Relative cost of counselling compared to supplementary foods for acute 1

- malnutrition: a time and motion study in Nairobi Kenya 2
- Antonina N. Mutoro^{1,3} 3
- 4 Eleanor Grieve²
- Ada L. Garcia¹ 5

11 12

13

14

- Charlotte Wright^{1*}
 - 1. Human Nutrition department, School of Medicine, Dentistry and Nursing, University of Glasgow UK
- 7 2. Health Economics and Health Technology Assessment, School of Health and Wellbeing, 8 University of Glasgow, UK
- 9 3. Maternal and Child Wellbeing Unit, African Population and Health Research Centre 10 (APHRC) Nairobi, Kenya
 - **Short title**: Relative cost of counselling compared to supplementary foods.
 - *Corresponding author:
- 15 Email charlotte.wright@glasgow.ac.uk

Abstract

18

19 Aims 20 We aimed to describe the relative cost of supplying supplementary food, compared to 21 counselling, in children with acute malnutrition managed in one urban area. 22 Method 23 A cross-sectional costing study was undertaken. Interviews with staff and time-and-motion 24 observations of children with acute malnutrition and their carers attending 6 ambulatory 25 nutrition treatment centres in Nairobi were conducted to assess the time nutritionists spent 26 treating acute malnutrition and managing ready to use food supplies. Kenyan standard pay 27 rates (\$) were used to calculate the monthly staff cost of reviewing each child and speaking to 28 their parent. These were compared to the published cost of purchasing daily ready to use 29 food sachets. 30 Results 31 Consultations with 32 children were observed, 3-8 per clinic. Staff spent mean (SD) 9.84 32 (4.9) minutes seeing each child, of which at most only 3.5 (2.0) minutes were spent 33 counselling the family. With an hourly pay rate of \$4.87, the median (IQR) contact and 34 dispensing cost per child visit was \$0.77 (0.49-1.16). The cost of RUF was estimated to be \$7.84 for a month's treatment, giving a total treatment cost of \$8.61 per child per month. If 35 36 ready to use food was not prescribed and more staff time purchased instead, this would pay 37 for 1.6 hours staff time per child per month. 38 Conclusions 39 Very little time was spent speaking to mothers of malnourished children and supplies of 40 ready to use food represented 91% of total treatment costs.

Introduction

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

Moderate acute malnutrition (MAM) is a major public health problem in many low- and middle-income countries (LMIC). It is accountable for more deaths in children under 5 years than severe acute malnutrition (SAM) [1]. In 2019, approximately 32.7 million children were moderately wasted [2]. However, the best approach to treatment of MAM and rehabilitation of SAM after initial rescue remains unclear. Many supplementary feeding interventions have been trialled which aim to correct energy and nutrient deficiencies using specially formulated foods such as blended flours or, more recently, ready-to-use foods (RUF) which are offered in addition to a child's normal diet [3], but simply giving supplementary food has only limited effects [4[5] and their use is still not recommended by WHO, except in emergency settings. [6] This limited efficacy may reflect the multiple possible interacting causes of child undernutrition [7] and the possibility of misdiagnosis of intrauterine stunting as current undernutrition [6]. Nonetheless RUF is already used in the treatment of MAM in many countries, including Kenya [8]. At present RUF supplies are generally donated by external agencies, which presents sustainability challenges and recurrent periods with no supplies. As well as supplying RUF, the Kenyan MAM protocol specifies a baseline assessment, which should identify proximal issues, such as diet and caring practises, with nutritional and welfare advice given as required. However, this requires time, and most treatment centres are already heavily burdened and understaffed. We are developing an algorithm for the assessment of children with MAM to ensure that only acutely wasted children are identified as MAM using a proforma that ensures that all relevant risk factors are recorded and can inform advice and support for the family [9]. However, this takes around 20 minutes to complete, with further time needed at follow-up to reinforce advice given. In order to plan a trial of its use, we needed to know how much time is currently spent providing RUF and how much it would cost to fund extra staff time for counselling. This assessment would be expected to result in

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

provided information about the study and inquired if they were willing to participate in the

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

1.

5

study. Participants who were willing to participate were requested to sign two copies of a consent form one of which they kept. The other copy was left with the researchers. **Participants** The subjects of the time and motion observations were children and their carers attending OTP and SFP clinics and the health workers who were actively involved in their treatment. The sessions were held within child welfare clinics and reviewed both children with MAM and uncomplicated SAM, so both were included. MAM was defined as weight for length Z scores (WLZ) between -2SD and -3SD and SAM WLZ≤-3SD. Children who had complications that required specialised care were referred elsewhere and excluded from the study. **Interviews** For the interview element the health worker responsible for MAM/SAM in each health facility was identified and interviewed using a structured schedule to establish direct and indirect costs incurred during the treatment process. Each participant was interviewed once. Information was collected about the grade of staff seeing malnourished children and matched to published pay scales [16]. They estimated the total number of children seen per day as well as time spent by staff on requisition and management of RUF and in relevant training; and RUF transportation costs were also collected. Time and motion observations The same researchers attended each of the 6 facilities to observe one clinic sessions in each. During each observed clinic mothers of children attending for MAM/SAM treatment were identified on arrival and observed by the researcher throughout their attendance on that day. For each child key activities were recorded, and the time taken for each noted:

Anthropometric assessments (Anthropometry)

6

114 2. Collection and recording of patient information (History taking) 3. Appetite test 115 Treatment prescription, counselling/advising (Counselling) 116 4. 117 5. RUF management (RUF) If a child required other services such as treatment for other ailments, the research assistant 118 119 would pause the clock and resume timing once the child was back for OTP/SFP services. The 120 total length of time spent on each child was then recorded. Cost of RUF 121 122 The RUF were donated rather than purchased, so that the actual cost of supplies was 123 unknown. We thus estimated the cost based on information published for by UNICEF for 124 2019 [17] **Analysis** 125 126 Stata Version 17 was used for the analysis. The two outcomes of interest were the total 127 monthly staff cost of reviewing each child and dispensing RUF compared to the cost of 128 purchasing daily RUF sachets. An ingredients-based approach was used to collect staff costs. 129 whereby each resource required was identified and valued and healthcare provider's costs 130 were considered. The staff costs of two main activities were considered: treatment of children 131 with acute malnutrition and administration of RUF. The healthcare premises were treated as 132 a standard capital cost, as any variation of interest was in the staffing time and resource use. 133 The total time spent by each staff member per quarter for the planning and requisition for the 134 RUF reported at the interview was recorded and converted to monthly (and annual) costs. The cost related to the planning and requisition for the RUF was the total time spent per 135

month in hours by nutritionists in these activities, multiplied by the hourly wage. Data on

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

7

time spent in training related to the planning and requisition of RUF and transport costs were also added. From the observational survey, we knew the average time spent per child per visit and the average per MAM and SAM child. From the interviews we knew the total number of children under treatment and the total amount of time per quarter spent on administering and dispensing RUF, so we could calculate the average cost per child on these central activities. The cost of nutritionists was calculated using their hourly wage. All staff costs were reported in US dollars and 2019 is used as the base year for the costing. At that time KES 1= USD 0.0098. Results Time and motion results Thirty-two children were observed, with 3-8 children observed per clinic; 20 were being treated for MAM and 12 for SAM. Ages ranged from 7 to 26 months, with a mean (SD) of 13 (4.3) months. For 6 children, this was their first appointment with the rest attending follow-up clinics. In 3 of the centres the pre-stated activities were often observed as happening at the same time, so that the amount of time spent on each activity could not be determined, except for anthropometry, but counselling was recorded as happening as a sole or mixed activity in 29 (90%) children; history taking was observed in only 4 (12%) children. The median (range) time spent by the family at the facility was 15 (5-46) minutes, but the mean (SD) time in all nutrition related activities was only 9.84 (5.37) minutes, of which 2.7 (1.6) minutes was spent being measured (Table 1). If one counts all the time where activity 4

was recorded within a sole or mixed activity as counselling, the maximum time spent

160 counselling was 3.5 (2.0) minutes and only slightly more time was spent with children with

161 SAM.

severity.

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

Time spent (minutes) on	Anthropometry	Counselling, including when mixed with other activities	Total contact time
Undernutrition status	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)
Moderate acute malnourished cases (n=20)	2.3 (1.2)	3.9 (1.5)	8.6 (4.8)
Severe acute malnourished cases (n=12)	3.3 (2.2)	3.1 (2.5)	11.9 (4.7)
Total (n=32)	2.69 (1.6)	3.55 (2.0)	9.84 (4.9)

Staff costs

The costs related to management of undernutrition and estimate the monthly average cost per child contact are shown in Table 2. Centres saw on average 28 children a day (SAM and MAM combined), but this varied widely (range 7-80 children per clinic). The hourly pay for nutritionists was \$4.87 [16] resulting in a median cost per child contact visit of \$0.73, a dispensing cost \$0.03 and total cost of \$0.77.

Table 2: Monthly costs per child, per contact

	Number			Costs per child (\$)		
Centre	contacts per month	Total costs children contact (\$)	Dispensing Costs (\$)	Contact	Dispensing	Total
1	60	43.20	1.33	0.72	0.02	0.74
2	80	92.80	1.67	1.16	0.02	1.18
3	400	344.00	10.03	0.86	0.03	0.89
4	120	99.60	2.15	0.83	0.02	0.85
5	140	182.00	47.93	1.3	0.34	1.64
6	1171	597.21	41.45	0.51	0.04	0.55
		Mean	0.82	0.06	0.87	
		(95% CI)	(0.66, 0.97)	(0.02, 0.09)	(0.70, 1.05)	
			Median	0.73	0.03	0.77
			(IQR)	(0.45, 1.10)	(0.02, 0.04)	(0.49, 1.16)

Starting (first) appointments lasted significantly longer (17.5 mins) than follow-up appointments (8.35 mins), a mean difference of 9.15 95% CI (5.4, 12.9) min P < 0.001.

Cost of RUF

The UNICEF website listed one Kenyan supplier in 2019 with a cost per carton for 150 sachets of \$42, which translates into a monthly cost for treatment of MAM (30 sachets) of £7.84 per child. If RUF was not prescribed and more staff time purchased instead, this would pay for 1.6 staff hours per child per month.

Discussion

While Kenyan MAM protocols specify a targeted counselling approach in addition to provision of RUF, we found that in fact very little time was spent interacting with the parents of children with either MAM or SAM. The monthly staff cost of \$0.77 per month contrasts strikingly with the \$7.84 cost of the therapeutic foods dispensed which represented 91% of the total monthly treatment costs (\$8.61) per child for acute malnutrition in this setting. A limitation is that the exact product costs remain uncertain, however, the best estimate of the local price appears representative of RUF prices worldwide. The low staff costs found partly reflect the small amount of time spent, but also that salary rates in Kenya are relatively low compared to more developed countries; for example compared to a median monthly income in the USA of \$6,966, the median monthly salary in Kenya is \$897 [18]. We found that the opportunity cost of RUF per month was equivalent to 1.6 hours of staff time, so that a reduction in RUF use by half could in principle allow staff to spend 48 more minutes per month working with families: five times the amount of time spent currently. It must also be borne in mind that salary rates in Kenya are relatively high compared to other middle income

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

countries; for example the median monthly income in Nigeria is only \$446 [18], making the opportunity cost higher in other countries. The economics of RUF supply are complex, as the product is usually paid for by donor agencies, while staff time spent with families comes out of local health and nutrition budgets. However, at a future time when the Kenyan health systems is expected to fund RUF themselves, planner would have to consider whether RUF was a 'better buy' than 1.6 hours of staff time. It must also be borne in mind that fluctuations in exchange rates would mean that the cost of RUF, purchased in dollars, could be higher. At the time of submission, the dollar exchange rate is only two thirds what it was in 2019. While RUF has been shown to be more effective than standard care in the treatment of MAM, these effects are very modest; one systematic review found a net gain of only 120g in weight following treatment [4] while another found only a 10% increase in those recovering and 0.2 SD increase in weight-forheight [5]. This small overall effect may reflect only some children responding well to RUF. Screening for MAM using MUAC also identifies stunted, non-wasted children [19] who are not malnourished and could even be harmed by RUF [6]. Further research is needed to establish which children benefit most from RUF and which children can be safely left on family diet only. Counselling has generally been found to be less effective than RUF, [20] but in most trials the counselling provided was brief or group-based and not individualised. A recent study in Kenya found many potentially reversible risk factors present in children with MAM [7] which will remain unrecognised in a programme where only RUF was offered. One recent large trial found that well-staffed individualised counselling was as effective as RUF, after allowance for higher rates of attrition [21]. Future trials of counselling will also need to consider how to incentivise parental engagement when supplementary food is not given.

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

Previous studies of the cost effectiveness of community management of SAM argued that they were cost effective because of the relatively low base case cost (US\$53) per disabilityadjusted life year gained [11] although another accepted that the worst case cost might be as much as ten times that [12]. However, the same sort of scrutiny has not yet been applied to MAM. One study compared the cost of different supplementary foods used for MAM treatment and found that their costs per recovered child were similar (US\$163-79). However, with no control group, many of these recoveries would have occurred spontaneously [22] and with no counselling arm it was not clear how this would compare to counselling [13]. The review above [5] would suggest that 10 children would need to be treated for one extra recovery, suggesting a cost per extra recovery possibly ten times higher. Using Kenyan salary scales this could pay a nutritionist for 2 months. The strength of this study is that it provides a first proper disaggregation of time and product in the management of acute malnutrition. A limitation is that it examines this in only one setting. In some cases, there was an overlap of activities, so that counselling and RUF management time might also have included recording patient information, but the total time spent is still very brief. One of the included centres is known to schedule in a single counselling session separately at the end of treatment, which was not counted in this observation, and this centre had the lowest contact time overall. While the focus of this paper is MAM, we also observed the SAM children seen in the same sessions and included them in our calculations, as in practice there were only margin differences in the time spent with them. It is often assumed that programs that require extra staff time are less affordable where a product can simply be provided. However, in an LMIC setting, staff salaries are low and even apparently cheap products prove expensive if given over longer periods. In the long

term, investment in staff and skills is more likely to be sustainable and effective, as staff can address multiple health and wellbeing issues at the same time. Conclusions This study reveals that very little time is currently spent speaking to mothers of malnourished children and that RUF is extremely expensive relative to other health system costs. Wellstaffed trials of the efficacy and cost-effectiveness of problem-oriented counselling interventions are needed, but this study illustrates that these could be highly affordable.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the staff of the participating clinics and to Hermann Donfouet for his initial

254 analysis of the data.

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

References

- 256 1. Olofin I, McDonald CM, Ezzati M, Flaxman S, Black RE, Fawzi WW, et al. Associations of
- 257 Suboptimal Growth with All-Cause and Cause-Specific Mortality in Children under Five Years: A
- Pooled Analysis of Ten Prospective Studies. PLOS ONE. 2013;8(5):e64636. doi:
- 259 10.1371/journal.pone.0064636.
- 260 2. UNICEF, WHO, The World Bank. Levels and trends in child malnutrition: Key Findings of the
- 261 2020 Edition of the Joint Child Malnutrition Estimates. Geneva: World Health Organization 2020.
- 3. WHO. Technical Note: Supplementary foods for the management of moderate acute
- 263 malnutrition in infants and children 6-59 months of age. Geneva: WHO, 2012.
- 4. Kristjansson E, Francis DK, Liberato S, Benkhalti Jandu M, Welch V, Batal M, et al. Food
- supplementation for improving the physical and psychosocial health of socio-economically
- disadvantaged children aged three months to five years. The Cochrane database of systematic
- reviews. 2015;(3):CD009924. Epub 2015/03/06. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD009924.pub2. PubMed PMID: 25739460; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6885042.
- 269 5. Lazzerini M, Rubert L, Pani P. Specially formulated foods for treating children with moderate
- acute malnutrition in low- and middle-income countries. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews.
- 271 2013;(6). doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD009584.pub2. PubMed PMID: CD009584.
- WHO. Guideline: assessing and managing children at primary health-care facilities to prevent
- overweight and obesity in the context of the double burden of malnutrition. Geneva: World Health organization 2017.
- 7. Mutoro AN, Garcia AL, Kimani-Murage EW, Wright CM. Prevalence and overlap of known
- undernutrition risk factors in children in Nairobi Kenya. Maternal & child nutrition. 2021:e13261.
- 277 Epub 2021/08/07. doi: 10.1111/mcn.13261. PubMed PMID: 34355500.
- 8. Kenya Ministry of Medical Services and Ministry of Public Health and Sanitation. Handbook -
- integrated management of acute malnutrition. 2010.
- 280 9. Mayén VA, Kimani-Murage E, Bryant-Waugh R, Traynor O, Milligan B, Khan A, et al. Are
- 281 Malnourished Children Hungry? Use of the International Complementary Feeding Assessment Tool
- 282 (ICFET) to Describe Diet and Eating Behavior. Current Developments in Nutrition.
- 283 2020;4(Supplement_2):924-. doi: 10.1093/cdn/nzaa053_129.
- 284 10. Garg CC, Mazumder S, Taneja S, Shekhar M, Mohan SB, Bose A, et al. Costing of three
- feeding regimens for home-based management of children with uncomplicated severe acute
- malnutrition from a randomised trial in India. BMJ Global Health. 2018;3(2):e000702. doi:
- 287 10.1136/bmjgh-2017-000702.
- 288 11. Bachmann MO. Cost-effectiveness of community-based treatment of severe acute
- malnutrition in children. Expert Rev Pharmacoecon Outcomes Res. 2010;10(5):605-12. Epub
- 290 2010/10/19. doi: 10.1586/erp.10.54. PubMed PMID: 20950075.
- 291 12. Wilford R, Golden K, Walker DG. Cost-effectiveness of community-based management of
- acute malnutrition in Malawi. Health Policy Plan. 2012;27(2):127-37. Epub 2011/03/08. doi:
- 293 10.1093/heapol/czr017. PubMed PMID: 21378101.
- 294 13. Griswold SP, Langlois BK, Shen Y, Cliffer IR, Suri DJ, Walton S, et al. Effectiveness and cost-
- 295 effectiveness of 4 supplementary foods for treating moderate acute malnutrition: results from a
- cluster-randomized intervention trial in Sierra Leone. The American journal of clinical nutrition.
- 297 2021;114(3):973-85. Epub 2021/05/22. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/nqab140. PubMed PMID: 34020452;
- 298 PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8408853.
- 299 14. Kimani-Murage EW, Muthuri SK, Oti SO, Mutua MK, van de Vijver S, Kyobutungi C. Evidence
- of a Double Burden of Malnutrition in Urban Poor Settings in Nairobi, Kenya. PloS one.
- 301 2015;10(6):e0129943. Epub 2015/06/23. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0129943. PubMed PMID:
- 302 26098561; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4476587.

15

- 303 15. Concern Worldwide. Nutrition Survey Conducted in the Slums of Nairobi County. Nairobi, 304 Kenya Concern Worldwide 2017.
- 305 16. Owino A. Job Groups in Kenya: Civil Servants Salary Nairobi, Kenya2019 [cited 2020 04/09].
- Available from: https://www.kenyans.co.ke/news/42378-job-groups-kenya-civil-servants-salary.
- 307 17. UNICEF. Ready-to-use therapeutic food (RUTF) price data. Copenhagen: UNICEF, division S; 308 2022.
- 309 18. Salary and Cost of Living Comparison [Internet]. 2022. Available from:
- 310 http://www.salaryexplorer.com/.
- 311 19. Binns P, Myatt M. Does treatment of short or stunted children aged 6-59 months for severe
- acute malnutrition using ready to use therapeutic food make them overweight? Data from Malawi.
- 313 Arch Public Health. 2018;76:78. doi: 10.1186/s13690-018-0321-1. PubMed PMID: 30559964;
- 314 PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6292002.
- 20. Lelijveld N, Beedle A, Farhikhtah A, Elrayah EE, Bourdaire J, Aburto N. Systematic review of
- the treatment of moderate acute malnutrition using food products. Maternal & child nutrition.
- 2020;16(1):e12898. Epub 2019/11/02. doi: 10.1111/mcn.12898. PubMed PMID: 31667981; PubMed
- 318 Central PMCID: PMCPMC7038867.
- 319 21. Nikiema L, Huybregts L, Kolsteren P, Lanou H, Tiendrebeogo S, Bouckaert K, et al. Treating
- moderate acute malnutrition in first-line health services: an effectiveness cluster-randomized trial in
- Burkina Faso. The American journal of clinical nutrition. 2014;100(1):241-9. Epub 2014/05/09. doi:
- 322 10.3945/ajcn.113.072538. PubMed PMID: 24808482.
- 323 22. James P, Sadler K, Wondafrash M, Argaw A, Luo H, Geleta B, et al. Children with Moderate
- 324 Acute Malnutrition with No Access to Supplementary Feeding Programmes Experience High Rates of
- Deterioration and No Improvement: Results from a Prospective Cohort Study in Rural Ethiopia. PloS
- one. 2016;11(4):e0153530. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0153530. PubMed PMID: 27100177; PubMed
- 327 Central PMCID: PMCPMC4839581.