

## **Cancer diagnostic service use in people with HIV in South Africa: a cross-sectional study**

Victor Olago<sup>1,2</sup>, Gideon Nimako<sup>2,6</sup>, Lina Bartels<sup>3</sup>, Julia Bohlius<sup>3,8,9</sup>, Tafadzwa

Dhokotera<sup>3,4,8,9</sup>, Matthias Egger<sup>3,5</sup>, Elvira Singh<sup>1,2</sup> and Mazvita Sengayi-Muchengeti<sup>1,2,7</sup>

### **Affiliations**

<sup>1</sup>National Cancer Registry (NCR), National Health Laboratory Service (NHLS), Johannesburg, South Africa

<sup>2</sup>Division of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

<sup>3</sup>Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine (ISPM), University of Bern, Switzerland

<sup>4</sup>Graduate School for Cellular and Biomedical Sciences, University of Bern, Switzerland

<sup>5</sup>Centre for Infectious Disease Epidemiology and Research (CIDER), School of Public Health and Family Medicine, University of Cape Town, South Africa

<sup>6</sup>Industrialization, Science, Technology and Innovation Hub, The NEPAD Planning and Coordinating Agency, Johannesburg, South Africa

<sup>7</sup>South African DSI-NRF Centre of Excellence in Epidemiological Modelling and Analysis (SACEMA), Stellenbosch University, South Africa

<sup>8</sup>Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, Allschwil, Switzerland

<sup>9</sup>University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland

### **Keywords**

HIV and cancer; Cancer diagnostic use; HIV care decentralization; Health inequities; access to

**NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice. cancer and HIV treatment**

## Corresponding author:

Victor Olago

1 Modderfontein Road, Sandringham, Johannesburg, South Africa

Email: [VictorO@nicd.ac.za](mailto:VictorO@nicd.ac.za)

Tel: +27 62 137 7406

## Abstract

**Objective:** The objective of this study was to map place of cancer diagnosis in relation to Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) care centre among people with HIV (PWH) within South Africa (SA) using national laboratory database.

**Design:** We linked HIV and cancer laboratory data from 2004-2014 using supervised machine-learning algorithms. We performed a cross-sectional analysis comparing province where individuals accessed their HIV care versus where they had their cancer diagnosis.

**Setting:** We used laboratory test records related to HIV diagnostics and care, such as CD4 cell counts and percentages, rapid tests, qualitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR), antibody and antigen tests for HIV data that was documented as HIV positive and laboratory diagnosed cancer records from SA.

**Study population:** Our study population consisted of HIV records from the National Health Laboratory Service (NHLS) that linked to cancer record at the National Cancer Registry (NCR) between 2004- 2014.

**Primary and secondary outcomes:** We linked HIV records from NHLS to cancer records at NCR in order to study the inherent characteristics of the population with both HIV and cancer.

**Results:** The study population was 68,284 individuals with cancer and documented HIV related laboratory test. The median age at cancer diagnosis was 40 [IQR, 33-48] years for the study population with most cancers in PWH diagnosed in females 70.9% [n=46,313]. Of all

the PWH and cancer, 25% (n=16,364 p < 0.001) sought treatment outside their province of residence with 60.7% (n=10,235) travelling to Gauteng. KZN had 46.6% (n=4,107) of its PWH getting cancer diagnosis in Gauteng. Western Cape had 95% (n=6,200) of PWH getting cancer diagnosis within the province.

**Conclusions:** Our results showed health systems inequalities across provinces in South Africa with respect to cancer diagnosis. KZN for example had nearly half of the PWH getting cancer diagnosis outside the province while Western Cape is able to offer cancer diagnostic services to most of the PWH in the province. Gauteng is getting over burdened with referral for cancer diagnosis from other provinces. More effort is required to ensure equitable access to cancer diagnostic services within the country.

## Article Summary

### Strengths and limitations of this study

- We mapped the facilities of cancer diagnosis versus HIV care facilities for the PWH seeking cancer diagnosis in South Africa.
- We compared PWH who sought treatment in their province of residence versus those who sought treatment outside their province of residence.
- We used HIV care facility's addresses as a proxy for place of residence for PWH.
- We did not account for movement due to other reasons such as migration for better jobs and education.

## 1. Introduction

Equitable and reliable access to timely cancer diagnostic services can help reduce cancer morbidity and mortality (1–4). Early cancer diagnosis is more likely to result in malignancies being diagnosed at a treatable stage (1,5). In South Africa, equitable and timely access to oncology services has several issues (6–8). Access to cancer care is tiered with primary health facilities providing mostly screening and palliative care services and regional and tertiary hospitals providing cancer diagnostic and treatment services (9). Many, but not all, provinces in South Africa have regional and tertiary hospitals that have the mandate to provide specialised oncology services (9). However, oncology services have been poorly resourced with reports of failing services in some provinces (7,10). It has been postulated that this has resulted in patients having to travel long distances and outside their home province for cancer diagnosis and care. To date, not many studies have looked at the potential geographic inequities in cancer diagnostic services among the people with HIV (PWH) in South Africa. A study in Uganda reported on multiple barriers that patients with HIV associated malignancies have in receiving both HIV and cancer treatment (11).

Oncology services in low and middle-income countries are hindered by poor infrastructure, limited human and financial resources and lack of skilled human resources (3). A study on breast cancer diagnosis showed that the distance from the health facility affected the stage of cancer diagnosis (12). The further the distance one lived from the health facility the more likely one was to present at the hospital with late stage breast cancer (12). Similarly, a study on lung cancer care in the KwaZulu-Natal (KZN) province of South Africa reported that geographical location was a barrier to cancer care access as most of the oncology centres were in urban areas (13). The study also highlighted that lack of psychosocial care and resources limits access to screening, diagnosis and treatment of cancer (13). Two other studies in KZN province, one that reviewed endoscopy services and the other that looked at waiting times for prostate cancer

diagnosis, reported poor maintenance of infrastructure, lack of trained personnel and frequent disruptions, as barriers to effective and timely cancer care (14). Although South Africa is an upper middle-income country in Sub Saharan Africa (15), it is not exempt from the challenges facing oncology services in the region.

In a bid to achieve the UNAIDS HIV 2020 targets of 90-90-90 (16), HIV diagnosis and care has been effectively decentralized in South Africa (17). Most PWH are accessing HIV diagnosis, care and treatment in dispensaries, clinics and health centres in close proximity of their residence (17). The Department of Health (DOH) in South Africa has a well-defined referral system in the public health infrastructure with the aim of reducing morbidity and having better health outcomes(19). Making cancer diagnostic services more accessible may lead to better cancer treatment outcome in South Africa. South Africa, annually records over 80,000 incident cancer cases with over 50,000 cancer related deaths (20,21). It is estimated that 19.6% of cancer cases are attributed to infections (21), while the HIV infection rate in Black cancer patients is estimated at 34% (22).

The South African HIV Cancer Match study (SAM) consists of an HIV cohort created from routinely collected public sector HIV-diagnosis and HIV-care related laboratory data. This data were linked to the cancer data from the South African National Cancer Registry (NCR) to identify HIV positive cancer cases. This created an opportunity to determine access to cancer diagnostic services for PWH. As such, we aimed to assess the cancer diagnostic use among the PWH in South Africa.

## **2. Methods**

### **Study setting**

This was a study conducted using South African public sector laboratory data from all the 9 provinces. Gauteng being the province with the capital city, is the most populous but has the

smallest land surface; Northern Cape (NC) on the other hand has the largest land surface but has the smallest population (23). The National Health Laboratory Service (NHLS) is the largest provider of pathology services in South Africa covering an estimated 80% of the population (24). Its specialised divisions include the National Institute of Communicable Diseases, the National Institute of Occupational Health, the National Cancer Registry and the Corporate Data Warehouse (CDW). All the results of tests done by the network of NHLS laboratories are collated in the CDW allowing for extraction for various research purposes upon permission. The National Cancer Registry (NCR) is the primary cancer surveillance system in South Africa (25). In 2011, legislation was passed that made cancer-reporting to the NCR mandatory for persons and organisations diagnosing malignancies in the country (26). The pathology based registry is complete for cases diagnosed by histology, cytology and bone marrow aspirates and trephines but excludes cases diagnosed by clinical and other investigations. We used laboratory test records related to HIV diagnostics and care, such as CD4 cell counts and percentages, rapid tests, qualitative PCR, antibody and antigen tests for the HIV data that was documented as HIV positive and laboratory diagnosed cancer records.

### **Study design and study Population**

This was a cross-sectional analysis from a record linkage study (27). Record linkage is the process of identifying records that potentially belong to the same person in different datasets or one dataset (28). We used a support vector machine algorithm, a supervised machine learning technique to link the HIV and cancer data (29). The linkage variables included names, surnames, age or date of birth, episode number and folder numbers. These variables were pre-processed and standardised to allow for the linkage. Our study population consisted of HIV records from the NHLS that linked to a cancer record at the NCR, both datasets for the years 2004 to 2014. For the HIV data, we first de-duplicated the dataset to acquire individual records which we then linked to incident cancer cases from the NCR. For patients with more than one

cancer diagnosis report we used the first pathology report only, thus including incident cancer cases. For patients with HIV records in more than one province we used the province with the most records as the home province. The HIV data had prevalent HIV records. We used records where the HIV treatment date was earlier than the cancer diagnosis date. Since this was a cross-sectional study we included HIV records that had only single test performed.

## **Software**

We implemented this work entirely in Python 3.6 (30), running in Anaconda (Enterprise 4) (31) using Jupyter Ipython Notebook (version 5.3.1) (32). Python modules Pandas (version 0.25.1) (33) for data manipulation, GeoPandas (version 0.5.1) (34) for mapping geographic data, and Seaborn (version 0.9.0) (35) and Matplotlib (version 3.1.1) (36) for visualization were used.

## **Data management**

It should be noted that area of home residence of cases is not available in the HIV dataset or the cancer surveillance dataset. The HIV data included information on facility, laboratory, district and province of treatment. Throughout the years, HIV testing has become more accessible resulting in all levels of health care (primary to tertiary) providing the service. In most cases, whether rural or urban, in South Africa, patients do not have to travel long distances to access HIV testing and care. As a result, we assumed that the province of HIV testing and care was the home province of patients. The cancer dataset also had information on the district and province of diagnosis. We used Pandas version 0.25.1 for data manipulation. We accessed the HIV and cancer datasets for cleaning, deduplication and linkage between January to December of 2018 after which we kept anonymised dataset for analysis purposes.

## **Study hypothesis, analysis and visualization**

Assuming the province of HIV treatment to be the home province of the patients, we investigated whether all patients received cancer diagnosis in their home province. In order to understand the characteristics of our study population, we applied chi-square to assess the differences in the discrete groups, logistic regression to test the impact of age, gender, race and cancer type to the province of cancer diagnosis. We created tables for demographic characteristics, a tabulation of the province where the patient received HIV treatment versus where they received cancer diagnosis. We also created a table showing how age, gender and cancer type affect province of cancer diagnosis. We used GeoPandas, Seaborn and Matplotlib to create the maps marking facilities of HIV treatment and those of cancer diagnosis. We used South African choropleth maps that had demarcation of the provincial boundaries. The choropleths are available at [gamd.org](http://gamd.org) and are free to use for academic and non-commercial purposes (37).

### **Ethics**

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the University of the Witwatersrand Human Research Ethics Committee. Ethics certificate number M171176 was issued. Permission to conduct the study was also granted by the relevant organisations, the NCR and NHLS respectively. Seeking individual consents from patients involved in this study was not possible since this was historical data from established databases.

### **Patient and public involvement**

The study is based on routinely collected laboratory data; therefore, no patients were involved in the design, conduct, reporting, or dissemination plans of our research. The results of analyses of the data will be shared with Department of Health both at the Provincial and National levels to help in allocation of resources of cancer diagnostic resources.

### **3. Results**

During the 11-year period (2004-2014), 664,869 cancers were diagnosed in South Africa and reported to the NCR. The linkage between NCR and NHLS resulted in 115,333 records. We



dropped records with HIV results marked as unknown, negative and cases that had cancer diagnosis date prior to HIV treatment. This resulted to 65,284 malignancies with a documented HIV positive result. Of the 65,284 cancer and HIV co-infected patients, 25% (n=16,364 p < 0.001) sought treatment outside their province of residence. The median age at cancer diagnosis was 40 years (Interquartile range (IQR): 33-48) for the total linked population (HIV positive individuals) and 39 years (IQR: 32-47) for the proportion that sought cancer diagnosis outside their province of HIV care. Table 1 shows the demographic characteristics of our study population.

*Table 1: Demographics characteristics of the study population*

<b>Characteristics</b>	<b>Location of cancer diagnosis</b>		<b>HIV + patients with cancer diagnosis</b>	<b>P-value</b>
	Outside of province of HIV care	Within province of HIV care		
<b>Total Number</b>	16,364(25.1%)	48,920 (74.9%)	65,284	<0.001
<b>Age [years]</b>				
Median (IQR)	39 (IQR 32,47)	40 (IQR 33,49)	40 (IQR 33,48)	
<b>Gender</b>				
Female	12,110 (26.2%)	34,203 (73.9%)	46,313	<0.001
Male	4,254 (22.4%)	14,708 (77.6%)	18,962	
<b>Ethnicity</b>				
Black	15,088 (26.2%)	42,407 (73.8%)	57,495	<0.001
Coloured	484 (15.0%)	2,732 (85.0%)	3,216	
White	645 (21.2%)	2,399 (78.8%)	3,044	
Asian	69 (18.0%)	315 (82.0%)	384	
<b>Blacks vs non-Blacks</b>				
Black	15,088(26.2%)	42,407(73.8%)	57,495	<0.001
non-Black	1,198(18.0%)	5,446(82.0%)	6,644	

Table 2: Province of cancer diagnosis versus province of HIV diagnosis and care, N (column percentages). The green highlights show the proportion that accessed cancer diagnosis and HIV diagnosis and care in their home province. The blue highlights shows where GAU and WC provinces performed cancer diagnosis to PWH from other provinces.

Key: EC – Eastern Cape, FS – Free States, GAU – Gauteng, KZN – Kwa-Zulu Natal, LIM – Limpopo, NW – North West, NC – Northern Cape, WC – Western Cape

Province of HIV treatment		EC	FS	GAU	KZN	LIM	MPU	NW	NC	WC	TOTAL
Province of cancer diagnosis	EC	4,202 (80.8%)	17 (0.3%)	92 (0.5%)	94 (1.1%)	8 (0.2%)	15 (0.2%)	25 (0.5%)	25 (1.5%)	126 (1.9%)	4,606 (7.1%)
	FS	19 (0.4%)	4,250 (82.2%)	392 (1.9%)	101 (1.2%)	45 (0.9%)	110 (1.4%)	127 (2.8%)	53 (3.3%)	11 (0.2%)	5,108 (7.8%)
	GAU	260 (5%)	579 (11.2%)	17,682 (87.1%)	4,104 (46.8%)	853 (17.3%)	2,840 (35.3%)	1,131 (24.7%)	85 (5.3%)	126 (1.9%)	27,660 (42.5%)
	KZN	51 (1%)	16 (0.3%)	391 (1.9%)	3,806 (43.4%)	14 (0.3%)	183 (2.3%)	11 (0.2%)	1 (0.1%)	17 (0.3%)	4,490 (6.9%)
	LIM	3 (0.1%)	17 (0.3%)	322 (1.6%)	26 (0.3%)	3,856 (78.1%)	174 (2.2%)	60 (1.3%)	3 (0.2%)	4 (0.1%)	4,465 (6.9%)
	MPU	17 (0.3%)	39 (0.8%)	946 (4.7%)	536 (6.1%)	125 (2.5%)	4,634 (57.6%)	31 (0.7%)	8 (0.5%)	8 (0.1%)	6,344 (9.7%)
	NW	23 (0.4%)	52 (1%)	226 (1.1%)	28 (0.3%)	22 (0.4%)	35 (0.4%)	3,037 (66.5%)	19 (1.2%)	8 (0.1%)	3,450 (5.3%)
	NC	18 (0.3%)	37 (0.7%)	43 (0.2%)	7 (0.1%)	1 (0%)	8 (0.1%)	51 (1.1%)	1,251 (77.5%)	35 (0.5%)	1,451 (2.2%)
	WC	608 (11.7%)	166 (3.2%)	209 (1%)	64 (0.7%)	14 (0.3%)	43 (0.5%)	97 (2.1%)	170 (10.5%)	6,200 (94.9%)	7,571 (11.6%)
	<b>TOTAL</b>	5,203 (7.99%)	5,173 (9.94%)	20,303 (31.17%)	8,766 (13.46%)	4,938 (7.58%)	8,042 (12.34%)	1,615 (2.48%)	4,570 (7.02%)	6,535 (10.03%)	

Only 43% of PWH from KZN sought cancer diagnosis within the province, as compared to 95% of PWH from Western Cape as shown in Table 2. Gauteng diagnosed the most cancer cases in the PWH at 42% (n=27,660), followed by Western Cape 11.6% (n=7,571) and Mpumalanga 9.7% (n=6,344).

Of all the PWH and cancer, 25% (n=16,864) accessed cancer diagnosis outside their province of residence with 60.7% (n=10,235) travelling to Gauteng. KZN had 46.6% (n=4,107) of its PWH getting cancer diagnosis in Gauteng. Limpopo, North West and Mpumalanga also referred a substantial number of PWH to Gauteng for cancer diagnosis.

With the increase in age PWH are less likely to travel to another province for cancer diagnosis as shown in Table 3. The table also shows that male PWH are less likely to travel to another province for cancer diagnosis while being Black increases their chances of traveling to another province for cancer diagnosis. PWH who received cancer diagnosis for Basal Cell Carcinoma, Prostate and Kidney are likely to travel to another province for cancer diagnosis. We dropped all cancers that did not show any association on whether a patient was diagnosed within out outside home province.

*Table 3: The impact of age, gender, race and cancer type to the province of cancer diagnosis*

Variable	Odds ratio	P-value	95% confidence Interval
Age	1.014	<0.001	1.013, 1.016
gender (Female - base)			
Male	1.061	0.019	1.010, 1.115
Race (non-Black - base)			
Black	0.608	<0.001	0.569, 0.651
Cancer			
BCC	0.447	<0.001	0.334, 0.599
Bladder	0.632	0.010	0.445, 0.896
Bone	0.667	0.045	0.449, 0.990
Burkitt lymphoma	2.009	<0.001	1.498, 2.695
Hodgkin lymphoma	1.370	0.020	1.051, 1.787
Kaposi Sarcoma	1.584	<0.001	1.259, 1.993
Kidney	0.548	0.001	0.386, 0.778
Melanoma	0.715	0.050	0.511, 1.000

Mesothelioma	0.512	0.032	0.278, 0.944
Non Hodgkin lymphoma	1.260	0.055	0.995, 1.596
Pancreas	0.472	0.003	0.287, 0.776
Prostate	0.450	<0.001	0.340, 0.594
Skin other	0.715	0.035	0.523, 0.977
Stomach	0.711	0.031	0.522, 0.968
Testis	0.548	0.027	0.322, 0.934
Thyroid	0.682	0.031	0.481, 0.966
Tongue	1.480	0.053	0.995, 2.201

We mapped the HIV treatment centers versus the cancer diagnostic centers in SA as shown in Figure 1. Gauteng received PWH for cancer diagnosis from all the provinces, with most coming from KZN, Mpumalanga, Limpopo and North West as shown in Figure 2. Western Cape on the other hand received PWH for cancer diagnosis from Eastern Cape and Gauteng as shown in Figure 3.

#### 4. Discussion

Overall, 25% of PWH travelled out of their home province for cancer diagnosis. There was poor access to cancer diagnosis in PWH in KZN such that nearly half (46.8%) of patients studied accessed cancer diagnosis in Gauteng, which is hundreds of kilometres from their HIV diagnosis/care province. In 2015, the South African Human Rights Commission submitted a petition to the National Department of Health highlighting the declining cancer care services in KZN province (7). The petition stated that cancer patients had to wait at least five months before seeing an oncologist (7). The wait extended to 13 months if the recommended course of treatment was radiotherapy. This depicts a different picture from the guide of early cancer diagnosis that recommends treatment initiation within one month of diagnosis (5). In KZN province, have three large oncology hospitals but they were unable to meet the cancer diagnosis demand of its residents (9). A third (35.3%) and a quarter (24.7%) of PWH from Mpumalanga and North West provinces respectively travelled to Gauteng province for cancer diagnosis.

Gauteng hospitals treated more than 20% of PWH for cancer who had travelled from other provinces. The Gauteng province has the majority of academic hospitals, which are more likely to be well equipped and well-staffed. Other than being the province with the capital city, the province is surrounded by Free State, North West, Limpopo and Mpumalanga provinces thereby making referrals from these provinces easier as it is more accessible. However, since most provinces are referring to it, this will most likely overburden the Gauteng hospitals resulting in a problem similar to that in KZN. Already some PWH from Gauteng province opt to get cancer diagnostic services in Western Cape. (Table 2). SA has already in place referral guidelines for patients who require diagnostic services in upper health facilities tiers even though some patients by-pass lower hospital facilities when believe they are more likely not to receive proper health services in the facilities close to them (38).

The Western Cape Province offered cancer diagnosis to most of its PWH. This is a sign of better and more accessible cancer diagnostic services within the province. A survey done by the National Department of Health revealed that the spectrum and quantity of oncology services in the Western Cape province, including infrastructure and personnel, were sufficient for provincial needs (9). The Western Cape Province is mostly urban with increased geographical accessibility and efficient referral pathways (39). However, referrals from other provinces are not common as WC is distant from other provinces compared to Gauteng province as shown in Figure 1 and 3.

Our study demonstrated major inequities with regards to access to public healthcare sector cancer diagnostic services for PWH in South Africa (40). Assuming that the province where patients accessed HIV care was their home province, we reported that the average age at cancer diagnosis for PWH was 40 years with younger age groups likely to travel or be referred to another province for cancer diagnosis. We recommend further investigations to explore this effect and to determine whether the quicker diagnosis in receiving provinces resulted in down

staging of cancers and a survival advantage. PWH who were treated for either Burkitt lymphoma, Hodgkin lymphoma, Kaposi Sarcoma, Non Hodgkin lymphoma and Tongue were more likely to get cancer diagnosis in their home province unlike the PWH who were treated for Basal Cell Carcinoma, Prostate, Kidney, Testis, Bladder, Bone, Melanoma, Mesothelioma, Pancreas, Thyroid and Stomach as shown in Table 3. Patients who suffered from AIDS Defining Cancers (ADC) were able to get cancer diagnosis within their home province as opposed to those who suffered from Non-AIDS Defining Cancers (NDC).

This study also demonstrated the earlier age of cancer diagnosis in PWH at 40 years compared 60 years which is the average age for cancer diagnosis in the South African population according the NCR data. The early cancer diagnosis age for PWH may be as a results of HIV related cancer policies in South Africa such as screening activities in the HIV positive population (41).

Black Africans made up 92% of PWH who travelled for cancer diagnosis and 74% were female. This reflects the gender and population group distribution of the South African HIV epidemic as reported in the South African National HIV prevalence, incidence and behaviour survey (42). The HIV epidemic in South Africa affects more women than men and more Black compared to other population groups (42). The majority of the Black population access their healthcare in the public sector compared to the White population that access care in the private sector. Black South Africans have been marginalised in the country due to socio-economic circumstances and previous political oppression (43). This reflects a further barrier to healthcare in an already disadvantaged population who may not afford the costs associated with travelling long distances to access healthcare (44).

The 74% of patients who travelled for cancer diagnosis being female contrast to traditional SA economic migration patterns where men travelled for work opportunities (45). Recently,

Vearey *et al* reported the feminisation of migratory patterns as more women enter the workforce. However, this pattern could also reflect the pattern of health seeking behaviour in South Africa where women are more likely to seek care for health symptoms than men (46). Women in our study population were relatively younger compared to men. There is also a significant over representation of women ( $p>0.001$ ) in our study population which is similar to HIV population in SA (42). SA also has policies and guidelines for breast and cervical cancer screening leading to more cancers detected among women. Such screening services may have also led to better cancer diagnosis of cervix and breast cancers in their home province as the proportion that sought treatment outside their province of HIV treatment was 30% and below. Our study was one of the few studies trying to determine accessibility of cancer diagnostic services in South Africa. The major limitation of our study was that it did not account for movement due to other reasons such as migration for better jobs and education. Veary *et al* asserted that internal migration in South Africa occurs more often due to economic opportunities than for seeking of healthcare services (46). However, that study was conducted amongst primary healthcare users while our study assessed cancer diagnosis, which occurs at the regional and tertiary hospital level. Furthermore, diagnostic pathology reports do not provide patient's residential address. Therefore, our HIV cohort allowed us to have a proxy for the actual residential address using the facility at which HIV was diagnosed.

We assert that patients in South Africa are forced to move province for cancer care as a result of under-resourced regional and tertiary oncology services in their home province while HIV care, accessed at primary healthcare level is abundantly available in their home province.

## **5. Conclusion**

While HIV services have been decentralised and are available to patients close to where they live and work, more effort is required to ensure equitable access to oncology services within

the country to optimise cancer patient outcomes. Our results showed health systems inequalities across provinces in South Africa with respect to cancer diagnosis. Patients who suffered from cancers that are classified as ADC's were more likely to receive their cancer diagnosis within their home province. This showed need to strengthen cancer diagnostic services particularly in under-served provinces.

### **Funding, acknowledgement**

We would like to express our deep gratitude to the late Elvira Singh, the head of the South African National Cancer Registry from 2013 to 2022, for her invaluable role in making the SAM study possible. This project was supported by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) administrative supplement to Existing NIH Grants and Cooperative Agreements (Parent Admin Supplement) (The South African HIV/AIDS Match Study (SAM); U01AI069924 - 09, Principal Investigator (PI) Matthias Egger, co- PI Julia Bohlius) President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR) supplement (PI Matthias Egger) and the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF) (The SAM, 320030\_169967, PI Julia Bohlius). The National Cancer Registry (NCR) provided office space and technical support and supervision for the study. This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 801076, through the SSPH+ Global PhD Fellowship Programme in Public Health Sciences (GlobalP3HS) of the Swiss School of Public Health

### **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declares no conflict of interest

### **Author contributions**

Conceptualization, Elvira Singh (ES), Gideon Nimako (GN), Mazvita Sengayi-Muchengeti (MM), Julia Bohlius (JB) and Matthias Egger (ME); methodology Victor Olago (VO), Lina



Bartels (LB), and Tafadzwa Dhokotera (TD); writing—original draft preparation, V.O.; writing—review and editing, MM, ES, ME, JB, GN, LB, TD.; supervision, MM. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

### Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

### Figures

We mapped the HIV treatment centers in red while cancer diagnostic centers are marked in black dots as shown Figure 1. Figure 2 shows all the HIV treatment centers that referred their cancer patient for cancer diagnosis to Gauteng Province while Figure 3 shows the facilities that referred their cancer patients for cancer diagnosis in Western Cape Province.

*Figure 1: HIV treatment centers versus cancer diagnostic centers*




Figure 2: HIV treatment centers that referred their cancer patients for diagnosis to Gauteng Province





Figure 3: HIV treatment centers that referred their cancer patients for diagnosis to Western Cape Province



## References

1. World Health Organization. Early Cancer Diagnosis Saves Lives, Cuts Treatment Costs [Internet]. Vol. 38, Saudi Medical Journal. 2017 [cited 2019 Sep 26]. p. 328. Available from: <https://www.who.int/news-room/detail/03-02-2017-early-cancer-diagnosis-saves-lives-cuts-treatment-costs>
2. Samarasekera U, Horton R. Women's cancers: shining a light on a neglected health inequity. Lancet [Internet]. 2017 Feb 25 [cited 2019 Sep 26];389(10071):771–3. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27814968>
3. Prager GW, Braga S, Bystricky B, Qvortrup C, Criscitiello C, Esin E, et al. Global cancer control: Responding to the growing burden, rising costs and inequalities in access. ESMO Open. 2018;3(2):1–10.
4. Gelband H, Sankaranarayanan R, Gauvreau CL, Horton S, Anderson BO, Bray F, et al. Costs, affordability, and feasibility of an essential package of cancer control interventions in low-income and middle-income countries: key messages from Disease Control Priorities, 3rd edition. Lancet (London, England) [Internet]. 2016 May 21 [cited 2019 Sep 26];387(10033):2133–44. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26578033>
5. WHO. GUIDE TO CANCER - Guide to cancer early diagnosis [Internet]. World Health Organization. 2017. 48 p. Available from: <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/254500/9789241511940-eng.pdf;jsessionid=2646A3E30075DB0FCA4A703A481A5494?sequence=1>
6. iol.co.za. KZN's health machines woes deepens | Daily News [Internet]. [cited 2019 Sep 27]. Available from: <https://www.iol.co.za/dailynews/news/kzns-health-machines-woes-deepens-9881468>

7. Timeslive. Human Rights Commission slams ‘lack of progress’ in KZN oncology crisis [Internet]. 2018. [cited 2019 Jan 23]. Available from:  
<https://www.timeslive.co.za/news/south-africa/2018-04-20-human-rights-commission-slams-lack-of-progress-in-kzn-oncology-crisis/>
8. South African Human Rights Commission. South Africa: ‘Treatment Of Cancer In North West Is Impossible’ [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2019 Jan 23]. Available from:  
<https://www.sahrc.org.za/index.php/sahrc-media/news/item/1378-south-africa-treatment-of-cancer-in-north-west-is-impossible>
9. Wikler D. Ethics in Health Research. *Encuentro* [Internet]. 2010;(86):55–66. Available from: <http://www.nhrec.org.za/docs/Documents/EthicsHealthResearchFinalAused.pdf>.
10. BONGANI M. Cancer patients in KZN must ‘relocate to another province or die’ as last specialist in Durban resigns [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2019 Jan 23]. Available from:  
<https://www.timeslive.co.za/news/south-africa/2017-06-08-cancer-patients-in-kzn-must-relocate-to-another-province-or-die-as-last-specialist-in-durban-resigns/>
11. Low DH, Phipps W, Orem J, Casper C, Ignacio RAB. Engagement in HIV Care and Access to Cancer Treatment Among Patients With HIV-Associated Malignancies in Uganda. *JCO Glob Oncol*. 2019 Feb 14;2019(5).
12. Dickens C, Joffe M, Jacobson J, Venter F, Schüz J, Cubasch H, et al. Stage at breast cancer diagnosis and distance from diagnostic hospital in a periurban setting: A South African public hospital case series of over 1,000 women. *Int J Cancer*. 2014;135(9):2173–82.
13. Lubuzo B, Ginindza T, Hlongwana K. Exploring barriers to lung cancer patient access, diagnosis, referral and treatment in Kwazulu-Natal, South Africa: The health providers’ perspectives. *Transl Lung Cancer Res*. 2019;8(4):380–91.

14. Loots E, Chb MB, Sa FCS, Gastroenterology C, Surg SA, Clarke DL, et al. Endoscopy services in KwaZulu-Natal Province , South Africa , are insufficient for the burden of disease : Is patient care compromised ? 2017;107(11):1022–5.
15. Bank W. How does the World Bank classify countries? – World Bank Data Help Desk [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Jan 14]. Available from:  
<https://datahelpdesk.worldbank.org/knowledgebase/articles/378834-how-does-the-world-bank-classify-countries>
16. Unaid. 90-90-90: An ambitious treatment target to help end the AIDS epidemic. 2020.
17. Mukora R, Charalambous S, Dahab M, Hamilton R, Karstaedt A. A study of patient attitudes towards decentralisation of HIV care in an urban clinic in South Africa. BMC Health Serv Res. 2011;11.
18. Johnson LF, Dorrington RE, Moolla H. Progress towards the 2020 targets for HIV diagnosis and antiretroviral treatment in South Africa. South Afr J HIV Med. 2017;18(1):1–8.
19. KwaZulu- Natal Department of Health. Referral system - Levels of Health Care [Internet]. KZN Department of Health. 2019 [cited 2020 Jan 14]. Available from:  
<http://www.kznhealth.gov.za/Referral-system.htm>
20. Dervan W, Hitchcock GC. National Cancer Registry. [Internet]. Vol. 102, New Zealand Medical Journal. 1989 [cited 2019 Jan 23]. p. 168. Available from:  
<http://www.nicd.ac.za/index.php/centres/national-cancer-registry/>
21. WHO. Cancer Country Profile 2020. 2020.
22. Sengayi M, Babb C, Egger M, Urban MI. HIV testing and burden of HIV infection in black cancer patients in Johannesburg, South Africa: a cross-sectional study. 2011

- [cited 2018 Aug 27]; Available from:  
<https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1186%2Fs12885-015-1171-7.pdf>
23. SA. South Africa's provinces | South African Government [Internet]. [cited 2021 Sep 29]. Available from: <https://www.gov.za/about-sa/south-africas-provinces>
  24. National Health Laboratory Service. National Health Laboratory Service Annual Report 2017/18 [Internet]. Johannesburg; 2017 [cited 2017 Jul 18]. Available from: [http://www.nhls.ac.za/assets/files/an\\_report/NHLS\\_AR\\_2018.pdf](http://www.nhls.ac.za/assets/files/an_report/NHLS_AR_2018.pdf)
  25. Singh E, Ruff P, Babb C, Sengayi M, Beery M, Khoali L, et al. Establishment of a cancer surveillance programme: The South African experience. *Lancet Oncol* [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2017 Aug 8];16(8):e414–21. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4591917/pdf/nihms724328.pdf>
  26. National Department of Health. National Health Act, No. 61 of 2003 [Internet]. Government Gazette Government of South Africa; 2004. Available from: [https://www.up.ac.za/media/shared/12/ZP\\_Files/health-act.zp122778.pdf](https://www.up.ac.za/media/shared/12/ZP_Files/health-act.zp122778.pdf) [5 November 2018]
  27. Dhokotera T, Bohlius J, Spoerri A, Egger M, Ncayiyana J, Olago V, et al. The burden of cancers associated with HIV in the South African public health sector, 2004-2014: A record linkage study. *Infect Agent Cancer*. 2019;14(1).
  28. Vennila V, Savitha S, Sathya T. Record Linkage Using Jaro-Winkler Technique Implemented In Database Misuse Domain. *Int J Adv Res Comput Eng Technol* [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2017 Jul 13];4(3):585–7. Available from: <http://ijarcet.org/wp-content/uploads/IJARCET-VOL-4-ISSUE-3-585-587.pdf>
  29. Christen P. Automatic record linkage using seeded nearest neighbour and support

- vector machine classification [Internet]. Proceedings of the ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining. 2008 [cited 2018 Apr 19]. Available from:  
<http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.133.1107&rep=rep1&type=pdf>
30. Guido van R, Team P development. The Python Language Reference. Python Software Foundation [Internet]. 2013 [cited 2019 Oct 28];11. Available from:  
<https://cubar.nl/doc/python/reference.pdf>  
[http://docs.python.org/2/reference/lexical\\_analysis.html](http://docs.python.org/2/reference/lexical_analysis.html)
  31. Anaconda I. Anaconda Documentation, Release 2.0. Read Docs [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2019 Oct 27];2:1696. Available from: <https://docs.anaconda.com/anaconda/navigator/>
  32. Ipython T, Team D. IPython Documentation. read docs [Internet]. 2011 [cited 2019 Jul 3];3:293–9. Available from: <http://ipython.scipy.org/moin/>
  33. Wes McKinney & PyData Development Team. pandas: powerful Python data analysis toolkit Release 0.25.0 Wes McKinney & PyData Development Team. read docs [Internet]. 0.25.0. 2019 [cited 2019 Jul 19];2827. Available from:  
<https://pandas.pydata.org/pandas-docs/stable/pandas.pdf>
  34. Jordahl K. GeoPandas Documentation. 2016; Available from:  
<https://buildmedia.readthedocs.org/media/pdf/geopandas/master/geopandas.pdf>
  35. Waskom M. Seaborn: Statistical Data Visualization — Seaborn 0.9.0 Documentation [Internet]. Sphinx 1.7.4. 2018 [cited 2019 Sep 26]. Available from:  
<https://seaborn.pydata.org/>
  36. Matplotlib. Matplotlib: Python plotting [Internet]. [cited 2019 Sep 26]. Available from:

<https://matplotlib.org/>

37. GADM. GADM.org [Internet]. [cited 2019 Nov 18]. Available from:  
<https://www.gadm.org/about.html>
38. Mojaki ME, Basu D, Letshokgohla ME, Govender M. Referral steps in district health system are side-stepped. South African Med J [Internet]. 2011 Jan 27 [cited 2021 Aug 11];101(2):109. Available from:  
<http://www.samj.org.za/index.php/samj/article/view/4385>
39. Government WC. Triage and referral systems [Internet]. [cited 2021 Aug 24]. Available from:  
[https://www.westerncape.gov.za/dept/health/documents/public\\_info/M/39054?toc\\_page=3](https://www.westerncape.gov.za/dept/health/documents/public_info/M/39054?toc_page=3)
40. Constitution of the Republic of South Africa [Internet]. Vol. 27, Verfassung in Recht und Übersee. justice.gov.za; 1994 [cited 2019 Nov 19]. 545–632 p. Available from:  
<http://www.justice.gov.za/legislation/constitution/SACConstitution-web-eng.pdf>
41. South African National Department of Health. National Hiv Counselling and Testing ( Hct ) Policy Guidelines [Internet]. Department of Health. 2010 [cited 2018 Aug 27]. Available from: [https://aidsfree.usaid.gov/sites/default/files/hts\\_policy\\_south-africa.pdf](https://aidsfree.usaid.gov/sites/default/files/hts_policy_south-africa.pdf)
42. HSRC. South African National HIV Prevalence, Incidence and Behaviour Survey, 2012 [Internet]. Cape Town; 2014 [cited 2018 Aug 28]. Available from:  
[www.hsrepress.ac.za](http://www.hsrepress.ac.za)
43. Von Fintel Di, Richter L. Intergenerational transfer of health inequalities: Exploration of mechanisms in the Birth to Twenty cohort in South Africa. BMJ Glob Heal.



2019;4(5):1–6.

44. Harris B, Goudge J, Ataguba JE, McIntyre D, Nxumalo N, Jikwana S, et al. Inequities in access to health care in South Africa. *J Public Health Policy*. 2011;32(SUPPL. 1).
45. Lehohla P. Census 2011: Migration Dynamics In South Africa. Vols. 03-01–79, Statistics South Africa. Pretoria; 2015.
46. Vearey J, de Gruchy T, Kamndaya M, Walls HL, Chetty-Makkan CM, Hanefeld J. Exploring The Migration Profiles of Primary Healthcare Users in South Africa. *J Immigr Minor Heal*. 2018;20(1):91–100.

### **List of Acronyms**

CD4	Cluster of Differentiation 4
CDW	Corporate Data Warehouse
EC	Eastern Cape Province
ELISA	Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay
FS	Free State Province
GAU	Gauteng Province
HIV	Human Immunodeficiency Virus
IQR	Interquartile Range
KZN	Kwa-Zulu Natal Province
LIM	Limpopo Province
MPU	Mpumalanga Province
NC	Northern Cape Province
NCR	National Cancer Registry
NHLS	National Health Laboratory Service

NW	North West Province
PWH	People with HIV
SA	South Africa
SAM Study	South African HIV Cancer Match Study
WC	Western Cape Province