

## **TRENDS IN CLINICAL STAGE AT PRESENTATION FOR FOUR COMMON ADULT CANCERS IN IBADAN, NIGERIA**

**Akinyinka O. Omigbodun<sup>1,2</sup>, Adebayo D. Agboola<sup>1</sup>, Olufunke A Fayehun<sup>3</sup>, Motunrayo  
Ajisola<sup>3</sup>, Abiola Oladejo<sup>3</sup>, Omolara Popoola<sup>3</sup>, Richard Lilford<sup>4\*</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, University College Hospital, Ibadan, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup> College of Medicine, University of Ibadan, Ibadan

<sup>3</sup> Department of Sociology, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria

<sup>4</sup> NIHR ARC WM, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, B15 2TT, United Kingdom

\* Corresponding author

## **ABSTRACT**

### **Introduction**

Cancer outcome is largely determined by stage at diagnosis. We hypothesised that people living in Low- and Middle-Income Countries may be reaching diagnosis at an early stage, reflecting growth in awareness of the disease and its symptoms. We examined stage at diagnosis for four common cancers presenting at a referral centre in Nigeria.

### **Methods**

A retrospective review of case-records from cases treated for four common cancers (colorectal, uterine cervix, breast and prostate) at University College Hospital, Ibadan over two epochs: 2012-2013 and 2017-2018.

### **Results**

There is no evidence that cases were diagnosed at an earlier stage in the later epoch. Seventy-five percent of cases were diagnosed at late stage (III or IV) in the second epoch, vs seventy percent in the first epoch.

### **Conclusion**

There is no evidence in the data that the problem of late presentation of common cancers is reducing in Oyo State, Nigeria.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Cancer is a global epidemic and one of the leading causes of death of one in six mortality worldwide.(1-4) More than half of new cancer cases and two-thirds of cancer deaths occur in low-and-middle-income countries (LMICs).(5-7)

Reducing delay in between first symptom and treatment is a high priority for LMICs where cases are often at an advanced stage by the time they are diagnosed.(8-15) There is extensive evidence that earlier diagnosis can considerably reduce the mortality and costs of cancer and improve quality of life.(16-18) It might be hypothesised that cancers are diagnosed at increasingly early stages in LMICs as people move to cities and as the population becomes better educated. The purpose of this paper is to test this hypothesis with respect to one LMIC location in Nigeria.

We describe stage at presentation for four common adult cancers presenting at a tertiary hospital in 2012-2013 and 2017-2018 in Ibadan, South West Nigeria.

## **METHODS**

### **Study Design**

This descriptive study was based on retrospective data of histologically diagnosed breast, cervical, prostate and colorectal cancer patients at the University College Hospital (UCH) in Ibadan, with an analytical sample of 631 patients over two, biennial, epochs (2012-2013 and 2017-2018). We analysed data from the Cancer Registry at UCH about patients from the Surgery, Gynaecology and Radiotherapy departments. The hospital is a referral centre for patients needing cancer care within and outside Ibadan.

## **Data Collection**

We retrieved hospital case files with follow-up records and radiotherapy treatment cards from January 2012 to December 2013 and January 2017 to December 2018 for patients with breast, cervical, prostate, and colorectal cancer. We obtained information on age, parity, educational status, employment status, comorbidity, stage of cancer, treatment received, response from treatment and follow-up status from the case files.

## **Variable measurements**

Breast,(19) prostate,(20) and colorectal (21) cancers were staged using the American Joint Committee on Cancer staging, while cervical (22) cancer was staged using the International Federation of Gynaecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) staging system. The stages were grouped into I, II, III and IV. The study explores the association of education, distance to health facility and mode of referral to stage at presentation over the above two epochs. The highest educational level was categorised into primary, secondary and tertiary. The distance grouping to the health facility was based on approximately 50 kilometres to the tertiary health facility.

## **Data analysis**

For this descriptive study, we examined the proportion of diagnoses that were late (stages III or IV) over the two epochs with respect to all cancers and the individual cancers. For reasons that will become apparent, we made the comparison for ‘all’ cancers with and without including cervical cancers. We also examined education and distance to the health facility by stage at diagnosis and type of cancer presented over the two study epochs. The results were

presented in the form of frequency distribution and graphs. The data was analysed using SPSS version 20.0.

### **Ethical considerations**

Ethical approval was obtained from the University of Ibadan / University College Hospital Ethical Review Committee with number UI/EC/19/0633. All the information and data retrieved from the patient case files were treated with utmost confidentiality, and identifiers that could be traced to participants were removed.

## **RESULTS**

### **Numbers and types of cancer**

There were 248 and 383 histologically confirmed cervical, breast, prostate, and colorectal cancer cases at the tertiary hospital in 2012-2013 and 2017-2018, respectively. Table 1 shows the numbers and proportions of cancer types over the two study epochs. Breast cancer was the most common type of cancer over both epochs. The prevalence of cervical cancer increased by a greater proportion than the other cancers.

**Table 1:** Distribution of cancer types by epoch


Types of cancer	2012-2013		2017-2018	
	%	n	%	n
Breast	44.8	111	33.2	127
Cervical	24.6	61	34.2	131
Prostate	19.7	49	21.9	84
Colorectal	10.9	27	10.7	41
Total	100.0	248	100.0	383

In both epochs, prostate and colorectal cancers accounted for at least 19% and 10% of the diagnoses, respectively.


### Stage at presentation

Nearly 70% (69.8%) of patients with our index cancers presented at late stage (III or IV) in epoch 1, while 74.6% presented late in epoch 2 (Figure 1a). There is no evidence of a shift to a lower proportion of late-stage diagnoses in the second epoch compared to the first, and the trend was in the opposite direction (Figure 1a Mann-Whitney  $z=-1.383$  [ $p=0.167$ ]). Figure 1b shows the same data excluding cervical cancer, for reasons given in the Discussion. Again, there is no visual or statistical evidence of a trend to earlier diagnosis in the later epoch (Mann-Whitney  $z=-1.082$  [ $p=0.279$ ]).

**Figure 1a: Stage at presentation of four cancer types**



**Figure 1b: Stage at presentation of three cancer types (excluding cervical cancer)**



With regard to individual cancer types, 87.4% of breast cancers presented late in the early epoch, decreasing to 80.1% in the later epoch. However, the trend was reversed for prostate cancer (increasing from 61.3% to 72.6%), for colorectal cancer (increasing from 60.3% to 70.7% and for cervical cancer (increasing from 47.5% to 61.8%). More detailed breakdown by stage is given in Table 2.

**Table 2:** Association between stage at presentation and cancer type

Epoch	Type of cancer	Cancer stage at presentation (%)				Chi-square; p-value*
		Stage I	Stage II	Stage III	Stage IV	
2012-2013	Breast	0.0	12.6	55.9	31.5	56.26; 0.000
	Cervix	19.7	32.8	42.6	4.9	
	Prostate	2.0	36.7	32.7	28.6	
	Colorectal	7.4	29.6	33.3	29.6	
2017-2018	Breast	4.7	14.2	51.2	29.9	45.20; 0.000
	Cervix	3.1	35.1	48.1	13.7	
	Prostate	8.3	19.0	27.4	45.2	
	Colorectal	9.8	19.5	34.1	36.6	

\* *Statistical analysis within epoch*

### Factors affecting stage of presentation across both epochs.

An examination of education and distance to health facility for all cancer types is presented in Table 3.



**Table 3:** Education, distance to health facility and mode of referral on time to cancer presentation in a tertiary hospital

Epoch	Characteristics	Cancer stage at presentation (%)				Chi-square; p-value*
		Stage I	Stage II	Stage III	Stage IV	
2012-2013	<i>Education</i>					9.05; 0.171
	Primary ( <i>n</i> =69)	4.3	15.9	53.6	26.1	
	Secondary ( <i>n</i> =98)	8.2	23.5	48.0	20.4	
	Tertiary ( <i>n</i> =81)	4.9	32.1	35.8	27.2	
	<i>Distance to HF</i>					2.65; 0.449
	Within 50km ( <i>n</i> =227)	6.2	22.9	46.7	24.2	
	Outside 50km ( <i>n</i> =21)	4.8	38.1	33.3	23.8	
2017-2018	<i>Education</i>					11.86; 0.065
	Primary ( <i>n</i> =85)	5.9	17.6	44.7	31.8	
	Secondary ( <i>n</i> =177)	3.4	26.6	47.5	22.6	
	Tertiary ( <i>n</i> =121)	8.3	21.5	35.5	34.7	
	<i>Distance to HF</i>					6.68; 0.083
	Within 50km ( <i>n</i> =270)	5.2	19.6	44.4	30.7	
	Outside 50km ( <i>n</i> =113)	6.2	31.0	39.8	23.0	

\* Statistical analysis within epoch

Compared with patients with more advanced education, patients with low levels of education presented at a more advanced stage in the tertiary health facility for both epochs. Distance to the health facility was not significantly associated with the stage of presentation; about 70% of patients living within 50km of the hospital were histologically diagnosed at stages III and IV.

The time to presentation of cancer in tertiary hospital was further examined by removing patients with cervical cancer for reasons mentioned in the Discussion. The pattern of late presentation at the tertiary hospital, as shown in Table 4 for the breast, prostate and colorectal, did not differ from when cervical cancer was included in the dataset.

**Table 4:** Education, distance to health facility and mode of referral on time to breast, prostate and colorectal cancers presentation in a tertiary hospital.

Epoch	Characteristics	Cancer stage at presentation (%)				Chi-square; p-value*
		Stage I	Stage II	Stage III	Stage IV	
2012-2013	<i>Education</i>					10.41; 0.109
	Primary (n=45)	2.2	6.7	53.3	37.8	
	Secondary (n=75)	1.3	22.7	50.7	25.3	
	Tertiary (n=67)	1.5	29.9	37.3	31.3	
	<i>Distance to HF</i>					3.28; 0.350
	Within 50km (n=171)	1.8	19.9	48.0	30.4	
	Outside 50km (n=16)	0.0	37.5	31.3	31.3	
2017-2018	<i>Education</i>					11.48; 0.075
	Primary (n=53)	9.4	17.0	30.2	43.4	
	Secondary (n=104)	3.8	16.3	51.9	27.9	
	Tertiary (n=95)	8.4	16.8	33.7	41.1	
	<i>Distance to HF</i>					6.01; 0.111
	Within 50km (n=210)	5.2	15.7	41.4	37.6	
	Outside 50km (n=42)	14.3	21.4	35.7	28.6	

\* Statistical analysis within epoch

## DISCUSSION

The prevalence of all four cancer types increased between the two epochs. Not only did cancers tend to present in late stage, but the proportions presenting late did not decline over the epochs – if anything, the trend was towards an increase. Only for breast cancer was there a small reduction (six percentage points) in cancer stage. There is thus no signal that patients are presenting earlier, or being more rapidly diagnosed when they present to health services. It is unlikely that much improvement in outcome will be seen at a population level while patients continue to present late. Therapy to treat advanced cancer is improving, but it is

expensive and not widely available to the majority of patients in LMICs and the largest gains can be achieved by early diagnosis and treatment.

Our study does have limitations. Most crucially, it is not a population-based study and is therefore influenced by changes in population structure, and also by changing rates of referral. Indeed, the University College Hospital, Ibadan installed new radiotherapy equipment between the two epochs, which attracted cervical cancer referrals from distant hospitals. This is reflected in the patient numbers with cervical cancer showing the greatest increase. It was for this reason that we analysed data with and without including cervical cancer. It is nevertheless possible that, with respect to cervical cancer, a tendency to earlier diagnosis was disguised by referral of later presentation cases from other hospitals. If so, this finding is not confirmed by the stage at presentation for the other three cancer types.

Our study was conducted in only one hospital in one country. There is nothing about this setting to suggest that it is unique with respect to cancer diagnosis. That said, a population-based study over multiple countries would be desirable. The possibility of such a study is limited by the incomplete development of reliable cancer registries in many LMICs.

Our findings reinforce the need for more research into interventions to reduce waiting times and thereby ‘down-stage’ cancers at the time of diagnosis. An expert group recently voted research into reducing cancer delay the top priority for research across all of cancer care.(23)

## **CONCLUSION**

There is an increasing trend in the prevalence of cancers globally, and this study revealed that Nigeria is not exempt. The proportion of patients presenting with late stages of four common adult cancers in Southwest Nigeria is not declining.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We thank Peter Chilton, University of Birmingham, for their help in editing and formatting the manuscript.

## **FUNDING**

The study did not receive any specific funding. Richard Lilford was supported by the National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR) Applied Research Collaboration West Midlands (ARC WM). Views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NIHR, NHS, or the Department of Health and Social Care.

## REFERENCES

1. American Cancer Society. *Global Cancer Facts & Figures*. Atlanta: American Cancer Society; 2018.
2. American Cancer Society. *Cancer Facts & Figures 2019*. Atlanta: American Cancer Society; 2019.
3. Bray F, Jemal A, Grey N, Ferlay J, Forman D. Global cancer transitions according to the Human Development Index (2008-2030): a population-based study. *Lancet Oncol*. 2012;**13**(8):790-801.
4. Cooper GM. *The Cell: A Molecular Approach*. 2nd edition. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates; 2000.
5. Economist Intelligence Unit. *Breakaway: The global burden of cancer - challenges and opportunities*. 2009.
6. Ginsburg OM. Breast and cervical cancer control in low and middle-income countries: Human rights meet sound health policy. *J Cancer Policy*. 2013;**1**(3):e35-e41.
7. World Health Organization. *All Cancers 2020*. [Available from: <https://gco.iarc.fr/today/data/factsheets/cancers/39-All-cancers-fact-sheet.pdf>].
8. Awofeso O, Roberts AA, Salako O, Balogun L, Okediji P. Prevalence and Pattern of Late-Stage Presentation in Women with Breast and Cervical Cancers in Lagos University Teaching Hospital, Nigeria. *Niger Med J*. 2018;**59**(6):74-9.
9. Ayoade BA, Salami BA, Agboola AJ, Tade AO, Adekoya AO, Olatunji AA, et al. Beliefs and practices associated with late presentation in patients with breast cancer; an observational study of patient presenting in a tertiary care facility in Southwest Nigeria. *African Journal of Cancer*. 2015;**7**(4):178-85.
10. Dairo MD, Adamu DB, Onimode YA, Ntekim A, Ayeni O. Characteristics and Determinants of Patients Discontinuation of Breast Cancer Follow-Up Care at the Radiation Oncology Department, University College Hospital, Ibadan, Nigeria. *Int J Breast Cancer*. 2018;**2018**:1597964.
11. Dunyo P, Effah K, Udofia EA. Factors associated with late presentation of cervical cancer cases at a district hospital: a retrospective study. *BMC Public Health*. 2018;**18**(1):1156.

12. Ferreira da Silva I, Ferreira da Silva I, Koifman RJ. Cervical Cancer Treatment Delays and Associated Factors in a Cohort of Women From a Developing Country. *J Glob Oncol*. 2019;**5**:1-11.
13. Jain A, Ganesh B, Bobdey SC, Sathwara JA, Saoba S. Sociodemographic and Clinical Profile of Cervical Cancer Patients Visiting in a Tertiary Care Hospital in India. *Indian J Med Paediatr Oncol*. 2017;**38**(3):291-5.
14. Olarewaju SO, Oyekunle EO, Bamiro AO. Effect of Sociodemographic Variables on Patient and Diagnostic Delay of Breast Cancer at the Foremost Health Care Institution in Nigeria. *J Glob Oncol*. 2019;**5**:1-8.
15. Ouasmani F, Hanchi Z, Haddou Rahou B, Bekkali R, Ahid S, Mesfioui A. Determinants of Patient Delay in Seeking Diagnosis and Treatment among Moroccan Women with Cervical Cancer. *Obstet Gynecol Int*. 2016;**2016**:4840762.
16. Neal RD, Tharmanathan P, France B, Din NU, Cotton S, Fallon-Ferguson J, et al. Is increased time to diagnosis and treatment in symptomatic cancer associated with poorer outcomes? Systematic review. *Br J Cancer*. 2015;**112**(Suppl 1):S92-107.
17. Hamilton W, Walter FM, Rubin G, Neal RD. Improving early diagnosis of symptomatic cancer. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol*. 2016;**13**(12):740-9.
18. Richards MA. The size of the prize for earlier diagnosis of cancer in England. *Br J Cancer*. 2009;**101**(Suppl 2):S125-9.
19. American Joint Committee on Cancer. *Breast Cancer Staging*. 7th edition. 2009.
20. American Joint Committee on Cancer. *Prostate Cancer Staging*. 7th edition. 2009.
21. American Joint Committee on Cancer. *Colon and Rectum Cancer Staging*. 7th edition. 2009.
22. Bhatla N, Aoki D, Sharma DN, Sankaranarayanan R. Cancer of the cervix uteri. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. 2018;**143**(Suppl 2):22-36.
23. Pramesh CS, Badwe RA, Bhoo-Pathy N, Booth CM, Chinnaswamy G, Dare AJ, et al. Priorities for cancer research in low- and middle-income countries: a global perspective. *Nat Med*. 2022;**28**(4):649-57.