

Coping skills, depression, and anxiety in medical students.

Sergio Baldassin¹, Mônica Levit Zilbermann², Tania Correa de Toledo Ferraz Alves², Anderson Sousa Martins-da-Silva², João Maurício Castaldelli-Maia^{2,3}, Luiz Antonio Nogueira-Martins⁴

¹ Assistant Professor of Department of Neuroscience, Medical School of Centro Universitario FMABC, Brazil. Member of the Accreditation Commission of the Brazilian Accreditation System of Medical Schools - SAEME. Coordinator of the National Forum of Support Services for Medical Students - FORSA. Coordinator of the Mental Health Care Commission of the Physician of the Brazilian Psychiatric Association - ABP.

² Department of Psychiatry, University of Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil.

³ Department of Neuroscience, Medical School of Centro Universitario FMABC, Sao Paulo, Brazil.

⁴ Departments of Psychiatry and Medical Psychology, Federal University of São Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil.

Corresponding Author

Sergio Baldassin

E-mail: sergio.baldassin@fmabc.net

Abstract

Objective: to describe coping strategies during medical education and their relationship with course periods, with gender, and depressive and anxiety range symptoms.

Method: the Ways of Coping Questionnaire (WCQ), the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI), and the Spielberger Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI-T) were administered to 599 medical students from basic, intermediate and internship periods.

Results: The response rate was 80.3% (279 females and 190 males aged 21.9 ± 2.4 years). Belong to internship or basic/intermediate levels make a significant difference in choosing coping factors. Female students reported greater use of factors indicating a need for social support and fantasy. In contrast, male students reported greater use of factors such as substance use and self-control.

Twenty percent of students scored high on anxiety (STAI-T>49), whereas 7.6% scored on the depression range (>20) and 8.0% on the dysphoric range (16-20) of the BDI. Students at the normal range at the BDI and the STAI-T reported greater use of factors such as of social support and problem solving. The factor analysis of the WCQ showed that dysphoric, depressive, and high anxiety students reported greater use of factors indicating fantasy, search of relief and escape.

Conclusion: Dysphoria, depressive, and highly anxious medical students choose similar strategies to deal with stress related to medical graduation. Women and men exhibit distinct coping strategies related to medical education and training. Support and counseling services for medical students should take into consideration these depressive and anxiety ranges, and gender differences in coping strategies, with potentially important preventive and therapeutic implications.

Keywords: students, medical; adaptation, psychological; education, medical, undergraduate, depression, anxiety

Introduction

Several studies have shown that medical students are particularly at risk for experiencing distress in association with the educational process itself.(1-3).(4) Stress-related problems among medical students are a matter of concern as they may impact medical training and future technical ability (5)

Systematic review of literature suggests that depression, anxiety, and burnout are frequent, (6) (7) however there were few studies exploring specially how and when the medical student choose among several coping strategies during their under-graduation program.

Curriculum contents,(8) hospital places to practice,(9) teachers' attitudes(10, 11) and other factors(12) may increase anxiety and depressive symptoms thus affecting academic performance(13) and inducing dysfunctional coping(14-17) or other factors. The models (teachers and tutors)(18-20) the healthy handling of the difficulties(21, 22) with doctor-patients relationship and the perception of the own distress are important to learning and keep emotional healthy skills.(23-26)

General health,(27) sleep time,(28) quality of life, years of study at medical school(29) may increase distress and the present study aims to explore coping strategies during medical under graduation and their relationship to periods of course and depressive and anxiety symptoms.

There is evidence, also, suggesting that depressive and anxiety symptoms are significantly more frequent in females' medical students as compared to males(7, 30-34). Particularly among first-year medical students, no initial differences in psychological adjustment between genders were noted, but by mid-year, female students had already developed more psychiatric symptoms, and were more dissatisfied with life.(31) It can be argued that stressors may affect women in specific ways, e.g., the female student may have difficulties reconciling the various expected roles (professional and personal) in addition to dealing with colleagues' and instructors' hostility in connection with their career choice as evidenced by remarks and jokes directed both towards them and female patients.

Nonetheless, there is evidence that female students are three times more likely to seek psychological support services and are also more able to recognize symptoms of stress than their male counterparts.(35)

Although it is acknowledged that gender might have an impact in the way medical students react to medical education(33, 36, 37) there is little research(38) exploring potential gender differences in coping strategies among medical students.

The present study aims to explore gender differences in coping during medical under graduation.

Method

Study Population

The target study population consisted of all 599 medical students (366 females; 61.1% and 233 males; 38.9%) regularly enrolled in a private Medical School..

The course was divided in three periods as usual in many countries(44): basic (1st and 2nd years), intermediate (3rd and 4th years) and internship (5th and 6th years).

Procedure

The research protocol was approved by the institutional ethics committee(protocol number 026/2000). The research aims were explained to all regularly registered medical students present in the classrooms on the day of the survey (no prior announcement was made) who were then invited to participate. Those who agreed to participate signed the appropriate informed consent. Confidentiality was strictly ensured; the questionnaire was anonymous (only gender and age were indicated). No refusals occurred. In addition, subjects were provided with directions for accessing the psychological support service within the campus.

Tools

Ways of Coping

A validate version of the Ways of Coping Questionnaire (WCQ) by Folkman and Lazarus (1985)(39) was used. The WCQ is self-administered 66-item measure, with responses ranging from 0 (=No) to 3 (=Extremely), that assesses attitudes and thoughts(40) to coping. For the present study, nine items were added to evaluate other attitudes commonly found in depressed and anxious subjects as follows: 67 - I used alcohol beverages, 68 - I used drugs,(41) 69 - I used tranquilizer,(42) 70 - I smoked cigarettes, 71 - I used vitamins; 72 - I used analgesics; 73 - I thought I would die; 74 - I tried to commit suicide,(43) and 75 - I thought I would withdraw from the medical course.

Depressive symptoms

Assessed using a validate version(45) of the Beck Depression Inventory (internal consistency in agreement with the literature: 0.81 for non-depressed subjects and 0.88 for depressed patients) with these cut-off points: normality (0-15), disphoric (16-20), depressive (>20).

Anxiety symptoms

Assessed using a validate version of Spielberger Trait Anxiety Inventory(45, 46) (STAI-T) with these cut-off points: mild anxiety (<33), moderate (33-49) and high (>49) were used too.

Statistical analysis

Given the high number of items (75 with 4 alternatives each), a factor analysis of principal components using VARIMAX rotations of the Ways of Coping Questionnaire was conducted to yield fewer variables that could potentially explain the remaining factors.

To find possible relationship between multiple variables was used a classification tree method with minimal 100 cases before any division and not allowing <50 cases by groups.

A Student's t-test was performed to compare factors resulting from the factor analysis between males and females. The non-parametric Mann-Whitney test was performed for factors that were outside the normal range.

A significance level of 5% was adopted for all tests.

Results

The response rate was 80.3% (n = 481). Two hundred and seventy-nine female students (58.0%) and 190 male students (39.5%) completed the survey. Gender was not identified in 12 questionnaires (2.5%). The mean age was not significantly different between genders (22.0 ± 2.6 years for females and 21.9 ± 2.1 years for males; $p = .303$).

The absented 125 (19.7%) students were 50% women. 4.7% (83.3% male) of the first year, 29.7% of second year (73.7% male), 24.2% of third (67.7% female), 7% of fourth (88.9% female), 21.9% of fifth (53.3% female), and 12.5% of sixth (56.3% female). The comparison between absentees and respondents regarding to gender ($p = 0.192$) and age range < 20 years old and > 20 years old ($p = 0.281$) were not statistically significant.

Coping factors

The factor analysis of the WCQ produced 10 factors as follows:

Factor 1 – Fantasy (55-Wish that I can change what is happening or how I feel; 57-I daydream or imagine a better time or place than the one I am in; 58-Wish

that the situation would go away or somehow be over with; 59-Have fantasies or wishes about how things might turn out.)

Factor 2 – Problem solving (26-I'm developing an action plan and following it; 49-I know what has to be done, so I am doubling my efforts to make things work; 46-I stand my ground and fight for what I want.)

Factor 3 – Seeking social support (8-Talk to someone to find out more about the situation; 42-Ask a relative or friend I respect for advice; 45-Talk to someone about how I'm feeling.)

Factor 4 – Use of substances (67-I drank alcoholic beverages; 68-I used drugs; 70-I smoked cigarettes.)

Factor 5 – Comparison (63-I thought about how a person I admire would handle this situation and used that as a model; 64-I tried to see things from the other persons' point of view; 65-I reminded myself how much worse things could be.)

Factor 6 – Search of relief (22-I got professional help; 69-I used tranquilizers.)

Factor 7 – Escape (40-I avoided being with people in general; 47-Took it out on other people; 75-I thought of changing schools.)

Factor 8 – Denial (41-I didn't let it get to me; refused to think too much about it; 44-Made light of the situation; refused to get too serious about it.)

Factor 9 – Self-control (54-I tried to keep my feelings from interfering with other things too much; 35-I tried not to act too hastily or follow my first hunch.)

Factor 10 - Acceptance (12-I went along with fate; sometimes I just have bad luck; 53-I accepted it, since nothing could be done.)

Throughout the course there is a difference in the use of the ten strategies of coping, problem solving was the most prevalent during basic, self control during intermediate and denial during internship.

Table 1 shows self-worth values and percentages of explained variance for these 10 factors. The final model was able to explain 61% of the total variance.

Frequency of use of specific factors varied significantly by STAI-T and BDI scores (Tables 2 and 3). Problem solving and seeking of social support were the most reported coping strategies among students at normal BDI ranges, whereas for disphoric, depressive and high anxiety students the most common strategies were fantasy, search for relief, and escape.

Search of relief ($p<0.001$), escape ($p=0.0207$), denial ($p<0.001$), and acceptance ($p=0.353$) growing significantly from basic period to internship period (Table 2).

Table 4 shows self-worth values and percentages of explained variance for these 10 factors. The final model was able to explain 61% of the total variance.

Frequency of use of specific factors varied significantly by gender (Table 5).

Fantasy and seeking of social support were the most reported coping strategies among female students, whereas for males the most common strategies were use of substances and attempts at self-control. Female and male students differed significantly regarding their use of fantasy, search of social support and use of substances (fantasy: $p < .01$; search of social support, use of substances: $p < .001$).

The gender difference for the use of self-control strategies was non-significant ($p = .149$).

Depression scores

Thirty-five subjects (7.6%) scored in the depression range in the BDI (mean score = 27.4 ± 8.6). Another 37 (8.0%) scored in the dysphoric range (mean score = 17.8 ± 1.6). The remaining 388 (84.4%) subjects scored in the normal range (mean score = 6.6 ± 4.1). These groups showed significant differences ($P < 0.001$).

Anxiety scores

Eighty-nine (20.0%) subjects scored in the high anxiety range of the STAI (mean scored= 45.3 ± 4.7). Another 355 (79.8%) subjects scored in the moderate range (mean score= 43.6 ± 3.3) and only one subject (0.2%) scored in the low range with 32.0 points. These groups showed significant differences ($P < 0.001$).

Periods of Course

Using the classification trees, the method initially divides students into: node 1] basic/intermediate periods and node 2] internship period, who have distinct distributions of students ($-2_{\text{obs}} = 20.59$; $p\text{-value} < 0.001$).

For students of the basic/intermediate periods (node 1), the **factor 7 scape** were the most important variable ($-2_{\text{obs}} = 25.00$; $p\text{-value} = 0.006$) generating three groups respectively with **factor values 7 scape**: node 3] less than or equal to 0.10462, node 4] between 0.10642 and 1.37459, and node 5] above 1.37459, constituted by 89.5% of the students in the normal BDI range, 5.7% in the dysphoria range and 4.8% in the depression range.

For the students of the internship period (node 2), ways of coping **factor 9 self-control** were the most important variable ($-2_{\text{obs}} = 12.08$; $p\text{-value} = 0.045$) generating two groups, respectively: node 6] with values of **factor 9 self-control** less than or equal to 0.52682, and node 7] above 0.52682, constituted by 73.1% in

the normal **BDI** range, 13.1% in the dysphoria range and 13.8% in the depression range.

Thus, the decision tree indicated the formation of five distinct groups of students regarding **BDI**. The student's course period was the most important variable to discriminate students according to the **BDI**, and the students at the internship were more dysphoric and depressed.

The students of the internship with values, in the **factor 9 self-control**, above 0.52682 (node 7) presented the highest percentage of dysphoric (23.3%) and 6.7% in the depression range. The group with values lower than or equal to 0.52682 (node 6) presented 5.9% in the dysphoria range, and 18.8% of students in the depression range.

The group of the basic/intermediate periods with values in the **factor 7 scape** above 1.37459 (node 5) presented 13.8% of students in the depression range. The group with values of **factor 7 scape** between 0.10642 and 1.37459 (node 4) presented the second highest percentage of students in the normal range for **BDI** (86.2%).

Finally, the group of students from the basic/intermediate periods with values in coping **factor 7 scape** lower than or equal 0.10642 (node 3) presented the highest

percentage of students in the normal range (96.0%) of the **BDI** and the lowest percentages of dysphoria (2.3%) and depression (1.7%).

For **STAI-T** ranges (Figure 2) the classification tree showed significant association ($\chi^2_{\text{obs}} = 25.352$; $df=2$; $p \text{ value} < 0.001$) only for coping **factor 1 fantasy** generating two groups: node 1: scores ≤ -0.313 , node 2: scores > -0.3

Discussion

The response rate obtained in this survey can be considered high in comparison with other studies with medical students.(6) Another strength of this survey was the ability to evaluate students in all six years of medical graduation.

Limitations of this study include the loss of data from absent students, anonymous and self-application questionnaires, and a small sample. It is possible that absence from classroom itself is a way of coping.

The factor analysis of the WCQ yielded 10 distinct factors similarly to those obtained by Savóia et al.(39) Some of these factors encompass strategies that are probably more functional (e.g. problem-solving, search of social support, comparison) than others that could be considered somewhat dysfunctional (e.g. fantasy, use of substances, escape, denial), while some of them may be doubtfully functional (e.g. search of relief, attempts at self-control, acceptance).

In this sense, our results suggest that in this sample of students at the normal range for BDI and low and moderate range for STAI-T the most frequent coping strategies are a combination of functional factors (solving problems and search of social support), whereas dysphoria, depressive, and high anxiety students used more dysfunctional (fantasy and escape) and doubtfully functional (search of relief) strategies. From the basic/intermediate periods to the internship period, there was a three times increase in depressive symptoms and 2.5 times for dysphoria.

Regarding gender, the most frequent coping strategy is a combination of a functional factor (search of social support) with a dysfunctional one (fantasy) for female students, while male students' strategy combines both a dysfunctional factor (use of substances) and one of doubtful functionality (self-control).

The fact that female students make more use "search of social support" may indicate their being more likely to seek psychiatric and psychological consultation than male students, as reported by other authors.(3, 47) On the other hand, the use of substances is a major coping strategy by male students(48, 49) being of particular concern, since this may mask emotional distress, thereby delaying appropriate assessment and treatment.

Conclusion

These results indicate that emotional state (depressive and anxiety symptoms), gender, and periods of course need to be considered in developing preventive and support techniques (educators, teachers, psychologists, counselors, social workers, and pedagogues) to stimulate healthy coping among medical students. Future research should explore the development of specific approaches to enhance the balanced use of functional coping strategies.

Funding and Support

None.

Acknowledgement

We are grateful to the comments carried out by prof. Jair Mari (Federal University of Sao Paulo).

References

1. Radcliffe C, Lester H. Perceived stress during undergraduate medical training: a qualitative study. *Med Educ.* 2003;37(1):32-8.
2. Peterlini M, Tiberio IF, Saadeh A, Pereira JC, Martins MA. Anxiety and depression in the first year of medical residency training. *Med Educ.* 2002;36(1):66-72.
3. Nogueira-Martins LA, Fagnani Neto R, Macedo PC, Citero VA, Mari JJ. The mental health of graduate students at the Federal University of Sao Paulo: a preliminary report. *Braz J Med Biol Res.* 2004;37(10):1519-24.
4. Dyrbye LN, Thomas MR, Shanafelt TD. Medical student distress: causes, consequences, and proposed solutions. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2005;80(12):1613-22.
5. Guthrie EA, Black D, Shaw CM, Hamilton J, Creed FH, Tomenson B. Embarking upon a medical career: psychological morbidity in first year medical students. *Med Educ.* 1995;29(5):337-41.
6. Shapiro SL, Shapiro DE, Schwartz GE. Stress management in medical education: a review of the literature. *Acad Med.* 2000;75(7):748-59.
7. Dyrbye LN, Thomas MR, Shanafelt TD. Systematic review of depression, anxiety, and other indicators of psychological distress among U.S. and Canadian medical students. *Acad Med.* 2006;81(4):354-73.
8. Smith CK, Peterson DF, Degenhardt BF, Johnson JC. Depression, anxiety, and perceived hassles among entering medical students. *Psychol Health Med.* 2007;12(1):31-9.
9. Hoat LN, Son NM, Wright EP. Perceptions of graduating students from eight medical schools in Vietnam on acquisition of key skills identified by teachers. *BMC Med Educ.* 2008;8:5.
10. Coles C. Learning to cope: stress and medical career development in the United Kingdom. *Med Educ.* 1994;28(1):18-25; discussion 55-7.
11. Stewart SM, Lam TH, Betson CL, Wong CM, Wong AM. A prospective analysis of stress and academic performance in the first two years of medical school. *Med Educ.* 1999;33(4):243-50.
12. Chandavarkar U, Azzam A, Mathews CA. Anxiety symptoms and perceived performance in medical students. *Depress Anxiety.* 2007;24(2):103-11.
13. Wolf TM. Stress, coping and health: enhancing well-being during medical school. *Med Educ.* 1994;28(1):8-17; discussion 55-7.
14. Carmel S, Bernstein J. Perceptions of medical school stressors: their relationship to age, year of study and trait anxiety. *J Human Stress.* 1987;13(1):39-44.
15. Tyssen R, Vaglum P, Aasland OG, Gronvold NT, Ekeberg O. Use of alcohol to cope with tension, and its relation to gender, years in medical school and hazardous drinking: a study of two nation-wide Norwegian samples of medical students. *Addiction.* 1998;93(9):1341-9.
16. Ko SM, Kua EH, Fones CS. Stress and the undergraduates. *Singapore Medical Journal.* 1999;40(10):627-30.
17. Di Pietro MC, Doering-Silveira EB, Oliveira MP, Rosa-Oliveira LQ, Da Silveira DX. Factors associated with the use of solvents and cannabis by medical students. *Addict Behav.* 2007;32(8):1740-4.

18. Tyssen R, Vaglum P, Gronvold NT, Ekeberg O. Suicidal ideation among medical students and young physicians: a nationwide and prospective study of prevalence and predictors. *J Affect Disord.* 2001;64(1):69-79.
19. Van Berkel HJ, Dolmans DH. The influence of tutoring competencies on problems, group functioning and student achievement in problem-based learning. *Med Educ.* 2006;40(8):730-6.
20. Weissberg M, Sakai JT, Miyoshi TJ, Fryer GE. Medical student attitudes to risk taking and self-perceived influence on medical practice. *Medical Education.* 2006;40(8):722-9.
21. Henderson P, Johnson MH. An innovative approach to developing the reflective skills of medical students. *BMC Med Educ.* 2002;2(1):4.
22. Visschers-Pleijers AJ, Dolmans DH, de Grave WS, Wolfhagen IH, Jacobs JA, van der Vleuten CP. Student perceptions about the characteristics of an effective discussion during the reporting phase in problem-based learning. *Med Educ.* 2006;40(9):924-31.
23. Nogueira-Martins MC, Nogueira-Martins LA, Turato ER. Medical students' perceptions of their learning about the doctor-patient relationship: a qualitative study. *Med Educ.* 2006;40(4):322-8.
24. Beckman TJ, Mandrekar JN. The interpersonal, cognitive and efficiency domains of clinical teaching: construct validity of a multi-dimensional scale. *Med Educ.* 2005;39(12):1221-9.
25. Ross S, Cleland J, Macleod MJ. Stress, debt and undergraduate medical student performance. *Med Educ.* 2006;40(6):584-9.
26. Diederiks JP, Bosma H, van Eijk JT, van Santen M, Scherpbier A, van der Vleuten C. Chronic patients in undergraduate education: didactic value as perceived by students. *Med Educ.* 2006;40(8):787-91.
27. Swami V, Chamorro-Premuzic T, Sinniah D, Maniam T, Kannan K, Stanistreet D, et al. General health mediates the relationship between loneliness, life satisfaction and depression. A study with Malaysian medical students. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol.* 2007;42(2):161-6.
28. Kawada T, Katsumata M, Suzuki H, Shimizu T. Actigraphic predictors of the depressive state in students with no psychiatric disorders. *J Affect Disord.* 2007;98(1-2):117-20.
29. Dyrbye LN, Thomas MR, Huntington JL, Lawson KL, Novotny PJ, Sloan JA, et al. Personal life events and medical student burnout: a multicenter study. *Acad Med.* 2006;81(4):374-84.
30. Lloyd C, Gartrell NK. Sex differences in medical student mental health. *Am J Psychiatry.* 1981;138(10):1346-51.
31. Lloyd C, Gartrell NK. A further assessment of medical school stress. *J Med Educ.* 1983;58(12):964-7.
32. Lloyd C, Gartrell NK. Psychiatric symptoms in medical students. *Compr Psychiatry.* 1984;25(6):552-65.
33. Hendrie HC, Clair DK, Brittain HM, Fadul PE. A study of anxiety/depressive symptoms of medical students, house staff, and their spouses/partners. *J Nerv Ment Dis.* 1990;178(3):204-7.

34. Baldassin S. Níveis, fontes e estratégias de enfrentamento de estresse psicológico entre estudantes de Medicina [Mestrado]. São Paulo: Universidade de São Paulo; 2003.
35. Davidson VM. Coping styles of women medical students. *J Med Educ.* 1978;53(11):902-7.
36. Sreeramareddy CT, Shankar PR, Binu VS, Mukhopadhyay C, Ray B, Menezes RG. Psychological morbidity, sources of stress and coping strategies among undergraduate medical students of Nepal. *BMC Med Educ.* 2007;7:26.
37. West CP, Shanafelt TD. The influence of personal and environmental factors on professionalism in medical education. *BMC Med Educ.* 2007;7:29.
38. Badr HE, Hamoda HM. Stressors and coping strategies of medical students. Gender differences. *Saudi Med J.* 2005;26(5):890-2.
39. Savóia MG. Escalas de eventos vitais e de estratégias de enfrentamento (coping). *Rev Psiq Clin.* 1999;26(2):57:67.
40. Folkman S, Lazarus RS. If it changes it must be a process: study of emotion and coping during three stages of a college examination. *J Pers Soc Psychol.* 1985;48(1):150-70.
41. Mesquita AM, Laranjeira R, Dunn J. Psychoactive drug use by medical students: a review of the national and international literature. *Sao Paulo Med J.* 1997;115(1):1356-65.
42. Andrade AG, Queiroz S, Villaboim RCM. Uso de álcool e drogas entre alunos de graduação da Universidade de São Paulo. *Rev ABPAPAL.* 1997;19(2):53-9.
43. Center C, Davis M, Detre T, Ford DE, Hansbrough W, Hendin H, et al. Confronting depression and suicide in physicians: a consensus statement. *JAMA.* 2003;289(23):3161-6.
44. Millan LR, Azevedo RS, Rossi E, De Marco OL, Millan MP, de Arruda PC. What is behind a student's choice for becoming a doctor? *Clinics (Sao Paulo).* 2005;60(2):143-50.
45. Gorenstein C, Andrade L. Validation of a Portuguese version of the Beck Depression Inventory and the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory in Brazilian subjects. *Braz J Med Biol Res.* 1996;29(4):453-7.
46. Biaggio AMB, Natalício L, Spielberger CD. esenvolvimento da forma experimental em português do Inventário de Ansiedade Traço-Estado (IDATE), de Spielberger. *Arq Bras Psicol Apl.* 1977;29:31:4.
47. Disckstein LJ. Medical Students and Residents: Issues and Needs. *The Handbook of Physician Health - The Essencial Guide to Understanding the Health Care Needs of Physician USA: American Medical Association;* 2000. p. 161:77.
48. Da Silveira DX, Rosa-Oliveira L, Di Pietro M, Niel M, Doering-Silveira E, Jorge MR. Evolutional pattern of drug use by medical students. *Addict Behav.* 2008;33(3):490-5.
49. Niemi PM. Medical students' professional identity: self-reflection during the preclinical years. *Med Educ.* 1997;31(6):408-15.

Table 1: Portion of variance explained by each factor identified in the factor analysis of the Ways of Coping Questionnaire.

Items	Factors									
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
q57	0.83	0.02	0.09	0.00	0.09	0.04	0.05	0.03	-0.09	0.06
q58	0.81	-0.01	0.07	0.01	0.00	0.08	0.17	0.09	-0.02	0.00
q59	0.80	0.09	0.03	-0.01	0.10	0.05	0.01	-0.04	-0.01	0.13
q55	0.70	-0.11	0.08	0.03	0.09	-0.07	0.20	0.04	0.14	0.04
q26	-0.06	0.79	0.10	-0.01	-0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.08
q46	-0.04	0.72	0.13	-0.03	0.07	-0.08	0.02	0.01	0.09	-0.12
q49	-0.02	0.72	-0.01	-0.06	0.17	0.03	-0.03	-0.14	0.00	-0.03
q39	0.19	0.59	0.03	0.06	0.14	0.14	-0.20	0.23	0.08	0.01
q45	0.03	0.03	0.86	-0.06	0.05	0.03	-0.04	-0.09	-0.01	0.05
q42	0.10	0.03	0.82	0.00	0.15	-0.05	-0.10	-0.05	0.07	0.07
q8	0.14	0.20	0.69	0.00	0.03	0.08	0.09	0.02	0.01	-0.09
q68	0.05	0.03	-0.07	0.77	-0.02	0.11	0.04	0.10	-0.08	0.06
q67	-0.03	0.00	-0.06	0.77	0.09	0.07	0.10	0.10	-0.08	0.12
q70	0.00	-0.08	0.06	0.66	0.06	0.02	0.10	-0.07	0.09	-0.11
q65	0.06	0.02	0.04	0.11	0.73	0.02	-0.02	0.11	0.01	0.08
q63	0.13	0.19	0.06	0.02	0.72	0.06	0.04	-0.08	0.03	0.01
q64	0.10	0.12	0.17	-0.01	0.65	-0.06	0.13	0.07	0.28	-0.16
q22	-0.13	0.01	0.17	-0.14	0.16	0.74	0.08	0.08	-0.10	0.06
q69	0.13	0.00	-0.02	0.13	0.11	0.72	-0.02	0.08	-0.20	0.08
q74	0.03	-0.04	-0.09	0.22	-0.15	0.48	-0.01	-0.11	0.16	-0.02
q21	0.16	0.09	0.03	0.10	-0.17	0.46	0.19	0.05	0.18	-0.27
q75	0.08	-0.11	-0.01	0.09	0.14	0.03	0.69	-0.11	-0.04	0.21
q47	0.23	0.11	0.10	0.21	-0.06	0.00	0.65	0.11	-0.19	-0.02
q40	0.25	-0.16	-0.21	0.04	0.06	0.17	0.60	0.23	0.09	-0.05
q41	0.03	-0.04	0.07	-0.05	0.06	0.06	0.14	0.80	0.04	0.15
q44	0.07	0.02	-0.20	0.18	0.01	0.01	-0.03	0.72	0.08	0.04
q35	-0.03	0.08	0.06	-0.12	0.15	0.04	-0.02	-0.07	0.72	0.14
q54	0.01	0.13	0.01	0.06	0.07	-0.10	-0.13	0.26	0.68	-0.05
q12	0.15	0.01	0.07	-0.01	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.19	-0.06	0.80
q53	0.12	-0.09	-0.06	0.11	-0.09	0.00	0.22	0.02	0.36	0.67
Self-worth	2.8	2.2	2.1	1.9	1.8	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.4	1.4
Variance	9.3	7.4	7.1	6.2	5.9	5.4	5.3	5.1	4.7	4.6
% Explained	9.3	7.4	7.1	6.2	5.8	5.4	5.3	5.0	4.7	4.6
% Accumulated	9.3	16.7	23.8	30.0	35.9	41.3	46.6	51.6	56.3	61.0

p-value	0.0207										
8-Denial	0.000	1.000	0.049	-0.097	0.097	-2.140	-0.753	-0.049	0.584	3.511	412
Basic [§]	-0.141	1.009	0.084	-0.308	0.025	-2.037	-0.940	-0.264	0.437	3.135	144
Intermediate [§]	-0.156	0.893	0.075	-0.304	-0.009	-1.649	-0.847	-0.181	0.257	3.485	143
Internship	0.342	1.028	0.092	0.160	0.524	-2.140	-0.400	0.190	1.075	3.511	125
F _{2,409}	11.00										
p-value	<0.001										
9-Self-control	0.000	1.000	0.049	-0.097	0.097	-2.731	-0.746	0.015	0.690	2.934	412
Basic	-0.071	0.980	0.082	-0.233	0.090	-2.200	-0.790	-0.115	0.636	2.831	144
Intermediate	0.085	0.940	0.079	-0.071	0.240	-2.287	-0.435	0.085	0.789	2.163	143
Internship	-0.014	1.086	0.097	-0.207	0.178	-2.731	-0.806	-0.052	0.658	2.934	125
F _{2,409}	0.89										
p-value	0.4103										
10-Acceptance	0.000	1.000	0.049	-0.097	0.097	-2.191	-0.717	-0.059	0.591	3.577	412
Basic [§]	0.018	1.031	0.086	-0.152	0.188	-2.007	-0.784	-0.035	0.689	3.226	144
Intermediate	-0.156	0.945	0.079	-0.313	0.000	-2.191	-0.838	-0.197	0.373	3.577	143
Internship	0.158	1.006	0.090	-0.020	0.336	-1.823	-0.574	0.092	0.707	2.991	125
F _{2,409}	3.37										
p-value	0.0353										

§ Significant multiple comparisons

Table 3: Distribution by ranges of the Beck Depression Inventory and Spielberger Trait Anxiety Inventory of the means for 10 coping factors in medical students

Factor	STAI-T				BDI				
	Mild 33-49	High >49	CI95%	P value	Normality <16	Dysphoric 16-20	Depressive >20	CI95%	P value
1-Fantasy	-0.14	0.58	-0.25:0.77	<0.001	-0.09	0.42	0.59	-0.19:0.90	<0.001
2-Problem solving.	0.00	0.06	-0.12:0.27	0.644	0.05	-0.07	-0.54	-0.06:-0.22	<0.001
3-Seek of social support	-0.04	0.07	-0.15:0.33	0.448	0.07	-0.31	-0.65	-0.03:-0.28	<0.001
4-Use of substances*	-0.01	-0.02	-0.12:0.20	0.913	-0.01	0.08	0.16	-0.11:0.64	0.751
5-Comparisson	-0.05	0.18	-0.16:0.42	0.070	0.01	0.17	-0.14	-0.09:0.31	0.482
6-Searck of relief*	-0.05	0.21	-0.16:0.47	0.059	-0.11	0.48	0.76	-0.02:1.37	0.001
7-Escape*	-0.04	0.23	-0.15:0.49	0.032	-0.13	0.54	0.88	-0.03:1.35	<0.001
8-Denial*	0.00	0.04	-0.11:0.28	0.781	-0.04	0.10	0.30	-0.14:0.80	0.368
9 Self-control	0.00	0.02	-0.11:0.25	0.836	0.04	-0.02	-0.36	-0.06:0.03	0.100
10-Acceptance	-0.05	0.05	-0.16:0.30	0.422	-0.02	0.27	0.23	-0.13:0.64	0.146

t test for STAI-T and ANOVA for BDI.

Kruskall-Wallis (factors 4, 6, 7 and 8 for STAI-T and BDI).

Tukey and Dunett C (factors 1, 2, 3, 5, 9, and 10 for BDI).

* Factors outside normal range after Kolmogorov-Smirnov test

Table 4: Portion of variance explained by each factor identified in the factor analysis of the Ways of Coping Questionnaire.

Items	factors									
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
q57	0.83	0.02	0.09	0.00	0.09	0.04	0.05	0.03	-0.09	0.06
q58	0.81	-0.01	0.07	0.01	0.00	0.08	0.17	0.09	-0.02	0.00
q59	0.80	0.09	0.03	-0.01	0.10	0.05	0.01	-0.04	-0.01	0.13
q55	0.70	-0.11	0.08	0.03	0.09	-0.07	0.20	0.04	0.14	0.04
q26	-0.06	0.79	0.10	-0.01	-0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.08
q46	-0.04	0.72	0.13	-0.03	0.07	-0.08	0.02	0.01	0.09	-0.12
q49	-0.02	0.72	-0.01	-0.06	0.17	0.03	-0.03	-0.14	0.00	-0.03
q39	0.19	0.59	0.03	0.06	0.14	0.14	-0.20	0.23	0.08	0.01
q45	0.03	0.03	0.86	-0.06	0.05	0.03	-0.04	-0.09	-0.01	0.05
q42	0.10	0.03	0.82	0.00	0.15	-0.05	-0.10	-0.05	0.07	0.07
q8	0.14	0.20	0.69	0.00	0.03	0.08	0.09	0.02	0.01	-0.09
q68	0.05	0.03	-0.07	0.77	-0.02	0.11	0.04	0.10	-0.08	0.06
q67	-0.03	0.00	-0.06	0.77	0.09	0.07	0.10	0.10	-0.08	0.12
q70	0.00	-0.08	0.06	0.66	0.06	0.02	0.10	-0.07	0.09	-0.11
q65	0.06	0.02	0.04	0.11	0.73	0.02	-0.02	0.11	0.01	0.08
q63	0.13	0.19	0.06	0.02	0.72	0.06	0.04	-0.08	0.03	0.01
q64	0.10	0.12	0.17	-0.01	0.65	-0.06	0.13	0.07	0.28	-0.16
q22	-0.13	0.01	0.17	-0.14	0.16	0.74	0.08	0.08	-0.10	0.06
q69	0.13	0.00	-0.02	0.13	0.11	0.72	-0.02	0.08	-0.20	0.08
q74	0.03	-0.04	-0.09	0.22	-0.15	0.48	-0.01	-0.11	0.16	-0.02
q21	0.16	0.09	0.03	0.10	-0.17	0.46	0.19	0.05	0.18	-0.27
q75	0.08	-0.11	-0.01	0.09	0.14	0.03	0.69	-0.11	-0.04	0.21
q47	0.23	0.11	0.10	0.21	-0.06	0.00	0.65	0.11	-0.19	-0.02
q40	0.25	-0.16	-0.21	0.04	0.06	0.17	0.60	0.23	0.09	-0.05
q41	0.03	-0.04	0.07	-0.05	0.06	0.06	0.14	0.80	0.04	0.15
q44	0.07	0.02	-0.20	0.18	0.01	0.01	-0.03	0.72	0.08	0.04
q35	-0.03	0.08	0.06	-0.12	0.15	0.04	-0.02	-0.07	0.72	0.14
q54	0.01	0.13	0.01	0.06	0.07	-0.10	-0.13	0.26	0.68	-0.05
q12	0.15	0.01	0.07	-0.01	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.19	-0.06	0.80
q53	0.12	-0.09	-0.06	0.11	-0.09	0.00	0.22	0.02	0.36	0.67
Self-worth	2.8	2.2	2.1	1.9	1.8	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.4	1.4
Variance	9.3	7.4	7.1	6.2	5.9	5.4	5.3	5.1	4.7	4.6
%Explained	9.3	7.4	7.1	6.2	5.8	5.4	5.3	5.0	4.7	4.6
%Explained	9.3	16.7	23.8	30.0	35.9	41.3	46.6	51.6	56.3	61.0

Table 5: Distribution by gender of the means for 10 coping factors in medical students.

Factor	Gender				
	Female		Male		p value
	mean	CI95%	mean	CI95%	
1-Fantasy	0.12	-0,01;0,24	-0.19	-0,34; -0,04	0.002
2- Problem solving	-0.02	-0,14;0,10	0.04	-0,12;0,20	0.549
3-Seeking social support	0.21	0,10;0,33	-0.34	-0,50; -0,18	<0.001
4-Use of substances *	-0.15	-0,26; -0,04	0.24	0,07;0,42	<0.001
5-Comparison	0.02	-0,10;0,14	-0.03	-0,18;0,13	0.658
6-Search of relief *	0.02	-0,09;0,14	-0.04	-0,21;0,13	0.374
7-Escape*	0.06	-0,06;0,19	-0.08	-0,24;0,07	0.082
8-Denial*	-0.01	-0,14;0,11	0.04	-0,12;0,20	0.808
9- Self-control	-0.05	-0,18;0,07	0.09	-0,07;0,25	0.149
10-Acceptance	-0.02	-0,14;0,11	0.04	-0,11;0,19	0.592

Student's t-test (factors 1, 2, 3, 5, 9, 10 for Gender); Mann-Whitney test (factors 4, 6, 7, and 8 for Gender); * - Factors outside normal range after Kolmogorov-Smirnov test

Figure 1 – associated factors for BDI ranges among medical students




Figure 2 – associated factors for Spielberger Traits Anxiety Inventory among medical students.

