1	Two years of longitudinal measurements of human adenovirus group F, norovirus GI and GII,
2	rotavirus, enterovirus, enterovirus D68, hepatitis A virus, Candida auris, and West Nile virus
3	nucleic-acids in wastewater solids: A retrospective study at two wastewater treatment plants
4	
5	
6	
7	Alexandria B. Boehm ^{1*} , Marlene K. Wolfe ² , Bradley J. White ³ , Bridgette Hughes ³ , Dorothea
8	Duong ³
9	1. Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering, School of Engineering and Doerr
10	School of Sustainability, Stanford University, 473 Via Ortega, Stanford, CA, USA 94305
11	2. Gangarosa Department of Environmental Health, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory
12	University, 1518 Clifton Rd, Atlanta, GA, USA, 30322
13	Verily Life Sciences LLC, South San Francisco, CA, USA, 94080
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: aboehm@stanford.edu , Tel:
19	650-724-9128
20	
21	
22	

23 Abstract

24

25 Wastewater monitoring for infectious disease targets is increasingly used to better understand 26 circulation of diseases. The present study validated hydrolysis-probe digital droplet (reverse-27 transcriptase (RT))-PCR assays for important enteric viruses (rotavirus, adenovirus group F, 28 norovirus GI and GII, and enteroviruses), outbreak or emerging viruses (hepatitis A and West 29 Nile virus), and an emerging drug resistant fungal pathogen (Candida auris). We used the 30 assays to retrospectively measure concentrations of the targets in wastewater solids. Viral and 31 fungal nucleic-acid concentrations were measured in two wastewater solids samples per week 32 at two wastewater treatment plants in the San Francisco Bay Area of California, USA for 26 33 months. We detected all targets in wastewater solids with the exception of West Nile virus. At 34 both wastewater treatment plants, human adenovirus group F was detected at the highest 35 concentrations, followed by norovirus GII, enteroviruses, norovirus GI, and rotavirus at the 36 lowest concentrations. Hepatitis A and C. auris were detected less consistently than the 37 aforementioned viruses. Enterovirus D68 was detected in a limited time frame during fall 2022 38 at both sites. The measurements reported herein, and in some cases their seasonal trends, are 39 consistent with previous reports of these targets in wastewater. These measurements represent 40 some of the first quantitative measurements of these infectious disease targets in the solid 41 fraction of wastewater. This study lays a foundation for the use of wastewater solids for the 42 detection of specific infectious disease targets in wastewater monitoring programs aimed to 43 better understand the spread of these diseases.

- 44
- 45

46 Introduction

47

Infectious diseases are a leading cause of human morbidity and mortality globally¹, and climate 48

49 change is projected to exacerbate the spread of critical and emerging diseases ^{2,3}. Tracking

50 infectious disease in individuals and populations is essential to inform prevention and response,

51 including vaccine development, vaccination campaigns, pharmaceutical and non-

52 pharmaceutical interventions, and also to better understand disease epidemiology.

53

54 Infectious disease surveillance typically relies on clinical data. However, this data can be biased 55 towards results from individuals with severe illness.comorbidities, and access to healthcare and 56 diagnostic testing. In addition, clinical cases may not be reported to a centralized agency for 57 collation, and if they are, it may take weeks for data to be organized and delivered to a public 58 health agency for consumption. The biases and delays related to clinical data can therefore lead 59 to missed opportunities to protect public health, particularly at critical times at the emergence of 60 a disease, or the beginning and peak of an outbreak.

61

62 Wastewater monitoring for infectious disease surveillance can be used to assess the

63 occurrence of diseases in populations. Wastewater contains excretions from individuals that

64 enter drains and toilets in households and businesses including feces, urine, sputum, mucus

- 65 and saliva. When individuals are infected by a pathogen, then that pathogen or its components
- 66 (nucleic-acids and proteins) are excreted and often enter the wastewater. When wastewater is

67 collected at a wastewater treatment plant (WWTP), it represents a composite sample of all the

- people in the sewershed that the WWTP serves (anywhere from $\sim 10^4$ 10^6 individuals). 68
- including contributions of all infected individuals in the community. Unlike clinical data, this 69
- 70 includes those who are asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic and those who do not seek or
- 71 receive medical care. Results regarding the presence and concentration of different infectious
- 72 disease targets (usually genomic nucleic-acids from viruses, bacteria or eukaryotes) can be
- 73 available within 24 hours of sample collection for the community as a whole, limiting reporting
- 74 and collating delays. Therefore, data from wastewater monitoring can be complementary to
- 75 clinical data and may even provide early warnings of disease spread. Work to date suggests
- 76 that wastewater monitoring can be used to detect occurrence of respiratory diseases including COVID-19⁴, influenza A⁵ and B^{5,6}, respiratory syncytial virus (RSV)⁷, and seasonal 77
- coronaviruses⁶, enteric diseases including human norovirus⁸ and salmonellosis⁹, and other 78
- diseases such as mpox¹⁰, hepatitis A¹¹, and *Candida auris*¹² infections. 79
- 80

Raw wastewater is a complex mixture of liquids (water, urine, and other liquids put down 81 82 drains), and solids or particles (feces, food, cells, and other material). Previous work has shown

83 that viruses tend to partition to the solids in wastewater where their concentrations can be

orders of magnitude higher than in the liquid^{10,13–16}. Particles are typically defined using an 84

operational definition (for example, larger than 0.45 µm in diameter), and using this definition, 85

86 bacteria and eukaryotic organisms in wastewater are part of the solid fraction. The solid fraction

87 can be obtained by centrifuging raw wastewater to collect a pellet, or by sampling sludge from the primary clarifier. Many wastewater monitoring programs for infectious disease targets make 88

- measurements in the liquid phase of wastewater, but a handful^{17–19}, including our program, use 89
- solids where these targets are already enriched. 90
- 91

92 The goal of this study is to expand our understanding of the types of infections that may be 93 surveilled through the use of wastewater monitoring. Herein, we conduct a retrospective study 94 to investigate the occurrence of pathogen nucleic acids in wastewater samples over twenty-six 95 months. Our work focuses on analyzing nucleic-acids associated with the particle/solid fraction 96 of wastewater to take advantage of the "natural" ability of solids to concentrate the infectious 97 disease targets of interest. In particular, we measured concentrations of human adenovirus 98 group F, rotavirus, human norovirus GII, human norovirus GI, West Nile virus, hepatitis A virus, 99 enteroviruses, enterovirus D 68, and Candida auris nucleic-acids in wastewater from two 100 samples each week at two large wastewater treatment plants in the San Francisco Bay Area of

- 101 California, USA.
- 102
- 103 Methods
- 104

105 **RT-PCR assays.** We designed novel (RT-)PCR primers and internal hydrolysis probes for 106

adenovirus group F (hereafter, HAdV) and enterovirus D-68 variants circulating in the Northern

107 hemisphere 2022 winter (EVD68-2022). In addition, we designed a novel probe for Candida

- auris to use in conjunction with previously published specific and sensitive primers²⁰. To design 108
- 109 these primers and probes, C. auris, HAdV, and EVD68-2022 genome sequences were
- 110 downloaded from NCBI in February 2023, April 2022, and September 2022, respectively, and

- aligned to identify conserved regions. Primers and probes were developed *in silico* using
- 112 Primer3Plus (https://primer3plus.com/). Parameters used in assay development (e.g. sequence
- 113 length, GC content, and melt temperatures) are provided in Table S1. Primers and probes were
- 114 then screened for specificity *in silico*, and *in vitro* against virus or fungal panels, intact viruses,
- 115 synthetic viral genomic RNA, or cDNA sequences (Table 1).
- 116
- 117 In addition to the novel assays, we used previously published assays for enterovirus D 68
- 118 (EVD68)²¹, rotavirus²², hepatitis A virus (HAV)²³, human norovirus (HuNoV) GI²⁴ and HuNoV
- 119 GII²⁵, enteroviruses (EV)²⁶, and West Nile Virus (WNV)²⁷. Each sample was also assayed for the
- 120 SARS-CoV-2 N gene²⁸, and pepper mild mottle virus M gene (PMMoV)²⁸ using previously
- 121 published assays.
- 122
- 123 All primers and probe sequences (including custom and previously published assays) were
- 124 tested in vitro for specificity and sensitivity using virus or fungal panels (NATtrol Respiratory
- 125 Verification Panel NATRVP2.1-BIO, NATtrol[™] EV Panel NATRVPEVP-C, NATCTVPOS-BD),
- 126 and genomic and synthetic target nucleic acids purchased from American Type Culture
- 127 Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA) or Twist Biosciences (South San Francisco, CA) (Table 1).
- 128 The respiratory virus panel includes chemically inactivated intact influenza viruses,
- 129 parainfluenza viruses, adenovirus, rhinovirus A, metapneumovirus, rhinovirus, RSV, several
- 130 coronaviruses, and SARS-CoV-2; the EV panel includes chemically inactivated intact
- 131 coxsackieviruses, echovirus, and parechovirus. The fungal panel contains chemically
- 132 inactivated fungi. Nucleic acids were extracted from intact viruses or cells using Chemagic Viral
- 133 DNA/RNA 300 Kit H96 for Chemagic 360 (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA).
- 134
- 135 Nucleic acids were used undiluted as template in digital droplet (RT-)PCR singleton assays for
- 136 sensitivity and specificity testing in single wells. The concentration of targets used in the in vitro
- 137 specificity testing was between 10^3 and 10^4 copies per well. Negative (RT-)PCR controls were
- 138 included on each plate.
- 139

Wastewater analyses. Two wastewater treatment plants that serve 75% (1,500,000 people) of
Santa Clara County, California (San José-Santa Clara Regional Wastewater Facility, SJ) and
25% (250,000 people) of San Francisco County (Oceanside Water Pollution Control Plant,
OSP) were included in the study (Figure 1). Further descriptions of these WWTPs can be found

- 144 elsewhere^{10,18}.</sup>
- 145

146 Samples were collected daily for a prospective COVID-19 wastewater monitoring effort starting 147 in November 2020, and a subset of those samples (two samples per week, 459 samples total)

- 148 are used in this study. The samples were chosen to span a 26.5 month period (2/1/21 4/14/23,
- 149 month/day/year format) that included implementation and easing of indoor mask mandates,
- 150 changes in COVID-19 vaccine availability, public health promotion of hand hygiene and mask
- 151 wearing, and periods of both high and low COVID-19 incidence.
- 152
- Fifty mL of settled solids were collected using sterile technique in clean bottles from the primary clarifier. At SJ, twenty-four hour composite samples were collected by combining grab samples

155 from the sludge line every six hours. At OSP, a grab sample was collected from the sludge line 156 each day. Samples were stored at 4°C, transported to the lab, and processed within six hours. Solids were then dewatered²⁹ and frozen at -80°C for 4 - 60 weeks. Samples were thawed 157 158 overnight at 4°C and then nucleic acids were obtained from the dewatered solids following previously published protocols^{28,30}. Those protocols include suspending the solids in a buffer at 159 a concentration of 75 mg/ml and using an inhibitor removal kit; together these processes 160 alleviate potential inhibition while maintaining good assay sensitivity ^{28,31}. Nucleic-acids were 161 162 obtained from 10 replicate sample aliquots. Each replicate nucleic-acid extract from each 163 sample was subsequently stored between 8 and 273 days (median 266 days) for SJ and 164 between 1 and 8 days (median 5 days) for OSP at -80°C and subjected to a single freeze thaw 165 cycle. Upon thawing, targets were measured immediately using using digital droplet (RT-)PCR 166 with multiplexed assays for SARS-CoV-2, rotavirus, HAdV, HAV, HuNoV GI, HuNoV GII, WNV, 167 EVD68, EV, C. auris, and PMMoV. PMMoV is highly abundant in human stool and wastewater ³² and is used as an internal recovery and fecal strength control³³. Each nucleic acid extract was 168 169 run in a single well so that 10 replicate wells were run for each sample for each assay. 170 171 The ddRT-PCR methods applied to wastewater solids to measure PMMoV in a singleplex reaction and are provided in detail elsewhere¹⁸. The human virus assays were run in multiplex 172 173 using a probe-mixing approach and unique fluorescent molecules (HEX, FAM, Cy5, Cy5.5, 174 ROX, ATTO950) in two sets of reactions. One reaction included primers and probes for 175 rotavirus (fluorescent molecule(s) on probe: FAM), SARS-CoV-2 (FAM/HEX), WNV (ROX), 176 HuNoV GII (ATTO590), and HAdV (ROX/ATTO590). The second included primers and probes 177 for EVD68 (FAM), HAV (Cy5), EV (Cy5.5), HuNoV GI (ATTO590), and C. auris 178 (ROX/ATTO590). Note that the two reactions contained several additional primers and probe 179 sets that yielded results not reported herein. In particular, assays targeting the genome of HIV 180 and several influenza subtype markers were included. Each reaction was run on its own 96-well 181 plate. 182 183 Each 96-well PCR plate of wastewater samples included PCR positive controls for each target assaved on the plate in 1 well. PCR negative no template controls in two wells, and extraction 184 185 negative controls (consisting of water and lysis buffer) in two wells. PCR positive controls 186 consisted of viral gRNA or gene blocks (Table S1). 187 188 ddRT-PCR was performed on 20 µl samples from a 22 µl reaction volume, prepared using 5.5 µl 189 template, mixed with 5.5 µl of One-Step RT-ddPCR Advanced Kit for Probes (Bio-Rad 190 1863021), 2.2 µl of 200 U/µl Reverse Transcriptase, 1.1 µl of 300 mM dithiothreitol (DDT) and 191 primers and probes mixtures at a final concentration of 900 nM and 250 nM respectively. Primer 192 and probes for assays were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT, San Diego, 193 CA) (Table 2). Human virus and fungal targets were measured in reactions with undiluted 194 template whereas PMMoV was run on template diluted 1:100 in molecular grade water. 195 196 Droplets were generated using the AutoDG Automated Droplet Generator (Bio-Rad, Hercules, 197 CA). PCR was performed using Mastercycler Pro (Eppendforf, Enfield, CT) with with the

198 following cycling conditions: reverse transcription at 50°C for 60 minutes, enzyme activation at

95°C for 5 minutes, 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 seconds and annealing and
extension at 59°C (for human viruses and fungi) or 56°C (for PMMoV) for 30 seconds, enzyme
deactivation at 98°C for 10 minutes then an indefinite hold at 4°C. The ramp rate for
temperature changes were set to 2°C/second and the final hold at 4°C was performed for a

- 203 minimum of 30 minutes to allow the droplets to stabilize. Droplets were analyzed using the
- 204 QX200 or the QX600 Droplet Reader (Bio-Rad). A well had to have over 10,000 droplets for
- inclusion in the analysis. All liquid transfers were performed using the Agilent Bravo (AgilentTechnologies, Santa Clara, CA).
- 200

Thresholding was done using QuantaSoft[™] Analysis Pro Software (Bio-Rad, version 1.0.596)
and QX Manager Software (Bio-Rad, version 2.0). Replicate wells were merged for analysis of
each sample. In order for a sample to be recorded as positive, it had to have at least 3 positive
droplets.

212

213 Concentrations of RNA targets were converted to concentrations in units of copies (cp)/g dry

214 weight using dimensional analysis. The total error is reported as standard deviations and

215 includes the errors associated with the Poisson distribution and the variability among the 10

216 replicates. Three positive droplets across 10 merged wells corresponds to a concentration

217 between ~500-1000 cp/g; the range in values is a result of the range in the equivalent mass of

218 dry solids added to the wells. Data collected as part of the retrospective study are available from

- 219 the Stanford Digital Repository (https://purl.stanford.edu/qt551tn4819).
- 220

221 **Multiplex assay performance.** Digital droplet PCR is well suited for multiplexing. This is 222 because of the inherent design of the method; there is unlikely to be more than one target 223 nucleic acid per droplet when assaying samples for rare targets like human pathogens. 224 Regardless, we sought to confirm that the multiplexing up to eight assays in one well did not 225 interfere with the quantification of targets. In particular, we tested whether the quantification of a 226 single target in the presence of and absence of relatively high concentrations of the 7 other 227 targets was substantially different. To do so, we first quantified three decimal dilutions of a 228 target nucleic acid in the absence of any other targets using the (RT)-PCR chemistry that 229 included primers and probes for all 8 targets. Then, we quantified the same decimal dilutions of 230 the single target in the presence of relatively high concentrations of standards of the 7 other 231 targets. Each dilution was run in a single well and no template, negative controls were included 232 on each PCR plate. Assays were run and thresholded as described above. Results were 233 expressed as copies per reaction and the 68% confidence intervals, as output by the 234 instrument, were included.

- 235
- 236 SARS-CoV-2 and PMMoV RNA concentrations from prospective study in fresh samples.

237 In order to understand potential losses from storage and freeze thaw of the samples and their

238 nucleic acid extracts, we compared measurements of the SARS-CoV-2 N gene and PMMoV

- RNA measured in this study obtained using the stored samples to measurements from the
- same samples that were not stored and analyzed prospectively for a large monitoring effort;
- samples were analyzed within hours of sample collection. The methods for analysis for the N
- gene through 3/13/23 were identical to those previously described in a Data Descriptor²⁸ with

243 the exact same approaches described in this paper except the assay was multiplexed with two

other assays using a two color droplet reader (QX200, Bio-rad). Between 3/13/23 and 4/15/23,

the N gene was multiplexed with assays for five adjacent SNPs in SARS-CoV-2 XBB*,

respiratory syncytial virus (RSV), influenza A, influenza B, and HuNoV GII in conjunction with a
6-color droplet reader (QX600, Bio-rad).

248

249 Results & Discussion

250

251 QA/QC. Results are reported as suggested in the Environmental Microbiology Minimal Information guidelines³⁴ (Figure S2). Extraction and PCR negative and positive controls 252 performed as expected (negative and positive, respectively). PMMoV measurements were used 253 254 to assess potential for gross extraction failures as it is present in very high concentrations in the 255 samples naturally, and lack of its detection, or abnormally low measurements might indicate 256 gross extraction failures. The median (interguartile range) log₁₀-transformed PMMoV was 9.1 257 (9.0-9.2) and 8.7 (8.6-8.8) log₁₀ copies/g at SJ and OSP, respectively. The lowest 258 measurements at the two sites were 8.5 (SJ) and 8.1 (OSP) log₁₀ copies/g and given these 259 lowest values are within an order of magnitude of the medians, we concluded that there was no 260 gross extraction failures. We opted to not use an exogenous viral control, like bovine coronavirus, owing to the complexities associated with interpreting recovery of an exogenous 261 spiked control in environmental samples³⁵, and its potential to interfere with other uses of the 262 263 samples, for example viral metagenomics.

264

265 We compared measurements of the N gene and PMMoV made on the samples that were fresh 266 to those used in this study that were stored, and for which the RNA underwent one freeze thaw. 267 Median ratio of PMMoV measurements made in this study to those made using fresh samples 268 was 0.9 (0.6-1.2) at SJ and 1.0 (0.7-1.4) at OSP suggesting limited degradation of the PMMoV 269 target in the stored and freeze thawed samples. Median ratio of SARS-CoV-2 N gene 270 measurements made in this study to those made using fresh samples was 0.2 (0.1-0.3) at SJ 271 and 0.4 (0.2-0.6) at OSP suggesting storage and freeze thaw may have reduced measurement 272 concentrations, but by less than an order of magnitude. Although not ideal, storage of samples 273 is essential for retrospective work like this.

274

275 Assay sensitivity and specificity. We tested previously published assays for sensitivity and 276 specificity. In silico analysis indicated no cross reactivity of the (RT-)PCR probe-based assays 277 (Table 2) with sequences deposited in National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI); in 278 vitro testing against non-target and target viral or fungal nucleic-acids also indicated no cross 279 reactivity. The exception was the previously published assay for EVD68 developed by Wylie et 280 al.²¹ in 2015. In silico and in vitro testing indicated the assay was not able to detect the variant of 281 EVD68 circulating in winter 2022 (EVD68-2022). We therefore developed a new set of primers, 282 and modified the probe to include a degeneracy, to amplify and detect the same region of the 283 VP1 gene targeted by the Wylie et al. assay. The EVD68 assay used herein therefore includes 284 two forward and reverse primers in order to ensure detection of all EVD68 variants in NCBI 285 including EVD68-2022. We confirmed that the new assay, with two sets of probes and the

modified probe, was sensitive and specific in silico and in vitro to both the EVD68-2022 strains
and the EVD68 historical strains.

288

We developed a new assay for HAdV. We confirmed assay sensitivity and specificity in silico. In
vitro, there was no cross reactivity between the HAdV assay and non-target viral genomic
nucleic acids. We developed a probe to use in conjunction with previously published primers for *C. auris*. The newly designed assay was also sensitivity and specific based on in vitro and in
silico analysis.

294

In this project, we multiplexed eight different hydrolysis-probe assays in each reaction. We tested whether quantification of a target in the multiplex was affected by the presence of the 7 other nucleic-acid targets. We found that the concentration of each target was not affected by the presence of a high concentration or orthogonal targets as background (Figure 2). This is evidenced by the fact that target nucleic-acid concentrations measured in the absence and presence of background orthogonal nucleic acids are similar across the decimal dilutions tested.

302 Enteric viruses in wastewater solids. Concentrations of EV, HAdV, rotavirus, HuNoV GI, and 303 HuNoV GII nucleic-acids in wastewater solids over the project are shown in Figure 3. Figure S2 304 shows concentrations normalized by PMMoV. All targets were detected in wastewater solids 305 throughout the study with most showing season patterns with higher concentrations in the winter 306 2021-2022 and 2022-2023, but not in winter 2020-2021. The exception is EV which was present 307 even at relatively high concentrations in winter 2020-2021. Viral enteric infections are seasonal with higher rates in the winter months³⁶, so the seasonal patterns in the wastewater are 308 309 consistent with that. The lack of a winter peak in the winter of 2020-2021 may be a result of the 310 non-pharmaceutical interventions in place during the COVID-19 pandemic which may have 311 limited the spread of different infections.

312

313 Median concentrations of each enteric viral target were significantly higher at SJ relative to OSP 314 (Mann-Whitney U Test, all p<0.05) but the effect size was less than 1 order of magnitude (Table 315 3). Interestingly, median concentrations of PMMoV were also higher at SJ, and the normalized 316 concentrations of these targets are more comparable (Figure S2). Within each WWTP, median 317 concentrations of the different viruses ranked highest to lowest were HAdV (highest median 318 concentration of 5.8x10⁵ and 1.9x10⁶ cp/g for OSP and SJ, respectively) followed by HuNoV GII 319 $(5.7 \times 10^5 \text{ and } 7.0 \times 10^5 \text{ cp/g} \text{ for OSP and SJ, respectively})$, EV $(4.1 \times 10^4 \text{ and } 5.4 \times 10^4 \text{ cp/g} \text{ for } 10^5 \text{ cp/g} \text{ cp/g} \text{ for } 10^5 \text{ cp/g} \text{ cp/g} \text{ for } 10^5 \text{ cp/g} \text{ cp/g} \text{ cp/g} \text{ for } 10^5 \text{ cp/g} \text{ cp/g}$ OSP and SJ, respectively), HuNoV GI (2.8x10⁴ and 3.4x10⁴ cp/q for OSP and SJ, respectively), 320 321 and rotavirus with the lowest $(1 \times 10^3 \text{ and } 3.3 \times 10^3 \text{ cp/g} \text{ for OSP}$ and SJ, respectively) (Table 3). 322 Other summary statistics (25th and 75th percentiles, maximums and number of non-detects) 323 generally follow the same pattern.

324

Rotavirus has previously been reported to be present in wastewater sludge around the world^{37–} 326 ³⁹, but quantitative data on its concentration, to our knowledge, have not been reported. In the 327 present study, we observed concentrations in wastewater solids up to 10⁵ cp/g with median 328 concentrations on the order of 10³ cp/g. Concentrations of rotavirus, using both molecular 329 methods and microscopy, in raw sewage has been previously reported to be between 1 and 10⁵

cp/ml⁴⁰⁻⁴² and as high as 100 fluorescent foci per ml^{43,44} with some authors reporting seasonal 330 331 occurrence⁴⁴. The measurements in this study also exhibited a seasonal occurrence with higher concentrations in the winter-spring season. A number of studies have investigated different 332 genotypes of rotavirus in sewage using sequencing methods⁴¹ and this may be an interesting 333 area for further research within wastewater-based epidemiology applications. In our study area, 334 oral, live rotavirus vaccines are administered to infants less than 32 weeks of age, and as a 335 result, cases of rotavirus have decreased since vaccine introduction⁴⁵. Based on available 336 337 sequences of the rotavirus vaccine, the rotavirus assay used in our study may amplify and 338 detect genomic RNA from the vaccine. As infants in our study area wear diapers, including both 339 disposable and cloth, it is unclear to what extent the vaccine strains from infants will appear in 340 wastewater and contribute to the measurements reported herein. However, as vaccine strains are live, transmission to others who do use the wastewater system may be possible⁴⁶, with their 341 342 shedding possibly influencing concentrations of rotavirus RNA in the waste stream.

343

As with rotavirus, there are limited reports of HuNoV GII and GI in wastewater solids³⁷ with 344 345 some exceptions. Boehm et al.¹⁶ report concentrations of HuNoV GII in wastewater solids from 346 these same plants from a different set of samples and found similar concentrations, but they did 347 not report concentrations of HuNoV GI. There is a large number of studies reporting HuNoV in 348 raw sewage, many of which are described in a systematic review by Effim et al.⁴⁷ They report 349 median concentrations globally of 10-100 cp/ml with concentrations of HuNoV GII higher than 350 GI, particularly in North America. This is consistent with our findings that indicate that HuNoV GI 351 is present at an order of magnitude lower concentrations than HuNoV GII. Seasonal variation of HuNoV in sewage has been reported^{8,47}, also consistent with the higher levels we observe in 352 353 wastewater solids in this study in the winter to spring.

354

355 The EV assay we used in this study is a pan-Enterovirus genus assay and therefore detects a 356 number of different viruses including coxsackieviruses, enteroviruses, and echoviruses. The 357 diversity of viruses detected with this assay, and the diversity of diseases associated with them, 358 including meningitis, diarrheal disease, hand-foot-and-mouth disease, and respiratory disease, 359 may explain why the concentrations observed in the present study lack clear seasonal patterns as might be expected for viruses that cause single diseases³⁶. Enteroviruses have been 360 measured extensively in wastewater^{48,49}, and their presence in sludge has been 361 362 documented^{13,50}. Monpoeho et al.⁵⁰ reported up to 23,000 copies of EV RNA per gram of 363 sludge, strikingly consistent with the concentrations observed in this study (median $\sim 10^4$ cp/g). 364 Concentrations of enteroviruses in raw liquid sewage can range from non-detect to 1000 cp/ml 365 depending on location⁴⁸. Enteroviruses have been shown to preferentially adsorb to wastewater solids¹³, but the degree of sorption is governed by the type of enterovirus⁵¹. 366

367

The HAdV assay used in this study targets group F which includes adenovirus 40 and 41; both cause diarrheal illness. HAdV has been documented at relatively high concentrations in raw sewage (~10³ viruses/ml) at locations around the world^{52–54}. Consistent with those reports, we also found HAdV at the highest concentration of all the viruses tested in wastewater solids in this study. HAdV has previously been reported to preferentially adsorb to wastewater solids¹³ where it can be found at concentrations 10⁴ higher than in the liquid phase on a per mass basis.

Martin et al.⁵⁵ and Reyne et al.⁵⁶ reported that concentrations of HAdV F41 in wastewater influent in Ireland correlated with laboratory confirmed adenovirus F41 infections and showed a seasonal trend in both, with high occurrence of infections and high concentrations in wastewater in the winter-spring seasons. We also observed some evidence of seasonal changes in HAdV concentrations in wastewater solids, but that pattern appears to be more pronounced in SJ compared to OSP.

380

381 Hepatitis A virus (HAV). We detected HAV in approximately 50% of the wastewater solids 382 samples from SJ, but only 5% of the samples from OSP. Median concentrations were non-383 detect at OSP and 6.6 x 10^2 cp/g at SJ with little evidence of seasonality. The lack of clear seasonality is consistent with reports that HAV infections typically are not seasonal⁵⁷. HAV has 384 been detected in raw sewage globally⁵⁸. Hellmer et al ⁵⁹ measured HAV in wastewater influent 385 up to 14 cp/ml⁵⁹, and found genotypically identical strains in wastewater and clinical samples. 386 387 Like our measurements, they found a high degree of variability in their measurements and 388 suggested that due to high levels of shedding of the virus by infected patients, even a single 389 infection could cause large spikes in wastewater concentrations followed by non-detectable 390 concentrations. McCall et al.¹¹ measured HAV in wastewater influent during a HAV outbreak in 391 Michigan and report median concentrations of 10³ cp/ml; the authors also showed a significant 392 positive correlation between wastewater measurements and the number of laboratory confirmed 393 incident HAV cases. There is little data on HAV in wastewater solids; in their review, Yin et al.¹³ identified one study⁶⁰ that indicated HAV partitioning to solids. 394

395

Enterovirus D68 (EVD68). EVD68 was non-detect in all samples except for those collected in
the fall 2022. During this season, EVD68 RNA concentrations increased coherently and
dramatically across the two WWTPs over ~ 6 weeks starting in mid-August to reach a peak in
early October 2022, and then reaching non-detect again by the end of December 2022. The
highest concentration observed was 72,000 cp/g at OSP and 280,000 cp/g at SJ.

401

A similar seasonal pattern in EVD68 in wastewater in 2022 was reported in Texas⁶¹, as well as
in Israel⁶² and the United Kingdom⁶³. The study in Israel reports good agreement between
wastewater concentrations of EVD68 and confirmed laboratory cases of EVD68 infections⁶².
These previous studies did not report EVD68 nucleic acid concentrations in externally valid units
(they reported presence/absence or copies per reaction, or proportions of all viruses), so a
comparison of concentrations to those reported herein is not possible. EVD68 adsorption to
wastewater solids has not been previously reported.

409

410 Candida auris. C. auris was not detected in any sample from SJ, but was detected in 28 of 230 411 (12%) samples from OSP. The highest concentration detected at OSP was 4000 cp/g. Detection 412 of C. auris at OSP was most frequent between mid July 2021 and mid October 2021. C. auris is 413 a drug resistant fungal pathogen that emerged in 2009⁶⁴. Previous studies have reported 414 detection of C. auris in wastewater influent at concentrations of 1 to 1000 cp/ml, and suggested 415 its presence is associated with confirmed infections and illnesses in the communities.

- 415 its presence is associated with confirmed infections and illnesses in the communities
- 416 contributing to the wastewater¹². *C. auris* is $2.5 5.0 \mu m$ in diameter⁶⁵ and thus is, by definition,
- 417 a particle and is expected to be in the solid fraction of wastewater.

418

419 **West Nile Virus (WNV).** WNV is an arbovirus spread by mosquitoes. We did not detect WNV

- 420 nucleic-acids in any samples all measurements were below the lower limit of detection. This
- finding is consistent with the lack of reporting of WNV infections in the communities served by
- the two WWTPs (https://westnile.ca.gov/). To our knowledge, WNV nucleic acids have not been
- 423 detected in wastewater. Lee et al.⁶⁶ recently reported on challenges and opportunities
- 424 associated with arbovirus surveillance using wastewater.

425 Conclusion

- 426 This study validated and implemented a suite of digital (RT-)PCR assays to detect human
- 427 rotavirus, adenovirus group F, norovirus GI and GII, West Nile virus, hepatitis A virus, enterovirus,
- 428 enterovirus D68, and the fungal pathogen *C. auris*. The work presented here builds upon
- 429 previous research illustrating these targets are present in wastewater, and lays a foundation for
- 430 the use of solids for the detection of these infectious disease targets.
- 431 **Acknowledgements.** We acknowledge the numerous people at San Jose and Oceanside
- 432 wastewater treatment plants who contributed to wastewater sample collection and Allegra Koch
- 433 for her support with literature review. This research was performed on the ancestral and
- 434 unceded lands of the Muwekma Ohlone people. We pay our respects to them and their Elders,
- 435 past and present, and are grateful for the opportunity to live and work here.
- 436 **Competing interests.** BW, BH, and DD are employees of Verily Life Sciences, LLC.
- 437
- 438
- 439
- 440
- 441
- 442
- 443
- 444
- 445
- 110
- 446

447		
448		
449		
450 451	Refe	erences
401	(1)	Dhutta 7 A Commerfeld Li Lessi 7 C Celem D A Des L K Clehel Durden
452	(1)	Bhulla, Z. A.; Sommeneid, J.; Lassi, Z. S.; Salam, R. A.; Das, J. K. Giobai Burden,
453		Distribution, and Interventions for Infectious Diseases of Poverty. Infectious Diseases of
454		<i>Poverty</i> 2014 , 3 (1), 21. https://doi.org/10.1186/2049-9957-3-21.
455	(2)	Lafferty, K. D. The Ecology of Climate Change and Infectious Diseases. <i>Ecology</i> 2009 , <i>90</i>
456		(4), 888–900. https://doi.org/10.1890/08-0079.1.
457	(3)	Altizer, S.; Ostfeld, R. S.; Johnson, P. T. J.; Kutz, S.; Harvell, C. D. Climate Change and
458	(-)	Infectious Diseases: From Evidence to a Predictive Framework Science 2013 , 341 (6145)
459		514_519 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1239401
160	(4)	$W_{\rm U}$ E: 7bang L: Xiao A: Gu X: Lee W L: Armas E: Kauffman K: Hanage W:
461	(-)	Matus M : Chaoli N : Endo N : Duvallet C : Povet M : Meniz K : Weebburne A D :
401		Friekson, T. B. Choi, D. D. Thempson, J. Alm, E. J. SADS Colv. 2 Titers in Mostewater
402		Linkson, T. D., Chai, P. R., Hompson, J., Am, E. J. SARS-COV-2 Thers in Wastewater
403		Are Higher than Expected from Clinically Confirmed Cases. <i>InSystems</i> 2020 , 5 (4),
464	(5)	e00614-20. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00614-20.
465	(5)	Mercier, E.; D'Aoust, P. M.; Thakall, O.; Hegazy, N.; Jia, JJ.; Zhang, Z.; Eld, W.; Plaza-
466		Diaz, J.; Kabir, M. P.; Fang, W.; Cowan, A.; Stephenson, S. E.; Pisharody, L.; MacKenzie,
467		A. E.; Graber, T. E.; Wan, S.; Delatolla, R. Municipal and Neighbourhood Level
468		Wastewater Surveillance and Subtyping of an Influenza Virus Outbreak. Scientific Reports
469		2022 , <i>12</i> (1), 15777. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-20076-z.
470	(6)	Boehm, A. B.; Hughes, B.; Duong, D.; Chan-Herur, V.; Buchman, A.; Wolfe, M. K.; White,
471		B. J. Wastewater Concentrations of Human Influenza, Metapneumovirus, Parainfluenza,
472		Respiratory Syncytial Virus, Rhinovirus, and Seasonal Coronavirus Nucleic-Acids during
473		the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Surveillance Study. The Lancet Microbe 2023, 4 (5), e340-
474		e348. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2666-5247(22)00386-X.
475	(7)	Hughes, B.; Duong, D.; White, B. J.; Wigginton, K. R.; Chan, E. M. G.; Wolfe, M. K.;
476		Boehm, A. B. Respiratory Syncytial Virus (RSV) RNA in Wastewater Settled Solids
477		Reflects RSV Clinical Positivity Rates. <i>Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.</i> 2022 , 9 (2), 173–178.
478		https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.1c00963.
479	(8)	Guo, Y.; Li, J.; O'Brien, J.; Sivakumar, M.; Jiang, G. Back-Estimation of Norovirus
480		Infections through Wastewater-Based Epidemiology: A Systematic Review and Parameter
481		Sensitivity. Water Research 2022, 219, 118610.
482		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2022.118610.
483	(9)	Diemert, S.; Yan, T. Clinically Unreported Salmonellosis Outbreak Detected via
484	()	Comparative Genomic Analysis of Municipal Wastewater Salmonella Isolates. Appl.
485		Environ. Microbiol. 2019, 85 (10), e00139-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00139-19.
486	(10)	Wolfe, M. K.; Yu, A. T.; Duong, D.; Rane, M. S.; Hughes, B.; Chan-Herur, V.; Donnelly, M.;
487	(-)	Chai, S.; White, B. J.; Vugia, D. J.; Boehm, A. B. Use of Wastewater for Mpox Outbreak
488		Surveillance in California <i>N Engl. J Med</i> 2023 https://doi.org/10.1056/NE.IMc2213882
489	(11)	McCall C · Wu H · O'Brien E · Xagoraraki L Assessment of Enteric Viruses during a
100	(,	Hepatitis Outbreak in Detroit MI Using Wastewater Surveillance and Metagenomic
400 101		Analysis Journal of Analiad Microbiology 2021 121 (3) 1530 1554
100		https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.15027
492 103	(12)	Rarber C · Crank K · Pann K · Innes C K · Schmitz R M · Chavez I · Possi A ·
730	(12)	Darbor, D., Orank, K., r app, K., innes, D. K., Sonninz, D. W., Ohavez, J., Russi, A.,

- 494 Gerrity, D. Community-Scale Wastewater Surveillance of Candida Auris during an Ongoing 495 Outbreak in Southern Nevada. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2023**, *57* (4), 1755–1763.
- 496 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.2c07763.
- 497 (13) Yin, Z.; Voice, T.; Tarabara, V.; Xagoraraki, I. Sorption of Human Adenovirus to
 498 Wastewater Solids. *Journal of Environmental Engineering* **2018**, *144* (11), 06018008.
 499 https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)EE.1943-7870.0001463.
- (14) Wolfe, M. K.; Duong, D.; Bakker, K. M.; Ammerman, M.; Mortenson, L.; Hughes, B.; Arts,
 P.; Lauring, A. S.; Fitzsimmons, W. J.; Bendall, E.; Hwang, C. E.; Martin, E. T.; White, B.
 J.; Boehm, A. B.; Wigginton, K. R. Wastewater-Based Detection of Two Influenza
 Outbreaks. *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.* 2022, 9 (8), 687–692.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.2c00350.
- (15) Kim, S.; Kennedy, L. C.; Wolfe, M. K.; Criddle, C. S.; Duong, D. H.; Topol, A.; White, B. J.;
 Kantor, R. S.; Nelson, K. L.; Steele, J. A.; Langlois, K.; Griffith, J. F.; Zimmer-Faust, A. G.;
 McLellan, S. L.; Schussman, M. K.; Ammerman, M.; Wigginton, K. R.; Bakker, K. M.;
 Boehm, A. B. SARS-CoV-2 RNA Is Enriched by Orders of Magnitude in Primary Settled
 Solids Relative to Liquid Wastewater at Publicly Owned Treatment Works. *Environ. Sci.: Water Res. Technol.* 2022. https://doi.org/10.1039/D1EW00826A.
- (16) Boehm, A. B.; Wolfe, M. K.; White, B.; Hughes, B.; Duong, D.; Banaei, N.; Bidwell, A.
 Human Norovirus (HuNoV) GII RNA in Wastewater Solids at 145 United States
 Wastewater Treatment Plants: Comparison to Positivity Rates of Clinical Specimens and
 Modeled Estimates of HuNoV GII Shedders. *Journal of Exposure Science & Environmental Epidemiology* 2023. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41370-023-00592-4.
- (17) D'Aoust, P. M.; Mercier, E.; Montpetit, D.; Jia, J.-J.; Alexandrov, I.; Neault, N.; Baig, A. T.;
 Mayne, J.; Zhang, X.; Alain, T.; Langlois, M.-A.; Servos, M. R.; MacKenzie, M.; Figeys, D.;
 MacKenzie, A. E.; Graber, T. E.; Delatolla, R. Quantitative Analysis of SARS-CoV-2 RNA
 from Wastewater Solids in Communities with Low COVID-19 Incidence and Prevalence. *Water Research* 2021, *188*, 116560. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116560.
- (18) Wolfe, M. K.; Topol, A.; Knudson, A.; Simpson, A.; White, B.; Duc, V.; Yu, A.; Li, L.; Balliet,
 M.; Stoddard, P.; Han, G.; Wigginton, K. R.; Boehm, A. High-Frequency, High-Throughput
 Quantification of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in Wastewater Settled Solids at Eight Publicly Owned
 Treatment Works in Northern California Shows Strong Association with COVID-19
 Incidence. *mSystems* 2021, 6 (5), e00829-21. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00829-21.
- (19) Peccia, J.; Zulli, A.; Brackney, D. E.; Grubaugh, N. D.; Kaplan, E. H.; Casanovas-Massana,
 A.; Ko, A. I.; Malik, A. A.; Wang, D.; Wang, M.; Warren, J. L.; Weinberger, D. M.; Omer, S.
 B. SARS-CoV-2 RNA Concentrations in Primary Municipal Sewage Sludge as a Leading
 Indicator of COVID-19 Outbreak Dynamics. *Nature Biotechnology* **2020**, *38*, 1164–1167.
- (20) Kordalewska, M.; Zhao, Y.; Lockhart, S. R.; Chowdhary, A.; Berrio, I.; Perlin, D. S. Rapid
 and Accurate Molecular Identification of the Emerging Multidrug-Resistant Pathogen
 Candida Auris. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 2017, *55* (8), 2445–2452.
 https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.00630-17.
- (21) Wylie, T. N.; Wylie, K. M.; Buller, R. S.; Cannella, M.; Storch, G. A. Development and
 Evaluation of an Enterovirus D68 Real-Time Reverse Transcriptase PCR Assay. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 2015, 53 (8), 2641–2647. https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.00923-15.
- 537 (22) Jothikumar, N.; Kang, G.; Hill, V. R. Broadly Reactive TaqMan® Assay for Real-Time RT 538 PCR Detection of Rotavirus in Clinical and Environmental Samples. *Journal of Virological* 539 *Methods* 2009, *155* (2), 126–131. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2008.09.025.
- 540 (23) Jothikumar, N.; Cromeans, T. L.; Sobsey, M. D.; Robertson, B. H. Development and
 541 Evaluation of a Broadly Reactive TaqMan Assay for Rapid Detection of Hepatitis A Virus.
 542 Applied and Environmental Microbiology 2005, 71 (6), 3359–3363.
 543 https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.6.3359-3363.2005.
- 544 (24) Jothikumar Narayanan; Lowther James A.; Henshilwood Kathleen; Lees David N.; Hill

- 545 Vincent R.; Vinjé Jan. Rapid and Sensitive Detection of Noroviruses by Using TaqMan546 Based One-Step Reverse Transcription-PCR Assays and Application to Naturally
 547 Contaminated Shellfish Samples. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 2005, *71* (4),
 548 1870–1875. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.4.1870-1875.2005.
- (25) Loisy, F.; Atmar, R. L.; Guillon, P.; Le Cann, P.; Pommepuy, M.; Le Guyader, F. Real-Time
 RT-PCR for Norovirus Screening in Shellfish. *Journal of Virological Methods* 2005, *123* (1),
 1–7.
- (26) Gregory, J. B.; Litaker, R. W.; Noble, R. T. Rapid One-Step Quantitative Reverse
 Transcriptase PCR Assay with Competitive Internal Positive Control for Detection of
 Enteroviruses in Environmental Samples. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 2006,
 72 (6), 3960–3967.
- (27) Lanciotti, R. S.; Kerst, A. J.; Nasci, R. S.; Godsey, M. S.; Mitchell, C. J.; Savage, H. M.;
 Komar, N.; Panella, N. A.; Allen, B. C.; Volpe, K. E.; Davis, B. S.; Roehrig, J. T. Rapid
 Detection of West Nile Virus from Human Clinical Specimens, Field-Collected Mosquitoes,
 and Avian Samples by a TaqMan Reverse Transcriptase-PCR Assay. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 2000, *38* (11), 4066–4071. https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.38.11.40664071.2000.
- 562 (28) Boehm, A. B.; Wolfe, M. K.; Wigginton, K. R.; Bidwell, A.; White, B. J.; Hughes, B.; Duong;
 563 Chan-Herur, V.; Bischel, H. N.; Naughton, C. C. Human Viral Nucleic Acids Concentrations
 564 in Wastewater Solids from Central and Coastal California USA. *Scientific Data* 2023, *10*,
 565 396.
- 566 (29) Topol, A.; Wolfe, M.; White, B.; Wigginton, K.; Boehm, A. High Throughput Pre-Analytical
 567 Processing of Wastewater Settled Solids for SARS-CoV-2 RNA Analyses. *protocols.io* 568 2021. https://doi.org/dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.btyqnpvw.
- (30) Topol, A.; Wolfe, M.; Wigginton, K.; White, B.; Boehm, A. High Throughput RNA Extraction
 and PCR Inhibitor Removal of Settled Solids for Wastewater Surveillance of SARS-CoV-2
 RNA. protocols.io 2021. https://doi.org/dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.btyrnpv6.
- (31) Huisman, J. S.; Scire, J.; Caduff, L.; Fernandez-Cassi, X.; Ganesanandamoorthy, P.; Kull,
 A.; Scheidegger, A.; Stachler, E.; Boehm, A. B.; Hughes, B.; Knudson, A.; Topol, A.;
 Wigginton, K. R.; Wolfe, M. K.; Kohn, T.; Ort, C.; Stadler, T.; Julian, T. R. WastewaterBased Estimation of the Effective Reproductive Number of SARS-CoV-2. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2022, *130* (5), 057011–1.
- (32) Symonds, E. M.; Nguyen, K. H.; Harwood, V. J.; Breitbart, M. Pepper Mild Mottle Virus: A
 Plant Pathogen with a Greater Purpose in (Waste)Water Treatment Development and
 Public Health Management. *Water Research* 2018, 144, 1–12.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.06.066.
- (33) Simpson, A.; Boehm, A. B. Effect of storage on concentrations of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in
 settled solids of wastewater treatment plants. Stanford Digital Repository.
 purl.stanford.edu/yn042kx5009.
- (34) Borchardt, M. A.; Boehm, A. B.; Salit, M.; Spencer, S. K.; Wigginton, K. R.; Noble, R. T.
 The Environmental Microbiology Minimum Information (EMMI) Guidelines: QPCR and
 DPCR Quality and Reporting for Environmental Microbiology. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2021,
 55 (15), 10210–10223. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c01767.
- (35) Kantor, R. S.; Nelson, K. L.; Greenwald, H. D.; Kennedy, L. C. Challenges in Measuring
 the Recovery of SARS-CoV-2 from Wastewater. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2021, *55* (6),
 3514–3519. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c08210.
- (36) Fisman, D. Seasonality of Viral Infections: Mechanisms and Unknowns. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection* 2012, *18* (10), 946–954. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469 0691.2012.03968.x.
- (37) Gholipour, S.; Ghalhari, M. R.; Nikaeen, M.; Rabbani, D.; Pakzad, P.; Miranzadeh, M. B.
 Occurrence of Viruses in Sewage Sludge: A Systematic Review. *Science of The Total*

- 596 *Environment* **2022**, 824, 153886. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.153886.
- (38) Bibby, K.; Peccia, J. Identification of Viral Pathogen Diversity in Sewage Sludge by
 Metagenome Analysis. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2013, 47 (4), 1945–1951.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/es305181x.
- (39) Kittigul, L.; Pombubpa, K. Rotavirus Surveillance in Tap Water, Recycled Water, and
 Sewage Sludge in Thailand: A Longitudinal Study, 2007–2018. *Food and Environmental Virology* 2021, *13* (1), 53–63. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12560-020-09450-0.
- (40) Silva-Sales, M.; Martínez-Puchol, S.; Gonzales-Gustavson, E.; Hundesa, A.; Gironès, R.
 High Prevalence of Rotavirus A in Raw Sewage Samples from Northeast Spain. *Viruses*2020, *12* (3). https://doi.org/10.3390/v12030318.
- (41) Zhou, N.; Lv, D.; Wang, S.; Lin, X.; Bi, Z.; Wang, H.; Wang, P.; Zhang, H.; Tao, Z.; Hou, P.;
 Song, Y.; Xu, A. Continuous Detection and Genetic Diversity of Human Rotavirus A in
 Sewage in Eastern China, 2013–2014. *Virology Journal* 2016, *13* (1), 153.
 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12985-016-0609-0.
- (42) Barril, P. A.; Fumian, T. M.; Prez, V. E.; Gil, P. I.; Martínez, L. C.; Giordano, M. O.;
 Masachessi, G.; Isa, M. B.; Ferreyra, L. J.; Ré, V. E.; Miagostovich, M.; Pavan, J. V.;
 Nates, S. V. Rotavirus Seasonality in Urban Sewage from Argentina: Effect of
 Meteorological Variables on the Viral Load and the Genetic Diversity. *Environmental Research* 2015, *138*, 409–415. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2015.03.004.
- (43) Bosch, A.; Pinto, R. M.; Blanch, A. R.; Jofre, J. T. Detection of Human Rotavirus in
 Sewage through Two Concentration Procedures. *Water Research* 1988, 22 (3), 343–348.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(88)90200-X.
- (44) Hejkal, T. W.; Smith, E. M.; Gerba, C. P. Seasonal Occurrence of Rotavirus in Sewage. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 1984, 47 (3), 588–590.
 https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.47.3.588-590.1984.
- (45) Rha, B.; Tate, J. E.; Payne, D. C.; Cortese, M. M.; Lopman, B. A.; Curns, A. T.; Parashar,
 U. D. Effectiveness and Impact of Rotavirus Vaccines in the United States 2006–2012. *Expert Review of Vaccines* 2014, *13* (3), 365–376.
 https://doi.org/10.1586/14760584.2014.877846.
- (46) Anderson, E. J. Rotavirus Vaccines: Viral Shedding and Risk of Transmission. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases* 2008, 8 (10), 642–649. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(08)70231 7.
- (47) Eftim, S. E.; Hong, T.; Soller, J.; Boehm, A.; Warren, I.; Ichida, A.; Nappier, S. P.
 Occurrence of Norovirus in Raw Sewage A Systematic Literature Review and MetaAnalysis. *Water Research* 2017, *111* (Supplement C), 366–374.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.01.017.
- (48) Betancourt, W. Q.; Shulman, L. M. Polioviruses and Other Enteroviruses. In *Water and*Sanitation for the 21st Century: Health and Microbiological Aspects of Excreta and *Wastewater Management (Global Water Pathogen Project*; Rose, J. B., Jimenez-Cisneros,
 B., Eds.; Meschke, J. S., Girones, R., Series Eds.; Part 3: Specific Excreted Pathogens:
 Environmental and Epidemiology Aspects Section 1: Viruses; Michigan State University,
- East Lansing, MI, 2017.
 Brinkman, N. E.; Shay, F. G.; Keely, S. P. Retrospective Surveillance of Wastewater To
 Examine Seasonal Dynamics of Enterovirus Infections. *mSphere* 2017, 2 (3), e00099-17.
 https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00099-17.
- (50) Monpoeho, S.; Dehée, A.; Mignotte, B.; Schwartzbrod, L.; Marechal, V.; Nicolas, J.-C.;
 Billaudel, S.; Férré, V. Quantification of Enterovirus RNA in Sludge Samples Using Single
 Tube Real-Time RT-PCR. *BioTechniques* 2000, *29* (1), 88–93.
- 644 https://doi.org/10.2144/00291st03.
- (51) Gerba, C. P.; Goyal, S. M.; Hurst, C. J.; Labelle, R. L. Type and Strain Dependence of
 Enterovirus Adsorption to Activated Sludge, Soils and Estuarine Sediments. *Water*

647 *Research* **1980**, *14* (9), 1197–1198. https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354(80)90176-1.

- (52) Fong, T.-T.; Phanikumar, M. S.; Xagoraraki, I.; Rose, J. B. Quantitative Detection of
 Human Adenoviruses in Wastewater and Combined Sewer Overflows Influencing a
 Michigan River. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 2010, 76 (3), 715–723.
 https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01316-09.
- (53) Katayama, H.; Haramoto, E.; Oguma, K.; Yamashita, H.; Tajima, A.; Nakajima, H.; Ohgaki,
 S. One-Year Monthly Quantitative Survey of Noroviruses, Enteroviruses, and
 Adenoviruses in Wastewater Collected from Six Plants in Japan. *Water Research* 2008, 42
 (6), 1441–1448. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2007.10.029.
- (54) Jiang, S. C. Human Adenoviruses in Water: Occurrence and Health Implications: A
 Critical Review. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2006, 40 (23), 7132–7140.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/es0608920.
- (55) Martin, N. A.; Gonzalez, G.; Reynolds, L. J.; Bennett, C.; Campbell, C.; Nolan, T. M.;
 Byrne, A.; Fennema, S.; Holohan, N.; Kuntamukkula, S. R.; Sarwar, N.; Sala-Comorera, L.;
 Dean, J.; Urtasun-Elizari, J. M.; Hare, D.; Liddy, E.; Joyce, E.; O'Sullivan, J. J.; Cuddihy, J.
 M.; McIntyre, A. M.; Robinson, E. P.; Dahly, D.; Fletcher, N. F.; Cotter, S.; Fitzpatrick, E.;
 Carr, M. J.; De Gascun, C. F.; Meijer, W. G. Adeno-Associated Virus 2 and Human
 Adenovirus F41 in Wastewater during Outbreak of Severe Acute Hepatitis in Children,
 Ireland. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2023, *29* (4), 751–760. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2904.221878.
- (56) Reyne, M. I.; Allen, D. M.; Levickas, A.; Allingham, P.; Lock, J.; Fitzgerald, A.; McSparron,
 C.; Nejad, B. F.; McKinley, J.; Lee, A.; Bell, S. H.; Quick, J.; Houldcroft, C. J.; Bamford, C.
 G. G.; Gilpin, D. F.; McGrath, J. W. Detection of Human Adenovirus F41 in Wastewater
 and Its Relationship to Clinical Cases of Acute Hepatitis of Unknown Aetiology. *Science of The Total Environment* 2023, *857*, 159579.
- 671 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.159579.
- (57) Brooks, G.; Butel, J.; Morse, S. *Medical Microbiology*, 22nd ed.; McGraw-Hill: San
 Francisco, CA, 2001.
- (58) Takuissu, G. R.; Kenmoe, S.; Ebogo-Belobo, J. T.; Kengne-Ndé, C.; Mbaga, D. S.; Bowo-Ngandji, A.; Ndzie Ondigui, J. L.; Kenfack-Momo, R.; Tchatchouang, S.; Kenfack-Zanguim, J.; Lontuo Fogang, R.; Zeuko'o Menkem, E.; Kame-Ngasse, G. I.; Magoudjou-Pekam, J.
 N.; Veneri, C.; Mancini, P.; Bonanno Ferraro, G.; Iaconelli, M.; Orlandi, L.; Del Giudice, C.; Suffredini, E.; La Rosa, G. Occurrence of Hepatitis A Virus in Water Matrices: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* **2023**, *20* (2). https://doi.org/10.3390/jierph20021054.
- (59) Hellmér, M.; Paxéus, N.; Magnius, L.; Enache, L.; Arnholm, B.; Johansson, A.; Bergström,
 T.; Norder, H. Detection of Pathogenic Viruses in Sewage Provided Early Warnings of
 Hepatitis A Virus and Norovirus Outbreaks. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 2014,
 80 (21), 6771–6781. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01981-14.
- (60) Arraj, A.; Bohatier, J.; Laveran, H.; Traore, O. Comparison of Bacteriophage and Enteric
 Virus Removal in Pilot Scale Activated Sludge Plants. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*2005, 98 (2), 516–524. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2004.02485.x.
- (61) Tisza, M.; Cregeen, S. J.; Avadhanula, V.; Zhang, P.; Ayvaz, T.; Feliz, K.; Hoffman, K. L.;
 Clark, J. R.; Terwilliger, A.; Ross, M. C.; Cormier, J.; Henke, D.; Troisi, C.; Wu, F.; Rios, J.;
 Deegan, J.; Hansen, B.; Balliew, J.; Gitter, A.; Zhang, K.; Li, R.; Bauer, C. X.; Mena, K. D.;
 Piedra, P. A.; Petrosino, J. F.; Boerwinkle, E.; Maresso, A. W. Comprehensive Wastewater
 Sequencing Reveals Community and Variant Dynamics of the Collective Human Virome. *medRxiv* 2023. https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.05.03.23289441.
- (62) Erster, O.; Bar-Or, I.; Levy, V.; Shatzman-Steuerman, R.; Sofer, D.; Weiss, L.; Vasserman,
 R.; Fratty, I. S.; Kestin, K.; Elul, M.; Levi, N.; Alkrenawi, R.; Mendelson, E.; Mandelboim,
 M.; Weil, M. Monitoring of Enterovirus D68 Outbreak in Israel by a Parallel Clinical and
- 697 Wastewater Based Surveillance. *Viruses* **2022**, *14* (5). https://doi.org/10.3390/v14051010.

- 698 (63) Tedcastle, A.; Wilton, T.; Pegg, E.; Klapsa, D.; Bujaki, E.; Mate, R.; Fritzsche, M.; 699 Majumdar, M.; Martin, J. Detection of Enterovirus D68 in Wastewater Samples from the UK 700 between July and November 2021. Viruses 2022, 14 (1). 701 https://doi.org/10.3390/v14010143.
- 702 (64) Vila, T.; Sultan, A. S.; Montelongo-Jauregui, D.; Jabra-Rizk, M. A. Candida Auris: A 703 Fungus with Identity Crisis. Pathogens and Disease 2020, 78 (4), ftaa034. 704 https://doi.org/10.1093/femspd/ftaa034.
- 705 (65) Osei Sekyere, J. Candida Auris: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Current 706 Updates on an Emerging Multidrug-Resistant Pathogen. *Microbiologyopen* **2018**, 7 (4), 707 e00578. https://doi.org/10.1002/mbo3.578.
- 708 (66) Lee, W. L.; Gu, X.; Armas, F.; Leifels, M.; Wu, F.; Chandra, F.; Chua, F. J. D.; Syenina, A.; Chen, H.; Cheng, D.; Ooi, E. E.; Wuertz, S.; Alm, E. J.; Thompson, J. Monitoring Human 709 710 Arboviral Diseases through Wastewater Surveillance: Challenges, Progress and Future 711 Opportunities. Water Research 2022, 223, 118904.
- 712 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2022.118904.
- 713
- 714
- 715
- 716

717

Virus	Genomic target	Non-target testing (negatives)	Target testing (positives)	Reference	
Adenovirus F (HAdV)	Hexon gene	NATRVP2.1-BIO	ATCC VR-930DQ	Not applicable	
Enterovirus D68 (EVD68)	Genome Polyprotein (VP1)	NATRVP2.1-BIO NATEVP-C	ATCC VR- 1826DQ and ATCC VR-1823D Gene Blocks	Wylie et al. ²¹	
Norovirus GII ORF1-2 junction (HuNoV GII)		NATRVP2.1-BIO	ATCC VR- 3235SD	Loisy et al. ²⁵	
Norovirus G1 (HuNoV GI)	ORF1-2 junction NATRVP2.1-BIO ATCC VR- NATEVP-C 3234SD		Jothikuman et al. ²⁴		
Rotavirus	Non-structural Region Protein 3 (NSP3)	NATRVP2.1-BIO NATEVP-C	ATCC VR- 2018DQ	Jothikumar et al. ²²	
Enterovirus (EV)	5' UTR	NATRVP2.1-BIO	NATEVP-C	Gregory et al. ²⁶	
Hepatitis A (HAV)	5'UTR	NATRVP2.1-BIO NATEVP-C	ATCC VR- 3257SD	Jothikumar et al. ²³	
West Nile Virus (WNV)	Polyprotein gene	NATRVP2.1-BIO	VR-3274SD	Lanciotti et al. 27	
<i>Candida auris</i> (C. auris)	5.8S, all of ITS2, and a fragment of 28S rDNA	NATRVP2.1-BIO NATEVP-C NATCTVPOS-BD	ATCC MYA-5001	Kordalewska et al. ²⁰	

Table 1. Viruses/fungus considered in this study and the region of the genome that each assay
targeted. Viruses/fungi used to test specificity are indicated as "non target testing" and
viruses/fungi used positive controls are indicated as "target testing". The reference for each
assay is provided aside from HAdV for which an assay was developed herein. As described in
the text, we also developed novel primers and adjusted the probe for the EVD-68 assay to
capture the variant circulating in the winter of 2022. All non-target controls are panels sold by
Zeptomatrix (panels begin with NAT prefix, "Zepto", Buffalo, NY). ATCC is American Type

- 725 Culture Collection. The NATRVP2.1-BIO panel includes chemically inactivated intact influenza
- viruses, parainfluenza viruses, adenovirus, rhinovirus, metapneumovirus, and coronaviruses.
- 727 The NATEVP-C panel includes chemically inactivated intact coxsackieviruses, echovirus, and
- parechovirus. The NATCTVPOS-BD includes chemically inactivated *Candida albicans*, *C. krusei*, *C. glabrata*, and *Trichomoniasis vaginalis*. The full list of species in the panels is
- *krusel*, C. *glabrata*, and *inchomoniasis vaginalis*. The full list of species in the panels isavailable from the vendor.

Target	Primer/Probe	Sequence				
HAdV	Forward	CCTCCTGTGTTACGCCAGA				
	Reverse	CAGGCTGAAGTASGTATCGG				
	Probe	CTCGATGATGCCGCAATGGT				
HuNoV GII	Forward	ATGTTCAGRTGGATGAGRTTCTCWGA				
	Reverse	TCGACGCCATCTTCATTCACA				
	Probe	AGCACGTGGGAGGGCGATCG				
EVD68	Forward	CACYGAACCAGARGAAGCCA CACTGAACCAGAGGAAGCTA				
	Reverse	CCAAAGCTGCTCTACTGAGAAA CTAAAGCTGCCCTACTAAGRAA				
	Probe	TCGCACAGTGATAAATCRACAYGG				
WNV	Forward	TCAGCGATCTCTCCACCAAAG				
	Reverse	GGGTCAGCACGTTTGTCATTG				
	Probe	TGCCCGACCATGGGAGAAGCT				
HuNoV GI	Forward	GCCATGTTCCGITGG ATG				
	Reverse	TCCTTAGACGCCATCATCAT				
	Probe	TG GGACAGGAGATCGCAATCTC				
Rotavirus	Forward	CAGTGGTTGATGCTCAAGATGGA				
Reverse TCATTGTAATCATAT		TCATTGTAATCATATTGAATACCCA				
	Probe	ACAACTGCAGCTTCAAAAGAAGWGT				
EV	Forward	CCCTGAATGCGGCTAAT				

	Reverse	TGTCACCATAAGCAGCCA			
	Probe	ACGGACACCCAAAGTAGTCGGTTC			
C. auris	Forward	CGCACATTGCGCCTTGGGGTA			
	Reverse	GTAGTCCTACCTGATTTGAGGCGAC			
	Probe	CTTCTCACCAATCTTCGCGGT			
HAV	Forward	GGTAGGCTACGGGTGAAAC			
	Reverse	AACAACTCACCAATATCCGC			
	Probe	CTTAGGCTAATACTTCTATGAAGAGATGC			

731

Table 2. Primers and probes used in this study. Primers and probes were purchased from

733 Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA, USA). All probes contained fluorescent molecules

and quenchers (5' HEX, FAM, Cy5, Cy5.5, ROX, and/or ATTO950/ZEN/3' IBFQ); FAM, 6-

fluorescein amidite; HEX, hexachloro-fluorescein; Cy5, Cyanine-5; Cy5.5, Cyanine5.5; ROX,

736 carboxyrhodamine; ZEN, a proprietary internal quencher from Integrated DNA Technologies

737 (Coralville, IA, USA); and IBFQ, Iowa Black FQ.

738

WWTP		Rota	HAdV	EV	HuNoV GI	HuNoV GII	HAV	EVD68	C auris
	median	1.0x10 ³	5.8x10⁵	4.1x10 ⁴	2.8x10 ⁴	5.7x10⁵	0	0	0
	25th	0	4.9x10 ⁴	2.6x10 ⁴	1.2x10 ⁴	3.5x10⁵	0	0	0
OSD	75th	3.2x10 ³	1.5x10 ⁶	6.9x10 ⁴	4.7x10 ⁴	1.1x10 ⁶	0	0	0
035	max	7.8x10 ⁴	5.8x10 ⁶	2.4x10⁵	2.6x10⁵	6.4x10 ⁶	9x10 ⁴	7.2x10 ⁴	4.0x10 ³
	# ND	89	9	0	0	0	220	182	202
	#	230	230	230	230	230	230	230	230
	median	3.3x10 ³	1.9x10 ⁶	5.4x10 ⁴	3.4x10 ⁴	7.0x10⁵	6.6x10 ²	0	0
	25th	1.5x10 ³	5.3x10⁵	3.7x10⁴	1.6x10 ⁴	3.5x10⁵	0	0	0
61	75th	8.5x10 ³	4.3x10 ⁶	7.5x10 ⁴	7.6x10 ⁴	1.5x10 ⁶	3.1x10 ³	0	0
33	max	2.7x10⁵	8.5x10 ⁷	1.2x10 ⁶	1.4x10 ⁶	1.1x10 ⁸	4.0x10⁵	2.8x10⁵	0
	# ND	24	0	1	0	0	111	177	229
	#	229	229	229	229	229	229	229	229

Table 3. Summary statistics for infectious disease targets in OSP and SJ wastewater solids.

740 Units of concentration are copies per gram dry weight. 25th is 25th percentile, 75th is 75th

percentile, max is the maximum value, # ND is number of non-detect, # is the total number ofsamples.

743

744

- 745
- 746
- 747
- 748 Figures
- 749



750

Figure 1 Sewersheds served by the two WWTPs in this study.

752

Figure 2. Multiplex assay performance. The concentrations of the different targets in units of copies per reaction input and measured

in each experiment is provided. The white symbols are for reactions without the 7 background nucleic-acid targets and black symbols

755 include high concentrations of the 7 other nucleic-acid targets. Error bars are standard deviations, if error bars cannot be seen, then

they are smaller than the symbol. The line represents the 1:1 line. "Meas" is measured, "Rota" is rotavirus. Abbreviations for the

757 different targets are provided in the main text.



758

- 759
- 760 Figure 3. Concentrations of HuNoV G1 and GII, rotavirus, HAdV, EV, HAV, EVD68 and C. auris nucleic-acids in wastewater solids at
- 761 OSP and SJ. Error bars show standard deviation. The black line shows a 5-adjacent sample trimmed average. A gray symbol at the
- top of the plot indicates a value that is higher than the y-axis scale.



763 764