

A Systematic Review on the Effects of Exercise on Gut Microbial Diversity, Taxonomic Composition, and Microbial Metabolites: Identifying Research Gaps and Future Directions

1 **John M.A. Cullen¹, Shahim Shahzad¹, Jaapna Dhillon^{1*}**

2 1. Department of Nutrition and Exercise Physiology, University of Missouri-Columbia,
3 Columbia, MO 65211

4
5 *** Correspondence:**

6 Jaapna Dhillon, PhD

7 jdhillon@missouri.edu

8
9 **Keywords: microbiome, SCFA, aerobic, resistance training, HIIT, metabolic health**

10 **Abstract**

11
12 The gut microbiome, hosting a diverse microbial community, plays a pivotal role in metabolism,
13 immunity, and digestion. While the potential of exercise to influence this microbiome has been
14 increasingly recognized, findings remain incongruous. This systematic review examined the
15 effects of exercise on the gut microbiome of human and animal models. Databases (i.e., PubMed,
16 Cochrane Library, Scopus, and Web of Science) were searched up to June 2022. Thirty-two
17 exercise studies, i.e., 19 human studies, and 13 animal studies with a minimum of two groups
18 that discussed microbiome outcomes, such as diversity, taxonomic composition, or microbial
19 metabolites, over the intervention period, were included in the systematic review (PROSPERO
20 registration numbers: CRD42023394223 and CRD42023394485). Results indicated that over
21 50% of studies found no significant exercise effect on human microbial diversity. When evident,
22 exercise often augmented the Shannon index, reflecting enhanced microbial richness and
23 evenness, irrespective of disease status. Changes in beta-diversity metrics were also documented
24 with exercise but without clear directionality. A larger percentage of animal studies
25 demonstrated shifts in diversity compared to human studies, but without any distinct patterns,
26 mainly due to the varied effects of predominantly aerobic exercise on diversity metrics. In terms
27 of taxonomic composition, in humans, exercise usually led to a decrease in the
28 Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes ratio, and consistent increases with *Bacteroides* and *Roseburia* genera.
29 In animal models, *Coprococcus*, a SCFA producer, consistently rose with exercise. Generally,
30 SCFA producers were found to increase with exercise in animal models. With regard to
31 metabolites, SCFAs emerged as the most frequently measured metabolite. However, due to
32 limited human and animal studies examining exercise effects on microbial-produced metabolites,
33 including SCFAs, clear patterns did not emerge. The overall risk of bias was deemed neutral. In
34 conclusion, this comprehensive systematic review underscores that exercise can potentially
35 impact the gut microbiome with indications of changes in taxonomic composition. The
36 significant variability in study designs and intervention protocols demands more standardized
37 methodologies and robust statistical models. A nuanced understanding of the exercise-
38 microbiome relationship could guide individualized exercise programs to optimize health.

39 40 41 **1. Introduction**

42 The gut microbiome is a complex and diverse ecosystem of microorganisms that plays a vital
43 role in various physiological processes, including digestion, immunity, and metabolism. In recent
44 years, the potential of exercise to modulate the gut microbiome has gained considerable
45 attention, and numerous studies have investigated the effects of exercise on the gut microbiome
46 in both human and animal models.

47 The first indications that exercise has a role in modulating the human gut microbiome and
48 metabolic capacity of the microbiota were observed through cross-sectional studies (Mailing et
49 al., 2019). For example, professional rugby players were found to have greater alpha diversity
50 (Clarke et al., 2014), and amino acid, carbohydrate metabolic pathways, and greater fecal SCFAs
51 (Barton et al., 2018) than sedentary individuals. Moreover, even in the non-athletic populations,
52 women who exercised for at least three hours per wk had increased levels of butyrate producers
53 such as *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii*, *Roseburia hominis*, and mucin degrader, *Akkermansia*
54 *muciniphila*. However, the cross-sectional nature of these studies limits the ability of these
55 studies to control diet and other confounding factors on the microbiome (Mailing et al., 2019).

56 The mechanisms by which exercise can impact the gut microbiome are not yet fully understood,
57 but several potential mechanisms have been proposed (Mailing et al., 2019). The gut-associated
58 lymphoid tissue (GALT) houses a majority of our immune cells, and exercise can modulate their
59 gene expression, favoring anti-inflammatory and antioxidant profiles (Hoffman-Goetz et al.,
60 2009, 2010; Packer and Hoffman-Goetz, 2012), potentially mediating microbial host-microbial
61 interactions (Ismail et al., 2011; Mailing et al., 2019). Exercise can also influence the gut's
62 mucus layer, a critical barrier between microbes and the gut lining; as well as gut motility which
63 can alter GI transit time, potentially impacting microbial habitats and their nutrient availability
64 (Mailing et al., 2019). Furthermore, exercise can modify the circulation of bile acids (Meissner et
65 al., 2011), known regulators of the microbial community structure (Kakiyama et al., 2013;
66 Mailing et al., 2019). Finally, the metabolic demands of exercise release various compounds like
67 lactate and myokines (Egan and Zierath, 2013) that might directly or indirectly interact with the
68 gut environment (Mailing et al., 2019) potentially influencing the microbiome. Overall, the
69 impact of exercise on the gut microbiome is likely mediated by a combination of these
70 mechanisms. However, the magnitude and consistency of these effects are still unclear, and there
71 is significant variation in study designs, including differences in exercise types, durations,
72 intensities, and populations studied, as well as confounding effects of uncontrolled dietary and
73 environmental factors.

74 To address these issues, this systematic review aims to synthesize the available data on the
75 effects of exercise on gut microbiome in humans and animal models. The study of the impact of
76 exercise on the animal microbiome is important because it can provide valuable mechanistic
77 insights into the complex interactions between host physiology, behavior, and the gut microbiota.
78 Additionally, animal models allow for greater experimental control of variables such as diet and
79 genetics, which can be difficult to control in human studies. More specifically, the systematic
80 review examines the impact of exercise type, duration, and intensity on changes in gut
81 microbiome outcomes such as microbial phyla and genera composition, alpha- and beta-
82 diversity, and microbial-produced metabolites such as SCFAs etc., in trials with 2 or more arms,
83 in both human and animal models. The results of this review will provide a better understanding
84 of the relationship between exercise and the gut microbiome, potentially identifying targets for
85 interventions to improve gut and metabolic health.

86

87 **2. Methods**

88 The study protocols for the human and animal reviews are registered with PROSPERO, the
89 International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (CRD42023394223 and
90 CRD42023394485)

91 **Search Strategy**

92 A search strategy was designed based on guidelines in the Cochrane Handbook of systematic
93 reviews (Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions, n.d.). Specific terms and
94 language were utilized to classify and analyze studies that included exercise and assessment of
95 the gut microbiome. Search strategies are listed in **Supplemental Table 1**. Primary searches
96 were conducted until October 29, 2021, and were then updated from October 2021 to June 1,
97 2022 to obtain studies that were published in the interim. There are no restrictions on language,
98 study design, or date of publication.

99 **Study Selection**

100 The study search was performed across 4 databases, PubMed, Web of Science, Scopus, and
101 Cochrane. Results were then collected in Zotero (version 5.0.87). To determine inclusion of
102 studies in the review, three authors, (JD, JC, SS) reviewed the articles in a methodical manner.
103 Any disparities were decided through an inclusion vote.

104 In the first pass, titles were independently screened to identify relevant articles based on specific
105 criteria. Articles were excluded if the studies were 1) duplicates, 2) reviews, perspectives, or
106 protocol papers, 3) published as conference abstracts, 4) not related to either exercise or the gut
107 microbiome, or 5) were in children or pregnant populations. In the second pass, abstracts were
108 screened for the same criteria in the first pass with additional criteria to exclude studies that were
109 1) either observational or cross-sectional, 2) not exercise interventions, and 3) did not have full
110 texts. In the third pass, full texts were screened for the same criteria in the first two passes with
111 additional criteria to exclude studies that 1) did not include comparator groups, 2) collected gut
112 microbiome data at one time point, 3) studies where exercise interventions were not clearly
113 defined. Lastly, articles were categorized based on human studies and animal studies (mouse, rat,
114 and horse).

115 **Data Extraction**

116 Data collection tables were developed and finalized by 3 authors (JD, JC, SS). Extraction of data
117 was performed by 2 authors (JC, SS) and was reviewed for accuracy by JD. Study quality was
118 evaluated using the Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine Levels of Evidence (Oxford
119 Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine: Levels of Evidence (March 2009) — Centre for Evidence-
120 Based Medicine (CEBM), University of Oxford, n.d.). The risk of bias analysis was conducted
121 using the quality control checklists of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics (Academy of
122 Nutrition and Dietetics, n.d.).

123

124 **3. Results**

125 In total, 4731 records were screened for inclusion from database searches and 35 from meta-
126 analyses and systematic reviews and manual search of reference lists. A total of 4608 records
127 were excluded based on the established criteria (**Figure 1**). Thirty-two studies, i.e., 19 human

128 studies, and 13 animal studies with 2 or more groups that discussed microbiome outcomes, such
129 as diversity, taxonomic composition, or microbial metabolites, over the intervention period, were
130 included in the systematic review. The detailed inclusion and exclusion criteria are presented in
131 **Figure 1**. The breakdown of outcomes by exercise intervention type is depicted in **Figure 2**.

132 *Intervention type, study design, duration, and population profiles*

133 Nineteen studies conducted in humans met our inclusion criteria. Of those, 11 included aerobic
134 training interventions (Taniguchi et al., 2018; Morita et al., 2019; Resende et al., 2021;
135 Moitinho-Silva et al., 2021; Mahdieh et al., n.d.; Cheng et al., 2012; Sun et al., 2019; Calabrese
136 et al., 2022; Motiani et al., 2020; Kern et al., 2020; Warbeck et al., 2021), 2 included resistance
137 training interventions (Morita et al., 2019; Moitinho-Silva et al., 2021) and 9 included combined
138 aerobic and resistance training interventions (Cronin et al., 2018a, 2019; Liu et al., 2020;
139 Mokhtarzade et al., 2021; Zhong et al., 2021; Calabrese et al., 2022; Dupuit et al., 2022; Torquati
140 et al., 2022; Wei et al., 2022). Six studies included a high intensity interval training intervention
141 (Liu et al., 2020; Motiani et al., 2020; Warbeck et al., 2021; Dupuit et al., 2022; Sun et al., 2022;
142 Torquati et al., 2022) Included studies varied in design, duration, and populations studied (**Table**
143 **1**). Seventeen studies were trials with a parallel design (2–6 arms) (Cronin et al., 2018a; Morita
144 et al., 2019; Kern et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2020; Motiani et al., 2020; Moitinho-Silva et al., 2021;
145 Mokhtarzade et al., 2021; Resende et al., 2021; Warbeck et al., 2021; Zhong et al., 2021;
146 Calabrese et al., 2022; Cheng et al., 2022; Dupuit et al., 2022; Sun et al., 2022; Torquati et al.,
147 2022; Wei et al., 2022; Mahdieh et al., n.d.), of which the longest trial had a duration of 12 mon
148 (Wei et al., 2022) and the shortest trials had a duration of 2 wk (Motiani et al., 2020). One study
149 followed a crossover design in which participants were exposed to a 5 wk exercise intervention
150 and a 5 wk control (Taniguchi et al., 2018). Another study was an 8-wk RCT with a 2- arm
151 parallel design with a partial crossover (Cronin et al., 2019). The studies involve a diverse range
152 of participant profiles, including individuals with prediabetes or type 2 diabetes, older males and
153 females, individuals with normal blood pressure and non-diabetic status, those with
154 inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), multiple sclerosis (MS), nonalcoholic fatty liver disease
155 (NAFLD), and celiac disease, as well as individuals with overweight and obesity (**Table 1**).

156 Thirteen studies conducted in various animal models met the inclusion criteria for this review
157 (**Table 2**). Of these 13, 5 were conducted in mice (Lamoureux et al., 2017; Houghton et al.,
158 2018; Ribeiro et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2021a), 4 were in rats (Mika et al.,
159 2015; Giacco et al., 2020; Meng et al., 2020; Castro et al., 2021), and 4 in horses (De Almeida et
160 al., 2016; Janabis et al., 2016; Janabi et al., 2017; Walshe et al., 2021). All but one (Castro et al.,
161 2021) of these studies examined the effects of either aerobic exercise or interventions with
162 aerobic exercise as an important component. Twelve studies were trials with a parallel design (2–
163 8 arms) (Mika et al., 2015; De Almeida et al., 2016; Janabis et al., 2016; Lamoureux et al., 2017;
164 Houghton et al., 2018; Ribeiro et al., 2019; Giacco et al., 2020; Meng et al., 2020; Castro et al.,
165 2021; Chen et al., 2021; Walshe et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2021b), of which the longest trial had a
166 duration of 7 mon (Houghton et al., 2018) and the shortest trials had a duration of 3 days (Giacco
167 et al., 2020). One study followed a crossover design in which horses were exposed to a graded
168 exercise test and a standing control (Janabi et al., 2017). Most mice studies comprised of male
169 and female C57BL/6 mice aged between 3-10 wk. Rats used in the studies were male Wistar rats
170 aged between 6-13 wk (Giacco et al., 2020; Castro et al., 2021), Sprague Dawley rats with
171 obesity aged 16 wk (Meng et al., 2020), and adult male Fischer F344 rats (Mika et al., 2015).

172 Standardbred horses, including mares and geldings, aged 3-17 yr old were the most common
173 horse breed used in the studies.

174 *Effects of exercise interventions on microbial diversity*

175 *Human studies*

176 Sixteen intervention studies in humans examined microbial diversity outcomes. In a sedentary
177 but healthy population of males and females, 10 wk of aerobic training 3 times a wk, but not
178 resistance training decreased Chao1 index (Moitinho-Silva et al., 2021). In a similar population,
179 six months of moderate and vigorous intensities of leisure time exercise and cycling 5x/wk
180 resulted in a greater shift in Bray-Curtis dissimilarity compared to the non-active control (Kern et
181 al., 2020). Additionally, the cycling group showed a greater change in weighted unifracs diversity
182 while the vigorous intensity group showed an increase in Shannon's index after 3 months (Kern
183 et al., 2020).

184 Two studies incorporated exercise with dietary interventions. In one study, combined aerobic and
185 resistance training 3 times a wk for 8 wk along with 24 g protein consumption decreased archeal
186 Shannon's index but increased bacterial Shannon's index when compared to the protein only
187 group, and in comparison to the exercise only group, decreased viral Shannon's index (Cronin et
188 al., 2018a). All three groups showed separation in species Bray-Curtis dissimilarity after the 8
189 wk intervention (Cronin et al., 2018a). In the other study, a one-year lifestyle intervention
190 resulted in an increase in overall richness and Shannon's index in individuals with type 2
191 diabetes which was not different from the standard care control (Wei et al., 2022). The only
192 changes unique to the lifestyle intervention groups were observed differences in weighted unifracs
193 measures at 3 months, however this difference between groups was not maintained throughout
194 the whole intervention (Wei et al., 2022).

195 In a similar population with diabetes, moderate-intensity continuous training vs. high-intensity
196 exercise interval training (combined aerobic and resistance) resulted in differential effects on
197 Euclidean diversity between the two groups after 8 wk of training (Torquati et al., 2022). In
198 postmenopausal females with overweight and obesity, concurrent HIIT and resistance training
199 for 12 wk resulted in greater change of unweighted unifracs diversity compared to the control
200 (Dupuit et al., 2022). Nine studies demonstrated no change in alpha or beta-diversity with
201 exercise training (Taniguchi et al., 2018; Cronin et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2020; Motiani et al.,
202 2020; Resende et al., 2021; Warbeck et al., 2021; Zhong et al., 2021; Calabrese et al., 2022; Sun
203 et al., 2022).

204 *Animal studies*

205 Thirteen intervention studies in animal models examined microbial diversity outcomes.

206 Three mice studies captured differences in diversity outcomes. Aerobic exercise 5x/wk resulted
207 in lower Chao1 index in male B57BL mice when compared to the sedentary control after 14 wk
208 (Yang et al., 2021a). In another study that examined the effects of exercise in response to aging
209 over the course of 7 months found that PolgA mut/mut mice undergoing aerobic exercise 4x/wk
210 had differential effects in Bray-Curtis dissimilarity compared to PolgA mut/mut sedentary at 7
211 months (Houghton et al., 2018). Aerobic exercise of various durations (20 minutes, 40 minutes,
212 and 60 minutes) resulted in greater observed OTU's, Shannon's index, lower Simpson's index,

213 and differential Bray-Curtis dissimilarity in female C57BL/6J mice at 4 wk compared to control
214 that had unrestricted access to run (Chen et al., 2021).

215 Two rat studies captured differences in diversity outcomes. In one study that incorporated
216 aerobic exercise at different temperature conditions found that exercise only resulted in increased
217 Shannon's index over 5 wk, whereas exercise alone vs. control resulted in differential alterations
218 in bray-curtis and weighted unifracs at 5 wk (Meng et al., 2020). A 12 wk resistance training
219 intervention resulted in greater Chao1 index and differential unweighted unifracs diversity in
220 comparison to control after 12 wk (Castro et al., 2021).

221 Three horse studies captured differences in diversity outcomes. In one study, a twelve-wk
222 aerobic exercise training intervention decreased Shannon's index at the phyla level after 2 wk of
223 intervention which then increased from wk 4 to wk 6 (Janabis et al., 2016). In another study,
224 aerobic exercise resulted in differential Jaccard diversity at 6 wk in comparison to control (De
225 Almeida et al., 2016). In a weight-loss intervention, aerobic exercise for 6 wk increased Fisher
226 alpha index, richness index, and Simpson index (Walshe et al., 2021).

227 Five studies demonstrated no change in alpha or beta-diversity with exercise training (Mika et
228 al., 2015; Janabi et al., 2017; Lamoureux et al., 2017; Ribeiro et al., 2019; Giacco et al., 2020).

229

230 *Effects of exercise interventions on microbial phyla and genera composition*

231 *Human studies*

232 Overall, fifteen studies in humans examined taxonomic composition at the phylum and
233 genus levels. Four studies were conducted in healthy individuals. In healthy males, 10 wk of
234 cycling resulted in increased abundance of the genus *Streptococcus* and an unidentified genus in
235 the *Clostridiales* order compared to the non-exercising controls (Resende et al., 2021). In elderly
236 females, brisk walking increased the genus *Bacteroides*, while trunk muscle training
237 demonstrated no effects (Morita et al., 2019). In another study conducted in elderly females, a
238 combined aerobic and resistance training program for 8 wk decreased the phylum *Firmicutes* and
239 increased the genera *Phascolarctobacterium* and *Mitsuokella* (Zhong et al., 2021). In elderly
240 males, a 5 wk cycling intervention increased abundance of the genus *Oscillospira* compared to
241 the control (Taniguchi et al., 2018).

242 Four studies were conducted in healthy individuals with overweight and obesity. A 10
243 wk aerobic exercise training intervention in sedentary females increased genus *Bifidobacterium*
244 in comparison to the control (Mahdieh et al., n.d.). In a dietary intervention that incorporated
245 exercise training, the low carbohydrate (LC) diet and aerobic exercise (moderate (MICT) and
246 high intensity (HIIT)) groups exhibited lower abundance of genera *Alistipes* at 4 wk, while the
247 LC-MICT had higher abundance of the genus *Blautia* compared to LC alone (Sun et al., 2022).
248 The LC-HIIT group also decreased *Bifidobacterium* abundance over 4 wk (Sun et al., 2022).
249 Two studies did not find any effects of exercise training on phyla or genera composition (Kern et
250 al., 2020; Dupuit et al., 2022).

251 Seven studies were conducted in populations with pre-diabetes, diabetes, NAFLD, IBD,
252 Multiple Sclerosis (MS), or celiac disease.

253 In a T2D population, moderate-intensity combined aerobic and resistance training led to
254 higher collective abundance of phyla *Verrucomicrobia*, *Actinobacteria*, and *Desulfobacterota*,
255 and genus *Bifidobacterium* compared to high-intensity combined aerobic and resistance training
256 after 8 wk (Torquati et al., 2022). In a prediabetic and diabetic population, both moderate
257 intensity continuous training and sprint interval training for 2 wk decreased the
258 Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes ratio driven by an increase in Bacteroidetes, and decreased genera
259 *Blautia* and *Clostridium*, while only the moderate continuous training group increased genera
260 *Veillonella* and *Faecalibacterium*, and only sprint interval training increased genus *Lachnospira*
261 (Exercise Training Modulates Gut Microbiota Profile and Improves Endotoxemia..pdf, n.d.). In a
262 diabetic population, a 12-month lifestyle intervention consisting of dietary counseling and
263 exercise decreased the Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes ratio and increased abundance of genera
264 *Bacteroides* and *Roseburia* similar to the standard of care (Wei et al., 2022).

265 In individuals with NAFLD, the aerobic exercise only group exhibited greater genera
266 *Bilophila*, *Roseburia*, *Erysipelotrichaceae_UCG_003*, *Hungatella*, and
267 *Lachnospiraceae_UCG_004* whereas the aerobic exercise plus diet group exhibited greater
268 genera *Alistipes*, *Bacteroides*, *Bilophilla*, *Butyricimonas*, *Roseburia*, and several genera in the
269 *Lachnospiraceae* and *Ruminococcaceae* families (Cheng et al., 2022) after the intervention in
270 comparison to the control. In another study, a low glycemic index Mediterranean diet in
271 conjunction with an aerobic exercise intervention for 3 months led to higher abundance of genera
272 *Ruminococcus*, *Lachnospiraceae_GCA900066575*, *Clostridia VadinBB60* group, *Enterorabdus*,
273 *Coprobacter*, *UCG002 (Oscillospiraceae)*, *Intestinimonas*, and *Ruminococcaceae_g_UBA1819*,
274 and lower abundance of *Coprococcus* in individuals with NAFLD in comparison to the diet and
275 exercise alone groups (Calabrese et al., 2022).

276 In individuals with MS, a 6-month home-based combined aerobic and exercise training
277 program increased genus *Prevotella* compared to a non-exercising control (Mokhtarzade et al.,
278 2021). In individuals with celiac disease, a 12 wk HIIT intervention enriched genera
279 *Parabacteroides* and *DeFluviitaleaceae* (Warbeck et al., 2021).

280

281 *Animal studies*

282 Twelve intervention studies in animal models examined taxonomic composition at the
283 phylum or genus levels.

284 All four studies in mouse models examined the effects of aerobic exercise on the gut
285 microbiome. Aerobic exercise 5x/wk resulted in higher abundance of phylum *Actinobacteria* and
286 lower abundance of genera *Bacteroides*, *Parabacteroides*, and *Odoribacteria* in male B57BL
287 mice compared to control group after 14 wk (Yang et al., 2021a). Moreover, the exercise group
288 showed an increase in the genera *Bifidobacterium*, *Coprococcus*, and an unidentified genus in
289 the *Clostridiales* order over 14 wk (Yang et al., 2021a). In a similar model of male B57BL mice,
290 the effects of a high fat diet were compared to a standard chow diet with and without 8 wk of
291 aerobic exercise (Ribeiro et al., 2019). They only observed changes at the genus level with both
292 exercise groups exhibiting higher *Vagococcus* and lower *Proteus* abundances after the training
293 period when compared to their non-exercising controls. In another study, aerobic exercise led to
294 higher phyla *Bacteroidetes* and *Verrucomicrobia* and lower *Firmicutes* compared to the control
295 at 4 wk (Chen et al., 2021). At the genus level, exercise led to higher *Akkermansia*, *Clostridium*,
296 *Parabacteroides*, *Christensenella*, *Dorea*, *Roseburia*, and *Paraprevotella* and lower

297 *Anaerotruncus*, *Jeotgalicoccus*, *Flexispira*, *Alistipes*, *Ruminococcus*, and *Desulfovibrio*
298 compared to the control at 4 wk (Chen et al., 2021). In the PolgA mut/mut mice study
299 (Houghton et al., 2018), aerobic exercise for 7 months increased the Firmicutes:Bacteroidetes
300 ratio and the genus *Bacteroides*. Additionally, the exercise groups exhibited a higher abundance
301 of the genera *Muucispirillum* and *Desulfovibrio* when compared to the non-exercised controls at
302 7 months (Houghton et al., 2018).

303 Four studies conducted in rats examined the effects of exercise training on phyla or
304 genera outcomes. A 12 wk resistance training intervention in male Wistar rats resulted in
305 decreased *Pseudomonas*, *Serratia*, *Comamonas* but increased *Coprococcus_1* in comparison to
306 the control (Castro et al., 2021). In the study that incorporated aerobic exercise at different
307 temperature conditions, exercise at room temperature for 5 wk resulted in increases in the genera
308 *Parabacteroides*, *Ruminiclostridium*, *Allobaculum*, *Faecalibaculum*, *Faecalitalea*, *Holdemania*,
309 and *Gelria* when compared to the non-exercising control (Meng et al., 2020). Exercise with
310 different modalities of cold exposure also resulted in other changes at the phylum and genera
311 level which were not consistent for exercise as whole (Meng et al., 2020). Moreover, in a
312 voluntary wheel running study, exercise for 6 wk resulted in increased *Rikenellaceae* family
313 genera *AF12* and an unclassified genus, and *Turicibacter* (Mika et al., 2015). One rat study did
314 not find any effect of exercise training on phylum or genus taxonomic composition (Giacco et
315 al., 2020).

316 Four studies conducted in horse models tested the effects of aerobic exercise and/or other
317 lifestyle interventions on phyla or genera outcomes. In a weight-loss intervention, aerobic
318 exercise led to higher abundance of the genus *Coprococcus* compared to the non-exercising
319 control group at 6 wk (Walshe et al., 2021). In another study, a 12 wk aerobic exercise
320 intervention led to an increase of the phylum *Bacteroidetes* in the first 2 wk and then a decrease
321 from wk 4 to wk 6 (Janabis et al., 2016). A progressive increase in the phylum *Proteobacteria*
322 was also observed from wk 4 to wk 6 to wk 8, and in the phylum *Spirochaetes* from wk 2 to wk
323 4, however there was a reduction in *Spirochaetes* from wk 8 to wk 10 in response to exercise. At
324 the genus level, *Clostridium* increased from wk 0 to wk 2 and decreased from wk 2 to wk 4,
325 whereas *Dysgonomonas* increased from wk 2 to wk 4 and decreased from wk 4 to wk 6 in
326 response to exercise. Two horse studies did not find any effect of exercise training on phyla or
327 genus taxonomic composition (De Almeida et al., 2016; Janabi et al., 2017).

328

329 *Effects of exercise interventions on microbial metabolites*

330 *Human studies*

331 Only three human studies assessed effects of exercise training on gut microbiome derived
332 metabolites. High-intensity combined aerobic and resistance training for 12 wk in males with
333 overweight and obesity resulted in a decrease in fecal and serum BCAA and aromatic AA, and
334 an increase in fecal propionate and GABA, and serum SCFA in exercise responders (Liu et al.,
335 2020). Additionally, Cronin et al. (Cronin et al., 2018a) found that a combined aerobic
336 resistance training program of moderate intensity for 8 wk resulted in a greater decrease in
337 urinary PAG and TMAO compared to the protein only group. A similar study did not find any
338 effects of exercise training on fecal SCFAs in individuals with type 2 diabetes (Torquati et al.,
339 2022).

340 *Animal studies*

341 Only two animal studies assessed gut microbial metabolites. Four wk of aerobic training
342 of different durations (20,40, or 60 min) in female C57BL/6J WT mice resulted in lower serum
343 D-Lac, LPS, and DAO in comparison to unrestricted running control (Chen et al., 2021).
344 However, no differences in fecal metabolomics profiles were found with aerobic training in
345 overweight or obese mixed breed horses undergoing weight-loss (Walshe et al., 2021).

346 **Risk of bias and quality of assessment of studies included in systematic review**

347 Characteristics of the included human studies are summarized in **Table 3**. Seven articles
348 were rated positive, indicating that they had adequately addressed issues of bias, generalizability,
349 and data collection. The remaining 12 were found to be neutral, meaning they were neither
350 exceptionally weak nor exceptionally strong. Characteristics of the included animal studies are
351 summarized in **Table 4**. One article was rated as positive, 11 as neutral, and 1 as negative,
352 indicating that it has inadequately addressed validity criteria. Overall, the human and animal
353 studies lacked consistency in reporting of statistical analyses and interpretation of data. The
354 issues with statistical analyses pertain to not reporting or including overall exercise group by
355 time interaction effects, or adjusting post-intervention values for pre-intervention values in the
356 statistical model, not accounting for repeated measures, restricting analysis to only selected
357 exercise arms without specifying a priori hypotheses, insufficient description of the statistical
358 model for interpretation of results, or interpretation based on post hoc tests even when interaction
359 effects were not significant.

360

361 **4. Discussion**

362 *Summary of evidence*

363 More than 50% of the studies did not demonstrate any exercise effects on microbial
364 diversity in humans. Of those that did, exercise was found to generally favor an increase in
365 Shannon's index, an index of microbial richness and evenness, regardless of disease status.
366 However, when combined with dietary changes, the impact on alpha-diversity can vary. For
367 instance, one study demonstrated opposite effects for archaeal versus bacterial Shannon's index
368 in response to a combined aerobic and dietary protein intervention (Cronin et al., 2018b). The
369 weighted unifrac measure, a phylogenetic measure that accounts for the relatedness of microbial
370 taxa, was the most commonly reported beta-diversity metric. Changes in other beta-diversity
371 measures, like Bray-Curtis dissimilarity, both weighted and unweighted Unifrac, and Euclidean
372 diversity, were also documented regardless of disease status and exercise type. The direction of
373 these changes, however, wasn't specified. A greater proportion of animal studies reported
374 changes in diversity compared to human studies. However, no clear pattern emerged due to the
375 mixed effects of exercise (predominantly aerobic) on alpha or beta-diversity metrics.

376 According to ecological theory, diversity in the gut microbiome, both in terms of species
377 richness and functional response, is integral to its resilience (Lozupone et al., 2012). A diverse
378 microbiome is better equipped to resist invaders and efficiently use resources. Notably, even
379 when certain species are compromised, others, with similar functions, can step in, ensuring
380 stability. This phenomenon is further demonstrated by the functional redundancy seen in various
381 bacteria in the gut. Thus, a richer and functionally diverse microbiome may offer greater stability

382 and adaptability in the face of disturbances (Lozupone et al., 2012). Hence, the success of an
383 exercise intervention may be predicated upon the baseline microbiome composition of
384 individuals, which in turn can be influenced by disease status, dietary habits, and other
385 environmental factors. Whether individuals with a less diverse or less stable microbiome at
386 baseline may be more susceptible to changes in microbial composition with exercise compared
387 to individuals with a more diverse and stable microbiome is a hypothesis that should be tested
388 further. And even if overall diversity doesn't change significantly with exercise, individual taxa
389 can still be impacted in terms of their relative abundance or metabolic activity.

390 Our review indicates that exercise interventions can also affect taxonomic composition at
391 the phylum and genus levels. In humans, at the phyla level, exercise was typically associated
392 with a decrease in the Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes ratio. At the genera level, while *Bacteroides*,
393 *Roseburia*, *Parabacteroides*, *Blautia*, *Alistipes* were most reported to change in response to
394 exercise in humans, only *Bacteroides* and *Roseburia*, demonstrated consistent increases with
395 exercise. *Bacteroides* is a genus involved in many metabolic pathways including sphingolipid
396 production (Johnson et al., 2020b), SCFA production, bile acid metabolism (Ghosh et al., 2021),
397 and mucus utilization (Wang et al., 2023). *Roseburia* is majorly involved in the production of
398 SCFAs, particularly butyrate, and in conjugated fatty acid metabolism (Ghosh et al., 2021). Both
399 SCFA and conjugated fatty acids, play a role in regulation of intestinal permeability, while
400 SCFA are also associated with energy homeostasis, and increased glucose tolerance and insulin
401 sensitivity. Amongst the other genera that showed no consistent effects with exercise, *Blautia*
402 has been associated with potential probiotic capabilities but is also enriched in IBD (Liu et al.,
403 n.d.), *Alistipes* has been found to be pathogenic in colorectal cancer but has protective effects in
404 CVD (Parker et al., 2020), while *Parabacteroides* is implicated in colitis (Dziarski et al., 2016)
405 but also demonstrates a protective effect in obesity (Wang et al., 2019).

406 In animal models collectively, *Parabacteroides*, *Coprococcus*, *Bacteroides*, and
407 *Clostridium* were most reported to change in response to exercise. However, only *Coprococcus*,
408 another SCFA producer, demonstrated consistent increases with exercise. In general, in both
409 human and animal models, the diversity in intervention duration, exercise modality and intensity,
410 disease status, dietary factors, baseline microbiota composition etc. appear to have a huge effect
411 on individual microbial taxa, and due to the limited number of studies, stratification by these
412 factors was not possible. A common pattern though was an exercise-induced increase in SCFA
413 producers such as *Christensenella*, *Dorea*, *Roseburia*, *Paraprevotella*, *Ruminiclostridium*, and
414 *Allobaculum*. Our review of the literature also identified SCFA as the most measured metabolite.
415 However, due to the limited human and animal studies examining exercise effects on microbial-
416 produced metabolites including SCFAs, no clear patterns emerged.

417 *Strengths and limitations of review*

418 While a few systematic reviews on this topic have been published (Mailing et al., 2019; Mitchell
419 et al., 2019; Tzemah Shahar et al., 2020; Clemente et al., 2021; Hughes and Holscher, 2021), the
420 uniqueness of this systematic review lies in the inclusion of only studies that have a control or
421 other arm for rigorous comparisons to the intervention arms, and inclusion of both human and
422 animal studies for a comprehensive overview of the exercise-microbiome literature. However,
423 like any field in the initial stages, the heterogeneity of exercise intervention designs, taxa
424 outcomes profiled, and populations recruited, limited the ability to make direct comparisons
425 among studies. Moreover, several studies included in this review did not account for

426 confounding factors such as dietary habits, medication use, or other environmental factors, that
427 could influence the relationship between exercise and the microbiome.

428 *Implication of findings, research gaps and future directions*

429 This research underscores the importance of the gut microbiome in personalized health
430 and precision medicine by providing insights into the potential benefits of exercise on gut
431 microbial diversity and composition. However, the lack of standardized exercise protocols across
432 studies makes it challenging to compare results and draw conclusive findings. Establishing
433 standardized protocols would enable better comparisons and generalizability of results.
434 Additionally, there is a need for adequately powered studies and rigorous statistical models to
435 accurately interpret group by time effects in longitudinal studies. In the context of precision
436 medicine, considering factors such as disease status, exercise modality/intensity, and baseline
437 microbiota composition can optimize the selection and customization of exercise interventions,
438 leading to more effective therapeutic strategies for improving gut health.

439 An important research gap in the exercise-microbiome field is the limited utilization of
440 interspersed designs that incorporate baseline microbiome composition. Currently, the typical
441 practice is to employ randomization without considering the initial microbial profiles of
442 participants. However, accounting for baseline microbiome composition or stratifying
443 participants based on baseline microbiome composition ranges would capture a broader range of
444 responses to exercise interventions and allow for assessing how these responses depend on the
445 presence of selected microbes (Johnson et al., 2020a). Understanding an individual's baseline
446 microbiome composition and its response to exercise is crucial for personalized health.
447 Individuals with a less diverse or stable microbiome at baseline may be more susceptible to
448 changes in microbial composition with exercise, emphasizing the importance of tailored
449 interventions to optimize gut health benefits. Implementing such designs would also improve
450 statistical power.

451 Furthermore, the identification of specific genera, such as *Bacteroides*, *Roseburia*, and
452 *Coprococcus*, as consistent responders to exercise highlights their potential as targets for
453 interventions and biomarkers of exercise-induced changes in the gut microbiome. Future
454 research should focus on elucidating the underlying mechanisms of these changes and their
455 impact on health outcomes. While changes in microbial composition have been widely studied,
456 investigating the specific microbial metabolites influenced by exercise is crucial to unravel the
457 functional implications of exercise-induced microbiome changes. To gain a better understanding
458 of the variability in responses and potential mechanistic insights, it is important to consider
459 various factors such as employing 'omics techniques to examine biological factors and taking
460 into account the socioecological context. Other key considerations in microbiome research from
461 other reviews (Knight et al., 2018; Bharti and Grimm, 2021; Shanahan et al., 2021; Mattes et al.,
462 2022), although mostly for diet studies, are also applicable to exercise studies.

463 *Conclusions*

464 In conclusion, this systematic review reveals that exercise has the potential to influence
465 the gut microbiome, with indications of increased microbial diversity and changes in taxonomic
466 composition. Further research is needed to standardize exercise protocols, incorporate larger
467 sample sizes, and employ rigorous statistical models. Additionally, investigating the functional
468 implications of exercise-induced changes in microbial metabolites and understanding the
469 underlying mechanisms will enhance our understanding of the exercise-microbiome relationship.

470 Considering the growing prevalence of chronic diseases, such as obesity, diabetes, and
471 cardiovascular disorders, studying the exercise-microbiome relationship may provide valuable
472 insights for preventive and therapeutic interventions. Targeted exercise programs that promote a
473 favorable microbial profile could potentially serve as a non-invasive and cost-effective approach
474 to support overall health and mitigate the risk of chronic diseases. These advancements can guide
475 the development of personalized exercise interventions for optimizing health.

476 **Conflict of Interest**

477 The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or
478 financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

479 **Author contributions**

480 The authors' responsibilities were as follows—JD and JC: conceptualized the study; JD, JC, and
481 SS: extracted and analyzed data; JD, JC, and SS: wrote the manuscript; and all authors read and
482 approved the final manuscript and take responsibility for the final content.

483 **References**

- 484 Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics (n.d.). Evidence Analysis Manual. Available at:
485 <https://www.andeal.org/evidence-analysis-manual> [Accessed April 5, 2023].
- 486 Barton, W., Penney, N. C., Cronin, O., Garcia-Perez, I., Molloy, M. G., Holmes, E., et al. (2018).
487 The microbiome of professional athletes differs from that of more sedentary subjects in
488 composition and particularly at the functional metabolic level. *Gut* 67, 625–633. doi:
489 10.1136/gutjnl-2016-313627.
- 490 Bharti, R., and Grimm, D. G. (2021). Current challenges and best-practice protocols for
491 microbiome analysis. *Brief Bioinform* 22, 178–193. doi: 10.1093/bib/bbz155.
- 492 Calabrese, F. M., Disciglio, V., Franco, I., Sorino, P., Bonfiglio, C., Bianco, A., et al. (2022). A
493 Low Glycemic Index Mediterranean Diet Combined with Aerobic Physical Activity
494 Rearranges the Gut Microbiota Signature in NAFLD Patients. *Nutrients* 14. doi:
495 10.3390/nu14091773.
- 496 Castro, A., Silva, K., Medeiros, C., Alves, F., Araujo, R., and Almeida, J. (2021). Effects of 12
497 weeks of resistance training on rat gut microbiota composition. *JOURNAL OF*
498 *EXPERIMENTAL BIOLOGY* 224. doi: 10.1242/jeb.242543.
- 499 Chen, H., Shen, L., Liu, Y., Ma, X., Long, L., Ma, X., et al. (2021). Strength Exercise Confers
500 Protection in Central Nervous System Autoimmunity by Altering the Gut Microbiota.
501 *FRONTIERS IN IMMUNOLOGY* 12. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.628629.
- 502 Cheng, R., Wang, L., Le, S., Yang, Y., Zhao, C., Zhang, X., et al. (2022). A randomized
503 controlled trial for response of microbiome network to exercise and diet intervention in
504 patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Nature Communications* 13. doi:
505 10.1038/s41467-022-29968-0.

- 506 Cheng, Y., Xie, G., Chen, T., Qiu, Y., Zou, X., Zheng, M., et al. (2012). Distinct urinary
507 metabolic profile of human colorectal cancer. *Journal of Proteome Research* 11, 1354–
508 1363. doi: 10.1021/pr201001a.
- 509 Clarke, S. F., Murphy, E. F., O’Sullivan, O., Lucey, A. J., Humphreys, M., Hogan, A., et al.
510 (2014). Exercise and associated dietary extremes impact on gut microbial diversity. *Gut*
511 63, 1913–1920. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2013-306541.
- 512 Clemente, F., Bravini, E., Corna, S., Colombo, E., Sartorio, F., Rinaldi, C., et al. (2021). [The
513 relationship between physical exercise and gut microbiota in the human being: a
514 systematic review]. *Epidemiol Prev* 45, 245–253. doi: 10.19191/EP21.4.P245.080.
- 515 Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions (n.d.). Available at:
516 <https://training.cochrane.org/handbook> [Accessed January 12, 2023].
- 517 Cronin, O., Barton, W., Moran, C., Sheehan, D., Whiston, R., Nugent, H., et al. (2019).
518 Moderate-intensity aerobic and resistance exercise is safe and favorably influences body
519 composition in patients with quiescent Inflammatory Bowel Disease: A randomized
520 controlled cross-over trial. *BMC Gastroenterology* 19. doi: 10.1186/s12876-019-0952-x.
- 521 Cronin, O., Barton, W., Skuse, P., Penney, N. C., Garcia-Perez, I., Murphy, E. F., et al. (2018a).
522 A prospective metagenomic and metabolomic analysis of the impact of exercise and/or
523 whey protein supplementation on the gut microbiome of sedentary adults. *mSystems* 3.
524 doi: 10.1128/mSystems.00044-18.
- 525 Cronin, O., Barton, W., Skuse, P., Penney, N. C., Garcia-Perez, I., Murphy, E. F., et al. (2018b).
526 A Prospective Metagenomic and Metabolomic Analysis of the Impact of Exercise and/or
527 Whey Protein Supplementation on the Gut Microbiome of Sedentary Adults. *mSystems* 3.
528 doi: 10.1128/mSystems.00044-18.
- 529 De Almeida, M. L. M., Feringer, W. H., Jr., Carvalho, J. R. G., Rodrigues, I. M., Jordão, L. R.,
530 Fonseca, M. G., et al. (2016). Intense exercise and aerobic conditioning associated with
531 chromium or L-carnitine supplementation modified the fecal microbiota of fillies. *PLoS*
532 *ONE* 11. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0167108.
- 533 Dupuit, M., Rance, M., Morel, C., Bouillon, P., Boscaro, A., Martin, V., et al. (2022). Effect of
534 Concurrent Training on Body Composition and Gut Microbiota in Postmenopausal
535 Women with Overweight or Obesity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 54, 517–529. doi:
536 10.1249/MSS.0000000000002809.
- 537 Dziarski, R., Park, S. Y., Kashyap, D. R., Dowd, S. E., and Gupta, D. (2016). Pglyrp-Regulated
538 Gut Microflora *Prevotella falsenii*, *Parabacteroides distasonis* and *Bacteroides eggerthii*
539 Enhance and *Alistipes finegoldii* Attenuates Colitis in Mice. *PLOS ONE* 11, e0146162.
540 doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0146162.
- 541 Egan, B., and Zierath, J. R. (2013). Exercise metabolism and the molecular regulation of skeletal
542 muscle adaptation. *Cell Metab* 17, 162–184. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2012.12.012.

- 543 Ghosh, S., Whitley, C. S., Haribabu, B., and Jala, V. R. (2021). Regulation of Intestinal Barrier
544 Function by Microbial Metabolites. *Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol* 11, 1463–1482. doi:
545 10.1016/j.jcmgh.2021.02.007.
- 546 Giacco, A., delli Paoli, G., Simiele, R., Caterino, M., Ruoppolo, M., Bloch, W., et al. (2020).
547 Exercise with food withdrawal at thermoneutrality impacts fuel use, the microbiome,
548 AMPK phosphorylation, muscle fibers, and thyroid hormone levels in rats. *Physiol Rep* 8,
549 e14354. doi: 10.14814/phy2.14354.
- 550 Hoffman-Goetz, L., Pervaiz, N., and Guan, J. (2009). Voluntary exercise training in mice
551 increases the expression of antioxidant enzymes and decreases the expression of TNF-
552 alpha in intestinal lymphocytes. *Brain Behav Immun* 23, 498–506. doi:
553 10.1016/j.bbi.2009.01.015.
- 554 Hoffman-Goetz, L., Pervaiz, N., Packer, N., and Guan, J. (2010). Freewheel training decreases
555 pro- and increases anti-inflammatory cytokine expression in mouse intestinal
556 lymphocytes. *Brain Behav Immun* 24, 1105–1115. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2010.05.001.
- 557 Houghton, D., Stewart, C. J., Stamp, C., Nelson, A., Aj Ami, N. J., Petrosino, J. F., et al. (2018).
558 Impact of Age-Related Mitochondrial Dysfunction and Exercise on Intestinal Microbiota
559 Composition. *Journals of Gerontology - Series A Biological Sciences and Medical
560 Sciences* 73, 571–578. doi: 10.1093/gerona/glx197.
- 561 Hughes, R. L., and Holscher, H. D. (2021). Fueling Gut Microbes: A Review of the Interaction
562 between Diet, Exercise, and the Gut Microbiota in Athletes. *Adv Nutr* 12, 2190–2215.
563 doi: 10.1093/advances/nmab077.
- 564 Ismail, A. S., Severson, K. M., Vaishnava, S., Behrendt, C. L., Yu, X., Benjamin, J. L., et al.
565 (2011). Gammadelta intraepithelial lymphocytes are essential mediators of host-microbial
566 homeostasis at the intestinal mucosal surface. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 108, 8743–8748.
567 doi: 10.1073/pnas.1019574108.
- 568 Janabi, A. H. D., Biddle, A. S., Klein, D. J., and McKeever, K. H. (2017). The effects of acute
569 strenuous exercise on the faecal microbiota in Standardbred racehorses. *Comparative
570 Exercise Physiology* 13, 13–24. doi: 10.3920/CEP160030.
- 571 Janabis, A. H. D., Biddle, A. S., Klein, D., and McKeever, K. H. (2016). Exercise training-
572 induced changes in the gut microbiota of Standardbred racehorses. *Comparative Exercise
573 Physiology* 12, 119–130. doi: 10.3920/CEP160015.
- 574 Johnson, A. J., Zheng, J. J., Kang, J. W., Saboe, A., Knights, D., and Zivkovic, A. M. (2020a). A
575 Guide to Diet-Microbiome Study Design. *Frontiers in Nutrition* 7. Available at:
576 <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnut.2020.00079> [Accessed June 20, 2023].
- 577 Johnson, E. L., Heaver, S. L., Waters, J. L., Kim, B. I., Bretin, A., Goodman, A. L., et al.
578 (2020b). Sphingolipids produced by gut bacteria enter host metabolic pathways
579 impacting ceramide levels. *Nat Commun* 11, 2471. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-16274-w.

- 580 Kakiyama, G., Pandak, W. M., Gillevet, P. M., Hylemon, P. B., Heuman, D. M., Daita, K., et al.
581 (2013). Modulation of the fecal bile acid profile by gut microbiota in cirrhosis. *J Hepatol*
582 58, 949–955. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2013.01.003.
- 583 Kern, T., Blond, M. B., Hansen, T. H., Rosenkilde, M., Quist, J. S., Gram, A. S., et al. (2020).
584 Structured exercise alters the gut microbiota in humans with overweight and obesity—A
585 randomized controlled trial. *Int J Obes* 44, 125–135. doi: 10.1038/s41366-019-0440-y.
- 586 Knight, R., Vrbanac, A., Taylor, B. C., Aksenov, A., Callewaert, C., Debelius, J., et al. (2018).
587 Best practices for analysing microbiomes. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 16, 410–422. doi:
588 10.1038/s41579-018-0029-9.
- 589 Lamoureux, E. V., Grandy, S. A., and Langille, M. G. I. (2017). Moderate exercise has limited
590 but distinguishable effects on the mouse microbiome. *mSystems* 2. doi:
591 10.1128/mSystems.00006-17.
- 592 Liu, X., Mao, B., Gu, J., Wu, J., Cui, S., Wang, G., et al. (n.d.). Blautia—a new functional genus
593 with potential probiotic properties? *Gut Microbes* 13, 1875796. doi:
594 10.1080/19490976.2021.1875796.
- 595 Liu, Y., Wang, Y., Ni, Y., Cheung, C. K. Y., Lam, K. S. L., Wang, Y., et al. (2020). Gut
596 Microbiome Fermentation Determines the Efficacy of Exercise for Diabetes Prevention.
597 *Cell Metabolism* 31, 77-91.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2019.11.001.
- 598 Lozupone, C. A., Stombaugh, J. I., Gordon, J. I., Jansson, J. K., and Knight, R. (2012). Diversity,
599 stability and resilience of the human gut microbiota. *Nature* 489, 220–230. doi:
600 10.1038/nature11550.
- 601 Mahdiah, M., Maryam, J., Bitar, B., Neda, F., Motahare, M., Mahboobeh, B., et al. (n.d.). A pilot
602 study on the relationship between Lactobacillus, Bifidobacterium counts and inflammatory
603 factors following exercise training. *ARCHIVES OF PHYSIOLOGY AND*
604 *BIOCHEMISTRY*. doi: 10.1080/13813455.2021.1871763.
- 605 Mailing, L. J., Allen, J. M., Buford, T. W., Fields, C. J., and Woods, J. A. (2019). Exercise and
606 the Gut Microbiome: A Review of the Evidence, Potential Mechanisms, and Implications
607 for Human Health. *Exercise and Sport Sciences Reviews* 47, 75. doi:
608 10.1249/JES.000000000000183.
- 609 Mattes, R. D., Rowe, S. B., Ohlhorst, S. D., Brown, A. W., Hoffman, D. J., Liska, D. J., et al.
610 (2022). Valuing the Diversity of Research Methods to Advance Nutrition Science.
611 *Advances in Nutrition* 13, 1324–1393. doi: 10.1093/advances/nmac043.
- 612 Meissner, M., Lombardo, E., Havinga, R., Tietge, U. J. F., Kuipers, F., and Groen, A. K. (2011).
613 Voluntary wheel running increases bile acid as well as cholesterol excretion and
614 decreases atherosclerosis in hypercholesterolemic mice. *Atherosclerosis* 218, 323–329.
615 doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2011.06.040.

- 616 Meng, Y., Chen, L., Lin, W., Wang, H., Xu, G., and Weng, X. (2020). Exercise Reverses the
617 Alterations in Gut Microbiota Upon Cold Exposure and Promotes Cold-Induced Weight
618 Loss. *Front Physiol* 11, 311. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2020.00311.
- 619 Mika, A., Treuren, W. V., González, A., Herrera, J. J., Knight, R., and Fleshner, M. (2015).
620 Exercise Is More Effective at Altering Gut Microbial Composition and Producing Stable
621 Changes in Lean Mass in Juvenile versus Adult Male F344 Rats. *PLOS ONE* 10,
622 e0125889. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0125889.
- 623 Mitchell, C. M., Davy, B. M., Hulver, M. W., Neilson, A. P., Bennett, B. J., and Davy, K. P.
624 (2019). Does Exercise Alter Gut Microbial Composition? A Systematic Review. *Med Sci*
625 *Sports Exerc* 51, 160–167. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0000000000001760.
- 626 Moitinho-Silva, L., Wegener, M., May, S., Schrinner, F., Akhtar, A., Boysen, T., et al. (2021).
627 Short-term physical exercise impacts on the human holobiont obtained by a randomised
628 intervention study. *BMC MICROBIOLOGY* 21. doi: 10.1186/s12866-021-02214-1.
- 629 Mokhtarzade, M., Shamsi, M., Abolhasani, M., Bakhshi, B., Sahraian, M., Quinn, L., et al.
630 (2021). Home-based exercise training influences gut bacterial levels in multiple sclerosis.
631 *COMPLEMENTARY THERAPIES IN CLINICAL PRACTICE* 45. doi:
632 10.1016/j.ctcp.2021.101463.
- 633 Morita, E., Yokoyama, H., Imai, D., Takeda, R., Ota, A., Kawai, E., et al. (2019). Aerobic
634 exercise training with brisk walking increases intestinal bacteroides in healthy elderly
635 women. *Nutrients* 11. doi: 10.3390/nu11040868.
- 636 Motiani, K. K., Collado, M. C., Eskelinen, J.-J., Virtanen, K. A., Löyttyniemi, E., Salminen, S.,
637 et al. (2020). Exercise Training Modulates Gut Microbiota Profile and Improves
638 Endotoxemia. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise* 52, 94. doi:
639 10.1249/MSS.0000000000002112.
- 640 Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine: Levels of Evidence (March 2009) — Centre for
641 Evidence-Based Medicine (CEBM), University of Oxford (n.d.). Available at:
642 [https://www.cebm.ox.ac.uk/resources/levels-of-evidence/oxford-centre-for-evidence-](https://www.cebm.ox.ac.uk/resources/levels-of-evidence/oxford-centre-for-evidence-based-medicine-levels-of-evidence-march-2009)
643 [based-medicine-levels-of-evidence-march-2009](https://www.cebm.ox.ac.uk/resources/levels-of-evidence/oxford-centre-for-evidence-based-medicine-levels-of-evidence-march-2009) [Accessed January 20, 2023].
- 644 Packer, N., and Hoffman-Goetz, L. (2012). Exercise training reduces inflammatory mediators in
645 the intestinal tract of healthy older adult mice. *Can J Aging* 31, 161–171. doi:
646 10.1017/S0714980812000104.
- 647 Parker, B. J., Wearsch, P. A., Veloo, A. C. M., and Rodriguez-Palacios, A. (2020). The Genus
648 *Alistipes*: Gut Bacteria With Emerging Implications to Inflammation, Cancer, and Mental
649 Health. *Frontiers in Immunology* 11. Available at:
650 <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2020.00906> [Accessed August 21,
651 2023].

- 652 Resende, A., Leite, G., and Junior, A. (2021). Changes in the Gut Bacteria Composition of
653 Healthy Men with the Same Nutritional Profile Undergoing 10-Week Aerobic Exercise
654 Training: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *NUTRIENTS* 13. doi: 10.3390/nu13082839.
- 655 Ribeiro, F. M., Ribeiro, C. F. A., Cláudia M. G., A., Castro, A. P., Almeida, J. A., Franco, O. L.,
656 et al. (2019). Limited effects of low-to-moderate aerobic exercise on the gut microbiota
657 of mice subjected to a high-fat diet. *Nutrients* 11. doi: 10.3390/nu11010149.
- 658 Shanahan, E. R., McMaster, J. J., and Staudacher, H. M. (2021). Conducting research on diet-
659 microbiome interactions: A review of current challenges, essential methodological
660 principles, and recommendations for best practice in study design. *J Hum Nutr Diet* 34,
661 631–644. doi: 10.1111/jhn.12868.
- 662 Sun, S., Lei, O., Nie, J., Shi, Q., Xu, Y., and Kong, Z. (2022). Effects of Low-Carbohydrate Diet
663 and Exercise Training on Gut Microbiota. *FRONTIERS IN NUTRITION* 9. doi:
664 10.3389/fnut.2022.884550.
- 665 Sun, S., Lulla, A., Sioda, M., Winglee, K., Wu, M. C., Jacobs, D. R., et al. (2019). Gut
666 microbiota composition and blood pressure: The CARDIA study. *Hypertension* 73, 998–
667 1006. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.118.12109.
- 668 Taniguchi, H., Tanisawa, K., Sun, X., Kubo, T., Hoshino, Y., Hosokawa, M., et al. (2018).
669 Effects of short-term endurance exercise on gut microbiota in elderly men. *Physiological*
670 *Reports* 6. doi: 10.14814/phy2.13935.
- 671 Torquati, L., Gajanand, T., Cox, E. R., Willis, C. R. G., Zaugg, J., Keating, S. E., et al. (2022).
672 Effects of exercise intensity on gut microbiome composition and function in people with
673 type 2 diabetes. *European Journal of Sport Science*. doi:
674 10.1080/17461391.2022.2035436.
- 675 Tzemah Shahar, R., Koren, O., Matarasso, S., Shochat, T., Magzal, F., and Agmon, M. (2020).
676 Attributes of Physical Activity and Gut Microbiome in Adults: A Systematic Review. *Int*
677 *J Sports Med* 41, 801–814. doi: 10.1055/a-1157-9257.
- 678 Walshe, N., Cabrera-Rubio, R., Collins, R., Puggioni, A., Gath, V., Crispie, F., et al. (2021). A
679 Multiomic Approach to Investigate the Effects of a Weight Loss Program on the
680 Intestinal Health of Overweight Horses. *FRONTIERS IN VETERINARY SCIENCE* 8. doi:
681 10.3389/fvets.2021.668120.
- 682 Wang, K., Liao, M., Zhou, N., Bao, L., Ma, K., Zheng, Z., et al. (2019). Parabacteroides
683 distasonis Alleviates Obesity and Metabolic Dysfunctions via Production of Succinate
684 and Secondary Bile Acids. *Cell Reports* 26, 222-235.e5. doi:
685 10.1016/j.celrep.2018.12.028.
- 686 Wang, X., Hu, Y., Zhu, X., Cai, L., Farooq, M. Z., and Yan, X. (2023). Bacteroides-derived
687 isovaleric acid enhances mucosal immunity by facilitating intestinal IgA response in
688 broilers. *J Anim Sci Biotechnol* 14, 4. doi: 10.1186/s40104-022-00807-y.

689 Warbeck, C., Dowd, A., Kronlund, L., Parmar, C., Daun, J., Wytsma-Fisher, K., et al. (2021).
690 Feasibility and effects on the gut microbiota of a 12-week high-intensity interval training
691 plus lifestyle education intervention on inactive adults with celiac disease. *APPLIED*
692 *PHYSIOLOGY NUTRITION AND METABOLISM* 46, 325–336. doi: 10.1139/apnm-
693 2020-0459.

694 Wei, S., Brejnrod, A. D., Trivedi, U., Mortensen, M. S., Johansen, M. Y., Karstoft, K., et al.
695 (2022). Impact of intensive lifestyle intervention on gut microbiota composition in type 2
696 diabetes: a post-hoc analysis of a randomized clinical trial. *Gut Microbes* 14. doi:
697 10.1080/19490976.2021.2005407.

698 Yang, H.-L., Li, M.-M., Zhou, M.-F., Xu, H.-S., Huan, F., Liu, N., et al. (2021a). Links Between
699 Gut Dysbiosis and Neurotransmitter Disturbance in Chronic Restraint Stress-Induced
700 Depressive Behaviours: the Role of Inflammation. *Inflammation* 44, 2448–2462. doi:
701 10.1007/s10753-021-01514-y.


702 Yang, W., Liu, Y., Yang, G., Meng, B., Yi, Z., Yang, G., et al. (2021b). Moderate-Intensity
703 Physical Exercise Affects the Exercise Performance and Gut Microbiota of Mice.
704 *FRONTIERS IN CELLULAR AND INFECTION MICROBIOLOGY* 11. doi:
705 10.3389/fcimb.2021.712381.

706 Zhong, X., Powell, C., Phillips, C., Millar, S., Carson, B., Dowd, K., et al. (2021). The Influence
707 of Different Physical Activity Behaviours on the Gut Microbiota of Older Irish Adults.
708 *JOURNAL OF NUTRITION HEALTH & AGING* 25, 854–861. doi: 10.1007/s12603-021-
709 1630-6.

710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723

724 **Figures**

725 Figure 1: PRISMA flow diagram for inclusion of studies in the systematic review.



726

727 PRISMA, Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses.

728

729

730


731

732

733

734

735 Figure 2: Microbiome outcomes stratified by exercise intervention type.



736

737 The number indicates the number of studies that demonstrate a change in response to the
 738 exercise intervention in humans or animal models. Direction of change is not quantified. Studies
 739 incorporating HIIT are stratified separately regardless of whether the study included other
 740 exercise components in addition to HIIT.

741

742

743

744 **Tables**

745

746 **Table 1.** Characteristics of the studies included in the systematic review examining the effects of exercise intervention on gut
747 microbiome outcomes in humans.

Author	Design	No. of participants	Intervention	Duration	Participant profile	Phylum	Genus	Diversity	Gut metabolites
Calabrese et al. 2022	Randomized (6 groups)	142 (original dataset)	Physical activity aerobic program (ATFIS_1, n=21) 4x/wk 45-60 min moderate-intensity treadmill walking or cycling @ 60-75% VO2 max Physical activity combined aerobic and resistance program (ATFIS_2, n=21) 3x/wk 45-60 min moderate-intensity treadmill walking or cycling @	3 mon	Males and females with moderate to severe NAFLD, age: 30-60 yr, BMI ≥ 25 kg /m2	N/A	LGMID + ATFIS_1 (vs. LGMID and ATFIS_1): ↑Ruminococcus ↑Lachnospiraceae_GCA900066575 ↑Clostridia VadinBB60 group ↑Enterorabdus ↑Coproacter ↑UCG002 (Oscillospiraceae) ↑Intestinimonas ↑Ruminococcaceae_g_UBA1819 ↓ Coprococcus	No change in alpha-diversity	N/A

			<p>60–75% VO2 max and 45 min resistance training (12 exercises, 2 sets)</p> <p>Low Glycemic Index Mediterranean Diet (LGIMD, n=21)</p> <p>LGIMD + ATFIS_1 (n=28)</p> <p>LGIMD + ATFIS_2 (n=31)</p> <p>Control diet (n=20): based on CREA-AN dietary guidelines</p>					
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Cheng et al. 2022	Randomized (4 groups)	115	<p>Aerobic exercise (AEX, n=29): Nordic brisk walking + stretching and other group exercises 2-3x/wk @60-75% VO2max for 30-60 min/session</p> <p>Diet (n=28): fiber-enriched and low-carbohydrate</p> <p>Aerobic exercise + Diet (AED, n=29)</p> <p>No intervention (NI, n=29): current physical activity and eating habits</p>	Average: 8.6 mon	Males and females with NAFLD and prediabetes, age 50-65 yr, Mean BMI: 26.9 kg/m2	N/A	<p>AEX vs. NI: ↑ Bilophila ↑ Erysipelotrichaceae_UCG_003 ↑ Hungatella ↑ Lachnospiraceae_UCG_004 ↑ Roseburia</p> <p>AED vs. NI: ↑ Alistipes ↑ Bacteroides ↑ Bilophila ↑ Butyricimonas ↑ Lachnospiraceae ND3007, NK4A136, UCG_004, and UCG_010 groups ↑ Roseburia ↑ Ruminococcaceae NK4A214, UCG_002, and UCG_003 groups ↑ Ruminococcus_1</p>	NI vs. other groups: ↓ Shannon Index Changes in Weighted Unifrac	N/A
-------------------	-----------------------	-----	---	------------------	--	-----	--	--	-----

Cronin et al. 2018	Randomized (3 groups)	90	<p>Exercise only (E, n=30): Combined aerobic resistance training program of moderate intensity 3x/wk Aerobic exercise: treadmill, crosstrainer, bike, or stepper (modified Borg RPE, 5 to 7/10) 18-32 min/session</p> <p>Resistance exercise: 7 resistance machine based exercises @ 70% 1RM starting intensity</p> <p>Protein only (P, n=30): usual levels of physical</p>	8 wk	Sedentary males and females, age: 18-40 yr, BMI: 22-35 kg/m2	N/A	N/A	<p>EP vs. P: ↓ Archeal Shannon's index at 8 wk ↑ Bacterial Shannon's index at 8 wk</p> <p>EP vs. E: ↓ Viral Shannon's index at 8 wk</p> <p>Separation in all species Bray-Curtis dissimilarity by E,EP,and P at 8 wk</p>	E vs. P: Greater ↓ urine PAG and TMAO over 8 wk
--------------------	-----------------------	----	--	------	--	-----	-----	---	--

			activity and whey protein (24 g) Exercise+protein (EP, n=30): Same exercise program as exercise only group and whey protein (24 g)						
Cronin et al. 2019	Randomized (2 groups) + partial crossover	17	Exercise (n=8 (initial) + 7 crossed over from control): Combined aerobic resistance training program of moderate intensity 3x/wk Aerobic exercise: treadmill, crosstrainer, bike, or stepper (modified Borg RPE, 5 to 7/10) 18-32	8 wk	Sedentary males and females, age: 18-40 yr, BMI: 22-35 kg/m ² , diagnosed with IBD but currently in disease remission	N/A	N/A	No change	N/A

			min/session					
			Resistance exercise: 7 resistance machine based exercises @ 70% 1RM starting intensity					
			Control (n=9): usual levels of physical activity, n=7 crossed over to exercise group after completion of control period					

Dupuit et al. 2022	2 groups	29	<p>Exercise (n=14): Concurrent HIIT and resistance training 3x/week, 45 min HIIT: repeated cycles of sprinting on bike for 8s followed by slow pedaling for 12s</p> <p>Resistance: whole body, 10 exercises, 1-set circuit, with 8–12RM load</p> <p>Control (n=15): No training</p>	12 wk	Post-menopausal sedentary women with overweight and obesity, BMI: 25-40 kg/m ² , mean age: 59.9 yr	No change	N/A	Exercise vs. control: Greater change in unweighted Unifrac	N/A
-----------------------	----------	----	---	-------	---	-----------	-----	---	-----

Kern et al. 2020	Randomized (4 groups)	133 (original dataset)	Control (n=14*): habitual living Bike (n=19*): active commuting by cycling 5x/wk Mod (n=31*): moderate leisure time exercise @ 50% VO2 Peak 5x/wk Vig (n=24*): vigorous leisure time exercise @ 70% VO2 Peak 5x/wk *no. of completers	6 mon	Sedentary males and females with overweight and obesity, normotensive, non-diabetic, age: 20–45 yr, BMI: 25–35 kg/m2	N/A	No change	Vig vs. control: ↑ Shannon's index All exercise groups vs. control: greater change in Bray-Curtis dissimilarity Bike vs. control: greater change in weighted Unifrac over 3 mon only	N/A
------------------	-----------------------	------------------------	---	-------	--	-----	-----------	--	-----

Liu et al. 2020	Randomized (2 groups)	42	<p>Exercise (n=23): High-intensity combined aerobic and resistance training 3x/week for 70 min 10 min intervals each of treadmill, high-intensity resistance and calisthenics exercises , and high-intensity stationary bike with 3-4 min recovery between interval sessions</p> <p>Control (n=19): sedentary</p>	12 wk	Males with overweight and obesity (BMI>23 kg/m2), age: 20-60 yr, prediabet es or impaired fasting glucose	N/A	N/A	No change	<p>Exercise responders over 12 wk: ↓ fecal BCAA, aromatic AA ↑fecal propionate and GABA ↑ serum SCFA ↓serum BCAA and aromatic AA</p>
-----------------	-----------------------	----	---	-------	---	-----	-----	-----------	--

Mahdiah et al. 2021	Randomized (2 groups)	18	Exercise (n=9): aerobic exercise 3x/week for 30-45 min. Starting intensity @ 55-60% HRR with progression to 70-75% Waitlist control (n=9)	10 wk	Inactive females with overweight and obesity, BMI: 25-32 kg/m ² , age:19-30 yr	N/A	Exercise and control over 4 wk: ↑ Lactobacillus Exercise vs. control over 4 wk: ↑ Bifidobacterium	N/A	N/A
Moitinho-Silva et al. 2021	Randomized (3 groups)	42	Endurance (n=13): 30 min run 3x/week Strength exercise (n=12): whole body hypertrophy strength training 3x/week, 30 min/session Control (n=11): Maintain usual physical activity	10 wk	Sedentary but healthy males and females, age: 20-45 yr, BMI: 20-35 kg/m ²	N/A	N/A	Endurance: ↓ Chao1	N/A

Mokhtarzade et al. 2021	Randomized (2 groups)	42	Home based exercise (HBE, n=21): Combined aerobic exercise (3x/wk) and resistance exercise (2x/wk) Resistance exercise: elastic bands and body weight Aerobic exercise: 50-75% of HRR Waitlist control (n=21): routine physical activity	6 mon	Males and females with MS, age: 18-50 yr, expanded disability scale status<5	N/A	HBE vs. control over 6 mon: ↑ Prevotella	N/A	N/A
-------------------------	-----------------------	----	---	-------	--	-----	---	-----	-----

Morita et al. 2019	Non-randomized (two groups)	32	<p>Aerobic exercise (AE, n=18): brisk walking 60 min daily @ intensity ≥ 3 METs</p> <p>Trunk muscle training (TM, n=14): targeted resistance training of trunk muscles 1x/wk for 55-65 min/session</p>	12 wk	Healthy females, age > 65 yr, median BMI: 21.4 kg/m ²	N/A	AE: ↑ Bacteroides	N/A	N/A
Motiani et al. 2020	Randomized (2 groups)	26	<p>Sprint Interval Training (SIT, n=13): 4-6 30 s cycling bouts/session 3 x/wk</p> <p>Moderate intensity continuous training (MICT, n=13): 40-60 min of</p>	2 wk	Males and females with prediabetes or type 2 diabetes, age: 40-55 yr, BMI: 30.5 ± 3 kg/m ²	SIT and MICT over 2 wk: ↓ F/B ratio ↑ Bacteroidetes	<p>SIT and MICT over 2 wk: ↓ Blautia ↓ Clostridium</p> <p>SIT over 2 wk: ↑ Lachnospira</p> <p>MICT over 2 wk: ↑ Veillonella ↑ Faecalibacterium</p>	No change	N/A

			cycling @ 60% VO ₂ max 3x/wk						
Resende et al. 2021	Randomized (2 groups)	28	Exercise (n=14): cycle ergometer 3x/wk for 50 min/session @ 60-65% VO ₂ peak Control (n=14): normal lifestyle with no change to physical activity	10 wk	Healthy men, age: 20-45 yr, BMI: 24.5 ± 3.7 kg/m ²	No change	Exercise vs. control over 10 wk: ↑ Streptococcus ↓ Unclassified clostridiales order genus	No change	N/A

Sun et al. 2022	Randomized (3 groups)		<p>Low carb diet (LC, n=16): dietary guidance for low carbohydrate diet</p> <p>LC+HIIT (n=17): LC + cycling on cycle ergometer, sprinting for 6s against resistance + 9s rest x 10</p> <p>LC+MICT (n=17): LC + 30 min cycling on cycle ergometer @ 50-60% of VO₂ peak</p>	4 wk	Females with overweight and obesity (BMI >23 kg/m ²), age: 21.6 ± 3.4 y	No change	<p>LC: ↑ Phascolarctobacterium over 4 wk</p> <p>LC-HIIT: ↓ Bifidobacterium over 4 wk</p> <p>LC-MICT vs. LC at 4 wk: ↑ Blautia ↓ Alistipes</p> <p>LC-HIIT vs. LC at 4 wk: ↓ Alistipes</p>	No changes	N/A
Taniguchi et al. 2018	Randomized crossover	33	<p>Endurance: cycle ergometer 3x/wk. Intensity gradually inc. from 60% - 75% VO₂ peak for 30-45</p>	5 wk each phase	Healthy males, age >60 yr, mean BMI: 22.9 ± 2.5 kg/m ²	No change	<p>Endurance vs. control over 5 wk: ↑ Oscillospira</p>	No change	N/A

			min/session Control						
Torquati et al. 2022	Randomized (2 groups*) *original study had 3 groups	32	Combined aerobic and resistance Moderate intensity continuous training (C-MICT, n=7): 4x/week for 52.5 minutes Combined aerobic and resistance High intensity interval training (C-HIIT, n=5): 3x/week for 26 minutes	8 wk	Male and females with T2D, low activity levels, age: 64.3 ± 6.4 yr, Mean BMI: 34.6 kg/m ²	C-MICT vs. C-HIIT: ↑ Collective abundance of Verrucomicrobia, Actinobacteria, and Desulfobacterota after 8 wk	C-MICT vs. C-HIIT: ↑ Bifidobacterium after 8 wk	C-MICT vs. C-HIIT: Differences in Euclidean diversity after 8 wk	No changes in fecal SCFA

Warbeck et al. 2021	Randomized (2 groups)	41	<p>HIIT+ (n=20): HIIT 2x/week, 60 min sessions + group-mediated cognitive-behavioral intervention. HIIT intervals: 30 s of vigorous effort (90% HRmax) followed by 2 min (50% HRmax) of recovery using stationary bikes, ellipticals, treadmills, or BW exercises</p> <p>Wait list control (WLC, n=21)</p>	12 wk	Inactive males and females with celiac disease, age>18 yr, mean BMI: 27.9 kg/m2	No change	<p>HIIT+ vs. WLC at 12 wk : ↑ Parabacteroides ↑ Defluviitaleaceae_UCG_011</p> <p>WLC vs. HIIT+ at 12 wk: ↑ Klebsiella ↑ Adlercreutzia</p>	No change	N/A
---------------------	-----------------------	----	--	-------	---	-----------	---	-----------	-----

Wei et al. 2022	Randomized (2 groups)	98	Standard care (SC, n=34): medical counseling, T2D education, lifestyle advice. Lifestyle (n=64): SC + 5-6 exercise sessions/wk + dietary plan + diet counseling Exercise: 5-6 aerobic sessions/wk of which 2-3 were combined with resistance training sessions, 30-60 min/session	12 mon	Males and females with T2D, age \geq 18 yr, BMI: 25-40 kg/m ²	Lifestyle and SC over 3 and 12 mon: ↓F/B	Lifestyle and SC over 12 mon: ↑ Bacteroides ↑ Roseburia	Lifestyle and SC over 12 mon: ↑ Richness ↑ Shannon's index Lifestyle over 3 mon only: ↑ Shannon's index Lifestyle vs. SC at 3 mon: Differences in weighted UniFrac	N/A
--------------------	--------------------------	----	--	--------	--	---	---	--	-----

Zhong et al. 2020	Randomized (2 groups)	14	Exercise (n=7): combined aerobic and whole body resistance exercise (elastic bands) 4x/wk for 60 min/session Control (n=7): maintain daily life and watched health related videos 2x/month	8 wk	Sedentary females, age: 60-75 yr, mean BMI: 22.96 kg/m ² HbA1C < 6.5%, fasting BG < 7.0mmol/L	Exercise: ↓Firmicutes Control: ↑ Bacteroidetes ↓ Actinobacteria	Exercise: ↑ Phascolarctobacterium ↑ Mitsuoella Control: ↑ Bacteroides ↑ Parabacteroides ↓ Eubacterium	No change	N/A
-------------------	-----------------------	----	---	------	--	---	---	-----------	-----

748
 749 Results from human studies examining the effects of exercise on the gut microbiome
 750 **N/A** – not analyzed in study, **No change** – no significant effect of exercise
 751 ↑ indicates significant increase in response to intervention, ↓ indicates significant decrease in response to intervention
 752

753
 754
 755
 756 **Table 2.** Characteristics of the studies included in the systematic review examining the effects of exercise intervention on gut
 757 microbiome outcomes in animal models.
 758

Study	Design	Total no. of	Intervention	Duration	Animal model	Phylum	Genus	Diversity	Gut metabolites
-------	--------	--------------	--------------	----------	--------------	--------	-------	-----------	-----------------

		subjects			profile				
Castro et al. 2021	Randomized (2 groups)	10	Exercise (n=5): resistance training 3x/week on vertical ladder with 4 series of progressive loads @ 50%, 75%, 90%, 100% of MCC (75% of body weight); on completion of 100% load, 30 g added until failure Control (n=5): sedentary	12 wk	Male Wistar Rats, age: 45 days, mean body weight: 216.5±10.9 g; 2-3 animlas/cage	N/A	Exercise vs. control: ↓ Pseudomonas ↓ Serratia ↓ Comamonas ↑ Coprococcus_1	Exercise vs. control: ↑ Chao1 index after 12 wk Exercise vs. control: Altered unweighted unifrac after 12 wk	N/A
Chen et al. 2021	Randomized (4 groups)	20	Control: unrestricted access to run 20-minute exercise: 20 minutes on wheel fatigue tester 40-minute exercise: 40 minutes on wheel fatigue tester 60-minute exercise: 60 minutes on wheel fatigue tester	4 wk	Female C57BL/6J WT mice, age: 3-4 wk	Exercise over 4 wk: ↑ Bacteroidetes ↓ Firmicutes ↑ Verrucomicrobia	Exercise vs. control at 4 wk: ↑ Akkermansia, Clostridium, Parabacteroides, Christensenella, Dorea, Roseburia, and Paraprevotella ↓ Anaerotruncus, Jeotgalicoccus, Flexispira, Alistipes, Ruminococcus, and Desulfovibrio	Exercise vs. control at 4 wk: ↑ Observed OTUs ↑ Shannon's index ↓ Simpson's index Different Bray– Curtis dissimilarity	Exercise vs. control at 4 wk: ↓ serum D- Lac, LPS, and DAO

De Almeida et al. 2016	4 groups	12	<p>Exercise (n=3): aerobic training @ 60-70% VLT using treadmill and automatic walker alternately 6 days/wk</p> <p>Car (n=3): Exercise + 10 g/day of L-carnitine powder</p> <p>Chr (n=3): Exercise + 10 mg/day of chelated chromium</p> <p>Control (n=3): no exercise or supplementation</p>	6 wk	Mangalarga Marchador horses; fillies; 2.5 to 3 yr, mean body mass: 330 ± 30 kg	No changes	No changes	Exercise vs. control: Jaccard index differences at end of 6 wk	N/A
Giacco et al. 2020	4 groups	20	<p>Chow-fed controls (n=4)</p> <p>Chow-fed + exercise (n=6): exercise - 5 treadmill runs @ low intensity; 2x/day first 2 days, and 1 day on 3rd day for 30 min at 15 m/min with 0° incline</p> <p>Food withdrawal (n=4): food withdrawn for 66 h</p>	3 days	Male wistar rats; age: 3 mon, mean body weight: 300g,	No exercise effects	No exercise effects	No exercise effects	N/A

			Food withdrawal + exercise (n=6)						
Houghton et al. 2018	Randomization (2 groups). Total 3 groups.	19	<p>PolgA mut/mut sedentary (n=5): no exercise training</p> <p>PolgA mut/mut exercise (n=7): progressive increase in treadmill training for 2 mon from 17cm/s for 10 min to 20 cm/s for 40 min/session, 4x/week.</p> <p>PolgA+/+ sedentary (n=7): no exercise training</p>	7 mon	Wild-type PolgA+/+; PolgA mut/mut mice; mean body mass 29.7 g; age: 4 mon	PolgA mut/mut exercise and sedentary over 7 mon: ↑ Firmicutes:Bacteroidetes ratio	<p>PolgA mut/mut exercise over 7 mon: ↑ Bacteroides</p> <p>PolgA mut/mut exercise vs. sedentary at 7 mon post training: ↑ Mucispirillum ↑ Desulfovibrio</p>	PolgA mut/mut exercise vs. sedentary: Differences in Bray-Curtis dissimilarity	N/A
Janabi et al. 2017	Crossover (2 groups)	8	Graded exercise test (GXT, n=4): Incremental high-speed running on treadmill at a fixed	Acute	Unfit, healthy, Standardbred horses, 4 mares and 4	No changes	<p>SC ↓ Clostridium (P=0.03)</p> <p>No exercise effects.</p>	<p>SC ↓ Shannon's index</p> <p>At both the genus and species levels</p>	N/A

			6% grade; initial speed of 4 m/s for 1 min and increased increments of 1 m/s every 60 s until fatigue. Parallel standing control (SC, n=4): horses standing in stalls		geldings, 3-8 yr, approx. 500 kg			the principle coordinate analysis (PCoA) showed significant separation when the samples collected before SC1 were compared to those collected after SC1 (P<0.05).	
Janabis et al. 2016	2 groups	12	Exercise (n=8): progressive training on 4 days/wk motorised freestall exercise machine and 1 day/wk on treadmill Parallel seasonal control (n=4): horses housed in adjacent paddocks	12 wk	Unfit, healthy, Standardbred horses, 4 mares and 4 geldings, 3-8 yr, 446-517 kg	Exercise: Bacteroidetes ↑ from W0 to W2 and ↓ from W4 to W6 Proteobacteria ↑ from W4 to W6 to W8. Spirochaetes ↑ from W2 to W4, and ↓ from W8 to W10.	Exercise: Clostridium ↑ from W0 to W2 and ↓ W2 to W4 Dysgonomonas ↑ from W2 to W4 and ↓ from W4 to W6	Exercise: Shannon diversity (phyla) ↓ W2 and ↑ from W4 to W6	N/A

Lamour eux et al. 2017	2 groups	42	<p>Voluntary exercise (VE, n=10): 24-h access to wheel running for 8 wk</p> <p>Voluntary non-exercise control (VC, n=10): sedentary</p> <p>Forced exercise (FE, n=10): forced treadmill running 5x/wk for 40 min @ 15m/min-20m/min for 6 wk</p> <p>Forced non-exercise control (FC, n=10): placed in empty cage for same duration as FE mice</p>	6-8 wk	Male and female C57BL/6 mice; age: 6-10 wk	N/A	N/A	No changes	N/A
------------------------------	----------	----	--	--------	--	-----	-----	------------	-----

Meng et al. 2020	Randomized (8 groups)	40	<p>Control (n=5): room temperature (24°C–26°C); no exercise</p> <p>Exercise alone (n=5): room temperature; treadmill exercise at speed of 25 m/min and slope of 0° for 1 h on alternate days; two 30 min exercise bouts</p> <p>Acute cold alone(n=5): room temperature; no exercise; cold exposure (3°C–4°C) 4 h before sampling; no exercise</p> <p>Acute cold + Exercise (n=5)</p> <p>Intermittent cold (n=5): daily cold exposure for 4 h</p> <p>Intermittent cold alone (n=5): Cold exposure (3°C–4°C); no exercise</p> <p>Intermittent cold + exercise (n=5): Daily cold exposure</p>	5 wk	<p>Sprague Dawley rats with obesity, age: 16 wk; on a high-fat diet</p>	<p>Exercise + sustained cold vs. sustained cold alone: ↑ Proteobacteria (P<0.1) ↓ Cyanobacteria</p> <p>Exercise + intermittent cold vs. intermittent cold alone: ↑ Proteobacteria ↓ Firmicutes</p>	<p>Exercise only vs. control: ↑ Parabacteroides, Ruminiclostridium 9, Allobaculum, Faecalibaculum, Faecalitalea, Holdemania, Gelria over 5 wk</p> <p>Exercise + cold exposure vs. cold exposure alone: ↑ Prevotella9, Psychrobacter, Oligella, Jeotgalicoccus, RuminococcaceaeTC G-004, [Eubacterium]halliigroup, Faeklamia, Paenalcaligenes, Holdemania, Paracoccus, Sporosarcina, CandidatusSoleaferre a over 5 wk</p>	<p>Exercise only: ↑ Shannon’s index over 5 wk</p> <p>Exercise + acute cold: Shifts in bray-curtis, and unweighted unfrac over 5 wk</p> <p>Exercise + sustained cold: Shifts in bray-curtis, weighted unfrac, and unweighted unfrac over 5 wk ↓ Shannon’s index over 5 wk</p> <p>Exercise alone vs. control: Alterations in bray-curtis, weighted unfrac at 5 wk</p> <p>Exercise + acute cold vs. acute cold only: Alterations in bray-curtis, unweighted unfrac at 5 wk</p>	N/A
------------------	-----------------------	----	---	------	---	---	--	---	-----

Mika et al. 2015	Randomized (2 groups)	20	<p>Exercise (n=10): voluntary wheel running</p> <p>Control (n=10): sedentary in standard cages</p>	6 wk exercise + 25 days after running stopped	Male adult Fischer F344 rats, age: 10 wk	No changes	<p>Exercise over 6 wk: ↑Rikenellaceae family genera AF12 and an unclassified genus ↑ Turicibacter</p>	No changes	N/A
Ribeiro et al. 2019	Randomized (4 groups)	40 (only 12 in microbiome analyses)	<p>Standard diet (SD-C, n=10): Standard diet (68.8% carbohydrate, 18.8% protein and 12.4% fat) with no training</p> <p>Standard diet + training, (SD-T, n=10): SD + training on treadmill running at 50% VO2 max, 5x/week, 30 min/session</p> <p>High fat diet (HFD-C, n=10): High fat diet (1.3% carbohydrate, 18.4% protein and 60.3% fat) with no training</p> <p>High fat diet + training (HFD-T, n=10): HFD + training on treadmill running at 50% VO2 max, 5x/week, 30 min/session</p>	27 wk diet + 8 wk training	Male C57BL6 mice; age: 4 wk	N/A	<p>SD-T vs. SD-C and HFD-T vs. HFD-C after diet/training period: ↑Vagococcus ↓Proteus</p>	No training effects.	N/A

Walshe et al. 2021	Randomized (2 groups)	14	<p>Treatment (n=7): weight loss of 1%/wk; 5-day weekly walk-trot exercise using automated horse walker; 25-40 min/session with increase from W1 to W3.</p> <p>Control (n=7): weight maintenance diet; exercised daily at walk to mimic foraging conditions; total walking time matched treatment group</p>	6 wk	Male and female horses, mixed breeds (Standardbred, Cob, Cob pony, and pony), age: 4-17 yr, overweight or obese (BCS>6/9)	No changes	Treatment vs. control: ↑ Coprococcus at W6	Treatment: ↑ Fisher alpha index, richness index, and Simpson index over 6 weeks	Treatment vs. control: No differences in fecal metabolomics profiles at any time point
Yang et al. 2021	Randomized (2 groups)	30	<p>Exercise (n=15): treadmill running 5x/week, 60 min/day, slope of 0, @40-59% of HRR</p> <p>Control (n=15): no exercise</p>	14 wk	Male C57BL/g mice; pathogen-free; age: 5 wk; body mass: 18 ± 2 g	Exercise vs. control at 14 wk: ↑ Actinobacteria	<p>Exercise vs. control at 14 wk: ↓Bacteroides ↓Parabacteroides ↓Odoribacter</p> <p>Exercise over 14 wk: ↑ Bifidobacteria ↑ Coprococcus ↑ Clostridiales order genus</p>	Exercise vs. control at 14 wk: ↓ Chao1 Index	N/A

759

760

761 Results from animal studies examining the effects of exercise non the gut microbiome

762 N/A – not analyzed in study, **No change** – no significant effect of exercise

763 ↑ indicates significant increase in response to intervention, ↓ indicates significant decrease in response to intervention

764 **Table 3.** Risk-of-bias analysis of studies included in the systematic review examining the effects of exercise interventions on the gut
 765 microbiome in humans.

Study	Selection of participants free from bias	Study with >80% follow up ¹	Standard/valid/reliable data collection procedures	QCC ^{2,3} rating
Calabrese et al. 2022	Yes	No	Yes	∅
Cheng et al. 2022	Yes	No	Yes	∅
Cronin et al. 2018	Yes	Yes	Yes	+
Cronin et al. 2019	Yes	No	Yes	∅
Dupuit et al. 2022	Yes	No	Yes	∅
Kern et al. 2020	Yes	No	Yes	∅
Liu et al. 2020	Yes	Yes	Yes	∅
Mahdiah et al. 2021	Yes	Yes	Yes	+
Moitinho-Silva et al. 2021	Yes	Yes	Yes	∅
Mokhtarzade et al. 2021	Yes	Yes	Yes	+
Morita et al. 2019	No	Yes	Yes	∅
Motiani et al. 2020	Yes	No	Yes	+
Resende et al. 2021	Yes	Yes	Yes	+
Sun et al. 2022	Yes	No	Yes	∅
Taniguchi et al. 2018	Yes	Yes	Yes	+
Torquati et al. 2022	Yes ⁴	No	Yes	∅
Warbeck et al. 2021	Yes	No	Yes	∅
Wei et al. 2022	Yes	No	Yes	∅
Zhong et al. 2021	Yes	Yes	Yes	+

766 ¹ Follow-up here takes into account participant attrition or participants included in analysis.

767 ² QCC, Quality Criteria Checklist.

768 ³ QCC rating: +, report has clearly addressed issues of inclusion/exclusion, bias, generalizability, and data collection and analysis; ∅,
 769 report is neither exceptionally strong nor exceptionally weak.

770 ⁴ Original study

771

772

773 **Table 4.** Risk-of-bias analysis of studies included in the systematic review examining the effects of exercise interventions on the gut
 774 microbiome in animal models.

Study	Selection of participants free from bias.	Study with >80% follow up ¹	Standard/valid/reliable data collection procedures	QCC rating ^{2,3}
Castro et al. 2021	Yes	Yes	Yes	∅
Chen et al. 2021	Yes	Unclear	Yes	-
De Almeida et al. 2016	Yes	Yes	Yes	+
Giacco et al. 2020	Yes	No	Yes	∅
Houghton et al. 2018	Yes	Unclear	Yes	∅
Janabi et al. 2017	Yes	Yes	Yes	∅
Janabis et al. 2016	Yes	Yes	Yes	∅
Lamoureux et al. 2017	Yes	Unclear	Yes	∅
Meng et al. 2020	Yes	Yes	Yes	∅
Mika et al. 2015	Yes	No	Yes	∅
Ribeiro et al. 2019	Yes	No	Yes	∅
Walshe et al. 2021	No ⁴	Yes	Yes	∅
Yang et al. 2021	Yes	Unclear	Yes	∅

775 ¹ Follow-up here takes into account participant attrition or participants included in analysis.

776 ² QCC, Quality Criteria Checklist.

777 ³ QCC rating: +, report has clearly addressed issues of inclusion/exclusion, bias, generalizability, and data collection and analysis; -,
 778 report has not addressed these issues adequately; and ∅, report is neither exceptionally strong nor exceptionally weak.

779 ⁴ Client-owned, not experimental animals