

1 Research

2

3 The COVID-19 pandemic has increased health inequalities by
4 altering patterns of engagement with a mass participation event
5 after resumption post-lockdown

6

7 Andre S. Gilburn*

8 Healthy Environments: Sustainable Societies Research Group, Biological and Environmental
9 Sciences, University of Stirling, Stirling UK

10

11 **Corresponding author: andre.gilburn@stir.ac.uk

12

13 Short title: Impacts of the pandemic on physical activity continue after lockdown

14

15

16 Abstract

17 The global epidemic in physical inactivity has been exacerbated by lockdowns with
18 concerning health inequalities accentuated as a result. Studies are needed of post-lockdown
19 levels of activity to determine if there are lasting impacts beyond lockdown. This study uses
20 parkrun in Scotland as a model system to address this urgent question. Generalised linear
21 mixed models were used to identify patterns in the attendance, gender, age and
22 performance of 500,000+ parkrun participations in Scotland for the year before the pandemic
23 and the first year after lockdown. Total weekly attendance in Scotland had been increasing
24 year-on-year but fell by 13% from 7,649 (95% CI 7,275 to 8,024) in the final-year pre-
25 lockdown to 6,612 (95% CI 6,260 to 6,963) in the first-year post-lockdown. Attendance drops
26 were disproportionately larger at events with larger attendances prior to lockdown. There
27 was a disproportionate loss of female participants in more deprived areas. The gender gap
28 in participation had been narrowing before lockdown but widened from 54.02% (95% CI
29 53.85 to 54.19%) in the final-year pre-lockdown to 56.29% male (95% CI 56.09 to 56.49%) in
30 the first-year post-lockdown. The age of participants increased from 44.94 (95% CI 44.90 to
31 44.98) before lockdown to 46.58 (95% CI 46.53 to 46.64) after lockdown. The age gap
32 between the genders widened after lockdown particularly at larger events and in more
33 deprived areas. Performance was declining before lockdown but increased from 55.02%
34 (95% CI 54.96 to 55.06%) to 55.93% (95% CI 55.88 to 55.97%) after lockdown. The
35 pandemic has reduced attendance at parkrun in Scotland and the loss of participants has
36 been non-random increasing health inequalities affecting women, the least fit and those in
37 deprived areas. Some former participants are also now avoiding larger mass participation
38 events. Investment and management strategies will be needed to address these worsening
39 inequalities.

40 Keywords: parkrun, pandemic, social deprivation, simd, physical activity

41

42

43 Introduction

44 Variation in levels of physical activity have been found to be driving health inequalities
45 highlighting the importance of tracking levels of activity and identifying correlates of activity
46 among populations [1–3]. The COVID-19 pandemic had a major impact on levels of physical
47 activity [4–15]. Among the identified impacts of lockdown is an avoidance of larger events
48 found in runners in Greece [4]. A study tracking physical activity levels in the UK found it
49 declined 37% during lockdown, although the impacts varied with age, with older individuals
50 more likely to maintain their pre-lockdown levels of activity than younger adults [5]. The
51 impacts of the pandemic have also been found to vary with socio-economic background with
52 those in deprived areas finding it hardest to access places to exercise during lockdowns [6–
53 8]. By contrast, a study of levels of physical activity in Kenya found that people from higher
54 social classes were more likely to have reduced their activity during lockdown and this was
55 particularly the case for females [14]. A study of factors associated with physical activity
56 during lockdown in Australia noted the importance of connections to an exercise group for
57 older adults to maintain or even increase levels of activity [15]. The impacts of the pandemic
58 on levels of physical activity exacerbate the pre-existing problem with 1.4 billion adults
59 estimated to have been doing too little physical exercise prior to the pandemic [16]. This had
60 resulted in the promotion of wider participation in sport becoming a global priority [17]. The
61 negative impact of the pandemic on levels of physical fitness has only served to increase
62 this global priority. This leaves a key question. If lockdown impacted the demographics of
63 those engaging in physical exercise do those impacts extend into the post-lockdown era
64 [10]? Large-scale studies investigating changes in the patterns of exercising are urgently
65 needed to address this question [12].

66 One very large dataset on activity levels is the parkrun results database. parkrun provide
67 free weekly 5km events at nearly 2,000 locations generating data for close to 100,000
68 events annually. There is huge potential for using parkrun as a model system for
69 investigating changes in the demographics of those engaging in physical activity and
70 identifying correlates of activity. Several studies have investigated the parkrun results
71 database [18–21] and some studies have identified correlates of participation on a very large
72 scale [22–28]. Few studies though have investigated changes in the demographics of
73 parkrun participants over time [22,29].

74 A survey of the behaviour of previous parkrun participants during lockdown found reduced
75 activity levels [29]. Increased inactivity during lockdown was particularly evident in younger
76 adults, people in deprived areas and the least active [29]. Consequently, the changing
77 patterns in activity among parkrun participants during lockdown are consistent with those
78 identified in other studies [4–8] revealing the potential value of using parkrun as a model
79 system. As more than 50,000 parkrun events have taken place in the UK alone since
80 lockdown ended a huge database has been generated on post-lockdown activity levels at a
81 mass participation event that not only has a key aim of encouraging the least active to
82 engage in physical activity but is also actively involved with social prescribing [30–32]. This
83 allows a unique opportunity to investigate what lasting impacts lockdowns have had on
84 levels and correlates of physical activity after they have been lifted and how lockdown might
85 have altered the demographic of parkrun participants. This is particularly important as
86 lockdowns are likely to have increased inequalities in social prescription [33].

87 A previous study of all parkrun events in Scotland from 2004-2019 revealed various
88 changing correlates of participation with a narrowing gender ratio, reducing performance
89 scores and an increasing mean age of participants [22]. Another study of factors affecting
90 the likelihood of returning to parkrun for new participants in Scotland found that they are less
91 likely to return after attending larger events [28]. The pandemic could have increased risk

92 averse behaviour and exacerbated avoidance of larger parkrun events. The aim of this study
93 is to investigate how lockdown has impacted these changing demographics and correlates of
94 participation at parkrun in Scotland. Scotland has a range of different parkrun types from city
95 centre parks to remote island locations. Scottish parkrun locations also show considerable
96 variation in the level of deprivation of the surrounding areas in which they are located. This
97 makes Scottish parkrun events an ideal model system for investigating lasting impacts of
98 lockdown on the levels of physical activity by comparing characteristics of participants before
99 and after lockdown. Scottish parkrun events also vary considerably in their mean number of
100 attendees allowing an assessment of whether some former participants are not avoiding
101 larger parkrun events to reduce the risk of exposure to COVID-19.

102

103 **Methods**

104 This was an analytical study of aggregated publicly available secondary data. There was no
105 primary data collection and no active participants.

106

107 **Data sources**

108 The primary data source was the parkrun results for events that occurred in Scotland in the
109 year immediately prior to lockdown (16th March 2019 to 14th March 2020) and the year
110 immediately after the resumption (14th August 2021 to 13th August 2022). Data from 44
111 events were included. These were all the events that were in existence one year prior to the
112 start of the pandemic and which also returned after lockdown. The results for each event
113 were processed using an Excel macro which extracted gender, age category and age
114 graded performance score for all participants [34]. Age is provided as a 5-year cohort for
115 adults except for 18-19 year olds. Age was converted to a continuous variable by assigning

116 participants the mid-point for their cohort group. Only adult participants were included in the
117 study. Gender is assigned by participants. Only participants selecting male or female were
118 included in the study as age graded performance scores are not provided by parkrun for
119 other gender options. The Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation (simd) 2020 was
120 determined for the data zone within which each parkrun is located [35]. Scotland is
121 separated into 6,976 data zones which are ranked from the most deprived to the least
122 deprived, based upon income, employment, education, health, access to services, crime and
123 housing [35]. Event size was calculated from the mean attendance at each event for the year
124 prior to the pandemic.

125

126 Data analysis

127 The data were analysed using R x64 4.1.1 [36]. A generalised linear mixed model (GLMM)
128 of event attendance in Scotland in the year prior to lockdown and the first year after return
129 from lockdown was generated using the lme4 function [37]. Prior to modelling event
130 attendances were transformed to natural logarithms. This not only made the data fit a
131 Gaussian distribution but also allowed direct comparison between the rate of change in
132 attendance across events of different sizes. All continuous explanatory variables (date,
133 mean event size and simd ranking) were scaled to have a mean of zero and a standard
134 deviation of one. In addition, a binary variable denoting whether the event was pre- or post-
135 lockdown was included. Event location was included as a random effect. Minimum Akaike
136 Information Criterion was used to select the optimal model [37].

137 GLMMs were generated for gender, age and performance of participants for the participant
138 dataset. Event location was again used as random effect. A binomial error distribution was
139 used for the model of gender. The simd of the area within which event is located and the

140 mean attendance for the year prior to lockdown were included as predictor variables after
141 scaling to have a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1.

142

143

144 Results

145 The impact of the COVID-19 lockdown on attendance at Scottish
146 parkrun events

147 Prior to lockdown attendances in Scotland had been increasing exponentially (Figure 1) but
148 a comparison of mean total attendance for the last year of parkrun in Scotland prior to the
149 pandemic (7,649, 95% CI 7,275 to 8,024) and the first-year post-lockdown (6,612, 95% CI
150 6,260 to 6,963) reveals a more than 13% decline. A model of the attendance at individual
151 events revealed a significant interaction between lockdown and event size revealing that
152 declines in attendance were disproportionately larger at larger events ($\beta=-0.039$; $p<0.001$).

153

154 Fig 1. Total attendance on each parkrun day in Scotland from day 0, 6th December 2008 until
155 March 18th 2023.

156

157 The impact of COVID-19 lockdown on the gender ratio of participants at
158 Scottish parkrun events

159 A total of 554,696 participations at parkrun events in Scotland a year either side of lockdown
160 were included in the models consisting of 305,123 male participations and 249,573 female.

161 Prior to lockdown the gender gap in participation at Scottish parkrun events had been

162 narrowing [22]. A generalised linear model of gender ratio using a binomial error distribution
163 and including event location as a random effect revealed a highly significant increase (β
164 =0.088; $p<0.001$) in the gender ratio from 54.02% male (95% CI 53.85 to 54.19%) in the
165 year prior to lockdown to 56.29% male (95% CI 56.09 to 56.49%) in the year after lockdown.
166 An interaction term identified a significantly greater increase in gender ratio at larger events
167 after lockdown ($\beta=0.080$; $p=0.007$). Separating event size into small and large based upon
168 whether the scaled score for each event was positive (high) or negative (low) shows an
169 increase in the gender ratio from 52.87% male (95% CI 52.63 to 53.10) before lockdown to
170 54.96% male (95% CI 54.70 to 55.23) after lockdown at small events and an increase in the
171 gender ratio from 55.44% male (95% CI 55.18 to 55.70) before lockdown to 54.96% male
172 (95% CI 57.67 to 58.27) after lockdown at large events.

173

174

175 The impact of COVID-19 lockdown on the age of participants at Scottish 176 parkrun events

177 There had been a trend towards an increase in the age of participants prior to lockdown in
178 Scotland and a narrowing age gap between the genders [22]. A GLMM (Table 1) of the age
179 of participants identified an increase in age of participants from 44.94 (95% CI 44.90 to
180 44.98), in the year before lockdown to 46.58 (95% CI 46.53 to 46.64) in the year after
181 lockdown. A previous study reported an age gap between the sexes with male participants
182 being on average older than female participants [22]. The model found the age gap between
183 the genders has widened after lockdown (males: before lockdown 46.08 (95% CI 46.02 to
184 46.14), after lockdown 47.75 (95% CI 47.68 to 47.82); females: before lockdown 43.61 (95%
185 CI 46.54 to 46.67), after lockdown 45.08 (95% CI 45.01 to 45.16). Larger events had a

186 younger demographic, and this was more pronounced in female participants. More deprived
 187 areas had an older demographic than less deprived areas prior to lockdown although this
 188 association reversed after lockdown in female participants (Table 2). There was also a
 189 disproportionate loss of female participants in more deprived areas and male participants in
 190 less deprived areas after lockdown ($\chi^2=6.26$; $p=0.012$, Table 2).

191

192 **Table 1.** A general linear mixed model of the age of participants at Scottish parkrun events
 193 for the year before and the year after lockdown. Event location was included as a random
 194 effect. All continuous explanatory variables were scaled. Event size was the mean
 195 attendance at each event over the last year prior to lockdown.

196

Parameter	Estimate	SE	P value
Intercept	43.58	0.279	<0.001
Gender (Male)	2.563	0.045	<0.001
Lockdown (Post)	1.442	0.050	<0.001
Event size	-1.126	0.288	<0.001
Simd	0.437	0.259	0.010
Gender (Male)*Event size	0.443	0.035	<0.001
Lockdown (Post)* Simd	-0.173	0.051	<0.001
Lockdown (Post)*Gender (Male)	0.199	0.068	0.003
Gender (Male)*Simd	-0.002	0.046	0.962
Lockdown (Post)*Gender (Male)*Simd	0.286	0.068	<0.001

197

198

199 **Table 2.** The mean age and gender of participants at parkrun in Scotland in the year before
 200 and after lockdown in low and high deprivation areas. Simd was separated into high and low
 201 deprivation based upon whether the scaled score for each event was positive (low) or
 202 negative (high).

203

Lockdown	Simd	Gender	N	Mean	95% CI
Pre	high	Female	70 578	43.46	43.37 to 43.55
Post	high	Female	52 041	45.16	45.05 to 45.27
Pre	high	Male	84 190	45.90	45.82 to 45.98
Post	high	Male	66 929	47.54	47.44 to 47.64
Pre	low	Female	73 529	43.74	43.65 to 43.82
Post	low	Female	53 425	45.00	44.89 to 45.11
Pre	low	Male	85 104	46.26	46.17 to 46.34
Post	low	Male	68 900	47.95	47.85 to 48.04

204

205

206 **The Impact of COVID-19 lockdown on the Performance of Participants**
 207 **at Scottish parkrun Events**

208

209 There has been a trend towards a reduction in the performance of participants prior to
 210 lockdown in Scotland [22] as parkrun has become more inclusive to the less active. After
 211 lockdown that trend reversed with a GLMM (Table 3) identifying a highly significant increase
 212 in performance from 55.02% (95% CI 54.96-55.06%) pre-lockdown to 55.93% 95% CI 55.88
 213 to 55.97%) after lockdown. The model identified that performance was positively correlated
 214 with age, being male and event size. Significant interaction terms revealed a narrowing

215 gender gap in performance with increasing age, event size and levels of deprivation,
 216 together with a narrowing of the gender gap in performance after lockdown (males: before
 217 lockdown 56.90%; 95% CI 56.85-56.95, after lockdown 57.49; 95% CI 57.43 to 57.54;
 218 females: before lockdown 52.82; 95% CI 52.76 to 52.87, after lockdown 53.92; 95% CI 53.85
 219 to 53.98). The model also revealed an increase in the performance gap between high and
 220 low deprivation areas after lockdown (Table 4).

221

222 **Table 3.** A general linear mixed model of the age graded performance score of participants
 223 at Scottish parkrun events for the year before and the year after lockdown. Event location
 224 was included as a random effect. All continuous explanatory variables were scaled. Event
 225 size was the mean attendance at each event over the last year prior to lockdown.

226

Parameter	Estimate	SE	P value
Intercept	53.21	0.319	<0.001
Age	2.914	0.027	<0.001
Gender (Male)	3.485	0.036	<0.001
Lockdown (Post)	0.798	0.041	<0.001
Event size	1.014	0.326	0.003
Simd	-0.188	0.302	0.536
Gender (Male)*Age	-1.163	0.037	<0.001
Gender (Male)*Event size	-0.484	0.028	<0.001
Lockdown (Post)*Gender (Male)	-0.404	0.054	<0.001
Lockdown (Post)*Age	0.255	0.041	<0.001
Gender (Male)*Simd	0.156	0.028	<0.001
Lockdown (Post)*Event size	0.149	0.031	<0.001

Lockdown (Post)*Simd	-0.117	0.028	<0.001
Simd*Event size	0.312	0.289	0.286
Lockdown (Post)*Gender (Male)*Age	-0.420	0.054	<0.001
Lockdown (Post)* Simd*Event size	0.127	0.026	<0.001

227

228 **Table 4.** The mean age graded performance score of participants at parkrun events in
 229 Scotland in the year before and after lockdown. Events were separated into low and high
 230 simd based upon whether the scaled score for each event was positive (high) or negative
 231 (low).

232

Lockdown	Simd	Age Graded Performance Score	N	95% CI
Pre	low	54.70	154 768	54.64 to 54.75
Pre	high	55.34	158 633	55.29 to 55.39
Post	low	55.68	118 970	55.62 to 55.74
Post	high	56.16	122 325	56.10 to 56.22

233

234

235 Discussion

236 The findings are consistent with previous studies that have identified that COVID-19
 237 pandemic lockdowns reduced levels of physical activity particularly for the young, the less fit
 238 and those in most deprived areas [4–9,29]. The current study has identified that these
 239 patterns have continued into the post-lockdown period in a mass participation event in
 240 Scotland. The study has also identified some new correlates of activity and new patterns of
 241 association in existing correlates.

242 Overall attendances are well down on where they would have been had they continued to
243 increase at pre-pandemic rates. The models identify several changes in correlates with
244 participation levels after lockdown which help identify the changing demographics of parkrun
245 attendees. A previous study identified that among parkrun participants from before the
246 pandemic that the activity levels had particularly declined in younger adults, the least active
247 and those in more deprived areas during lockdown [29]. The current study reveals the
248 concerning finding that these impacts also extend into the post-lockdown period.

249 Furthermore, additional impacts were identified with a substantial shift in the gender ratio
250 with a higher rate of loss of female participants. These means that several existing health
251 inequalities have now only been exacerbated by lockdown but also that these impacts have
252 extended into the post-lockdown era.

253 A number of important correlates of levels of participation were identified that help shed light
254 on why some of these health inequalities have increased. The widening age gap between
255 the genders suggests that there has been a bias towards the loss of females amongst older
256 participants. The narrowing performance gap between the genders suggests that it is the
257 least fit female participants that have stopped attending. The widening gender age gap at
258 larger events suggests that there has also been a bias in the loss of older females from
259 larger events. This seems to be particularly the case in the least deprived parts of Scotland.
260 This could be related to older, less fit women avoiding more crowded parkruns to reduce
261 their risk of contracting COVID-19. Both age and fitness are associated with the increasing
262 risks of contracting COVID-19 [38,39] so it appears that older, less fit females could be more
263 risk averse and are avoiding busier events. This is consistent with a study in Kenya that
264 found that women in higher social classes were the most likely to reduce their levels of
265 physical activity during lockdown [14]. The increasing gender gap in age at events in the
266 least derived areas could be related to better awareness of the risks of contacting covid. The
267 finding that smaller events had the greatest increase in age also suggests that older
268 participants have altered their attendance patterns to avoid large crowds. This suggests that

269 parkrun and other similar event organisers should continue to provide additional events in
270 areas where attendances at existing events are high.

271 The study revealed a bias in age of participants with a younger demographic at larger
272 parkruns and those in more deprived areas. The lack of places to exercise in more deprived
273 areas might have pushed younger adults out of the exercise habit during lockdown [6–8].
274 These findings suggest it is increasingly important for governments to put in place measures
275 to encourage younger adults to participate in more physical activity post-lockdown [5]. The
276 same can be applied to those from more deprived areas and the less fit. parkrun is an
277 extremely effective and relatively cheap mechanism of improving public health and well-
278 being so government interventions to help parkrun make up for the time lost to the pandemic
279 and reverse its impacts are now required. Studies have shown that the health benefits of
280 moderate and mild sport are particularly high and that there is likely to be great value gained
281 from organisations such as parkrun in stimulating the less active to engage in more activity,
282 so public funding to support and aid recovery after lockdown is key to mitigate the impacts of
283 the pandemic [40]. Furthermore, a study in China has shown that physical activity before the
284 pandemic significantly improved the outcome of those infected with COVID-19 showing a
285 community and population benefit to physical activity which makes the population more
286 resilient to future waves of COVID-19 and other potential pandemic events [38]. Studies
287 have also shown for older adults how important the social aspect of being part of a regular
288 exercise group, this is something that parkrun excels at providing [15]

289 Studies have also found that those in more vulnerable groups were the most likely to
290 reduce their physical activity levels and avoid green spaces during lockdown [39]. The
291 findings of the current study are entirely consistent with this as it is the lowest performing
292 parkrun participants from before lockdown who are the least likely to have returned, yet they
293 are those most likely to benefit from participation. There needs to be approaches developed
294 to encourage those who reduced their level of physical activity as a result of fear of catching

295 COVID-19 back into engaging with more exercise. One key demographic affected by this
296 appears to be older women exacerbating another existing health inequality. More studies are
297 needed into the factors driving these demographic changes to better understand and put in
298 place measures to mitigate the impacts of lockdown of levels of physical activity.

299

300 **Conclusion**

301 This large-scale study reveals that the impacts of the pandemic on levels of physical activity
302 have continued into the post-lockdown era. These impacts are increasing health inequalities
303 affecting women, younger adults, the least fit and are having different impacts based upon
304 the level of social deprivation within an area. The study also shows how valuable the parkrun
305 results database can be for uncovering the demographics of engagement with a mass
306 participation event.

307 With respect to parkrun the pandemic has had a number of negative impacts in Scotland. It
308 has reduced attendances, it has increased the gender ratio towards males, it has increased
309 the mean age of participants and their performance levels. This suggests that the pandemic
310 has undone some of the important work that parkrun had done to increase inclusivity. Prior
311 to the pandemic the gender ratio of participants was moving toward equality and the
312 performance levels dropping year on year as parkrun became more inclusive and
313 successfully removed barriers to women and the least fit taking part in exercise. The
314 pandemic has reversed these trends. As parkrun is a very cheap and effective mechanism of
315 increasing fitness and reducing burdens of healthcare systems government investment into
316 parkrun is needed to help them overcome the negative impacts of the pandemic and help
317 communities become more resilient to future pandemics [41].

318

319 Ethics approval

320 The ethics approval was obtained from the ethics review board of the University of Stirling
321 (Reference No. EC 2023 14083 9598). There were no active participants in the study. This
322 was an analysis of aggregated publicly available secondary datasets.

323

324

325 Availability of data and materials

326 The datasets used in the study are available in the University of Stirling DATAStorre.

327

328 Funding

329

330 This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial
331 or not-for-profit sectors.

332

333 Competing interests

334 The author has competing interests and no connection to parkrun Global but is a keen
335 parkrun participant and volunteer.

336

337 Acknowledgements

338 The author acknowledges the use of data owned by parkrun Global. The data have been
339 accessed as a permitted act for independent non-commercial research purposes through fair

340 dealing legislation allowing access to publicly available databases. Only a tiny proportion of
341 the parkrun results database was accessed (data from just 44 of more than 2000 events).

342

343 References

- 344 1. Hallal PC, Andersen LB, Bull FC, Guthold R, Haskell W, Ekelund U. Global physical
345 activity levels: surveillance progress, pitfalls, and prospects. *The Lancet*. 2012;380:
346 247–257. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60646-1
- 347 2. Bauman AE, Reis RS, Sallis JF, Wells JC, Loos RJ, Martin BW. Correlates of physical
348 activity: why are some people physically active and others not? *The Lancet*. 2012;380:
349 258–271. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60735-1
- 350 3. Kohl HW, Craig CL, Lambert EV, Inoue S, Alkandari JR, Leetongin G, et al. The
351 pandemic of physical inactivity: global action for public health. *The Lancet*. 2012;380:
352 294–305. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60898-8
- 353 4. Maditinos Z, Vassiliadis C, Tzavlopoulos Y, Vassiliadis SA. Sports events and the
354 COVID-19 pandemic: assessing runners' intentions for future participation in running
355 events – evidence from Greece. *Tourism Recreation Research*. 2021;46: 276–287.
356 doi:10.1080/02508281.2020.1847422
- 357 5. McCarthy H, Potts HWW, Fisher A. Physical Activity Behavior Before, During, and After
358 COVID-19 Restrictions: Longitudinal Smartphone-Tracking Study of Adults in the
359 United Kingdom. *J Med Internet Res*. 2021;23: e23701. doi:10.2196/23701
- 360 6. Wong AY-Y, Ling SK-K, Louie LH-T, Law GY-K, So RC-H, Lee DC-W, et al. Impact of
361 the COVID-19 pandemic on sports and exercise. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Sports
362 Medicine, Arthroscopy, Rehabilitation and Technology*. 2020;22: 39–44.
363 doi:10.1016/j.asmart.2020.07.006
- 364 7. Lee S, Lee C, Xu M, Li W, Ory M. People living in disadvantaged areas faced greater
365 challenges in staying active and using recreational facilities during the COVID-19
366 pandemic. *Health Place*. 2022;75: 102805. doi:10.1016/j.healthplace.2022.102805
- 367 8. Kyan A, Takakura M. Socio-economic inequalities in physical activity among Japanese
368 adults during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Public Health*. 2022;207: 7–13.
369 doi:10.1016/j.puhe.2022.03.006
- 370 9. Park AH, Zhong S, Yang H, Jeong J, Lee C. Impact of COVID-19 on physical activity: A
371 rapid review. *J Glob Health*. 2022;12: 05003. doi:10.7189/jogh.12.05003
- 372 10. Jago R, Salway R, House D, Walker R, Emm-Collison L, Sansum K, et al. Short and
373 medium-term effects of the COVID-19 lockdowns on child and parent accelerometer-
374 measured physical activity and sedentary time: a natural experiment. *Int J Behav Nutr
375 Phys Act*. 2023;20: 1–15. doi:10.1186/s12966-023-01441-1

- 376 11. Walker R, House D, Emm-Collison L, Salway R, Tibbitts B, Sansum K, et al. A multi-
377 perspective qualitative exploration of the reasons for changes in the physical activity
378 among 10–11-year-old children following the easing of the COVID-19 lockdown in the
379 UK in 2021. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2022;19: 1–13. doi:10.1186/s12966-022-01356-
380 3
- 381 12. Salway R, Foster C, de Vocht F, Tibbitts B, Emm-Collison L, House D, et al.
382 Accelerometer-measured physical activity and sedentary time among children and their
383 parents in the UK before and after COVID-19 lockdowns: a natural experiment. *Int J*
384 *Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2022;19: 1–14. doi:10.1186/s12966-022-01290-4
- 385 13. Watson KB, Whitfield GP, Huntzicker G, Omura JD, Ussery E, Chen TJ, et al. Cross-
386 sectional study of changes in physical activity behavior during the COVID-19 pandemic
387 among US adults. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2021;18: 1–10. doi:10.1186/s12966-021-
388 01161-4
- 389 14. Magutah K, Mbuthia G. Physical activity engagement in Eldoret, Kenya, during COVID-
390 19 pandemic. *PLOS Global Public Health.* 2022;2: e0000339.
391 doi:10.1371/journal.pgph.0000339
- 392 15. Nosaka K, Fox-Harding C, Nosaka K. Impact of COVID-19 lockdown on physical
393 activity behaviours of older adults who participated in a community-based exercise
394 program prior to the lockdown. *PLOS Global Public Health.* 2022;2: e0001217.
395 doi:10.1371/journal.pgph.0001217
- 396 16. Guthold R, Stevens GA, Riley LM, Bull FC. Global trends in insufficient physical activity
397 among adolescents: a pooled analysis of 298 population-based surveys with 1.6 million
398 participants. *Lancet Child Adolesc Health.* 2020;4: 23–35. doi:10.1016/S2352-
399 4642(19)30323-2
- 400 17. World Health Organization. Global action plan on physical activity 2018–2030: more
401 active people for a healthier world. 2018 [cited 5 Apr 2023]. Available:
402 <https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/9789241514187>
- 403 18. Cleland V, Nash M, Sharman MJ, Claflin S. Exploring the Health-Promoting Potential of
404 the “parkrun” Phenomenon: What Factors are Associated With Higher Levels of
405 Participation? *Am J Health Promot.* 2019;33: 13–23. doi:10.1177/0890117118770106
- 406 19. de Vries L, Kotze N, Morton McKay T. Parks, participation and the Parkrun ‘move-ment’
407 in South Africa. *South African Geographical Journal.* 2022;104: 382–396.
408 doi:10.1080/03736245.2022.2087727
- 409 20. Haake S, Heller B, Schneider P, Smith R, Green G. The influence of neighbourhood
410 equity on parkrunners in a British city. *Health Promotion International.* 2022;37:
411 daab138. doi:10.1093/heapro/daab138
- 412 21. Stevens M, Rees T, Polman R. Social identification, exercise participation, and positive
413 exercise experiences: Evidence from parkrun. *Journal of Sports Sciences.* 2018;37: 1–
414 8. doi:10.1080/02640414.2018.1489360
- 415 22. Gilburn AS. New Parkrunners Are Slower and the Attendance Gender Gap Narrowing
416 Making Parkrun More Inclusive. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2023;20: 3602.
417 doi:10.3390/ijerph20043602


- 418 23. Reece LJ, Owen K, Graney M, Jackson C, Shields M, Turner G, et al. Barriers to
419 initiating and maintaining participation in parkrun. *BMC Public Health*. 2022;22: 83.
420 doi:10.1186/s12889-022-12546-w
- 421 24. Peterson BJ, Withers B, Hawke F, Spink M, Callister R, Chuter VH. Outcomes of
422 participation in parkrun, and factors influencing why and how often individuals
423 participate : a systematic review of quantitative studies. *Journal of Sports Sciences*.
424 2022; 1486–1499. doi:10.1080/02640414.2022.2086522
- 425 25. Quirk H, Bullas A, Haake S, Goyder E, Graney M, Wellington C, et al. Exploring the
426 benefits of participation in community-based running and walking events: a cross-
427 sectional survey of parkrun participants. *BMC Public Health*. 2021;21: 1978.
428 doi:10.1186/s12889-021-11986-0
- 429 26. Smith RA, Schneider PP, Cosulich R, Quirk H, Bullas AM, Haake SJ, et al.
430 Socioeconomic inequalities in distance to and participation in a community-based
431 running and walking activity: A longitudinal ecological study of parkrun 2010 to 2019.
432 *Health & Place*. 2021;71: 102626. doi:10.1016/j.healthplace.2021.102626
- 433 27. Stevinson C, Hickson M. Exploring the public health potential of a mass community
434 participation event. *J Public Health (Oxf)*. 2014;36: 268–274.
435 doi:10.1093/pubmed/fdt082
- 436 28. Gilburn AS. Predictors of successful return to parkrun for first-time adult participants in
437 Scotland. *PLOS Global Public Health*. 2023;3: e0001786.
438 doi:10.1371/journal.pgph.0001786
- 439 29. Quirk H, Haake S, Goyder E, Bullas A, Graney M, Wellington C. Change in health,
440 wellbeing and physical activity levels during the COVID-19 pandemic: a longitudinal
441 cohort of parkrun participants in the United Kingdom. *Health Promotion International*.
442 2022; daac012. doi:10.1093/heapro/daac012
- 443 30. Fullagar S, Petris S, Sargent J, Allen S, Akhtar M, Ozakinci G. Action research with
444 parkrun UK volunteer organizers to develop inclusive strategies. *Health Promot Int*.
445 2020;35: 1199–1209. doi:10.1093/heapro/daz113
- 446 31. Fleming J, Bryce C, Parsons J, Wellington C, Dale J. Engagement with and delivery of
447 the “parkrun practice initiative” in general practice: a mixed methods study. *Br J Gen
448 Pract*. 2020;70: e573–e580. doi:10.3399/bjgp20X710453
- 449 32. Haake S, Quirk H, Bullas A. parkrun and the promotion of physical activity: insights for
450 primary care clinicians from an online survey. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2022;72: e634–e640.
451 doi:10.3399/BJGP.2022.0001
- 452 33. Fixsen A, Barrett S. Challenges and Approaches to Green Social Prescribing During
453 and in the Aftermath of COVID-19: A Qualitative Study. *Frontiers in Psychology*.
454 2022;13. Available: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.861107>
- 455 34. parkrun statsgeek group | Version 4.6 of this macro that converts a parkrun results
456 page into a useful and function rich Excel table | Facebook. [cited 12 Apr 2023].
457 Available:
458 <https://www.facebook.com/groups/parkrunstatsgeeks/permalink/3166888586881628>

- 459 35. SIMD (Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation). In: Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation
460 [Internet]. [cited 12 Apr 2023]. Available: <http://simd.scot/>
- 461 36. R: The R Project for Statistical Computing. [cited 12 Apr 2023]. Available:
462 <https://www.r-project.org/>
- 463 37. Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S. Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using
464 lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software*. 2015;67: 1–48. doi:10.18637/jss.v067.i01
- 465 38. Lin R, Hu X, Chen S, Huang J. Sports Participation and Anti-Epidemic: Empirical
466 Evidence on the Influence of Regular Physical Activity on the COVID-19 Pandemic in
467 Mainland China. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*.
468 2022;19: 10689. doi:10.3390/ijerph191710689
- 469 39. Rogers NT, Waterlow NR, Brindle H, Enria L, Eggo RM, Lees S, et al. Behavioral
470 Change Towards Reduced Intensity Physical Activity Is Disproportionately Prevalent
471 Among Adults With Serious Health Issues or Self-Perception of High Risk During the
472 UK COVID-19 Lockdown. *Frontiers in Public Health*. 2020;8. Available:
473 <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2020.575091>
- 474 40. Wheatley D, Bickerton C. Valuing subjective well-being benefits from leisure activities:
475 informing post-Covid public funding of arts, culture and sport. *Annals of Leisure
476 Research*. 2022;0: 1–19. doi:10.1080/11745398.2022.2099436
- 477 41. Pronk NP, Faghy MA. Causal systems mapping to promote healthy living for pandemic
478 preparedness: a call to action for global public health. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*.
479 2022;19: 1–4. doi:10.1186/s12966-022-01255-7

480

481

482 **Tables and Figures**




483

484 Fig 1. Total attendance on each parkrun day in Scotland from day 0, 6th December 2008 until
485 March 18th 2023.

486

487

medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.30.23294836>; this version posted September 1, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



Figure