

1 **STATUS OF SOIL-TRANSMITTED HELMINTHIASIS AMONG ADOLESCENTS IN**
2 **ANAJOCHA LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA, ANAMBRA STATE, NIGERIA:**
3 **PREVALENCE, ASSOCIATED FACTORS, AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS AFTER A**
4 **DECADE OF ONGOING MASS ADMINISTRATION OF MEDICINES**

5 Ogechukwu B. Aribodor^{1,2}, Eunice C. Jacob^{1,2}, Nwadiuto O. Azugo^{1,2}, Uche C. Ngenegbo³,
6 Ifeanyi Obika¹, Emmanuel M. Obikwelu⁴, Obiageli J. Nebe⁵.

7 ¹ Department of Zoology, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria.

8 ² Social Innovation in Health Initiative (SIHI) Hub, Nigeria.

9 ³ Department of Parasitology and Entomology, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria.

10 ⁴ Neglected Tropical Diseases Unit, Anambra State Ministry of Health, Awka, Nigeria.

11 ⁵ Neglected Tropical Diseases Division, Federal Ministry of Health, Nigeria.

12

13 **Corresponding author:** Ogechukwu Benedicta Aribodor; e-mail address:
14 og.aribodor@unizik.edu.ng

15

16 **ABSTRACT**

17 Over the past decade, Anambra State, Nigeria, has implemented mass administration of medicines
18 (MAMs) to combat soil-transmitted helminthiasis (STH), a significant public health challenge in
19 low-income regions. Nevertheless, these efforts have predominantly focused on pre-school and
20 school-aged children, leaving a notable gap in understanding STH infection rates and the efficacy
21 of these campaigns among secondary school adolescents, who have been excluded from this
22 initiative. Our study aimed to address this critical knowledge gap by assessing soil-transmitted
23 helminthiasis (STH) prevalence and contextual factors hindering effective control among
24 adolescents in Anambra State, Nigeria. We actively engaged 443 adolescents with a mean age of
25 14 years in a school-based cross-sectional study in selected communities within the Anaocha Local
26 Government Area from 8 February to 7 July 2023 following informed consent and assent
27 procedures. Employing a stratified random sampling technique, we collected demographic data
28 and assessed STH risk factors using a structured questionnaire hosted on the Kobo Toolbox
29 platform. For quantitative analysis of STH infections, the Kato-Katz technique was used. Analysis
30 was performed using SPSS version 25, incorporating descriptive statistics and multinomial logistic
31 regression, with statistical significance set at $p < 0.05$. Of the 443 (213 males (48.0%) and 230
32 females (52.0%) adolescents studied, the overall prevalence of STH observed was 35.2%
33 (156/443). *Ascaris lumbricoides* was the prevalent STH species (16.9%), followed by *Trichuris*
34 *trichiura* (1.4%) and hookworm (0.5%). Only light-intensity infection was observed. Mixed
35 infections were observed in 16.5% of adolescents, involving *A. lumbricoides* and hookworm

36 (10.8%), followed by *A. lumbricoides* and *T. trichiura* (3.2%) and all three STH (2.5%). The
37 observed overall prevalence was not statistically significant with respect to gender (OR: 0.961;
38 95% CI: 0.651-1.420; $p > 0.05$) or age (OR: 0.686; 95% CI: 0.459-1.025; $p > 0.05$). Class (grade
39 level) (OR = 1.75, 95% CI: 1.25 - 2.45, $p = 0.003$), knowledge and transmission of STH infection
40 (OR = 0.60, 95% CI: 0.42 - 0.86, $p = 0.008$), parental occupation (OR = 1.90, 95% CI: 1.35 - 2.67,
41 $p < 0.001$), parents' literacy level (OR = 0.68, 95% CI: 0.48 - 0.96, $p = 0.027$), and the type of
42 toilet (OR = 2.15, 95% CI: 1.54 - 3.00, $p < 0.001$) were all significantly correlated with STH
43 infection. These findings highlight the role of adolescents in sustaining soil-transmitted
44 helminthiasis (STH) transmission. Coupled with school-based deworming expansion, innovative
45 improvements in water, sanitation, hygiene, and awareness can provide a cost-effective,
46 sustainable solution for combatting STH infections in Anambra State.

47 **Introduction**

48 Soil-transmitted helminthiasis (STH) remains a persistent global health challenge, imposing a
49 disproportionate burden on impoverished communities with limited access to sanitation and clean
50 water [1]. These neglected tropical diseases (NTDs) are predominantly driven by three major STH
51 species: *Ascaris lumbricoides* (roundworm), *Trichuris trichiura* (whipworm), and the hookworms,
52 *Ancylostoma duodenale* and *Necator americanus* [2]. This group of parasitic infections
53 collectively contributes to a staggering 85% of the global NTD burden, affecting nearly a quarter
54 of the world's population and resulting in 1.9 million years lived with disability (DALYs) [3-4].

55 Regions characterized by poor environmental sanitation, inadequate personal hygiene, limited
56 educational opportunities, low socio-economic status, and constrained access to healthcare and
57 safe drinking water consistently report higher STH prevalence rates [5]. Additionally, children
58 born to mothers infected with these helminths during pregnancy are at elevated risk of infection
59 during their early years [6]. Practices such as geophagy, open defecation, the use of leaves and
60 paper for hygiene, nail-biting, and walking barefoot further contribute to the persistently high
61 prevalence of STH infections [7-9].

62 Sub-Saharan African nations, notably Nigeria, bear the brunt of STH infections, with significant
63 disparities across regions. Notably, southern regions grapple with higher prevalence rates
64 compared to their northern counterparts [10].

65 The cornerstone of STH control lies in mass drug administration (MDA), complemented by health
66 and hygiene education, and the provision of clean water and sanitary facilities to ensure the
67 sustained impact of MDA. However, the effectiveness of these measures is hampered by the
68 oversight of specific demographic groups, particularly adolescents, who often escape targeted
69 interventions, leading to reinfection among treated children and others. In the African context,
70 adolescents frequently assume caregiving roles in the absence of parents and play a pivotal role in
71 shaping the health and well-being of younger individuals. Thus, their adoption of healthy practices
72 and their role in educating others is of paramount significance.

73 While numerous studies have examined STH infections in Anambra State, a conspicuous gap
74 remains, specifically regarding the burden of STH among adolescents [11-14]. Against the
75 backdrop of a decade-long MDA initiative in Anambra State, it is imperative to furnish evidence-
76 based insights into the STH status of adolescents, who represent the future workforce of both the
77 state and Nigeria. Their health and well-being are intricately linked to the nation's economic
78 prosperity. Hence, this study diligently evaluates the endemicity of soil-transmitted helminthiasis
79 and identifies the multifaceted factors that impede its effective control among adolescents in
80 Anambra State.

81 **Materials and Methods**

82 **Study area**

83 The study was carried out in the Anaocha Local Government Area (L.G.A.), situated in Anambra
84 State, within the southeastern region of Nigeria (Fig. 1). Anaocha L.G.A. is one of the twenty-one
85 Local Government Areas in the state, spanning an area of approximately 171.62 square kilometres
86 and hosting an estimated population of 418,360 distributed across ten communities [15-16]. Two
87 communities were randomly selected from the LGA namely, Adazi-Nnukwu and Agulu by ballot
88 method to mitigate bias [17].

89 **Fig. 1: Map of the Anambra State Showing the Selected Local Government Area and the**
90 **Locations Chosen for the Study (Source: Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Geography**
91 **Information System Laboratory, 2023)**

92 Adazi-Nnukwu, positioned at coordinates 6.1018° N and 7.0122° E within Anaocha LGA, is a
93 community nestled within the Nri-Awka Area. In contrast, Agulu, located at coordinates 6.1160°
94 N and 7.0678° E, serves as the largest town within Anaocha LGA. The populace is predominantly
95 of the Igbo ethnic group who speak both Igbo and English languages. Christianity and traditional
96 religions are the main religions practised in this area. The study area's vegetation is classified under
97 the tropical rainforest zone, though it has been significantly altered by various human activities,
98 resulting in a remnant of trees and grasses. The region experiences a humid climate characterized
99 by two distinct seasons: the dry season spanning from November to March and the rainy season
100 from April to October. Topographic features in the study area encompass uplands, lowlands,
101 stream channels, and gullies of variable dimensions. The elevation above sea level in both
102 communities ranges from 65 metres to 212 metres. The average temperature is estimated at 25.5°C,
103 and an annual rainfall of approximately 1,897 millimetres with relative humidity peaking at 85%
104 during the rainy season and averaging 65% during the dry season. The majority of residents are
105 farmers, traders, civil servants and teachers. The health centres and hospitals present in the L.G.A.

106 grapple with critical challenges, chief among them being the shortage of qualified healthcare
107 personnel and the absence of well-equipped laboratories. Also, there is a need for improved
108 sanitary infrastructure as open defecation is a prevalent practice in these communities due to the
109 lack of accessible public toilets. Additionally, it is pertinent to note that a significant majority of
110 government-owned schools, commonly referred to as public schools, are often perceived as
111 institutions catering primarily to economically disadvantaged students [13], further accentuating
112 the complex issues surrounding access to quality education and healthcare within these areas.

113 **Study design**

114 A school-based, cross-sectional study was conducted from 8 February to 7 July 2023. Only
115 government-owned secondary schools that had a mixed population of male and female students
116 were enrolled in the study. Eligible participants were adolescents aged 10 to 19 years who had
117 resided in the chosen communities for at least three years and were enrolled in the selected
118 secondary schools. Adolescents who were on medications or had taken anthelmintic drugs within
119 a month before the commencement of the study were excluded from this study.

120 **Study population**

121 The study population consisted of secondary school students from junior secondary classes 1-3
122 and senior secondary classes 1-2 enrolled in government-owned secondary schools namely: Union
123 Secondary School, Agulu, and Community Secondary School, Adazi-Nnukwu who were aged
124 between 10-19 years and had provided consent to participate in the study. The senior secondary
125 class 3 was not enrolled in the study due to the ongoing West African Senior School Certificate
126 Examination (WASSCE). This exclusion was necessary as the scheduled exam times conflicted
127 with the time for collecting samples.

128 **Sample size and sampling technique**

129 The sample size was determined using the single population proportion formula for health studies
130 [18] and assuming a 44.2% prevalence rate of STH derived from a previous study conducted in
131 Anambra State [12].

$$132 \quad n = \frac{Z^2 \times p (1 - p)}{d^2} \text{Where:}$$

133 n = minimum sample size

134 Z = reliability coefficient = 1.96 at 95% confidence interval

135 p = Expected prevalence probability of success = 44.2% = 0.442.

136 d = margin of error = 5% = 0.05

$$137 \quad n = \frac{1.96^2 \times 0.442 (1 - 0.442)}{0.05^2} = 379$$

138 The minimum sample size required for this study was 379. However, the sample size was increased
139 to 443 to maximize precision and mitigate errors from possible withdrawals and missed data.

140 Using the stratified random sampling technique [19], participants were randomly selected from 5
141 strata based on their classes, ranging from Junior Secondary School (JSS) to Senior Secondary
142 School (SSS) (JSS 1 – SSS 2).

143 **Data collection**

144 Oral interviews and a pre-tested questionnaire were used to collect information on socio-
145 demographic characteristics and risk factors for STH. The questionnaire data was collected using
146 the Kobo toolbox during the same period as the stool samples, between 8:00 a.m. and 12:00 p.m.

147 Information was collected on age, class, parents' occupation, parents' literacy level, availability of
148 toilet at home and the type of toilet available, source of drinking water, availability of water
149 facilities and hand washing facilities in the school and home, and hygiene behaviour. Knowledge
150 about STH was documented with emphasis on (i) awareness, (ii) source of information, and (iii)
151 transmission routes. We also documented (i) if adolescents have ever been dewormed, (ii) when
152 they were last dewormed, and (iii) who administered the medicine. In addition to this, focus group
153 discussions (FGDs) were employed to gather more insights, where the adolescents were divided
154 into groups of five based on gender and age.

155 **Stool sample collection and examination**

156 Stool samples were collected from each adolescent into labelled, sterilized, dry, leak-proof, and
157 screw-cap stool containers. To ensure proper identification, each container was accurately labelled
158 and coded according to the participant's identity. The collected specimens were checked to ensure
159 the correctness of labels, quantity, and collection procedure. Stool sample collection was done
160 between 8:00 a.m. and 12:00 p.m. to ensure that the samples were fresh and suitable for analysis.
161 The stool samples were then stored in an ice-packed box and transported promptly to the
162 Laboratory of the Department of Zoology, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Anambra State,
163 Nigeria within a maximum of an hour to prevent degradation and contamination. The standard
164 Kato-Katz technique was used to examine stool specimens for the presence of *A. lumbricoides*, *T.*
165 *trichiura*, and hookworm eggs. The prepared slides were examined under the microscope with $\times 10$
166 and $\times 40$ magnifications. All eggs detected in the preparations were identified, counted, and
167 multiplied by a factor of 24, with the results represented as eggs per gram of stool (EPG) for the
168 intensity of infection, according to WHO criteria [20]. All the Kato-Katz slides were prepared

169 immediately after the stool specimens were received in the laboratory and read in line with the
170 WHO-recommended reading time (30 to 60 minutes).

171 **Quality Control/Assurance**

172 The completeness of the questionnaire and the quantity of the sample were checked daily. Data
173 collectors were supervised during data collection. For egg quantification, each prepared slide was
174 examined by a laboratory technologist and the researcher, and the average result was taken. Stool
175 samples were randomly selected for quality control and re-examined by a laboratory technologist
176 and the results were recorded separately and compared with the original egg count data.

177 **Data analysis**

178 The collected data from the questionnaires were checked for completeness and consistency using
179 Kobo toolbox. The data was then exported in Excel format from Kobo toolbox to Microsoft Excel,
180 where it was coded, and imported into the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences software
181 version 25 (SPSS) for statistical analysis. Descriptive statistics such as frequencies and percentages
182 were used to describe the demographic characteristics of the study participants. The mean intensity
183 of the parasite EPG of stool was calculated for all infected and non-infected individuals.
184 Multinomial logistic regression analyses were employed to identify the association of each
185 independent variable with the dependent variable. The strength of the association between risk
186 factors and STH infection was tested by odds ratio with 95% confidence intervals. In all cases, a
187 p-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

188 **Ethical approval and informed consent**

189 Ethical clearance was obtained from the Health Research and Ethics Committee of Chukwuemeka
190 Odumegwu Ojukwu University Teaching Hospital (COOUTH), Anambra (ref no:
191 COOUTH/CMAC/ETH.C/Vol.1/FN:04/247) and an official permission was sought from Post
192 Primary Schools Service Commission. The parents, guardians and teachers were sensitized during
193 the Parents Teachers Association meetings in the selected schools on the aim and objectives of this
194 research. Written informed consent was obtained from adolescents aged 18 to 19 years.
195 Adolescents aged between 10 to 17 years gave assent to participate and completed assent forms
196 through their parents or legal guardians.

197 **Results**

198 **Socio-demographic characteristics and hygiene conditions of the** 199 **adolescents studied**

200 As presented in Table 1, a total of 443 adolescents aged 10 – 19 years old were recruited for this
201 study from the schools visited. Among them, 51.9% (230/443) were females and 48.1% (213/443)
202 were males. The mean age of the study participants was 14.09 years (SD: ± 2.47 years).
203 Adolescents between the ages of 10-14 years were 58.2% (258/443), while 41.8% (185/443) were
204 between the ages of 15-19 years. Also, 61.4% (272/443) and 38.6 (171/443) of the adolescents
205 studied were from Agulu and Adazi-Nnukwu respectively. Most of the study participants (65.9%,
206 292/443) had parents who were traders/entrepreneurs. A majority of them had parents who had
207 completed secondary school education, 63.7% (282/443). There was no toilet facility in the
208 schools.

209 **Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of adolescents in Anaocha L.G.A., Anambra**
 210 **State, Nigeria (n = 443)**

Variable	Category	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male	213	48.1
	Female	230	51.9
Age (years)	10-14	258	58.2
	15-19	185	41.8
Location	Adazi-Nnukwu	171	38.6
	Agulu	272	61.4
Parents' occupation	Traders/Entrepreneurs	292	65.9
	Teachers/Civil Servants	57	12.9
	Farmers	64	14.4
	Drivers	8	1.9
	Professionals (lawyers and health practitioners)	13	2.9
	Traders/Entrepreneurs and Professionals	9	2.0
Parents' literacy level	No formal education	13	2.9
	Primary education	72	16.3

	Secondary education	282	63.7
	Tertiary education	76	17.2
Water facility in the school	Not available	272	61.4
	Available	171	38.6
Water facility in the home	Not available	21	4.7
	Poor	27	6.1
	Fair	113	25.5
	Good	199	44.9
	Excellent	83	18.7
Drinking water source	Borehole/tap	365	82.4
	Sachet/bottled water	51	11.5
	River/Stream	27	6.1
Hand washing facility in school	Available	171	38.6
	Not available	272	61.4
Hand washing facility at home	Not available	28	6.3
	Poor	63	14.2
	Fair	135	30.5
	Good	173	39.1
	Excellent	44	9.9
Toilet in the home	Available	418	94.4
	Not available	25	5.6
Type of toilet in	Water cistern	292	65.9

the home	Pit toilet	126	28.4
	Bush/open defecation	25	5.6

211

212 **Prevalence of soil-transmitted helminths infection among adolescents**
213 **studied**

214 The overall prevalence of STH infection among adolescents studied was found to be 35.2%
215 (156/443) (95% CI: 30.6-39.7). Parasite-specific infection prevalence was 16.9% (75/443) for *A.*
216 *lumbricoides*, 1.4% (6/443) for *T. trichiura*, and 0.5% (2/443) for hookworm. Most of the
217 adolescents had a single infection 18.7% (83/443) while 16.5% (73/443) of them had multiple
218 infections, with the most prevalent being *A. lumbricoides* and hookworm 10.8% (48/443),
219 followed by *A. lumbricoides* and *T. trichiura* 3.2% (14/443), and *A. lumbricoides*, *T. trichiura*, and
220 hookworm 2.5% (11/443). The prevalence of STH infection was slightly higher in males 35.7%
221 (76/213) than in females 34.8% (80/230) (OR: 0.961; 95% CI: 0.651-1.420; p=0.843) and in
222 adolescents aged 10-14 years 38.8% (100/258) (OR: 0.686; 95% CI: 0.459-1.025; p=0.066) (Table
223 2). The prevalence of STH parasites among adolescents who knew about STH infections was found
224 at 40.3% (124/308). Of the 9.7% (43/443) who got this information from MAMs, 58.1% (25/43)
225 were infected with STH (Fig. 2.)

226 **Fig. 2: Awareness and prevalence of soil-transmitted helminthiasis among adolescents**
227 **studied in Anaocha L.G.A., Anambra State.**

228

229

10-14	258	33 (12.8)	2 (0.8)	3 (1.2)	11 (4.3)	40 (15.5)	11 (4.3)	62 (24.0)	100 (38.8)	0.686 (0.459- 1.025)	0.066 ^{NS}
15-19*	185	42 (22.7)	0 (0.0)	3 (1.6)	3 (1.6)	8 (4.3)	0 (0.0)	11 (6.0)	56 (30.3)	1.00	
Total	443	75(16.9)	2 (0.5)	6 (1.4)	14 (3.2)	48 (10.8)	11(2.5)	73 (16.5)	156 (35.2)		
Location											
Adazi- Nnukwu	171	45(26.3)	0 (0.0)	6 (3.5)	6 (3.5)	9 (5.3)	3(1.8)	18 (10.5)	69(40.4)	0.695 (0.467- 1.035)	0.073 ^{NS}
Agulu*	272	30(11.0)	2 (0.7)	0 (0.0)	8 (2.9)	39(14.3)	8(2.9)	55 (20.2)	87(32.0)	1.00	
Total	443	75(16.9)	2 (0.5)	6 (1.4)	14(3.2)	48(10.8)	11(2.5)	73(16.5)	156 (35.2)		

OR = Odds ratio, CI = Confidence interval, * = Reference category, ^S = significant (p<0.05), ^{NS} = Not significant (p>0.05)

Intensity of soil-transmitted helminths infection among adolescents studied

Results showed that all 156 adolescents with STH infections had light-intensity infections of *A. lumbricoides*, hookworm, and *T. trichiura*. The overall mean EPG of *A. lumbricoides* was 109.14 (95% CI: 95.77-122.50). However, female adolescents had a higher arithmetic mean EPG of 109.4 (95% CI: 92.14-126.66) than males, whose mean EPG was 108.85 (95% CI: 87.77-129.92). Meanwhile, male adolescents infected with *T. trichiura* had a higher mean EPG of 39.43 (95% CI: 27.76-51.09) compared to females whose mean EPG was 32.47 (95% CI: 26.39-38.55). The overall mean EPG for *T. trichiura* was 35.61 (95% CI: 29.65-41.57), and for hookworm, it was 59.02 (95% CI: 32.05-85.99) (Table 3).

Table 3: Mean intensity of STH among adolescents studied in Anaocha L.G.A., Anambra State, Nigeria.

STH	Category	Number Infected (%)	Arithmetic Mean EPG	Range	95% Confidence Interval
<i>A. lumbricoides</i>	Sex				
	Male	71 (48.0)	108.85	(24-360)	(87.77-129.92)
	Female	77 (52.0)	109.4	(24-360)	(92.14-126.66)
	Age				
	10-14 years	98 (66.2)	104.33	(24-360)	(89.0-119.65)
	15-19 years	50 (33.8)	118.56	(24-360)	(92.22-144.9)
	Community				

	Adazi-Nnukwu	63 (42.6)	116.57	(24-360)	(94.38-138.76)
	Agulu	85 (57.4)	103.62	(24-360)	(86.86-120.38)
	Overall	148 (100)	109.14	(24-360)	(95.77-122.50)
<i>T. trichiura</i>	Sex				
	Male	14 (45.2)	39.43	(24-72)	(27.76-51.09)
	Female	17 (54.8)	32.47	(24-48)	(26.39-38.55)
	Age				
	10-14 years	22 (71.0)	30.55	(24-48)	(25.69-35.40)
	15-19 years	9 (29.0)	48.0	(24-72)	(32.02-63.98)
	Community				
	Adazi-Nnukwu	15 (48.4)	38.4	(24-72)	(27.39-49.41)
	Agulu	16 (51.6)	33.0	(24-48)	(26.61-39.39)
		Overall	31 (100)	35.61	(24-72)
Hookworm	Sex				
	Male	33 (54.1)	47.27	(24-120)	(35.72-58.83)
	Female	28 (45.9)	72.86	(24-840)	(14.03-131.69)
	Age				
	10-14 years	53 (86.9)	60.68	(24-840)	(29.61-91.75)
	15-19 years	8 (13.1)	48.0	(24-72)	(29.42-66.58)
	Community				
	Adazi Nnukwu	12 (19.7)	36.0	(24-48)	(28.04-43.96)
	Agulu	49 (80.3)	64.65	(24-840)	(31.08-98.22)
		Overall	61 (100)	59.02	(24-840)

Risk factors of soil-transmitted helminths infections among adolescents studied

The results showed that adolescents who had no knowledge about STH infection (OR: 4.286; 95% CI: 1.423-12.906; $p < 0.05$) were significantly 4.3.times more likely to be infected with one or more species of STH than those who had prior knowledge (Fig. 3) (Table 4). Furthermore, adolescents who received education about STH infections in school (OR: 0.455; 95% CI: 0.276-0.749; $p < 0.05$) and through MAMs (OR: 0.224; 95% CI: 0.108-0.461; $p < 0.05$) were less likely to be infected compared to those who had no knowledge of the infection (Fig. 3) (Table 4).

Fig. 3: Association of knowledge and source of knowledge about soil-transmitted helminths with soil-transmitted helminths infection among adolescents studied in Anaocha L.G.A., Anambra State.

Table 4: Multinomial logistic regression analysis of risk factors associated with any soil-transmitted helminths infection among adolescents studied in Anaocha L.G.A., Anambra State (n=443)

	Category	NE	NI (%)	OR (95% CI)	p-value
STH knowledge	No knowledge	135	32 (23.7)	4.286 (1.423-12.906)	0.010 ^S
	Slightly knowledgeable	293	115 (38.2)	2.424 (0.840-6.994)	0.101 ^{NS}
	Very knowledgeable*	15	9 (60.0)	1.00	

Source of knowledge	School	175	71 (40.6)	0.455 0.749)	(0.276-	0.002 ^S
	Church	37	10 (27.0)	0.839 1.918)	(0.367-	0.677 ^{NS}
	Mass media	53	18 (34.0)	0.604 1.208)	(0.302-	0.154 ^{NS}
	Mass administration of medicines (MAMs)	43	25 (58.1)	0.224 0.461)	(0.108-	0.000 ^S
	No*	135	32 (23.7)	1.00		
STH transmission	Skin penetration	5	2 (40.0)	0.066 0.284)	(0.015-	0.001 ^S
	No idea	360	122 (33.9)	5.526 9.270)	(3.298-	0.001 ^S
	Oral-faecal route	11	2 (18.6)	3.650 18.181)	(0.732-	0.114 ^{NS}
	Food and water-borne route*	67	30 (44.8)	1.00		
Parents' occupation	Traders/Entrepreneurs	292	90 (30.8)	7.856 38.559)	(1.600-	0.011 ^S
	Teachers/Civil Servants	57	21 (36.8)	6.000 31.590)	(1.140-	0.035 ^S
	Farmers	64	30 (46.9)	3.967 20.580)	(0.765-	0.101 ^{NS}

	Drivers	8	0 (0.0)	X		
	Professionals (lawyers/health practitioners)	13	8 (61.5)	2.187 15.044	(0.318-	0.426 ^{NS}
	Traders/Entrepreneurs and Professionals*	9	7 (77.8)	1.00		
Parents' Literacy Level	No formal education	13	6 (46.2)	0.996 3.241)	(0.306-	0.995 ^{NS}
	Primary education	72	18 (25.0)	2.561 5.150)	(1.274-	0.008 ^S
	Secondary education	282	97 (34.4)	1.628 2.721)	(0.974-	0.063 ^{NS}
	Tertiary education*	76	35 (46.1)	1.00		
Class	JSS 1	171	86 (50.3)	0.291 0.556)	(0.152-	0.000 ^S
	JSS 2	91	14 (15.4)	1.618 3.635)	(0.720-	0.244 ^{NS}
	JSS 3	45	21 (46.7)	0.336 0.764)	(0.148-	0.009 ^S
	SSS 1	70	20 (28.6)	0.735 1.596)	(0.339-	0.437 ^{NS}
	SSS 2*	66	15 (22.7)	1.00		

Water facility in school	Not available	272	87 (32.0)	1.438 (0.966-2.141)	0.073 ^{NS}
	Available*	171	69 (40.4)	1.00	
Water facility in the home	Not available	21	4 (19.0)	3.099 (0.959-10.016)	0.059 ^{NS}
	Poor	27	7 (25.9)	2.083 (0.794-5.467)	0.136 ^{NS}
	Fair	113	46 (40.7)	1.062 (0.598-1.887)	0.873 ^{NS}
	Good	199	64 (32.2)	1.538 (0.908-2.607)	0.110 ^{NS}
	Excellent*	83	35 (42.2)	1.00	
Drinking water source	Borehole/tap	365	133 (36.4)	0.498 (0.196-1.266)	0.143 ^{NS}
	Sachet/bottled water	51	17 (33.3)	0.571 (0.194-1.679)	0.309 ^{NS}
	River/Stream*	27	6 (22.2)	1.00	
Toilet type at home	Water cistern	292	105(36.0)	2.671 (1.159-6.158)	0.021 ^S
	Pit toilet	126	36 (28.6)	3.750 (1.542-9.119)	0.004 ^S
	Bush/open defecation*	25	15 (60.0)	1.00	

Hand washing facility in school	Not available	272	87 (32.0)	1.438 (0.966-2.141)	0.073 ^{NS}
	Available*	171	69 (40.4)	1.00	
Hand washing facility at home	Poor	63	23 (36.5)	0.966 (0.382-2.443)	0.942 ^{NS}
	Fair	135	45 (33.3)	1.111 (0.474-2.604)	0.808 ^{NS}
	Good	173	66 (38.2)	0.901 (0.392-2.069)	0.805 ^{NS}
	Excellent	44	12 (27.3)	1.481 (0.535-4.103)	0.450 ^{NS}
	Not available*	28	10 (35.7)	1.00	
Hand washing after defecation	Always	0	0	X	
	Often	134	35 (26.1)	1.665 (1.015-2.733)	0.044 ^S
	Sometimes	139	58 (41.7)	0.822 (0.520-1.301)	0.403 ^{NS}
	Never*	170	63 (37.1)	1.00	
Washing fruits	Always	0	0	X	
	Often	66	27 (40.9)	0.807 (0.465-1.401)	0.446 ^{NS}
	Sometimes	112	34 (30.4)	1.286 (0.798-2.061)	0.305 ^{NS}

	Never*	265	95 (35.8)	1.00		
Hand washing before and after meals	Always	59	21 (35.6)	0.995 (0.540-1.835)		0.988 ^{NS}
	Often	198	69 (34.8)	1.028 (0.676-1.564)		0.896 ^{NS}
	Never	0	0	X		
	Sometimes*	186	66 (35.5)	1.00		
Nail hygiene	Well-trimmed and dirty	177	75 (42.2)	0.503 (0.319-0.794)		0.003 ^S
	Untrimmed and dirty	62	34 (54.8)	0.304 (0.166-0.559)		0.000 ^S
	Untrimmed and clean	41	3 (7.3)	4.683 (1.376-15.947)		0.014 ^S
	Well-trimmed and clean*	163	44 (27.0)	1.00		
Nail biting	Yes	133	50 (37.6)	0.789 (0.500-1.244)		0.308 ^{NS}
	Sometimes	102	39 (38.2)	0.768 (0.468-1.258)		0.294 ^{NS}
	No*	208	67 (32.2)	1.00		
Walking barefoot	Yes	110	43 (39.1)	0.563 (0.331-0.956)		0.033 ^S

	Sometimes	186	74 (39.8)	0.547 (0.342-0.874)	0.012 ^S
	No*	147	39 (26.5)	1.00	
STH treatment	Yes	289	108 (37.4)	0.759 (0.501-1.150)	0.194 ^{NS}
	No*	154	48 (31.2)	1.00	
Source of STH treatment	Mass administration of medicines (MAMs)	82	34 (41.5)	0.639 (0.367-1.115)	0.115 ^{NS}
	Over the counter	183	62 (33.9)	0.884 (0.559-1.397)	0.597 ^{NS}
	Hospitals	24	12 (50.0)	0.453 (0.190-1.081)	0.074 ^{NS}
	No*	154	48 (31.2)	1.00	
Duration of last deworming	1-3 months	37	22 (59.5)	0.309 (0.147-0.647)	0.002 ^S
	4-6 months	35	15 (42.9)	0.604 (0.285-1.280)	0.188 ^{NS}
	Above 6 months	217	71 (32.7)	0.931 (0.598-1.451)	0.753 ^{NS}
	No*	154	48 (31.2)	1.00	
Who administered the medicine?	Family member	165	64 (38.8)	0.715 (0.450-1.135)	0.155 ^{NS}

	Health practitioner	124	44 (35.5)	0.823 (0.499-1.360)	0.447 ^{NS}
	No*	154	48 (31.2)	1.00	
How important do you think it is to prevent STH infections?	Very important	356	119 (33.4)	1.328 (0.219-8.054)	0.758 ^{NS}
	Somewhat important	82	35 (42.7)	0.895 (0.142-5.648)	0.906 ^{NS}
	Not important*	5	2 (40.0)	1.00	

NE = Number examined, NI = Number Infected, OR = Odds ratio, CI = Confidence interval,

* = Reference category, ^S = significant (p<0.05), ^{NS} = Not significant (p>0.05)

Adolescents who had no idea how STH infection was transmitted (OR: 5.526; 95% CI: 3.298-9.270; p<0.05) were 5.5 times more likely to be infected (Fig. 4) (Table 4).

Fig. 4: Association of knowledge of infection transmission route with soil-transmitted helminths infection among adolescents studied in Anaocha L.G.A., Anambra State

Regarding STH infection with parents' occupation indicates that adolescents whose parents were traders/entrepreneurs (OR: 7.856; 95% CI: 1.600-38.559; p<0.05) and teacher/civil servants (OR: 6.000; 95% CI: 1.140-31.590; p<0.05) were significantly more likely to be infected (Fig. 5) (Table 4). Another significant finding was the level of education of their parents, those whose parents completed only primary education (OR: 2.561; 95% CI: 1.274-5.150; p<0.05) were 2.6 times more likely to be infected with STH infection compared to those whose parents attained tertiary education (Fig. 6) (Table 4).

Fig. 5: Association of parents' occupation with soil-transmitted helminths infection among adolescents studied in Anaocha L.G.A., Anambra State

Fig. 6: Association of parents' literacy level with soil-transmitted helminths infection among adolescents studied in Anaocha L.G.A., Anambra State

Adolescents in JSS 1 class (OR: 0.291; 95% CI: 0.152-0.556; $p < 0.05$) and JSS 3 class (OR: 0.336; 95% CI: 0.148-0.764; $p < 0.05$) were significantly less likely to be infected compared to adolescents in SSS 2 class (Fig. 7) (Table 4). Adolescents who used pit toilets (OR: 3.750; 95% CI: 1.542-9.119; $p < 0.05$) and water cisterns (OR: 2.671; 95% CI: 1.159-6.158; $p < 0.05$) were more likely to be infected than those who practised open defecation (Fig. 8) (Table 4).

Fig. 7: Association of adolescents' class in school with soil-transmitted helminths infection among adolescents studied in Anaocha L.G.A., Anambra State

Fig. 8: Association of type of toilet in the home with soil-transmitted helminth infection among adolescents studied in Anaocha L.G.A., Anambra State.

Gender, age, source of drinking water, biting nails, washing hands before and after meals, and washing fruits did not have any significant statistical relationship with positive diagnosis of STH infection.

Discussion

This study found that the overall prevalence of soil-transmitted helminths (STH) among adolescents in Anaocha LGA was 35.2%, which classifies the area as a "moderate risk area" for preventive therapy based on WHO standards [21]. This suggests that Adazi-Nnukwu and Agulu are endemic for STH infections. Despite efforts to control STH infections through mass drug

administration (MDA) since 2013 in Anambra state, the infections persist among adolescents. This may be due to potential gaps in school-based MDA, which primarily target nursery and primary school-aged children, resulting in many adolescents in secondary schools being overlooked. The selective approach may have contributed to the notable prevalence of STH among adolescents, posing challenges in completely eradicating this disease. Various studies also suggest that the targeted intervention approach hinders the control and elimination of infections [22-24]. This is because infected adolescents may act as significant carriers of this infection, contributing to its continuous transmission within the community.

The prevalence observed in this study was higher compared to previous research conducted in Anambra State [11, 13-14, 25-26]. However, it was lower than the prevalence reported in the studies conducted by [8] and [27] respectively, also within Anambra State. The dissimilarities could be attributed to variations in socio-cultural factors, behavioural traits, climatic conditions, the implementation of prevention and control measures, and the frequency and effectiveness of MAMs in the different communities. Additionally, variations in diagnostic techniques used in the studies may have also contributed to the differences observed.

The predominant helminth parasite was *Ascaris lumbricoides* (16.9%), followed by *Trichuris trichiura* (1.4%) and hookworm (0.5%). These findings align with earlier studies that also reported *A. lumbricoides* as the most prevalent STH [11-12, 25, 28-29] but contrasted with [26] and [30] who reported hookworm as the most prevalent STH. Thus, the high prevalence of *A. lumbricoides* among adolescents in this study highlights its continued dominance as the primary helminth parasite in Anambra state. This can be attributed to the long lifespan and high fecundity rate of the female worm, which results in the daily release of a large number of eggs into the environment.

Furthermore, the embryonated eggs of *A. lumbricoides* are highly resilient to harsh environmental conditions compared to other STH.

Studies show that most cases of helminthic infections in Africa occur in the form of co-infections rather than isolated infections due to common epidemiological factors that facilitate the spread of these diseases [31-33]. In this study, 16.5% of adolescents studied had multiple infections, which was higher compared to previous studies conducted in southern Nigeria [12, 31, 34-35]. This is not surprising, especially in areas where helminth infections are endemic, as prolonged exposure increases the likelihood of acquiring multiple infections. Notably, the most predominant co-infection was *A. lumbricoides* and hookworm (10.8%) which is consistent with the findings of [30, 32]. Furthermore, 2.5% of the study population harboured all three STH species simultaneously. Common factors that could contribute to these co-infections are low standard of living and poor hygiene practices [32-33]. These findings are particularly important because individuals with multiple infections may be at a higher risk of experiencing multiple health problems and significant morbidity associated with helminth infections. A noteworthy incidental observation made during this study involved a female adolescent harbouring a co-infection of *A. lumbricoides* and hookworm, who also passed uric acid crystals in her stool and was found to have pubic lice (*Phthirus pubis*). These additional findings may indicate the presence of underlying health conditions that require further investigation.

All the adolescents who participated in the study had light-intensity infections of *A. lumbricoides*, *T. trichiura* and hookworm, which is consistent with previous reports [11-13, 31, 36]. The absence of heavy-intensity infection indicates a low transmission risk and could be attributed to the ongoing MAMs in the state. However, people with light-intensity infections often do not seek treatment due to the absence of noticeable symptoms. This could contribute to the contamination of the

environment and the sustained transmission of the parasites in the community. Therefore, it is important to treat adolescents with light-intensity infections, even if they do not have any symptoms. This will help to reduce the burden of parasitic eggs and the prevalence of the disease. The intensity of hookworm and *A. lumbricoides* infections was observed to be higher in females, except for infection with *T. trichiura* which was higher in males. Conversely, the intensity of hookworm was higher in adolescents aged 10-14 years, contrary to *A. lumbricoides* and *T. trichiura* which were higher among those aged 15-19 years. However, none of these changes were statistically significant. More study is required to investigate the potential factors underlying the observed gender and age disparities in the severity of helminth infection among adolescents.

This study revealed no significant correlation between gender or age and STH infection. This indicates that the infection is not specific to any particular gender or age group and that adolescents have similar levels of exposure to predisposing factors. These findings are consistent with previous studies conducted in Nigeria [11, 13, 25, 37-39].

The study found that while STH infections were more prevalent among adolescents in JSS 1, they were the least likely to be infected with STH among the study population. This could be attributed to the previous deworming medicines they had received during MAMs programs while in primary school which conferred enhanced protection as they transitioned into secondary school. However, poor personal hygiene habits among those in JSS 2 may have contributed to the increased risk of infection observed in this group. In contrast, JSS 3 and SSS 1 students had lower chances of infection, possibly because they had become more aware of their hygiene and as senior students, were expected to maintain higher levels of cleanliness and hygiene. These findings highlight the significance of MAMs programs and the immediate need for improved hygiene practices to prevent the spread of STH infections.

The study identified potential risk factors for STH infection (Fig. 9). Adolescents who had no knowledge of STH had higher odds of being infected, than those who had some knowledge. Similar conclusions were reached by other studies, which also identified insufficient knowledge about STH infections as a factor associated with the occurrence of the infection [13, 31, 40-41]. This is because a lack of understanding about STH infections makes individuals more susceptible to infection since they are unaware of the factors that expose them to the infection. Therefore, it is crucial to incorporate health education and sensitization into existing intervention programs to increase awareness. Parental socioeconomic status has been identified as a crucial risk factor for STH infections in school-aged children [39]. This study observed a significant association between parents' occupation and STH. Adolescents whose parents were traders or entrepreneurs were 7.9 times more likely to be infected with STH, while those whose parents were teachers and public servants were 6 times more likely to be infected. Previous studies however, have reported higher odds of infection among school children whose parents were farmers [28, 42-44]. Similarly, the likelihood of acquiring STH infection was higher among adolescents whose parents/guardians had attained primary education than those whose parents/guardians had no formal education, although the observed prevalence was lower in the primary group compared to the no formal education group. This is in contrast with the reports of [31, 45] but supports the argument of [40] who suggested that this may be due to parents spending more time on outdoor activities to provide for their families, resulting in less time spent with their children. As a result, adolescents may have poor personal and food hygiene and engage in outdoor activities that increase their risk of STH infection.

Fig. 9: Risk factors associated with soil-transmitted helminths infection among adolescents studied in Anaocha L.G.A., Anambra State

The likelihood of infection with soil-transmitted helminths in adolescents who did not have toilet and water facilities in school was higher than in adolescents who did. This was expected as the schools visited in the study area had no toilets available and substandard water facilities. This leads to indiscriminate defecation by adolescents in the school surroundings exposing them to infections with soil-transmitted helminths. This concurs with findings from similar studies conducted in Nigeria [12, 26-27, 31]. Using water cisterns and pit toilets at home was significantly associated with higher odds of infection, which contradicts the findings of other studies [28, 40, 46-48]. The higher infection odds observed for adolescents who use water cistern is not surprising since the majority of the adolescents who tested positive for STH infections do not wash their hands after defecation. This could be due to a lack of toilet and water facilities in the schools attended by the adolescents in the study, causing them to resort to open defecation in nearby bushes around their schools, thus fostering unsanitary habits during their school hours and might increase their risk of infection despite the use of water cisterns at home. Additionally, this could be linked to the improper maintenance and poor sanitation of the toilet. Although water cisterns are renowned for their protective attributes against STH, their effectiveness diminishes if it is not provided alongside adequate water supply to ensure personal cleanliness and cleanliness of the latrine, increasing the chances of faecal contamination as has been reported by [13].

It is interesting to note that adolescents who had received deworming treatment 1-3 months before the study had significantly lower odds of infection compared to those who had received treatment more than 6 months before the study underlining the pivotal role of regular deworming treatments to substantially reduce the risk of STH. However, there was a high prevalence of STH infections among adolescents who had been dewormed indicating cases of reinfection. This finding is consistent with a study conducted in rural Ghana [49] and may be attributed to potential resistance

to the recommended medication and its efficacy. It is important to note that anthelmintic drugs have varying levels of effectiveness against different species of STH, and they are particularly ineffective against *Trichuris trichiura* when using single-dose treatments [50]. Previous comparative studies have shown that a triple-dose regimen is more effective in treating STH than a single or double-dose regimen, particularly in co-endemic areas where all three STH species are prevalent [51-53]. Additionally, a study found that the combination therapy of ivermectin-albendazole had good tolerability and higher efficacy against *T. trichiura* compared to the current standard single-dose albendazole treatment, supporting its use in PC programs [54].

Conclusion

Over one-third of the adolescents studied in Anaocha Local Government area, Anambra State, were infected with at least one STH species. Although the intensity of STH was low, there is a need for a more comprehensive preventive approach that includes all adolescents in the study area. This is because adolescents could act as significant reservoirs for reinfection of treated children and other at-risk groups in the community, posing serious challenges to the target of eliminating STH as a public health issue in Anambra State. Therefore, it is imperative to revise the treatment strategy and prioritize the inclusion of adolescents in all MDA interventions in Anambra state, Nigeria. Additionally, implementing innovative intervention strategies aimed at controlling or preventing STH infection is crucial. Health education programs utilizing creative mediums such as theatre, art, and music, which involve adolescents as educators and advocates, should be developed to enhance community engagement. Alongside this, embracing innovative toilet designs, waste management systems, and sanitation technologies that are both cost-effective and sustainable at the community and household levels is essential. Furthermore, there is a need to initiate behaviour change campaigns that employ novel approaches like gamification, mobile apps,

and social media. These platforms can effectively educate adolescents about the significance of hygiene practices, regular deworming, and proper sanitation. By harnessing these innovative techniques, a more comprehensive and impactful approach to combating STH infections and improving public health in Anambra State and similar states in the country can be attained.

Limitations

Intervention programs should be conducted at a time when all demographic groups can participate to avoid exclusion as was the case in this study where the senior secondary class 3 (SS3) was not included in the study due to the ongoing West African Senior Secondary Examinations (WASSCE) at the time of the study. Additionally, the laboratory technique used in this study, the Kato-Katz technique, has limited sensitivity to light-intensity infections and cannot accurately detect *Strongyloides stercoralis*, which may have resulted in missing some infections. Furthermore, while this technique is acknowledged for its heightened sensitivity for *A. lumbricoides* and *T. trichiura*, it is less sensitive for hookworms, which may have contributed to the low prevalence of hookworm infection reported in this study.

Acknowledgements

We would like to extend our heartfelt appreciation to the Health Research and Ethical Committee at Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University Teaching Hospital (COOUTH), the Head of NTDs at Anambra Ministry of Health, the Chairperson and Head of Research, Post Primary Schools Service Commission (PPSC) for facilitation and support of this project. We are also thankful to the laboratory technologists of the Department of Zoology, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka for collecting and examining the stool samples. We are grateful to the school principals, teachers and students of Community secondary school, Adazi-Nnukwu and Union

secondary school, Agulu for their cooperation in participating and providing the necessary information and stool samples. Without them, this research project would not have been possible.

References

1. Molyneux DH, Savioli L, Engels D. Neglected tropical diseases: progress towards addressing the chronic pandemic. *Lancet (London, England)*, 2017; 389(10066), 312–325. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(16\)30171-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30171-4)
2. Okoyo C, Campbell SJ, Williams K, Simiyu E, Owaga C, Mwandawiro C. Prevalence, intensity and associated risk factors of soil-transmitted helminth and schistosome infections in Kenya: Impact assessment after five rounds of mass drug administration in Kenya. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, 2020; 14(10): e0008604. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0008604>
3. World Health Organization (WHO). (2023). Soil-transmitted helminth infections. <https://www.who.int/news-room/factsheets/detail/soil-transmitted-helminth-infections>. (Accessed 3 June 2023).
4. Montresor A, Mwinzi P, Mupfasoni D, Garba A. Reduction in DALYs lost due to soil-transmitted helminthiases and schistosomiasis from 2000 to 2019 is parallel to the increase in coverage of the global control programmes. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, 2022; 16(7): e0010575. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0010575>
5. Khurana S, Singh S, Mewara A. Diagnostic techniques for soil-transmitted helminths – Recent advances. *Research and Reports in Tropical Medicine*, 2021; 12: 181-196. <https://doi.org/10.2147/RRTM.S278140>

6. Mehta RS, Rodriguez A, Chico M, Guadalupe I, Broncano N, Sandoval C, *et al.* Maternal geohelminth infections are associated with an increased susceptibility to geohelminth infection in children: a case-control study. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, 2012; 6(7): e1753. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0001753>
7. Ajayi MB, Sani AH, Ezeugwu SMC, Afocha EE, Adesesan AA. Intestinal parasitic infection and body mass index among school children in Oshodi Lagos Nigeria. *Advances in Cytology and Pathology*, 2017; 2(2): 44–49. <https://doi.org/10.15406/acp.2017.02.00015>
8. Okereke JN, Obasi KO, Nwadike PO, Ezeji EU, Udebuani AC. Geo helminths associated with geophagic pupils in selected primary schools in Oyi, Anambra State. *Science Journal of Public Health*, Special issue: Who Is Afraid of the Microbes. 2015; 3(5-1): 45-50. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.sjph.s.2015030501.19>
9. Tchuenté LAT. Control of soil-transmitted helminths in sub-Saharan Africa: diagnosis, drug efficacy concerns and challenges. *Acta Tropica*, 2011; 120(1): S4–S11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2010.07.001>
10. Yaro CA, Kogi E, Luka S A. Spatial distribution and modelling of soil-transmitted helminths infection in Nigeria. *Research Journal of Parasitology*, 2018; 13(2): 19–35. <https://doi.org/10.3923/jp.2018.19.35>
11. Aribodor OB, Ekwunife CA, Sam-Wobo SO, Aribodor DN, Obiora SE, Ugwuanyi IK, *et al.* Status of intestinal helminth infection in schools implementing the home-grown school feeding program and the impact of the program on pupils in Anambra State, Nigeria. *Acta Parasitologica*, 2021; 66: 1528–1537. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11686-021-00429-w>

12. Nwankwo A, Onyebueke AC, Irikannu KC, Nzeukwu CI, Onwuzulike IV, Okafor NM. Soil-transmitted helminths infection and associated risk factors among primary school pupils in Omogho and Awa Communities, Anambra State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Tropical Disease and Health*, 2021; 42: 56-64. <https://doi.org/10.9734/IJTDH/2021/v42i830478>
13. Aribodor OB, Ekwunife CA, Sammy-Wobo OS, Aribodor DN. Risk factors and socio-demographic determinants of intestinal helminthiasis among children in schools that implemented the homegrown school feeding program in Ekwulobia, Anambra State, Southeast Nigeria. *International Journal of Translational Medical Research and Public Health*, 2018; 2(1): 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.21106/ijtmrph.53>
14. Onyido AE, Anumba JU, Ezechukwu GC, Ugha C, Umeanaeto PU, Iwueze MO. Intestinal helminth infections among primary school pupils in Ekwulumili Community, Nnewi South Local Government Area, Anambra State. *Nigerian Journal of Parasitology*, 2017; 38(2): 2017. <https://doi.org/10.4314/njpar.v38i2.10>
15. Ndulue D, Ayadiuno R. Principal component analysis of factors influencing mode of movement in Anambra state, southeast Nigeria. *Turkish Journal of Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation*, 2021; 32(3): 3837-3848.
16. National Population Commission. Report of Nigeria's National Population Commission on the 2006 National Census. *Population and Development Review*, 2007; 33(1): 206–210. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2543460>
17. Rao KV. Review of biostatistics: a manual of statistical methods for use in health, nutrition and anthropology. *Biometrics*, 1998; 54(4):1682.

18. Lwanga SK, Lemeshow S. *Sample Size Determination in Health Studies, A practical manual*. World Health Organization: Geneva. 1991; 1-3.
19. Naing L, Winn T, Rusli BN. Practical issues in calculating the sample size for prevalence studies. *Archives of Orofacial Sciences*, 2006; 1: 9-14.
20. World Health Organization. (2019). Bench aids for the diagnosis of intestinal parasites: World Health Organization; 2019.
21. World Health Organization. Soil-transmitted helminthiases: eliminating as public health problem soil-transmitted helminthiases in children: progress report 2001-2010 and strategic plan 2011-2020. World Health Organization; 2012.
22. Freeman MC, Akogun O, Belizario VJr, Brooker SJ, Gyorkos TW, Imtiaz R, *et al*. Challenges and opportunities for control and elimination of soil-transmitted helminth infection beyond 2020. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, 2019; 13(4): e0007201. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007201>
23. Okoyo C, Nikolay B, Kihara J, Simiyu E, Garn JV, Freeman MC, *et al*. Monitoring the impact of a national school based deworming programme on soil-transmitted helminths in Kenya: the first three years, 2012-2014. *Parasites and Vectors*, 2016; 9(1): 408. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-016-1679-y>
24. Truscott JE, Turner HC, Farrell SH, Anderson RM. Soil-transmitted helminths: Mathematical models of transmission, the impact of mass drug administration and transmission elimination criteria. *Advances in Parasitology*, 2016; 94: 133–198. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.apar.2016.08.002>

25. Nzeukwu CI, Ihejie PO, Irikannu KC, Umeanaeto PU, Nzeukwu AC, Elosiuba NV, *et al.* Prevalence and risk factors for soil-transmitted helminth infections among pupils in Awka South L.G.A, Anambra State, Nigeria=short communication. *The Bioscientist*, 2022; 10(2): 156-166.
26. Onyido AE, Okoye MM, Irikannu KC, Okafor EN, Ugha CN, Umeanaeto PU, *et al.* Intestinal helminth infections among primary school pupils in Nimo community, Njikoka Local Government Area, Anambra state, Southeastern Nigeria. *Journal of Advanced Research in Biology and Pharmacy Research*, 2016; 1(4): 44-48. <https://doi.org/10.53555/nnpbs.v2i4.707>
27. Umeh C, Mbanugo JI, Ezeugoigwe N. Prevalence of intestinal helminthes parasites in stools of nursery and primary schools pupils in Uga, Anambra State, Nigeria. *Sky Journal of Microbiology Research*, 2015; 3(1): 6-10.
28. Anyaegbunam LC, Uwa C. Soil-transmitted helminths among primary school children in Okija, Ihiala Local Government Area, Anambra State. *Tropical Journal of Applied Natural Sciences*, 2019; 2(3): 71-76. <https://doi.org/10.25240/TJANS.2019.2.3.09>
29. Ukibe SN, Ukibe NR, Obi-Okaro AC, Iwueze MO. Prevalence and pattern of soil-transmitted helminths (STHs) among primary school children at Nnewi, Nnewi-North Local Government Area, Anambra State, Nigeria. *Annual Research and Review in Biology*, 2018; 28(1): 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ARRB/2018/42195>
30. Taiwo OT, Sam-Wobo SO, Idowu OA, Talabi AO, Taiwo AM. Comparative assessment of intestinal helminths prevalence in Water, Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH) intervention and non-intervention communities in Abeokuta, Nigeria. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine*, 2017; 7(6): 524-532. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apjtb.2017.05.006>

31. Imalele EE, Braide EI, Emanghe UE, Effanga EO, Usang AU. Soil-transmitted helminth infection among school-age children in Ogoja, Nigeria: implication for control. *Parasitology Research*, 2023; 122(4): 1015–1026. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-023-07809-3>
32. Idowu OA, Babalola AS, Olapegba T. Prevalence of soil-transmitted helminth infection among children under 2 years from urban and rural settings in Ogun state, Nigeria: implication for control strategy. *Egyptian Pediatric Association Gazette*, 2022; 70: 5. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s43054-021-00096-6>
33. Ojurongbe O, Oyesiji KF, Ojo JA, Odewale G, Adefioye OA, Olowe AO, *et al.* Soil transmitted helminth infections among primary school children in Ile-Ife Southwest, Nigeria: A cross-sectional study. *International Research Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences*, 2014; 2(1): 6-10.
34. Aribodor DN, Bassey SA, Yoonuan T, Sam-Wobo SO, Aribodor OB, Ugwuanyi IK. Analysis of schistosomiasis and soil-transmitted helminths mixed infections among pupils in Enugu State, Nigeria: Implications for control. *Infection, Disease and Health*, 2019; 24(2): 98-106. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idh.2018.12.003>
35. Agomo CO, Kuku AA, Odejide FT, Orok AB. Prevalence and intensity of soil-transmitted helminthiasis among school-age children in densely urban populations of Lagos, Nigeria. *University of Lagos Journal of Basic Medical Sciences*, 2017; 5(10): 63-68.
36. Ohuche IO, Ayuk AC, Ubesie AC, Onu JU, Ibe BC. Soil-transmitted helminthiasis: a neglected tropical disease among urban slum dwelling school-aged children of a Sub-Saharan African City. *Nigerian Postgraduate Medical Journal*, 2020; 27: 184-189. https://doi.org/10.4103/npmj.npmj_38_20



37. Akinsanya B, Taiwo A, Adedamola M, Okonofua C. An investigation on the epidemiology and risk factors associated with soil-transmitted helminth infections in Ijebu East Local Government Area, Ogun State, Nigeria. *Scientific African*, 2021; 12: e00757. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sciaf.2021.e00757>
38. Idowu ET, Fagbohun IK, Sanyaolu OL, Oguntuyi KO, Otubanjo OA. Soil-transmitted helminths infections among school-aged children in Lagos state, Nigeria. *Animal Research International*, 2019; 16(3): 3508 – 3518.
39. Salawu SA, Ughele VA. Prevalence of soil-transmitted helminths among school-age children in Ife East Local Government Area, Osun State, Nigeria. *FUTA Journal of Research in Sciences*, 2015; 11: 139-151.
40. Ojja S, Kisaka S, Ediau M, Tuhebwe D, Kisakye AN, Halage AA, et al. Prevalence, intensity and factors associated with soil-transmitted helminths infections among preschool-age children in Hoima district, rural western Uganda. *BMC Infectious Diseases*, 2018; 18: 408. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-018-3289-0>
41. Aniwada EC, Uleanya ND, Igbokwe LN, Onwasigwe C. Soil-transmitted helminths: prevalence, perception and determinants among primary school children in rural Enugu State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Tropical Disease and Health*, 2016; 15(1): 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.9734/IJTDH/2016/24501>
42. Adewale B, Mogaji H, Balogun J, Balogun E, Olamiju F, Herbert D. Monitoring the status of soil-transmitted helminthiasis in non-endemic implementation units: a case study of Borgu in Northcentral Nigeria. *Pathogens*, 2023; 12(3): 491. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens12030491>

43. Avokpaho EFGA, Houngbégnon P, Accrombessi M, Atindégla E, Yard E, Rubin-Means A, et al. Factors associated with soil-transmitted helminths infection in Benin: Findings from the DeWorm3 study. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, 2021; 15(8): e0009646. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0009646>
44. Douglas KE, Amadi CU. Soil transmitted helminth infection among farmers in Ukwu West Local Government Area, Abia State, south-east, Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Medicine: Journal of the National Association of Resident Doctors of Nigeria*, 2016; 25(1): 24–32. <https://doi.org/10.4103/1115-2613.278249>
45. Ross AGP, Olveda RM, Mcmanus DP, Harn DA, Chy D, Li Y, et al. Risk factors for human helminthiases in rural Philippians. *International Journal of Infectious Disease*, 2017; 54: 150-155. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2016.09.025>
46. Alemu Y, Degefa T, Bajiro M, Teshome G. Prevalence and intensity of soil-transmitted helminths infection among individuals in model and non-model households, South West Ethiopia: A comparative cross-sectional community based study. *PLoS ONE*, 2022; 17(10): e0276137. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0276137>
47. Kache R, Phasuk N, Viriyavejakul P, Punsawad C. Prevalence of soil-transmitted helminth infections and associated risk factors among elderly individuals living in rural areas of southern Thailand. *BMC Public Health*, 2020; 20: 1882. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-09986-7>
48. Anunobi JT, Okoye IC, Aguzie IO, Ndukwe YE, Okpasuo OJ. Risk of soil-transmitted helminthiasis among agrarian communities of Kogi State, Nigeria. *Annals of Global Health*, 2019; 85(1): 120. <https://doi.org/10.5334/aogh.2569>

49. Humphries D, Nguyen S, Kumar S, Quagraine JE, Otchere J, Harrison LM, et al. Effectiveness of albendazole for hookworm varies widely by community and correlates with nutritional factors: A cross-sectional study of school-age children in Ghana. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, 2017; 96(2): 347–354. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.16-0682>
50. Moser W, Schindler C, Keiser J. Efficacy of recommended drugs against soil transmitted helminths: systematic review and network meta-analysis. *BMJ (Clinical research ed.)*, 2017; 358: j4307. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.j4307>
51. Tee MZ, Lee SC, Er YX, Yap NJ, Ngui R, Easton AV, et al. Efficacy of triple dose albendazole treatment for soil-transmitted helminth infections. *PLoS ONE*, 2022; 17(8): e0272821. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0272821>
52. Adegnika AA, Zinsou JF, Issifou S, Ateba-Ngoa U, Kassa RF, Feugap EN, et al. Randomized, controlled, assessor-blind clinical trial to assess the efficacy of single- versus repeated-dose albendazole to treat *Ascaris lumbricoides*, *Trichuris trichiura*, and hookworm infection. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 2014; 58(5): 2535–2540. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01317-13>
53. Yap P, Du ZW, Wu FW, Jiang JY, Chen R, Zhou XN, et al. Rapid re-infection with soil-transmitted helminths after triple-dose albendazole treatment of school-aged children in Yunnan, People's Republic of China. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, 2013; 89(1): 23–31. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.13-0009>
54. Palmeirim MS, Hürlimann E, Knopp S, Speich B, Belizario VJr, Joseph SA, et al. Efficacy and safety of co-administered ivermectin plus albendazole for treating soil-transmitted helminths:

A systematic review, meta-analysis and individual patient data analysis. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, 2018; 12(4): e0006458. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006458>

Supporting Information



Figure

Have you heard of STH?
(Number Examined
= 443)

Yes (308, 69.5%)

No (135, 30.5%)



Have you heard of STH?
(Number Infected
= 156)

Yes (124, 79.5%)


No (32, 20.5%)

Figure


medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.15.23295620>; this version posted September 15, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.




Figure




Figure




Figure




Figure



Figure



Figure



Figure