

Prevalence of Symptomatic Bacteriuria and Associated Risk Factors among Patients Attending Major Hospitals in Calabar, Nigeria

Emmanuel E. Bassey^{1*}, Maurice Mbah¹, Samuel S. Akpan¹, Edet E. Ikpi², Ambrose A. A. Alaribe¹,

*** Corresponding author: Emmanuel E. Bassey**

¹Department of Medical Parasitology and Entomology, Faculty of Medical Laboratory Science, University of Calabar, Calabar, Nigeria.
Email: emmanueleffiong2046@gmail.com

ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2029-5611>

Maurice Mbah

¹Department of Medical Parasitology and Entomology, Faculty of Medical Laboratory Science, University of Calabar, Calabar, Nigeria.
Email: mauricembah@unical.edu.ng

ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-1985-2925>

Samuel S. Akpan

¹Department of Medical Parasitology and Entomology, Faculty of Medical Laboratory Science, University of Calabar, Calabar, Nigeria.
Email: samuelakpan42@yahoo.com

Ambrose A. A. Alaribe

¹Department of Medical Parasitology and Entomology, Faculty of Medical Laboratory Science, University of Calabar, Calabar, Nigeria.
Email: anyanwualaribe@gmail.com

Edet E. Ikpi

²Department of Urology, University of Calabar, Calabar, Nigeria.
Email: edetikpi@gmail.com

ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7184-5084>

FUNDING:

This study was funded by the Tertiary Educational Trust Fund (TeTfund) under the Institution Based Research (IBR) Grant. The funder played no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, and manuscript preparation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

Authors would like to appreciate the participants, management and staff of the University of Calabar Teaching Hospital (UCTH), Nigeria Navy Reference Hospital Calabar (NNRH), and General Hospital Calabar (GHC), for their contributions towards the success of this research.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

EEB conceptualized and designed the study; collected, analyzed, and interpreted the data; drafted and critically reviewed the manuscript; and gave final approval for submission of manuscript. AAAA assisted in fund acquisition, critically reviewed the manuscript, and gave final approval for submission of manuscript. EEI critically reviewed the manuscript, and gave final approval for submission of manuscript. MM critically reviewed the manuscript, and gave final approval for submission of manuscript. SSA critically reviewed the manuscript, and gave final approval for submission of manuscript. All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

ABSTRACT:

Introduction: Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are among the most encountered bacterial infection of humans and affect both male and female of all age groups, resulting in high mortality of infected patients if not properly managed.

Hypothesis/Gap statement: Several studies in different sub-Saharan Africa locations show variations in incidence of UTI as well as it's causative organisms.

Aim: This study aimed to assess the prevalence, etiological agents, and factors associated with urinary tract infections among patients attending selected hospitals in Calabar metropolis, Nigeria.

Methodology: This was a cross-sectional study. Mid-stream urine samples collected from 240 patients with UTI were cultured using Cystine Lactose Electrolyte Deficient Agar (CLED). Data on socio-demographic, clinical symptoms and risk factors were obtained using structured questionnaire. Uropathogens were characterized using microbiological and biochemical tests and confirmed with API[®] 20E and 20NE (Biomérieux) identification system. Pearson Chi-square was employed to check associations between categorical variables. *P*-value of <.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results: Out of the 240 urine samples collected, 13 were contaminated during collection, and 227 analyzed. Sixty-five (28.6%) patients had significant bacteriuria. Previous history of UTI (*P*=.000, CI=1.582-5.180), use of drugs without prescription (*P*=.000, CI=0.040-0.220), pregnancy (*P*=.001, CI=1.858-12.575) and history of urinary catheter (*P*=.031, CI=1.024-19.053) showed significant association with the occurrence of UTI. *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (23.1%) was the most predominant isolate, followed by Coagulase-negative Staphylococci (16.9%) and *Escherichia coli* (12.3%).

Conclusion: The study shows that routine UTI screening is beneficial for pregnant women, patients with difficult or painful urination, patients with previous episodes of UTI, catheterized patients and appropriate drugs administered for positive cases. In addition, self-administration of antibiotics should be avoided.

Keywords: Urinary tract infection, Prevalence, Risk factors, Calabar.

INTRODUCTION

Urinary tract infection is any infection that occurs along the length of the urinary tract. It is characterized by the presence of bacteria in a supposedly sterile urinary tract, resulting in an increased bacterial load (often greater than 10⁵/mL) in urine sample^[1]. Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are widespread and affect a large proportion of the human population. Approximately, 150 million people are affected with UTI every year worldwide, with an estimated cost of US\$5 billion each year in the United States^[2]. Although other microbial groups cause UTIs, the predominant organisms responsible for UTIs are members of the Enterobacteriaceae, with *E. coli* accounting for over 80% cases^[3,4]. Among the gram positive bacteria, *Staphylococcus aureus* and Coagulase-negative Staphylococci (CoNS) are mostly implicated in community acquired-UTIs. Fungi and viruses rarely cause UTI, however yeast, especially *Candida albicans*, are occasionally recovered from catheterized and/or immunocompromised patients^[1]. Other bacterial isolates implicated include *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Proteus mirabilis*, *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Enterococcus faecalis*^[2,3].

Factors for urinary tract infection vary with country and geographical location. Sex, personal hygiene, prostate problems, compromised immunity, diabetes, use of spermicidal contraception, and urinary catheterization are some of the factors for UTIs^[4]. Most cases of UTIs are seen in women due to their short urethra, proximity of the rectum to the urethra, change in vagina pH due to depletion of the commensal bacteria, hormonal imbalance occasioned by menstrual flow and/or pregnancy etc^[5]. Antibiotics resistance among uropathogens is a consistent problem making clinical management of the disease somewhat challenging^[6]. Our study showed that this influenced the prevalence rate of UTIs across different geographical areas. Several studies in different sub-Saharan Africa locations show variations in incidence of UTI as well as it's causative organisms^[7-10]. Mwang'onde and Mchami^[4] reported a median prevalence rate of 32.12% in sub-Saharan Africa and the commonest associated causative organism is *E. coli*.

Therefore, this study was conducted to assess the prevalence, etiological agents and factors associated with bacterial urinary tract infections, among patients attending hospitals in Calabar metropolis, Cross River State, Nigeria.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Setting

This study was conducted in Calabar, the capital of Cross River State, located in Southern Nigeria. Calabar is administratively divided into Calabar Municipal and Calabar South Local Government Areas. It is located between latitudes 8°11'21" and 8°27'00" East of the Meridian and between latitudes 04°45'30" and 05°08'30" North of the Equator. Calabar metropolis has two major rivers: The Great Kwa River and Calabar River. The city has a total land area of four hundred and six (406) square kilometers^[11].

According to 2006 Census Report, Calabar Metropolis had a total population of 375,196^[12]. However, the rate of urbanization has greatly impacted on the population of the city^[11]. This population is primarily served by three hospitals: The University of Calabar Teaching Hospital (UCTH), Nigeria Naval Reference Hospital (NNRH) and General Hospital Calabar (GHC).

Study Design/Study Subjects

A cross-sectional study was carried out between September and December, 2021 to assess the prevalence and associated risk factors of bacterial uropathogens among UTI patients attending major hospitals in Calabar, Cross River state, Nigeria. Inpatients and outpatients (≥ 5 years), clinically diagnosed with UTI were randomly recruited after informed consent was obtained. Patients who had taken antibiotics in the last seven days prior to the day of sample collection, <5 years old and those who willingly withdrew their consent were excluded from the study. Structured questionnaire was used to obtain information on socio-demographic (age, sex, educational status, occupation, and marital status). Female patients' ≥ 12 years were screened for pregnancy. Sample size was calculated using a 95% confidence level, 5% precision and 19% prevalence of UTI^[7]. A total of 240 patients diagnosed with UTI participated in the study.

Ethical Consideration

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Cross River State Health Research Ethics Committee (CRS-HREC) with REC No: CRSMOH/RP/2021/183. Data was collected after informed, voluntary, oral consent has been secured from each study subject. Confidentiality of subjects' information was ensured. Positive results were reported to attending physician for appropriate treatment and management of the patients.

Urine Collection and Analysis

Clean catch mid-stream urine was collected into sterile universal containers with each bottle labeled with the patient's identity number and the assigned hospital code. Samples were subsequently conveyed for evaluation and microbiological analysis. Uropathogens were isolated using semi-quantitative culture techniques previously described by Kass^[13]. Each urine sample was inoculated on Cystine Lactose Electrolyte Deficient Agar (CLED) plates using calibrated loop (0.002 mL) and thereafter incubated aerobically at 37°C for 18-24 hours. Colony forming units (CFU) were determined to check for significant bacteriuria. Urine samples with colonies $\geq 10^5$ CFU/mL were considered significant. Distinctive colonies from significant cultures were sub-cultured into fresh agar plates.

Phenotypic Characterization/Identification

The isolated uropathogens were identified using colonial morphology, growth characteristics, Gram stain, conventional biochemical tests^[14] and confirmed with commercially prepared biochemical test kits: API 20E and API 20NE (Biomérieux). The results obtained were entered into Analytical Profile Index (API) software for identification of the isolates.

Screening for Pregnancy

Pregnancy was screened using a qualitative human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) urine test^[10]. In this test, LabACON® (Hangzhou Biotest Biotec Co., Ltd) pregnancy rapid test strip was used to detect hCG hormones in patient's urine samples. The test strip was dipped into a bottle of freshly collected urine and the result read within 3 minutes. For positive result, two lines colour bands were observed. For negative result, only one colour band was observed.

Macroscopic and Microscopic Examination of Urine

Prior to microscopic examination, each specimen was checked macroscopically for colour and transparency and the results recorded. Each sample (5 mL) of urine was centrifuged for ten minutes at 3000 rpm. The supernatant was examined with x10 objective lens and then x40 with the condenser

iris closed. Samples with leukocytes ≥ 10 per high power field (HPF) (x40 objective) were considered pyuria^[14].

Statistical Analysis

Data derived from questionnaire and microbiological survey were analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20.0. Descriptive statistics, such as frequencies, percentages, means and standard deviations, were used. Pearson Chi-square was employed to check associations between categorical variables. *P*-value of $<.05$ was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Socio-Demographic Data of Participants

Two hundred and forty (240) participants who met the inclusion criteria were enrolled in the study. Among this numbers, ninety-eight (98) participants were recruited from NNRH, 78 from GHC and 62 from UCTH. Thirteen (13) out of 240 MSU samples collected were contaminated due to inappropriate samples collection measures. More females 59.5% (135/227) participated in the study compared to males 40.5% (92/227). The age of the study participants ranged from 5 to 75 years with the mean age of 34.1 ± 0.8 (Table 1). A proportion of 39.6% (90/227) were in the age range 30-45 years and 49.3% (112/227) were single. Most participants (56.4%, 128/227) acquired tertiary educational qualification while 44.9% (102/227) of the respondents were students (Table 1).

Clinical Symptoms and Risk Factors of UTI

Based on responses to the structured questionnaire, clinical symptoms and potential underlying risk factors for UTI were evaluated. Clinical symptoms and risk factor for UTI examined among participants is depicted in (Table 1). The clinical symptoms among the study subjects were 26.0% (59/227), 23.8% (54/227), 22.0% (50/227), 20.3% (46/227) and 5.3% (12/227) for frequency of urination, fever, flank or supra-pubic pain, dysuria and urine urgency, respectively. Of all considered risk factors, 53.3% (121/227) of the respondents had family relative with history of UTI. Eighty-five (37.4%) had recurrent (i.e. previous history of UTI) 15.9% (63/227) used drug without prescription, 3.5% (8/227) had history of urinary catheter while 1.3% (3/227) patients were diabetic. Out of 135 female participants, 20.0% used contraceptives and 16.3% were pregnant (Table 1).

Prevalence of UTI Among Participants

This study revealed that, out of 227 samples analyzed, 65 showed significant bacterial growth, giving an overall prevalence of 28.6% (Table 2). However, in NNRH, 94 urine samples were analyzed, 31 showed significant bacterial growth, giving a UTI prevalence of 33.0%. Results from UCTH showed that 15 (24.2%) out of 62 samples analyzed were positive for UTI. Seventy-one urine samples were analyzed from patients in GHC, significant bacteriuria was found in 26.8% (19/71) of the patients (Table 2).

TABLE 1: Socio-Demographic Data, Clinical Symptoms and Risk Factors for UTI

Characteristics	Number (%)	NNRH n(%)	UCTH n(%)	GHC n(%)
Age				
5-18	15 (6.6)	9 (9.6)	0 (0.0)	6 (8.5)
19-29	82 (36.1)	31 (33.0)	17 (27.4)	34 (47.9)
30-45	90 (39.6)	41 (43.6)	28 (45.2)	21 (29.6)
Above 45	40 (17.6)	13 (13.8)	17 (27.4)	10 (14.1)
Sex				
Male	92 (40.5)	37 (39.4)	29 (46.8)	26 (36.6)
Female	135 (59.5)	57 (60.6)	33 (53.2)	45 (63.4)
Marital Status				
Single	112 (49.3)	43 (45.7)	24 (38.7)	45 (63.4)
Married	110 (48.5)	47 (50.0)	37 (59.7)	26 (36.6)
Divorced	3 (1.3)	3 (3.2)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Widow	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Widower	2 (0.9)	1 (1.1)	1 (1.6)	0 (0.0)
Educational Qualification				
No formal education	9 (4.0)	2 (2.1)	5 (8.1)	2 (2.8)
Primary	25 (11.0)	10 (10.6)	8 (12.9)	7 (9.9)
Secondary	65 (28.6)	33 (35.1)	15 (24.2)	17 (23.9)
Tertiary	128 (56.4)	49 (52.1)	34 (54.8)	45 (63.4)
Major Occupation				
Farming	16 (7.0)	3 (3.2)	9 (14.5)	4 (5.6)
Fishing	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Trading	46 (20.3)	20 (21.3)	15 (24.2)	11 (15.5)
Student	102 (44.9)	38 (40.4)	22 (35.5)	42 (59.2)
Civil servant	56 (24.7)	33 (35.1)	9 (14.5)	14 (19.7)
Artisan	7 (3.1)	0 (0.0)	7 (11.3)	0 (0.0)
Clinical Symptoms				
Urine urgency	12 (5.3)	4 (4.3)	6 (9.7)	2 (2.8)
Dysuria	46 (20.3)	17 (18.1)	16 (25.8)	13 (18.3)
Fever	54 (23.8)	25 (26.6)	8 (12.9)	21 (29.6)
Flank or supra-pubic pain	50 (22.0)	23 (24.5)	9 (14.5)	18 (25.4)
Frequency of urination	59 (26.0)	16 (17.0)	25 (40.3)	19 (26.8)
Risk Factors				
Pregnancy status	22 (16.3)	9 (15.8)	5 (15.2)	8 (17.8)
Use of drug without prescription	63 (15.9)	24 (25.5)	15 (24.2)	24 (33.8)
Previous history of UTI	85 (37.4)	44 (46.8)	18 (29.0)	23 (32.4)
History of catheterization	8 (3.8)	2 (2.1)	5 (8.1)	1 (1.4)
Family history of UTI	121 (53.3)	48 (51.1)	33 (53.2)	42 (59.2)
Diabetes	3 (1.3)	0 (0.0)	2 (3.2)	1 (1.4)
Use of contraceptive	27 (20.0)	7 (12.3)	6 (18.2)	14 (31.1)

KEY:

NNRH = Nigeria Navy Reference Hospital Calabar

UCTH = University of Calabar Teaching Hospital

GHC = General Hospital Calabar

Table 2: Socio-Demographic Data of Participants and their Associations with UTIs

PREVALENCE	NNRH (N = 94) n(%)	UCTH (N = 62) n(%)	GHC (N = 71) n(%)	TOTAL (N = 227)	P-value
Significant bacteriuria	31 (33.0%)	15 (24.2%)	19 (26.8%)	65 (28.6%)	
No Significant bacteriuria n(%)	63 (67.0%)	47 (75.8%)	52 (73.2%)	162 (71.4%)	
Socio-Demographic Data	Sig. bacteriuria n(%)	Sig. bacteriuria n(%)	Sig. bacteriuria n(%)		
Age					
5-18	4 (12.9)	0 (0.0)	0(0.0)	4 (6.2)	.946
19-29	9 (29.0)	4 (26.4)	12(63.2)	25 (38.5)	
30-45	13 (41.9)	6 (40.0)	5(26.3)	24 (37.0)	
Above 45	5 (16.1)	5 (33.3)	2(10.5)	12 (18.5)	
Sex					
Male	11 (35.5)	11 (73.3)	4 (21.1)	26 (40.0)	.918
Female	20 (64.5)	4 (26.7)	15 (78.9)	39 (60.0)	
Marital status					
Single	13 (41.9)	3 (20.0)	11 (57.9)	27 (41.5)	.347
Married	17 (54.8)	12 (80.0)	8 (42.1)	37 (57.0)	
Divorced	1 (3.2)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (1.5)	
Widow	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
Widower	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
Educational qualification					
No formal education	0 (0.0)	1 (6.7)	0(0.0)	1 (1.5)	.508
Primary	3 (9.7)	3 (20.0)	1(5.3)	7 (10.8)	
Secondary	12 (38.7)	6 (40.0)	4(21.1)	22 (33.8)	
Tertiary	16 (51.6)	5 (33.3)	14(73.7)	35 (53.8)	
Major occupation					
Farming	0 (0.0)	1 (6.7)	0(0.0)	1 (1.5)	.003*
Fishing	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0(0.0)	0 (0.0)	
Trading	7 (22.6)	2 (13.3)	2 (10.5)	11 (17.0)	
Student	11 (35.5)	3 (20.0)	11 (57.9)	25 (38.5)	
Civil servant	13 (41.9)	4 (26.7)	6 (31.6)	23 (35.4)	
Artisan	0 (0.0)	5 (33.3)	0(0.0)	5 (7.7)	

*Statistically significant at $P < .05$

Associated Socio-Demographic Data with UTI

Prevalence of UTI was higher in females (60%, 39/65) than in males (40%, 26/65). Prevalence of UTI was higher among patients in the age group 19-29 years (38.5%). Prevalence of UTI in relation to marital status showed that majority (57.0%, 37/65) were married. Among those diagnosed with UTI, patients with tertiary educational qualification were the most encountered (53.8%, 35/65). Based on subjects' occupational status, students had the highest prevalence of UTI (38.5%, 25/65). However, age, sex, marital status and educational qualification showed no significant ($P > .05$) association with the occurrence of UTI. Participants occupation showed statistical significant ($P = .003$) association with UTI (Table 2).

Associated Clinical Symptoms & Risk Factors with UTI

The results revealed that of all assessed clinical symptoms, dysuria ($P = .033$, CI=1.051-4.059) showed statistical association with UTI. Pearson Chi-Square showed that urine urgency ($P = .305$, CI=0.564-

6.039), fever ($P=.124$, $CI=0.270-1.177$), flank or supra-pubic pain ($P=.412$, $CI=0.358-1.524$) and frequency of urination ($P=.481$, $CI=0.134-1.187$) were not statistically associated with UTI.

Association between the risk factors and the occurrence of UTI showed that 57.0% (37/65) of the respondents had family history of UTI. Thirty-six (55.4%) had recurrent (i.e. previous UTI history) cases, 27.7% (18/65) used drug without prescription, 7.7% (5/65) had history of urinary catheter while 3.1% (2/65) patients were diabetic. Out of 39 females positive for UTI, 25.6% used contraceptives and 33.3% were pregnant (Table 3). The findings revealed that history of UTI ($P=.000$, $CI=1.582-5.180$), use of drugs without prescription ($P=.000$, $CI=0.040-0.220$), pregnancy ($P=.001$, $CI=1.858-12.575$) and history of urinary catheter ($P=.031$, $CI=1.024-19.053$) showed significant association with the occurrence of UTI. However, other factors like family history of UTI, diabetes and use of contraceptive showed no significant relationship with the occurrence UTI ($P>.05$) (Table 3).

Prevalence of Uropathogens from the Study

Ten different bacterial species were isolated from the samples. Gram negative uropathogens constituted 80.0% (52/65) while 20% (13/65) were Gram positive cocci. The most predominant isolated bacteria were *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (23.1%). This was followed by Coagulase-negative Staphylococci (CoNS) (16.9%), *Escherichia coli* (12.3%), *Enterobacter cloacae* (10.8%), *Citrobacter freundii* (9.2%), *Proteus mirabilis*, *Serratia marcescens* with (7.7%) each, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Cronobacter* sp, *Enterococcus* sp with (3.1%) each, while *Citrobacter koseri* and *Pseudomonas luteola* (1.5%) were the least isolated uropathogens (Table 4).

Prevalence of Uropathogens by Study Sites

The highest prevalence uropathogens was recovered from patients in NNRH (47.8%), followed by GHC (29.2%) while 23.1% of the isolated uropathogens were from UCTH. The most encountered uropathogen in NNRH was *K. pneumoniae* (25.8%). This was followed by CoNS (16.1%), *E. cloacae* (12.9%), *E. coli* and *P. mirabilis* had 9.7% each, *S. marcescens* and *C. freundii* were recovered at the rate of 6.5% each, while the least isolated uropathogens were *Cronobacter* sp, *P. luteola*, *P. aeruginosa* and *Enterococcus* sp at 3.2%, 3.2%, 3.2% and 3.2% respectively (Table 4). Similarly, *K. pneumoniae* (33.3%) was the most isolated uropathogen in UCTH. Others were CoNS (26.7%), *E. coli* (13.3%), *S. marcescens* (6.7%), *E. cloacae* (6.7%), *C. freundii* (6.7%) and *P. aeruginosa* (6.7%) (Table 4). On the contrary, *E. coli* and *C. freundii* were the most occurrence uropathogens in GHC with prevalence rate of 15.7% each, followed by *S. marcescens* (10.5%), *K. pneumoniae* (10.5%), *E. cloacae* (10.5%), *P. mirabilis* (10.5%), CoNS (10.5%), and the least occurred uropathogens were *Cronobacter* sp, *C. koseri* and *Enterococcus* sp at 5.3% each (Table 4).

TABLE 3: Clinical Symptoms, risk Factors and their Associations with UTIs

PREVALENCE		NNRH (N = 94) n(%)	UCTH (N = 62) n(%)	GHC (N = 71) n(%)	TOTAL (N = 227)	p-value, (95% CI)
Clinical symptoms		Sig. bacteriuria n(%)	Sig. bacteriuria n(%)	Sig. bacteriuria n(%)		
Urine urgency	Yes	3 (9.7)	0(0.0)	2(10.5)	5 (7.7)	.305, (0.564-6.039)
	No	28 (90.3)	15(100)	17(89.5)	60 (92.3)	
Dysuria	Yes	7 (22.6)	5(33.3)	7(36.8)	19 (29.2)	.033* , (1.051-4.059)
	No	24 (77.4)	10(66.7)	12(63.2)	46 (70.8)	
Fever	Yes	4 (12.9)	1(6.7)	6(31.6)	11 (17.0)	.124, (0.270-1.177)
	No	27 (87.1)	14(93.3)	13(68.4)	54 (83.1)	
Flank or supra-pubic pain	Yes	7 (22.6)	2(13.3)	3(15.8)	12 (18.5)	.412, (0.358-1.524)
	No	24 (77.4)	13(86.7)	16(84.2)	53 (81.5)	
Frequency of urination	Yes	4 (12.9)	9 (60.0)	7 (36.8)	19 (29.2)	.481, (0.134-1.187)
	No	27 (87.1)	6(40.0)	12 (63.2)	46 (70.8)	
Risk factors						
Pregnancy status	Yes	5 (25.0)	3(75.0)	5 (33.3)	13 (33.3)	.001* , (1.858-12.575)
	No	15 (75.0)	1(25.0)	10 (66.7)	26 (66.7)	
Use of drug without prescription	Yes	7 (22.6)	5(33.3)	6 (31.6)	18 (27.7)	.000* , (0.040-0.220)
	No	24 (77.4)	10(66.7)	13 (68.4)	47 (72.3)	
Previous history of UTI	Yes	17 (54.8)	11(73.3)	8(42.1)	36 (55.4)	.000* , (1.582-5.180)
	No	14 (45.2)	4(26.7)	11(57.9)	29 (44.6)	
History of catheterization	Yes	1 (3.2)	3(20.0)	1(5.3)	5 (7.7)	.031* , (1.024-19.053)
	No	30 (96.8)	12(80.0)	18(94.7)	60 (92.3)	
Family history of UTI	Yes	16 (51.6)	9(60.0)	14(73.7)	37 (57.0)	.489, (0.687-2.191)
	No	15 (48.4)	6(40.0)	5(26.3)	28 (43.1)	
Diabetes	Yes	0 (0.0)	2(13.3)	0(0.0)	2 (3.1)	.142, (0.455-57.368)
	No	31 (100)	13(86.7)	19(100)	63 (97.0)	
Use of contraceptive	Yes	2 (10.0)	2(50.0)	6(40.0)	10 (25.6)	.296, (0.658-3.900)
	No	18 (90.0)	2(50.0)	9(60.0)	29 (74.4)	

*Statistically significant at $P < .05$

Prevalence of Uropathogens by age Group

The highest prevalence of uropathogens was observed in patients in the age group 19-29 years (38.5%) followed by 30-35 years (36.9%), >45 years (18.5%) while the least bacterial uropathogens was recovered from age group 5-18 years at 6.2% (Table 4). *K. pneumoniae* were the most isolated uropathogens in the age group 19-29 years and 30-45 years. In the age group 5-18 years, predominant uropathogens was *E. coli* whereas CoNS was common in the age group \geq 45 years. Statistical analysis revealed that there was no significant association between age group and the occurrence of UTI, $P= .946$, $\chi^2 = 0.373$ (Table 2).

Prevalence of Uropathogens by Gender

The study showed that out of 65 recovered uropathogens, 60.0% were from females while 40.0% were from males. *K. pneumoniae* and CoNS were the most encountered uropathogens in males whereas *K. pneumoniae*, CoNS and *E. clocae* were mostly seen in females (Table 4). Statistical analysis revealed that there was no significant relationship between gender and the prevalence of UTI, $P= .918$, $\chi^2 = 0.1011$ (Table 2).

TABLE 4: Prevalence of uropathogens isolated from patients by gender, study site, and age group

Identified bacteria	Urine culture									
	Sex		NNRH (%)	Study location		Age group (year)				Total
	Male (%)	Female (%)		UCTH (%)	GHC (%)	5-18 (%)	19-29 (%)	30-45 (%)	>45 (%)	
<i>Serratia marcescens</i>	1 (3.8)	4 (10.3)	2 (6.5)	1 (6.7)	2 (10.5)	0	3 (12.0)	2 (8.3)	0	5 (7.7)
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	4 (15.4)	4 (10.3)	3 (9.7)	2 (13.3)	3 (15.7)	0	4 (16.0)	3 (12.5)	1 (8.3)	8 (12.3)
<i>Cronobacter</i> species	0	2 (5.1)	1 (3.2)	0	1 (5.3)	0	0	2 (8.3)	0	2 (3.1)
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	7 (26.9)	8 (20.5)	8 (25.8)	5 (33.3)	2 (10.5)	1 (25.0)	5 (20.0)	7 (29.2)	2 (16.7)	15 (23.1)
<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i>	1 (3.8)	6 (15.4)	4 (12.9)	1 (6.7)	2 (10.5)	2 (50.0)	2 (8.0)	2 (8.3)	1 (8.3)	7 (10.8)
<i>Citrobacter freundii</i>	1 (3.8)	5 (12.8)	2 (6.5)	1 (6.7)	3 (15.7)	1 (25.0)	3 (12.0)	1 (4.2)	1 (8.3)	6 (9.2)
<i>Pseudomonas luteola</i>	1 (3.8)	0	1 (3.2)	0	0	0	1 (4.0)	0	0	1 (1.5)
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	3 (11.5)	2 (5.1)	3 (9.7)	0	2 (10.5)	0	3 (12.0)	0	2 (16.7)	5 (7.7)
<i>Citrobacter koseri</i>	1 (3.8)	0	0	0	1 (5.3)	0	1 (4.0)	0	0	1 (1.5)
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	1 (3.8)	1 (2.6)	1 (3.2)	1 (6.7)	0	0	0	1 (4.2)	1 (8.3)	2 (3.1)
Gram positive bacteria										
<i>Enterococcus</i> species	1 (3.8)	1 (2.6)	1 (3.2)	0	1 (5.3)	0	1 (4.0)	1 (4.2)	0	2 (3.1)
<i>Staphylococcus</i> species	5 (19.2)	6 (15.4)	5 (16.1)	4 (26.7)	2 (10.5)	0	2 (8.0)	5 (20.8)	4 (33.3)	11 (16.9)
Total	26 (40.0)	39 (60.0)	31 (47.8)	15 (23.1)	19 (29.2)	4 (6.2)	25 (38.5)	24 (36.9)	12 (18.5)	65 (100)

KEY:

NNRH = Nigeria Navy Reference Hospital Calabar; UCTH = University of Calabar Teaching Hospital; GHC = General Hospital Calabar

DISCUSSION

In this study, 65 out of 227 analyzed samples yielded significant growth giving an overall prevalence of 28.6% among the study participants. This is similar to 29.0% reported in Ismaila City, Egypt^[15]. The prevalence of UTI recorded in this study is somewhat similar to 31.3% reported from among symptomatic UTI patients in Enugu, Nigeria^[16]. Somewhere outside the shore of Nigeria, Odoki *et al.*^[10] reported 32.2% in Bushenyi District, Uganda, which is comparable to the present study. The prevalence of UTI in the present study was slightly higher than the ones previously reported in the same study area^[7,17]. Other researchers have reported prevalence rates of UTI higher or slightly lower when compared to the current study^[18-20]. The observed difference in prevalence of UTI could be affected by personal hygiene, study population, socio-economic status, immune status of the study participants and geographical variation.

Interestingly, the highest prevalence (33%) of UTI was recorded among patients who visited the NNRH when compared to other study sites (Table 2). The higher prevalence of UTI among these patients could be attributed to the status of NNRH as reference hospital where advanced level of healthcare services and diagnoses are conducted. This observation is in consonance with the work of Karikari *et al.*^[9] in Ghana, who recorded high incidence of UTI in a referral hospital.

The age group 19-29 years had the highest prevalence of UTI (38.5%). However, 30-45 years had the highest prevalence of UTI in UCTH and NNRH while the age group 19-29 years recorded the highest incidence of UTI in GHC. This finding is in consonance with Ndako *et al.*^[19] in South-West Nigeria, Abdu *et al.*^[21] in Maiduguri, Nigeria, and Mokube *et al.*^[8] in Cameroon. In contrast, Obirikorang *et al.*^[22] reported highest prevalence of UTI in age group 30-34 years in Kumasi, Ghana, Marami *et al.*^[23] in age group 35-44 years in Ethiopia. However, age was not found significantly ($P=.946$) associated with the occurrence of UTI. This variation in prevalence of UTI in age group might be due to the target population under study, previous untreated history, family history, underlying medical condition, sexual activity, and educational level, among other factors^[5,24].

In this study, UTI was higher in females (60%, 39/65) than in males (40%, 26/65). This finding is consistent with previous studies supporting that women are more vulnerable to contracting UTI due to their short urethra and its proximity to the anal opening^[25,26]. Other factors which may contribute to high incidence of UTI in women are physiological changes in women which deplete the vaginal flora, unauthorized administration of contraceptives, pregnancy, family history, etc^[4].

Prevalence of UTI was higher in married subjects (57%) compared to single (41.5%) (Table 2), but in GHC, single participants had the highest prevalence rate of UTI (57.9%). This is in agreement with Ezugwu *et al.*^[27] but contradicts Wanja *et al.*^[28] in Kenya, who reported highest prevalence of UTIs among widowed (57.1%). The highest prevalence of UTI among single participants in GHC may be attributed to the proximity of UNICAL to this site. Multiple sex partners and unfaithfulness to one sex partner have been found as an indicator of UTI among university students^[29].

Participants with tertiary educational level had the highest prevalence of UTI (53.8%, 35/65). But in UCTH, subjects who attended secondary school had the highest prevalence (40%) of UTI. Studies showed that people with little or no formal education had higher prevalence of UTIs^[30,31]. However, this report contradicts the present findings. Similar to the results of this study is that of Ndako *et al.*^[19] and Mokube *et al.*^[8]

On the basis of occupation, UTI was higher among students (38.5%). This corroborated previous finding^[32,33]. The χ^2 test of participants positive for UTI showed statistical significant ($P<.05$) with respect to occupation ($P=.003$). No significant variations ($P\geq.05$) was observed with respect to age ($P=.946$), sex ($P=.918$), marital status ($P=.347$) and educational level ($P=.508$) (Table 2).

Of all the clinical symptoms, dysuria was significantly associated with UTI ($P=.033$, [95%CI; 1.051-4.059]). This contradicted Seifu and Gebissa^[34] who reported that fever, urgency, frequency and supra-pubic pain were significantly associated with UTI, but corroborated Al-Kashif^[35] who reported statistical association of dysuria with UTI.

In line with earlier studies^[5,6,35,36] pregnancy ($P=.001$, [95%CI; 1.858-12.575]), previous history of UTI ($P=.000$, [95%CI; 1.582-5.180]), history of catheterization ($P=.031$, [95%CI; 1.024-19.053]) and use of antibiotics without prescription ($P=.000$, [95%CI; 0.040-0.220]) were found significantly associated with the occurrence of UTI. This may be attributed to factors such as; resistant strains of uropathogens from the earlier episodes, contamination catheter during insertion, and physiological changes. More so, contrary to Odoki *et al.*^[10] and Ahmed^[37] the present study revealed that, use of

contraceptive ($P=.296$, [95%CI; 0.658-3.900]), family history of UTI ($P=.489$, [95%CI; 0.687-2.191]) and diabetes ($P=.142$, [95%CI; 0.455-57.368]) had no significant association with UTI.

The severity of UTI is greatly influenced by the types of organisms involved. In this study, Gram negative uropathogens constituted 80% and Gram positive (20%). This supported the assertion that Gram negative bacteria constituted 80-90% of uropathogens^[38]. The uropathogens recovered in this study had previously known to cause UTI^[6,7,17,26].

The highest prevalence of *K. pneumoniae* in this study is an indication that the organism is achieving more prominence as causative agents of UTI. This finding is inconsistency with Seifu and Gebissa^[34] in Ethiopia who reported *E. coli* as the commonly uropathogens from UTI patients. In other reports, Many *et al.*^[39] in DRC, reported *P. mirabilis* (41.2%), Labi *et al.*^[24] in Ghana, reported *Enterococcus* sp (26.7%) and Musonda *et al.*^[40] in Zambia, reported *Staphylococcus aureus* (32%) as the most predominant organism. The variation in the type of bacteria uropathogens in this study and others reported might be attributed to physiological state of patients, techniques of sample collection, sample size used, environmental or personal hygiene.

This study revealed the presence of *Cronobacter* sp in the analyzed urine samples. *Cronobacter* sp had been reported as emerging pathogens from infant food^[41]. The incidence of UTI cause by *Cronobacter* sp in the study area is rear. However, this study corroborated with Hayashi *et al.*^[42] who reported the occurrence of *C. sakazakii* in a 69 years old man presenting with UTI in Shimane University Hospital, Shimane, Japan. The possible rout of infection with *Cronobacter* sp could be via oral ingestion from external sources and retrograde UTI^[42].

CONCLUSION

The overall prevalence of UTI among patients attending hospitals in Calabar, Nigeria was 28.6%. Of all considered risk factors, previous history of UTI, use of drugs without prescription, pregnancy and history of urinary catheter were significantly ($P<.05$) associated with the occurrence of UTI. Similarly, there was association of dysuria and occupation with the occurrence of UTI. *K. pneumoniae* was the most predominant uropathogens, followed by Coagulase-negative Staphylococci. Other isolates were *E. coli*, *E. clocae*, *C. freundii*, *P. mirabilis*, *S. marcescens*, *P. aeruginosa*, *Cronobacter* sp, *Enterococcus* sp, *C. koseri*, and *P. luteola*. Therefore, routine UTI screening is recommended for pregnant women, patients with difficult or painful urination, patients with previous episodes of UTI, catheterized patients and appropriate drugs administered for positive cases. In addition, self-administration of antibiotics should be avoided.

REFERENCES

1. Vasudevan, R. Urinary tract infection: An overview of the infection and the associated risk factors. *J. Microbiol. Exp.* 2014;1(2):42–54. DOI: 10.15406/jmen.2014.01.00008.
2. Flores-Mireles AL, Walker JN, Caparon M, Hultgren SJ. Urinary tract infections: Epidemiology, mechanisms of infection and treatment options. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2015;13:1-16. doi:10.1038/nrmicro3432.
3. Derbie A, Hailu D, Mekonnen D, Abera B, Yitayew G. Antibiogram profile of uropathogens isolated at Bahir Dar Regional health research laboratory centre, Northwest Ethiopia. *Pan Afr. Med. J.* 2017;26: 134. doi:10.11604/pamj.2017.26.134.7827.
4. Mwang'onde BJ, Mchami JI. The aetiology and prevalence of urinary tract infections in Sub-Saharan Africa: a Systematic Review. *J Health Biol Sci.* 2022; 10(1):1-7.
5. Koffi KA, Aka EK, Apollinaire H, Mlan-Britoh A, Konan JMP. Epidemiological, bacteriological profile and bacterial resistance of urinary tract infections at pregnant woman in prenatal consultation in African setting. *Int. J. Reprod. Contracept. Obstet. Gynecol* 2020;9:461-467.
6. Donkor ES, Horlortu PZ, Dayie NTKD, Obeng-Nkrumah N, Labi A-K. Community acquired urinary tract infections among adults in Accra, Ghana. *Infect. Drug Resist.* 2019;12:2059–2067.
7. Iheanacho JN, Antai SP, Tiku DR. Prevalence and microbial dynamics of urinary tract infection in a tertiary hospital in Calabar, Cross River State. *World J. Pharm. Res.* 2018;7(17): 291-307.
8. Mokube MN, Atashili J, Halle-Ekane GE, Ikomey GM, Ndumbe PM. Bacteriuria amongst pregnant women in the Buea Health District, Cameroon: Prevalence, predictors, antibiotic susceptibility patterns and diagnosis. *PloS one*, 2013;8(8):e71086. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0071086.
9. Karikari AB, Saba CKS, Yamik DY. Reported cases of urinary tract infections and the susceptibility of uropathogens from hospitals in northern Ghana. *Microbiol. Insights.* 2022;15: 1-9. DOI: 10.1177/17786361221106109.
10. Odoki M, Aliero AA, Tibyangye J, Maniga JN, Wampande E, Kato CD et al. Prevalence of bacterial urinary tract infections and associated factors among patients attending hospitals in Bushenyi District, Uganda. *Int. J. Microbiol.* 2019:1-8. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/4246780>.
11. Obia AE, Itam EB, Archibong AE. Urban development in the third world and threat to wetlands: The case study of Calabar, Nigeria. *Glob. J. Eng. Res.* 2015;14:33-45. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/gjer.v14i1.5>.
12. National Population Commission [NPC]. (2010). Population distribution by Sex, State, LGA & Senatorial district. Available at https://www.google.com/url?sa=t&source=web&rct=j&url=https://catalog.ihnsn.org/index.php/catalog/3340/download/48521&ved=2ahUKEwjV3PPG_pvxAhWBAGMBHeOhCPkQFjAAegQIAxAC&usg=AOvVaw0BRyy4fISrubdP5ouXNpD&cshid=1623840889468 (Accessed 05 March 2022).
13. Akmal-Hasan SK, Kumar TN, Kishan NR, Neetha K. Laboratory diagnosis of urinary tract infections using diagnostics tests in adult patients. *Int. J. Res. Med. Sci.* 2014;2:415-421. DOI: 10.5455/2320-6012.ijrms20140508.
14. Chessbrough M. (2006). *District laboratory practice in tropical countries*. 2nd ed. London: Cambridge university press.
15. Mohamed NR, Omar HHH, Abd-Allah IM. Prevalence and risk factors of urinary tract infection among pregnant women in Ismailia city, Egypt. *IOSR JNHS.* 2017;6:62-72.
16. Dibua UME, Onyemerela IS, Nweze EI. Frequency, urinalysis and susceptibility profile of pathogens causing urinary tract infections in Enugu state, Southeast Nigeria. *Rev. Inst. Med. Trop.* 2014;56(1);55-9. doi: 10.1590/S0036-46652014000100008.
17. Jombo GTA, Emanghe UE, Amefule EN, Damen JG. Urinary tract infections at a Nigerian university hospital: Causes, patterns and antimicrobial susceptibility profile. *J. Microbiol. Antimicrob.* 2011;3(6);153-159.
18. Ireng LM, Kabego L, Vandenberg O, Chirimwami RB, Gala J-L. Antimicrobial resistance in urinary isolates from inpatients and outpatients at a tertiary care hospital in South-Kivu Province (Democratic Republic of Congo). *BMC Res. Notes.* 2014;7:374. doi:10.1186/1756-0500-7-374.
19. Ndako JA, Owolabi AO, Oranusi SU, Fajobi VO, Okolie C, Akinwumi J, Ohiobor GO. Incidence of urinary tract infection in a rural community of south west, Nigeria. *Saudi J. Biomed. Res.* 2019;4(9);306-311. DOI:10.36348/SJBR.2019.v04i09.002.

20. Lawson SD, Ibiene AA, Omunakwe HE, Uzah GA, Nnodim LC. The prevalence and trend of urinary tract infection among patients attending hospitals in Rivers State. *J. Med. Microbiol. Diagnos.* 2021;10;1-11.
21. Abdu A, Kachallah M, Bolus DY. (2018). Antibiotic susceptibility patterns of uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* among patients with urinary tract infections in a tertiary care hospital in Maiduguri, North Eastern, Nigeria. *J. Biosci. Biotechnol. Discov.* 2018;3;14-24.
22. Obirikorang C, Quaye L, Bio FY, Amidu N, Acheampong I, Addo K. (2012). Asymptomatic bacteriuria among pregnant women attending antenatal clinic at the university hospital, Kumasi, Ghana. *J. Med. Biomed. Sci.* 2012;1(1);38-44.
23. Marami D, Balakrishnan S, Seyoum B. Prevalence, antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of bacterial isolates, and associated factors of urinary tract infections among HIV-positive patients at Hiwot Fana specialized university hospital, eastern Ethiopia. *Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol.* 2019;1-8. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/6780354>.
24. Labi A-K, Yawson AE, Ganyaglo GY, Newman MJ. Prevalence and associated risk factors of asymptomatic bacteriuria in ante-natal clients in a large teaching hospital in Ghana. *Ghana Med. J.* 2015;49(3):154-158. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/gmj.v49i3.5>.
25. Mistry M, Bhattacharya A, Mistry A. Aerobic bacteriological profile and antibiotic susceptibility pattern of urinary tract infections in patients of intensive care unit at a tertiary care hospital, Rajkot. *Int. J. Recent Sci. Res.* 2022;13(01);161-165. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijrsr.2022.1301.0032>.
26. Nzalie RN, Gonsu HK, Koulla-Shiro S. Bacterial etiology and antibiotic resistance profile of community-acquired urinary tract infections in a Cameroonian city. *Int. J. Microbiol.* 2016;1-6. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2016/3240268>.
27. Ezugwu IA, Afunwa RA, Onyia FC, Chukwunwejim CR, Offe IM, Onyia CO et al. Prevalence of urinary tract infections and associated risk factors among pregnant women in Enugu metropolis, Nigeria. *Int. J. Biosci. Med.* 2021;9;156-171. <https://doi.org/10.4236/jbm.2021.910014>.
28. Wanja F, Ngugi C, Omwenga E, Maina J, Kiiru J. Urinary tract infection among adults seeking medicare at Kiambu level 5 hospital, Kenya: Prevalence, diversity, antimicrobial susceptibility profiles and possible risk factors. *Adv. Appl. Microbiol.* 2021;11;360-383. <https://doi.org/10.4236/aim.2021.118028>.
29. Gebremariam G, Legese H, Woldu Y, Araya T, Hagos K, GebreyesusWasihun A. Bacteriological profile, risk factors and antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of symptomatic urinary tract infection among students of Mekelle university, northern Ethiopia. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 2019;19;950. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-019-4610-2>.
30. Worku GY, Alamneh YB, Abegaz WE. Prevalence of bacterial urinary tract infection and antimicrobial susceptibility patterns among diabetes mellitus patients attending Zewditu memorial hospital, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. *Infect Drug Resist.* 2021;14;1441-154.
31. Tessema NN, Ali MM, Zenebe MH. Bacterial associated urinary tract infection, risk factors, and drug susceptibility profile among adult people living with HIV at Haswassa university comprehensive specialized hospital, Hawassa, southern Esthiopia. *Sci. Rep.* 2020;10;10790. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-67840-7>.
32. Ani CO, Odeta NP, Obasi SO. Burden of urinary tract infection (UTI) among female students: South eastern Nigeria side of the story. *Int J Trop Dis health*, 2016;12(2);1-7. DOI: 10.9734/IJTDH/2016/22390.
33. Al-Gasha'a FAS, Al-Baker SM, Obiad JM, Alrobiai FA. Prevalence of urinary tract infections and associated risk factors among patients attending medical city hospital in Baghdad city, Iraq. *Am. J. Infect. Dis.* 2020;16(2);77-84. DOI: 10.3844/ajidsp.2020.77.84.
34. Seifu WD, Gebissa AD. Prevalence and antibiotic susceptibility of uropathogens from cases of urinary tract infections (UTI) in Shashemene referral hospital, Ethiopia. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 2018;18:30. DOI 10.1186/s12879-017-2911-x.
35. Al-Kashif MML. Urinary tract infection among pregnant women and its associated risk factors: A cross-sectional study. *Biomed. Pharmacol. J.* 2019;12(4);2003-2010. <http://dx.doi.org/10.13005/bpj/1832>.
36. Belete MA. Bacterial profile and ESBL screening of urinary tract infection among asymptomatic and symptomatic pregnant women attending antenatal care of northeastern Ethiopia region. *Infect Drug Resist.* 2020;13;2579–2592.
37. Ahmed OB. (2015). Bacterial profile and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of urinary tract infection in Khartoum, Sudan. *Int. J. Curr. Res*, 2015;7(11);22344–7.

38. Vinod, P. V., & Selvaraj, P. R. Study on the prevalence of urinary tract infection among the Paliyar Indian tribe. *Int. J. Curr. Res.* 2012;4(01);044-048. Available online at <http://www.journalcra.com>.
39. Many MR, Yves MK, Celestin NN, Richard, BM. Investigation of antimicrobial resistance in urinary tract infection in children at the east party of democratic Republic of Congo. *J Bacteriol. Mycol.* 2019;7(3), 50-53. DOI: 10.15406/jbmoa.2019.07.00242.
40. Musonda T, Zulu M, Samutela M, Kalonda A, Mantina H, Okuku P et al. (2020). Leucocytosis and asymptomatic urinary tract infections in sickle cell patients at a tertiary hospital in Zambia. *Anemia*, 1-5. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/3792728>.
41. Pakbin B, Brück WM, Allahyari S, Rossen JWA, Mahmoudi R. Antibiotic resistance and molecular characterization of *Cronobacter sakazakii* strains isolated from powdered infant formula milk. *Foods*, 2022;11;1093. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods11081093>.
42. Hayashi S, Takinami Y, Watari T. Urinary tract infection caused by *Cronobacter sakazakii*. *Cureus*, 2021;13(6);e15780. DOI: 10.7759/cureus.15780.