

1 **Sexual health in women with Parkinson's disease: Motor, non-motor, and social impacts**

2

3 Kátia Cirilo Costa Nobrega, PT,<sup>1</sup> Isaíra Almeida Pereira da Silva Nascimento, PT, MSc,<sup>1</sup>  
4 Bruno Rafael Antunes Souza, Psych,<sup>2</sup> Raissa Amorim Gonçalves, PT,<sup>3</sup> Thalyta Silva Martins,  
5 PT,<sup>1</sup> Geovanna Ferreira Santos, PT,<sup>1</sup> Bruno Eron de Almeida da Silva, PT,<sup>3</sup> André Frazão  
6 Helene, PhD,<sup>4</sup> Antonio Carlos Roque, PhD,<sup>5</sup> Rodolfo Savica, MD, PhD,<sup>6</sup> Maria Elisa  
7 Pimentel Piemonte, PT, PhD,<sup>1†\*</sup>

8

9 <sup>1</sup> Department of Physical Therapy, Speech Therapy and Occupational Therapy, Medical  
10 School, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil.

11 <sup>2</sup> Department of Neuroscience and Behavior, Institute of Psychology, University of São  
12 Paulo, Brazil.

13 <sup>3</sup> Department of Biological and Health Sciences, Federal University of Amapa, Macapa,  
14 Brazil.

15 <sup>4</sup> Department of Physiology, Institute of Biosciences, University of São Paulo, São Paulo,  
16 Brazil.

17 <sup>5</sup> Department of Physics, School of Philosophy, Sciences and Letters of Ribeirão Preto,  
18 University of São Paulo, Ribeirão Preto, Brazil.

19 <sup>6</sup> Department of Neurology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA.

20

21 **\*Correspondence:** Maria Elisa Pimentel Piemonte. Department of Physical Therapy, Speech  
22 Therapy and Occupational Therapy, Medical School, University of São Paulo. Rua  
23 Cipotânea, 51, Cidade Universitária. 05360-160, São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil.  
24 +(55)1130918427. E-mail: elisapp@usp.br

25

26 **Keywords:** Parkinson's disease, sexual health, sexual dysfunction, women.

27

28 **Sexual Health in Women with Parkinson's**

29

30 **Relevant conflicts of interest/financial disclosures:** Nothing to report.

31

32 **Funding agency:** FAPESP Research, Innovation, and Dissemination Center for  
33 Neuromathematics (grant #[2013/07699-0](#), S.Paulo Research Foundation).

34

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

**Abstract**

**Background:** Sexual dysfunction (SD) is a common non-motor symptom (NMS) in people with Parkinson’s disease (PwPD). Sexual health (SH) depends on several biological, mental, and social factors that PD may affect. Despite its prevalence and relevance for quality of life, SD in women with Parkinson's disease (WwPD) is poorly understood, and research in this area is scarce.

**Objectives:** To investigate the impact of motor, non-motor, and social aspects on the SH of WwPD.

**Methods:** We conducted a cross-sectional study of 100 women (mean age  $54.45\pm 8.31$ , mean H&Y stage  $1.70\pm 0.71$ ). The following data were collected for each person (used tests/scales indicated within parentheses and defined in Glossary): (1) demographic information and global cognitive capacity (T-MoCA); (2) non-motor aspects of daily life experiences (MDS-UPDRS, part I); (3) motor aspects of daily life experiences (MDS-UPDRS, part II); (4) fatigue (FSS); (5) self-esteem (RSES); (6) sleep disorder (PDSS); (7) couple relationship quality (CRQ) (DAS); (8) depressive signals (BDI); (8) short-term sexual health (FSFI); and (9) long-term sexual health (SQ-F).

**Results:** Our results suggest that depressive symptoms, preserved cognitive status, and CRQ are predictive factors in the sexual health of WwPD. Age, disease onset, duration, postmenopausal, Levodopa dosage, motor disability, and fatigue were not correlated with SH.

**Conclusion:** Our findings emphasize the need to assess the sexual functioning of WwPD to investigate which motor, non-motor, and social aspects may be involved in SD so that measures can be implemented in clinical practice.

1  
2           Parkinson's disease (PD) is the second most common neurodegenerative disease [1],  
3 affecting over 10 million people worldwide [2]. Clinically, PD has been defined by  
4 heterogeneous motor symptoms [3]. Besides motor dysfunctions, people with PD (PwPD)  
5 experience diverse non-motor symptoms (NMS), including sexual dysfunction (SD) [4,5].  
6 Although poorly understood and investigated [5], SD is reported as one of the 12 most  
7 bothersome symptoms out of 24 analyzed [6]. Furthermore, SD contributes significantly to  
8 loss of quality of life in PwPD, increasing medical, social, and economic burden for patients,  
9 sex partners, and caregivers [7]. The negative SD impact on quality of life has been  
10 demonstrated by several studies [8,9].

11           Sexual health (SH) is a complex phenomenon in which, besides organic causes,  
12 environmental and psychological factors may also be involved. However, data on sexual  
13 function in PD are incomplete and often contradictory [10].

14           In the absence of any illness, the aging process plays a key role in the development of  
15 SD [11]. Age is an independent predictive factor for developing erectile dysfunction in men  
16 and SD in women [12]. However, the aging effect on SH in PD is a complex issue and  
17 several studies showed that SD and sexual satisfaction in PD worsened with aging  
18 [13,14,15,16]. The PD age of onset appears to influence SH too. People with early-onset PD  
19 (EOPD) reported more SD [17,18,19,20,21] and more decrease in libido and increased  
20 difficulty in sexual activities [22] than people with late-onset PD (LOPD).

21           Gender is another confusing factor for SD. While some studies found higher  
22 prevalence of SD in men with PD (MwPD) than in women with Parkinson's disease (WwPD)  
23 [8,23], others showed prevalence ranging from 42.6% to 79% in MwPD and 36% to 87.5% in  
24 WwPD [14,24,25,26]. In contrast, a study showed WwPD reported higher SD than MwPD  
25 [21] while another revealed that overall sexual function in PwPD is similar for both sexes  
26 [27]. To address the inconsistencies in different studies, a recent meta-analysis involving  
27 more than 30,000 participants concluded that only MwPD experienced more SD than controls  
28 [28]. However, even this finding should be interpreted cautiously due to the heterogeneity in  
29 the included studies.

30           Also, how SH is affected by PD tends to differ according to gender: women reported  
31 more difficulties with arousal, reaching an orgasm, low sexual desire, and sexual  
32 dissatisfaction, while men reported erectile dysfunction, sexual dissatisfaction, premature  
33 ejaculation, and difficulties in reaching an orgasm [14,29]. Libido is more reduced in both  
34 sexes [30], but WwPD were more affected than MwPD [21]. The progression of SD may also

1 be affected by gender: male sexual dysfunction increases in 7-year follow-up while no  
2 changes in female sexual function were found [31]. Finally, hypersexuality, defined as  
3 increased libido and repetitive behaviors toward sexual gratification outside the accepted  
4 social and personal bounds, is more prevalent in MwPD (5.2%) than in WwPD (0.5%) [32].

5         Although some motor symptoms may increase the difficulty in sexual activities [33],  
6 the relationship between disease severity and SD varies by study. While some studies showed  
7 that SD increases with disease progression [18,22,30], others showed no correlation between  
8 them [16,19,34,35]. The disease stages and the treatment time were correlated with  
9 significant changes in libido and sexual activity, especially in WwPD [21]. Reduced motor  
10 disability level is associated with higher sexual activity in MwPD [9] and sexual satisfaction  
11 in MwPD but not in WwPD [36]. Furthermore, some studies found a significant association  
12 between disease severity and SD [21,22,24,37], but others did not [13,16,17,30,26].

13         Some studies found no correlation between depression and SD [19,35,38] or cessation  
14 of sexual activity [14], while others point that depression is the only factor associated with  
15 sexual and marital satisfaction [8] and a unique common factor to explain sexual  
16 dissatisfaction in PwPD [36]. Depression severity was the main predictor factor for loss of  
17 libido in PwPD [24]. A 2-year longitudinal prospective study [9] found that lower depressive  
18 level was associated with higher sexual activity in MwPD, but not in WwPD. Depression was  
19 also correlated with patient's and partner's relationship dissatisfaction in EOPD [39].

20         The impact of cognitive decline and SH has been little investigated. Kummer et al.  
21 found that cognitive decline, although associated with libido loss in MwPD, had no predictive  
22 value [24]. Picillo et al. [9] found no correlation between cognition capacity and sexual  
23 activity in PwPD. Other NMS, such as fatigue, lack of motivation, anxiety, apathy, and  
24 sadness, were associated with SD, mainly in WwPD [35,40].

25         The association between autonomic dysfunction and dopamine repositioning with SH  
26 is also controversial. Despite the high prevalence of autonomic dysfunction, this, and the  
27 daily dosage levodopa (DDL) was unrelated to overall sexual function [26]. In contrast, other  
28 studies demonstrated that autonomic dysfunction was correlated with SD [41], particularly  
29 urinary dysfunction [20], and a higher dose of L-dopa was associated with decreased desire in  
30 WwPD [14].

31         Among the social factors, several studies have shown that SD affects both the patient  
32 and the sexual partner [8,29]. The couple relationship quality (CRQ) has been bidirectionally  
33 related to SH. Brown et al. [8] showed that couples where one of the partners had PD who  
34 reported a better marital relationship were more satisfied with their sex life and vice versa.

1 However, satisfaction with marital relationships varied between genders: although MwPD  
2 rejected sex less often and reported stronger desire [42], they showed more dissatisfaction  
3 with their sex life and marital relationship compared with WwPD [14].

4 To our knowledge, only two studies focused exclusively on SH in WwPD. Welsh et  
5 al. [34] found that WwPD reported more dissatisfaction with the quality of their sexual  
6 experiences and were less satisfied with their sexual relationships and with their partners than  
7 control. More recently, Varanda et al. [16] found higher prevalence of SD in WwPD than  
8 controls, and while age and depression severity were predictors of SD, disease duration,  
9 disability level, disease evolution, and antiparkinsonian medication were not. In a recent  
10 study, Bronner et al. [43] recommended that more studies focus on WwPD since they  
11 experience from the first PD stages and frequently refrain from sharing their sexual concerns.

12 Given the extensive range of factors that may affect SH and the low number of studies  
13 that have focused on the impact of different factors on SH in WwPD, this study aimed to  
14 investigate motor, non-motor, and social aspects in the sexual health of WwPD.

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

1

## 2 **Materials and Methods**

3

### 4 **Study Design and Participants**

5

6 A cross-sectional study including 100 women with PD. The eligibility criteria were  
7 (a) women; (b) confirmed diagnosis of idiopathic PD according to the UK Parkinson's  
8 Disease Society Brain Bank diagnostic criteria [44], age above 21 years; (c) reporting active  
9 sexual function in the last six months; and (d) have access to telephone or internet and agree  
10 to participate in the study. The non-eligibility criteria were (a) presence of neurological  
11 disorders other than PD; and (b) presence of dementia, speech, and hearing disorders that  
12 could impair the remote interview.

13

### 14 **Recruitment**

15

16 Participants were recruited from the contacts of the AMPARO network  
17 ([www.amparo.numec.prp.usp.br](http://www.amparo.numec.prp.usp.br)). Initial eligibility was identified through calls and/or  
18 telephone messages. Subsequently, information about the study procedures was passed on,  
19 and they were invited to consent to participate.

20 This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Federal University of  
21 Amapa, Macapa, Brazil (#CAAE 39971420.0.0000.0003) and conducted in accordance with  
22 the Helsinki Declaration.

23

### 24 **Study Procedures**

25

26 Interviews were conducted using a structured form and validated instruments.  
27 Participants were asked to indicate the best day and time for the remote interview. To avoid  
28 tiredness of participants, the interviews were conducted in two stages, each lasting  
29 approximately 30-35 minutes, and performed by the same person. The interval between  
30 interviews was less than 7 days.

31 The study flow is demonstrated in Figure 1.

32

### 33 **Instruments**

34

1 **Telephone - Montreal Cognitive Assessment (T-MoCA)**

2

3 The T-MoCA is an adapted version of the MoCA 30 administered by phone. It  
4 contains only the items that do not require the use of pencil and paper or visual stimuli, so its  
5 maximum score is 22 [45].

6

7 **Movement Disorder Society - Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS)**

8

9 The MDS-UPDRS is a tool to measure PD severity and progression based on the  
10 difficulties presented in the last seven days. In this study, only Part I and Part II were used  
11 [46].

12

13 **Fatigue Severity Scale (FSS)**

14

15 The FSS is a non-specific rating scale often used in PD. This instrument contains 9  
16 questions with answers ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree). The total  
17 score is the mean of the 9 questions, and higher scores indicate higher fatigue degrees [47].

18

19 **Rosenberg's Self-esteem Scale (RSES)**

20

21 The RSES comprises 10 questions to assess self-esteem. Questions are answered on a  
22 Likert-type scale of how many points range from strongly agree, agree, disagree, and strongly  
23 disagree [48].

24

25 **Parkinson's Disease Sleep Scale (PDSS)**

26

27 The PDSS is a specific scale for assessing sleep disorders in PD [49]. It comprises 15  
28 questions associated with sleep disorders based on the last week. The score ranges from  
29 always (0) to never (10), except for question 1, whose scale ranges from poor (0) to excellent  
30 (10). The maximum score is 150 [50].

31

32 **Dyadic Adjustment Scale (DAS)**

33

1           The DAS is a self-report tool to assess marital adjustment. It consists of 4 subscales of  
2 dyadic satisfaction, cohesion, consensus, and affectional expression, with scores ranging from  
3 0 to 5. The total score (sum of all questions) ranges from 0 to 151. Higher scores indicate a  
4 better relationship. A total score below 101 indicates dissatisfaction [51].

#### 5 6 **Beck Depression Inventory (BDI)**

7  
8           The BDI is widely used to screen for depression and to measure behavioral  
9 manifestations and severity of depression. The BDI comprises 21 questions about quality and  
10 depressive symptoms, ranging from 0-63 points (0-13 no depression, 14-19 mild depression,  
11 20-28 moderate, 29-63 severe depression). The total score is the sum of all values. The BDI  
12 has been described as a valid instrument to assess depressive symptoms in PD [52].

#### 13 14 **Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI)**

15  
16           The FSFI is a multidimensional self-report instrument that assesses women sexual  
17 function in the last four weeks [53]. It comprises 6 domains: desire, arousal, lubrication,  
18 orgasm, satisfaction, and pain. The 19 items of the FSFI use a 5-point Likert scale ranging  
19 from 1 to 5, with higher scores indicating greater levels of sexual functioning on the  
20 individual item. Desired domain is the only domain that can be used independently: scores  
21 equal or below 5 indicate the diagnostic criteria for Hypoactive Sexual Desire Disorder  
22 (HSDD) [54], and a score inferior to 26.5 indicates SD.

#### 23 24 **Sexual Quotient – Female (SQ-F)**

25  
26           The Sexual Quotient – Female (SQ-F) is an instrument designed to assess emotional  
27 and functional aspects related to the sexual performance/satisfaction of women in the last 6  
28 months. Through 10 self-report questions, it evaluates sexual desire and interest/desire,  
29 preliminary, excitement and harmony with the partner, comfort, and orgasm and satisfaction.  
30 Scores for each question range from 0-5 with higher values indicating better sexual  
31 performance/satisfaction. Scores equal or above 60 indicate SD[55].

#### 32 33 **Statistical Analyses**

34



1 Descriptive statistical analysis was used for demographic and clinical data. The  
2 Spearman Rank Order Correlation was used to test correlations among age, socio-economic  
3 classification, DDL, disease duration, disease onset, H&Y, T-MoCA, MDS-UPDRS I, MDS-  
4 UPDRS II, FSS, RSES, PDSS, DAS, BDI with FSFI and SQ-F and between FSFI and SQ-F.

5 Additionally, a multiple regression model as predictor variables included all factors  
6 that reached a significant statistical correlation with FSFI and SQ-F (response variable).  
7 Differences were considered statistically significant for  $p < 0.05$ . The statistical analyses were  
8 performed using Statistica Version 13 (TIBCO Software Inc. USA).

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

## Results

The participants' demographic and clinical characteristics are shown in Table 1.

Additionally, 45% of participants were in stage 1, 40% in stage 2, and 15% in stage 3 according to H&Y classification; 36% of participants presented EOPD, and 67% were in a postmenopausal lifetime.

### Short-term sexual health (STSH)

The mean score in FSFI was  $20.93 \pm 4.9$ , below the minimal score adopted to identify sexual dysfunction (cut-off score = 26,5). Furthermore, the mean score in the Desire domain was 3.63, a value below the cut-off score for HSDD diagnosis. The mean scores by domain can be seen in Table 2.

The total scores obtained by FSFI had a weak positive statistically significant correlation with RSES and BDI scores and a weak negative statistically significant correlation with DAS scores (Table 3).

### Long-term sexual health (LTSH)

The mean score in SQ-F was  $72.92 \pm 21.2$ , above the score adopted to identify sexual dysfunction (cut-off score = 60). The mean scores by domain can be seen in Table 4.

The total score obtained by SQ-F had a weak positive statistically significant correlation with T-MoCA and PDSS, a weak negative statistically significant correlation with T-MoCA, MDS-UPDRS I, a strong positive statistically significant correlation with DAS, and a strong negative statistically significant correlation with BDI (Table 5).

The variables DAS, BDI, and RSES were included in the multiple regression model, with only the BDI remaining as a predictor variable for the FSFI, which presented an  $R^2 = .10$  and  $Beta = .15$ .

1           Furthermore, the multiple regression model included the variables T-MoCA, MDS-  
2 UPDRS I, PDSS, DAS, BDI, and RSES. T-MoCA, and DAS scores remained in the final  
3 model as independent predictors with  $R^2=.36$ , and Beta= 2.67 and .47, respectively.

4           Finally, a moderate negative correlation was found between FSFI and SQ-F ( $r=.46$ ;  
5  $p<.0001$ ).

6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

## Discussion

Although SH is a fundamental aspect of the overall health and well-being of individuals, families, and countries [56], few studies have investigated SH in PwPD, particularly women. To the best of our knowledge, the present study was the first aiming to investigate the impact of the motor, non-motor, and social aspects on the short and long-term SH of WwPD by different but complementary instruments developed to assess sexual function.

Our results showed that, among all investigated factors, mental aspects, mainly depression and cognition, and social aspects as CRQ significantly impacted SH of WwPD. Surprisingly, age, disease onset, and disease severity measured by H&Y, disease duration, and DDL had no significant impact on SH. In addition, NMS severity and motor disability level measured by parts I and II of MDS-UPDRS respectively, also had no impact on SH.

Taken together, several considerations can be made based on the results.

In the present study, besides using a female-specific instrument (FSFI) that assess SH in the last four weeks, our findings are further strengthened by using the SQ-F, providing a complementary qualitative approach to female sexual function based on the last six months. Although the results of both instruments showed a moderate correlation between them and with depression, self-esteem, and CRQ, the SQ-F was correlated with a larger number of factors than FSFI, including cognition and severity of NMS. Furthermore, the results from multiple regression models confirmed that FSFI and SQ-F scores could be predicted by different factors: while depression severity was the unique able to predict the FSFI, the global cognitive capacity and that CRQ were the best predictors for the SQ-F. Based on this evidence, although most previous studies investigating SH in WwPD had used FSFI [12,16,20,27,30,36,43], the addition of an evaluation over a longer term by SQ-F may offer a more comprehensive disease impact on SH..

According to our findings, 88% of WwPD suffered from SD based on FSFI and 78% based on SQ-F and, according to the Desire domain of the FSFI, 88% of women meet the diagnostic criteria for HSDD. Among the studies investigating the SD in WwPD, Varanda et al. [16] found SD in 86,9% of WwPD and 79,0% in controls. Kotková and Weiss [36] found 75,8% of WwPD reported SD. Furthermore, Pedro et al. [12] showed that SD was reported in

1 77,8% of WwPD treated with DBS-STN (Deep brain stimulation – subthalamic nucleus).  
2 Finally, Raciti et al [30] found that only 53% of WwPD reported SD.

3 Most importantly, the observed absence of a correlation between SD and age or  
4 disease severity suggests that this kind of NMS exists even in young WwPD. Several studies  
5 showed SD and sexual satisfaction in PwPD worsened with aging and disease progression  
6 [13,14,15,16,34,35]. In the present study, 36% of women were younger than 50 years,  
7 attending EOPD criteria. However, there was no correlation between disease onset and the  
8 STSH and LTSH. Previous studies have shown that SD is a frequent NMS in EOPD and may  
9 be underestimated [18,20]. Despite the increased prevalence of SD in postmenopausal health  
10 women [57], we found no correlation between postmenopausal and SD, indicating that SH is  
11 an essential issue for WwPD regardless of age, disease onset, and disease stages. Thus, a  
12 proper therapeutic intervention should be available from the disease beginning until the  
13 moderate PD stages.

14 Dopaminergic medication has been correlated with SD in MwPD [5] and WwPD [14].  
15 However, in the present study, the DDL was not associated with SH. Varanda et al. [16] also  
16 found no difference in DDL and the presence or absence of SD in women with PD.

17 Depression severity was the unique factor correlated with STSH and LTSH, although  
18 able to predict only short-term sexual dysfunction. Previous studies that have investigated the  
19 relationship between SD and depression in PD showed that depression severity was  
20 associated with loss of libido sexual [24], sexual and marital satisfaction [8,36], decreased  
21 intimacy in women. The results from the two previous studies, which included only WwPD,  
22 confirm the negative impact of depression on SH. Welsh [34] showed that WwPD presented  
23 higher depression than controls, associated with decreased libido. Varanda et al. [16] showed  
24 that depression severity could predict SH in WwPD. Given that 54.9% of WwPD report  
25 depressive symptoms and 15.7% of them have major depressive symptoms [58], deepening  
26 the investigation of the relationship between depression and SD in PwPD is a critical issue to  
27 be investigated in further studies.

28 While depression was an independent factor in predicting the STSD, better global  
29 cognitive capacity and quality of couple relationship were the factors able to predict the  
30 LTSH. Although cognitive decline is one of the most prevalent NMS in PD [59], with about  
31 20% to 30% of PwPD already showing mild cognitive impairment at diagnosis time [60,61],  
32 studies investigating the relationship between cognitive decline and SH in PD are scarce.  
33 Hand et al. found no association between SD and cognition [19], while Kummer found no  
34 predictive value of cognition for libido loss, although both were correlated [24]. In both

1 studies, cognition was evaluated by the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE). The MoCA  
2 has been considered a more proper tool for assessing to PD's global cognitive capacity than  
3 MMSE. Thus, better MoCA sensibility for mild cognitive decline [62], may explain, at least  
4 in part, the present results. The slow decline in the cognitive capacity observed in PD may  
5 affect the LTSD but not the STSD. Supposedly, intervention to decrease cognitive decline  
6 may prevent the future decrease in SD. This issue should be investigated in further studies.

7 In the present study, DAS scores were correlated with short and LTSH, and better  
8 CRQ predicted better LTSH. A few studies investigated the relationship between CRQ and  
9 SH. Brown et al. showed that most WwPD and their partners had scores within the normal  
10 range for marital satisfaction. In contrast, the MwPD and their partners found the most  
11 significant marital dissatisfaction and that higher marital satisfaction was associated with  
12 better SD for both women and men [8]. Wielinski et al. have also shown that sexual  
13 dissatisfaction is correlated with relationship dissatisfaction in PwPD [39]. These findings  
14 reinforce the importance of offering intervention for the couple, instead of for the patient  
15 only, to improve or keep the CRQ even facing chronic and disabling disease.

16 Some previous studies have found associations between other NMS and SD [40].  
17 However, the MDS-UPDRS I score, although correlated with LTSH, had no predictive value  
18 in the present study. The same was observed for self-esteem: despite some features like  
19 drooling, excessive sweating, seborrhea, and hypomimia may decrease self-esteem [5], the  
20 RSES scores, although correlated with short and LTSH, had no predictive value for both.

21 The present study presents some strengths: the use of multidimensional generic  
22 instruments to assess STSH and LTSH, CRQ, depression, and cognition as disease-specific  
23 instruments that comprehensively assess motor and non-motor dysfunction in PD.

24 Our study has some limitations. First, the study's cross-sectional design may represent  
25 the principal limitation because of information based on data gathered for a specific point in  
26 time. However, including different tools to assess the STSH and LTSH may have minimized  
27 this limitation. In addition, the sample could not be large enough to allow a large  
28 generalization to the PD population. However, including participants from 5 different  
29 geographical areas, with sizable sociocultural differences, of a country with a continental area  
30 may have minimized this limitation. Finally, the absence of women in advanced stages with  
31 PD who reported active sexual life may also be considered a study limitation.

32  
33  
34

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

**Conclusion**

SH was impaired in most WwPD, regardless of age, disease onset or disease severity. Depression severity predicted the STSD, while global cognitive capacity and CRQ predicted the LTSD. Therefore, an interdisciplinary approach to improve depression, cognition, and CRQ and address complex real-life issues should be available for WwPD since the early disease stages to avoid the decline in SH.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

## Acknowledgments

This article was produced as part of the activities of the FAPESP Research, Innovation, and Dissemination Center for Neuromathematics (grant #2013/ 07699-0, S. Paulo Research Foundation). This article was supported in part by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior, CAPES, Brazil.



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

**Data Availability Statement**

The data included in this study are available on reasonable request to the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to the inclusion of information that could compromise the participants' privacy.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

**Author Roles**

(1) Research Project: A. Conception, B. Organization, C. Data collection; (2)  
Manuscript Preparation: A. Writing of the First Draft, B. Review and Critique.

K.C.C.N.: 1A, 1B, 1C, 2A, 2B

I.A.P.S.N.: 1B, 2A, 2B

B.R.A.S.: 1A, 1B, 1C

R.A.G.: 1C

T.S.M.: 1C

G.F.S.: 1C

B.E.A.S.: 1C

A.F.H.: 2B

A.C.R.: 2B

R.S.: 2B

M.E.P.P.: 1A, 1B, 2A, 2B

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

**Disclosures**

**Ethical Compliance Statement:** Participants gave informed consent, and the study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Federal University of Amapa, Macapa, Brazil (#CAAE 39971420.0.0000.0003) and conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration. We confirm that we have read the Journal's position on issues involved in ethical publication and affirm that this work is consistent with those guidelines.

**Funding Sources and Conflict of Interest:** This article was produced as part of the activities of FAPESP Research, Innovation, and Dissemination Center for Neuromathematics (grant #2013/07699-0, S.Paulo Research Foundation). The authors report no conflicts of interest.

**Financial Disclosures from previous 12 months:** None.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

## References

1. Bronner G, Aharon-Peretz J, Hassin-Baer S. Sexuality in patients with Parkinson's disease, Alzheimer's disease, and other dementias. *Handb Clin Neurol*. 2015; 130:297-323.
2. Contaldi E, Magistrelli L, Gallo S, Comi C. Striatal dopamine transporter imaging in Parkinson's disease drug-naïve patients: focus on sexual dysfunction. *Neurol Sci*. 2022;43(8):4769-4776.
3. Obeso JA, Stamelou M, Goetz CG, et al. Past, present, and future of Parkinson's disease: A special essay on the 200th Anniversary of the Shaking Palsy. *Mov Disord*. 2017;32(9):1264-1310.
4. Shalash A, Hamid E, Elrassas H, Abushouk AI, Salem HH. Sexual dysfunction in male patients with Parkinson's disease: related factors and impact on quality of life. *Neurol Sci*. 2020;41(8):2201-2206.
5. Bhattacharyya KB, Rosa-Grilo M. Sexual Dysfunctions in Parkinson's Disease: An Underrated Problem in a Much-Discussed Disorder. *Int Rev Neurobiol*. 2017; 134:859-876.
6. Politis M, Wu K, Molloy S, G Bain P, Chaudhuri KR, Piccini P. Parkinson's disease symptoms: the patient's perspective. *Mov Disord*. 2010;25(11):1646-1651.
7. Ng YF, Chen CY, Chia GT, Tan BBJ, Chan LL, Tan EK. The association between Parkinson's disease and Sexual dysfunction: Clinical correlation and therapeutic implications. *Ageing Res Rev*. 2022; 79:101665.
8. Brown RG, Jahanshahi M, Quinn N, Marsden CD. Sexual function in patients with Parkinson's disease and their partners. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 1990;53(6):480-486.

- 1 9. Picillo M, Palladino R, Erro R, et al. The PRIAMO study: active sexual life is associated  
2 with better motor and non-motor outcomes in men with early Parkinson's disease. *Eur J*  
3 *Neurol.* 2019;26(10):1327-1333.
- 4 10. Meco G, Rubino A, Caravona N, Valente M. Sexual dysfunction in Parkinson's  
5 disease. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord.* 2008;14(6):451-456.  
6
- 7 11. Wylie K, Kenney G. Sexual dysfunction, and the aging male. *Maturitas.* 2010;65(1):23-  
8 27.  
9
- 10 12. Pedro T, Sousa M, Rito M, Pereira R, Januário C, Moreira F. The Impact of Deep Brain  
11 Stimulation on the Sexual Function of Patients with Parkinson's Disease. *Neurologist.*  
12 2020;25(3):55-61.  
13
- 14 13. Moore O, Gurevich T, Korczyn AD, Anca M, Shabtai H, Giladi N. Quality of sexual life  
15 in Parkinson's disease. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord.* 2002;8(4):243-246.  
16
- 17 14. Bronner G, Royter V, Korczyn AD, Giladi N. Sexual dysfunction in Parkinson's  
18 disease. *J Sex Marital Ther.* 2004;30(2):95-105.  
19
- 20 15. Jacobs H, Vieregge A, Vieregge P. Sexuality in young patients with Parkinson's disease: a  
21 population-based comparison with healthy controls. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry.*  
22 2000;69(4):550-552.  
23
- 24 16. Varanda S, Ribeiro da Silva J, Costa AS, et al. Sexual dysfunction in women with  
25 Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord.* 2016;31(11):1685-1693.  
26
- 27 17. Özcan T, Benli E, Özer F, Demir EY, Kaya Y, Ayyıldız A. The association between  
28 symptoms of sexual dysfunction and age at onset in Parkinson's disease. *Clin Auton Res.*  
29 2016;26(3):205-209.  
30
- 31 18. Guo X, Song W, Chen K, et al. Gender and onset age-related features of non-motor  
32 symptoms of patients with Parkinson's disease--a study from Southwest China. *Parkinsonism*  
33 *Relat Disord.* 2013;19(11):961-965.

- 1
- 2 19. Hand A, Gray WK, Chandler BJ, Walker RW. Sexual and relationship dysfunction in
- 3 people with Parkinson's disease. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord*. 2010;16(3):172-176.
- 4
- 5 20. Vela-Desojo L, Urso D, Kurtis-Urra M, et al. Sexual Dysfunction in Early-Onset
- 6 Parkinson's Disease: A Cross-Sectional, Multicenter Study. *J Parkinsons Dis*.
- 7 2020;10(4):1621-1629.
- 8
- 9 21. Wermuth L, Stenager E. Sexual problems in young patients with Parkinson's
- 10 disease. *Acta Neurol Scand*. 1995;91(6):453-455.
- 11
- 12 22. Spica V, Pekmezović T, Svetel M, Kostić VS. Prevalence of non-motor symptoms in
- 13 young-onset versus late-onset Parkinson's disease. *J Neurol*. 2013;260(1):131-137.
- 14
- 15 23. Martinez-Martin P, Schapira AH, Stocchi F, et al. Prevalence of nonmotor symptoms in
- 16 Parkinson's disease in an international setting; study using nonmotor symptoms questionnaire
- 17 in 545 patients. *Mov Disord*. 2007;22(11):1623-1629.
- 18
- 19 24. Kummer A, Cardoso F, Teixeira AL. Loss of libido in Parkinson's disease. *J Sex Med*.
- 20 2009;6(4):1024-1031.
- 21
- 22 25. Sakakibara R, Shinotoh H, Uchiyama T, et al. Questionnaire-based assessment of pelvic
- 23 organ dysfunction in Parkinson's disease. *Auton Neurosci*. 2001;92(1-2):76-85.
- 24
- 25 26. Brown E, Brown GM, Kofman O, Quarrington B. Sexual function and affect in
- 26 parkinsonian men treated with L-dopa. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1978;135(12):1552-1555.
- 27
- 28 27. Ferrucci R, Panzeri M, Ronconi L, et al. Abnormal sexuality in Parkinson's disease: fact
- 29 or fancy? *J Neurol Sci*. 2016; 369:5-10.
- 30
- 31 28. Zhao S, Wang J, Xie Q, et al. Parkinson's Disease Is Associated with Risk of Sexual
- 32 Dysfunction in Men but Not in Women: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Sex*
- 33 *Med*. 2019;16(3):434-446.
- 34

- 1 29. Kinateder T, Marinho D, Gruber D, Hatzler L, Ebersbach G, Gandor F. Sexual  
2 Dysfunctions in Parkinson's Disease and Their Influence on Partnership-Data of the PRISM  
3 Study. *Brain Sci.* 2022;12(2):159. Published 2022 Jan 25.  
4
- 5 30. Raciti L, De Cola MC, Orтели P, et al. Sexual Dysfunction in Parkinson's Disease: A  
6 Multicenter Italian Cross-sectional Study on a Still Overlooked Problem. *J Sex Med.*  
7 2020;17(10):1914-1925.  
8
- 9 31. Stewart CB, Ledingham D, Foster VK, et al. The longitudinal progression of autonomic  
10 dysfunction in Parkinson's disease: A 7-year study. *Front Neurol.* 2023; 14:1155669.  
11 Published 2023 Apr 12.  
12
- 13 32. Weintraub D, Claassen DO. Impulse Control and Related Disorders in Parkinson's  
14 Disease. *Int Rev Neurobiol.* 2017; 133:679-717.  
15
- 16 33. Bronner G, Vodusek DB. Management of sexual dysfunction in Parkinson's disease. *Ther*  
17 *Adv Neurol Disord.* 2011;4(6):375-383.  
18
- 19 34. Welsh M, Hung L, Waters CH. Sexuality in women with Parkinson's disease. *Mov*  
20 *Disord.* 1997;12(6):923-927.  
21
- 22 35. Özcan T, Benli E, Demir EY, Özer F, Kaya Y, Haytan CE. The relation of sexual  
23 dysfunction to depression and anxiety in patients with Parkinson's disease. *Acta*  
24 *Neuropsychiatr.* 2015;27(1):33-37.  
25
- 26 36. Kotková P, Weiss P. Psychiatric factors related to sexual functioning in patients with  
27 Parkinson's disease. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg.* 2013;115(4):419-424.  
28
- 29 37. Celikel E, Ozel-Kizil ET, Akbostanci MC, Cevik A. Assessment of sexual dysfunction in  
30 patients with Parkinson's disease: a case-control study. *Eur J Neurol.* 2008;15(11):1168-  
31 1172.  
32

- 1 38. Rodríguez-Violante M, Cervantes-Arriaga A, Berlanga-Flores C, Ruiz-Chow A.  
2 Prevalence and determinants of depression in Mexican patients with Parkinson's disease. *Clin*  
3 *Neurol Neurosurg*. 2012;114(10):1293-1296.  
4
- 5 39. Wielinski CL, Varpness SC, Erickson-Davis C, Paraschos AJ, Parashos SA. Sexual and  
6 relationship satisfaction among persons with young-onset Parkinson's disease. *J Sex Med*.  
7 2010;7(4 Pt 1):1438-1444.  
8
- 9 40. Solla P, Cannas A, Ibba FC, et al. Gender differences in motor and non-motor symptoms  
10 among Sardinian patients with Parkinson's disease. *J Neurol Sci*. 2012;323(1-2):33-39.  
11
- 12 41. Koller WC, Vetere-Overfield B, Williamson A, Busenbark K, Nash J, Parrish D. Sexual  
13 dysfunction in Parkinson's disease. *Clin Neuropharmacol*. 1990;13(5):461-463.  
14
- 15 42. Bronner G, Cohen OS, Yahalom G, et al. Correlates of quality of sexual life in male and  
16 female patients with Parkinson's disease and their partners. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord*.  
17 2014;20(10):1085-1088.  
18
- 19 43. Bronner G, Peleg-Nesher S, Manor Y, et al. Sexual needs and sexual function of patients  
20 with Parkinson's disease. *Neurol Sci*. 2023;44(2):539-546.  
21
- 22 44. Hughes AJ, Daniel SE, Kilford L, Lees AJ. Accuracy of clinical diagnosis of idiopathic  
23 Parkinson's disease: a clinicopathological study of 100 cases. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*.  
24 1992;55(3):181-184.
- 25 45. Katz MJ, Wang C, Nester CO, et al. T-MoCA: A valid phone screen for cognitive  
26 impairment in diverse community samples. *Alzheimers Dement (Amst)*. 2021;13(1):e12144.
- 27 46. Goetz CG, Tilley BC, Shaftman SR, et al. Movement Disorder Society-sponsored  
28 revision of the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS): scale presentation  
29 and clinimetric testing results. *Mov Disord*. 2008;23(15):2129-2170.  
30
- 31 47. Valderramas S, Feres AC, Melo A. Reliability and validity study of a Brazilian-  
32 Portuguese version of the fatigue severity scale in Parkinson's disease patients. *Arq*  
33 *Neuropsiquiatr*. 2012;70(7):497-500.



- 1
- 2 48. Hutz Claudio Simon, Zanon Cristian. Revisão da adaptação, validação e normatização da  
3 escala de autoestima de Rosenberg: Revision of the adaptation, validation, and normatization  
4 of the Roserberg self-esteem scale. *Aval. psicol.* 2011; 10(1):41-49.
- 5
- 6 49. Chaudhuri KR, Pal S, DiMarco A, et al. The Parkinson's disease sleep scale: a new  
7 instrument for assessing sleep and nocturnal disability in Parkinson's disease. *J Neurol*  
8 *Neurosurg Psychiatry.* 2002;73(6):629-635.
- 9
- 10 50. Margis R, Donis K, Schönwald SV, et al. Psychometric properties of the Parkinson's  
11 Disease Sleep Scale--Brazilian version. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord.* 2009;15(7):495-499.
- 12
- 13 51. Spanier GB. Measuring dyadic adjustment: a new scale for assessing the quality of the  
14 marriage and similar dyads. *J Marriage Fam.* 1976; 38:15-28.
- 15
- 16 52. Gorenstein C, Andrade L. Validation of a Portuguese version of the Beck Depression  
17 Inventory and the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory in Brazilian subjects. *Braz J Med Biol Res.*  
18 1996;29(4):453-457.
- 19
- 20 53. Thiel Rdo R, Dambros M, Palma PC, Thiel M, Riccetto CL, Ramos Mde F. Tradução  
21 para português, adaptação cultural e validação do Female Sexual Function Index [Translation  
22 into Portuguese, cross-national adaptation and validation of the Female Sexual Function  
23 Index]. *Rev Bras Ginecol Obstet.* 2008;30(10):504-510.
- 24
- 25 54. Gerstenberger EP, Rosen RC, Brewer JV et al. Sexual desire, and the female sexual  
26 function index (FSFI): a sexual desire cutpoint for clinical interpretation of the FSFI in  
27 women with and without hypoactive sexual desire disorder. *J Sex Med.* 2010 Sep;7(9):3096-  
28 103.
- 29
- 30 55. Abdo, CHN (2006). Elaboração e validação do quociente sexual – versão feminina: uma  
31 escala para avaliar a função sexual da mulher. *Rev Bras Med* 63(9):477-482.
- 32
- 33 56. World Health Organization. Defining sexual health: report on technical consultation on  
34 sexual health. 2002; p4.

- 1
- 2 57. Addis IB, Van Den Eeden SK, Wassel-Fyr CL, et al. Sexual activity and function in
- 3 middle-aged and older women. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2006;107(4):755-764.
- 4 58. van der Hoek TC, Bus BA, Matui P, van der Marck MA, Esselink RA, Tendolkar I.
- 5 Prevalence of depression in Parkinson's disease: effects of disease stage, motor subtype and
- 6 gender. *J Neurol Sci.* 2011;310(1-2):220-224.
- 7 59. Roheger M, Kalbe E, Liepelt-Scarfone I. Progression of Cognitive Decline in Parkinson's
- 8 Disease. *J Parkinsons Dis.* 2018;8(2):183-193.
- 9 60. Lawson RA, Yarnall AJ, Duncan GW, et al. Stability of mild cognitive impairment in
- 10 newly diagnosed Parkinson's disease. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry.* 2017;88(8):648-652.
- 11 61. Santangelo G, Vitale C, Picillo M, et al. Mild Cognitive Impairment in newly diagnosed
- 12 Parkinson's Disease: A longitudinal prospective study. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord.*
- 13 2015;21(10):1219-1226.
- 14 62. Zadikoff C, Fox SH, Tang-Wai DF, et al. A comparison of the mini-mental state exam to
- 15 the Montreal cognitive assessment in identifying cognitive deficits in Parkinson's
- 16 disease. *Mov Disord.* 2008;23(2):297-299.
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- 29
- 30
- 31
- 32
- 33
- 34
- 35

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9

## 10 **Tables and Figure Legends**

11

### 12 **FIGURE 1**

13

14 **FIG. 1.** The schematic study designs.

15 T-MoCA, Telephone-Montreal-Cognitive-Assessment; MDS-UPDRS, Movement Disorder Society –  
16 Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale; FSS, Fatigue Severity Scale; RSES, Rosenberg’s Self-  
17 esteem Scale; PDSS, Parkinson’s Disease Sleep Scale; DAS, Dyadic Adjustment Scale; BDI, Beck  
18 Depression Inventory; FSFI, Female Sexual Function Index; SQ-F, Sexual Quotient – Female.

19

### 20 **TABLE 1**

21

22 **Table 1:** Demographic and clinical features of the participants.

23

24 DDL, Daily dosage levodopa; H&Y, Hoehn and Yahr Stage; T-MoCA, Telephone - Montreal  
25 Cognitive Assessment; MDS-UPDRS, Movement Disorder Society – Unified Parkinson’s Disease  
26 Rating Scale; FSS, Fatigue Severity Scale; RSES, Rosenberg’s Self-esteem Scale; PDSS, Parkinson’s  
27 Disease Sleep Scale; DAS, Dyadic Adjustment Scale; BDI, Beck Depression Inventory.

28

### 29 **TABLE 2**

30

31 **Table 2:** FSFI domain scores.

32

33 \*Variation item 14 = 0 – 5; item 15 and 16 = 1 – 5. FSFI, Female Sexual Function Index.

34

### 35 **TABLE 3**

36

37 **Table 3:** Correlation between FSFI, demographic and clinical variables.

38

39 FSFI, Female Sexual Function Index; H&Y, Hoehn and Yahr Stage; DDL, Daily dosage levodopa; T-  
40 MoCA, Telephone - Montreal Cognitive Assessment; MDS-UPDRS, Movement Disorder Society –  
41 Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale; FSS, Fatigue Severity Scale; PDSS, Parkinson’s Disease  
42 Sleep Scale; DAS, Dyadic Adjustment Scale; BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; RSES, Rosenberg’s  
43 Self-esteem Scale.

44

### 45 **TABLE 4**

46

47 **Table 4:** SQ-F domain scores.

48

49 SQ-F, Sexual Quotient – Female.

50

1 **TABLE 5**

2

3 **Table 5:** Correlation between SQ-F, demographic and clinical variables.

4

5 H&Y, Hoehn and Yahr Stage; DDL, Daily dosage levodopa; T-MoCA, Telephone - Montreal  
6 Cognitive Assessment; MDS-UPDRS, Movement Disorder Society – Unified Parkinson’s Disease  
7 Rating Scale; FSS, Fatigue Severity Scale; PDSS, Parkinson’s Disease Sleep Scale; RSES,  
8 Rosenberg’s Self-esteem Scale; DAS, Dyadic Adjustment Scale; BDI, Beck Depression Inventory.

9

10

FIG. 1. The schematic study designs.

T-MoCA, Telephone-Montreal-Cognitive-Assessment; MDS-UPDRS, Movement Disorder Society – Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale; FSS, Fatigue Severity Scale; RSES, Rosenberg’s Self-esteem Scale; PDSS, Parkinson’s Disease Sleep Scale; DAS, Dyadic Adjustment Scale; BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; FSFI, Female Sexual Function Index; SQ-F, Sexual Quotient – Female.

**Table 1.** Demographic and clinical features of the participants.

<b>Variables</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>Std. Dev.</b>
Age	53.45	8.3
Socio-economic condition	35.11	10.5
DDL	593.18	288.3
Disease duration	6.31	5.1
H&Y	1.70	0.7
T-MoCA	18.29	2.1
MDS-UPDRS I	11.90	7.7
MDS-UPDRS II	11.79	7.4
FSS	37.35	16.9
RSES	7.11	5.7
PDSS	98.47	25.2
DAS	103.65	20.4
BDI	11.89	7.9

DDL, Daily dosage levodopa; H&Y, Hoehn and Yahr Stage; T-MoCA, Telephone-Montreal-Cognitive-Assessment; MDS-UPDRS, Movement Disorder Society – Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale; FSS, Fatigue Severity Scale; RSES, Rosenberg’s Self-esteem Scale; PDSS, Parkinson’s Disease Sleep Scale; DAS, Dyadic Adjustment Scale; BDI, Beck Depression Inventory.

**Table 2.** FSFI domain scores.

<b>Domain</b>	<b>Question/factor</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>Mean/Std.Dev.</b>
Desire	1,2 (0,6)	1.2 – 6	3.63±1.1
Arousal	3,4,5,6 (0,3)	0 – 6	3.11±1.4
Lubrication	7,8,9,10 (0,3)	0 – 6	3.63±0.9
Orgasm	11,12,13 (0,4)	0 – 6	3.43±1.0
Satisfaction	14,15,16 (0,4) *	0.8 – 6	2.51±1.2
Pain	17,18,19 (0,4)	0 – 6	4.59±2.1
Total scores		2 – 36	20.93±4.9

\*Variation item 14 = 0 – 5; item 15 and 16 = 1 – 5. FSFI, Female Sexual Function Index.

**Table 3.** Correlation between FSFI, demographic and clinical variables.

<b>Variables</b>	<b>R</b>	<b>p</b>
Age	R =.037	p =.757
Socio-economic condition	R =-.054	p =.651
Disease onset	R=.049	p=.623
Disease duration	R =.005	p =.965
H&Y	R =.091	p =.443
DDL	R =.151	p =.205
Postmenopausal lifetime	R=.030	p=.762
TMoCA	R =-.214	p =.070
MDS-UPDRS I	R =.211	p =.074
MDS-UPDRS II	R =.063	p =.599
FSS	R =.115	p =.332
PDSS	R =-.069	p =.563
<b>DAS</b>	<b>R =-.236</b>	<b>p =.046</b>
<b>BDI</b>	<b>R =.324</b>	<b>p =.005</b>
<b>RSES</b>	<b>R =.150</b>	<b>p =.135</b>

FSFI, Female Sexual Function Index; H&Y, Hoehn and Yahr Stage; DDL, Daily dosage levodopa; T-MoCA, Telephone-Montreal-Cognitive-Assessment; MDS-UPDRS, Movement Disorder Society – Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale; FSS, Fatigue Severity Scale; PDSS, Parkinson’s Disease Sleep Scale; DAS, Dyadic Adjustment Scale; BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; RSES, Rosenberg’s Self-esteem Scale.



**Table 4.** SQ-F domain scores.

<b>Domain</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>Mean/Std.Dev.</b>
Interest/desire	1,2,8	0 – 5	10.08±3.3
Preliminary	3	0 – 5	4.14±1.2
Excitation/harmony	4,5	0 – 5	7.38±2.6
Comfort	6,7	0 – 5	4.98±1.6
Orgasm/satisfaction	9,10	0 – 5	6.86±2.7
Total scores			72.92±21.2

SQ-F, Sexual Quotient – Female.

**Table 5.** Correlation between SQ-F, demographic and clinical variables.

<b>Variables</b>	<b>R</b>	<b>p</b>
Age	R = -.092	p =.442
Race	R = -.009	p =.937
Disease duration	R = -.222	p =.060
Disease onset	R=-.029	p=.769
H&Y	R=.096	p=.340
DDL	R = -.216	p =.067
Postmenopausal lifetime	R=. -008	p=.936
<b>T-MoCA</b>	<b>R = .311</b>	<b>p =.008</b>
<b>MDS-UPDRS I</b>	<b>R = -.299</b>	<b>p =.011</b>
MDS-UPDRS II	R = -.152	p =.201
FSS	R = -.220	p =.063
<b>PDSS</b>	<b>R =.363</b>	<b>p =.002</b>
<b>RSES</b>	<b>R = -.273</b>	<b>p =.020</b>
<b>DAS</b>	<b>R =.520</b>	<b>p =.000</b>
<b>BDI</b>	<b>R = -.479</b>	<b>p =.000</b>

H&Y, Hoehn and Yahr Stage; Daily dosage levodopa; T-MoCA, Telephone-Montreal-Cognitive-Assessment; MDS-UPDRS, Movement Disorder Society – Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale; FSS, Fatigue Severity Scale; PDSS, Parkinson’s Disease Sleep Scale; RSES, Rosenberg’s Self-esteem Scale; DAS, Dyadic Adjustment Scale; BDI, Beck Depression Inventory.