likely to be insured with Medicare, and more likely to be classified as rural. Importantly, they did not differ on baseline severity for either depressive or anxiety symptoms (Table 1).

Changes in Anxiety and Depressive Symptoms

Results from paired sample Wilcoxon tests showed that among patients with at least mild baseline symptoms (GAD7/PHQ8 \geq 5), both anxiety (GAD7 scores) and depressive symptoms (PHQ8 scores) decreased significantly from the first to last visit. The effect

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

sizes for GAD7 and PHQ8, as measured by Cohen's *d* for paired samples, were *d* = 1.05 (*p*<.001) and 0.98 (*p*<.001), respectively. These findings indicatied large effects (43.7% reductions in GAD7, 42.7% reductions in PHQ8). Furthermore, these findings were replicated when the analyses were conducted with the subsample of patients that exhibited at least moderate baseline symptoms (GAD7/PHQ8 \geq 10). We observed larger effect sizes of *d* = 1.30 (p<.001) for GAD7 (45.7% reductions) and *d* = 1.23 (p<.001) for PHQ8 (45.1% reductions) in the subsample. These data indicated that greater reductions in anxiety and depressive symptoms occurred among patients with more severe symptoms at baseline (Table 2).

Sex: Kruskal-Wallis tests showed that the overall fraction of patients with 50% or greater reductions in either GAD7 or PHQ8 did not differ by sex (GAD7, P = .33; PHQ8, P = .10).

Baseline Symptom Severity: Using Kruskal-Wallis tests to examine whether change scores in GAD7 and PHQ8 differed across baseline symptom severity category, we found greater reductions among those with more severe baseline symptoms. The greatest reductions were among patients with severe baseline symptoms (GAD7 \geq 15, PHQ8 \geq 20). The smallest reductions were among patients with mild baseline symptoms (GAD7/PHQ8 = 5-9) (Table 3). Pairwise comparisons using Wilcoxon test with continuity correction revealed that significant differences in change scores in GAD7 and PHQ8 were observed between all comparison pairs (all *P* <.01). Therefore, groups with more

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

severe baseline symptoms showed significantly greater reductions in anxiety and depressive symptoms compared to any of the groups with lower baseline severity.

Insurance Type: Insurance types (i.e., commercial insurance, Medicare, self-pay) also predicted change scores of both anxiety symptoms (GAD7) and depressive symptoms (PHQ8). Greatest reductions were observed among those with commercial insurance (45.0% reductions for GAD7, 43.9% reductions in PHQ8), followed by those with selfpay (40.8% reductions for GAD7, 40.1% reductions in PHQ8) and Medicare (29.2% reductions for GAD7, 27.6% reductions in PHQ8). Pairwise comparison tests revealed that significant differences in change scores were found between Medicare and commercial insurance (P < .001) and Medicare and self-pay (P < .01), but not commercial insurance and self-pay (P = .74) (Table 4).

Age Group: In the unadjusted model, age groups predicted differences in the fraction of patients with 50% or greater reductions in both GAD7 (X2 (2, N=1826) =11.44, p =.003) and PHQ8 (X2 (2, N=1826) = 9.57, p = .008).

Geographic Classification (Urban vs. Rural): No differences in change scores in either GAD7 or PHQ8 were found across geographic classification (i.e., urban vs. rural) indicating that similar reductions in symptoms were observed across patients' geographic location (Table 5).

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

<u>Clinically Significant Symptom Improvement (50% or greater reductions in GAD7 and</u> PHQ8)

Of 1074 patients who reported at least moderate anxiety symptoms at baseline (GAD7 \geq 10), 574 (53.45%) patients showed clinically significant improvement of anxiety symptoms (50% or greater reductions in GAD7). This included 31% of the patients in the moderate category and 21% of patients in the severe category that achieved remission. Among 1097 patients who reported at least moderate depressive symptoms at baseline (PHQ8 \geq 10), 562 patients (51.23%) were considered to show clinically significant symptoms improvement for depressive symptoms (50% or greater reductions in PHQ8). These values included 37% of moderate, 27% of moderately severe and 13% of severe categories of patients who achieved remission (Figure 1). Of the 1074 patients who reported at least moderate anxiety symptoms at baseline, 724 (67.41%) showed only minimal or mild symptoms at last visit. Of the 1097 patients who reported moderate or greater depressive symptoms at baseline, 685 (62.44%) reported only mild or minimal symptoms at last visit (Table 6).

Baseline Symptom Severity: Among those with at least moderate baseline symptoms, the rates of patients with 50% or greater reductions in either anxiety symptoms (GAD7) or depressive symptoms (PHQ8) did not differ across baseline symptom severity category (P = .173, P = .341 respectively). This indicates that fractions of patients who showed clinically significant symptom improvement were similar across patients with moderate and severe baseline symptoms (Table 7).

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Discussion

Principal results

In this retrospective study, we found that telepsychiatry treatment outcomes for depression and anxiety were statistically and clinically significant. Telepsychiatry treatment led to average reductions of 45.7% for anxiety symptoms and 45.1% for depressive symptoms. Patients with baseline anxiety or depression with severity in the moderate to severe ranges exhibited improved outcomes at the last visit — 67% of patients with anxiety and 62% of patients with depression reported minimal or mild symptoms in response to an average of 103 days of treatment. Further, mean difference between initial and final scores were 42%-45%, which points to robust clinical responses. Interestingly, the extent of improvement was not a function of baseline severity indicating that the efficacy of treatment was comparable among patients that exhibited either moderate or severe symptoms at the start of treatment.

Our findings are consistent with prior research on efficacy of therapeutic alliance in treatment, and provide evidence in support of the notion that therapeutic alliance can be achieved in a telepsychiatry setting. Unique aspects of this psychiatric model include longer visits to allow for the development of effective therapeutic alliance as well as allowing patients to utilize their health insurance which increase access to treatment and reduce the total cost of care. Improving access by reducing cost, reducing delays in seeking treatment, and having confidence in the working relationship with the psychiatrist are important components that improve clinical outcomes in a telepsychiatry platform.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

We also found that among individuals utilizing telepsychiatry, rural patients benefitted to the same extent as did urban patients. This finding is promising because it is known that rural patients frequently struggle with access to care due to difficulties with either internet connectivity or geographical constraints [34]. While this study does not address the issue of internet connectivity, our findings argue that in the presence of acceptable internet quality, rural patients were able to access telepsychiatry treatments and showed improvements that were comparable to those seen in their urban counterparts.

Another demographic feature we examined was insurance type. While patients with Medicare insurance in the telepsychiatry practice did exhibit symptom improvements over the course of treatment, the extent of improvement was lower than that observed in patients with commercial insurance or self-pay. This finding is consistent with prior reports that Medicare beneficiaries typically take longer time to respond to treatment, and have more overall disease burden which impacts improvement [35,36].

Limitations

This study has some limitations. Using data from a private practice utilizing telepsychiatry as the exclusive mode of treatment, we did not have access to a control group that received in-person treatment. This precludes a direct comparison to in-person studies. That being said, we have found that our depression and anxiety

outcomes are similar to other such studies [18,37]. A second limitation in this study is that our sample had a comparatively small number of Medicare beneficiaries and a small number of patients older than 65. Due to this limitation, we were unable to determine which of these variables (age, Medicare status, or both) impacted the mean improvement scores. In addition, there were more rural residents who completed both measures, and while they rural residents did not differ in treatment outcomes from urban residents, they may have differed from rural residents who only completed one measure. Another limitation is that other psychiatric conditions which were excluded such as primary substance use disorder, primary psychotic disorder, and primary eating disorder may limit generalizability of the results. As there is considerable comorbidity with other psychiatric illnesses, these treatment outcomes may not be fully representative of all patients who have depression and anxiety. Lastly, although our data are consistent with the establishment of an effective therapeutic alliance, this retrospective analysis lacks quantitative measures of therapeutic alliance. Incorporating measures of therapeutic alliance into routine clinical practice could allow direct evaluation of this relationship in the future.

Comparison with Prior Work

This study adds to the emerging body of literature that is showing that telepsychiatry can be an effective treatment modality for many mental health conditions [37,38].

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Conclusion

In this large real-world retrospective data analysis of patients utilizing a telepsychiatry platform, we found robust and clinically significant improvement in their depression and anxiety symptoms during the course of treatment. For patients with moderate to severe symptoms of either anxiety or depression, the improvements are similar and confirm that patients with severe illness can be effectively treated in a telepsychiatry practice. This adds to the growing body of medical literature that telepsychiatry can be effective.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the psychiatrists at Talkiatry who are transforming psychiatry with accessible, human and responsible care. We also thank Haruka Minami for assistance with statistical analyses and Jared Camins for extensive data support and manuscript review.

Author Contributions

CP, NK, LK, and KV analyzed the data. CP and GG conceived the study. CP and KV wrote the manuscript.

Competing Interest Statement

All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form at <u>www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf</u>. Talkiatry supported the research and CP, NK, LK were employees of the company during the completion of the study. GG is cofounder and CMO of Talkiatry and has ownership interests in Talkiatry.

Funding Statement

This study was sponsored by Talkiatry.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

References

- Global, regional, and national burden of 12 mental disorders in 204 countries and territories, 1990-2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. Lancet Psychiatry Lancet Psychiatry; 2022 Feb 1;9(2):137–150. PMID:35026139
- Rojanasarot S, Bhattacharyya SK, Edwards N. Productivity loss and productivity loss costs to United States employers due to priority conditions: a systematic review. J Med Econ. Taylor and Francis Ltd.; 2023. p. 262–270. PMID:36695516
- Vyas A, Desai R, Patel V, Bansal P, Jain A, Gupta T, Priyadarshni S, Shalaby M, Khalife W. Rising Burden of Cardiovascular Disease Risk Factors and Acute Cardiac Events in Young Adults With Comorbid Depression: A Comparison Nationwide US Cohorts Hospitalized 10-years Apart. Curr Probl Cardiol. Elsevier Inc.; 2023. PMID:37088176
- Hare DL, Toukhsati SR, Johansson P, Jaarsma T. Depression and cardiovascular disease: a clinical review. Eur Heart J Eur Heart J; 2014 Jun 1;35(21):1365–1372. PMID:24282187
- Manolis TA, Manolis AA, Melita H, Manolis AS. Neuropsychiatric disorders in patients with heart failure: not to be ignored. Heart Fail Rev Heart Fail Rev; 2023 Jul 1;28(4):821–858. PMID:36547867
- Maeng D, Cornell AE, Nasra GS. Utilization of an Employee Behavioral Health Program and Its Effects on Outcomes for Depression and Anxiety Disorders. J

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Occup Environ Med Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 2019 Oct 1;61(10):812–817. PMID:31425323

- 7. Alonso J, Liu Z, Evans-Lacko S, Sadikova E, Sampson N, Chatterji S, Abdulmalik J, Aguilar-Gaxiola S, Al-Hamzawi A, Andrade LH, Bruffaerts R, Cardoso G, Cia A, Florescu S, de Girolamo G, Gureje O, Haro JM, He Y, de Jonge P, Karam EG, Kawakami N, Kovess-Masfety V, Lee S, Levinson D, Medina-Mora ME, Navarro-Mateu F, Pennell BE, Piazza M, Posada-Villa J, ten Have M, Zarkov Z, Kessler RC, Thornicroft G. Treatment gap for anxiety disorders is global: Results of the World Mental Health Surveys in 21 countries. Depress Anxiety Blackwell Publishing Inc.; 2018 Mar 1;35(3):195–208. PMID:29356216
- Cipriani A, Furukawa TA, Salanti G, Chaimani A, Atkinson LZ, Ogawa Y, Leucht S, Ruhe HG, Turner EH, Higgins JPT, Egger M, Takeshima N, Hayasaka Y, Imai H, Shinohara K, Tajika A, Ioannidis JPA, Geddes JR. Comparative Efficacy and Acceptability of 21 Antidepressant Drugs for the Acute Treatment of Adults With Major Depressive Disorder: A Systematic Review and Network Meta-Analysis. Focus (Am Psychiatr Publ) Focus (Am Psychiatr Publ); 2018 Oct;16(4):420–429. PMID:32021580
- 9. Eaton EF, Burgan K, McCollum G, Levy S, Willig J, Mugavero MJ, Reddy S, Wallace E, Creger T, Baral S, Fogger S, Cropsey K. Expanding access to substance use services and mental health care for people with HIV in Alabama, a technology readiness assessment using a mixed methods approach. BMC Health Serv Res BioMed Central Ltd; 2022 Dec 1;22(1). PMID:35841096

- Van Alsten SC, Harris JK. Cost-Related Nonadherence and Mortality in Patients With Chronic Disease: A Multiyear Investigation, National Health Interview Survey, 2000–2014. Prev Chronic Dis Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC); 2020;17:1–10. PMID:33274701
- Andrilla CHA, Patterson DG, Garberson LA, Coulthard C, Larson EH. Geographic Variation in the Supply of Selected Behavioral Health Providers. Am J Prev Med Elsevier Inc.; 2018 Jun 1;54(6):S199–S207. PMID:29779543
- Lopes L, Kirzinger A, Sparks G, Stokes M, Brodie M. KFF Survey of Consumer Experiences with Health Insurance. San Francisco; 2022 Oct. Available from: https://www.kff.org/report-section/kff-cnn-mental-health-in-america-survey-findings [accessed Sep 25, 2023]
- Bishop TF, Press MJ, Keyhani S, Pincus HA. Acceptance of insurance by psychiatrists and the implications for access to mental health care. JAMA Psychiatry American Medical Association; 2014;71(2):176–181. PMID:24337499
- Tamblyn R, Laprise R, Hanley JA, Abrahamowicz M, Scott S, Mayo N, Hurley J, Grad R, Latimer E, Perreault R, McLeod P, Huang A, Larochelle P, Mallet L. Adverse Events Associated With Prescription Drug Cost-Sharing Among Poor and Elderly Persons. JAMA American Medical Association; 2001 Jan 24;285(4):421– 429. PMID:11242426
- Habib S, Sangaraju SL, Yepez D, Grandes XA, Talanki Manjunatha R. The Nexus Between Diabetes and Depression: A Narrative Review. Cureus Cureus; 2022 Jun 3;14(6). PMID:35784974

- Forand NR, Feinberg JE, Barnett JG, Strunk DR. Guided internet CBT versus "gold standard" depression treatments: An individual patient analysis. J Clin Psychol John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2019 Apr 1;75(4):581–593. PMID:30597551
- Gonçalves DC, Byrne GJ. Interventions for generalized anxiety disorder in older adults: Systematic review and meta-analysis. J Anxiety Disord 2012 Jan;26(1):1– 11. PMID:21907538
- Giovanetti AK, Punt SEW, Nelson EL, Ilardi SS. Teletherapy Versus In-Person Psychotherapy for Depression: A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. Telemedicine and e-Health. Mary Ann Liebert Inc.; 2022. p. 1077–1089. PMID:35007437
- Shaker AA, Austin SF, Sørensen JA, Storebø OJ, Simonsen E. Psychiatric treatment conducted via telemedicine versus in-person consultations in mood, anxiety and personality disorders: a protocol for a systematic review and metaanalysis. BMJ Open British Medical Journal Publishing Group; 2022 Sep 1;12(9):e060690. PMID:36171023
- Krzyzaniak N, Greenwood H, Scott AM, Peiris R, Cardona M, Clark J, Glasziou P. The effectiveness of telehealth versus face-to face interventions for anxiety disorders: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Telemed Telecare J Telemed Telecare; 2021; PMID:34860613
- Roy-Byrne P, Craske MG, Sullivan G, Rose RD, Edlund MJ, Lang AJ, Bystritsky A, Welch SS, Chavira DA, Golinelli D, Campbell-Sills L, Sherbourne CD, Stein MB.
 Delivery of Evidence-Based Treatment for Multiple Anxiety Disorders in Primary

Care: A Randomized Controlled Trial. JAMA : the journal of the American Medical Association NIH Public Access; 2010 May 5;303(19):1921. PMID:20483968

- Constand MK, MacDermid JC, Dal Bello-Haas V, Law M. Scoping review of patient-centered care approaches in healthcare. BMC Health Serv Res BMC; 2014 Jun 19;14(1):271. PMID:24947822
- Flückiger C, Del AC, Wampold BE, Horvath AO. The Alliance in Adult Psychotherapy: A Meta-Analytic Synthesis. Psychotherapy American Psychological Association Inc.; 2018 Dec 1;55(4):316–340. PMID:29792475
- Johansson H, Jansson JÅ. Therapeutic alliance and outcome in routine psychiatric out-patient treatment: Patient factors and outcome. Psychology and Psychotherapy: Theory, Research and Practice 2010 Jun;83(2):193–206.
 PMID:19793413
- 25. Horvath AO, Luborsky L. The role of the therapeutic alliance in psychotherapy. J Consult Clin Psychol J Consult Clin Psychol; 1993;61(4):561–573. PMID:8370852
- Murphy ST, Garcia RA, Cheavens JS, Strunk DR. The therapeutic alliance and dropout in cognitive behavioral therapy of depression. Psychotherapy Research Routledge; 2022;32(8):995–1002. PMID:35041574
- Baier AL, Kline AC, Feeny NC. Therapeutic alliance as a mediator of change: A systematic review and evaluation of research. Clin Psychol Rev Clin Psychol Rev; 2020 Dec 1;82. PMID:33069096

- McHugh RK, Whitton SW, Peckham AD, Welge JA, Otto MW. Patient preference for psychological vs pharmacologic treatment of psychiatric disorders: a metaanalytic review. J Clin Psychiatry J Clin Psychiatry; 2013;74(6):595–602.
 PMID:23842011
- 29. Olfson M, Marcus SC, Pincus HA. Trends in office-based psychiatric practice. Am J Psychiatry Am J Psychiatry; 1999 Mar;156(3):451–457. PMID:10080563
- 30. Castillo EG, Pincus HA, Wieland M, Roter D, Larson S, Houck P, Reynolds CF, Cruz M. Communication profiles of psychiatric residents and attending physicians in medication-management appointments: a quantitative pilot study. Acad Psychiatry Acad Psychiatry; 2012 Mar 1;36(2):96–103. PMID:22532197
- Kroenke K, Strine TW, Spitzer RL, Williams JBW, Berry JT, Mokdad AH. The PHQ-8 as a measure of current depression in the general population. J Affect Disord 2009 Apr;114(1–3):163–173. PMID:18752852
- 32. Wu Y, Levis B, Riehm KE, Saadat N, Levis AW, Azar M, Rice DB, Boruff J, Cuijpers P, Gilbody S, Ioannidis JPA, Kloda LA, McMillan D, Patten SB, Shrier I, Ziegelstein RC, Akena DH, Arroll B, Ayalon L, Baradaran HR, Baron M, Bombardier CH, Butterworth P, Carter G, Chagas MH, Chan JCN, Cholera R, Conwell Y, De Man-Van Ginkel JM, Fann JR, Fischer FH, Fung D, Gelaye B, Goodyear-Smith F, Greeno CG, Hall BJ, Harrison PA, Härter M, Hegerl U, Hides L, Hobfoll SE, Hudson M, Hyphantis T, Inagaki M, Jetté N, Khamseh ME, Kiely KM, Kwan Y, Lamers F, Liu SI, Lotrakul M, Loureiro SR, Löwe B, McGuire A, Mohd-Sidik S, Munhoz TN, Muramatsu K, Osório FL, Patel V, Pence BW,

Persoons P, Picardi A, Reuter K, Rooney AG, Santos IS, Shaaban J, Sidebottom A, Simning A, Stafford L, Sung S, Tan PLL, Turner A, Van Weert HC, White J, Whooley MA, Winkley K, Yamada M, Benedetti A, Thombs BD. Equivalency of the diagnostic accuracy of the PHQ-8 and PHQ-9: A systematic review and individual participant data meta-analysis. Psychol Med. Cambridge University Press; 2020. p. 1368–1380. PMID:31298180

- Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JBW, Löwe B. A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. Arch Intern Med Arch Intern Med; 2006 May 22;166(10):1092–1097. PMID:16717171
- Hirko KA, Kerver JM, Ford S, Szafranski C, Beckett J, Kitchen C, Wendling AL. Telehealth in response to the COVID-19 pandemic: Implications for rural health disparities. J Am Med Inform Assoc J Am Med Inform Assoc; 2020 Nov 1;27(11):1816–1818. PMID:32589735
- 35. Mylona EK, Benitez G, Shehadeh F, Fleury E, Mylonakis SC, Kalligeros M, Mylonakis E, Guo W. The association of obesity with health insurance coverage and demographic characteristics: a statewide cross-sectional study. Medicine (United States) Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 2020 Jul 2;99(27):E21016. PMID:32629722
- 36. Abe T, Seino S, Nofuji Y, Yokoyama Y, Amano H, Yamashita M, Shinkai S, Kitamura A, Fujiwara Y. Modifiable healthy behaviours and incident disability in older adults: Analysis of combined data from two cohort studies in Japan. Exp Gerontol. Elsevier Inc.; 2023. PMID:36681130

- Shih YH, Wang JY, Chou PH, Lin KH. The effects of treatment via telemedicine interventions for patients with depression on depressive symptoms and quality of life: a systematic review and meta-ranalysis. Ann Med. Taylor and Francis Ltd.; 2023. p. 1092–1101. PMID:36920229
- McCord C, Ullrich F, Merchant KAS, Bhagianadh D, Carter KD, Nelson EL, Marcin JP, Law KB, Neufeld J, Giovanetti A, Ward MM. Comparison of in-person vs. telebehavioral health outcomes from rural populations across America. BMC Psychiatry BMC Psychiatry; 2022 Dec 1;22(1). PMID:36496352

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Baseline Variables	Patients with repeat measures Mean (SD)/n (%)	Patients with one measure Mean (SD)/n (%)	P-value*
Sex			.054
Female	1084 (59.36%)	2620 (56.48%)	
Male	395 (21.63%)	1086 (23.41%)	
Undisclosed	347 (19.00%)	933 (20.11%)	
Age	39.38 (13.53)	33.32 (10.05)	<.0001
Insurance Type			<.0001
Commercial Insurance	1618 (88.61%)	4409 (95.04%)	
Medicare	138 (7.56%)	84 (1.81%)	
Self-Pay	70 (3.83%)	146 (3.15%)	
Baseline GAD7			.90
Minimal anxiety	224 (12.27%)	544 (11.73%)	
Mild anxiety	528 (28.92%)	1332 (28.71%)	
Moderate anxiety	541 (29.63%)	1376 (29.66%)	
Severe anxiety	533 (29.19%)	1387 (29.9%)	
Baseline PHQ8			.49
Minimal depression	254 (13.91%)	567 (12.22%)	
Mild depression	475 (26.01%)	1260 (27.16%)	
Moderate depression	530 (29.03%)	1383 (29.81%)	
Moderately severe depression	394 (31.58%)	1032 (22.25%)	
Severe depression	173 (9.47%)	397 (8.56%)	
Geographic Classification			.01
Urban	1710 (93.65%)	4420 (95.28%)	
Rural	116 (6.35%)	218 (4.7%)	
Number of days between visits	102.63 (34.15)	n/a	

Table 1. Demographic and Baseline Characteristics

Abbreviations: PHQ8 Patient Health Questionnaire-8, GAD7 Generalized Anxiety Disorder Questionnaire-7 **P*-values obtained from chi-square tests comparing group that completed repeated measurements and group that completed one measurement. n/a= not available as second measurement not completed

Table 2.

Measure	Sample	Responses, n	Baseline Score, Mean (SD)	Last Score, Mean (SD)	Changes from Baseline, %	Mean Difference (95% CI)	Effect Size (Cohen's d)	Baseline Score, Median	Last Score, Median	Paired Sample Wilcoxon Test	P-value
GAD7	Baseline GAD7 \ge 5	1602	12.20 (4.55)	6.87 (4.82)	43.69	-5.34 (-5.59, -5.09)	1.05	12	6	V=1099198	< .001
	Baseline GAD7 \ge 10	1074	14.72 (3.27)	8.00 (5.00)	45.65	-6.71 (-7.03, -6.40)	1.30	14	7	V=1039814	< .001
PHQ8	Baseline PHQ8 ≥ 5	1572	12.78 (4.90)	7.33 (5.47)	42.64	-5.45 (-5.73, -5.18)	0.98	12	6	V=519504	< .001
	Baseline PHQ8 ≥ 10	1097	15.22 (3.74)	8.37 (5.69)	45.01	-6.85 (-7.18, -6.52)	1.23	15	8	V=482402	< .001

Note: Patients with at least mild baseline symptoms (baseline GAD7/PHQ8 \geq 5) are included in the analyses.

Table 3.

Measure	Baseline Severity	Responses, n (%)	Baseline Score, Mean (SD)	Last Score, Mean (SD)	Changes from Baseline, %	Mean Difference (95% CI)	Baseline Score, Median	Last Score Median	Kruskal - Wallis	P-value
GAD7	Mild anxiety (5-9)	528 (32.96%)	7.09 (1.39)	4.55 (3.42)	35.83	-2.54 (-2.84, -0.24)	7	4	336.85 (df=2)	<.001
	Moderate anxiety (10-14)	541 (33.77%)	11.91 (1.37)	6.79 (4.08)	42.99	-5.12 (-5.47, -4.77)	12	6		
	Severe anxiety (≥ 15)	533 (33.27%)	17.57 (1.88)	9.24 (5.51)	47.41	-8.33 (-0.81, -7.86)	17	8		
PHQ8	Mild depression (5-9)	475 (30.22%)	7.15 (1.34)	4.93 (4.01)	31.05	-2.22 (-2.59, -1.86)	7	4	304.6 (df = 3)	< .001
	Moderate depression (10-14)	530 (33.72%)	11.97 (1.40)	6.68 (4.61)	44.19	-5.29 (-5.80, -4.90)	12	6		
	Moderately severe depression (15-19)	394 (25.06%)	16.91 (1.39)	9.08 (5.94)	46.30	-8.83 (-8.41, -7.24)	17	8		
	Severe depression (≥ 20)	173 (11.01%)	21.36 (1.31)	11.95 (6.13)	44.05	-9.41 (-10.31, -8.51)	21	12		

Note: Patients with at least mild baseline symptoms (baseline GAD7/PHQ8 \geq 5) are included in the analyses.

Table 4.

Measure	Insurance Type	Responses, n	Baseline Score, Mean (SD)	Last Score, Mean (SD)	Changes from Baseline, %	Mean Difference (95% CI)	Baseline Score, Median	Last Score, Median	Kruskal- Wallis	P-value
GAD7	Commercial Insurance	1424	12.21 (4.54)	6.72 (4.72)	44.96	-5.50 (-5.76, -5.23)	12	6	14.04 (df = 2)	<.001
	Medicare	115	11.61 (4.36)	8.22 (5.41)	29.20	-3.39 (-4.31, -2.47)	11	7		
	Self-Pay	63	13.11 (5.02)	7.76 (5.44)	40.81	-5.35 (-6.54, -4.16)	14	6		
PHQ8	Commercial Insurance	1405	12.76 (4.92)	7.16 (5.40)	43.89	-5.60 (-5.89, -5.31)	12	6	20.46 (df = 2)	<.001
	Medicare	107	12.62 (4.930)	9.14 (5.70)	27.58	-3.48 (-4.55, -2.40)	12	8		
	Self-Pay	60	13.60 (4.43)	8.15 (6.13)	40.07	-5.45 (-6.77, -4.13)	13	6.5		

Note: Patients with at least mild baseline symptoms (baseline GAD7/PHQ8 \geq 5) are included in the analyses.

Table 5.

Measure	Geographic Classification	Responses, n	Baseline Score, Mean (SD)	Last Score, Mean (SD)	Changes from Baseline, %	Mean Difference (95% CI)	Baseline Score, Median	Last Score, Median	Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test	P-value
GAD7	Urban Rural	1499 103	12.19 (4.57) 12.41 (4.26)	7.45 (4.88) 6.83 (4.81)	38.88 44.96	-5.36 (-5.62, -5.11) -4.96 (-5.92, -4.00)	12 12	6 6	W = 80435 (df =1)	.475
PHQ8	Urban Rural	1465 107	12.74 (4.90) 13.47 (4.95)	7.35 (5.47) 7.12 (5.62)	42.31 47.14	-5.29 (-5.67, -5.10) -6.35 (-7.39, -5.30)	12 13	6 5	W = 70020 (df=1)	.065

Note: Patients with at least mild baseline symptoms (baseline GAD7/PHQ8 \geq 5) are included in the analyses.

Table 6.

Measure	Responses, n	Patients with 50% or Greater Symptom Improvement, n (%)	Patients with final score of minimal or mild symptoms, n (%)
GAD7	1074	574 (53.45%)	724 (67.41%)
PHQ8	1097	562 (51.23%)	685 (62.44%)

Note: Patients with at least moderate baseline symptoms (baseline GAD7/PHQ8 \geq 10) are included in the analyses. Minimal or mild symptoms = PHQ8/GAD7 <10

Table 7.

Measure	Baseline Severity	Responses, n (%)	Patients with 50% or Greater Symptom Improvement, n (%)	Chi-squared Test	<i>P</i> -value
GAD7	Moderate anxiety (10-14) Severe anxiety (≥ 15)	541 (50.37%) 533 (49.63%)	278 (51.38%) 296 (55.53%)	<i>X</i> ² (1, N=1074) =1.857	.173
PHQ8	Moderate depression (10-14) Moderately severe depression (15-19) Severe depression (≥ 20)	530 (48.31%) 394 (35.92%) 173 (15.77%)	274 (51.70%) 208 (52.79%) 80 (46.24%)	X ² (2, N=1097) =2.153	.341

Note: Patients with at least moderate baseline symptoms (baseline GAD7/PHQ8 \geq 10) are included in the analyses.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



Figure 1. (A) Percentage of patients with \geq 50% improvement in anxiety symptoms, including 31% and 21% respectively reaching clinical remission. **(B)** Percentage of patients with \geq 50% improvement in depressive symptoms, including 37%, 27%, and 13% respectively reaching clinical remission.