# Population study of post-stroke dysphagia

1	
2	
3	
4	
•	
5	Health factors associated with development and severity of post-stroke dysphagia: an
6	epidemiological investigation.
7	
8	Brittany N Krekeler <sup>1,2</sup> Heidi J P Schieve <sup>3</sup> Jane Khoury <sup>4</sup> Lili Ding <sup>4</sup> Mary Haverbusch <sup>2</sup> Kathleen
0	
9	Alwell <sup>2</sup> , Opeolu Adeoye <sup>5</sup> , Simona Ferioloi <sup>2</sup> , Jason Mackey <sup>6</sup> , Daniel Woo <sup>2</sup> , Matthew Flaherty <sup>2</sup> ,
10	Felipe De Los Rios La Rosa <sup>2,7</sup> , Stacie Demel <sup>2</sup> , Michael Star <sup>8</sup> , Elisheva Coleman <sup>9</sup> , Kyle Walsh <sup>2</sup> ,
11	Sabreena Slavin <sup>10</sup> , Adam Jasne <sup>11</sup> , Eva Mistry <sup>2</sup> , Dawn Kleindorfer <sup>2,12</sup> Brett Kissela <sup>2</sup>
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	<ul> <li><sup>1</sup>University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Department of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery</li> <li><sup>2</sup>University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Department of Neurology and Rehabilitation Medicine</li> <li><sup>3</sup>Wake Forest School of Medicine</li> <li><sup>4</sup>Division of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Department of Pediatrics, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine</li> <li><sup>5</sup>Washington University School of Medicine, Department of Emergency Medicine</li> <li><sup>6</sup>Indiana University School of Medicine, Department of Neurology</li> <li><sup>7</sup>Baptist Health South Florida, Miami Neuroscience Institute</li> <li><sup>8</sup>Sorkoa Medical Center, Israel</li> <li><sup>9</sup>University of Chicago Medicine, Department of Neurology</li> <li><sup>10</sup>University of Kansas Medical Center, Department of Neurology</li> <li><sup>11</sup>Yale School of Medicine, Department of Neurology</li> <li><sup>12</sup>University of Michigan, Department of Neurology</li> </ul>
27	Corresponding Author:
28	Brittany Krekeler, PhD, CCC-SLP
29	231 Albert Sabin Way, ML 0528
30	Room 6307C
31	Cincinnati, OH 45267
32	

Population study of post-stroke dysphagia

33

### 34 Abstract

35 **Background and Purpose:** Dysphagia is a common post-stroke occurrence and has been

36 shown to impact patients' morbidity and mortality. The purpose of this study was to use a large

37 population-based dataset to determine specific epidemiological and patient health risk factors

that impact development and severity of dysphagia after acute stroke.

39 Methods: Using data from the Greater Cincinnati Northern Kentucky Stroke Study, GCNKSS,

40 involving a representative sample of approximately 1.3 million people from Southwest Ohio and

41 Northern Kentucky of adults (age  $\geq$ 18), ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke cases from 2010 and

42 2015 were identified via chart review. Dysphagia status was determined based on bedside and

43 clinical assessments, and severity by necessity for alternative access to nutrition via nasogastric

44 (NG) or percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy (PEG) tube placement. Comparisons between

45 patients with and without dysphagia were made to determine differences in baseline

46 characteristics and pre-morbid conditions. Multivariable logistic regression was used to

47 determine factors associated with increased risk of developing dysphagia.

48 **Results:** Dysphagia status was ascertained from 4139 cases (1709 with dysphagia). Logistic

49 regression showed: increased age, Black race, higher NIHSS score at admission, having a

50 hemorrhagic stroke (vs infarct), and right hemispheric stroke increased risk of developing

51 dysphagia after stroke. Factors associated with reduced risk included history of high cholesterol,

52 lower pre-stroke mRS score, and white matter disease.

53 **Conclusions:** This study replicated many previous findings of variables associated with

54 dysphagia (older age, worse stroke, right sided hemorrhagic lesions), while other variables

55 identified were without clear biological rationale (e.g. Black race, history of high cholesterol and

56 presence of white matter disease). These factors should be investigated in future, prospective

57 studies to determine biological relevance and potential influence in stroke recovery.

58

Population study of post-stroke dysphagia

### 59 Introduction

The development of swallowing impairments (dysphagia) has been shown to have an impact on hospitalization timeline and comorbidities associated with acute stroke recovery.<sup>1</sup> Specifically, patients with dysphagia have poor nutrition and hydration, <sup>1</sup> both of which are critical for neural recovery after stroke.<sup>1,2</sup> Dysphagia is also associated with higher risk of pneumonia, a serious and deadly complication of swallowing impairment.<sup>3-10</sup>

65 Prior investigations of dysphagia after ischemic or hemorrhagic stroke have focused 66 primarily on characterizing specific impairments in swallow physiology based on lesion location 67 and size, <sup>11-13</sup> or reporting on specific outcomes of these impairments in this population. <sup>3,14</sup> These investigations are highly relevant for assessing and treating the disorder and 68 69 understanding disposition of these patients. However, patient-specific factors related to 70 increased risk of developing dysphagia after stroke, and patient characteristics linked to severity 71 of dysphagia, are not well understood. These patient-specific factors include both pre-morbid 72 health conditions (e.g. heart disease) and patient demographics (e.g. sex, age, race), which in-73 part derive social determinants of health (e.g. economic stability and health literacy/education)<sup>15</sup> 74 and are known to impact health outcomes at multiple levels.<sup>16</sup> Gaining an understanding of 75 underlying conditions, biological, and socioeconomic risk factors that may predispose patients to 76 developing swallowing disorders after stroke would provide opportunities for preventative care. 77 To date, there have been no study populations large enough to address these gaps.

The goal of this work is to investigate incidence of dysphagia after stroke in a large retrospective, population-based dataset to determine what patient-specific factors may influence dysphagia status, or severity of impairment. These data will guide future investigations to better understand how and why certain individuals develop swallowing impairments after stroke and what can be done in acute clinical management of stroke to help prevent development of swallowing disorders.

84 Methods

#### Population study of post-stroke dysphagia

85	The Greater Cincinnati Northern Kentucky Stroke Study (GCNKSS) has been previously
86	described in detail; <sup>17-21</sup> in brief, this is a population-based study using retrospective
87	ascertainment of physician adjudicated stroke cases in a sample of approximately 1.3 million
88	people across five counties in Southwestern Ohio and Northern Kentucky. All hospitalized adult
89	(age $\geq$ 18) ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke cases from 2010 and 2015 were identified using
90	International Classification of Diseases (ICD) stroke codes (ICD-9 430-436; ICD-10 I60-I69) at
91	15 area hospitals.

### 92 Case Definition – Dysphagia vs No Dysphagia

93 Dysphagia status was identified through Electronic Medical Record (EMR) review by 94 study team Registered Nurses. Individuals with documentation in the EMR of swallowing 95 impairment were included in the "Dysphagia" group. Given the known variability in swallowing 96 assessment practices both within and across institutions (e.g. timing of initial screening, formal 97 evaluation by a speech-language pathologist vs bedside screen by nursing staff, etc), <sup>22,23</sup> documentation of dysphagia varied depending on the case, the treating institution, and clinical 98 99 practices at time of stroke. Assessment of dysphagia status was also documented in the EMR. 100 This could include a formal swallow assessment (bedside and/or instrumental by a speech-101 language pathologist) or a nursing bedside screen. Only individuals who were determined not to 102 have dysphagia after one or more of these assessments were included in the "No Dysphagia" 103 group. Within the identified "Dysphagia" group, individuals were compared based on their need 104 for access to alternative nutrition during acute hospital stay. Individuals were categorized as one 105 of the following (Dysphagia PO Subgroups): 1) Dysphagia not requiring PEG/NG (i.e. dysphagia 106 management only required a modified texture and/or liquid diet), 2) Dysphagia requiring NG, 3) 107 Dysphagia requiring PEG. PO status was determined and confirmed through same chart review 108 procedures previously described. For cases where dysphagia status changed (i.e. progressed) 109 throughout inpatient stay, the most invasive intervention required was documented (i.e. PEG 110 was placed after NG).

#### Population study of post-stroke dysphagia

# 111 Patient Characteristics - Demographics, Clinical Presentation, Pre-Morbid Conditions

112 Patients included in the analyses were further characterized and compared (Dysphagia 113 vs No Dysphagia, and Dysphagia PO Subgroups). The following patient characteristics were 114 available for analysis, abstracted during the RN chart review process: 1) Patient demographic 115 and social determinants of health data: age, sex, race, ethnicity, and estimation of a 116 neighborhood Deprivation Index score; <sup>24</sup> 2) Clinical presentation at time of acute injury: National 117 Institutes of Health Stroke Scale [NIHSS], pre-stroke Modified Rankin Scale [mRS], Glasgow 118 Coma Score: 3) Stroke/lesion characteristics: type of case [ischemic/hemorrhagic]. lesion 119 location [hemisphere], ischemic stroke subtype [small vessel, cardioembolic, large vessel]; 4) 120 Comorbidities (pre-morbid conditions): history of hypertension, diabetes, elevated cholesterol, 121 coronary artery disease, myocardial infarction [MI], congestive heart failure [CHF], prior stroke, 122 prior transient ischemic attack [TIA], dementia, brain injury or tumor, history of smoking, current 123 smoker (within the past six months), alcohol use, heavy alcohol use, and any white matter 124 disease [WMD, new and/or old]. All data regarding race and ethnicity categorization were 125 abstracted directly from the electronic medical record (EMR); as such we are unable to 126 definitively determine the source of the EMR reporting of race and ethnicity, whether it was self-127 identification or assigned by the admitting medical professional.

### 128 Statistical Analyses

129 Study variables were summarized using descriptive statistics (mean and standard deviation or 130 median and interquartile range [IQR] and/or range for continuous variables and frequencies and 131 percentages for categorical variables) by study groups (Dysphagia status: Yes vs. Tested and 132 No; Dysphagia subgroups: Dysphagia Not Requiring PEG/NG vs. Dysphagia Requiring NG vs. 133 Dysphagia Requiring PEG; assessment: assessed vs. not assessed). Distribution was examined 134 for all continuous variables. Associations between categorical variables and study groups were 135 tested using either Chi-square or Fisher's exact tests. Associations between continuous variables 136 and study groups were tested using either two-sample t-tests, analysis of variance (ANOVA),

### Population study of post-stroke dysphagia

137 Wilcoxon rank sum tests, or Kruskal-Wallis tests, Comparisons between the three Dysphagia 138 subgroups were adjusted for multiple comparisons using Bonferroni correction for categorical 139 variables, NIHSS and Glasgow Coma Score and by applying Dunnett's test, following ANOVA, 140 for age and deprivation index. Multivariable logistic regression analysis was carried out for 141 Dysphagia status (Yes vs. Assessed and No) and dichotomized Dysphagia subgroups 142 (Dysphagia requiring PEG or NG versus Dysphagia not requiring PEG or NG). P-values less or 143 equal to 0.05 were declared as statistically significant. All analysis was carried out in SAS<sup>®</sup> 9.4 144 (SAS Institute, Cary NC).

### 145 Results

A total of 5592 (2010 N=2644; 2015 N=2948) physician adjudicated and confirmed hospitalized strokes from 15 area hospitals in the Greater Cincinnati and Northern Kentucky

148 region were reviewed.

149 Dysphagia Status and Dysphagia Sub-Group

150 Dysphagia status could only be ascertained from 4139 cases, which made up the final sample

151 for the analyses (**Figure 1**). Out of 4139, 1709 cases were determined to have dysphagia. Of

these cases, 944 did not require alternative access to nutrition (i.e. PEG/NG), 437 required NG,

and 328 required PEG placement (**Table 1**). In comparing the subgroups by year, there were no

differences between 2015 and 2010 in terms of proportion of individuals per Dysphagia

155 Subgroup.

156 Patient Characteristics – Demographics and Clinical Presentation

157 Patients with dysphagia were significantly older (mean 72 years vs 67.2 years

158 p<0.0001), a larger proportion were females (57% vs 52.2%, p=0.002), had higher median

159 NIHSS scores (8 vs 2; p<0.0001), lower median Glasgow Coma scores (14 vs 15, p<0.0001),

160 higher median pre-stroke mRS scores (2 vs 1, p<0.0001), and scored slightly higher on the

161 mean deprivation index (p=0.01) (**Table 2**).

# Population study of post-stroke dysphagia

162	Stroke lesion characteristics between Dysphagia groups differed (Table 3): the
163	Dysphagia group had a larger proportion of hemorrhagic strokes as compared to those with No
164	Dysphagia (16.5% vs 11.8%, p<0.0001); the Dysphagia group had a greater proportion of "any
165	right hemisphere" stroke when compared to the No Dysphagia group (51.3% vs 45.8%,
166	p=0.002); amongst ischemic stroke cases, the Dysphagia group had a smaller proportion of
167	small vessel strokes (9.3% vs 20.7%, p<0.0001), a greater proportion of cardioembolic strokes
168	(38.5% vs 21.9, p<0.0001), and a smaller proportion of strokes where subtype could not be
169	determined (32% vs 38.2%, p=0.0008).
170	Patient Characteristics - Pre-Morbid Conditions
171	A larger proportion of individuals in the Dysphagia group as compared to the No
172	Dysphagia group had a history of hypertension (84.5% vs 81%, p=0.004), a history of coronary
173	artery disease (36.2% vs 32%, p=0.0005), history of congestive heart failure (23.5% vs 15.6%,
174	p<0.0001), history of prior stroke (28.4% vs 25.6%, p=0.04), and a history of dementia (16.7%
175	vs 8.4%, p<0.0001) ( <b>Table 4</b> ). There were a larger proportion of individuals in the No Dysphagia
176	group who had a history of TIA (16.6% vs 13%, p=0.002), who were current smokers (28.2% vs
177	23.8%, p=0.002) who reported alcohol use (at least 1-2 drinks per day; 41.7% vs 34.7%,
178	p<0.0001), and those who had white matter disease (71.6% vs 68.5%, p=0.03).
179	Logistic Regression Model for Factors related to Dysphagia Status
180	The variables that were considered for the logistic regression can be found in Figure 2.
181	Variables that were included in the final model included age (per year increase), race (Black vs
182	non-Black), baseline mRS score (0-1, i.e. functional vs 2-5), NIHSS (per point increase),
183	Hemorrhage vs Infarct, lesion on the right side, high cholesterol, coronary artery disease,
184	presence of white matter disease, deprivation index, and year of stroke (2015 vs 2010). The
185	logistic regression model (ROC= 0.78; Figure 2) for dysphagia status revealed an increased
186	risk of developing dysphagia after stroke for older individuals (Age/1 year OR=1.022, p<0.0001),
187	people of Black race (OR=1.225, p=0.04), cases with higher NIHSS score (per point increase

# Population study of post-stroke dysphagia

188	OR=1.133, p<0.001), cases with a hemorrhagic stroke vs an ischemic infarct (OR=1.472,
189	p=0.0004), and having the stroke occur in the right hemisphere (OR=1.269, p=0.0011). Factors
190	showing decreased risk of dysphagia included having lower baseline mRS scores of 0-1
191	(OR=0.657, p<0.0001), having high cholesterol (OR=0.84, p=0.02), having white matter disease
192	(new or old, OR=0.801, p=0.01), and having been a case in 2015 (OR=0.82, p=0.007).
193	Discussion
194	In this large, population-based study we sought to characterize differences in patient
195	factors underlying dysphagia status for individuals after stroke and to determine which of these
196	factors were associated with an increased risk in developing dysphagia post stroke.
197	Less than half of our final sample with positive dysphagia status required alternative
198	access to nutrition via PEG or NG tube (Table 1). We found a greater proportion of individuals
199	with dysphagia compared to those with no dysphagia were older, female, and had higher
200	impairment scores upon stroke admission (i.e. NIHSS, GCS) and pre-stroke mRS (Table 2). It
201	has been well established that increased age results in age-related changes to swallow
202	movements and timing <sup>25-29</sup> that may predispose older individuals to developing swallowing
203	impairments after stroke. Regarding our finding associating female sex with swallowing
204	impairments after stroke, a recent study showed that women had more severe outcomes after
205	ischemic stroke as compared to men, which could result in worse swallowing outcomes after
206	stroke. <sup>30</sup> However, another study of 578 patients in Seoul, South Korea found no relationship
207	between swallowing outcomes after stroke and patient sex. <sup>31</sup> These alternative findings could
208	be due to regional and cultural differences. Published evidence about influence of racial and
209	ethnic background on stroke severity and outcome measures is mixed. <sup>32</sup> However, some
210	literature suggests an ethnicity-age interaction, which could not be examined in these data, due
211	to a small number of Hispanic individuals in our study population, could be influential. Other
212	evidence points to some impact of socio-economic disparities amongst specific ethnic groups
213	could be a contributing factor, <sup>33</sup> which is also supported by our data. Our data also showed that

#### Population study of post-stroke dysphagia

Deprivation Index scores were slightly higher in the Dysphagia group as compared to the No
Dysphagia group, however, these were not found to be influential in the logistic regression
(Figure 2). These discrepancies in the literature regarding the influence of sex and ethnicity in
stroke-related outcomes, in general and specific to swallowing, highlight that more work is
needed to investigate biological drivers for these differences.

219 Lesion type differed between the Dysphagia and No Dysphagia Groups, with a greater 220 proportion of hemorrhagic, and right hemisphere strokes in the Dysphagia Group, which is 221 supported by the literature. <sup>13,34,35</sup> Similarly, our findings of more dysphagia occurring with 222 increasing stroke severity (NIHSS, Glasgow Coma score,), initial pre-stroke status as measured 223 by mRS, hemorrhagic stroke, and right hemisphere lesions are also consistent with prior literature. <sup>13,35-40</sup> There have been some other studies, with smaller samples, <sup>41-43</sup> questioning 224 225 the influence of laterality in development of dysphagia, but our findings provide more evidence 226 towards hemispheric location of lesion being a potential risk factor for developing swallowing 227 impairments. Our findings for stroke subtype also demonstrated that there were a greater 228 proportion of cardioembolic strokes in the Dysphagia Group with ischemic stroke. This could be 229 related to the increased likelihood of cardioembolic infarcts resulting in hemorrhagic transformation, <sup>44</sup> and as noted, hemorrhagic strokes may be more likely to present with 230 231 dysphagia.

232 Regarding pre-morbid factors and development of dysphagia, we found that a greater 233 proportion of individuals with a history of coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure, prior 234 stroke, dementia, and hypertension in the Dysphagia Group as compared to the No Dysphagia 235 Group. <sup>45,46</sup> Alcohol use, current cigarette smoking status, high cholesterol and prior TIA were 236 also significantly different between the groups, with a higher proportion of individuals in the No 237 Dysphagia group. While prior studies don't directly study effects of alcohol consumption on development of dysphagia, alcohol use is known as risk factor for stroke.<sup>47,48</sup> However, studies 238 239 have also shown that moderate alcohol use may reduce risk of ischemic stroke, <sup>49</sup> and that

#### Population study of post-stroke dysphagia

alcohol use does not affect stroke severity or outcomes after stroke.<sup>50</sup> These mixed results 240 241 suggest that there may be a more complex relationship between alcohol consumption and 242 stroke-related recovery, including swallowing, that has yet to be fully discovered. 243 Prior literature clearly demonstrate that individuals with cardiac conditions and 244 dysphagia have a more difficult recovery and often poorer outcomes.<sup>51,52</sup> However, it is difficult 245 to determine whether these cardiac conditions made patients more susceptible to developing 246 dysphagia after stroke simply because these individuals are more medically compromised, or if 247 there is a causal relationship between these conditions and swallowing impairments. In fact, our 248 logistic regression results did not indicate increased risk of dysphagia development for patients 249 with a history of coronary artery disease after accounting for other potential risk factors (**Table** 250 **4**), which may indicate the former hypothesis being more explanatory of these findings.

251 Other factors that were predictive of developing dysphagia after stroke included 252 increased age, Black race, higher NIHSS score at presentation, having a hemorrhagic stroke 253 (vs infarct), and right hemispheric stroke. Factors associated with decreased risk included 254 patients having a history of high cholesterol, lower pre-stroke mRS, and any white matter 255 disease detected on clinical imaging. Several findings were either surprising, or are still unclear 256 in their meaning. As discussed, literature on ethnic and racial disparities in stroke severity and 257 post-stroke care shows very mixed evidence and appears to be influenced by regional location 258 and/or size of study. Prior published data have indicated that Black individuals have more 259 severe strokes acutely than White individuals. <sup>53</sup> Current evidence provided in our study 260 provides further emphasis of need for better understanding contributions of biological vs 261 socioeconomic disparity to stroke-related outcomes in Black patients vs other racial categories. 54 262

263 The findings regarding hyperlipidemia and any evidence of white matter disease on 264 clinical stroke imaging being protective for risk of developing dysphagia after stroke were also 265 unexpected. One hypothesis regarding the relationship between hyperlipidemia and lesser risk

### Population study of post-stroke dysphagia

266 of dysphagia could be due to use of statin medications in this population. Literature supports the 267 possibility that use of statins pre-stroke may reduce severity of stroke.<sup>55</sup> One study specifically 268 looking at effects of statin therapy and development of post-stroke pneumonia suggested that 269 patients who were using statins prior to admission for stroke and were treated with thrombolysis 270 during admission were less likely to develop a pneumonia.<sup>56</sup> This finding should be explored 271 more specifically in future studies of post-stroke dysphagia development, as this could be a 272 potential protective treatment for individuals at risk of both stroke and dysphagia. In terms of any 273 evidence of white matter disease serving as protective, this could be an incidental finding driven 274 by interdependency among the many factors explored in this study. Given that we were unable 275 to measure new white matter disease in these patients at the time of clinical imaging (i.e. 276 differentiate new WMD from existing WMD before acute admission), it is difficult to tease out the 277 meaning of this particular finding. Design of future studies of white matter disease and 278 dysphagia could potentially include a cohort of repeat stroke patients with available prior clinical 279 imaging in an attempt to better understand the relationship between existing and new WMD in 280 post-stroke dysphagia.

# 281 Limitations

282 The primary limitations of this study are due to the nature of retrospective analysis of data 283 collected as part of a large data set; therefore, several broad and more nuanced factors were 284 not available for use in our data analysis for both epidemiological factors and patient medical 285 history. Most notably, this included the type of medical professional completing dysphagia 286 evaluation and/or screening (e.g. speech-language pathologist or nursing professional). Further, 287 the source of patient demographic factors (i.e. self-report vs determination by medical 288 professional) related to race and ethnicity are not able to be determined in this type of 289 retrospective dataset. These factors may have impacted classification of patients within certain 290 groupings in our analysis. Further, the inability to differentiate new vs old WMD limits our ability 291 to fully interpret the role of WMD in development of dysphagia after stroke.

Population study of post-stroke dysphagia

# 292 Conclusions

- 293 This large, population-based study confirms several factors that have been frequently
- 294 demonstrated in the literature to be associated with developing dysphagia after stroke, including
- 295 older age, dementia status, more severe stroke, and lesion type and location. However, other
- factors emerged that are not clear in their biological meaning or association, particularly findings
- 297 related to certain racial/ethnic groups being significant factors for dysphagia development after
- stroke. These and other factors indicating a reduced risk, hyperlipidemia and any presence of
- 299 white matter disease, should be considered in future prospective work to better understand
- 300 these relationships and how these conditions may affect acute stroke recovery in the setting of
- 301 dysphagia.

Population study of post-stroke dysphagia

- 303 **Sources of Funding:** National Institute of Neurologic Disorders and Stroke,
- 304 R01NS030678.
- 305 **Disclosures**:
- 306 BN Krekeler: Reports research support from the National Institutes of Health
- 307 NIH/NCMRR/NICHD
- 308 HJP Schieve: No relevant disclosures
- 309 J Khoury: Reports research support from the National Institutes of Health NIH/NINDS
- 310 L Ding: No relevant disclosures
- 311 M Haverbusch: Reports research support from the National Institutes of Health NIH/NINDS
- 312 K Alwell: No relevant disclosures
- 313 O Adeoye: Reports research support from the National Institutes of Health NIH/NINDS and
- 314 Equity Holder and Co-Founder Sense Diagnostics, Inc.
- 315 S Ferioloi: No relevant disclosures
- 316 J Mackey: Reports research support from the National Institutes of Health NIH/NINDS
- 317 D Woo<sup>-</sup> Reports research support from the National Institutes of Health NIH/NINDS
- 318 M Flaherty: Speaker's bureau- CSL Behring and Alexion, Co-founder, employee, and equity
- 319 holder: Sense Diagnostics, Inc., Clinical trial endpoint adjudication committee member:
- 320 Boehringer Ingelheim and LG Chem
- 321 F De Los Rios La Rosa:
- 322 S Demel: No relevant disclosures
- 323 M Star: No relevant disclosures
- 324 E Coleman: No relevant disclosures
- 325 K Walsh: AstraZeneca: Speaker's Bureau American Heart Association, NIH, Sense Diagnostics
- 326 LLC, Jan Medical Inc: Grant Funding/Research Support
- 327 S Slavin: No relevant disclosures
- 328 A Jasne: No relevant disclosures

Population study of post-stroke dysphagia

- 329 E Mistry: Reports research support from the National Institutes of Health NIH/NINDS
- 330 D Kleindorfer: No relevant disclosures
- 331 B Kissela: Reports research support from the National Institutes of Health NIH/NINDS

332

333

334

Population study of post-stroke dysphagia

# 336 **Table 1**. Dysphagia Subgroup Status by Year

337

Dysphagia Subgroup	Dysphagia Not Requiring PEG/NG	Dysphagia Requiring NG	Dysphagia Requiring PEG
n = 1709	n =944	n = 437	n = 328
Year			
<b>2010</b> (n=782)	406 (51.9%)	223 (28.5%)	153 (19.6%)
<b>2015</b> (n=927)	538 (58.0%)	214 (23.1%)	175 (18.9%)
p-value	0.03	0.03	1.0
(2010 vs 2015)			

\*All p-values are Bonferroni adjusted

338

Population study of post-stroke dysphagia

340 <b>Table 2.</b> Demographics and Clinical F	Presentation by Dysphagia Status
---	----------------------------------

	Dysphagia	No Dysphagia	p-value
Ν	1709	2430	
Age, year, mean (std)	72.0 (14.7)	67.2 (14.8)	<.0001
Race			0.06
White + other	1278 (74.8%)	1877 (77.3%)	
Black	431 (25.2%)	551 (22.7%)	
Unknown*	1 (0.06%)	1 (0.04%)	
Ethnicity			0.02
Hispanic	6 (0.4%)	14 (0.6%)	
Non-Hispanic	1211 (70.9%)	1804 (74.3%)	
Unknown	492 (28.8%)	611 (25.2%)	
Sex (M vs F)			0.002
Males	735 (43.0%)	1161 (47.8%)	
Females	974 (57.0%)	1268 (52.2%)	
Baseline NIHSS			<.0001
Median (25 <sup>th</sup> , 75 <sup>th</sup> %ile)	8 (3, 16)	2 (1, 5)	
[min, max]	[0, 40]	[0, 39]	
Glasgow Coma Score			<.0001
Median (25 <sup>th</sup> , 75 <sup>th</sup> %ile)	14 (11, 15)	15 (15,15)	
[min, max]	[3, 15]	[3, 15]	
Baseline mRS			<.0001
Median (25 <sup>th</sup> , 75 <sup>th</sup> %ile)	2 (0, 3)	1 (0, 3)	
[min, max]	[0, 5]	[0, 5]	
Baseline mRS 0-1	610 (35.8%)	1280 (52.7%)	<.0001
Deprivation Index, mean (std)	0.38 (0.13)	0.37 (0.13)	0.01

\*Unknown not included in statistical comparison

341

342

Population study of post-stroke dysphagia

# 344 **Table 3.** Lesion Characteristics by Dysphagia Status

	1	1	345
	Dysphagia	No Dysphagia	p-value
Ν	1709	2430	
Type of Case			<.0001*
Infarct	1426 (83.4%)	2142 (88.1%)	
Hemorrhagic (ICH/SAH)	281 (16.5%)	287 (11.8%)	
Unknown†	2 (0.1%)	1 (0.03%)	
Lesion Location(s)			<.0001*
Left Hemisphere only	681 (39.8%)	1017 (41.8%)	
Any Left Hemisphere	946 (55.4%)	1292 (53.2%)	0.64**
Right Hemisphere only	610 (35.7%)	854 (35.1%)	
Any Right Hemisphere	877 (51.3%)	1113 (45.8%)	0.002**
Brainstem only	4 (0.2%)	7 (0.3%)	
Any Brainstem	153 (9.0%)	187 (7.7%)	0.57**
Mixed Hemispheric (L+R only)	171 (10.0%)	165 (6.8%)	
Mixed (L and/or R + brainstem)	149 (8.7%)	180 (7.4%)	
Unknown	94 (5.5%)	207 (8.5%)	
Stroke subtype Infarct only			<.0001*
Small vessel (1)	132 (9.3%)	444 (20.7%)	<.0001**
Cardioembolic (2)	549 (38.5%)	470 (21.9%)	<.0001**
Large vessel (3)	189 (13.3%)	294 (13.7%)	0.97**
Other identified (5)	99 (7.0%)	116 (5.4%)	0.24**
Undetermined (8)	456 (32.0%)	818 (38.2%)	0.0008**

\*overall significance; \*\*after correcting for multiple testing; <u>+</u>unknown not included in the analysis

Population study of post-stroke dysphagia

# **Table 4.** Pre-Morbid Conditions by Dysphagia Status

	Dysphagia	No Dysphagia	p-value
Ν	1709	2430	
History of Hypertension	1444 (84.5%)	1969 (81.0%)	0.004
History of Diabetes	611 (35.8%)	870 (35.8%)	0.97
History of Elevated Cholesterol	944 (55.2%)	1405 (57.8%)	0.10
Coronary Heart Disease	794 (46.5%)	938 (38.6%)	<.0001
History of Coronary Artery Disease	618 (36.2%)	777 (32.0%)	0.005
History of Myocardial Infarction	255 (14.9%)	341 (14.0%)	0.42
History of Congestive Heart Failure	401 (23.5%)	379 (15.6%)	<.0001
Prior Stroke	486 (28.4%)	621 (25.6%)	0.04
Prior TIA	223 (13.0%)	404 (16.6%)	0.002
History of Dementia	286 (16.7%)	204 (8.4%)	<.0001
History of Brain Injury/Tumor	12 (0.7%)	15 (0.6%)	0.84
History of Smoking (Cigarettes)	949 (55.5%)	1400 (57.6%)	0.18
Current Smoker (Cigarettes)	407 (23.8%)	686 (28.2%)	0.002
Alcohol Use	593 (34.7%)	1013 (41.7%)	<.0001
Heavy Alcohol use	159 (9.3%)	212 (8.7%)	0.52
White Matter Disease (any)	1171 (68.5%)	1739 (71.6%)	0.03

# Population study of post-stroke dysphagia

- 350 **Figure 1.** Number of Strokes included from Greater Cincinnati Northern Kentucky Stroke Study
- 351 in 2010 and 2015



Population study of post-stroke dysphagia





354

Variable	Adjusted	Lower	Unner	n-value
Vallable	Odds Ratio	95% CI	95% CI	p-value
	(aOR)			
Age / 1 year	1.022	1.016	1.028	<.0001
Black Race	1.225	1.013	1.481	0.04
Baseline mRS 0-1	0.657	0.562	0.769	<.0001
NIHSS / 1 point increase	1.133	1.120	1.147	<.0001
Hemorrhage vs Infarct	1.472	1.189	1.822	0.0004
Right hemispheric stroke	1.269	1.100	1.463	0.0011
High Cholesterol	0.840	0.721	0.978	0.02
Coronary Artery Disease	1.153	0.990	1.343	0.07
White Matter Disease (any)	0.801	0.676	0.949	0.01
Deprivation Index	1.673	0.904	3.096	0.10
Year 2015	0.820	0.710	0.947	0.007

Population study of post-stroke dysphagia

#### 356 References

- 357 1. Crary MA, Humphrey JL, Carnaby-Mann G, Sambandam R, Miller L, Silliman S. 358 Dysphagia, nutrition, and hydration in ischemic stroke patients at admission and 359 discharge from acute care. Dysphagia. 2013;28:69-76.
- 2. 360 Ojo O, Brooke J. The use of enteral nutrition in the management of stroke. *Nutrients*. 361 2016;8:827.
- 362 3. Martino R, Foley N, Bhogal S, Diamant N, Speechley M, Teasell R. Dysphagia after 363 stroke: incidence, diagnosis, and pulmonary complications. stroke. 2005;36:2756-2763.
- 364 4. Perry L, Love CP. Screening for dysphagia and aspiration in acute stroke: a systematic 365 review. Dysphagia. 2001;16:7-18.
- 366 5. Eslick GD, Talley N. Dysphagia: epidemiology, risk factors and impact on quality of life-a 367 population-based study. Alimentary pharmacology & therapeutics. 2008;27:971-979.
- 368 6. Humbert IA, Robbins J. Dysphagia in the elderly. *Physical medicine and rehabilitation* 369 clinics of North America. 2008:19:853-866.
- 7. 370 Altman KW, Yu G-P, Schaefer SD. Consequence of dysphagia in the hospitalized 371 patient: impact on prognosis and hospital resources. Archives of Otolaryngology-Head & 372 Neck Surgery. 2010;136:784-789.
- 373 8. Cabre M, Serra-Prat M, Palomera E, Almirall J, Pallares R, Clavé P. Prevalence and 374 prognostic implications of dysphagia in elderly patients with pneumonia. Age and ageing. 375 2010;39:39-45.
- 376 9. Cichero JA, Altman KW. Definition, prevalence and burden of oropharyngeal dysphagia: 377 a serious problem among older adults worldwide and the impact on prognosis and 378 hospital resources. In: Stepping stones to living well with dysphagia. Karger Publishers; 379 2012:1-11.
- 380 10. Cohen DL, Roffe C, Beavan J, Blackett B, Fairfield CA, Hamdy S, Havard D, McFarlane 381 M, McLauglin C, Randall M. Post-stroke dysphagia: A review and design considerations 382 for future trials. International Journal of Stroke. 2016;11:399-411.
- 383 11. Wilmskoetter J, Bonilha L, Martin-Harris B, Elm JJ, Horn J, Bonilha HS. Mapping acute 384 lesion locations to physiological swallow impairments after stroke. NeuroImage: Clinical. 385 2019;22:101685.
- 386 12. Wilmskoetter J, Martin-Harris B, Pearson Jr WG, Bonilha L, Elm JJ, Horn J, Bonilha HS. 387 Differences in swallow physiology in patients with left and right hemispheric strokes. 388 Physiology & behavior. 2018;194:144-152.
- 389 13. Daniels SK, Pathak S, Mukhi SV, Stach CB, Morgan RO, Anderson JA. The relationship 390 between lesion localization and dysphagia in acute stroke. Dysphagia. 2017;32:777-784 391 @ 1432-0460.
- 392 14. Mann G, Hankey GJ, Cameron D. Swallowing function after stroke: prognosis and 393 prognostic factors at 6 months. Stroke. 1999;30:744-748.
- 394 15. Kunitz SJ. Sex, race and social role—history and the social determinants of health. 395 International Journal of Epidemiology. 2006;36:3-10. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyl296
- 396 16. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Social Determinants of Health; Healthy 397 People 2030. Retrieved from https://health.gov/healthypeople/objectives-anddata/social-determinants-health 398
- 399 17. Broderick J, Brott T, Kothari R, Miller R, Khoury J, Pancioli A, Gebel J, Mills D, Minneci 400 L, Shukla R. The Greater Cincinnati/Northern Kentucky Stroke Study: preliminary firstever and total incidence rates of stroke among blacks. Stroke. 1998;29:415-421. 401
- Zakaria T, Lindsell CJ, Kleindorfer D, Alwell K, Moomaw CJ, Woo D, Szaflarski JP, 402 18. 403
  - Khoury J, Miller R, Broderick JP. Age accounts for racial differences in ischemic stroke

Population study of post-stroke dysphagia

404		volume in a population-based study. Cerebrovascular Diseases. 2008;26:376-380 %@
405		1015-9770.
406	19.	Kissela B, Broderick J, Woo D, Kothari R, Miller R, Khoury J, Brott T, Pancioli A, Jauch
407		E, Gebel J. Greater Cincinnati/Northern Kentucky Stroke Study: volume of first-ever
408		ischemic stroke among blacks in a population-based study. <i>Stroke</i> . 2001;32:1285-1290.
409	20.	Madsen TE, Khoury JC, Leppert M, Alwell K, Moomaw CJ, Sucharew H, Woo D, Ferioli
410		S, Martini S, Adeoye O. Temporal trends in stroke incidence over time by sex and age in
411		the GCNKSS. Stroke. 2020;51:1070-1076 %@ 0039-2499.
412	21.	Madsen TE, Cummings OW, De Los Rios La Rosa F, Khoury JC, Alwell K, Woo D,
413		Ferioli S, Martini S, Adeoye O, Khatri P. Substance Use and Performance of Toxicology
414		Screens in the Greater Cincinnati Northern Kentucky Stroke Study. Stroke.
415		2022;53:3082-3090.
416	22.	Chen BJ, Suolang D, Frost N, Faigle R. Practice Patterns and Attitudes Among Speech-
417		Language Pathologists Treating Stroke Patients with Dysphagia: A Nationwide Survey.
418		Dysphagia. 2022;37:1715-1722.
419	23.	Fairfield CA, G. Smithard D. Assessment and management of dysphagia in acute stroke:
420		An initial service review of international practice. Geriatrics. 2020;5:4.
421	24.	Brokamp C. Beck AF. Goval NK. Rvan P. Greenberg JM. Hall ES. Material community
422		deprivation and hospital utilization during the first year of life: an urban population-based
423		cohort study. Annals of epidemiology. 2019:30:37-43.
424	25.	Robbins J. Humpal NS. Banaszynski K. Hind J. Rogus-Pulia N. Age-related differences
425		in pressures generated during isometric presses and swallows by healthy adults
426		Dysphagia 2016:31:90-96
427	26	Azzolino D. Damanti S. Bertagnoli I. Lucchi T. Cesari M. Sarcopenia and swallowing
428	20.	disorders in older people. Aging Clinical & Experimental Research 2019:31:799-805
429		doi: 10 1007/s40520-019-01128-3
430	27.	Wakabayashi H. Presbyphagia and Sarcopenic Dysphagia: Association between Aging.
431		Sarcopenia and Deglutition Disorders <i>J Frailty Aging</i> 2014:3:97-103 doi:
432		10 14283/ifa 2014 8
433	28	Robbins J Levine R Wood J Roecker FB Luschei F Age effects on lingual pressure
434	20.	generation as a risk factor for dysphagia J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 1995:50:M257-
435		
436	29.	Robbins JA. Normal swallowing and aging. Paper/Poster presented at: Seminars in
437		neurology 1996
438	30.	Phan HT, Reeves MJ, Blizzard CL, Thrift AG, Cadilhac DA, Sturm J, Otahal P, Rothwell
439		P Bejot Y Cabral NI Sex differences in severity of stroke in the INSTRUCT study: a
440		meta-analysis of individual participant data Journal of the American Heart Association
441		2019 <sup>.8</sup> ·e010235
442	31	Kim J Oh B-M Lee GJ Lee SA Chun SW Han TR Clinical factors associated with
443	•	severity of post-stroke dysphagia <i>Brain &amp; Neurorehabilitation</i> 2011 <sup>.</sup> 4 <sup>.</sup> 116-120
444	32	Ellis C. Hyacinth HI. Beckett J. Feng W. Chimowitz M. Ovbiagele B. Lackland D. Adams
445	02.	R Racial/Ethnic Differences in Poststroke Rehabilitation Outcomes. Stroke Research
446		and Treatment 2014/2014/950746 doi: 10.1155/2014/950746
447	33	Stansbury IP Jia H Williams I.S. Vogel WB Duncan PW Ethnic disparities in stroke
448	00.	enidemiology acute care and postacute outcomes. Stroke 2005;36:374-386
449	34	Paciaroni M Mazzotta G. Corea E. Caso V. Venti M. Milia P. Silvestrelli G. Palmerini F.
450	04.	Parnetti I. Gallai V. Dysphagia following stroke. <i>European neurology</i> 2004:51:162-167
451	35	Suntrun S. Kemmling A. Warnecke T. Hamacher C. Oelenberg S. Niederstadt T. Heindel
452	00.	W Wiendl H Dziewas R The impact of lesion location on dysphagia incidence pattern
453		and complications in acute stroke. Part 1: dysphagia incidence, severity and aspiration
454		European journal of neurology 2015:22:832-838

Population study of post-stroke dysphagia

455	36.	Henke C, Foerch C, Lapa S. Early screening parameters for dysphagia in acute
450	27	Ischemic stroke. <i>Cerebrovascular Diseases</i> . 2017;44:285-290.
457	37.	Jeyaseelan RD, Vargo MM, Chae J. National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS)
458	20	as an early predictor of posistroke dysphagia. PIM&R. 2015;7:593-598.
459	38.	Crary MA, Carnaby-Mann GD, Miller L, Antonios N, Silliman S. Dysphagia and nutritional
460		status at the time of hospital admission for ischemic stroke. Journal of Stroke and
461	00	cereprovascular diseases. 2006;15:164-171.
462	39.	Knedr EM, Abbass MA, Soliman RK, Zaki AF, Gamea A. Post-stroke dysphagia:
463		trequency, risk factors, and topographic representation: nospital-based study. The
464	40	Egyptian Journal of Neurology, Psychiatry and Neurosurgery. 2021;57:1-8.
465	40.	Robbins J, Levine RL, Maser A, Rosenbek JC, Kempster GB. Swallowing after unilateral
466		stroke of the cerebral cortex. Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation.
467		1993;74:1295-1300.
468	41.	Fernandez-Pombo A, Seijo-Raposo IM, Lopez-Osorio N, Canton-Blanco A, Gonzalez-
469		Rodriguez M, Arias-Rivas S, Rodriguez-Yanez M, Santamaria-Nieto A, Diaz-Ortega C,
470		Gomez-Vazquez E. Lesion location and other predictive factors of dysphagia and its
4/1		complications in acute stroke. <i>Clinical nutrition ESPEN</i> . 2019;33:178-182.
472	42.	Suntrup-Krueger S, Kemmling A, Warnecke T, Hamacher C, Oelenberg S, Niederstadt
473		T, Heindel W, Wiendl H, Dziewas R. The impact of lesion location on dysphagia
474		incidence, pattern and complications in acute stroke. Part 2: Oropharyngeal residue,
475		swallow and cough response, and pneumonia. <i>European journal of neurology</i> .
476		2017;24:867-874.
477	43.	Sharma J, Fletcher S, Vassallo M, Ross I. Prognostic value of CT scan features in acute
478		ischaemic stroke and relationship with clinical stroke syndromes. International journal of
479		<i>clinical practice</i> . 2000;54:514-518.
480	44.	Hornig CR, Bauer T, Simon C, Trittmacher S, Dorndorf W. Hemorrhagic transformation
481		in cardioembolic cerebral infarction. Stroke. 1993;24:465-468.
482	45.	Easterling CS, Robbins E. Dementia and dysphagia. <i>Geriatric Nursing</i> . 2008;29:275-
483		285.
484	46.	Smithard DG, O'Neill PA, England RE, Park CL, Wyatt R, Martin DF, Morris J. The
485		natural history of dysphagia following a stroke. <i>Dysphagia</i> . 1997;12:188-193.
486	47.	Shiotsuki H, Saijo Y, Ogushi Y, Kobayashi S. Relationship between Alcohol Intake and
487		Stroke Severity in Japanese Patients: a Sex-and Subtype-Stratified Analysis. Journal of
488		Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases. 2022;31:106513.
489	48.	O'donnell MJ, Xavier D, Liu L, Zhang H, Chin SL, Rao-Melacini P, Rangarajan S, Islam
490		S, Pais P, McQueen MJ. Risk factors for ischaemic and intracerebral haemorrhagic
491		stroke in 22 countries (the INTERSTROKE study): a case-control study. The Lancet.
492		2010;376:112-123.
493	49.	Elkind MS, Sciacca R, Boden-Albala B, Rundek T, Paik MC, Sacco RL. Moderate
494		alcohol consumption reduces risk of ischemic stroke: the Northern Manhattan Study.
495		Stroke. 2006;37:13-19.
496	50.	Fekete K, Szatmári S, Szőcs I, Szekeres C, Szász J, Mihálka L, Smolanka V, Kardos L,
497		Csiba L, Bereczki D. Prestroke alcohol consumption and smoking are not associated
498		with stroke severity, disability at discharge, and case fatality. Journal of Stroke and
499		Cerebrovascular Diseases. 2014;23:e31-e37.
500	51.	Matsuo H, Yoshimura Y, Fujita S, Maeno Y. Dysphagia is associated with poor physical
501		function in patients with acute heart failure: a prospective cohort study. Aging Clinical
502		and Experimental Research. 2020;32:1093-1099.
503	52.	Matsuo H, Yoshimura Y, Fujita S, Maeno Y. Incidence of Dysphagia and Its Association
504		With Functional Recovery and 1-Year Mortality in Hospitalized Older Patients With Heart

Population study of post-stroke dysphagia

- 505 Failure: A Prospective Cohort Study. *Journal of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition*. 2021;45:372-380.
- 507 53. Jones MR, Horner RD, Edwards LJ, Hoff J, Armstrong SB, Smith-Hammond CA,
  508 Matchar DB, Oddone EZ. Racial variation in initial stroke severity. *Stroke*. 2000;31:563509 567.
- 510 54. Howard VJ. Reasons underlying racial differences in stroke incidence and mortality. 511 *Stroke*. 2013;44:S126-S128.
- 512 55. Hong K-S, Lee JS. Statins in acute ischemic stroke: a systematic review. *Journal of stroke*. 2015;17:282.
- 514 56. Scheitz JF, Endres M, Heuschmann PU, Audebert HJ, Nolte CH. Reduced risk of 515 poststroke pneumonia in thrombolyzed stroke patients with continued statin treatment.
- 516 International Journal of Stroke. 2015;10:61-66.