1 Title

- 2 Investigating a causal role for neutrophil count on *P. falciparum* severe malaria: a Mendelian
- 3 Randomization study
- 4 Authors

8

21

25

26

27

- 5 Andrei-Emil Constantinescu<sup>1,2,3</sup>, David A. Hughes<sup>1,2</sup>, Caroline J. Bull<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Kathryn Fleming<sup>4</sup>,
- 6 Ruth E. Mitchell<sup>1,2</sup>, Jie Zheng<sup>5,6</sup>, Siddhartha Kar<sup>1,2</sup>, Nicholas J. Timpson<sup>1,2</sup>, Borko Amulic<sup>4</sup>\*,
- 7 Emma E. Vincent<sup>1,2,3</sup>\*
- 9 <sup>1</sup>MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit at the University of Bristol, Bristol, UK
- <sup>2</sup>Bristol Medical School, Population Health Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK
- <sup>3</sup>School of Translational Health Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK
- <sup>4</sup>School of Cellular and Molecular Medicine, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK
- 13 <sup>5</sup>Department of Endocrine and Metabolic Diseases, Shanghai Institute of Endocrine and
- 14 Metabolic Diseases, Ruijin Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine,
- 15 Shanghai, China.
- <sup>6</sup>Shanghai National Clinical Research Center for Metabolic Diseases, Key Laboratory for
- 17 Endocrine and Metabolic Diseases of the National Health Commission of the PR China,
- 18 Shanghai National Center for Translational Medicine, Ruijin Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong
- 19 University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China.
- 20 \* Joint senior authors

# 22 Corresponding authors

- 23 Correspondence to Borko Amulic (borko.amulic@bristol.ac.uk) and Emma E. Vincent
- 24 (emma.vincent@bristol.ac.uk).

# Abstract

**Background** 

Malaria caused by *P. falciparum* imposes a tremendous public health burden on people living in sub-Saharan Africa. Severe malaria is associated with high morbidity and mortality and results from complications such as cerebral malaria, severe anaemia or respiratory distress. Individuals living in malaria endemic regions often have a reduced circulating neutrophil count due to a heritable phenomenon called 'benign ethnic neutropenia' (BEN). Neutrophils defend against bacterial infections but have been shown to be detrimental in pre-clinical malaria models, raising the possibility that reduced neutrophil counts modulate severity of malaria in susceptible populations. We tested this hypothesis by performing a genome-wide association study (GWAS) of circulating neutrophil count and a Mendelian randomization (MR) analysis of neutrophil counts on severe malaria in individuals of predominantly African ancestry.

#### **Results**

We carried out a GWAS of neutrophil count in individuals associated to an African continental ancestry group within UK Biobank (N=5,976). We identified previously unknown loci regulating neutrophil count in a non-European population. This was followed by a two-sample bi-directional MR analysis between neutrophil count and severe malaria (MalariaGEN, N=17,056). We identified 73 loci (r²=0.1) associated with neutrophil count, including the well-known rs2814778 variant responsible for BEN. The greatest evidence for an effect was found between neutrophil count and severe anaemia, although the confidence intervals crossed the null. MR analyses failed to suggest evidence for an effect of the combined severe malaria syndromes or individual subtypes (severe malaria anaemia, cerebral malaria, other severe malaria) on neutrophil count.

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

Conclusion Our GWAS of neutrophil count revealed unique loci present in individuals of African ancestry. We note that a small sample-size reduced our power to identify variants with low allele frequencies and/or low effect sizes in our GWAS. Our work highlights the need for conducting large-scale biobank studies in Africa and for further exploring the link between neutrophils and severe malarial anemia. **Keywords** Malaria, Neutrophil count, Mendelian randomization, GWAS, African ancestry Introduction Malaria is a mosquito-transmitted disease that annually affects approximately 215 million people [1,2]. The disease is caused by protozoan parasites of the *Plasmodium* genus: Plasmodium falciparum (P. falciparum) causes life-threatening disease in sub-Saharan Africa and accounts for almost all malaria deaths, while P. vivax leads to a milder disease that is nonetheless associated with a significant public health burden in diverse geographical regions [2]. P. falciparum malaria causes approximately 400,000-600,000 deaths each year, primarily in African children under the age of five [1]. The majority of P. falciparum malaria cases consist of uncomplicated febrile illness, however a portion of nonimmune infected individuals succumb to severe malaria, which can manifest as cerebral malaria, severe anemia, acute respiratory distress or kidney injury [3]. Plasmodium resides and proliferates in

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

red blood cells (RBCs) and pathology is triggered by cytoadherence of infected RBCs (iRBCs) to microcapillary endothelia in different organs, which can lead to vascular occlusion and endothelial permeability [3]. Inflammation plays a key role in both facilitating iRBC sequestration [4] and in tissue damage [3,5,6]. In cerebral malaria, the deadliest form of the disease, iRBCs sequester in the neurovasculature, provoking blood brain barrier permeabilization, vascular leak and brain swelling [3]. Malaria has been the biggest cause of childhood deaths over the past 5000 years [7]. As such, it has exerted the strongest known selective pressure on the human genome and has resulted in the selection of various polymorphisms that confer *Plasmodium* tolerance or resistance. Among the most prominent examples are haemoglobin S (Hbs; sickle cell trait) [8] and alpha-thalassemia variants [9], both of which are common in malaria endemic regions despite causing disease in the homozygous state [7]. The HbS polymorphism in the heterozygous state confers the greatest protection (effect size >80%; [7,10]). The heritability of severe malaria is estimated to be around 30% [11,12] but the cumulative effect of the aforementioned variants is thought to only be 2% [7,11], suggesting that polygenic interactions may account for a large part of the missing heritability of this complex disease. Individuals living in malaria-endemic regions, as well as those descended from them, often have reduced numbers of neutrophils in circulation as compared to those living in nonendemic regions. This heritable phenomenon is called 'benign ethnic neutropenia' (BEN) and is distinct from life-threatening severe neutropenia. BEN is prominent in South Mediterranean, Middle Eastern, sub-Saharan African and West Indies populations [13]. BEN is estimated to occur in 25-50% of Africans [13-15] and 10.7% of Arabs [16] but in less than 1% of people of European ancestry living in the Americas [17]. Neutrophils are essential for

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

immune defense against bacteria and fungi [18], however BEN does not lead to significantly greater susceptibility to infection in the United States [13]. Nevertheless, it remains curious that selection for lower neutrophil counts occurred in sub-Saharan Africa, a region associated with a high infectious disease burden. This observation is partly explained by the finding that in populations of African and Yemenite Jewish ancestry, BEN is strongly associated with a polymorphism in the atypical chemokine receptor 1 (ACKR1/DARC), which encodes the Fy/Duffy antigen, a surface receptor utilized by P. vivax to invade RBCs [19]. This variant abolishes expression of ACKR1 on RBCs and is thought to contribute to low prevalence of P. vivax in sub-Saharan Africa, where the polymorphism is found at levels close to fixation [7]. ACKR1, in addition to serving as one of the invasion receptors for P. vivax, controls circulating levels of chemokines [20], which also regulate blood neutrophil numbers [20]. It is unclear to what extent other polymorphisms contribute to BEN in individuals living in malaria endemic regions [21]. Neutrophils have recently been shown to have a detrimental role in malaria, promoting pathogenesis by enhancing sequestration of iRBCs [4] and contributing to inflammatory tissue damage [6,22,23]. Altered neutrophil responses have also been linked to severe malarial anemia in paediatric patients [24]. On the other hand, neutrophils have also been suggested to participate in parasite clearance [25] and in shaping the *Plasmodium* antigenic repertoire [26]. These studies raise the possibility that neutropenia in malaria endemic regions may modulate severity of P. falciparum malaria, in addition to conferring resistance to P. vivax. However, observational studies, such as the ones referenced above, are prone to confounding and reverse causation [27-29]. It is therefore essential to employ additional methods, such as those in population genetics, to study the link between neutrophil count and

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

P. falciparum severe malaria, with the overarching aim to improve the health outcomes of the people residing in endemic regions. Mendelian randomization (MR) is a method in genetic epidemiology which uses genetic variants as proxies with the aim of providing evidence for causal inference between an exposure and an outcome [27]. As the majority of alleles are assigned randomly at birth, an MR analysis is analogous to that of a randomized control trial (RCT), the most reliable method for evaluating the effectiveness of an intervention [30]. Large-scale studies, such as UK Biobank (UKBB) [31], have increased the potential of MR studies due to the increase in power to detect associations in genome-wide association studies (GWASs) that comes with such a large sample size. Recent efforts in genetics have resulted in the generation of hundreds of GWAS using UKBB's non-European participants for many traits in a hypothesis-free manner (https://pan.ukbb.broadinstitute.org/). However, the same covariates were used for each trait, and the impact of confounding due to population structure was not studied, this represents a potential limitation for constructing reliable instruments for a MR analysis [32]. A recent study by Chen et al. used individuals of non-European ancestry in UKBB to perform transancestry GWAS of blood cell traits (BCTs) [33]. However, the African continental ancestry groups (CAGs) of UKBB display strong population structure [34]. It therefore remains unclear whether a GWAS of a complex trait, such as neutrophil count, would result in associations that are linked to a biological mechanism, or whether the associations would be a product of confounding due to residual population structure. In order to answer these questions, a more thorough investigation of the sampled dataset is warranted. This becomes

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

even more important when aiming to conduct causal inference analyses in genetic epidemiology, such as two-sample Mendelian randomization [35,36]. To test the hypothesis that reduced neutrophil counts modulates severity of malaria in susceptible populations, we first performed a GWAS of neutrophil count in individuals associated to the UKBB African continental ancestry group (CAG), described in our previous study [34]. Here, we conducted a series of sensitivity analyses to describe the GWAS results and selection of genetic instruments to proxy for neutrophil count in a MR analysis. We then conducted bi-directional MR to estimate the casual relationship between neutrophil count and SM using data from the MalariaGEN consortium [37]. Materials & Methods Study design 6,653 people representing the UKBB African CAG were identified as part of our previous study [34]. After PCA outlier filtering [34], we also excluded those without neutrophil count data and blood-related disorders [38], resulting in a final sample of 5,976. The primary GWAS of neutrophil count used in all other analyses was generated with BOLT-LMM. Several analyses were undertaken afterwards to test the validity of the primary GWAS estimates. Following this, an MR analysis was performed between neutrophil count and severe malaria caused by *P. falciparum* using data from MalariaGEN (**Figure 1**).




Figure 1. Study design of the project.

#### **UK Biobank genetic data**

UK Biobank's "non-white" British data was studied previously, where 6,653 people corresponded to the African CAG, of which 6,504 remained (5,989 unrelated; 515 related) after filtering for principal component analysis (PCA) outliers [34]. These were further assigned into seven clusters based on a K-means clustering algorithm (K1=527; K2=1,177; K3=1,176; K4=1,001; K5=1,206; K6=862; K7=184) [34]. This dataset (N=6,504) included both directly genotyped (N=784,256) and imputed (N=29,363,284) SNPs filtered with a minor allele count of >20. We filtered out SNPs with an INFO score threshold of 0.3, as it gives the best balance between data quality and quantity. Another filtering process was a Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) test (P<1e-10), used to identify SNPs of poor genotyping quality [39]. Finally, related individuals from the dataset were removed, resulting in 5,509 unrelated people in the filtered African CAG dataset. SNPs with a minor allele count

of less than 17 (corresponding to the new sample-size from 20) were removed. 23,530,028 SNPs remained after filtering by INFO score, HWE test and minor allele count.

### **UK Biobank phenotypic data**

Haematological samples were analysed using four Beckman Coulter LH750 instruments [40]. Total white blood cell (WBC) count and neutrophil percentage (%) were measured through the Coulter method, with neutrophil count derived as "neutrophil % / 100 x total WBC" and expressed as 109 cells/Litre [40]. Afterwards, the sample collection date was split into year, month, day, and minutes (passed since the start of the day of the appointment visit), while the neutrophil count measurement variable was log-transformed into a variable named "nc\_log", which was used as the default neutrophil count variable throughout the study. Other variables that were used in the main analyses were: age, genetic sex, blood sample device ID, UKBB assessment centre and principal components (PCs) 1 to 100. Filtering was performed based on the selection criteria described by Astle et al. [38] and Chen et al. [33]. Briefly, individuals with disorders/diseases that could affect blood counts (e.g. HIV, leukaemia, congenital anaemias, cirrhosis) were removed, bringing the final sample size to 5,976. This dataset is referred to as "AFR\_CAG".

## **BOLT-LMM GWAS**

BOLT-LMM was used as the software to run the primary (main) GWAS. Linkage disequilibrium (LD) scores were generated from the directly genotyped dataset that is required by BOLT-LMM to calibrate the test statistics. After preparing the phenotypic data to match the desired input, BOLT-LMM was run on AFR\_CAG adjusting for age, genetic sex, UKBB assessment centre, blood sampling device, sampling year, sampling month, sampling day, minutes passed in sampling day and the first 100 principal components (PCs). Two

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

linear model GWAS in SNPTEST were also completed on each K-means cluster and then meta-analysed: one without accounting for the Duffy SNP rs2814778 called "META-WOD", and one where the Duffy SNP was included as a covariate, called "META-WD". Another BOLT-LMM sensitivity run was done with additional covariates to further study the validity of the main GWAS findings (Supplementary Methods). Conditional & joint association analysis We used GCTA-COJO [41,42] to identify independent signals from the BOLT-LMM GWAS, as well as to detect any possible secondary signals arising from a stepwise selection model. SNPs which are close together are usually in LD i.e. their alleles are not random, but correlated [39]. Before running GCTA-COJO, related individuals were filtered out of the dataset. PLINK was then used on this resulting output to perform a greedy filtering of related individuals. Following this step, GCTA-COJO was run on the AFR\_CAG filtered dataset to identify conditionally independent SNPs. These were referred to as "index" SNPs in the text. PLINK clumping After GCTA-COJO, we used PLINK to perform clumping with three different thresholds. The first two represent the thresholds for defining LD independent SNPs for running analyses on the online variant annotation platform Functional Mapping and Annotation (FUMA) [43], while the latter being the clumping conditions used for conducting a Mendelian randomization analysis [44,45]. 1. --clump-p1=5e-8, --clump-r2=0.6, --clump-kb=250 2. --clump-p1=5e-8, --clump-r2=0.1, --clump-kb=250 3. --clump-p1=5e-8, --clump-r2=0.001, --clump-kb=10000

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

Heritability analysis An analysis was conducted with GCTA-GREML to estimate the proportion of variance in neutrophil count explained by all genetic variants present in the filtered AFR\_CAG dataset [46], with and without adjusting for the Duffy SNP rs2814778. P. falciparum severe malaria genetic data GWAS summary statistics for P. falciparum severe malaria were downloaded from a casecontrol study that spanned nine African and two Asian countries [37]. In brief, controls samples were gathered from cord blood, and in some cases, from the general population. Cases were diagnosed according to WHO definitions of severe malaria [47] and were categorised according to CM, severe malarial anemia (SMA) and other severe malaria (OTHER) symptoms (Supplementary Table 1). The majority of the RSIDs in the MalariaGEN dataset used older identifiers, and some of them had the "kgp" prefix that comes with the Illumina-HumanOmni2.5M array. Ideally, in a two-sample MR setting, the two samples would have a perfect match in the available genetic variants. It is desirable to at least maximise the number of matching variants to test. Therefore, RSID information for the MalariaGEN variants was updated in R by using the filtered AFR\_CAG dataset as a reference panel. **Meta-analysis of severe malaria African populations** Summary statistics for severe malaria and its sub-phenotypes were generated from a metaanalysis which included individuals from two non-African countries – Vietnam and Papua New Guinea. The inclusion of SNP effect sizes from GWAS conducted in heterogenous population might bias MR estimates [48]. Therefore, per-population summary statistics were

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282


Characteristic

downloaded (https://www.malariagen.net/sppl25/) for each African country in the study and a meta-analysis was conducted on them using METAL [49–51]. Mendelian randomization analysis The "TwoSampleMR" R package [52,53] was used to perform the MR analyses. The two datasets were harmonised i.e. orientated on the same strand and if SNPs were not found in the outcome dataset, we searched for SNP proxies. We then conducted a bi-directional MR analysis, where the effect of neutrophil count on overall severe malaria, along with the three sub-phenotypes was estimated and vice-versa. The main analysis was conducted using an IVW model [54]. Additionally, we ran a sensitivity MR analysis to outline the effect estimates of each SNP on the desired outcome, with IVW and MR-Egger [55,56] estimates where the number of instruments was larger than two and three, respectively. Results **Analysis of study sample** 5,976 out of 6,504 individuals in AFR\_CAG remained after filtering for missing data and traits affecting blood cells. The mean value for neutrophil count was 2.9 x 10<sup>9</sup> cells/litre, as expected this was lower than a European sample (4.21 x 10<sup>9</sup> cells/Litre) [33,38]. The GWAS sample had a larger proportion of females (57%), was of a higher mean age (39 vs. 58.1 years) [57] and slightly higher body mass index (BMI) (27.6 vs. 29.8 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) [58] than the general UK population (**Table 1**). **Table 1.** Description of GWAS sample.

N = 5,9761

Neutrophil count (10^9 cells/Litre)	2.9 (1.2)
Genetic sex	
Female	3,399 / 5,976 (57%)
Male	2,577 / 5,976 (43%)
Age (years)	51.8 (8.1)
BMI (kg/m^2)	29.8 (5.3)
Missing	100
K-means cluster	
K1	519 / 5,976 (8.7%)
K2	1,142 / 5,976 (19%)
K3	1,150 / 5,976 (19%)
K4	967 / 5,976 (16%)
K5	1,174 / 5,976 (20%)
K6	841 / 5,976 (14%)
K7	183 / 5,976 (3.1%)
1Mean (SD); n / N (%)	

We used the natural log-transformation, nc\_log, in the GWAS. There was some variation in nc\_log between each K-means cluster (Kpop) (**Figure 2B**), although this was low, with the median hovering around 1 (**Figure 2A**).



**Figure 2.** Neutrophil count variation in the GWAS sample. A histogram outlining the distribution of neutrophil levels is shown in the whole AFR\_CAG population (**A**), along with representative boxplots describing neutrophil count variation by K-means cluster sample (**B**).

GWAS are usually performed using individuals of a similar genetic background to avoid SNP-trait associations that are biased or are false-positives due to a confounding effect by ancestry [59]. However, even in white British individuals from UKBB, latent population structure can affect SNP effect sizes, which may not be completely removed by adjusting for

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

PCs [60]. Nevertheless, we investigated the number of PCs that should be added into the GWAS to control for population structure. The Tracy-Widom statistic from the EIGENSOFT package [61] indicated over 100 significant PCs. However, there is no exact way to establish how many PCs should be added into a GWAS, although an excessive number of PCs can lead to a reduction in power, while too few might bias GWAS effect sizes due to residual population structure [48]. Previous studies have added 40 to 100 PCs in their UKBB GWA analyses [60,62,63], hence our inclusion of the first 100 PCs as covariates. Next, we conducted a power calculation supposing a linear, additive, GWA model. Samplesize is an important factor in GWAS [64,65], and the higher the sample-size, the higher the power to detect SNPs which explain a smaller proportion of the variance (heritability) in a particular trait [66]. The power to detect an association was >80% when the proportion of variance explained by SNPs was higher than 0.75% (Supplementary Figure 1). Genome-wide association study We used BOLT-LMM for the main GWAS, which employs a linear-mixed model algorithm for conducting association testing [67]. It is unknown how well linear mixed model using PCs and kinship matrixes performs in highly stratified population samples with complex demographic histories and unique allele frequencies and linkage disequilibrium [68]. To ensure that results derived by a linear mixed model as implemented by BOLT-LMM were reliable, we also aimed to conduct additional GWAS using a standard linear model on less stratified sub-samples of our sample population - as identified using an unsupervised machine learning methodology (Supplementary Methods).

This AFR\_CAG filtered sample was taken forward for further analyses. 704 genetic variants passed the GWAS significance threshold of P < 5e-8 in the primary GWAS. Most of these signals were in chromosome 1, in the proximity of the ACKR1-associated rs2814778, which had the lowest P-value across the genome (2.7E-87) (Figure 3A). The META-WOD GWAS had 373 variants passing the threshold, while the META-WD (with Duffy adjustment) GWAS had 31 significant SNPs, evidencing that most of the identified top signals in META-WOD were likely in LD with rs2814778. The QQ-plot of the BOLT-LMM GWAS did not display an early deviation from the expected P-value, indicating low likelihood of systemic bias in association statistics [69] (Figure 3B).

Next, we aimed to identify which SNPs might causally associate with neutrophil count. To do this, we used a conservative GCTA-COJO approach [42], which yielded 10 index SNPs (Figure 3, Table 2). Genomic location context of each index SNP is available in Supplementary Figures 2-4.





Figure 3. Manhattan plot of neutrophil count GWAS. The x-axis is the base-pair position inside each chromosome, while the y-axis is the -log of the association P-value. A GWAS significance line is drawn to correspond to P=5e-8 on the -log(P) axis (A). Index SNPs from the GCTA-COJO

run are highlighted in green. QQ-Plot of observed vs. expected P-values for each SNP, along with the genomic inflation factor on the top-left (B).

**Table 2.** GCTA-COJO index SNPs. BETA, SE and P BOLT are the regression statistics of the BOLT-LMM neutrophil count GWAS. BETA, SE and P for META-WOD and META-WD are the regression statistics of the meta-analysed GWAS done on each Kpop, without and with adjustment for the Duffy SNP, respectively, META-N and META-N-Studies indicate the number of meta-analysed individuals in each Kpop, and the number of Kpops included in the meta-analysis.

SNP	BETA- BOLT	SE- BOLT	P-BOLT	BETA- META- WOD	BETA- META-WD	META- N	META-N- Studies	CHR	BP (GRCh37)	EA	NEA	EAF
rs2814778	0.43	0.02	2.66E-87	0.28	0	5,793	6	1	159174683	Т	С	0.036
rs144109344	-0.12	0.02	3.12E-10	-0.06	-0.06	5,976	7	2	136787730	С	Т	0.964
rs530475031	0.73	0.12	3.16E-09	0.47	0.46	4,952	5	12	48810860	G	Т	0.998
rs12747038	-0.22	0.04	3.89E-09	-0.13	-0.08	5,976	7	1	146651428	Т	G	0.990
rs527921556	0.4	0.07	4.48E-09	0.33	0.31	5,793	6	6	160605701	Т	С	0.996
rs557482905	0.55	0.1	5.79E-09	0.42	0.38	3,778	4	5	80629499	С	Т	0.998
rs140048432	-0.33	0.06	1.11E-08	-0.25	-0.25	5,976	7	9	17700893	Т	С	0.996
rs183362544	0.61	0.11	1.27E-08	0.28	0.28	2,717	4	2	97045902	С	Т	0.998
rs558204720	0.52	0.09	1.67E-08	0.37	0.33	1,486	2	16	59472815	Т	С	0.998
rs28734019	-0.65	0.12	2.89E-08	-0.53	-0.5	4,124	4	1	90800573	С	Т	0.998

The effect sizes of the primary GWAS index SNPs were compared with those from the SNPTEST/META GWAS. The direction was consistent and effect sizes were similar between the three GWAS, with those generated from the BOLT-LMM run (primary GWAS) being slightly larger, most likely due to the improved sample size (minor allele count) and power of the linear-mixed model (**Figure 4**). As expected, the META-WD effect size for the rs2814778 SNP was zero.



**Figure 4.** Effect estimates of the index SNPs. The beta coefficient for each index SNP is displayed along with 95% CIs. These are displayed for the BOLT-LMM, META-WOD and META-WD GWAS.

357

358

359

360

361

We next investigated the association statistics of the index SNPs in each Kpop. This was done to detect discrepancies in directionality and effect sizes, which could indicate residual

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

population structure or a SNP association with a specific Kpop. Overall, there was agreement in direction, and some variation in effect sizes was detected across Kpops (Supplementary Figure 5). The GCTA-COJO analysis was also run on the two SNPTEST/META GWASs. The META-WOD analysis identified rs2814778, rs138163369 and rs570518709 as index SNPs. Similarly, the META-WD analysis identified rs138163369 and rs570518709. These two latter SNPs were not identified as index SNPs in the BOLT-LMM analysis, but their P-values were similar (rs138163369 - 4.90E-08, 2.28E-08, 1.22E-08; rs570518709 - 8.10E-08, 1.07E-09, 3.03E-09) (Supplementary Table 2). As another sensitivity analysis to test the reliability of the BOLT-LMM results, the effect sizes of all GCTA-COJO SNPs were compared in a pair-wise manner across the three GWAS. A regression line was fit through the scatter plots, showing a large degree of correlation between the BOLT-LMM effect sizes and the SNPTEST/META runs (META-WOD  $R^2 = 0.91$ , META-WD  $R^2 = 0.93$ ) (Supplementary Figure 6). Two PLINK clumping analyses were performed on the filtered AFR\_CAG summary statistics using the same clumping parameters on the well-known FUMA platform [43]. Here, 193 SNPs were identified as loci at the relaxed threshold of r<sup>2</sup>=0.6 and 73 independent loci at the stringent threshold of  $r^2$ =0.1. Finally, 12 top loci were identified at  $r^2$ =0.001 and a 10Mb window, which are the very conservative MR clumping parameters [44,45]. Furthermore, a FUMA analysis was run on the filtered AFR CAG dataset for the top loci ( $r^2=0.1$ ). This was done to visualise which genomic locations are affecting neutrophil count and if they are more likely to have a particular genetic function compared to the whole genome i.e. functional variants [70]. Seventeen genomic risk loci were identified (Supplementary Figure 7A). The

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

ANNOVAR analysis [71] showed evidence for changes in genetic function enrichment relative to all SNPs in the reference panel. In brief, seven genomic regions were enriched, all indicating an enrichment in genic rather than intergenic spaces (Supplementary Figure 7B). Next, we investigated the independent SNPs in the GWAS Catalog [72], as we aimed to see if they have been previously associated with WBC count or immunity. Here, SNPs predominantly showed associations with white blood cell count variation, further improving the reliability of the GWAS (Supplementary Table 3). We compared the AFR\_CAG GWAS with a neutrophil count GWAS meta-analysis of Africans from UKBB and additional studies from Chen et al. [33], and found that 81.71% of the GWAS significant SNPs from Chen et al. were replicated (using the same covariates) in the AFR\_CAG dataset (P<0.05) (Supplementary Table 4). The Manhattan plots also visually showed a good degree of overlap (Supplementary Figure 8), in contrast with a GWAS of neutrophil count in Europeans Supplementary Figure 9) [38]. Finally, SNPs that were top loci at  $r^2=0.1$  were investigated in the Astle et al. [38] and Chen et al. [33] summary statistics, as well as in the GWAS Catalog [72]. Nineteen genetic variants were not present in these three datasets, 7 of which were index SNPs (**Table 3**).

Table 3. Top loci not found in other studies. Only independent SNPs clumped at r = 0.1 are shown.

SNP	CHR	BP (GRCh37)	r0.001 lead?	cojo_index	Novel?	Nearest gene	Туре
rs28734019	1	90800573	Yes	Yes	Yes	RNU6-695P	Intergenic
rs61823703	1	159542164	No	No	Yes	OR10AE1P	Intergenic
rs539456851	1	158731459	No	No	Yes	OR6N1	Intergenic
rs371178711	1	158186653	No	No	Yes	RP11-404O13.5	Intergenic
rs146677619	1	158995984	No	No	Yes	IFI16	Intronic
rs11576058	1	161111446	No	No	Yes	UFC1	Intergenic
1:158777618_CT_C	1	158777618	No	No	Yes	OR10AA1P	Downstream
rs183362544	2	97045902	Yes	Yes	Yes	NCAPH	Intergenic
rs11422063	1	159799599	No	No	Yes	SLAMF8	Intronic
rs112483667	1	151651180	No	No	Yes	SNX27	Intronic
rs12406899	1	157540651	No	No	Yes	FCRL4	Intergenic
rs1103805	1	158924741	No	No	Yes	PYHIN1	Intronic
rs557482905	5	80629499	Yes	Yes	Yes	ACOT12	Intronic
rs527921556	6	160605701	Yes	Yes	Yes	SLC22A2	Intronic
rs10096834	8	116281087	Yes	No	Yes	TRPS1	Intergenic
rs140048432	9	17700893	Yes	Yes	Yes	SH3GL2	Intronic
rs530475031	12	48810860	Yes	Yes	Yes	C12orf54	Intronic
rs558204720	16	59472815	Yes	Yes	Yes	LOC105371298	Intronic
rs138163369	18	6492075	Yes	No	Yes	CTD-2124B20.2	Intergenic

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

Heritability analysis Without adjusting for rs2814778, the genetic variance was estimated at 0.101 (10.1%) (SE = 0.018), and the phenotypic variance at 0.133 (13.3%) (SE = 0.003) with an analysis P-value of 2.29e-09. When adjusting for the ACKR1/Duffy SNP, the genetic variance was estimated at 0.050 (5%) (SE = 0.017), twice as low as in the previous analysis, and the phenotypic variance was estimated at 0.123 (12.3%) (SE = 0.002), with the analysis P-value of 1.36E-03(Supplementary Table 5). Descriptive analyses of neutrophil count Next, we aimed to assess if the index SNPs remained associated with neutrophil count when adjusting for variables such as BMI and smoking status. This was done to investigate the reliability of the index SNPs in the context of their relationship with neutrophil count. First, the descriptive statistics of the AFR\_CAG dataset were studied with these additional variables (Supplementary Table 6). Several variables had missing data or had values assigned as "prefer not to answer" / "not sure" in the case of self-reported traits. There was no evidence of a difference in neutrophil count between these data types and those that were kept in the dataset (Supplementary Table 7). 5,310 individuals remained in the dataset after filtering out these data types. The proportion of variance explained for the additional covariates was explored with an ANOVA analysis (Supplementary Figure 10). **BOLT-LMM** with additional covariates A sensitivity BOLT-LMM GWAS was conducted with six additional covariates on 5,310 individuals: UN region of birth, K-means cluster, smoking status, alcohol drinker status, menstrual status and BMI. The association statistics of this sensitivity run and the main BOLT-LMM GWAS run were compared, showing very similar results (Supplementary

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451


452

453

454

455

**Table 8**). This provides evidence that the effect of these additional variables on the main GWAS were modest, and that the PCs and kinship matrix derived by BOLT-LMM appears to have accounted for any population stratification. Mendelian randomization Finally, a bi-directional MR was performed between neutrophil count and severe malaria. For the latter, we used summary statistics from the MalariaGEN study [37]. Only 3 SNPs were available to proxy for neutrophil count after data harmonization with the malaria dataset. For severe malaria as an exposure, 7 SNPs were available for overall severe malaria, 2 for CM and 3 for OTHER. The MR analysis did not suggest an effect of increasing neutrophil count on CM risk (IVW OR: 1.00, 95% CI: 0.94 to 1.06; P = 0.98. There was limited evidence of an effect of neutrophil count on overall severe malaria (IVW OR: 1.03, 95% CI: 0.98 to 1.07; P = 0.24), OTHER (IVW OR: 1.03, 95% CI: 0.98 to 1.09; P = 0.26) and SMA (IVW OR: 1.08, 95% CI: 0.99 to 1.18; P = 0.08), although the effect estimates were trending towards an increased risk of severity, particularly for SMA (Figure 5A, Supplementary Table 9). When running the MR analysis in the other direction, there was little evidence of an effect of overall severe malaria (IVW OR: 2.03, 95% CI: 0.70 to 5.84; P = 0.19), CM (IVW OR: 2.14, 95% CI: 0.70 to 6.57; P = 0.18) and OTHER (IVW OR: 2.08, 95% CI: 0.59 to 7.34; P = 0.25) on neutrophil count. However, there was a directional agreement in effect estimates towards an increase in neutrophil count (Figure 5B, Supplementary Table 9). No SNPs passed the GWAS significance threshold for SMA, meaning this analysis could not be conducted.



**Figure 5.** Bi-directional Mendelian randomization. Forest plot of the IVW MR analysis with neutrophil count as an exposure (**A**) and severe malaria as an exposure (**B**). Overall severe malaria and its sub-phenotypes are listed on the y-axis, with the effect estimates on the x-axis. In the first instance, the MR results are interpreted as an OR increase severe malaria per 1-SD increase in neutrophil count, while in the latter as a 1-SD unit difference in neutrophil count per 1-OR

A single-SNP MR analysis was performed to study the effect of each genetic variant on the outcome. For neutrophil count as the exposure, SNPs rs2325919 (proxy for rs2814778), rs7460611 (proxy for rs10096834), and rs144109344 were used. There was little evidence of an effect by any single SNP, although the general direction was towards an increased risk of severe malaria (Supplementary Table 10). The estimated conditional F-statistic for SNPs rs2325919, rs7460611 and rs144109344 were 182, 16 and 36 respectively. For severe malaria

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

as an exposure, SNPs rs113892119, rs116423146, rs1419114, rs553707144, rs557568961, rs57032711, rs8176751 were used to proxy for overall severe malaria, rs113892119 and rs543034558 for CM, and rs113892119, rs116423146, rs557568961 for OTHER (Supplementary Table 11). The estimated conditional F-statistic for SNPs rs113892119, rs116423146, rs1419114, rs553707144, rs557568961, rs57032711 and rs8176751 were 96, 32, 30, 38, 119, 32 and 44 respectively. Discussion Here, we conducted a GWAS of neutrophil count in individuals from the AFR CAG in UKBB. Seventy-three independent loci were identified, of which nineteen were novel and rare. Ten index SNPs were found using the conservative GCTA-COJO approach, and another two through MR clumping. Moreover, BOLT-LMM was found to be reliable in conducting GWAS on UKBB participants of African ancestry. Ultimately, this allowed us to run an MR analysis between neutrophil count and *P. falciparum* severe malaria. An aim of our study was to assess whether BOLT-LMM could provide reliable results when performing a GWAS in people of non-European ancestry, such as those in the UKBB AFR CAG. In their meta-analysis of BCT in non-European datasets, Chen at al. used a linear model in PLINK to run their GWAS, restricting BOLT-LMM only to the European dataset [33]. Compared to our META-WD and META-WOD GWAS, the BOLT-LMM approach was more similar to that of Chen et al conducted with a larger sample-size (N=15,171). These findings indicate that a linear mixed model framework using a kinship matrix might reliably account for extensive population structure in a complex data set such as that seen in the African CAG used here. If this observation holds true this would be advantageous in

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

identifying more causal ancestry-specific SNPs in future studies, as the power of BOLT-LMM scales with increasing GWAS sample-size [67]. Next, we found a marked difference between the genetic architecture of neutrophil count in people of African vs. European ancestry [38]. Interestingly, tissue expression for BCTs has been found to vary between ancestries as well [73], further showing the importance of conducting GWAS in diverse populations to improve the understanding of BCT biology. We investigated some of the GCTA-COJO index SNPs in relation to a biological mechanism that could explain how allele variation might affect neutrophil count levels in people of African ancestry. One such SNP is rs12747038, an index SNP located on chromosome 1 (1q21.1), was also identified by Chen et al. and Hu et al. to be associated with neutrophil count and they found a similar effect size to us (AFR\_CAG: BETA = -0.22, P-value = 3.90e-09; Chen et al: BETA = -0.31, P-value = 3e-20; Hu et al: BETA = -0.21, P-value = 8e-36) [33,74]. Interestingly, rs12747038 has a role as a splicing QTL (sQTL) i.e. affecting alternative splicing to make different protein isoforms [75], which can be more relevant mechanistically to a phenotype compared to expression data [76]. The strongest association as an sQTL was with NBPF12 gene (NES = 0.49, P-value = 2.9e-9) in the thyroid. McCartney et al. had found that rs11239931, an sQTL for NBPF12, was also associated with a decrease in granulocyte count (BETA = -0.23, P-value = 4e-12) in people of African ancestry (N=6,152) [77]. NBPF12 is part of the neuroblastoma breakpoint family, which has been associated with an array of traits, such as autism, psoriasis and various cancers [78].

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

The rs2814778 (chromosome 1q23.2) index SNP has been the most replicated genetic variant in people of African ancestry known to affect neutrophil count [33,79–84], with the CC genotype (most common in Africans) associated with decreased neutrophil count [20]. The exact location of rs2814778 is inside a promoter upstream of the ACKR1/DARC (Atypical Chemokine Receptor 1/Duffy Antigen Receptor for Chemokines) gene [13]. The CC genotype inhibits the binding of the GATA transcription factor and therefore ACKR1 expression in erythrocytes, preventing the production of a glycosylated transmembrane receptor [20]. This receptor is heavily involved in chemokine signalling, such as CXCL8 and CCL5 [13]. rs144109344 is an index variant on chromosome 2 (2q21.3), and its association was similar to that in the studies of Chen et al. and Soremekun et al. (N=17,802 Africans): AFR CAG BETA = -0.12, P-value = 3.10e-10; Chen BETA = -0.27, P-value = 3.39e-14; Soremekun BETA = -0.21, P-value = 2e-13) [33,82]. Similarly, other SNPs mapping to the DARS/CXCR4 (Aspartyl-TRNA Synthetase 1/C-X-C Motif Chemokine Receptor 4) genes have been associated with neutrophil and monocyte count [33,38,85-88]. CXCR4 is a chemokine receptor which binds to CXCL12 [89], and is known to regulate the release of neutrophils from the bone marrow during both homeostasis and infections [90]. Interestingly, CXCR4 has been implicated in *P. falciparum* pathogenesis. Macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF) can interact with CXCR4 to recruit neutrophils [91], and P. falciparum is known to also produce MIF (PfMIF) [92]. A previous laboratory study using both murine (P berghei) and human (P falciparum) models found impairment of the parasite liver-cycle in both genetically deficient and drug-targeted CXCR4 [93].

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

We note that the process of mapping SNPs to a biological function is a difficult process, and the brief discussion above only serves as an inquiry into a possible explanation for the primary GWAS results. Finally, in the MR analysis, there was limited evidence for an effect of increased circulating neutrophil on the risk of SM. The strongest effect was observed for the SMA sub-phenotype, however, this did not reach statistical significance. Interestingly, a recent report demonstrated an association between circulating neutrophil transcriptional activity and levels of anaemia in children with malaria [24], highlighting the need for further pathophysiological studies. We also observed little evidence for an effect of SM on neutrophil count. Previously, Band et al. performed a MR analysis between neutrophil count and P. falciparum SM [37], however, they used SNPs for neutrophil count generated from a GWAS in Europeans from UKBB [38], where they found no evidence of an effect on SM (AFR\_CAG BETA = 0.03, P-value = 0.24; Band BETA = 0.00, P-value = 0.87) [37]. Our study has certain limitations. Firstly, the novel genetic variants identified here may be a result of Winner's curse [94] - SNPs can pass the "significance" threshold (commonly set at 5e-8 [95,96]) in GWAS by chance in the first discovery study, which is then not replicated in subsequent studies [97,98]. Secondly, only a limited number of instruments were available to proxy for neutrophil count in the MR analysis. Seven index SNPs had a very high effect allele count, which might have been fixed in the MalariaGEN study population and so could not be used in the MR analysis. The rs2814778 SNP (associated with the ACKR1 gene) most likely had a very small allele frequency and might have been eliminated, although we were able to use another SNP in LD

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

with it as a proxy. While LD proxies are useful, they can also come with the caveat of not precisely instrumenting the trait [36]. Finally, the most impactful limitation in this study is the small sample-size and hence statistical power. As mentioned previously, we have chosen to use BOLT-LMM here to best address the issues of a small sample-size and the presence of population structure. Current studies performed on people living in sub-Saharan Africa have been small [33,80-82] compared to those currently being carried out in Europe, East Asia and the US [31,88,99]. Having a large-scale study akin to UKBB in sub-Saharan African would allow for finding common SNPs with smaller effect sizes that could be used reliably for polygenic risk score generation or MR analyses for complex traits such as neutrophil count. In conclusion, our GWAS of neutrophil count in people from the UKBB African CAG identified several SNPs associated with neutrophil count. Additionally, our analyses would support a conclusion that linear mixed model frameworks can properly account for possible confounding due to population stratification in complex highly stratified sample populations. Finally, while the MR results were largely inconclusive, this only demonstrates the importance of conducting large-scale biobank studies in Africa. Availability of data and materials Genetic data from UK Biobank were made available as part of project code 15825. Analytical code is available on GitHub at https://github.com/andrewcon/AFR-GWAS-neutrophil.

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

Contributions AC, BA, DA, EEV, CJB and REM conceived the study. AC conducted the analysis. All authors contributed to the interpretation of the findings. AC, EEV, CJB, DH and BA wrote the manuscript. All authors critically revised the paper for intellectual content and approved the final version of the manuscript. Acknowledgements We are grateful to the UK Biobank study and its participants. This research has been conducted using the UK Biobank resource under Application 15825. We thank the Malaria GEN Network for their study and their participants. **Funding** AC acknowledges funding from grant MR/N0137941/1 for the GW4 BIOMED MRC DTP, awarded to the Universities of Bath, Bristol, Cardiff and Exeter from the Medical Research (MRC)/UKRI. NJT and REM acknowledge funding from the Council (MC UU 00011/1). NJT is the PI of the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (MRC & Wellcome Trust 217065/Z/19/Z) and is supported by the University of Bristol NIHR Biomedical Research Centre (BRC-1215-2001). NJT and DH acknowledge funding from the Wellcome Trust (202802/Z/16/Z). EEV, CJB, and NJT also acknowledge funding by the CRUK Integrative Cancer Epidemiology Programme (C18281/A29019). EEV and CJB are supported by Diabetes UK (17/0005587) and the World Cancer Research Fund (WCRF UK), as part of the World Cancer Research Fund International grant program (IIG 2019 2009). S.K. is supported by a United Kingdom Research and Innovation Future

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

Leaders Fellowship (MR/T043202/1). JZ is supported by Shanghai Thousand Talents Program and the National Health Commission of the PR China. BA acknowledges funding from the Medical Research Council (MR/R02149x/1). The funders of the study had no role in the study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report. Ethics declarations Ethics approval and consent to participate UK Biobank received ethical approval from the NHS National Research Ethics Service North West (11/NW/0382; 16/NW/0274) and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants provided written informed consent before enrolment in the study. Consent for publication All authors consented to the publication of this work. Competing interests The authors declare no competing interests. Supplementary information Additional file 1 **Supplementary Figures** Additional file 2 **Supplementary Tables** 

- 635 Additional file 3
- 636 Supplementary Methods
- 637 Additional file 4
- 638 STROBE-MR checklist
- 640 References

- 641 [1] WHO Africa. World malaria report 2019. 2019.
- Price RN, Commons RJ, Battle KE, Thriemer K, Mendis K. Plasmodium vivax in the
   Era of the Shrinking P. falciparum Map. Trends Parasitol 2020;36:560–70.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2020.03.009.
- Moxon CA, Gibbins MP, McGuinness D, Milner DA, Marti M. New Insights into Malaria Pathogenesis. Annual Review of Pathology: Mechanisms of Disease 2020;15:315–43. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-pathmechdis-012419-032640.
- Knackstedt SL, Georgiadou A, Apel F, Abu-Abed U, Moxon CA, Cunnington AJ, et
   al. Neutrophil extracellular traps drive inflammatory pathogenesis in malaria
   2019;4:336. https://doi.org/10.1126/SCIIMMUNOL.AAW0336.
- Sierro F, Grau GER. The ins and outs of cerebral malaria pathogenesis:
   Immunopathology, extracellular vesicles, immunometabolism, and trained immunity.
   Front Immunol 2019;10:830. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.00830.
- 654 [6] Cela D, Knackstedt SL, Groves S, Rice CM, Kwon JTW, Mordmüller B, et al. PAD4 655 controls chemoattractant production and neutrophil trafficking in malaria. J Leukoc 656 Biol 2021. https://doi.org/10.1002/JLB.4AB1120-780R.
- Kariuki SN, Williams TN. Human genetics and malaria resistance. vol. 139. Springer; 2020. https://doi.org/10.1007/S00439-020-02142-6.
- Allison AC. Protection afforded by sickle-cell trait against subtertian malarial infection. Br Med J 1954;1:290–4. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.1.4857.290.
- Kwiatkowski DP. How Malaria Has Affected the Human Genome and What Human
   Genetics Can Teach Us about Malaria. The American Journal of Human Genetics
   2005;77:171–92. https://doi.org/10.1086/432519.
- 664 [10] Ndila CM, Uyoga S, Macharia AW, Nyutu G, Peshu N, Ojal J, et al. Human candidate 665 gene polymorphisms and risk of severe malaria in children in Kilifi, Kenya: a case-666 control association study. Lancet Haematol 2018;5:e333–45. 667 https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-3026(18)30107-8.
- Mackinnon MJ, Mwangi TW, Snow RW, Marsh K, Williams TN. Heritability of
   Malaria in Africa. PLoS Med 2005;2:e340.
   https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.0020340.
- [12] Sakuntabhai A, Ndiaye R, Casadémont I, Peerapittayamonkol C, Rogier C, Tortevoye
   P, et al. Genetic Determination and Linkage Mapping of Plasmodium falciparum
   Malaria Related Traits in Senegal. PLoS One 2008;3:e2000.
   https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0002000.
- 675 [13] Atallah-Yunes SA, Ready A, Newburger PE. Benign ethnic neutropenia. Blood Rev 2019;37:100586. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.blre.2019.06.003.

- 677 [14] Shoenfeld Y, Alkan ML, Asaly A, Carmeli Y, Katz M. Benign familial leukopenia and neutropenia in different ethnic groups. Eur J Haematol 1988;41:273–7. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0609.1988.tb01192.x.
- 680 [15] Rippey JJ. LEUCOPENIA IN WEST INDIANS AND AFRICANS. The Lancet 1967;290:44. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(67)90086-4.
- Denic S, Showqi S, Klein C, Takala M, Nagelkerke N, Agarwal MM. Prevalence,
   phenotype and inheritance of benign neutropenia in Arabs. BMC Blood Disord
   2009;9:3. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2326-9-3.
- Hsieh MM, Everhart JE, Byrd-Holt DD, Tisdale JF, Rodgers GP. Prevalence of
   Neutropenia in the U.S. Population: Age, Sex, Smoking Status, and Ethnic
   Differences. Ann Intern Med 2007;146:486. https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-146-7-200704030-00004.
- 689 [18] Amulic B, Cazalet C, Hayes GL, Metzler KD, Zychlinsky A. Neutrophil Function: 690 From Mechanisms to Disease. Https://DoiOrg/101146/Annurev-Immunol-020711-691 074942 2012;30:459–89. https://doi.org/10.1146/ANNUREV-IMMUNOL-020711-692 074942.
- 693 [19] Reich D, Nalls MA, Kao WHL, Akylbekova EL, Tandon A, Patterson N, et al.
  Reduced neutrophil count in people of African descent is due to a regulatory variant in
  the Duffy antigen receptor for chemokines gene. PLoS Genet 2009;5.
  https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1000360.
- 697 [20] Rappoport N, Simon AJ, Amariglio N, Rechavi G. The Duffy antigen receptor for chemokines, ACKR1,— 'Jeanne DARC' of benign neutropenia. Br J Haematol 2019;184:497–507. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjh.15730.
- 700 [21] Palmblad J, Höglund P. Ethnic benign neutropenia: A phenomenon finds an explanation. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2018;65:e27361. https://doi.org/10.1002/pbc.27361.
- 702 [22] Amulic B, Moxon C, Cunnington A. A more granular view of neutrophils in malaria 1 2. n.d.
- 704 [23] Aitken EH, Alemu A, Rogerson SJ. Neutrophils and Malaria. Front Immunol 2018;9:3005. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2018.03005.
- 706 [24] Anyona S, Cheng Q, Guo Y, Seidenberg P, Schneider K, Lambert C, et al. Entire 707 Expressed Peripheral Blood Transcriptome in Pediatric Severe Malarial Anemia 2023. 708 https://doi.org/10.21203/RS.3.RS-3150748/V1.
- 709 [25] Garcia-Senosiain A, Kana IH, Singh S, Das MK, Dziegiel MH, Hertegonne S, et al.
  710 Neutrophils dominate in opsonic phagocytosis of P. falciparum blood-stage merozoites
  711 and protect against febrile malaria. Commun Biol 2021;4.
  712 https://doi.org/10.1038/S42003-021-02511-5.
- Zelter T, Strahilevitz J, Simantov K, Yajuk O, Jensen AR, Dzikowski R, et al.
   Neutrophils impose strong selective pressure against PfEMP1 variants implicated in cerebral malaria. BioRxiv 2021:2021.05.09.443317.
   https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.09.443317.
- 717 [27] Smith GD, Ebrahim S. "Mendelian randomization": Can genetic epidemiology 718 contribute to understanding environmental determinants of disease? Int J Epidemiol 719 2003;32:1–22. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyg070.
- 720 [28] Smith GD, Ebrahim S. Mendelian randomization: prospects, potentials, and limitations. Int J Epidemiol 2004;33:30–42. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyh132.
- 722 [29] Davey Smith G, Hemani G. Mendelian randomization: genetic anchors for causal inference in epidemiological studies. Hum Mol Genet 2014;23:R89–98. 724 https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddu328.

- Zheng J, Baird D, Borges M-C, Bowden J, Hemani G, Haycock P, et al. Recent
   Developments in Mendelian Randomization Studies. Curr Epidemiol Rep 2017;4:330–45. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40471-017-0128-6.
- 728 [31] Bycroft C, Freeman C, Petkova D, Band G, Elliott LT, Sharp K, et al. The UK
  729 Biobank resource with deep phenotyping and genomic data. Nature 2018;562:203–9.
  https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0579-z.
- [32] Skrivankova VW, Richmond RC, Woolf BAR, Davies NM, Swanson SA,
   Vanderweele TJ, et al. Strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology using mendelian randomisation (STROBE-MR): explanation and elaboration. BMJ 2021;375. https://doi.org/10.1136/BMJ.N2233.
- 735 [33] Chen MH, Raffield LM, Mousas A, Sakaue S, Huffman JE, Moscati A, et al. Trans 736 ethnic and Ancestry-Specific Blood-Cell Genetics in 746,667 Individuals from 5
   737 Global Populations. Cell 2020;182:1198-1213.e14.
   738 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.06.045.
- 739 [34] Constantinescu A-E, Mitchell RE, Zheng J, Bull CJ, Timpson NJ, Amulic B, et al. A 740 framework for research into continental ancestry groups of the UK Biobank. Human 741 Genomics 2022 16:1 2022;16:1–14. https://doi.org/10.1186/S40246-022-00380-5.
- 742 [35] Davey Smith G, Ebrahim S, Smith GD, Ebrahim S. 'Mendelian randomization': can 743 genetic epidemiology contribute to understanding environmental determinants of 744 disease? Int J Epidemiol 2003;32:1–22. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyg070.
- [36] Hartwig FP, Davies NM, Hemani G, Smith GD. Two-sample Mendelian
   randomization: avoiding the downsides of a powerful, widely applicable but
   potentially fallible technique. Int J Epidemiol 2016;45:1717–26.
- 748 [37] Malaria Genomic Epidemiology Network. Insights into malaria susceptibility using 749 genome-wide data on 17,000 individuals from Africa, Asia and Oceania. Nat Commun 750 2019;10:5732. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-13480-z.
- 751 [38] Astle WJ, Elding H, Jiang T, Allen D, Ruklisa D, Mann AL, et al. The Allelic 752 Landscape of Human Blood Cell Trait Variation and Links to Common Complex 753 Disease. Cell 2016;167:1415-1429.e19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.10.042.
- 754 [39] Marees AT, de Kluiver H, Stringer S, Vorspan F, Curis E, Marie-Claire C, et al. A 755 tutorial on conducting genome-wide association studies: Quality control and statistical 756 analysis. Int J Methods Psychiatr Res 2018;27:1–10. https://doi.org/10.1002/mpr.1608.
- 757 [40] Sheard S, Nicholls R, Froggatt J. UK Biobank Haematology Data Companion Document n.d.
- Yang J, Lee SH, Goddard ME, Visscher PM. GCTA: a tool for genome-wide complex trait analysis. Am J Hum Genet 2011;88:76–82.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2010.11.011.
- Yang J, Ferreira T, Morris AP, Medland SE, Genetic Investigation of AnTC,
   Consortium DiaIaGRAM (DIAGRAM), et al. Conditional and joint multiple-SNP analysis of GWAS summary statistics identifies additional variants influencing complex traits. Nat Genet 2012;44:369-S3. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2213.
- Watanabe K, Taskesen E, Van Bochoven A, Posthuma D. Functional mapping and
   annotation of genetic associations with FUMA. Nature Communications 2017 8:1
   2017;8:1–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01261-5.
- 769 [44] Choi KW, Stein MB, Nishimi KM, Ge T, Coleman JRI, Chen CY, et al. An exposurewide and mendelian randomization approach to identifying modifiable factors for the prevention of depression. American Journal of Psychiatry 2020;177:944–54.
- 772 https://doi.org/10.1176/APPI.AJP.2020.19111158/ASSET/IMAGES/LARGE/APPI.AJ P.2020.19111158F4.JPEG.

- 774 [45] Noyce AJ, Bandres-Ciga S, Kim J, Heilbron K, Kia D, Hemani G, et al. The 775 Parkinson's Disease Mendelian Randomization Research Portal. Movement Disorders 776 2019;34:1864. https://doi.org/10.1002/MDS.27873.
- 777 [46] Yang J, Benyamin B, McEvoy BP, Gordon S, Henders AK, Nyholt DR, et al.
  778 Common SNPs explain a large proportion of heritability for human height. Nat Genet
  779 2010;42:565. https://doi.org/10.1038/NG.608.
- 780 [47] WHO Africa. Severe Malaria 2014. https://doi.org/10.1111/tmi.12313.
- [48] Lawson DJ, Davies NM, Haworth S, Ashraf B, Howe L, Crawford A, et al. Is
   population structure in the genetic biobank era irrelevant, a challenge, or an
   opportunity? Hum Genet 2020;139:23–41. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00439-019-02014-8.
- 785 [49] Willer CJ, Li Y, Abecasis GR. METAL: Fast and efficient meta-analysis of
   786 genomewide association scans. Bioinformatics 2010;26:2190–1.
   787 https://doi.org/10.1093/BIOINFORMATICS/BTQ340.
- 788 [50] Sanna S, Jackson AU, Nagaraja R, Willer CJ, Chen WM, Bonnycastle LL, et al.
  789 Common variants in the GDF5-UQCC region are associated with variation in human height. Nat Genet 2008;40:198–203. https://doi.org/10.1038/NG.74.
- 791 [51] Willer CJ, Sanna S, Jackson AU, Scuteri A, Bonnycastle LL, Clarke R, et al. Newly
   792 identified loci that influence lipid concentrations and risk of coronary artery disease.
   793 Nat Genet 2008;40:161–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/NG.76.
- Hemani G, Tilling K, Davey Smith G. Orienting the causal relationship between
   imprecisely measured traits using GWAS summary data. PLoS Genet 2017;13.
   https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PGEN.1007081.
- Hemani G, Zheng J, Elsworth B, Wade KH, Haberland V, Baird D, et al. The MR-base platform supports systematic causal inference across the human phenome. Elife 2018;7.
- 800 [54] Burgess S, Dudbridge F, Thompson SG. Combining information on multiple 801 instrumental variables in Mendelian randomization: comparison of allele score and 802 summarized data methods. Stat Med 2016;35:1880–906. 803 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.6835.
- 804 [55] Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Burgess S. Mendelian randomization with invalid instruments: effect estimation and bias detection through Egger regression. Int J Epidemiol 2015;44:512–25. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyv080.
- 807 [56] Bowden J, Hemani G, Davey Smith G. Invited Commentary: Detecting Individual and Global Horizontal Pleiotropy in Mendelian Randomization—A Job for the Humble Heterogeneity Statistic? Am J Epidemiol 2018;187:2681–5.

  https://doi.org/10.1093/AJE/KWY185.
- 811 [57] Age groups GOV.UK Ethnicity facts and figures n.d. https://www.ethnicity-facts-figures.service.gov.uk/uk-population-by-ethnicity/demographics/age-groups/latest (accessed August 17, 2022).
- 814 [58] Health Survey for England: Weight n.d. http://healthsurvey.hscic.gov.uk/data-815 visualisation/data-visualisation/explore-the-trends/weight.aspx (accessed August 17, 816 2022).
- 817 [59] Sul JH, Martin LS, Eskin E. Population structure in genetic studies: Confounding factors and mixed models. PLoS Genet 2018;14.
  819 https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PGEN.1007309.
- Haworth S, Mitchell R, Corbin L, Wade KH, Dudding T, Budu-Aggrey A, et al.

  Apparent latent structure within the UK Biobank sample has implications for
- epidemiological analysis. Nat Commun 2019;10. https://doi.org/10.1038/S41467-018-08219-1.

- Patterson N, Price AL, Reich D. Population structure and eigenanalysis. PLoS Genet 2006;2:2074–93. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.0020190.
- Zaidi AA, Mathieson I. Demographic history mediates the effect of stratification on polygenic scores. Elife 2020;9:1–30. https://doi.org/10.7554/ELIFE.61548.
- 828 [63] Abdellaoui A, Hugh-Jones D, Yengo L, Kemper KE, Nivard MG, Veul L, et al.
  829 Genetic correlates of social stratification in Great Britain. Nature Human Behaviour
  830 2019 3:12 2019;3:1332–42. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-019-0757-5.
- Klein RJ. Power analysis for genome-wide association studies. BMC Genet 2007;8:58. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2156-8-58.
- Pierce BL, Burgess S. Efficient Design for Mendelian Randomization Studies: Subsample and 2-Sample Instrumental Variable Estimators. Am J Epidemiol 2013;178:1177. https://doi.org/10.1093/AJE/KWT084.
- Kisscher PM, Hemani G, Vinkhuyzen AAE, Chen GB, Lee SH, Wray NR, et al.
   Statistical Power to Detect Genetic (Co)Variance of Complex Traits Using SNP Data in Unrelated Samples. PLoS Genet 2014;10:e1004269.
   https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PGEN.1004269.
- Loh PR, Tucker G, Bulik-Sullivan BK, Vilhjálmsson BJ, Finucane HK, Salem RM, et
   al. Efficient Bayesian mixed-model analysis increases association power in large
   cohorts. Nat Genet 2015;47:284–90. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3190.
- Weissbrod O, Kanai M, Shi H, Gazal S, Peyrot WJ, Khera A V., et al. Leveraging fine-mapping and multi-population training data to improve cross-population polygenic risk scores. Nat Genet 2022;54:450. https://doi.org/10.1038/S41588-022-01036-9.
- Kang HM, Sul JH, Service SK, Zaitlen NA, Kong SY, Freimer NB, et al. Variance component model to account for sample structure in genome-wide association studies. Nat Genet 2010;42:348. https://doi.org/10.1038/NG.548.
- Eichou F, Trynka G. Functional studies of GWAS variants are gaining momentum.
   Nature Communications 2020 11:1 2020;11:1–4. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20188-y.
- Wang K, Li M, Hakonarson H. ANNOVAR: functional annotation of genetic variants from high-throughput sequencing data. Nucleic Acids Res 2010;38:e164–e164. https://doi.org/10.1093/NAR/GKQ603.
- Buniello A, Macarthur JAL, Cerezo M, Harris LW, Hayhurst J, Malangone C, et al.
   The NHGRI-EBI GWAS Catalog of published genome-wide association studies,
   targeted arrays and summary statistics 2019. Nucleic Acids Res 2019;47.
   https://doi.org/10.1093/NAR/GKY1120.
- Wen J, Xie M, Rowland B, Rosen JD, Sun Q, Chen J, et al. Transcriptome-wide association study of blood cell traits in african ancestry and hispanic/latino populations. Genes (Basel) 2021;12:1049. https://doi.org/10.3390/genes12071049.
- Hu Y, Bien SA, Nishimura KK, Haessler J, Hodonsky CJ, Baldassari AR, et al. Multiethnic genome-wide association analyses of white blood cell and platelet traits in the Population Architecture using Genomics and Epidemiology (PAGE) study. BMC Genomics 2021;22:1–11. https://doi.org/10.1186/S12864-021-07745-5.
- Nilsen TW, Graveley BR. Expansion of the eukaryotic proteome by alternative splicing. Nature 2010;463:457. https://doi.org/10.1038/NATURE08909.
- [76] Garrido-Martín D, Borsari B, Calvo M, Reverter F, Guigó R. Identification and
   analysis of splicing quantitative trait loci across multiple tissues in the human genome.
   Nature Communications 2021 12:1 2021;12:1–16. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-

872 20578-2.

- 873 [77] McCartney DL, Min JL, Richmond RC, Lu AT, Sobczyk MK, Davies G, et al.
  874 Genome-wide association studies identify 137 genetic loci for DNA methylation
  875 biomarkers of aging. Genome Biol 2021;22:25. https://doi.org/10.1186/S13059-021876 02398-9.
- Zhou F, Xing Y, Xu X, Yang Y, Zhang J, Ma Z, et al. NBPF is a potential DNA-binding transcription factor that is directly regulated by NF-κB. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 2013;45:2479–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BIOCEL.2013.07.022.
- Moore CB, Verma A, Pendergrass S, Verma SS, Johnson DH, Daar ES, et al.
   Phenome-wide Association Study Relating Pretreatment Laboratory Parameters With Human Genetic Variants in AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocols. Open Forum Infect Dis 2015;2. https://doi.org/10.1093/OFID/OFU113.
- [80] Gurdasani D, Carstensen T, Fatumo S, Chen G, Franklin CS, Prado-Martinez J, et al.
   Uganda Genome Resource Enables Insights into Population History and Genomic
   Discovery in Africa. Cell 2019;179. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CELL.2019.10.004.
- 887 [81] Reiner AP, Lettre G, Nalls MA, Ganesh SK, Mathias R, Austin MA, et al. Genome-888 Wide Association Study of White Blood Cell Count in 16,388 African Americans: the 889 Continental Origins and Genetic Epidemiology Network (COGENT). PLoS Genet 890 2011;7:e1002108. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1002108.
- [82] Soremekun O, Soremekun C, Machipisa T, Soliman M, Nashiru O, Chikowore T, et al.
   Genome-Wide Association and Mendelian Randomization Analysis Reveal the Causal
   Relationship Between White Blood Cell Subtypes and Asthma in Africans. Front
   Genet 2021;12:749415. https://doi.org/10.3389/FGENE.2021.749415/FULL.
- [83] Jain D, Hodonsky CJ, Schick UM, Morrison J V., Minnerath S, Brown L, et al.
   Genome-wide association of white blood cell counts in Hispanic/Latino Americans:
   the Hispanic Community Health Study/Study of Latinos. Hum Mol Genet 2017;26.
   https://doi.org/10.1093/HMG/DDX024.
- 899 [84] Legge SE, Pardiñas AF, Helthuis M, Jansen JA, Jollie K, Knapper S, et al. A genome-900 wide association study in individuals of African ancestry reveals the importance of the 901 Duffy-null genotype in the assessment of clozapine-related neutropenia. Molecular 902 Psychiatry 2019 24:3 2019;24:328–37. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-018-0335-7.
- [85] Kachuri L, Jeon S, DeWan AT, Metayer C, Ma X, Witte JS, et al. Genetic determinants of blood-cell traits influence susceptibility to childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Am J Hum Genet 2021;108:1823–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AJHG.2021.08.004.
- 907 [86] Vuckovic D, Bao EL, Akbari P, Lareau CA, Mousas A, Jiang T, et al. The Polygenic
   908 and Monogenic Basis of Blood Traits and Diseases. Cell 2020;182:1214-1231.e11.
   909 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CELL.2020.08.008/ATTACHMENT/347CE04A-7337-4664 910 BB5B-5ED6234B8F9E/MMC11.DOCX.
- 911 [87] Sakaue S, Kanai M, Tanigawa Y, Karjalainen J, Kurki M, Koshiba S, et al. A cross-912 population atlas of genetic associations for 220 human phenotypes. Nature Genetics 913 2021 53:10 2021;53:1415–24. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-021-00931-x.
- [88] Kanai M, Akiyama M, Takahashi A, Matoba N, Momozawa Y, Ikeda M, et al. Genetic
  analysis of quantitative traits in the Japanese population links cell types to complex
  human diseases. Nature Genetics 2018 50:3 2018;50:390–400.
  https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0047-6.
- 918 [89] De Filippo K, Rankin SM. CXCR4, the master regulator of neutrophil trafficking in homeostasis and disease. Eur J Clin Invest 2018;48.
- 920 https://doi.org/10.1111/ECI.12949.


- 921 [90] Eash KJ, Means JM, White DW, Link DC. CXCR4 is a key regulator of neutrophil release from the bone marrow under basal and stress granulopoiesis conditions. Blood 2009;113:4711. https://doi.org/10.1182/BLOOD-2008-09-177287.
- [91] Weber C, Kraemer S, Drechsler M, Lue H, Koenen RR, Kapurniotu A, et al. Structural
   determinants of MIF functions in CXCR2-mediated inflammatory and atherogenic
   leukocyte recruitment. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2008;105:16278.
   https://doi.org/10.1073/PNAS.0804017105.
- Ghosh S, Jiang N, Farr L, Ngobeni R, Moonah S. Parasite-produced MIF cytokine:
   Role in immune evasion, invasion, and pathogenesis. Front Immunol 2019;10:1995.
   https://doi.org/10.3389/FIMMU.2019.01995/BIBTEX.
- 931 [93] Bando H, Pradipta A, Iwanaga S, Okamoto T, Okuzaki D, Tanaka S, et al. CXCR4 932 regulates Plasmodium development in mouse and human hepatocytes. J Exp Med 933 2019;216:1733.
- 934 [94] Thaler RH. Anomalies: The Winner's Curse. Journal of Economic Perspectives 1988;2:191–202. https://doi.org/10.1257/JEP.2.1.191.
- Panagiotou OA, Ioannidis JPA, Hirschhorn JN, Abecasis GR, Frayling TM, McCarthy
   MI, et al. What should the genome-wide significance threshold be? Empirical
   replication of borderline genetic associations. Int J Epidemiol 2012;41:273–86.
   https://doi.org/10.1093/IJE/DYR178.
- [96] Chen Z, Boehnke M, Wen X, Mukherjee B. Revisiting the genome-wide significance
   threshold for common variant GWAS. G3 Genes|Genomes|Genetics 2021;11.
   https://doi.org/10.1093/G3JOURNAL/JKAA056.
- 943 [97] Kraft P. Curses Winner's and otherwise In genetic epidemiology. Epidemiology 2008;19:649–51. https://doi.org/10.1097/EDE.0B013E318181B865.
- 945 [98] Ioannidis JPA. Why most discovered true associations are inflated. Epidemiology 2008;19:640–8. https://doi.org/10.1097/EDE.0B013E31818131E7.

950 951

[99] Gaziano JM, Concato J, Brophy M, Fiore L, Pyarajan S, Breeling J, et al. Million
 Veteran Program: A mega-biobank to study genetic influences on health and disease. J
 Clin Epidemiol 2016;70:214–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLINEPI.2015.09.016.

## SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES

Figure S1: Power calculation.



**Figure S1.** Power calculation of a GWAS AFR\_CAG sample. The x-axis indicates the sample-size, while the y-axis is the statistical power of an association test. Each curved line shows how power varies by sample-size at different degrees of the variance explained by all the SNPs on neutrophil count (1%, 0.75%, 0.5%, 0.25%). A black horizontal line is fixed at Power=80%, and a red vertical line is drawn at the GWAS sample size of 5,976.

Figure S2. Regional plots of index SNPs (1).




Figure S3. Regional plots of index SNPs (2).





Figure S4. Regional plots of index SNPs (3).







Figure S5: Forest plot of index SNPs effect sizes.

**Figure S5.** Forest plot of index SNPs by K-means cluster. The effect-size of each BOLT index SNP was compared to that from SNPTEST/META, by-Kpop runs and Chen et al GWAS. Effect-sizes for each SNP across GWAS are present in the respective boxes of the figure. The x-axis indicates the effect-size (beta coefficient) of each SNP with 95% CIs,


while the y-axis is the type of GWAS (indicated by the figure legend colouring). Some effect sizes were not displayed, either due to a low minor allele count in the case of the Kpop GWAS, or due to not being present in the summary statistics, in the case of the Chen GWAS. Non-signif. WD = adjusting for rs2814778; WOD = without adjusting for rs2814778.

Figure S6. Scatter plot of GCTA-COJO effect sizes.




**Figure S6.** Scatter plot of GCTA-COJO effect sizes. Comparison of effect sizes of all GCTA-COJO independent signals of BOLT-LMM with META-WOD (A), BOLT-LMM with META-WD (B) and META-WOD with META-WD (C).


Figure S7: Description of genomic risk loci.



**Figure S7.** Description of genomic risk loci. FUMA analysis results for SNPs passing the GWAS significance threshold in the BOLT-LMM filtered GWAS.



**Figure S8**. Comparison of GWAS results for neutrophil count in Africans. Manhattan plot of BOLT-LMM neutrophil count GWAS from our study (top) mirrored with another Manhattan plot generated using summary statistics from a GWAS of neutrophil count done in people of African ancestry (Chen et al, reference).



**Figure S9.** Comparison of GWAS results for neutrophil count in Europeans. Manhattan plot of BOLT-LMM neutrophil count GWAS from our study (top) mirrored with another Manhattan plot generated a GWAS of neutrophil count done in people of European ancestry in UK Biobank by Astle et al 10.1016/j.cell.2016.10.042.




Figure S10: Proportion of variance explained by traits on neutrophil count. The x-axis indicates the trait studied, while the y-axis indicates the proportion of variance explained (PVE) on neutrophil count by that trait. The PVE of each trait was studied in a univariable manner (red), adjusted for only the other traits above in an ANOVA I hierarchical manner (blue), and adjusted between all other traits in a ANOVA II manner.




Figure S11: Single-SNP MR analysis of neutrophil count on severe malaria and its subtypes. SNPs proxying for neutrophil count are shown on the x-axis. The effect of each SNP proxying for neutrophil count is displayed on the y-axis, along with 95% CIs. The MR-Egger and IVW MR methods are shown below the single-SNP analysis.




Figure S12: Single-SNP MR analysis of severe malaria on neutrophil count. SNPs proxying for liability to severe malaria are shown on the x-axis. The effect of each SNP proxying for liability to severe malaria is displayed on the y-axis, along with 95% CIs. The MR-Egger and IVW MR methods are shown below the single-SNP analysis.