All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Diagnostic Value of Carotid Ring Sign in Predicting Internal Carotid True Occlusion

2 3

- 4 Running Title: Carotid ring sign and true carotid occlusion
- 5 Tingyu Yi¹, MD*; Yi Sui², MD*,[#]; Ding-huan Zheng³; MD; Xinwen Ren⁴, PhD;
- 6 Xiao-hui Lin¹, MD; Yan-min Wu¹, MD; Ding-lai Lin¹, MD; Zhi-nan Pan¹, MD;
- 7 Xiu-fen Zheng¹, MD; Ganji Hong¹, MD; Mei-hua Wu¹, MD; MD; Lisan Zeng¹, MD;
- 8 Wen-huo Chen¹, MD[#]
- 9 1. Zhangzhou Affiliated Hospital of Fujian Medical University, Fujian, China
- 10 2. Department of Neurology, Shenyang First People's Hospital, Shenyang Medical
- 11 College, Shenyang, China
- 12 3. Department of Neurology, Huian County Hospital, Quanzhou, Fujian, China
- 13 4 The George Institute for Global Health China
- 14 * Ting-yu Yi and Yi Sui contributed equally to this article
- [#] Correspondence to: Dr. Wen-huo Chen, E-mail address: <u>doctorwwenhuo@126.com</u>,
- 16 Department of Neurology, Zhangzhou affiliated Hospital of Fujian Medical
- 17 University, Fujian, China; Dr. Yi Sui, E-mail address: jakeyisui@icloud.com,
- 18 Department of Neurology, Shenyang First People's Hospital, Shenyang, China
- 19 Type: Original research
- 20 Word Count: 2434
- 21 Figure: 3
- 22 Table:2
- 23 Key words: Acute ischemic stroke; tandem occlusion; carotid ring sign; True occlusion; Carotid artery;
- 24 pseudo-occlusion;
- 25 Disclosures: All authors disclose that there were no potential interests.
- 26 Data Sharing Statement: No additional unpublished data are available NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

27 Publication History: NA

- 28 Sources of Funding: National Health Commission Capacity Building and Continuing
- 29 Education Center, grant number GWJJ2021100203, Natural Science Foundation of Fujian
- 30 Province (Grant No. 2022J01123138).
- 31
- 32
- 33

34 BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE

- 35 To differentiate between pseudo-occlusion (PO) and true occlusion (TO) of internal
- 36 carotid artery (ICA) is important in thrombectomy treatment planning for acute
- 37 ischemic stroke (AIS) patients. Although delayed contrast filling has been
- 38 differentiated carotid PO from TO, its application has been limited by the
- 39 implementations of multiphasic computed tomography angiography (mCTA). In this
- 40 study, we hypothesized that carotid ring sign, which is readily acquired from
- 41 single-phasic CTA, can sufficiently differentiate carotid TO from PO.
- 42 METHODS
- 43 Two hundred patients with non-visualization of the proximal ICA were included.
- 44 Diagnosis of PO or TO of the cervical segment of ICA was made based on digital
- 45 subtraction angiography. Diagnostic performances of carotid ring sign on
- 46 arterial-phasic CTA and delayed contrast filling on mCTA were evaluated and
- 47 compared.
- 48 RESULTS
- 49 One-hundred-twelve patients had ICA PO and 88 had TO. Carotid ring sign was more
- 50 common in TO patients (70.5% vs 6.3%, p<0.001), while delayed contrast filling was
- 51 more common in PO (94.9% vs 7.7%, p<0.001). The sensitivity and specificity of
- 52 carotid ring sign in diagnosing carotid TO was 0.70 and 0.94 respectively, while
- sensitivity and specificity of delayed contrast filling was 0.95 and 0.92 in judging

54 carotid PO.

- 55 CONCLUSIONS
- 56 Carotid ring sign is a potent imaging marker in diagnosing ICA TO. Carotid ring sign
- 57 could be complementary to delayed contrast filling sign in differentiating TO from PO,
- 58 in particular in centers with only single-phasic CTA.
- 59

60 Introduction

- 61 Identification and differentiation of true occlusion (TO) and pseudo-occlusion (PO) of
- 62 internal carotid artery (ICA) are critical for endovascular management of acute
- 63 ischemic stroke(AIS)¹². Computed Tomography Angiography (CTA) has been
- 64 suggested as a reliable tool in assessing thrombus length, clot burden, collaterals and
- 65 decision making regarding endovascular therapies (EVT)^{3–7}. Delayed contrast filling
- 66 derived from multiphase CTA(mCTA) showed an adequate diagnostic value in
- 67 differentiating PO from TO⁸. However, the small-scale study may need further
- 68 verification as visual assessment of faint change of intraluminal contrast staining
- 69 might be even missed by experienced neurologists⁹. More importantly, multiphasic
- 70 CTA is not routinely available in many stroke centers. In this study, we hypothesized
- carotid ring sign derived on single-phasic CTA (sCTA) is a potent imaging marker to
- 72 differentiate carotid TO from PO.

73 Methods

- 74 Patient population and clinical data collection
- 75 The study is based on a prospective, hospital-based registry of consecutive large
- vessel occlusion (LVO) patients treated with endovascular therapies (EVT).
- 77 Information about patient characteristics, medical and endovascular interventions,
- 78 periprocedual management and clinical prognosis were routinely collected through an
- relectronic database after patients were admitted to the hospital.
- 80 Definition of TO, PO, carotid ring sign and delayed contrast filling
- 81 Digital subtraction angiography (DSA) was used as a reference standard imaging for
- 82 diagnosis of TO or PO of the proximal cervical ICA. Proximal C1 ICA occlusion on
- 83 DSA was defined as an actually angiographical occlusion which impedes the passage
- of contrast to distal C1 segment and the advance of guidewire or catheter¹, which was
- also named as ICA TO. Proximal C1 ICA occlusion on CTA was defined as complete
- 86 nonattenuation of proximal segment of cervical ICA on arterial phase CTA. ICA PO
- 87 was defined as the demonstration of occlusion on CTA while revealed patent on DSA
- of proximal C1 ICA. We defined the CTA carotid ring sign as a ring-like
- appearance > 50% circumference of the vessel wall at the levels of ICA C1 maximum
- 90 stenosis on axial maximum intensity projection images¹⁰. The CTA carotid ring sign
- 91 may be contrast-enhanced or -nonenhanced. We defined the phenomenon of delayed
- 92 contrast filling as a delayed demonstration of arterial contrast at distal ICA C1
- segment during the second or third phase instead of the first phase of $mCTA^8$.

94 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

95 Patients were eligible for the study if they met all following criteria: 1) AIS due to

- 96 LVO in the anterior circulation, 2) demonstration of C1 occlusion on arterial phase
- 97 CTA, 3) underwent endovascular therapy in acute phase. Patients were excluded from
- 98 the study if 1) the CTA imaging did not have adequate quality for analysis, 2) the
- 99 demonstration of C1 occlusion was not the culprit vessel.
- 100 *CTA protocol and imaging acquisition:*
- 101 Two computed tomography (CT) machines were used for the study. For Siemens
- 102 Force scanner, the CTA parameters were: slice thickness 0.75 mm, increment 0.5 mm,
- 103 tube voltage 80 kV (ref mAs: 129mAs~72mAs), reconstruction field of view 200 mm.
- 104 For GE Revolution scanner, the CTA parameters were: slice thickness 0.625 mm,
- 105 increment 0.625 mm, tube voltage 80~120 kV, reconstruction field of view 250 mm.
- 106 For both scanners, automatic bolus tracking technique were applied after the
- 107 intravenous injection of the contrast (100Hu, flow rate 4.5~6ml/s, contrast volume:
- 108 45~60ml, NaCl volume: 45~60ml). For the arterial phase, a contrast agent
- 109 tracking-triggered approach was utilized. The monitoring site was the ascending aorta
- 110 at the aortic arch level. After contrast agent injection, there was an 8-second delay
- 111 before monitoring commenced. Monitoring occurred every 1.5 seconds, with a trigger
- 112 threshold set at 100 HU(normally 1-3 circles). Once triggered, there was an additional
- 113 3-second delay before initiating a cranial-directed arterial phase scan. The scanning
- 114 range spanned from the tracheal bifurcation to the apex of the skull, with an
- approximate scanning time of 3 seconds. For the venous phase, there was a
- 116 5.5-second delay, with the scanning range extending from the bifurcation of the
- 117 common carotid artery to the skull apex. For the delay phase, there was a 7-second
- delay, and the scanning covered from the C1 vertebra to the skull apex.
- 119 Statistical analysis
- 120 Quantitative variables were expressed as the mean and SD or median and interquartile
- 121 range (IQR), and categorical variables were expressed as N number and frequencies
- 122 (%). The Student t test, Pearson χ^2 test, and Cochran Mantel Haenszel χ^2 were used
- 123 to compare the differences between the true and pseudo-occlusion groups. Variables
- 124 with p values < 0.1 from univariate analysis were adjusted in multivariate analysis
- 125 model for outcome assessment.
- 126 To assess the diagnostic capacity of distinct imaging features for the differentiation
- 127 between true from pseudo-occlusion, the area under the receiver operating

characteristics (ROC) curves were calculated. DeLong approach was used to compare 128

129 the area under the curves between carotid ring sign, calcification at occlusion sites,-

and delayed contrast filling. All statistical inferences were two-sided and p < .05 was 130

- defined as significant. SPSS (version 15.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) were used 131
- for all statistical calculations. 132
- 133 Results
- **Patient characteristics** 134
- Patients were retrospectively screened and selected through a prospectively designed 135 136 hospital-based electronic database (Nalong 2.0, Xiamen, Fujian, China). From June
- 2018 to February 2022, electrical medical records of 1420 patients with acute LVO in 137
- the anterior circulation underwent emergent EVT were consecutively screened (fig 2). 138
- Three hundred seventy patients were found to have ICA occlusion on admission CTA, 139
- while 211 patients with C1 segment occlusion were included and 159 patients with 140
- C2-C7 occlusion were excluded. After exclusion of 7 patients with poor imaging 141
- quality and 4 patients with inconclusive neurointerventional procedures. Finally, there 142
- were 200 patients in total included in final analysis, among which 69 patients were 143
- analyzed for delayed contrast filling using mCTA. Images of single-phase CTA or 144
- 145 arterial phase of mCTA from all 200 patients were analyzed for carotid ring sign and
- calcification at occlusion sites (Fig 1). There were TO in 88 patients and PO in 112 146
- 147 patients.

Baseline comparison between TO and PO patients 148

- 149 The main characteristics of patients are summarized in Table 1. Patients with TO were
- more of male sex compared with PO (77.8 % vs 55.5 %, p = 0.001). In line with the 150
- finding of more hyperlipidemia in TO patients (26.1% vs 8.9%, p < 0.001), atrial 151
- fibrillation rate was higher in PO patients (57.1 % vs 8.0 %, p < 0.001), etiology of 152
- 153 large artery atherosclerosis (LAA) was more frequent in TO patients (92.0 % vs
- 24.1 %, p < 0.001) and cardio-embolism rate was more frequent in PO group (67.9 % 154
- vs 2.3 %, p < 0.001). Not surprisingly, compared with TO patients, carotid stenting 155
- was more frequently deployed in TO patients (92.0% vs 0 %, p < 0.001). 156
- Three imaging markers showed diagnostic potentials for differentiating PO from TO 157
- of ICA C1 segment. Both the presence of carotid ring sign and calcification at the 158
- occlusion site were significantly higher in TO compared with PO patients (70.5 % vs 159
- 6.3 %, p < 0.001; 75.0 % vs 36.6 %, p < 0.001). In PO group (n=112), there were only 160
- 7 cases with carotid ring sign, i.e., 85.75% (6/7) with hypertension, 85.75% (6/7) with 161

162 atrial fibrillation, 42.9% (3/7) with diabetes mellitus. Compared with cases without

163 carotid ring sign (n=105), patients with carotid ring sign (n=7) was elder (84 vs 70, p

- 164 < 0.001) and less male sex (0% vs 57.1%, p=0.011).
- 165 Totally 69 patients underwent mCTA, and the presence of delayed contrast filling was
- significantly frequent in PO patients (94.9 % vs 7.7 %, p < 0.001). In TO groups
- 167 (n=88), there were only 2 cases with delayed contrast filling, of which occlusion site
- located at the middle segment of C1, and very little contrast through C1 on DSA in
- 169 one case.
- 170 Inter-observer agreement
- 171 All imaging features assessed in the study were independently reviewed by 2
- 172 experienced neurointerventionists (DH.Z. and ZN.P.) who were blind to results of the
- 173 interventional angiography. Cases with disagreement were reviewed again and the
- 174 dispute were resolved by consensus. Cohen kappa coefficient was used to measure
- 175 inter-observer agreement of various imaging markers. Excellent agreement was
- achieved (*kappa* =0.90) for both carotid ring sign and delayed contrast filling sign.
- 177 Comparison of diagnostic performance between CTA carotid ring sign and delayed
 178 contrast filling
- 179 Table 2 summarizes the differential diagnostic values of carotid ring sign for TO and
- delayed contrast filling for PO. The carotid ring sign has a AUC of 0.86 (SE \pm 0.05),
- 181 sensitivity of 0.70 (95% CI, 0.60-0.79), specificity of 0.94 (95% CI, 0.87-0.97), PPV
- 182 of 0.90 (95% CI, 0.80-0.95) and NPV of 0.80 (95% CI, 0.72-0.86). The delayed
- 183 contrast filling sign has an AUC of 0.94 (SE \pm 0.04), sensitivity of 0.95 (95% CI,
- 184 0.92-0.99), specificity of 0.92 (95% CI, 0.73-0.99), PPV of 0.95 (95% CI, 0.81-0.99)
- and NPV of 0.92 (95% CI, 0.73-0.99). Representative images of carotid ring sign
- suggesting TO at C1 segment and delayed contrast filling suggesting PO were
- 187 presented in Figure 2 and 3 respectively.

189 **Discussion**

The main finding of the study is that the presence of carotid ring sign from 190 single-phase CTA or arterial phasic mCTA is a reliable marker to identify TO at ICA 191 C1 segment. In addition, we confirmed the delayed contrast filling sign is a valuable 192 marker to identify PO at the same site. 193 Carotid sign was defined as ring-like enhancement in carotid wall and/or intraluminal 194 hypodensity on axial CTA sections. Firstly presented by Patrik Michel et al. that 195 presence of carotid ring sign can differentiate acute symptomatic carotid occlusion 196 from chronic asymptomatic occlusion¹⁰, they also proposed a pathophysiological 197 explanation that the occurrence of the ring sign may be due to the contrast 198 enhancement of the vasa vasorum in the viable arterial wall, and the luminal 199 hypodensity corresponding to the absence of contrast uptake by the inherently 200 vascular occluding thrombus¹⁰. The proposed pathophysiology helps to explain the 201 high PPV of carotid ring sign in predicting carotid TO in this study. The main 202 functions of the vasa vasorum are to deliver nutrients to the vessel wall and to remove 203 waste products or noxious substances¹¹. Adventitial vasa vasorum involves in the 204 process of atherogenesis and atherosclerotic plaque progression¹¹, and vasa vasorum 205 enhancement recognized on CTA was strongly associated with symptomatic patients 206 with carotid artery stenosis¹². 207

208 Previous studies showed that 60%-70% causes of TO was atherosclerotic stenosis,

209 20%-30% was dissection and the remainder was carotid webs and cardiac emboli¹³¹⁴.

210 Our study showed 92% of cause of TO was atherosclerotic stenosis, 6% was

211 dissection, 2% was cardioembolic. The difference could result from study samples

and ethnics. Meanwhile, our study showed three quarters of TO had carotid ring sign,

while very few (6%, 7 cases) of PO showed carotid ring sign. These 7 cases shared

214 common atherosclerotic characteristics such as older age, hypertension and diabetes.

215 Since most of these patients (87.5%, 6/7) also exhibited arterial fibrillation, we

suggest TO should be considered with caution in elder patients with arterial

217 fibrillation.

218 Delayed contrast filling on mCTA was reported to be a potent image marker in the

219 identification of carotid occlusion site. As a contrast, single-phase CTA showed

220 limited diagnostic value for the differentiation even for specialized radiologists¹.

- 221 Wareham, J. et al. first proposed to use multiphasic CTA to differentiated PO from
- TO, although the study failed to test the hypothesis¹⁵, but the study conducted by Choi,

223 J.H. et al. did⁸. Delay time is one of the issues to account for the discrepancy. More than 40-second delay was employed in the former study, while there was only less 224 225 than a 15-second delay in the latter. Extended delay time may cause the contrast to be washed out from target artery and failed to be detected by mCTA. Optimal CTA 226 parameters to reveal hemodynamic abnormality for ICA pseudo-occlusion depend on 227 different CT scanners. Consistent with the previous study, delayed contrast filling had 228 229 high sensitivity and specificity and accuracy in differentiating PO from TO⁸.. Of note, false positives of delayed contrast filling presented in TO patients may be due to, a) 230 231 initial C1 high degree/near-occlusion stenosis; b) the culprit segment was located at or beyond middle segment of C1. 232 Carotid ring sign and delayed contrast filling each has its advantages and 233 disadvantages. Carotid ring sign can be readily obtained from arterial phasic CTA 234 without considering delay time, but its visual evaluation depends on raters' experience 235 and appropriate window level and window width. In comparison, although delay 236 contrast filling from mCTA can easily spot ICA PO with a high sensitivity, the 237 imaging quality may be affected by cardiac function, venous vasculature, motion 238 artifacts and delay time. 239 240 Our study has limitations. First, the retrospective nature of this study may introduce uncontrolled bias. Second, all of the 200 patients with single-phase/arterial phasic 241 242 CTA were included for the analysis of carotid ring sign, while only 69 patients with mCTA were analyzed for delayed contrast filling. The two datasets may be subject to 243 244 uncontrolled/unadjusted variables. Third, since the carotid ring sign is visually

245 identified in the study, it will be inevitably subject to the configuration of CT scanners,

246 imaging acquisition process and inter-observer variance. Future studies are warranted

to verify the generalizability of the findings.

248 In conclusion, we demonstrated that the carotid ring sign on sCTA is a reliable

249 imaging marker to diagnose TO at proximal C1 ICA, and also confirmed the

250 diagnostic value of delayed contrast filling sign on mCTA in diagnosing ICA PO.

251

References 252

- 253 1. Diouf A, Fahed R, Guilbert F, Landry D, Raymond J, Roy D. cervical internal carotid Occlusion versus Pseudo- occlusion at cT angiography in the context of 254 acute stroke: An Accuracy, Interobserver, and Intraobserver Agreement Study. 255 256 Radiology. 2018;000:1-8.
- 2. Grossberg JA, Haussen DC, Cardoso FB, Rebello LC, Bouslama M, Anderson 257 AM, et al. Cervical Carotid Pseudo-Occlusions and False Dissections: 258 259 Intracranial Occlusions Masquerading as Extracranial Occlusions. Stroke. 2017;48:774-777. 260
- 3. Menon BK, D'Esterre CD, Oazi EM, Almekhlafi M, Hahn L, Demchuk AM, et 261 al. Multiphase CT angiography: A new tool for the imaging triage of patients 262 with acute ischemic stroke. Radiology. 2015;275:510-520. 263
- 4. Yan S, Chen Q, Xu M, Sun J, Liebeskind DS, Lou M. Thrombus Length 264 Estimation on Delayed Gadolinium-Enhanced T1. Stroke. 2016;47:756-761. 265
- 5. Mortimer AM, Little DH, Minhas KS, Walton ERJ, Renowden SA, Bradley 266 267 MD. Thrombus length estimation in acute ischemic stroke: A potential role for delayed contrast enhanced CT. J. Neurointerv. Surg. 2014;6:244-248. 268
- Heo JH, Kim K, Yoo J, Kim YD, Nam HS, Kim EY. Computed 6. 269 tomography-based thrombus imaging for the prediction of recanalization after 270 reperfusion therapy in stroke. J. Stroke. 2017;19:40-49. 271
- Dundamadappa S, Iyer K, Agrawal A, Choi DJ. Multiphase ct angiography: A 7. 272 useful technique in acute stroke imaging-collaterals and beyond. Am. J. 273 274 Neuroradiol. 2021;42:221-227.
- 8. Choi JH, Jang J, Koo J, Ahn KJ, Sam Shin Y, Kim BS. Multiphasic Computed 275 Tomography Angiography Findings for Identifying Pseudo-Occlusion of the 276 Internal Carotid Artery. Stroke. 2020;51:2558-2562. Available from: 277
- 9. Mayank Goyal, Johanna M Ospel. Letter by Goyal and Ospel Regarding Article, 278 "Multiphasic Computed Tomography Angiography Findings for Identifying 279 Pseudo-Occlusion of the Internal Carotid Artery". Stroke, 2020, 280 51(11):e335-e336. 281
- 10. Michel P, Ntaios G, Delgado MG, Bezerra DC, Meuli R, Binaghi S. CT 282 angiography helps to differentiate acute from chronic carotid occlusion: The 283 284 "carotid ring sign." Neuroradiology. 2012;54:139-146.
- 11. Sun Z. Atherosclerosis and atheroma plaque rupture: Imaging modalities in the 285 visualization of vasa vasorum and atherosclerotic plaques. Sci. World J. 286 2014;2014. 287
- Romero JM, Pizzolato R, Atkinson W, Meader A, Jaimes C, Lamuraglia G, et 288 12. al. Vasa vasorum enhancement on computerized tomographic angiography 289 290 correlates with symptomatic patients with 50% to 70% carotid artery stenosis. Stroke. 2013;44:3344-3349. 291

292 293 294	13.	Gory B, Piotin M, Haussen DC, Steglich-Arnholm H, Holtmannspötter M, Labreuche J, et al. Thrombectomy in acute stroke with tandem occlusions from dissection versus atherosclerotic cause. <i>Stroke</i> . 2017;48:3145–3148.
295 296 297	14.	Poppe AY, Jacquin G, Roy D, Stapf C, Derex L. Tandem carotid lesions in acute ischemic stroke: Mechanisms, therapeutic challenges, and future directions. <i>Am. J. Neuroradiol.</i> 2020;41:1–8.
298 299 300 301	15.	Wareham J, Crossley R, Barr S, Mortimer A. Cervical ICA pseudo-occlusion on single phase CTA in patients with acute terminal ICA occlusion: What is the mechanism and can delayed CTA aid diagnosis? <i>J. Neurointerv. Surg.</i> 2018;10:983–987.
302		

	All (n = 200)	PO (n = 112)	TO (n = 88)	P value
Age	71 ± 11	71 ± 11	71 ± 10	0.945
Sex (male)	129 (64.5%)	60 (53.6%)	69 (78.4%)	< 0.001
Hypertension	146 (73.0%)	83 (74.1%)	63 (71.6%)	0.691
Diabetes	56 (28.0%)	28 (25.0%)	28 (31.8%)	0.286
Hyperlipidemia	33 (16.5%)	10 (8.9%)	23 (26.1%)	0.001
Atrial fibrillation	71 (35.5%)	35.5%) 64 (57.1%) 7(8.0%)		< 0.001
Smoking	54 (27.1%)	26 (23.8%)	28 (31.8%)	0.174
TOAST classification				
LAA	108 (54.0%)	27 (24.1%)	81 (92.0%)	< 0.001
Cardioembolic	78 (39.0%)	76 (67.9%)	2 (2.3%)	< 0.001
Other defined	14 (7.0%)	9 (8.0%)	5 (5.7%)	0.517
ASPECTS (IQR)	8 (6-10)	7 (5-10)	9 (7-10)	0.071
Recanalization eTICI 2b-3	193 (96.5%)	107 (95.5%)	86 (97.7%)	0.403
Carotid stenting	81 (40.5%)	0 (0.0%)	81 (92.0%)	< 0.001
Carotid ring sign	69 (34.5%)	7 (6.3%)	62 (70.5%)	< 0.001
Calcification at occlusion site	107 (53.5%)	41 (36.6%)	66 (75.0%)	< 0.001
Delayed contrast filling*	39(60.0%)	37 (94.9%)	2 (7.7%)	< 0.001

303	Table 1. Demographics and characteristics of included patients stratified by pseudo-
304	and true occlusion.

TOAST, Trial of Org 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment Large artery atherosclerosis; LAA large-artery atherosclerosis; IQR, interquartile range; ASPECTS, Alberta Stroke Program Early CT score; eTICI extended 307 Thrombolysis in Cerebral Infarction. * 69 patients with mCTA.

	AUC (± SE)	Sensitivity (95% CI)	Specificity (95% CI)	p value (95% CI)	PPV (95% CI)	NPV (95% CI)	LR+ (95% CI)	LR- (95% CI)
TO-Carotid ring sign	0.86 (± 0.05)	0.70 (0.60-0.79)	0.94 (0.87-0.97)	0.003 (0.59-0.85)	0.90(0.80-0.95)	0.80 (0.72-0.86)	11.21 (5.4-23.1)	0.31 (0.22-0.44)
PO-Delay contrast filling	0.94 (± 0.04)	0.95 (0.92-0.99)	0.92 (0.73-0.99)	0.000 (0.86-1.00)	0.95(0.81-0.99)	0.92(0.73-0.99)	12.33 (3.25-46.80)	0.06 (0.01-0.22)

Table 2. Comparison of diagnostic value of distinct CTA vessel features

AUC indicate area under the curve; SE, standard error; CI, confidence region; PPV, positive predictive value; NPV, negative predictive value; LR, likelihood Ratios

Figure legends

Figure 1 Flowchart of patient selection.

Figure 2. A representative case showing a patient with true occlusion at left ICA C1 segment with positive carotid ring sign and negative delayed contrast filling. A positive carotid ring sign was detected on axial maximum intensity projection image (arrow heads, A). Compared with the 1st phase in mCTA (B), there was no delayed contrast filling revealed in 2nd phase (C). The true left C1 occlusion demonstrated an identical platform-like stump in both CTA phases (C and D), which was confirmed by DSA and endovascular maneuver (D).

Figure 3. A representative case showing a patient of pseudo-occlusion at left ICA C1 segment with negative carotid ring sign but positive delayed contrast filling. Neither carotid ring sign nor contrast filling was detected on axial maximum intensity projection image in the 1st phase of mCTA (arrow head, A), while delayed contrast filling was revealed in the 2nd phase at left proximal C1 segment (arrow head, inset image in A). Sagittal images showed an apparent delayed ascending of contrast filling from proximal (1st phase) to distal (2nd phase) ICA C1 segment (B). DSA image shows a patent ICA at C3 segment and beyond (C). Successful recanalization with a modified Thrombolysis in Cerebral Infarction (eTICI) score of 2c was achieved after mechanical removal of thrombus at C5 segment (D).





