It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

1	bistro	: An R package for vector bloodmeal identification by short tandem repeat overlap				
2 3 4	Zena Lapp ¹ , Lucy Abel ² , Judith Mangeni ³ , Andrew A. Obala ⁴ , Wendy O'Meara ^{1,5} , Steve M. Taylor ^{1,5} , Christine F. Markwalter ¹					
5	¹ Duke Global Health Institute, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA					
6	² Academic Model Providing Access to Healthcare (AMPATH), Eldoret, Kenya					
7	³ Department of Epidemiology and Medical Statistics, School of Public Health, Moi University,					
8	Eldoret, Kenya					
9	⁴ School of Medicine, College of Health Sciences, Moi University, Eldoret, Kenya					
10	⁵ Division of Infectious Diseases, School of Medicine, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA					
11						
12	Corre	sponding author: Christine Markwalter				
13	310 Tr	ent Dr., Durham NC, 27710; <u>christine.markwalter@duke.edu</u>				
14						
15	Keywo	ords: bloodmeal, bloodmeal matching, DNA matching, malaria, match, short tandem				
16	repeat, str, vector-borne disease					
17						
18	ADSTR	act				
19	1.	Measuring vector-human contact in a natural setting can inform precise targeting of				
20 21		directly match human DNA in vector bloodmeals to the individuals who were bitten using				
22		genotype panels of discriminative short tandem repeats (STRs). Existing methods for				
23		matching STR profiles in bloodmeals to the people bitten preclude the ability to match				
24		most incomplete profiles and multi-source bloodmeals to bitten individuals.				
25						
26	2.	We developed bistro, an R package that implements 3 preexisting STR matching				
21 28		methods as well as the package's namesake, bistro, a new algorithm described here.				
29		STR profiles in bloodmeals to people using a dynamic threshold. We evaluated the				
30		algorithm's accuracy and compared it to existing matching approaches using a publicly-				
31		available panel of 188 single-source and 100 multi-source samples containing DNA from				
32		50 known human sources. Then we applied it to match 777 newly field-collected				
33		mosquito bloodmeals to a database of 645 people.				
34	0					
35	3.	The R package implements four STR matching algorithms in user-friendly functions with				
30 37		samples and 63% (225/359) of profiles from multi-source samples, resulting in a				
38		sensitivity of 0.75 (vs < 0.51 for other algorithms). The specificity of bistro was 0.9998				
39		(vs. 1 for other algorithms). Furthermore, bistro identified 80% (729/909) of all possible				
40		matches for field-derived mosquitoes, yielding 1.4x more matches than existing				
41		algorithms.				
42						
43	4.	bistro identifies more correct bloodmeal-human matches than existing approaches,				
44 15		enabling more accurate and robust analyses of vector-human contact in natural settings.				
40 46		of this algorithm by others				
47						

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

48

49 Introduction

Vector-borne diseases cause over 700,000 deaths each year (WHO, 2020). Understanding vector biting behavior in a natural setting can inform precise targeting of interventions to efficiently interrupt transmission. One approach is to identify human factors associated with increased vector biting by matching the human DNA in vector bloodmeals to the individuals who were bitten. Analogous to forensic DNA analysis, this can be done by genotyping panels of short tandem repeats (STRs) in a human population and in vector bloodmeals.

56

57 STR genotyping has been used to profile human bloodmeals in sandflies (Inbar et al., 2016). 58 lice (Mumcuoglu et al., 2004), and mosquitoes (Soremekun et al., 2004; Gonçalves et al., 2017; 59 Keven et al., 2021; Mbewe et al., 2023). Existing methods for matching STR profiles in 60 bloodmeals to the people bitten require exact, or near-exact, matching of all alleles at all loci 61 between a bloodmeal and the person bitten. This precludes the ability to match most incomplete 62 locus profiles, and any multi-source bloodmeals with more than two alleles at a locus, to bitten 63 individuals. While there will inevitably be incomplete and multi-source bloodmeals that cannot 64 be confidently matched to any person, for forensic applications, there are robust and well-65 established methods for matching evidence from incomplete and multi-source samples to 66 persons of interest. These methods provide a likelihood ratio that the DNA of a person of 67 interest is in the evidence sample (vs. a random contributor), which for legal proceedings is 68 typically interpreted with extremely high stringency. Less stringency is needed for matching vector bloodmeals to bitten individuals; in this research context, a small sacrifice in specificity, 69 70 which would be unacceptable for forensic analysis, can provide valuable gains in sensitivity that 71 lead to useful insights into disease transmission epidemiology.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

We adapted forensic analytic approaches to develop bistro (<u>b</u>loodmeal <u>i</u>dentification by <u>STR</u> <u>o</u>verlap), a user-friendly R package that enables matching of bloodmeal STR profiles to people based on log10 likelihood ratios (log10LRs). The underlying algorithm attempts to match not only complete STR profiles from single-source bloodmeals, but also incomplete STR profiles and multi-source bloodmeals. We evaluated the algorithm's efficacy using samples containing human DNA from known sources, and applied it to mosquito bloodmeals from unknown sources.

80

81 Matching algorithms

82 While matching people to bloodmeals is not a new concept, to our knowledge no software

83 packages exist that provide user-friendly implementations of bloodmeal-human matching

84 algorithms. Thus, the bistro R package implements not only our newly proposed bistro

algorithm, but also three pre-existing methods for matching STR profiles: exact (Soremekun et

al., 2004), similarity (Keven et al., 2021; Mbewe et al., 2023), and static threshold (Bleka et al.,

87 2019) (Table 1). Exact and similarity matching have been used to match mosquito bloodmeals

to people bitten, while static threshold matching is commonly used in forensics. Below, we

89 describe each of the algorithms before explaining their implementation.

90

91 Table 1: Comparison of matching algorithms

Method	Match samples with incomplete profiles	Match samples predicted to be multi-source	Threshold	Use case	Reference
Exact	No	No	Complete identity	Bloodmeal matching	(Soremekun et al., 2004)
Similarity	Limited	No	Highest human similarity	Bloodmeal matching	(Keven et al., 2021; Mbewe et al., 2023)
Static threshold	Yes	Yes	Static log10LR	Forensics	(Bleka et al., 2017, 2019)
Bistro	Yes	Yes	Dynamic log10LR	Bloodmeal matching	This paper

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

92	
93	Exact matching
94	The complete sample STR profile must match the complete human profile.
95	
96	Similarity matching
97	The proportion of exact locus matches between each sample and human is identified, and any
98	similarities above maximum pairwise similarity values of the human database are matches.
99	
100	Static threshold matching
101	Matches are bloodmeal-human pairs with a log10LR above a user-defined threshold.
102	
103	bistro matching
104	To identify human contributors to a bloodmeal, bistro uses pairwise bloodmeal-human log10LRs
105	(Figure 1). The log10LRs compare the likelihood that the DNA of a person is in the bloodmeal
106	sample (vs. a random contributor). To be considered a potential match, the likelihood ratio must
107	be above 1.5 (i.e. 30x greater likelihood). For each bloodmeal with at least 1 log10LR ≥1.5, we
108	initialize a set of matches using a minimum log10LR threshold of $\lfloor max(log10LR^*2) \rfloor/2$. We then
109	decrement the threshold by 0.5 until the number of matches is \geq the estimated number of
110	contributors (NOC) and the matches identified by the current threshold are identical to the
111	matches identified by the previous threshold. The most confident match set is considered to be
112	the one that was identified by at least two thresholds and where the number of bloodmeal-
113	human pairs is maximized under the constraint that there are ≤NOC pairs. The algorithm returns
114	no bloodmeal-human pairs if no confident match sets are identified by a log10LR threshold = 1,
115	or if all match sets have >NOC pairs.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .



116

117 Figure 1: bistro matching algorithm for identifying matches between bloodmeals and

- 118 **people.** log10LRs = log10 likelihood ratios; t = threshold; NOC = estimated number of human 119 contributors.
- 120

121 bistro R package

- 122 The open-source bistro R package hosted on GitHub (https://github.com/duke-malaria-
- 123 collaboratory/bistro), implements the matching algorithms described above and provides
- 124 examples using a built-in dataset. Documentation on the package website (https://duke-malaria-
- 125 <u>collaboratory.github.io/bistro/index.html</u>) describes step-by-step instructions for installing the

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

126 package, running the algorithms, and understanding the output. Below, we briefly describe the

127 implementation of the bistro algorithm.

128

- 129 The bistro function takes as input bloodmeal STR profiles, human STR reference profiles, a
- 130 bloodmeal STR allele peak detection threshold, the STR genotyping kit name, and optionally

131 human population allele frequencies. Then, it performs the following steps:

- 132
 1. If no human population allele frequencies are supplied, compute them from the provided
 human STR profiles.
- 134 2. Remove bloodmeal STR alleles below the user-provided peak threshold.
- 135 3. Estimate the NOC for each bloodmeal using the equation: |max(a)/2|, where a is the

136 number of alleles at a locus.

- 4. Calculate log10LRs for each bloodmeal-human pair using euroformix::contLikSearch()
- 138 (Oyvind Bleka, 2023) with the parameter defaults in **Table 2**.
- 139 5. Use the bistro matching algorithm described above to identify, from the provided human
- 140 reference database, contributors to each bloodmeal.
- 141

142 Table 2: bistro parameters for euroformix::contLikeSearch()

contLikSearch parameter	Description	bistro default value
threshT	peak detection threshold in RFU	user-provided
NOC	number of contributors	min(NOC, 3)
Difftol	tolerance of difference in log likelihood across 2 iterations	1
modelDegrad	whether to model degradation	TRUE
modelBWstutt	whether to model backward stutter	FALSE
modelFWstutt	whether to model forward stutter	FALSE
prC	allele drop-in probability	0.05
Lambda	parameter in modeled peak height shifted exponential model	0.01
nDone	number of optimizations required providing the same log-likelihood	2
Steptol	minimum allowable relative step length	0.001

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

- 144 The output of the bistro function is a dataset with matches for each bloodmeal, including why
- 145 there was or was not a match for each.
- 146
- 147 The current version of bistro (v0.1.1) requires R (\geq 4.0.0) (R Core Team, 2021), depends on
- euroformix (\geq 4.0.7) (Oyvind Bleka, 2023); and imports codetools (\geq 0.2.19) (Tierney, 2023),
- 149 R.utils (≥ v2.12.2) (Bengtsson, 2022), and dplyr (≥ 1.1.3), stringr (≥1.5.0), tibble (≥ 3.2.1), and
- 150 tidyr (≥ 1.3.0) (Wickham et al., 2019).
- 151

152 Snakemake workflow

- 153 We also provide a template Snakemake (Köster & Rahmann, 2012) workflow that enables
- 154 straightforward parallelization of match identification using bistro (https://github.com/duke-
- 155 <u>malaria-collaboratory/bistro_pipeline</u>).
- 156
- 157 Analysis methods
- 158 **Ethics**
- 159 The human and mosquito data in this study were collected under protocols approved by the
- 160 IRBs of Duke (Pro00082000) and Moi (IREC\2017\36) Universities.
- 161

162 **Publicly available STR profiles**

163 To evaluate bistro, we used data from the public PROVEDIt database, which provides single-

164 and multi-source, complete and incomplete STR profiles derived from 50 reference individuals

- 165 (Alfonse et al., 2018). Ratios of sample material from each contributor to multi-source profiles
- 166 were pre-specified in the dataset. We used profiles originating from untreated samples
- 167 evaluated with the Identifiler Plus STR kit (28 cycles) on a 3130 Genetic Analyzer with a 10s
- 168 injection. We evaluated samples with ≥0.1ng template mass and applied a peak height
- threshold of 200 relative fluorescence units (RFUs), similar to the criteria applied to STR profiles

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

- 170 from mosquito bloodmeals below. Population allele frequencies from the revised NIST
- 171 Population dataset of Autosomal STRs (Hill et al., 2013; Steffen et al., 2017) were used.
- 172

173 Bloodmeal and human STR profiles from a malaria-endemic region

- 174 Indoor resting mosquitoes were collected by aspiration weekly from July 2020 to September
- 175 2021 in 75 households across 5 villages in Webuye, Kenya. Bloodfed abdomens (n=1065) were
- 176 separated from head/thoraces and pressed in filter paper to preserve bloodmeals for STR
- analysis. Dried blood spot samples (Whatman Protein Saver 903) were collected from each
- 178 individual who slept in the study households during the study period.
- 179

180 Anopheles bloodmeals and human DBS were extracted using Chelex-100 (Markwalter et al.,

181 2022). Briefly, blood spots were soaked overnight at 4°C in 0.5% saponin before two extractions

in 150µl of 6.7% chelex in a 95°C water bath. All extracts were cleaned with Zymo RNA Clean &

- 183 Concentrator-96 kit using Zymo XL-96 filter plates. Bloodmeal extracts were cleaned and
- 184 concentrated twice. A template volume of 1µl (human DBS) or 3.5µl (bloodmeal) was added to
- 185 10µl amplification reactions using the 10-locus Promega Geneprint10 kit (Promega Cat
- 186 #B9510). Products (1µl) were analyzed on an Applied Biosystems 3730xl DNA Analyzer with
- 187 0.5x injection with a 600 internal lane standard. Alleles were called using Osiris v2.15.1 (NCBI
- 188 et al., 2021) with a 200 RFU threshold, which eliminated artifacts from no-template control
- 189 samples.
- 190

Population allele frequencies were calculated among people from the cohort (n=645) to moreclosely represent the allele frequencies of the population present in bloodmeals.

- 193
- 194
- 195

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

196 Data analysis and visualization

- 197 We compared the four matching algorithms described above for each dataset. For static
- 198 threshold matching, we used a threshold of 10. The AMEL marker was not used for methods
- requiring log10LRs. Data were analyzed and visualized using R v4.2.1 (R Core Team, 2021)
- and RStudio v2022.12.0+353 (RStudio, 2023) with the following packages: tidyverse v2.0.0
- 201 (Wickham et al., 2019), ggpubr v0.6.0 (Kassambara, 2018), ggupset v0.3.0 (Ahlmann-Eltze,
- 202 2020). Data analysis scripts are on GitHub: https://github.com/duke-malaria-
- 203 collaboratory/bistro_validation.

204

205 Results

206

207 bistro efficacy

208 We first evaluated the efficacy of bistro by using it to match people to samples with known

sources (Figure 2A, Table 3): 188 single-source samples (n=188 sources) and 100 multi-

source samples (n=359 sources). For single-source samples, 99% (187/188) of matches were

211 correctly identified, including 3/3 samples with incomplete profiles. For multi-source samples we

identified at least one source in each sample and 62% (224/359) of all possible matches,

213 including 5/17 matches from incomplete profiles. Across all samples, those with complete

214 profiles had a higher matching rate (76%) than those with incomplete profiles (40%; Figure 2B).

215 Furthermore, within samples, DNA present at higher proportions matched more frequently than

216 DNA present in lower proportions (**Figure 2C**; Wilcoxon p < 0.001).

217

218 Next, we compared bistro to three other algorithms used for matching: exact, similarity

(similarity threshold = 0.375), and static threshold (Figure 2D-E, Table 3). bistro returned 4

- false positives (specificity = 0.9998), while all other algorithms returned zero false positives
- 221 (specificity = 1). However, bistro (411 matches, sensitivity=0.75) identified 4x more matches

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

- than exact (102 matches, sensitivity=0.19), 2x more matches than similarity (206 matches,
- sensitivity=0.38), and 1.5x more matches than static threshold matching (278 matches,
- sensitivity=0.51), yielding much higher sensitivity.
- 225



226

227 Figure 2: Evaluating bistro using samples with known sources. (A) Number of correct 228 matches for each sample colored by known number of contributors (NOC). (B) Proportion of 229 matches identified separated by whether the STR profile was complete (15 loci present) or not (<15 loci present). The denominator is the total number of possible matches (m_{tot} ; 547), (C) For 230 231 multi-source samples, the original DNA mixture proportion of people successfully matched vs. 232 not matched. (D) Total number of matches identified by each algorithm. The dotted line is m_{tot}. 233 Color indicates single- vs. multi-source sample based on the known NOC. (E) Number of 234 matches identified by each algorithm.

- 235
- 236
- 237
- 238

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

239 Table 3: Comparison of matching algorithm performance on samples with known

240 sources

	Single- source	Multi-source		All		
Algorithm	# correct sources identified	# samples with ≥1 correct source identified	# correct sources identified	# samples with ≥1 correct source identified	# correct sources identified	# incorrect matches
Bistro	187/188 (99%)	100/100 (100%)	224/359 (62%)	287/288 (99.7%)	411/547 (75%)	4
Static threshold	187/188 (99%)	68/100 (68%)	91/359 (25%)	255/288 (89%)	278/547 (51%)	0
Similarity	188/188 (100%)	18/100 (18%)	18/359 (5%)	206/288 (72%)	206/547 (38%)	0
Exact	101/188 (54%)	1/100 (1%)	1/359 (0.28%)	102/288 (35%)	102/547 (19%)	0

241

242 bistro on mosquito bloodmeals

We next applied bistro to identify matches between a freshly-collected database of human
samples (n=645) from Western Kenya and mosquito bloodmeals (n=1065) collected from their
households (Figure 3A, Table 4). About a quarter (288) of bloodmeals returned no usable
peaks from STR typing. Among the 777 bloodmeals that returned peaks, 654 (84%) were
estimated to be single-source (n=654 human sources), and 123 (16%) were estimated to be
multi-source (n=252 human sources), totalling 906 estimated human sources.

bistro identified a match to an individual in the database for 94% of complete (644/688) and
20% of incomplete (18/89) bloodmeals. Furthermore, bistro identified two matches for 47%
(58/123) of polyallelic profiles thought to represent multi-source bloodmeals. Therefore, we were
able to identify 79% (720/906) of all human sources of bloodmeals. In comparison, exact and
similarity matching, which are equivalent to each other for these data due to a high maximum
similarity value between cohort members (0.9), were only able to identify 58% (526/906) of
matches, and static threshold matching was able to identify 16% (144/906) (Figure 3B, Table

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

4). Therefore, bistro identified 1.39x more matches than exact/similarity and 5x more matches

than static threshold matching.

259



260

Figure 3: Application of matching algorithms to mosquito bloodmeals. (A) Number of matches identified by bistro for each bloodmeal by single- vs. multi-source bloodmeal (based on estimated NOC) and STR profile completeness. (B) Comparison of matches identified by different matching algorithms by profile completeness and NOC. For this dataset, exact and similarity matching return the same results because the highest similarity value in the cohort was 9/10, requiring an exact match with all 10 STR-typed loci.

268

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

270 Table 4: Comparison of matching algorithm performance on Anopheles bloodmeal

271 samples

	Predicted single- source	Predicted multi-source		All	
Algorithm	# sources identified	# samples with ≥1 source identified	# sources identified	# samples with ≥1 source identified	# sources identified
bistro	550/654	112/123	170/252	669/780	720/906
	(84%)	(91%)	(67%)	(86%)	(79%)
Static threshold	129/654	15/123	15/252	530/780	144/906
	(20%)	(12%)	(6%)	(68%)	(16%)
Exact/similarity	526/654	0/123	0/252	526/780	526/906
	(80%)	(0%)	(0%)	(67%)	(58%)

272

273

274 Discussion: strengths and limitations of different matching algorithms

275 We implemented one novel (bistro) and 3 preexisting (exact, similarity, static threshold) 276 matching algorithms in bistro, an easy-to-use R package. Each of the matching algorithms 277 tested have different capabilities and corresponding strengths and limitations. The benefit of 278 exact matching is that it provides extreme confidence in matches and is not computationally 279 intensive. However, many likely matches are missed with this method because it requires that 280 the estimated NOC equals one and that there are no missing or additional peaks in the 281 bloodmeal STR profile. Similarity matching improves upon this by allowing some non-matching 282 peaks, as long as the proportion of loci that match is greater than the maximum similarity 283 between two STR profiles in a human database. One limitation of this method is that it assumes 284 you have a comprehensive database of who could have been bitten, which may not always be 285 available. Furthermore, as in the bloodmeal data presented here, when cohort members have 286 highly related profiles or when fewer loci are typed, similarity matching becomes identical to 287 exact matching. Static threshold matching, as done in forensic analysis, still returns zero false 288 positives, but nevertheless results in many missing matches that have high log10LRs relative to

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

289 other samples in the reference database. Additionally, the log10LR magnitude depends on the 290 number of loci typed, so this approach will likely miss more correct matches when evaluating 291 STR panels with fewer loci. To circumvent the limitations of these preexisting algorithms for 292 bloodmeal matching applications, bistro builds upon methods originally developed for forensic 293 analysis to relax the stringency of matches to a degree suitable for research purposes. Since 294 matching bloodmeals in the context of scientific research does not require such high levels of 295 certainty as in forensics, after calculating likelihood ratios for each mosquito-human pair, bistro 296 determines an optimal log10LR threshold for each individual mosquito. This avoids missing true 297 matches with lower log10LRs. While this introduces some false positives, specificity remains 298 high while increasing sensitivity relative to the other algorithms described here. Thus, bistro 299 allows matching of more bloodmeals to people bitten than preexisting methods, providing more 300 matches for downstream analyses related to vector biting and disease transmission. A user-301 friendly implementation of all four algorithms is provided as an R package with extensive 302 documentation.

303

304 Acknowledgements

305 This work was supported by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases 306 [R01Al146849 to W.P.O. and S.M.T.]. C.F.M. was supported by F32Al149950 and 307 K01AI175527. We appreciate the study implementation skills of project managers and field 308 technicians in Webuye and Eldoret: J. Kipkoech Kirui, I. Khaoya, L. Marango, E. Mukeli, E. 309 Nalianya, J. Namae, L. Nukewa, E. Wamalwa, and A. Wekesa. We thank S. Langdon (DNA 310 Analysis Core, Department of Immunology), B. Freedman, J. Grassia, J. DeCurzio, and T. 311 Thane (each of Duke University) for their help with laboratory samples. Ultimately, we are 312 indebted to the people who participated in our study.

313

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

315 Data availability

- 316 PROVEDIt data are available at <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsigen.2017.10.006</u> (Alfonse et al.,
- 317 2018). Kenyan STR profiles are unshareable.
- 318
- 319 Conflict of interest
- 320 The authors declare no conflicts of interest.
- 321

322 Author contributions

- 323 CM, ZL, ST, and WPO conceptualized the project. CM and ZL performed methodology and
- 324 software development, formal analysis, investigation, data curation, original draft writing, and
- 325 visualization. LA managed the project. JM, AA, WPO, and ST supervised the project and
- 326 acquired funding. All authors reviewed and edited the manuscript. Our study includes scientists
- 327 based in the country where the example mosquito samples were collected. All authors
- 328 contributed intellectually to the research and study design. We cited literature published by
- 329 scientists from the region.
- 330

331

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

333 References

- 334 Ahlmann-Eltze, C. (2020). ggupset: Combination Matrix Axis for "ggplot2" to Create "UpSet"
- 335 *Plots* (0.3.0) [Computer software].
- 336 https://cran.rstudio.com/web/packages/ggupset/index.html
- 337 Alfonse, L. E., Garrett, A. D., Lun, D. S., Duffy, K. R., & Grgicak, C. M. (2018). A large-scale
- 338 dataset of single and mixed-source short tandem repeat profiles to inform human
- 339 identification strategies: PROVEDIt. Forensic Science International: Genetics, 32, 62–
- 340 70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsigen.2017.10.006
- Bengtsson, H. (2022). *R.utils: Various Programming Utilities* (2.12.2) [Computer software].
- 342 https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/R.utils/index.html
- Bleka, Ø., Bouzga, M., Fonneløp, A., & Gill, P. (2017). dnamatch2: An open source software to
- 344 carry out large scale database searches of mixtures using qualitative and quantitative
- 345 models. *Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series*, *6*, e404–e406.
- 346 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsigss.2017.09.160
- 347 Bleka, Ø., Prieto, L., & Gill, P. (2019). CaseSolver: An investigative open source expert system
- based on EuroForMix. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, *41*, 83–92.
- 349 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsigen.2019.03.024
- 350 Gonçalves, B. P., Kapulu, M. C., Sawa, P., Guelbéogo, W. M., Tiono, A. B., Grignard, L., Stone,
- 351 W., Hellewell, J., Lanke, K., Bastiaens, G. J. H., Bradley, J., Nébié, I., Ngoi, J. M.,
- 352 Oriango, R., Mkabili, D., Nyaurah, M., Midega, J., Wirth, D. F., Marsh, K., ... Bousema,
- 353 T. (2017). Examining the human infectious reservoir for Plasmodium falciparum malaria
- in areas of differing transmission intensity. *Nature Communications*, *8*, 1133.
- 355 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01270-4
- Hill, C. R., Duewer, D. L., Kline, M. C., Coble, M. D., & Butler, J. M. (2013). U.S. population data
- 357 for 29 autosomal STR loci. *Forensic Science International. Genetics*, 7(3), e82-83.
- 358 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsigen.2012.12.004

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

359 Inbar, E., Lawyer, P., Sacks, D., & Podini, D. (2016). The Potential Use of Forensic DNA

- 360 Methods Applied to Sand Fly Blood Meal Analysis to Identify the Infection Reservoirs of
- 361 Anthroponotic Visceral Leishmaniasis. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, *10*(5),

362 e0004706. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0004706

- 363 Kassambara, A. (2018). ggpubr: "ggplot2" Based Publication Ready Plots (0.2) [Computer
 364 software]. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=ggpubr
- 365 Keven, J. B., Katusele, M., Vinit, R., Rodríguez-Rodríguez, D., Hetzel, M. W., Robinson, L. J.,
- 366 Laman, M., Karl, S., Foran, D. R., & Walker, E. D. (2021). Nonrandom Selection and
- 367 Multiple Blood Feeding of Human Hosts by Anopheles Vectors: Implications for Malaria
- 368 Transmission in Papua New Guinea. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and*
- 369 *Hygiene*, *105*(6), 1747–1758. https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.21-0210
- 370 Köster, J., & Rahmann, S. (2012). Snakemake—A scalable bioinformatics workflow engine.
- 371 *Bioinformatics*, 28(19), 2520–2522. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts480
- 372 Markwalter, C. F., Menya, D., Wesolowski, A., Esimit, D., Lokoel, G., Kipkoech, J., Freedman,
- 373 E., Sumner, K. M., Abel, L., Ambani, G., Meredith, H. R., Taylor, S. M., Obala, A. A., &
- 374 O'Meara, W. P. (2022). Plasmodium falciparum importation does not sustain malaria
- 375 transmission in a semi-arid region of Kenya. *PLOS Global Public Health*, 2(8),
- 376 e0000807. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgph.0000807
- 377 Mbewe, R. B., Keven, J. B., Mangani, C., Wilson, M. L., Mzilahowa, T., Mathanga, D. P., Valim,
- 378 C., Laufer, M. K., Walker, E. D., & Cohee, L. M. (2023). Genotyping of Anopheles
- 379 mosquito blood meals reveals nonrandom human host selection: Implications for human-
- to-mosquito Plasmodium falciparum transmission. *Malaria Journal*, 22, 115.
- 381 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-023-04541-2
- 382 Mumcuoglu, K. Y., Gallili, N., Reshef, A., Brauner, P., & Grant, H. (2004). Use of Human Lice in
- 383 Forensic Entomology. *Journal of Medical Entomology*, *41*(4), 803–806.
- 384 https://doi.org/10.1603/0022-2585-41.4.803

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

- 385 NCBI, NLM, NIH, & US DHHS. (2021). Osiris (Open Source Independent Review and
- 386 Interpretation System) (2.15.1) [Computer software]. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/osiris/
- 387 Oyvind Bleka. (2023). euroformix: A Quantitative Model for Interpreting DNA Mixtures
- 388 [Computer software]. http://www.euroformix.com/
- 389 R Core Team. (2021). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation
- 390 for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org/
- 391 RStudio. (2023). https://www.rstudio.com/
- 392 Soremekun, S., Maxwell, C., Zuwakuu, M., Chen, C., Michael, E., & Curtis, C. (2004).
- 393 Measuring the efficacy of insecticide treated bednets: The use of DNA fingerprinting to
- 394 increase the accuracy of personal protection estimates in Tanzania. *Tropical Medicine* &

395 International Health, 9(6), 664–672. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3156.2004.01250.x

- 396 Steffen, C. R., Coble, M. D., Gettings, K. B., & Vallone, P. M. (2017). Corrigendum to "U.S.
- 397 Population Data for 29 Autosomal STR Loci" [Forensic Sci. Int. Genet. 7 (2013) e82-
- 398 e83]. Forensic Science International. Genetics, 31, e36–e40.
- 399 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsigen.2017.08.011
- 400 Tierney, L. (2023). codetools: Code Analysis Tools for R (0.2-19) [Computer software].
- 401 https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/codetools/index.html
- 402 WHO. (2020, March). Vector-borne diseases. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-
- 403 sheets/detail/vector-borne-diseases
- 404 Wickham, H., Averick, M., Bryan, J., Chang, W., McGowan, L., François, R., Grolemund, G.,
- 405 Hayes, A., Henry, L., Hester, J., Kuhn, M., Pedersen, T., Miller, E., Bache, S., Müller, K.,
- 406 Ooms, J., Robinson, D., Seidel, D., Spinu, V., ... Yutani, H. (2019). Welcome to the
- 407 Tidyverse. Journal of Open Source Software, 4(43), 1686.
- 408 https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01686