# Full title: Process of Use of Evidence Products by Frontline Maternal, Newborn and Child Health Staff at the Facility Level in Ghana.

## Short title: Process of Use of Evidence Products

Gordon Abekah-Nkrumah<sup>1</sup>, Doris Ottie-Boakye<sup>2</sup>, Richmond Owusu<sup>3</sup>, Johnson Ermel<sup>4</sup>,

Sombié Issiaka<sup>4</sup>, Anita Asiwome Adzo Baku<sup>1</sup>

- Department of Public Administration and Health Services Management, University of Ghana Business School, P. O. Box 72, Legon- Accra.
- Regional Institute for Population Studies, University of Ghana. P. O. Box LG 96, Legon, Accra-Ghana
- 3. School of Public Health, University of Ghana. P. O. Box LG 13, Legon, Accra-Ghana
- Professional Assistant in research, West African Health Organisation, 01 BP 153 Bobo-Dioulasso 01, Burkina Faso

- P. O. Box LG 13, Legon, Accra-Ghana
- \* Corresponding Author

Email: riowusu@ug.edu.gh

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

#### 1 Abstract

Although the use of evidence products has been identified as beneficial in improving 2 reproductive, maternal and child health, very little is known about the processes that facilitate 3 4 the use of these evidence products by frontline Maternal, Newborn and Child Health and Reproductive and Child Health (RNCH/RCH) practitioners in decision-making on the issues 5 that confront them in their line of work. This study explored the processes that facilitate the 6 use of evidence products in reproductive, maternal and child health service provision in 7 selected healthcare facilities in Ghana. Using a cross-sectional qualitative design, interviews 8 9 of 24 frontline RNCH/RCH practitioners across 16 healthcare facilities in the Greater Accra, Eastern, and Ashanti regions of Ghana were conducted. The study employed a semi-structured 10 interview guide modelled along the Action Cycle phase of the Knowledge-To-Action (KTA) 11 framework, and the objectives of the study. Themes were built out of the transcribed data. The 12 study revealed that the processes that facilitate the use of evidence products include problem 13 identification activities (such as service evaluation, using accepted benchmarks, inadequate 14 logistics for providing service and client feedback) and, adapting knowledge to their local 15 context. The usual suspects of socio-cultural and health systems-related factors such as 16 resource constraints and human factors were found to hinder the use of evidence products in 17 providing RNCH/RCH services. The study contributes to knowledge by operationaliing the 18 19 KTA, simplifying the concept of knowledge sustainability and makes it easy for adoption and 20 implementation especially at the frontline. Appropriate interventions that rely on strong education to navigate the societal norms and beliefs that inhibit the uptake of evidence-based 21 care by clients will be essential in improving the use of evidence to inform practice decisions. 22

23

24 Keywords: Evidence use, knowledge to action, maternal and child health, reproductive health

### 25 Introduction

The provision of quality maternal and child healthcare services is essential for reducing 26 maternal, newborn and child morbidity and mortality globally. The World Health Organization 27 (WHO) emphasizes the importance of evidence-based practice to improve maternal and child 28 healthcare outcomes. Initiatives to improve maternal, newborn and child health (MNCH) and 29 reproductive and child health (RCH) cut across several dimensions and include the Sustainable 30 31 Development Goals (SDGs). The SDGs seek to reduce the number of maternal deaths per 100,000 live births globally to less than 70, reduce neonatal mortality to as low as 12 per 1,000 32 33 live births and under-5 mortality to at least 25 per 1,000 live births by 2030 (1).

34

Since the introduction of these ambitious goals, considerable progress has been made globally 35 in terms of maternal and child health. In Ghana for example, the Ghana Maternal Health Survey 36 2017 estimated maternal mortality ratio as 310 per 100,000 live births, with under-5 and 37 neonatal mortality reducing to 52 per 1000 live births and 25 per 1000 live births respectively 38 (2). Likewise, approximately 89% of pregnant women had at least 4 antenatal clinic visits with 39 40 skilled birth attendance reported as 79%. Despite the significant progress made within the past 41 two decades, recent data suggests that maternal deaths contributed to 14% of all deaths in Ghana from direct and indirect causes (2,3). It is in the context of improving such adverse 42 MNCH/RCH outcomes that the use of evidence products (existing research, policies, 43 guidelines, protocols etc.) by frontline MNCH/RCH staff to inform decision-making is viewed 44 as a step to improve quality and consequently cost-effectiveness. 45

Maternal healthcare staff play a critical role in ensuring safe and effective maternal care. The
utilization of evidence products requires healthcare providers to incorporate the best available
evidence with their clinical expertise and patients' preferences. Nonetheless, research indicates
that healthcare staff often rely on their personal experiences, thereby under-utilizing available

evidence products when making clinical decisions (4–6). The use of evidence-based products 50 provides benefits of standardized care, and scientifically proven evidence-based information 51 to improve upon clinical decision-making and enhance the quality of care and outcomes for 52 patients (7–9). Although evidence products have been proven to be beneficial and effective in 53 guiding clinical practice, literature reports that frontline health workers underutilize them and, 54 hence do not realize the associated benefits and impact (3–5), especially in terms of quality of 55 56 care improvement (10-14). Previous studies have highlighted that selected sub-Saharan countries have limited use of clinical guidelines by healthcare workers [15-17]. 57

58 Notwithstanding the low utilisation of evidence products for clinical decisions, existing research on evidence product utilization especially in the African context seems to focus more on 59 policymakers at the national level rather than the frontline staff at the health facility level. For 60 example, a search through the Ghanaian literature on MNCH/RCH evidence used by frontline 61 health staff found only three papers in Ghana (15–17). Whereas the first paper (15) was on 62 decision-making in general, the third paper (17) examined the determinants of evidence use by 63 frontline MNC/RCH staff. Thus, except the second paper (16), there is currently no study that 64 focuses on the process that facilitates the use of evidence products (existing research, policies, 65 guidelines, protocols etc.) to inform decision-making by frontline MNC/RCH staff. 66

67

This paper therefore seeks to examine processes that facilitate the use of evidence products
(existing research, policies, guidelines, protocols etc.) for care decision-making by frontline
MNCH/RCH staff in selected health facilities. Specifically, the study:

- Examines the nature and importance of the use of evidence products by frontline
   MNCH/RCH staff in selected health facilities in Ghana.
- Examines processes that facilitate the use of evidence products by frontline
   MNCH/RCH staff in selected health facilities in Ghana to support practice decisions.

75

#### 76 **Theoretical Framework**

The current paper examines processes that facilitate the use of evidence products by frontline 77 MNCH/RCH staff to support practice decisions. There are several models used to explain the 78 process of translating knowledge (evidence) to support decision-making. The Knowledge-to-79 Action (KTA) Framework (see Fig 1) developed by Graham and colleagues (18) remains one 80 of the most highly cited in the literature. The KTA framework is based on a system's theory 81 assumption, and it argues that knowledge producers and users operate in a knowledge system 82 83 that is responsive, and adaptive but unpredictable. The KTA framework is divided into two distinct but related phases, namely; the Knowledge Creation phase and the Action Cycle phase 84 (19). The Knowledge Creation phase acts like a funnel and puts knowledge into usable units, 85 starting with knowledge inquiry (health research literature) to knowledge synthesis (systematic 86 87 reviews, and meta-analyses) and knowledge products/tools such as best practice guidelines and protocols, clinical pathways or decision aids and videos (19). The Action Cycle of the KTA 88 framework is iterative and involves steps needed for a unit of knowledge to reach widespread 89 use to change behaviour and or attitudes. This includes the identification of a problem (i.e. 90 identification, review and selection of knowledge), adapting knowledge to the local context 91 (individual practice setting or particular patient), assessment of facilitators or barriers to 92 93 knowledge use in a particular situation, selection and implementation of interventions to fit the 94 local context, monitoring the use of knowledge, evaluation of outcomes and finally, implementation of systems to sustain the use of knowledge (18,19). 95

96

97

#### 98 Insert Fig 1:Knowledge to Action Framework

99 Source: adopted from Graham et al. (18)

100

Given that the study focuses on the use of existing evidence products to inform decisionmaking by frontline MNCH/RCH staff, we assume that knowledge already exists and that what constitutes a challenge is processes that facilitate the use of existing knowledge (i.e., research evidence, protocols, guidelines etc) to inform practice decisions. Thus, the use of the KTA framework in the context of this study is limited to the Action Cycle phase, starting from problem identification through to sustenance of knowledge use. The components of the Action Cycle phase are further discussed below.

108

**Problem Identification** – Although the Action Cycle is iterative, problem identification is normally recommended as the starting point for the deliberate application of knowledge to change behaviour and attitude. It compares current practice with existing knowledge to ascertain whether there is a practice gap that needs to be addressed(7,20).

113

Adapting Knowledge to Local Context- This step of the Action Cycle focuses on how existing knowledge could be organised to ensure that it is useful and appropriate to a specific circumstance (21,22). For example, it is argued that adapting existing clinical practice guidelines to fit local circumstances is not merely good for improving the relevance of the guidelines but also serves to improve ownership by end-users (23). Notwithstanding, there is equally the risk that adapted guidelines may deviate from the original evidence that informed the development of the guidelines (24).

121

Assessment of Barriers and Facilitators to Knowledge Use – This focuses on factors that
 influence (promote or constrain) the uptake of knowledge. Three main factors have been
 identified as influencing the use of evidence in the context of healthcare. For example, while

Majid et al. (25) categorised the factors under knowledge and attitudes, Humphries et al. (26) classified the factors as information, organisational structure and processes, organisation culture and individual interactions. Other authors have classified such constraints or facilitators as related to organisational support and organisational change (27), personal attitudes, availability of resources and knowledge and skills (28) and attitudes and knowledge (29).

130

131 Select, Tailor and Implement Interventions – This is a three-part process that deals with the actual planning and implementation of an intervention (use of evidence) to bring about an 132 133 intended change (30). The first part focuses on selecting effective interventions. However, given the possibility that different contexts may respond differently to the same interventions, 134 tweaking interventions to fit the specific circumstances of different contexts can be key to 135 success. The final part of this process is the actual implementation of the selected intervention. 136 To ensure that the selected intervention produces the intended change, it should not only be 137 effective but must also fit the specific circumstance of the context in question. 138

139

Monitoring of Knowledge Use – This component helps stakeholders to know whether the implementation of the interventions as discussed in the previous stage has resulted in the anticipated change. The monitoring focuses on three areas; whether there has been a change? The extent of the change and the factors accounting for the change. The monitoring can be done using robust scientific evaluation methods either qualitatively or quantitatively.

145

Sustain Knowledge Use – The importance of this phase is based on the assumption that successful interventions may not up-scale themselves unless systematic steps are undertaken to let it happen. This phase therefore focuses on taking systematic steps to ensure that positive changes occurring as a result of the implementation of the knowledge intervention are scaled

up. It is suggested that factors such as perceived benefits and risks, relevance, leadership, policy
integration, resources and politics are some of the factors that can aid the scaling up and
sustenance of knowledge use (7,18,20,21).

153

#### 154 Methods

#### 155 Study Design

The study used a cross-sectional qualitative design and targeted frontline healthcare workers involved in the provision of MNCH/RCH services (medical doctors, nurses, midwives, public health officers, enrolled nurses, registered general nurses, and community health nurses) in 16 health facilities in 3 regions (Greater Accra, Ashanti and Eastern Regions). The health facilities were carefully selected to include those in rural and urban areas as well as areas that do not have demographic surveillance sites to reduce the possibility of contamination from the effect of demographic surveillance activities.

163

#### 164 Sampling Method

The choice of the Greater Accra, Ashanti and Eastern regions is based on the fact that they 165 have the highest population of health facilities in addition to having different types (ownership 166 and hierarchy) of health facilities. Using willingness to participate in the study, 16 health 167 facilities were selected from the 3 regions. This includes (a) Ashanti: 1 Community Health 168 Planning and Services (CHPS), 1 Health Center, 2 District Hospitals and 1 Regional Hospital; 169 (b) Greater Accra: 1 CHPS, 3 Health Centers, 3 Regional Hospitals, 1 Tertiary Hospital and 170 (c) Eastern: 2 Quasi-Government Hospitals and 1 District Hospital. From the 16 health 171 facilities, 24 respondents were selected for the interview based on their willingness to 172 participate and knowledge of the MNCH/RCH system in the respective health facilities. 173

#### **Data Collection and Analysis** 175

A semi-structured interview guide was developed and used to collect data for examining 176 processes that facilitate the use of evidence products by frontline MNCH/RCH staff to inform 177 practice decisions. The interview guide contained specific questions that captured the socio-178 demographic characteristics of respondents and how key domains of the Action Cycle phase 179 of the KTA framework (identification of a problem, adapting knowledge to the local context, 180 assessment of barriers or facilitators to knowledge use in a particular situation, selecting and 181 implementing interventions to fit local context, monitoring the use of knowledge, evaluating 182 183 outcomes, and finally, implementing systems to sustain the use of knowledge) are deployed to facilitate the use of evidence products by frontline MNCH/RCH staff (18,19). The semi-184 structured interview guide is attached as supplementary material (S1 File). 185

186

All the interviews were carried out with the assistance of a trained interviewer and a field 187 assistant. All interviews were audio-recorded, in addition to copious hand-written notes taken 188 by the interviewer and her assistant. The audio recordings were transcribed word-for-word into 189 a Microsoft Office Word document. The transcripts were compared with the hand-written notes 190 and identified inconsistencies were corrected. The final transcripts were thoroughly reviewed 191 by the interviewer and her assistant to ensure that the content of the transcripts was a true 192 193 reflection of the answers or discussions with interview respondents. Using similarities and differences in respondents' answers, information in the transcripts was grouped into broad 194 themes and sub-themes in line with the objectives of the study. The broad themes and sub-195 themes formed the basis of what is presented in the findings. 196

197

#### **Ethical Consideration** 198

Ethical clearance for the study was sought from the Ghana Health Service Ethics Review with clearance number GHS-ERC010/05/18. Additional administrative approval was taken from relevant regional and district Directors of Health Services as well as heads of participating health facilities. Informed consent was obtained from all the study participants. All participants provided written consent.

204

205 **Results** 

#### 206 Characteristics of Participants

207 Study participants were made up of 6 males and 18 females. The relatively higher number of females is because more females work in MNCH/RCH compared to males. In terms of 208 profession, 2 public health nurses, 3 enrolled nurses, 2 community health nurses, 8 midwives, 209 5 senior/principal/nursing officers, 2 nutrition officers and 2 specialist obstetricians and 210 gynaecologists participated in the study. Respondents also cut across various departments/units 211 in the MNCH/RCH service delivery chain. These include 6 maternity/ANC wards, 5 212 RCH/family planning units, 3 cervical cancer screening units, 2 public health units, and 1 213 mother and baby unit. The rest include 2 respondents from the nutrition unit, 1 from the nursing 214 unit, 2 from CHPS compounds and 2 consultants. 215

216

The findings are structured to respond to two main questions. First, what is the nature and importance of the use of evidence products by frontline MNCH/RCH staff, and secondly, processes that facilitate the use of evidence products by frontline MNCH/RCH staff to inform practice decisions.

221

#### 222 Nature and Importance of Use of Evidence Products

The section discusses the types of MNCH/RCH services offered in the health facilities interviewed, sources of MNCH/RC-related evidence products and the importance of using evidence products in practice decision-making as follows.

226

#### 227 Scope of MNCH services offered

Participants across the different levels of care irrespective of location (rural and urban) cited 228 the key MNCH/RCH services offered by their health facilities as family planning services, 229 postnatal care, nutrition care support, guidelines and rehabilitation, school and community 230 231 health education, antenatal care services and delivery and labour services. Other services include cervical cancer screening, breast cancer screening, child welfare clinic services (CWC), 232 adolescent health care services, sexually transmitted infection (STI) treatment and home visits. 233 Neonatal and sick baby assessment and care, abortion care, and counseling were the least 234 provided services. The findings indicate that the level of a health facility (primary care, 235 secondary or tertiary) influences the type of MNCH/RCH service provided. 236

237

238

### 239 Source of MNCH/RCH-related evidence products

Study participants rely on diverse sources for evidence products to inform MNCH/RCH 240 practice. Nine participants mentioned training received either through in-service or on the job. 241 About 10 of the participants mentioned the Ghana Health Service (GHS) as their main source 242 of evidence products (guidelines, frameworks, algorithms and protocols) for use in the 243 provision of MNCH/RCH services. Respondents also indicated that workshops, school, health 244 facility-initiated meetings (weekly and monthly meetings) and the District Health Directorate 245 (DHD) as their main sources of evidence products. Others indicated that their colleague 246 workers and the use of models (dummies) constitute their main source of MNCH/RCH 247

evidence products for their day-to-day decisions. Others mentioned development partners
(UNICEF, WHO, JHPIEGO etc.). Ironically, only one rural participant cited online portals as
one of the sources of MNCH/RCH-related evidence.

251

### 252 Importance of evidence-based practice in MNCH/RCH service provision

Respondents described the use of evidence products in MNCH/RCH practice as important, 253 giving varied reasons. They argue that evidence-based MNCH/RCH practice does not only 254 benefit service providers but also users of services. They suggest that it serves as a source of 255 256 health education and helps to streamline healthcare for clients. They acknowledged that it makes their work easier and also puts them in a position to do the right things in service 257 delivery. To some, it serves as a guide for training service providers. They mentioned that 258 evidence-based MNCH/RCH practice helps to monitor service delivery, educate clients on 259 service provision and finally serves as a tool for identifying and managing risk. This is captured 260 by a respondent below: 261

262

"They are very important because they serve as a guide to service providers, they also serve
as a guide to monitor service delivery by the health service provider and also they serve as a
guide for the training needs of health workers" - (Gynecologist, Regional hospital, Urban
area).

267

## 268 Processes that Facilitate the Use of Evidence Products in Clinical Practice

In this section, we discuss processes that facilitate the use of evidence products by frontlineMNCH/RCH staff based on the components of the KTA framework.

271

### 273 **Problem identification**

As per the KTA framework, problem identification is about the identification of practice gaps to be addressed. The findings suggest that frontline MNCH/RCH staff work to identify practice gaps so as to improve their practice based on existing or new evidence. Problem identification is examined from the perspective of providers.

278

Respondents indicated that evaluation of the service provided, using accepted benchmarks, inadequate logistics for providing the service in question and clients' feedback on service rendered helps to identify service and practice gaps. Respondents also acknowledged that other sources of information for identifying practice gaps include, facility-level service performance data via the strategic planning framework (i.e., setting of targets, monitoring and evaluation and examination of case outcomes). On inadequate logistics, a Community Health Nurse in charge of a Reproductive and Child Health unit averred:

- "You are supposed to perform a procedure that requires that you have some logistics
  in place to be successful and yet not available. In that case, you should know that the
- 288 outcome you seek you may not get it. This for me is a gap you need to address" -
- 289 (Community Health Nurse, Health Centre, rural area).

290

A nutrition officer in an urban hospital elaborated on the issue of monitoring and supervisionin identifying gaps as follows:

293

"We go to the various Units to see if they are practicing what they have been taught.
For instance, how to initiate breast feeding. We ask the mothers when we go to the ANC
or the RCH unit. ...if the mother is not able to breastfeed, we do ask, were you taught
when you were pregnant or when you gave birth, were you helped to position the baby

so that we will know whether what you taught the staff is being practiced or maybe it
didn't go down well."- (Nutrition Officer, Hospital, urban area).

Another study participant on the use of existing reports from documentation also highlighted:
 *"Whatever you do for a client, you document. So, per what the health personnel has documented, you will know that he/she is on course with the protocol or is deviating from the protocol"* – (Midwife, CHPS compound, rural area).

304

On the problem identification using client's feedback, study participants reported that the condition of clients at visit, clients' vital statistics, clients' adherence to recommended service use, service outcomes etc. are key in helping them to identify knowledge or evidence gaps in their practice. The pathways to identifying gaps in the use of evidence in clinical practice were summarized by a respondent as follows:

310

311 On clients' vital statistics, an enrolled nurse in an urban health center said:

"We do the tracing in the antenatal card when they visit every day. So, let's say, the
person came last month and her BP was 100/70, which of course is in a normal range,
and then the weight was 90. Then the next month she comes, the BP has risen to say,
150/90 and then the weight may be has deteriorated to say 80 or 75, then we know there
is a problem."- (Enrolled Nurse, Health Center, Urban area).

317

#### 318 Adapting knowledge to local context

Study participants averred that using knowledge or evidence products in their original form should be the gold standard. Nonetheless, there are context-specific challenges that sometimes require that existing or new evidence products (protocols, guidelines research evidence etc.)

are tweaked a little to suit local context and therefore enhance ownership and usage, albeit that adaptation has its challenges. The statement below from one of the respondents confirms the fact that frontline MNCH/RCH staff engage in actions that seek to adapt existing and new knowledge to suit their context.

326

327 ".....What I'm trying to say is that it is not every case that the protocol works.
328 Sometimes you have to adapt a standard on nutrition support care, other than that, the
329 clinical outcomes for those cases will always be bad." - (Nutrition Officer, Hospital,
330 Urban area).

It is important to emphasize that the extent to which one can adapt existing or new knowledge to the local context depends on the level of the health facility in question, and more importantly availability of resources. For example, a higher-level health facility with adequate staff, well trained on the protocol or guideline involved, will be able to adapt the protocol or guideline to their context better than a lower-level health facility without the required resources. This is captured by a respondent as follows:

"So, when I go for the training or whoever goes for it, when you come, you train the
people that you work with and then you equip them with the knowledge and make it
simple to implement in our facility. But sometimes you don't have the people to do the
training well for everybody and that can be a problem" - (Senior Nurse Officer,
Hospital, urban area)

342

Additionally, the level of teamwork and collaboration among the provider team as well as community engagements, to a large extent determine the level of knowledge adaptation. The two statements below capture how knowledge adaptation is accomplished through teamwork, collaboration and community engagement:

347

So, ideas are invited from all team members to draw the plan. After that, we just assign tasks to team members and later bring all our reports together. The reports help us to know whether we are being successful using the protocol or if there is a need to change something along the line - (Midwife, Hospital, Urban area)

352

*"We work with community health volunteers on home visits and outreach or immunization or weighing or education. To get them to do what is in the protocols, we educate them in a way that will make them understand so they can do the work we want them to do. Sometimes it means using things available in the community to help them* 

357 *to understand.*" - (Principal Community Health Nurse, Health Centre, Urban area)

358

### 359 **Barriers to evidence use**

The findings suggest that there are inherent barriers to the use of evidence products by frontline MNCH/RCH staff in making daily practice decisions. These include client-related and health systems-related barriers which have been discussed in detail below.

363

#### 364 Client-related barriers

Client-related factors that constrain the ability of frontline MNCH/RCH staff to use evidence products in their practice decisions include socio-cultural (societal norms and belief systems) and economic factors (access to resources and education). According to respondents, societal beliefs and norms manifest in ways such as women needing permission from their husbands or in some instances fear of accepting to utilize services crucial to securing better health outcomes for them. The quote below suggests that societal norms (e.g., a husband's authority) are crucial to administering evidence-based life-saving interventions by frontline MNCH/RCH staff.

372

373	"Most people when they come, you can see that it's an emergency and you have to
374	quickly attend to them. Some women will tell you that unless my husband comes, I can't
375	open myself naked before you. This is normal because there are some religious
376	organizations and cultural beliefs that if you want to render the service if you want to
377	even touch a client's private part, the husband will have to be there to give consent. So,
378	in that case, maybe if a protocol is given, do this and do that, you will realize that you
379	will have to miss the first or second step"- (Public Health Nurse, Hospital - urban area).

380

Poverty and low levels of education among clients also play a key role in the ability of frontline MNCH/RCH staff to utilize evidence in daily clinical decisions. The respondents explained that poverty creates affordability challenges (especially for medicines and diagnostics) making some clients opt for alternatives other than what has been prescribed for them by the MNCH/RCHS staff as per the quotes below.

386

387 "The challenge we have is sometimes the women are not able to afford the laboratory
388 services like the lab test, the screening and the Pap Smear. They don't have the money
389 to do it. Most of them come and say "I don't have money, I will go and come" –
390 (Midwife, Hospital, Urban area).

Additionally, the respondents suggest that lower levels of education in the context of strong cultural beliefs manifest in fear and resistance to the adoption of evidence-based advice. For example, clients with non-communicable diseases like hypertension after receiving health education may not adhere to their medication regime, while in other cases, women may opt for family planning methods that may be detrimental to their health per available evidence. The quote from a public health nurse below explains this fact.

#### 397

398	"Sometimes the person is pregnant. You educate the person to eat those food items that
399	you the health worker think are nutritious and will help the baby. But that is the same
400	thing that the family, per their belief, thinks that a pregnant woman consuming such
401	food items will give birth to an abnormal baby. So, these women are scared to consume
402	such food items" - (Public Health Nurse, Hospital - Urban area)

403

404

#### 405 Health systems-related barriers

Several health system factors as discussed below were suggested by respondents as constituting
barriers to the use of evidence products by frontline MNCH/RCH staff.

408 Poor staff attitude towards the use of protocols - One of the health systems barriers indicated 409 by study participants was poor staff attitude towards the use of protocols in providing 410 MNCH/RCH services. Although health personnel have received training on the use of 411 protocols for routine clinical care, some do not follow the procedure in service provision. In a 412 hospital located in an urban area, one Senior Nursing Officer said:

413 "Although you've taught everybody to go through the protocol, people will still not use
414 it and will want to do whatever they want. They want to finish whatever they want to do
415 quickly, either they are in a hurry, or they think it's not necessary to go through the
416 protocol" - (Senior Nursing Officer, Hospital, Urban area).

417

*Inappropriate use of protocol* – This refers to cases where protocols are available in the facility
but may not be accessible to those who may need them for their work. A Senior specialist
Obstetrician and Gynecologist in an urban hospital pointed out:

421

422 "Sometimes, some of the protocols are available and may be sitting in somebody's
423 office who is not the direct user. A good example is the standard treatment guideline,
424 supposed to be in the consulting rooms. Some prescribers don't have it and are neither
425 trained on its use nor yet are supposed to use it. So that can be a barrier to its proper
426 use." - (Senior specialist Obstetrician and Gynecologist, Regional hospital, Urban
427 area).

428

**Resource constraints** - The use of evidence products to inform practice decisions requires resources. There are instances where protocols are made available without accompanying resources to make their use efficient and effective. This is not limited to urban facilities but also in rural settings. A Senior Specialist Obstetrician/Gynecologist emphasized:

433 "Some of those protocols may require change of working tools, equipment etc. I believe
434 that, well, we are trying but it can be better, it's not completely there sometimes" 435 (Senior Specialist Obstetrician and Gynecologist, Regional Hospital, Urban area).

436

Limited staff strength - Inadequate health personnel to provide MNCH/RCH services was also cited as a barrier to the use of evidence by frontline MNCH/RCH staff in both rural and urban facilities. According to them following protocols, guidelines and new evidence sometimes require not only time but also the requisite personnel. They argue that the challenge is even aggravated when they often work below the required staff norms in a time of increasing utilization. In this direction, a Public Health Nurse cited:

443 *"We are a big health facility with few staff. If you want to follow the protocols strictly,*444 *it takes time and the clients grumble. So, staffing is a problem " - (Public Health Nurse,*445 Hospital - urban area).

446

447 Conflict of MNCH/RCH protocols with other policies - Given the numerous protocols in 448 MNCH/RCH care, the study found that some protocols are in sharp contrast with other existing 449 healthcare policies. This puts service providers in a dilemma in the use of such evidence 450 products to provide MNCH/RCH services to clients. One Midwife in a rural CHPS compound 451 recounted:

452 "When somebody comes to deliver, our protocol says you should give antibiotics to
453 prevent any infection due to the issue of the placenta. If such a client comes with
454 insurance (NHIS card), the insurance policy says that the level of the facility, (i.e.,
455 CHPS zone) does not administer antibiotics. But, here in our CHPS zone too, people
456 come here to deliver. So, what do we do?" – (Midwife, CHPS compound - Rural area).

457 *Inadequate training* - Inadequate training was also cited as a barrier to the use of evidence in 458 MNCH/RCH service provision. This they argued makes it difficult for health personnel to 459 grasp the concepts in the protocols, guidelines etc. before their introduction. One study 460 participant reported:

461 "What I see as some of the barriers is inadequate training for health personnel to be
462 acquainted with protocols, guidelines etc. early enough before their introduction. Not
463 that they don't do the training, but the training should be as early as possible before
464 the introduction so that people can start using the protocol." – (Senior Specialist,
465 Obstetrician/Gynecologist, Hospital - urban area).

466

467 *Overconfidence* - Some study participants believe that some MNCH/RCH service providers
468 are over-confident given their familiarity with numerous protocols. This could also be a barrier.
469 One Midwife in an urban hospital said:

470 *"It may happen at different places, due to familiarity, they think they already know"* 471 (Midwife, Hospital, Urban area).

*Staff rotation* - Another health system-related barrier mentioned by participants is the periodic
rotation of health personnel from one unit to the other within the health facility. This becomes
a challenge, given that one needs time to go through the protocol and grasp it. A senior Nursing
Officer acknowledged:

476 "One of the challenges is periodic reallocation of health professionals from one unit to
477 the other. So, initially, when they come, it becomes a challenge for the child unit. You
478 need to take them through the protocol, and it takes time before they grasp it." - (Senior

Nursing Officer, Hospital, urban area).

480

479

Notwithstanding the barriers enumerated above, respondents were almost unanimous that they work hard to use evidence in their daily clinical decisions and that the quest for positive service outcomes, staff motivation or incentives, and in some instances the need to avoid unnecessary litigation constitute powerful incentives that keeps them persevering in the face of limitless constraints. This is well articulated in the quote from a respondent below.

486

487 "What motivates us is that if there is any problem and you know that you did what
488 you're expected to do and therefore the law would let go of you. But if you don't do it
489 and there is a problem, then you can be held accountable for it." - (Senior Nursing
490 Officer, Hospital, Urban area).

491

# 492 Selecting, tailoring and implementing interventions

The selection of evidence products (i.e., protocols guidelines etc.) is determined by the national 493 level and cascaded through the different levels of the health system, with relevant training and 494 logistics needed for implementation at the different levels. It is important to emphasize that 495 detailed plans for implementing such evidence products are prepared at the health facility level 496 in consultation with the community to ensure that implementation of the evidence product in 497 question will be smooth and acceptable to encourage uptake. Using family planning, for 498 example, participants narrated how new evidence products were selected, tailored and 499 implemented. They indicated that a particular evidence product may for instance come from a 500 501 Development Partner such as WHO or UNFPA and will first be adopted by GHS. During the adoption process, people with experience are tasked to look at the protocol and adapt it to suit 502 local use, taking into consideration the kind of health services provided at each level. At each 503 504 level of service provision, the protocol states what is expected to be done and the resources required. The adapted evidence product is then reproduced and disseminated in addition to 505 training for those who will be using the evidence product in service provision. The trainer of 506 507 trainer's approach is often used such that a few super users are trained at the regional level, who in turn train others in the districts, and cascade it to the health facility level. 508

509

After the training, the next level is the implementation of the evidence product. Participants 510 interviewed suggest that the process is normally preceded by a meeting of the personnel 511 512 supposed to be working with the evidence product. The meeting is normally to gather ideas that will make it relatively easy to implement the evidence product in a manner that works in 513 the health facility. Following the meeting, detailed plans are put in place including assigning 514 duties to health workers in the health facility. This is done in line with the objectives of the 515 facility as well as plans put in place to monitor outcomes of the implementation, such that 516 identified gaps can be identified and addressed. 517

#### 518

#### **Monitoring Use of Evidence** 519

520	The study found that varied mechanisms are employed in monitoring the use of evidence
521	products in MNCH/RCH service provision. These include the use of positive health outcomes,
522	observations, and meetings (weekly, quarterly and monthly), the use of assessment indicators,
523	either from clients' or health personnel's perspectives and the use of checklists for supervision.
524	Other strategies recounted by participants were on-the-job training, reports (daily/monthly
525	ward state), supervision (physical or supportive), mortality audit and research. Selected quotes
526	from respondents have been reproduced below to emphasize this assertion.
527	
528	"The diseases we are immunizing against we are doing surveillance on it. So, if less
529	cases being reported of those diseases then it means the immunization is working and
530	by extension, the protocol being used." - (Midwife, CHPS, Rural area).
531	
532	"We have a checklist, and the supervisors do both physical monitoring and supportive
533	supervision. So, when a protocol is introduced, you go from time to time to check
534	whether staff are following it. This is done through some form of on-the-job training to
535	ensure that they use it appropriately." - (Senior Specialist, Obstetrician/Gynecologist,
536	Hospital - Urban area).

537

"We have our daily wards state every morning that helps us to know whether we are 538 making progress or not. For instance, if there is a mortality incidence, we will carry 539 out an audit to find out what really went wrong. Was it our fault or was it the fault of 540 the mother or something really went wrong? In such audits, we are thorough and also 541

take note of where we went wrong ... and then, next time we are cautious about it. "(Senior Nursing Officer, Hospital - Urban area).

544

#### 545 Sustaining Knowledge (Evidence Products) Use

The study revealed that several approaches were used to sustain evidence of products use in MNCH/RCH service provision. Three themes emerged and these were empowerment, consultation and staff cooperation.

549

*Empowerment* - Study participants identified empowerment (teaching, sharing of ideas,
training and continuous practice) as one of the key components of sustaining the use of
evidence products in the provision of MNCH/RCH services. On the issue of teaching, a
Principal Community Health Nurse in a rural health center had this to say:

554

555 *"As I said, we go to the workshop, we learn it and come back and then teach it."*556 (Principal Community Health Nurse, Health Centre, Rural area).

557

Recounting her experience on sharing of ideas, an Enrolled Nurse in an urban health centerechoed:

*"When they go for workshop, when they come, we all sit down, and we share their ideas. So, the next time you also get a patient, you will also follow the same procedure, since it's in line with the protocol that we have here*" - (Enrolled Nurse, Health Centre,
Urban area).

565 Shedding more light on continuous practice as a way of sustaining the use of evidence, a 566 Principal Nursing Officer in an urban hospital averred:

567 "With time, practice makes perfect. If we are doing it gradually, we'll get there. But if
568 you are not using it at all, you wouldn't know what it even entails, and you may forget
569 some of the things in the protocol. So, I think continuous practice helps." – (Principal
570 Nursing Officer, Hospital, Urban area).

571

572 *Consultation* - Another key factor that helps to sustain the use of evidence products in 573 MNCH/RCH service provision cited by participants is consultation. The study established that 574 consultation is done through weekly, monthly or quarterly meetings or workshops where issues 575 are discussed, and knowledge is impacted through consensus building devoid of imposition. 576 Sharing her experience in meetings, a Public Health Nurse in an urban hospital said:

577 "When there is an emergency issue to be discussed, we call them. We also have 578 scheduled meetings, like quarterly or monthly meetings. Then we discuss the issues. We 579 don't impose anything on anyone. We all share our knowledge on how and ways to 580 improve." – (Public Health Nurse, Hospital, Urban area).

581

Staff cooperation - The study identified cooperation of health personnel through teamwork, good relationships and firmness as a strategy to sustain evidence products use in MNCH/RCH service provision. Participants argued that good relationship and teamwork creates the environment for sharing acquired knowledge/ideas and experiences among frontline staff. This sustains the use of evidence products in providing services. Again, being firm by insisting that frontline staff do the right thing, ensures protocol adherence and strengthens knowledge use in MNCH/RCH service provision. Participants said:

Sometimes we come together and talk about issues that constitute a common
challenge. For example, someone goes to a workshop on preeclampsia, she will discuss
what she learnt with those of us not at the workshop so that we will also learn some of
what she learnt. If she also has anything new, she will discuss with us so that we will
all know and do the work together. " - (Midwife, Health Centre, Urban area).

595 The quote below from a nutrition officer from an urban hospital also emphasizes the issue of 596 insisting that frontline staff make it their duty to do the right things first.

597 "In fact, I try to be very firm. So, you have to be firm. You will sometimes be insulted,

598 but once the insult will not kill someone's child, I'm ok. If you insult me and the right

thing is done and the child is ok, my heart is happy" - (Nutrition Officer, Hospital,
Urban area).

601

602

#### 603 Discussion

The paper examined the nature of evidence products used by the frontline MNCH/RCH staff 604 for practice decisions, their importance and the processes that facilitate their use. From the 605 findings, evidence products in use cover a wide range of areas (family planning, postnatal, 606 nutrition, antenatal, neonatal, adolescent health etc.) and were obtained from sources such as 607 608 training, workshops, periodic facility-based meetings, schools, colleagues workers, online resources, development partners and parent organizations such as the Ghana Health Service 609 and District Health Directorates. More importantly, frontline MNCH/RCH staff see the use of 610 evidence products in practice decisions as essential not only for service providers but also for 611 service users. Although there is no evidence to suggest that frontline MNCH/RCH staff follow 612 the action cycle phase of the KTA framework in the same sequence as prescribed in theory, the 613

findings nonetheless, suggest that it constitutes a relevant theoretical model for understanding
processes that facilitate the use of evidence products by frontline MNCH/RCH staff to inform
practice decisions.

617

From the findings, frontline MNCH/RCH staff have put in place processes (evaluation of 618 services, resources and feedback) to identify gaps that need to be addressed as suggested in the 619 literature(7.20). These findings also indicate that frontline MNCH/RCH staff in the health 620 facilities studied have adopted mechanisms to adapt evidence products and make them relevant 621 622 to their context as prescribed in the KTA framework and other studies (21–23). The findings however suggest that such adaptions may not be straightforward as argued in theory (24). 623 Depending on the context, there may be resources as well as administrative and community-624 level political implications for such adaptations. Thus, depending on the capacity of the health 625 facility to navigate these constraints, such adaptations could be extremely difficult. This to 626 some extent may reflect the caution by Fervers et al. (24) that adaption of evidence products to 627 local context can result in a deviation of practice from the original evidence. 628

629

Additionally, the existing literature suggests that barriers to the use of evidence products 630 emanate mostly from organisational systems (25,28,29). For example, barriers have been 631 operationalized to reflect knowledge and attitudes (25), organizational support and 632 organizational change (27), personal attitudes, availability of resources and knowledge and 633 skills (28,29). Consistent with these studies, the findings suggest that health system barriers 634 such as poor staff attitudes, resource constraints, limited staff numbers and skills, conflicting 635 policies and inadequate training, constrain the use of evidence products by frontline 636 MNCH/RC staff in their practice decisions. More importantly, the findings suggest that besides 637 health system factors, there are client-level factors such as societal norms and belief systems, 638

639 poverty and education that strongly constrain the ability of frontline MNCH/RCH staff to 640 deploy evidence-based practice. These findings can be crucial in informing the way 641 interventions are deployed, knowing that strengthening health systems alone may not be 642 enough to improve the use of evidence products by frontline health personnel.

643

Also, the findings of the study suggest that the selection and tailoring of evidence products are 644 done mainly at higher levels of the administrative structure and that it is the implementation 645 that is amenable to local-level participation and changes. This to some extent is different from 646 647 the KTA framework, which argues that due to variation in context, it is appropriate not only to select but also to tailor interventions to suit local conditions to limit barriers to implementation 648 (30). On this basis, one will expect that the evidence products selection process will reflect 649 substantial input from those at the lower levels who have a better understanding of the context. 650 This will ensure that both the selection and tailoring reflect local conditions to reduce to the 651 652 barest minimum possible implementation barriers. There are several examples in the policy implementation literature where the need for substantial collaboration with all stakeholders 653 especially those at the bottom shas been advocated as a strategy for improving the 654 implementation of policies (31–34). 655

Theoretically, the essence of monitoring is to determine whether a change has taken place as a 656 result of the use of evidence products, and if so, the extent of the change and the factors 657 accounting for the change. Consistent with the KTA framework, the findings suggest that 658 frontline MNCH/RCH staff deploy different mechanisms (health outcomes, observations, 659 periodic meetings, use of assessment indicators either from clients or health personnel's 660 perspectives, and the use of checklists for supervision etc.) to monitor the use of evidence 661 products in practice decisions. Most importantly, the findings suggest that the monitoring 662 system as per the requirement of the KTA framework makes it possible for evidence product 663

users to identify the extent of change occasioned by the use of evidence products. What is 664 however not clear from the findings is whether the system deployed systematically collects 665 data to help frontline MNCH/RCH staff to know the extent of change if any, and the factors 666 responsible for same. For example, to what extent does the change fulfil the requirements of 667 acceptability, appropriateness, fidelity, cost-effectiveness, penetration and sustainability as 668 advocated for in the literature (35,36)?. Also, the findings do not suggest that in practice, 669 evaluation is designed to specifically measure structural, process and outcome variation as 670 articulated in the KTA framework. This constitutes a weakness in the evaluation mechanism 671 672 deployed by the health facilities studied, given that any targeted intervention to address adverse outcomes may be difficult, if not impossible. 673

Finally, empowerment (ideas sharing, teaching, continuous practice), consultation (meetings, 674 workshops, consensus building) and staff cooperation (teamwork, relationship building, 675 firmness) approaches used by frontline MNCH/RCH staff to ensure sustainable use of evidence 676 677 products in practice decisions is similar to the parameters of the original model by Graham et al., (18) as well as other applications of the original model (7,21,22). These models emphasised 678 parameters (perceived benefits and risks, relevance, leadership, policy integration, resources 679 680 and politics) that can help in scaling up knowledge use. Unlike empowerment, consultation and staff cooperation, the parameters emphasised by Graham et al., (18) are complex and may 681 not be easily appreciated by practitioners and policymakers. Thus, it can be argued that the 682 current study findings do not only offer a better operationalisation of existing frameworks for 683 ensuring sustainable use of evidence products but also make them easy to appreciate and use, 684 685 especially by practitioners and policymakers. For example, advocating for ideas sharing, teaching, continuous practice, consensus relationship building and firmness as a strategy to 686 sustaining the use of evidence products among frontline health staff is straightforward to 687

identify with, compared with terms such as relevance, leadership, policy integration, resourcesand politics.

#### 690 Conclusion

The study findings suggest that the use of evidence products is a pathway to improving maternal and child health outcomes. Thus, putting systems in place to strengthen the use of evidence products, especially at the health facility level is expected to not only consolidate the gains made over the last two decades but can also constitute a platform for seeking further improvements in outcomes.

The study findings also make clear the need to address challenges within the knowledge utilisation process and thus, provide clear adaptation guidance to prevent difficulties that arise from the complexity of the process. There is also the need to ensure that the process for selecting and tailoring knowledge is broad-based and benefits from substantial inputs from the lower levels to enhance relevance and adoption. Additionally, there will be the need to improve the monitoring framework to enhance acceptability, appropriateness, fidelity, penetration, costeffectiveness and sustainability as advocated for by the KTA framework.

In addition, the study better operationalizes the KTA requirement for sustainability. For 703 example, using concepts such as empowerment (ideas sharing, teaching, continuous practice), 704 consultation (meetings, workshops, consensus building) and cooperation (teamwork, 705 relationship building, firmness) simplifies the concept of knowledge sustainability and makes 706 it easy for adoption and implementation, especially at the frontline. Besides the normal health 707 systems factors that have been the focus of the knowledge translation and utilisation, individual 708 (client) level factors are equally essential in addressing barriers to the utilisation of evidence 709 products especially at the health facility level. Thus, interventions aimed at scaling up the use 710 of evidence products in practice decisions by frontline staff should not only be aimed at 711

712	addressing health systems challenges but also challenges at the individual level. In this
713	direction, interventions that rely on strong education to navigate societal norms and beliefs that
714	inhibit the uptake of evidence-based care by clients will be essential in improving the use of
715	evidence products to inform practice decisions by frontline MNCH/RCH staff.
716	
717	
718	
719	
720	
721	
722	
723	
724	
725	
726	
727	
728	
729	
730	
731	
732	
733	
734	
735	
736	

## 737 Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the immense support of WAHO in carrying out the study and the
report from which this paper was developed. We are also grateful to all who participated in this
study.

#### 741 Author Contributions

- 742 Conceptualization: GA, JE, SI, Data curation: GA, DO, RO Formal analysis: GA, DO, AAAB,
- Funding Acquisition: GA, Investigation: GA, DO, AAAB, SI, JE, Methodology: GA, DO, JE,
- 744 SI, AAAB, RO. Project Administration: GA, RO Supervision: GA, Validation: GA, RO,
- 745 Writing original draft preparation: GA, RO, DO, Writing- review & editing: GA, RO, DO,
- 746 JE, SI, AAAB

- 748 References
- 7491.Nations U. Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.
- 750 . Nations U, editor. UN Publishing. New York2015.
- 751 2. (GSS) GSS, (GHS) GHS. Ghana Maternal Health Survey 2017. Accra, Ghana: Ghana
  752 Statistical Service, Ghana Health Service ; 2018.
- Adu J. How do we improve maternal and child health outcomes in Ghana? Int J Health
  Plann Manage. 2023; doi: 10.1002/hpm.3639
- 4. Alqahtani JM, Carsula RP, Alharbi HA, Alyousef SM, Baker OG, Tumala RB.
- Barriers to implementing evidence-based practice among primary healthcare nurses in
- 757 Saudi Arabia: A cross-sectional Study. Nurs Reports. 2022;12(2):313–23.
- Dagne AH, Beshah MH. Implementation of evidence-based practice: The experience
  of nurses and midwives. PLoS One. 2021;16(8):e0256600.

760	6.	Pitsillidou M, Roupa Z, Farmakas A, Noula M. Factors affecting the application and
761		implementation of evidence-based practice in nursing. Acta Inform Medica.
762		2021;29(4):281.
763	7.	Russell DJ, Rivard LM, Walter SD, Rosenbaum PL, Roxborough L, Cameron D, et al.
764		Using knowledge brokers to facilitate the uptake of pediatric measurement tools into
765		clinical practice: a before-after intervention study. Implement Sci. 2010;5:1–17.
766	8.	Gagliardi AR, Brouwers MC, Palda VA, Lemieux-Charles L, Grimshaw JM. An
767		exploration of how guideline developer capacity and guideline implementability
768		influence implementation and adoption: study protocol. Implement Sci. 2009;4(1):1-6.
769	9.	Grimshaw J, Thomas R, MacLennan G, Fraser C, Ramsay CR, Vale L, et al.
770		Effectiveness and efficiency of guideline dissemination and implementation strategies.
771		2004;
772	10.	Brown LC, Johnson JA, Majumdar SR, Tsuyuki RT, McAlister FA. Evidence of
773		suboptimal management of cardiovascular risk in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus
774		and symptomatic atherosclerosis. Cmaj. 2004;171(10):1189-92.
775	11.	Kendall E, Sunderland N, Muenchberger H, Armstrong K. When guidelines need
776		guidance: considerations and strategies for improving the adoption of chronic disease
777		evidence by general practitioners. J Eval Clin Pract. 2009;15(6):1082-90.
778	12.	Dopson SUE, Fitzgerald L. The role of the middle manager in the implementation of
779		evidence-based health care. J Nurs Manag. 2006;14(1):43-51.
780	13.	McGlynn EA, Asch SM, Adams J, Keesey J, Hicks J, DeCristofaro A, et al. The
781		quality of health care delivered to adults in the United States. N Engl J Med.

783	14.	Rowe AK, De Savigny D, Lanata CF, Victora CG. How can we achieve and maintain
784		high-quality performance of health workers in low-resource settings? Lancet.
785		2005;366(9490):1026–35.
786	15.	Oduro-Mensah E, Kwamie A, Antwi E, Amissah Bamfo S, Bainson HM, Marfo B, et
787		al. Care decision making of frontline providers of maternal and newborn health
788		services in the greater Accra region of Ghana. PLoS One. 2013;8(2):e55610.
789	16.	Owusu-Addo E, Cross R, Sarfo-Mensah P. Evidence-based practice in local public
790		health service in Ghana. Crit Public Health. 2017;27(1):125–38.
791	17.	Abekah-Nkrumah G, Ottie-Boakye D, Ermel J, Issiaka S. Determinants of evidence
792		use by frontline maternal, newborn and child health staff in selected health facilities in
793		Ghana. Heal Res Policy Syst. 2022;20(1):77.
794	18.	Graham ID, Logan J, Harrison MB, Straus SE, Tetroe J, Caswell W, et al. Lost in
795		knowledge translation: time for a map? J Contin Educ Health Prof. 2006;26(1):13–24.
796	19.	Sibley KM, Salbach NM. Applying knowledge translation theory to physical therapy
797		research and practice in balance and gait assessment: case report. Phys Ther.
798		2015;95(4):579–87.
799	20.	Tugwell PS, Santesso NA, O'Connor AM, Wilson AJ, Group ECI. Knowledge
800		translation for effective consumers. Phys Ther. 2007;87(12):1728–38.
801	21.	Molfenter SM, Ammoury A, Yeates EM, Steele CM. Decreasing the knowledge-to-
802		action gap through research-clinical partnerships in speech-language pathology. 2009;
803	22.	Hua D, Carter S, Bellerive J, Allu SO, Reid D, Tremblay G, et al. Bridging the gap:
804		innovative knowledge translation and the Canadian hypertension education program.
805		Can J Cardiol. 2012;28(3):258–61.

- 23. Stacey D, Higuchi KAS, Menard P, Davies B, Graham ID, O'Connor AM. Integrating
- 807 patient decision support in an undergraduate nursing curriculum: an implementation
- 808 project. Int J Nurs Educ Scholarsh. 2009;6(1).
- 809 24. Fervers B, Burgers JS, Voellinger R, Brouwers M, Browman GP, Graham ID, et al.
- Guideline adaptation: an approach to enhance efficiency in guideline development and
  improve utilisation. BMJ Qual Saf. 2011;20(3):228–36.
- 812 25. Majid S, Foo S, Luyt B, Zhang X, Theng Y-L, Chang Y-K, et al. Adopting evidence-
- based practice in clinical decision making: nurses' perceptions, knowledge, and
- barriers. J Med Libr Assoc JMLA. 2011;99(3):229.
- 815 26. Humphries S, Stafinski T, Mumtaz Z, Menon D. Barriers and facilitators to evidence-
- use in program management: a systematic review of the literature. BMC Health Serv
  Res. 2014;14(1):1–15.
- Jordan P, Bowers C, Morton D. Barriers to implementing evidence-based practice in a
  private intensive care unit in the Eastern Cape. South African J Crit Care.
  2016;32(2):50–4.
- 821 28. Heiwe S, Kajermo KN, Tyni-Lenné R, Guidetti S, Samuelsson M, Andersson I-L, et
  822 al. Evidence-based practice: attitudes, knowledge and behaviour among allied health
  823 care professionals. Int J Qual Heal Care. 2011;23(2):198–209.
- Rice K, Hwang J, Abrefa-Gyan T, Powell K. Evidence-based practice questionnaire: A
  confirmatory factor analysis in a social work sample. Adv Soc Work. 2010;11(2):158–
  73.
- 30. Crockett L. The Knowledge –to Action Framework. 2017. Available from:
- 828 https://medium.com/knowledgenudge/kt-101-the-knowledge-to-action-framework-

#### 829 7fbe399723e8

830	31.	Koontz TM, Newig J. From planning to implementation: Top-down and bottom-up
831		approaches for collaborative watershed management. Policy Stud J. 2014;42(3):416-
832		42.
833	32.	Ogunlayi F, Britton P. Achieving a 'top-down'change agenda by driving and
834		supporting a collaborative 'bottom-up'process: case study of a large-scale enhanced
835		recovery programme. BMJ open Qual. 2017;6(2):e000008.
836	33.	Panisset U, Koehlmoos TP, Alkhatib AH, Pantoja T, Singh P, Kengey-Kayondo J, et
837		al. Implementation research evidence uptake and use for policy-making. Heal Res
838		Policy Syst. 2012;10:1–7.
839	34.	Uvhagen H, Hasson H, Hansson J, von Knorring M. Leading top-down
840		implementation processes: a qualitative study on the role of managers. BMC Health
841		Serv Res. 2018;18:1–9.
842	35.	Nilsen P. Making sense of implementation theories, models, and frameworks.
843		Implement Sci 30. 2020;53–79.
844	36.	Proctor E, Silmere H, Raghavan R, Hovmand P, Aarons G, Bunger A, et al. Outcomes
845		for implementation research: conceptual distinctions, measurement challenges, and
846		research agenda. Adm policy Ment Heal Ment Heal Serv Res. 2011;38:65-76.
847		
848		
849		
850		



# Figure 1