

Factors Associated with Physical Function among People with Systemic Sclerosis: A Scleroderma Patient-centered Intervention Network (SPIN) Cohort Cross-sectional Study

Tiffany Dal Santo^{1,2}; Danielle B. Rice^{3,4}; Marie-Eve Carrier¹; Gabrielle Virgili-Gervais¹; Brooke Levis¹; Linda Kwakkenbos⁵⁻⁷; Susan J. Bartlett^{8,9}; Amy Gietzen¹⁰; Karen Gottesman¹¹; Geneviève Guillot¹²; Marie Hudson^{1,8}; Laura K. Hummers¹³; Vanessa L. Malcarne^{14,15}; Maureen D. Mayes¹⁶; Luc Mouthon^{17,18}; Michelle Richard^{19,20}; Maureen Sauvé^{19,21}; Robyn K. Wojeck²²; Marie-Claude Geoffroy^{2,23}; Andrea Benedetti^{8,9,24,25}; Brett D. Thombs^{1,2,8,25-27}; on behalf of the SPIN investigators²⁸

¹Lady Davis Institute for Medical Research, Jewish General Hospital, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; ²Department of Psychiatry, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; ³Department of Psychology, St. Joseph's Healthcare Hamilton, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada; ⁴Department of Psychiatry and Behavioural Neurosciences, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada; ⁵Department of Clinical Psychology, Behavioural Science Institute, Radboud University, Nijmegen, the Netherlands; ⁶Department of IQ Healthcare, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, the Netherlands; ⁷Department of Psychiatry, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, the Netherlands; ⁸Department of Medicine, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; ⁹Research Institute of the McGill University Health Centre, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; ¹⁰National Scleroderma Foundation, Tri-State Chapter, Buffalo, New York, USA; ¹¹National Scleroderma Foundation, Los Angeles, California, USA; ¹²Sclérodermie Québec, Longueuil, Quebec, Canada; ¹³Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Division of Rheumatology, Baltimore, Maryland, USA; ¹⁴Department of Psychology, San Diego State University, San

Diego, California, USA; ¹⁵San Diego State University/University of California, San Diego Joint Doctoral Program in Clinical Psychology, San Diego, California, USA; ¹⁶University of Texas McGovern School of Medicine, Houston, Texas, USA; ¹⁷Service de Médecine Interne, Centre de Référence Maladies Autoimmunes Systémiques Rares d'Ile de France, Hôpital Cochin, Paris, France; ¹⁸Assistance Publique Hôpitaux de Paris-Centre, Hôpital Cochin, Université Paris Cité, Paris, France; ¹⁹Scleroderma Canada, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada; ²⁰Scleroderma Atlantic, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada; ²¹Scleroderma Society of Ontario, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada; ²²University of Rhode Island, Kingston, Rhode Island, USA; ²³McGill Group for Suicide Studies, Douglas Research Centre, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; ²⁴Respiratory Epidemiology and Clinical Research Unit, McGill University Health Centre, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; ²⁵Department of Epidemiology, Biostatistics, and Occupational Health, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; ²⁶Biomedical Ethics Unit, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; ²⁷Department of Psychology, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; SPIN Investigators²⁸: Catherine Fortuné, Ottawa Scleroderma Support Group, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; Claire E. Adams, Lady Davis Institute for Medical Research, Jewish General Hospital, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; Ghassan El-Baalbaki, Université du Québec à Montréal, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; Kim Fligelstone, Scleroderma & Raynaud's UK, London, UK; Tracy Frech, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee, USA; Daphna Harel, New York University, New York, New York, USA; Richard S. Henry, Lady Davis Institute for Medical Research, Jewish General Hospital, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; Monique Hinchcliff, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut, USA; Sindhu R. Johnson, Toronto Scleroderma Program, Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto Western Hospital, and University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; Maggie Larche, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada; Catarina Leite, University of Minho,

Braga, Portugal; Christelle Nguyen, Université Paris Descartes, Université de Paris, Paris, France, and Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Paris, Paris, France; Karen Nielsen, Scleroderma Society of Ontario, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada; Janet Pope, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada; François Rannou, Université Paris Descartes, Université de Paris, Paris, France, and Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Paris, Paris, France; Tatiana Sofia Rodriguez-Reyna, Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Médicas y Nutrición Salvador Zubirán, Mexico City, Mexico; Anne A. Schouffoer, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands; Maria E. Suarez-Almazor, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas, USA; Christian Agard, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire - Hôtel-Dieu de Nantes, Nantes, France; Laurent Alric, CHU Rangueil, Toulouse, France; Marc André, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Gabriel-Montpied, Clermont-Ferrand, France; Floryan Beasley, CHU La Réunion, Saint-Denis, La Réunion, France; Elana J. Bernstein, Columbia University, New York, New York, USA; Sabine Berthier, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Dijon Bourgogne, Dijon, France; Lyne Bissonnette, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Quebec, Canada; Sophie Blaise, CHU Grenoble Alpes, Grenoble, France; Eva Bories, CHU Rangueil, Toulouse, France; Alessandra Bruns, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Quebec, Canada; Carlotta Cacciatore, Assistance Publique – Hôpitaux de Paris, Hôpital St-Louis, Paris, France; Patricia Carreira, Servicio de Reumatología del Hospital 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain; Marion Casadevall, Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Paris, Hôpital Cochin, Paris, France; Benjamin Chaigne, Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Paris, Hôpital Cochin, Paris, France; Lorinda Chung, Stanford University, Stanford, California, USA; Benjamin Crichi, Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Paris, Hôpital St-Louis, Paris, France; Thylbert Deltombe, CHU La Réunion, Saint-Denis, La Réunion, France; Christopher Denton, Royal Free London Hospital, London, UK; Tannvir

Desroche, CHU La Réunion, Saint-Denis, La Réunion, France; Robyn Domsic, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA; James V. Dunne, St. Paul's Hospital and University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada; Bertrand Dunogue, Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Paris, Hôpital Cochin, Paris, France; Regina Fare, Servicio de Reumatologia del Hospital 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain; Dominique Farge-Bancel, Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Paris, Hôpital St-Louis, Paris, France; Paul R. Fortin, CHU de Québec - Université Laval, Quebec, Quebec, Canada; Loraine Gauzère, CHU La Réunion, Saint-Denis, La Réunion, France; Anne Gerber, CHU La Réunion, Saint-Denis, La Réunion, France; Jessica Gordon, Hospital for Special Surgery, New York City, New York, USA; Brigitte Granel-Rey, Université, and Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Marseille, Hôpital Nord, Marseille, France; Aurélien Guffroy, Les Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg, Nouvel Hôpital Civil, Strasbourg, France; Geneviève Gyger, Jewish General Hospital and McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; Eric Hachulla, Centre Hospitalier Régional Universitaire de Lille, Hôpital Claude Huriez, Lille, France; Sabrina Hoa, Centre hospitalier de l'Université de Montréal – CHUM, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; Michael Hughes, Salford Royal NHS Foundation Trust, Salford, UK; Alena Ikic, CHU de Québec - Université Laval, Quebec, Quebec; Niall Jones, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada; Suzanne Kafaja, University of California, Los Angeles, California, USA; Nader Khalidi, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada; Kimberly Lakin, Hospital for Special Surgery, New York City, New York, USA; Marc Lambert, Centre Hospitalier Régional Universitaire de Lille, Hôpital Claude Huriez, Lille, France; David Launay, Centre Hospitalier Régional Universitaire de Lille, Hôpital Claude Huriez, Lille, France; Yvonne C. Lee, Northwestern University, Chicago, Illinois, USA; Paul Legendre, Centre Hospitalier du Mans, Le Mans, France; Hélène Maillard, Centre Hospitalier Régional Universitaire de Lille,

Hôpital Claude Huriez, Lille, France; Nancy Maltez, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; Joanne Manning, Salford Royal NHS Foundation Trust, Salford, UK; Isabelle Marie, CHU Rouen, Hôpital de Bois-Guillaume, Rouen, France; Maria Martin Lopez, Servicio de Reumatologia del Hospital 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain; Thierry Martin, Les Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg, Nouvel Hôpital Civil, Strasbourg, France; Ariel Masetto, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Quebec, Canada; François Maurier, Uneos - Groupe hospitalier associatif, Metz, France; Arsène Mekinian, Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Paris, Hôpital St-Antoine, Paris, France; Sheila Melchor Díaz, Servicio de Reumatologia del Hospital 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain; Morgane Mourguet, CHU Rangueil, Toulouse, France; Mandana Nikpour, St Vincent's Hospital and University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia; Louis Olagne, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Gabriel-Montpied, Clermont-Ferrand, France; Vincent Poindron, Les Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg, Nouvel Hôpital Civil, Strasbourg, France; Susanna Proudman, Royal Adelaide Hospital and University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia; Grégory Pugnet, CHU Rangueil, Toulouse, France; Loïc Raffray, CHU La Réunion, Saint-Denis, La Réunion, France; Alexis Régent, Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Paris, Hôpital Cochin, Paris, France; Frederic Renou, CHU La Réunion, Saint-Denis, La Réunion, France; Sébastien Rivière, Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Paris, Hôpital St-Antoine, Paris, France; David Robinson, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada; Esther Rodríguez Almazar, Servicio de Reumatologia del Hospital 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain; Sophie Roux, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Quebec, Canada; Perrine Smets, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Gabriel-Montpied, Clermont-Ferrand, France; Vincent Sobanski, Centre Hospitalier Régional Universitaire de Lille, Hôpital Claude Huriez, Lille, France; Robert Spiera, Hospital for Special Surgery, New York City, New York, USA; Virginia

Steen, Georgetown University, Washington, DC, USA; Evelyn Sutton, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada; Carter Thorne, Southlake Regional Health Centre, Newmarket, Ontario, Canada; Damien Vagner, CHU La Réunion, Saint-Denis, La Réunion, France; John Varga, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA; Pearce Wilcox, St. Paul's Hospital and University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada; Mara Cañedo Ayala, Lady Davis Institute for Medical Research, Jewish General Hospital, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; Vanessa Cook, Lady Davis Institute for Medical Research, Jewish General Hospital, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; Sophie Hu, Lady Davis Institute for Medical Research, Jewish General Hospital, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; Elsa-Lynn Nassar, Lady Davis Institute for Medical Research, Jewish General Hospital, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; Marieke Alexandra Neyer, Lady Davis Institute for Medical Research, Jewish General Hospital, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; and Sabrina Provencher, Lady Davis Institute for Medical Research, Jewish General Hospital, Montreal, Quebec, Canada.

Corresponding author:

Brett D. Thombs, PhD; Jewish General Hospital; 3755 Cote Ste Catherine Road, Pavilion H4.83, Montreal, Quebec, Canada, H3T 1E2; (514) 340-8222 ext. 24244 ; brett.thombs@mcgill.ca;
ORCID: 0000-0002-5644-843

Word count: 3,356

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To compare physical function in systemic sclerosis (SSc, scleroderma) to general population normative data and identify associated factors.

Methods: Scleroderma Patient-centered Intervention Network Cohort participants completed the Physical Function domain of the Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System Version 2 upon enrollment. Multivariable linear regression was used to assess associations of sociodemographic, lifestyle, and disease-related variables.

Results: Among 2,385 participants, mean physical function T-score (43.7, SD = 8.9) was approximately 2/3 of a standard deviation (SD) below the US general population (mean = 50, SD = 10). Factors associated in multivariable analysis included older age (-0.74 points per SD years, 95% CI -0.78 to -1.08), female sex (-1.35, -2.37 to -0.34), fewer years of education (-0.41 points per SD in years, -0.75 to -0.07), being single, divorced, or widowed (-0.76, -1.48 to -0.03), smoking (-3.14, -4.42 to -1.85), alcohol consumption (0.79 points per SD drinks per week, 0.45 to 1.14), BMI (-1.41 points per SD, -1.75 to -1.07), diffuse subtype (-1.43, -2.23 to -0.62), gastrointestinal involvement (-2.58, -3.53 to -1.62), digital ulcers (-1.96, -2.94 to -0.98), moderate (-1.94, -2.94 to -0.93) and severe (-1.76, -3.24 to -0.28) small joint contractures, moderate (-2.10, -3.44 to -0.76) and severe (-2.54, -4.64 to -0.44) large joint contractures, interstitial lung disease (-1.52, -2.27 to -0.77); pulmonary arterial hypertension (-3.72, -4.91 to -2.52); rheumatoid arthritis (-2.10, -3.64 to -0.56) and idiopathic inflammatory myositis (-2.10, -3.63 to -0.56).

Conclusion: Physical function is impaired for many individuals with SSc and associated with multiple disease factors.

Keywords: physical function, PROMIS-29, scleroderma, systemic sclerosis

KEY MESSAGES

- Individuals with systemic sclerosis (SSc) face many challenges that can impact their physical function
- Levels of physical function in individuals with SSc are impaired compared to the general population
- Multiple disease factors are significantly associated with worse physical function in SSc

Systemic sclerosis (SSc, scleroderma) is a complex, rare, chronic autoimmune disease involving microvascular damage that is characterized by fibrosis of the skin and other organs, including the lungs, gastrointestinal tract, kidneys, and heart.¹ Challenges that negatively impact health-related quality of life include disability and diminished physical function, respiratory difficulty, gastrointestinal symptoms, fatigue, pain, sleep disruptions, body image distress, uncertainty and fear of disease progression, and symptoms of depression and anxiety.¹

Physical function in SSc appears to be substantially impaired. A 2009 systematic review (12 studies; 1,127 participants) estimated that the physical component summary (PCS) score of the Short Form Survey-36 among people with SSc was 38 (95% confidence interval (CI) 35 to 42), which is 1.2 standard deviations (SDs) below the US general population mean.² A 2018 systematic review of studies that compared people with SSc and healthy controls (7 studies; 795 patients and 1,154 healthy controls) reported a pooled mean PCS score of 41 (95% CI 31 to 53) in SSc, which was 15 points (95% CI 11 to 19 points) lower than control participants.³

Only one study with at least 200 participants has examined factors potentially associated with physical function in SSc. That study evaluated 578 participants from the Canadian Scleroderma Research Group Registry and found that age, modified Rodnan Skin Score (mRSS), tender joints, gastrointestinal symptoms, breathing problems, pruritus, and Raynaud's phenomenon were significantly related to SF-36 PCS scores. However, many key disease-related factors were subjectively reported by patients (e.g., number of gastrointestinal symptoms, severity of breathing problems, severity of Raynaud's phenomenon), which may have magnified associations with self-reported physical function compared to objectively assessed disease status indicators.⁴

A better understanding of physical function in SSc and associated disease manifestations would support research on approaches to disease management to improve quality of life. Our objective was to (1) compare physical function levels in a large multinational SSc cohort to general population normative data and 2) identify sociodemographic, lifestyle and SSc disease factors associated with physical function.

METHODS

This was a cross-sectional study that evaluated baseline data from the Scleroderma Patient-centered Intervention Network (SPIN) Cohort.⁵⁻⁷ It was reported based on guidance in the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology Statement.⁸ Methods from studies that use data from the SPIN Cohort are similar. Thus, we followed reporting guidance from the Text Recycling Research Project.⁹

Participants and Procedures

The SPIN Cohort is a convenience sample of participants from 7 countries: Australia, Canada, France, Mexico, the United Kingdom, the United States, and Spain.⁵⁻⁷ Eligible participants are recruited by the attending physician or a nurse coordinator during regular physician visits. Participants included in the SPIN Cohort must be ≥ 18 years of age; fluent in English, French or Spanish; and classified as having SSc based on the 2013 American College of Rheumatology/European League Against Rheumatism classification criteria for SSc¹⁰ as verified by a SPIN site physician. After obtaining written informed consent from eligible participants, onsite staff submit an online medical data form and participants receive an automated email with instructions on how to activate their online SPIN account and complete their baseline measures. SPIN Cohort participants complete subsequent online assessments every 3 months. The SPIN Cohort study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Centre intégré universitaire

de santé et de services sociaux du Centre-Ouest-de-l'Île-de-Montréal (#MP-05-2013-150) and by the ethics committees of all recruiting sites. Participant recruitment is ongoing. This study used baseline assessment data from participants enrolled in the SPIN Cohort from April 2014, the date of inception, until March 2023. SPIN Cohort participants were included in this study if they completed all Patient Reported Outcomes Information System (PROMIS-29) version 2.0 domains at their baseline assessment.

Measures

SPIN Cohort participants provided sociodemographic (race or ethnicity, education level, marital status) and lifestyle (e.g., smoking status, alcohol consumption) information and completed patient-reported outcome measures. Physicians reported participants' age; sex; height; weight; years since initial onset of non-Raynaud phenomenon symptoms; SSc subtype (limited, diffuse, sine); mRSS; presence of gastrointestinal symptoms (upper; lower; or no gastrointestinal involvement); presence of digital ulcers anywhere on the fingers; presence of tendon friction rubs (currently; in the past; never); presence of small or large joint contractures (none; mild [$\leq 25\%$ range of motion limitation]; moderate to severe [$> 25\%$]); presence of pulmonary arterial hypertension; presence of interstitial lung disease; existing history of SSc renal crisis; presence of current or past overlap syndromes (systemic lupus erythematosus, rheumatoid arthritis, Sjogren's syndrome, autoimmune thyroid disease, idiopathic inflammatory myositis, and primary biliary cirrhosis); and presence of SSc-related antibodies (antinuclear antibody, anti-centromere, anti-topoisomerase I and anti-RNA polymerase III).

Physical Function

Physical function was evaluated using the 4a Short Form of the PROMIS-29 v2.0 Physical Function domain, which assesses patient-reported health status over the past 7 days.¹¹

Item scores are summed to give a Physical Function domain score that is converted into a T-score normalized to the United States general population (mean = 50, SD = 10).¹² A normal level of physical functioning is represented by a T-score over 45.0, mild impairment by a T-score between 40.0 to 45.0, moderate impairment between 30.0 to 39.9 and severe impairment in physical functioning by a T-score less than 30.0.¹³ The PROMIS-29v2.0 has been validated within the SPIN Cohort, with Cronbach's α ranging from 0.86 to 0.96 for all PROMIS-29v2.0 domains and good convergent validity.¹⁴

Pruritus

Pruritus severity was evaluated with a single item: "In the past week, how severe was your itch?", with patients using a 11-point numeric rating scale (0 = not severe at all to 11 = unbearable). Similar numerical rating scales have been shown to be valid for assessing pruritus severity.¹⁵

Pain

Pain intensity in the last week was assessed with the PROMIS-29v2.0 using a single-item: "In the past seven days, how would you rate your pain on average?".^{16,17} This item is rated on a 10-point numerical rating scale (0 = no pain to 10 = worst imaginable pain). Single- and multi-level item measurements of pain intensity have been shown to perform equivalently in individuals with SSc.¹⁸ Pain interference in the last week was assessed with the PROMIS-29v2.0 using 4 items, each rated on a 5-point Likert scale (1 = "Not at all" and 5 = "Very much").

Statistical Analysis

We computed descriptive statistics for all variables for the entire sample and separately for those with diffuse and limited SSc (including sine) and by sex. Unadjusted outcomes were generated with simple linear regressions used to assess bivariate associations of

sociodemographic, lifestyle, and disease-related variables with physical function. Adjusted outcomes were generated with multivariable linear regression used to assess the independent association of each variable with physical function. We identified items to be included in the model *a priori* based on previous studies of factors associated with physical function and other patient-reported outcomes in SSc^{4,19–22} and on the experience of research team members who either have or provide health care for individuals with SSc. We did not include psychosocial or functional variables that are outcomes of SSc (depression symptoms, anxiety symptoms, pain, fatigue, self-efficacy) as predictors in the main model as they are likely to have bidirectional causal associations with physical function. We did this to avoid reverse causality where outcome variables may be causally associated to predictor variables, which can lead to (1) biased model coefficients, potentially masking important associations between disease variables and physical function; (2) spuriously inflated goodness-of-fit estimates (R^2); and (3) inability to determine the relative causal influence between the variables for which reverse causation is likely.²³

Variables included in the main analysis were age (years standardized); male sex (reference = female); years of education (years standardized); single, divorced/separated, or widowed (reference = married or living as married); non-White (reference = White); Canada, United Kingdom, France, other (Australia, Mexico, Spain) (reference = United States); smoker (reference = non-smoker); alcohol consumption (drinks per week standardized); body mass index (BMI) (standardized); years since first non-Raynaud's symptoms (years standardized); diffuse subtype (reference = limited or sine); gastrointestinal involvement (reference = no); digital ulcers (reference = no); current or past tendon friction rubs (reference = never); moderate or severe small joint contractures (reference = none or mild); moderate or severe large joint contractures (reference = none or mild); history of SSc renal crisis (reference = no); interstitial lung disease

(reference = no); pulmonary arterial hypertension (reference = no); systemic lupus erythematosus (reference = no); rheumatoid arthritis (reference = no); Sjogren's syndrome (reference = no); autoimmune thyroid disease (reference = no); idiopathic inflammatory myositis (reference = no); primary biliary cirrhosis (reference = no). See Supplementary Table S1 for variable specifications.

We accounted for missing data by using multiple imputation via chained equations, using the mice package in R.²⁴ We generated 20 imputed datasets, using 15 cycles per dataset. Variables included in the mice procedure included: all variables in the main regression model, all variables considered in sensitivity analyses, and anxiety, depression, pain intensity and interference, fatigue, sleep, and satisfaction with social roles and activities function domain scores on the PROMIS-29v2.0.

We conducted 4 multivariable sensitivity analyses. We (1) conducted a complete case analysis of the main model; (2) added pruritus and pain to the main model since the direction of the association of pain and pruritus with physical function was hypothesized to be predominantly from pain and pruritis towards physical function; (3) replaced disease subtype with continuous mRSS; and (4) added SSc-related antibodies (antinuclear antibodies (reference = negative); anti-centromere (reference = negative); anti-topoisomerase I (reference = negative); and anti-RNA polymerase III (reference = negative)) to the main model. See Supplementary Table S1.

We standardized continuous predictor variables after imputation and prior to entering them in the models. We reported unstandardized regression coefficients with 95% CIs and total explained variance for each model (adjusted R^2). All regression analyses were conducted in R (R version 3.6.3, RStudio Version 1.2.5042).

Patient involvement

Patient members of the SPIN Steering Committee play a role in developing SPIN research priorities, including identifying the need for the present study. Five patient members of the Steering Committee reviewed and provided comments on the study protocol and manuscript and are co-authors.

RESULTS

Our sample consisted of 2,385 participants from 53 sites with baseline PROMIS-29v2.0 Physical Function domain scores. Participants were predominantly female (N= 2,079; 87%) and White (N= 1,970; 83%). Mean (SD) age was 54.9 (12.6) years, mean (SD) education was 15.0 (3.7) years, and mean (SD) BMI was 25.3 (5.6). Most participants were from the United States (N=813; 34%), France (N=713; 30%), or Canada (N=515; 22%). Mean (SD) time in years since onset of first non-Raynaud's symptoms was 10.9 (8.8), and 904 (38%) participants had diffuse SSc. Table 1 shows participant sociodemographic and disease characteristics, including the number with data for each variable, for the full sample and by disease subtype. See Supplementary Table S2 for participant characteristics by sex.

As shown in Table 2, the mean (SD) physical function score in the full sample was 43.7 (8.9), which is considerably lower than the United States general population mean (SD) of 50 (10). Among all participants, 1,005 (42%) had physical function scores within normal limits (T-score > 45); 508 (21%) reported mild impairment (T-score 40 to 45), 787 (33%) moderate impairment (T-score 30 to 39.9); and 85 (4%) severe impairment (T-score < 30). By country, mean (SD) scores ranged from 41.8 (9.9) among 241 participants from the UK to 45.5 (8.4) in 101 participants from Australia, Mexico, or Spain. Participants with diffuse SSc reported somewhat lower mean (SD) physical function scores (42.0 [8.4]) than those with limited or sine SSc (44.8 [8.9]). Scores for females and males were similar.

In the main multivariable analysis (Table 3), among sociodemographic variables, older age (-0.74 points per SD in years, 95% CI -0.78 to -1.08); female sex (-1.35 points, 95% CI -2.37 to -0.34); fewer years of education (-0.41 points per SD in years, 95% CI -0.75 to -0.07); and being single, divorced or separated, or widowed (-0.76 points, 95% CI -1.48 to -0.03) were associated with lower physical function. Among lifestyle variables, there were significant associations with smoking (-3.14 points, 95% CI -4.42 to -1.85), alcohol consumption (0.79 points per SD in drinks per week, 95% CI 0.45 to 1.14), and BMI (-1.41 points per SD in BMI, 95% CI -1.75 to -1.07). Among disease variables, there were significant associations with diffuse subtype (-1.43 points, 95% CI -2.23 to -0.62), gastrointestinal involvement (-2.58 points, 95% CI -3.53 to -1.62), digital ulcers (-1.96 points, 95% CI -2.94 to -0.98), moderate (-1.94 points, 95% CI -2.94 to -0.93) and severe (-1.76 points, 95% CI -3.24 to -0.28) small joint contractures, moderate (-2.10 points, 95% CI -3.44 to -0.76) and severe (-2.54 points, 95% CI -4.64 to -0.44) large joint contractures, interstitial lung disease (-1.52 points, 95% CI -2.27 to -0.77), and pulmonary arterial hypertension (-3.72 points, 95% CI -4.91 to -2.52). Among overlap syndromes, rheumatoid arthritis (-2.10 points, 95% CI -3.64 to -0.56) and idiopathic inflammatory myositis (-2.10 points, 95% CI -3.63 to -0.56) were significantly associated. Variables not significantly associated were race or ethnicity, country, years since first non-Raynaud's syndrome, presence of current or past tendon friction rubs, history of SSc renal crisis, systemic lupus erythematosus, Sjogren's syndrome, autoimmune thyroid disease, and primary biliary cirrhosis. Adjusted R^2 for the final model was 0.17.

In sensitivity analyses, complete case analysis results, which included 1,663 participants, were similar to those of main analyses (see Supplementary Table S3). When adding pruritus and pain intensity to the model, both pruritus (-0.68 points per SD in pruritus, 95% CI -1.00 to -0.37)

and pain intensity (-4.55 points per SD in pain intensity, 95% CI -4.87 to -4.24) were associated with lower physical function. In the analysis that used mRSS instead of disease subtype, mRSS was significantly associated with worse physical function (-0.99 points per SD in mRSS score, 95% CI -1.41 to -0.58). Lastly, when adding SSc-related antibodies to the model, we found Anti-topoisomerase I [Scl70] (positive) to have a significant association (0.96 points, 95% CI 0.04 to 1.89). No results from other variables changed substantively in sensitivity analyses. See Supplementary Tables S4 to S6.

DISCUSSION

Among 2,385 participants with SSc from 7 countries, the mean T-score for physical function was 43.7, which is approximately 2/3 of a SD below the US general population (mean = 50, SD = 10). There were 58% of participants with mild (21%), moderate (33%), or severely impaired (4%) physical function. We found that disease variables associated with worse physical function included diffuse SSc subtype or mRSS, gastrointestinal involvement, digital ulcers, the presence of moderate or severe small or large joint contractures, interstitial lung disease, pulmonary arterial hypertension, and the presence of overlap syndromes including rheumatoid arthritis and idiopathic inflammatory myositis. We also found that older age; female sex; fewer years of education; being single, divorced/separated, or widowed; smoking; fewer alcoholic drinks per week; and increased BMI were associated with worse physical function.

We assessed pain separately, in a sensitivity analysis, due to overlap that may occur in measuring pain and physical function. We found that pain likely plays an important role in an individual's ability to complete physical tasks. More specifically, we found that pain was strongly negatively associated with physical function (-4.55 points per SD in pain intensity, 95% CI -4.87 to -4.24). This finding is consistent with results from a previous SPIN study on pain

intensity and interference in SSc (N = 2,157), which found that 38% of participants reported moderate or severe pain intensity, and 35% reported moderate or severe pain interference with their ability to carry out daily activities.²⁵

Our findings on physical function are generally consistent with two prior studies including the next largest study of people with SSc and a large study of people with other rheumatic diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis or systemic lupus erythematosus.^{26,27} A study of 477 Australian patients with SSc reported a mean (SD) on the PROMIS-29v1 Physical Function domain of 41.9 (8.6).²⁶ The study of 4,346 participants with rheumatoid arthritis and 240 with systemic lupus erythematosus reported PROMIS-29 Physical Function domain means (SD) of 42.0 (9.1) for rheumatoid arthritis, and 43.9 (9.7) for systemic lupus erythematosus.²⁷ No previous study has examined the association of a large number of physician-assessed SSc disease manifestations with physical function, as we did in the present study. The long list of disease factors that we found to be associated with lower physical function highlights the many challenges faced by people with SSc. SSc is a highly heterogeneous disease, but several key factors that are commonly experienced, including diffuse disease subtype, gastrointestinal involvement, and interstitial lung disease, were robustly associated with physical function.

The adjusted R^2 for our main multivariable regression model was 0.17. This may appear low, but it is expected in samples comprised entirely of people with a chronic condition as all have the similar experience of living with the condition. High R^2 values are important in predictive modelling, but much less so when models are used for testing hypotheses about possible associations of variables of interest with critical patient-important outcomes. In this case, including in the present study, having a sufficiently large sample size to generate reasonably precise parameter estimates is a more important consideration.²³

Management strategies and interventions to address the high level of impairment in physical function in SSc are needed. A 2019 systematic review on the effect and safety of exercise therapy in patients with SSc only found 4 randomized controlled trials (RCTs), all with small samples (maximum N per trial arm = 16), and concluded that there was sparse evidence that could not be used to draw strong conclusions on effectiveness.²⁸ A 2017 RCT (N = 220) compared a 1-month personalized physical therapy program involving trained physiotherapists and occupational therapist to usual care in patients with SSc and reported short-term effects on disability but minimal positive long-term outcomes.²⁹

Self-management programs are commonly used to help people more effectively manage their disease in arthritis²⁸ and other common chronic conditions.³¹ In SSc, an RCT (N = 267) tested the effects of a self-administered internet-based self-management program in comparison to an educational booklet on improving self-efficacy for disease management but did not find the intervention to be statistically superior to control.³² Currently, SPIN is conducting a trial to compare the SPIN-SELF program, a self-management program that provides patients with essential knowledge and coping skills to help better manage day-to-day problems in SSc, to usual care.³³ This program combines self-management modules with expert and patient instructions delivered online with support from peer-led groups.³³

Strengths of our study include its large international sample with participants from 53 SPIN sites across 7 countries; the inclusion of a large number of sociodemographic, lifestyle, and physician-assessed disease-related factors in analyses; and the involvement of people with lived SSc experience in the project via leadership in SPIN and participation in the study. There are also limitations to consider. First, the SPIN Cohort is a convenience sample. However, a comparison with the European Scleroderma Trials and Research and Canadian Scleroderma

Research Group cohorts indicated broad comparability of participant characteristics, which supports generalizability in SSc.⁵ Second, participants were required to answer questions via online questionnaires, which may potentially reduce generalizability of results. Third, our study was cross-sectional, which does not allow us to infer causality based on our results.

In summary, we found that physical function in patients with SSc is substantially impaired on average and that many factors likely contribute to this. SSc disease manifestations associated with lower physical function included disease subtype and skin score, gastrointestinal involvement, digital ulcers, small or large joint contractures, interstitial lung disease, pulmonary arterial hypertension, rheumatoid arthritis, idiopathic inflammatory myositis, as well as pruritus and pain intensity, and anti-topoisomerase I [Scl70]. Many of these had strong associations with physical function such as smoking, GI involvement, large joint contractures, pulmonary arterial hypertension, rheumatoid arthritis, and idiopathic inflammatory myositis. More studies are needed to better understand the role each of these factors play in physical function and to develop strategies that target specific factors to improve function. Meanwhile, health-care providers should work with patients to identify and address SSc-related factors that are associated with limitations in physical function and help them find ways to cope with the disease and its symptoms.

Contributions: TDS, DBR, MEC, BL, LK, MCG, AB, BDT contributed to study conceptualization; GVG, BL to data curation; TDS, GVG, BL to formal analysis; TDS, MEC, LK, BDT to funding acquisition; TDS, DBR, MEC, LK, SJB, AG, KG, GG, MH, LKH, VM, MDM, LM, MR, MS, RW, BDT to investigation; TDS, DBR, MEC, BL, LK, BDT to methodology; MEC to project administration; DBR, MCG, AB, BDT to supervision; TDS to visualization; TDS, BDT to writing the original draft; and all authors reviewing and editing the final draft.

Funding Statement: The Scleroderma Patient-centered Intervention Network (SPIN) Cohort has received funding from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR); the Lady Davis Institute for Medical Research of the Jewish General Hospital, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; the Jewish General Hospital Foundation, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; and McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada. SPIN has also received support from the Scleroderma Society of Ontario; Scleroderma Canada; Sclérodermie Québec; Scleroderma Manitoba; Scleroderma Atlantic; the Scleroderma Association of BC; Scleroderma SASK; Scleroderma Australia; Scleroderma New South Wales; Scleroderma Victoria; and Scleroderma Queensland. TDS was supported by a CIHR Masters Award and MCG and BDT by Canada Research Chairs, all outside of the present work. No sponsor had any role in the study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of the data; in the writing of the report; or in the decision to submit the paper for publication.

Conflicts of Interest: All authors have completed the ICJME uniform disclosure form and declare no support from any organisation for the submitted work and no financial relationships

with any organisations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous three years. All authors declare no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

Data Availability: De-identified individual participant data with a data dictionary and analysis codes that were used to generate the results reported in this article will be made available upon request to the corresponding author and presentation of a methodologically sound proposal that is approved by the Scleroderma Patient-centered Intervention Network Data Access and Publications Committee. Data requesters will need to sign a data transfer agreement.

REFERENCES

1. Allanore Y, Simms R, Distler O, Trojanowska M, Pope J, Denton C, et al. Systemic sclerosis. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* 2015;1:15002. doi:10.1038/nrdp.2015.2
2. Hudson M, Thombs BD, Steele R, Panopalis P, Newton E. Health-related quality of life in systemic sclerosis: a systematic review. *Arthritis Care Res* 2009;61:1112-1120. doi:10.1002/art.24676
3. Li L, Cui Y, Chen S, Zhao Q, Fu T, Ji J, et al. The impact of systemic sclerosis on health-related quality of life assessed by SF-36: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Rheum Dis* 2018;21:1884-1893. doi:10.1111/1756-185X.13438
4. El-Baalbaki G, Razykov I, Hudson M, Bassel M, Baron M, Thombs BD. Association of pruritus with quality of life and disability in systemic sclerosis. *Arthritis Care Res* 2010;62:1489-1495. doi:10.1002/acr.20257
5. Dougherty DH, Kwakkenbos L, Carrier ME, Salazar G, Assassi S, Baron M, et al. The Scleroderma Patient-Centered Intervention Network Cohort: baseline clinical features and comparison with other large scleroderma cohorts. *Rheumatology* 2018;57:1623-1631. doi:10.1093/rheumatology/key139
6. Kwakkenbos L, Jewett LR, Baron M, Bartlett SJ, Furst D, Gottesman K, et al. The Scleroderma Patient-centered Intervention Network (SPIN) Cohort: protocol for a cohort multiple randomised controlled trial (cmRCT) design to support trials of psychosocial and rehabilitation interventions in a rare disease context. *BMJ Open* 2013;3. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2013-003563

7. The Scleroderma Patient-centered Intervention Network [Internet]. [Cited 2023, August 22]. Available from: <https://www.spinsclero.com/en/about>
8. Vandembroucke JP, von Elm E, Altman DG, Gotsche PC, Mulrow CD, Pocock SJ, et al. Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE): explanation and elaboration. *Ann Intern Med* 2007;147:W163-94. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-147-8-200710160-00010-w1
9. Text Recycling Research Project [Internet]. Best practices for researchers. [Cited 2023, August 22]. Available from: <https://textrecycling.org/resources/best-practices-for-researchers/>
10. van den Hoogen F, Khanna D, Fransen J, Johnson SR, Baron M, Tyndall A, et al. 2013 classification criteria for systemic sclerosis: an American College of Rheumatology/European League Against Rheumatism collaborative initiative. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2013;72:1747-1755. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2013-204424
11. Hays RD, Spritzer KL, Schalet BD, Cella D. PROMIS(®)-29 v2.0 profile physical and mental health summary scores. *Qual Life Res* 2018;27:1885-1891. doi:10.1007/s11136-018-1842-3
12. HealthMeasures [Internet]. Intro to PROMIS. [Cited 2023, August 22]. Available from: <https://www.healthmeasures.net/explore-measurement-systems/promis/intro-to-promis>
13. HealthMeasures [Internet]. PROMIS score cut points. [Cited 2023, August 22]. Available from: <https://www.healthmeasures.net/score-and-interpret/interpret-scores/promis/promis-score-cut-points>

14. Kwakkenbos L, Thombs BD, Khanna D, Carrier ME, Baron M, Furst D, et al. Performance of the Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System-29 in scleroderma: a Scleroderma Patient-centered Intervention Network Cohort study. *Rheumatology* 2017;56:1302-1311. doi:10.1093/rheumatology/kex055
15. Phan NQ, Blome C, Fritz F, Gerss J, Reich A, Ebata T, et al. Assessment of pruritus intensity: prospective study on validity and reliability of the visual analogue scale, numerical rating scale and verbal rating scale in 471 patients with chronic pruritus. *Acta Derm Venereol* 2012;92:502-507. doi:10.2340/00015555-1246
16. Salaffi F, Stancati A, Silvestri CA, Ciapetti A, Grassi W. Minimal clinically important changes in chronic musculoskeletal pain intensity measured on a numerical rating scale. *Eur J Pain* 2004;8:283-291. doi:10.1016/j.ejpain.2003.09.004
17. Woo A, Lechner B, Fu T, Wong CS, Chiu N, Lam H, et al. Cut points for mild, moderate, and severe pain among cancer and non-cancer patients: a literature review. *Ann Palliat Med* 2015;4:176-183. doi:10.3978/j.issn.2224-5820.2015.09.04
18. El-Baalbaki G, Lober J, Hudson M, Baron Murray, Thombs BD. Measuring pain in systemic sclerosis: comparison of the short-form McGill Pain Questionnaire versus a single-item measure of pain. *J Rheumatol* 2011;38:2581-2587. doi:10.3899/jrheum.110592
19. Schieir O, Thombs BD, Hudson M, Boivin JF, Steele R, Bernatsky S, et al. Prevalence, severity, and clinical correlates of pain in patients with systemic sclerosis. *Arthritis Care Res* 2010;62:409-417. doi:10.1002/acr.20108

20. Thombs BD, Hudson M, Taillefer SS, Baron M. Prevalence and clinical correlates of symptoms of depression in patients with systemic sclerosis. *Arthritis Care Res* 2008;59:504-509. doi:10.1002/art.23524
21. Thombs BD, Hudson M, Bassel M, Taillefer SS, Baron M. Sociodemographic, disease, and symptom correlates of fatigue in systemic sclerosis: evidence from a sample of 659 Canadian Scleroderma Research Group Registry patients. *Arthritis Rheum* 2009;61:966-973. doi:10.1002/art.24614
22. Levis B, Kwakkenbos L, Hudson M, Baron M, Thombs BD. The association of sociodemographic and objectively-assessed disease variables with fatigue in systemic sclerosis: an analysis of 785 Canadian Scleroderma Research Group Registry patients. *Clin Rheumatol* 2017;36:373-379. doi:10.1007/s10067-016-3501-9
23. Allison P. *Multiple Regression: A Primer*. Sage Publications; 1999.
24. van Buuren S, Groothuis-Oudshoorn K. mice: Multivariate Imputation by Chained Equations in R. *J Stat Softw* 2011;45:1-67. doi:10.18637/jss.v045.i03
25. Lee YC, Fox RS, Kwakkenbos L, Levis B, Carrier ME, Welling J, et al. Pain levels and associated factors in the Scleroderma Patient-centered Intervention Network (SPIN) Cohort: a multicentre cross-sectional study. *Lancet Rheumatol* 2021;3:e844-e854. doi: 10.1016/S2665-9913(21)00318-0
26. Morrisroe K, Stevens W, Huq M, Sahhar J, Ngian GS, Zochling J, et al. Validity of the PROMIS-29 in a large Australian cohort of patients with systemic sclerosis. *J Scleroderma Relat Disord* 2017;2:188-195. doi: 10.5301/jsrd.5000243

27. Katz P, Pedro S, Michaud K. Performance of the Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System 29-Item Profile in rheumatoid arthritis, osteoarthritis, fibromyalgia, and systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Care Res* 2017;69:1312-1321. doi: 10.1002/acr.23183
28. Liem SIE, Vliet Vlieland TPM, Schoones JW, de Vries-Bouwstra JK. The effect and safety of exercise therapy in patients with systemic sclerosis: a systematic review. *Rheumatol Adv Pract* 2019;0:1-13. doi:10.1093/rap/rkz044
29. Rannou F, Boutron I, Mouthon L, Sanchez K, Tiffreau V, Hachulla E, et al. Personalized physical therapy versus usual care for patients with systemic sclerosis: a randomized controlled trial. *Arthritis Care Res* 2017;69:1050-1059. doi:10.1002/acr.23098
30. Marques A, Santos E, Nikiphorou E, Bosworth A, Carmona L. Effectiveness of self-management interventions in inflammatory arthritis: a systematic review informing the 2021 EULAR recommendations for the implementation of self-management strategies in patients with inflammatory arthritis. *RMD Open* 2021;7:e001647. doi:10.1136/rmdopen-2021-001647
31. Coster S, Li Y, Norman I.J. Cochrane reviews of educational and self-management interventions to guide nursing practice: a review. *Int J Nurs Stud* 2020;110:103698. doi:10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2020.103698
32. Khanna D, Serrano J, Berrocal VJ, Silver RM, Cuencas P, Newbill SL, et al. A randomized controlled trial to evaluate an internet-based self-management program in systemic sclerosis. *Arthritis Care Res* 2020;71:435–447. doi:10.1002/acr.23595

33. Kwakkenbos L, Østbø N, Carrier ME, Nielson WR, Fedoruk C, Levis B, et al. Randomized feasibility trial of the Scleroderma Patient-centered Intervention Network Self-Management (SPIN-SELF) Program. *Pilot Feasibility Stud* 2022;8:45. doi: 10.1186/s40814-022-00994-5

Table 1. Sample sociodemographic and disease characteristics

	Full sample (N = 2,385)		Limited SSc ^a (N = 1,456)		Diffuse SSc (N = 904)	
	N ^b	Mean (SD) or N (%)	N	Mean (SD) or N (%)	N	Mean (SD) or N (%)
Age (years)	2,381	54.9 (12.6)	1,452	56.6 (12.4)	904	52.1 (12.4)
Sex	2,385		1,456		904	
Female		2,079 (87%)		1,299 (89%)		759 (84%)
Male		306 (13%)		157 (11%)		145 (16%)
Education (years)	2,377	15.0 (3.7)	1,450	14.9 (3.8)	902	15.1 (3.6)
Marital status	2,381		1,453		903	
Married or living as married		1,661 (70%)		1,035 (71%)		611 (68%)
Single, divorced/separated, widowed		720 (30%)		418 (29%)		292 (32%)
Race or ethnicity	2,379		1,453		901	
White		1,970 (83%)		1,268 (87%)		684 (76%)
Non-white		409 (17%)		185 (13%)		217 (24%)
Country	2,383		1,455		903	
United States		813 (34%)		436 (30%)		370 (41%)
France		713 (30%)		470 (32%)		241 (27%)
Canada		515 (22%)		332 (23%)		173 (19%)
United Kingdom		241 (10%)		143 (10%)		94 (10%)
Australia, Mexico, Spain		101 (4%)		74 (5%)		25 (3%)
Smoking status	2,382		1,454		903	
Smoker		177 (7%)		118 (8%)		55 (6%)
Non-smoker		2,205 (93%)		1,336 (92%)		848 (94%)
Alcohol consumption (drinks per week)	2,379	2.0 (4.1)	1,453	2.1 (4.3)	901	1.8 (3.5)
Body mass index	2,385	25.3 (5.6)	1,456	25.5 (5.6)	904	24.9 (5.7)
Years since first non-Raynaud's symptoms	2,190	10.9 (8.8)	1,325	12.1 (9.3)	843	8.9 (7.4)
Disease subtype	2,360		1,456		904	
Diffuse		904 (38%)		0 (0%)		904 (100%)
Limited or sine ^a		1,456 (62%)		1,456 (100%)		0 (0%)
mRSS	1,983	7.7 (8.0)	1,213	4.2 (4.2)	754	13.4 (9.4)
Gastrointestinal involvement	2,353		1,442		891	
Yes		2,016 (85%)		1,225 (85%)		779 (87%)
No		337 (14%)		217 (15%)		112 (13%)
Digital ulcers	2,287		1,407		859	
Yes		365 (16%)		132 (9%)		228 (27%)
No		1,922 (84%)		1,275 (91%)		631 (73%)
Tendon friction rubs	2,100		1,308		775	
Current		232 (11%)		120 (9%)		110 (14%)
Past		221 (11%)		41 (3%)		178 (23%)
Never		1,647 (78%)		1,147 (88%)		487 (63%)
Small Joint Contractures	2,255		1,388		848	
None or mild		1,663 (74%)		1,176 (85%)		473 (56%)
Moderate		424 (19%)		161 (12%)		260 (31%)
Severe		168 (7%)		51 (4%)		115 (14%)
Large Joint Contractures	2,211		1,359		833	
None or mild		1,937 (88%)		1,263 (93%)		658 (79%)
Moderate		200 (9%)		65 (5%)		134 (16%)
Severe		74 (3%)		31 (2%)		41 (5%)
History of SSc renal crisis	2,351		1,442		890	

Yes		101 (4%)		24 (2%)		77 (9%)
No		2,250 (96%)		1,418 (98%)		813 (91%)
Interstitial lung disease	2,335		1,431		883	
Yes		827 (35%)		390 (27%)		432 (49%)
No		1,508 (65%)		1,041 (73%)		451 (51%)
Pulmonary arterial hypertension	2,271		1,398		852	
Yes		207 (9%)		131 (9%)		74 (9%)
No		2,064 (91%)		1,267 (91%)		778 (91%)
Pruritus	2,154	1.8 (2.6)	1,300	1.6 (2.5)	831	2.1 (2.8)
Pain intensity	2,385	3.6 (2.6)	1,456	3.5 (2.6)	904	3.8 (2.6)
Pain interference	2,384	55.5 (9.7)	1,455	54.8 (9.6)	904	56.6 (9.7)
Systemic lupus erythematosus	2,323		1,428		876	
Yes		65 (3%)		44 (3%)		20 (2%)
No		2,258 (97%)		1,384 (97%)		856 (98%)
Rheumatoid arthritis	2,322		1,426		877	
Yes		125 (5%)		64 (4%)		59 (7%)
No		2,197 (95%)		1,362 (96%)		818 (93%)
Sjogren's syndrome	2,285		1,403		863	
Yes		176 (8%)		124 (9%)		52 (6%)
No		2,109 (92%)		1,279 (91%)		811 (94%)
Autoimmune thyroid disease	2,277		1,397		861	
Yes		143 (6%)		99 (7%)		44 (5%)
No		2,134 (94%)		1,298 (93%)		817 (95%)
Idiopathic inflammatory myositis	2,322		1,430		872	
Yes		121 (5%)		60 (4%)		59 (7%)
No		2,201 (95%)		1,370 (96%)		813 (93%)
Primary biliary cirrhosis	2,301		1,413		869	
Yes		44 (2%)		38 (3%)		5 (1%)
No		2,257 (98%)		1,375 (97%)		864 (99%)
Antinuclear antibodies	2,194		1,360		818	
Positive		2,069 (94%)		1,296 (95%)		757 (93%)
Negative		125 (6%)		64 (5%)		61 (7%)
Anti-centromere	1,861		1,171		680	
Positive		665 (36%)		609 (52%)		54 (8%)
Negative		1,196 (64%)		562 (48%)		626 (92%)
Anti-topoisomerase I [Scl70]	2,077		1,267		799	
Positive		555 (27%)		243 (19%)		311 (39%)
Negative		1,522 (73%)		1,024 (81%)		488 (61%)
Anti-RNA polymerase III	1,353		808		539	
Positive		245 (18%)		49 (6%)		195 (36%)
Negative		1,108 (82%)		759 (94%)		344 (64%)

^aIncludes 73 participants with sine SSs; ^bN for some variables < 2,385 due to missing data.

Table 2. Physical function by country, disease subtype, and sex

	T-score mean	Within normal limits (T-score > 45)	Mild (T-score 40 to 45)	Moderate (T-score 30 to 39.9)	Severe (T-score < 30)
Full sample (N = 2,385)	43.7 (8.9)	1,005 (42%)	508 (21%)	787 (33%)	85 (4%)
Country					
USA (N = 813)	43.6 (8.6)	331 (41%)	186 (23%)	274 (34%)	22 (3%)
France (N = 713)	44.2 (8.6)	319 (45%)	153 (22%)	223 (31%)	18 (3%)
Canada (N = 515)	43.7 (9.1)	220 (43%)	105 (20%)	169 (33%)	21 (4%)
UK (N = 241)	41.8 (9.9)	88 (37%)	36 (15%)	94 (39%)	23 (10%)
Other ^a (N = 101)	45.5 (8.4)	47 (47%)	27 (27%)	26 (26%)	1 (1%)
SSc Subtype					
Limited or sine ^b (N = 1,456)	44.8 (8.9)	681 (47%)	302 (21%)	429 (30%)	44 (3%)
Diffuse (N = 904)	42.0 (8.4)	317 (35%)	202 (22%)	348 (39%)	37 (4%)
Sex					
Female (N = 2,079)	43.6 (8.9)	878 (42%)	436 (21%)	688 (33%)	77 (4%)
Male (N = 306)	44.4 (8.9)	127 (42%)	72 (24%)	99 (32%)	8 (0%)

^aIncludes 40 participants in Australia, 21 in Mexico, and 40 in Spain; ^bIncludes 73 participants with sine SSc

Table 3. Linear regression analysis of sociodemographic and disease characteristic associations with physical function

	Physical Function Full Sample (N = 2,385)	
	Unadjusted Regression Coefficient (95% CI) ^a	Adjusted Regression Coefficient (95% CI) ^a
Sociodemographic variables and body mass index (BMI)		
Age (years standardized)	-0.47 (-0.84, -0.11)	-0.74 (-0.78, -1.08)
Male sex (reference = female)	0.81 (-0.26, 1.87)	1.35 (0.34, 2.37)
Years of education (years standardized)	0.72 (0.36, 1.08)	0.41 (0.07, 0.75)
Single, divorced/separated, or widowed (reference = married or living as married)	-1.22 (-1.99, -0.44)	-0.76 (-1.48, -0.03)
Non-White (reference = White)	-1.30 (-2.25, -0.36)	-0.76 (-1.67, 0.15)
Country (reference = United States)		
Canada	0.12 (-0.86, 1.09)	-0.23 (-1.15, 0.70)
United Kingdom	-1.80 (-3.07, -0.53)	-1.17 (-2.41, 0.07)
France	0.62 (-0.27, 1.51)	0.68 (-0.21, 1.56)
Other (Australia, Mexico, Spain)	1.97 (0.14, 3.80)	1.42 (-0.29, 3.13)
Lifestyle variables and body mass index (BMI)		
Smoker (reference = non-smoker)	-1.92 (-3.28, -0.56)	-3.14 (-4.42, -1.85)
Alcohol consumption (drinks per week standardized)	1.03 (0.67, 1.39)	0.79 (0.45, 1.14)
BMI (standardized)	-1.27 (-1.63, -0.91)	-1.41 (-1.75, -1.07)
Disease variables		
Years since first non-Raynaud's symptoms (years standardized)	-0.32 (-0.69, 0.05)	-0.07 (-0.44, 0.30)
Diffuse subtype (reference = limited or sine)	-2.80 (-3.53, -2.08)	-1.43 (-2.23, -0.62)
Gastrointestinal involvement (reference = no)	-3.45 (-4.46, -2.44)	-2.58 (-3.53, -1.62)
Digital ulcers (reference = no)	-3.55 (-4.53, -2.57)	-1.96 (-2.94, -0.98)
Tendon friction rubs (reference = never)		
Current	-2.97 (-4.14, -1.81)	-0.79 (-1.95, 0.37)
Past	-2.41 (-3.6, -1.23)	-0.16 (-1.39, 1.07)
Small joint contractures (reference = none or mild)		
Moderate	-3.50 (-4.44, -2.57)	-1.94 (-2.94, -0.93)
Severe	-4.77 (-6.12, -3.41)	-1.76 (-3.24, -0.28)
Large joint contractures (reference = none or mild)		
Moderate	-4.55 (-5.83, -3.28)	-2.10 (-3.44, -0.76)
Severe	-4.36 (-6.42, -2.30)	-2.54 (-4.64, -0.44)
History of SSc renal crisis (reference = no)	-3.38 (-5.14, -1.62)	-0.43 (-2.11, 1.24)
Interstitial lung disease (reference = no)	-2.77 (-3.52, -2.02)	-1.52 (-2.27, -0.77)
Pulmonary arterial hypertension (reference = no)	-5.18 (-6.42, -3.95)	-3.72 (-4.91, -2.52)
Overlap syndromes		
Systemic lupus erythematosus (reference = no)	-2.49 (-4.68, -0.29)	-1.71 (-3.77, 0.35)
Rheumatoid arthritis (reference = no)	-4.04 (-5.63, -2.44)	-2.10 (-3.64, -0.56)
Sjogren's syndrome (reference = no)	-2.32 (-3.72, -0.92)	-0.86 (-2.19, 0.47)
Autoimmune thyroid disease (reference = no)	-0.70 (-2.21, 0.81)	0.06 (-1.34, 1.47)
Idiopathic inflammatory myositis (reference = no)	-3.78 (-5.40, -2.16)	-2.10 (-3.63, -0.56)
Primary biliary cirrhosis (reference = no)	0.64 (-1.99, 3.28)	0.81 (-1.64, 3.26)

^aAll regression coefficients are unstandardized. Standardized predictor variables calculated by subtracting raw scores from mean and dividing by standard deviation. Bolded results are statistically significant (P < 0.05). Adjusted R² = 0.17.