Cohort profile: the 'Biomarkers of heterogeneity in type 1 diabetes' study – a prospective cohort study of clinical and metabolic phenotyping of individuals with long-standing type 1 diabetes

Dick Mul¹, Rita D.M. Varkevisser², Henk-Jan Aanstoot¹, Pim Dekker¹, Erwin Birnie^{1,3}, Erik Boersma⁴, Lianne S.M. Boesten⁵, Michael P. Brugts⁶, Peter R. van Dijk², Petronella H.L.M. Duijvestijn⁷, Sanjoy Dutta⁸, Christine Fransman¹, Rob K. Gonera⁹, Klaas Hoogenberg¹⁰, Adriaan Kooy^{11,12}, Esther Latres⁸, Sandra Loves¹¹, Giesje Nefs^{1,13,14}, Theo Sas^{1,15}, Frederik A.J. Verburg¹⁶, Charlotte E. Vollenbrock², Marleen J. Vosjan-Noeverman⁹, Martine M.C. de Vries-Velraeds¹, Henk J. Veeze¹, Bruce H.R. Wolffenbuttel², Melanie M. van der Klauw²

Affiliations:

1. Diabeter Netherlands, Treatment and Research Center for Children, Adolescents and Adults with Diabetes, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

2. Department of Endocrinology, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

3. Department of Genetics, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands

4. Department of Internal Medicine, Medisch Centrum Leeuwarden, Leeuwarden, The Netherlands

- 5. Department of Clinical Chemistry, IJsselland Ziekenhuis, Capelle aan den IJssel, The Netherlands
- 6. Department of Internal Medicine, Ikazia Hospital, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

7. Department of Internal Medicine, Medical Center Haaglanden, Den Haag, The Netherlands

8. JDRF International, New York, USA

9. Department of Internal Medicine, Wilhelmina Hospital, Assen, The Netherlands

10. Department of Internal Medicine, Martini Hospital, Groningen, The Netherlands

11. Bethesda Diabetes Research Center & Treant, Hoogeveen, Netherlands

12. Department of Internal Medicine, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands

13. Department of Medical and Clinical Psychology, Center of Research on Psychological disorders

and Somatic diseases (CoRPS), Tilburg University, Tilburg, The Netherlands

14. Radboud University Medical Center, department of Medical Psychology, Nijmegen, The

Netherlands

15. Department of Paediatrics, Division of Paediatric Endocrinology, Erasmus University Medical

Center, Sophia Children's Hospital, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

16. Department of Internal Medicine, Dijklander Hospital, Hoorn, The Netherlands

Correspondence to:

Pim Dekker, e-mail: p.dekker@diabeter.nl

Keywords: type 1 diabetes, biomarkers, heterogeneity

Abstract (max 300 words: currently 297 words)

Purpose: The 'Biomarkers of heterogeneity in type 1 diabetes' study cohort was set up to identify genetic, physiological and psychosocial factors explaining the observed heterogeneity in disease progression and the development of complications in people with long-standing type 1 diabetes (T1D). Data and samples are available for new studies and collaborations.

Participants: Data- and samples were collected in two subsets. 1) A prospective cohort of 611 participants aged ≥16 years with ≥5 years T1D duration was recruited from four Dutch Diabetes clinics between June 2016 and March 2021. At baseline and 1- and 2-year follow-up visits, physical assessments were performed, and blood and urine samples were collected. Participants completed questionnaires about diabetes-related problems, quality of life, neuropathy and impaired awareness of hypoglycaemia at baseline and at the last follow-up visit. A subgroup of participants underwent mixed-meal tolerance tests (MMTT) at baseline (n=169) and at 1-year follow-up (n=104). Genetic data and linkage to medical and administrative records were also available. 2) A second crosssectional cohort, aiming to include 200 participants aged ≥18 years with ≥35 years T1D duration, was recruited from 7 centres, collecting measurements and samples plus 5-year retrospective data.

Findings to date: Fasting residual C-peptide secretion associated with decreased risk of impaired awareness of hypoglycaemia. Stimulated residual C-peptide was detectable in an additional 10% of individuals compared with fasting residual C-peptide secretion. MMTT measurements at 90 minutes and 120 minutes showed good concordance with the MMTT total area under the curve. An overall decrease of C-peptide at 1-year follow-up was observed.

Future plans: Research groups are invited to consider the use of this data and sample collection. Future work will include additional hormones, beta-cell-directed autoimmunity, specific immune

markers, microRNA's, metabolomics and gene expression data, combined with glucometrics,

anthropometric/clinical data and additional markers of residual beta-cell function.

Strengths and limitations of this study

Strengths:

- The Biomarker cohort is a large longitudinal prospective cohort study with three time points,

collecting biosamples and clinical data from participants with well-established and long-standing type

1 diabetes (≥5 years).

- A subgroup with detailed clinical data underwent MMTT tests at two timepoints allowing further residual beta-cell marker studies.

- The Biomarker and Long-Term type 1 Diabetes cohorts represent a "real-world" population, also including participants from non-academic/-specialised centres.

Limitations:

- Despite the fact that data and biosamples were collected from more than 600 participants, this number may be too low for (sub) stratification of the data (e.g. insulin delivery modality, different treating centres and therapies etc.).

- In the prospective group there was a relatively high dropout rate of 25% after 2 years, largely affected by the Covid-19 outbreak.

INTRODUCTION

Type 1 diabetes (T1D) is characterized by severe insulin deficiency caused by insulin-producing betacell dysfunction or and other defects that result in impaired insulin production. This may induce secondary autoimmune responses that damage beta-cells and disturb their coherence and function in the islets of Langerhans.¹² This disease process occurs in people with specific genetic backgrounds and is characterized by two pre-symptomatic phases (stage 1 and 2). Stage 3 is the phase of appearance of clinical symptoms, establishment of the final diagnosis and initiation of treatment.³ Stage 4 is long-standing T1D.⁴

Progression from stage 1/2 to clinical diagnosis includes both immune dysregulation and beta-cell dysfunction. Several phase 2 clinical studies involving immunomodulation have demonstrated benefits in both stage 2 and 3 T1D.⁵ Stage 3 and 4 T1D (long-standing T1D⁴) are characterized by progressive loss of beta-cell mass, resulting in the need for life-long insulin suppletion to survive. However, residual C-peptide production has been demonstrated in long-standing diabetes,¹⁶ conferring clinical benefits. Despite the currently available treatments and technology, reaching glycaemic targets is very difficult if not impossible for most Persons With T1D (PWDs).⁷ Consequently exposure to suboptimal glucose levels causes micro- and macrovascular, psychological and psychosocial complications in the long run in stage 4. These complications are strongly dependent on the PWD's historical glycaemic regulation, which in turn is determined by many factors and shows extensive heterogeneity between PWDs. These factors include biological factors (i.e. genetic predisposition to insulin resistance and/or vascular damage, residual insulin production), ⁸ the availability and access to healthcare, medication and technology, ⁹ and psychosocial factors.¹⁰

Despite medical and technological progress, T1D still has a profound impact on life-expectancy, leading to a loss of 10 to 18 life years, depending on age of diagnosis.¹¹ To prevent the development of T1D-related complications, international guidelines recommend HbA1c levels below 7% (53 mmol/mol)¹² or time in blood glucose range (TIR) > 70%.¹³ However, only a minority of PWDs are

currently achieving the recommended HbA1c levels.⁷¹⁴ This may partly be explained by extensive heterogeneity in pathology seen in all four stages of T1D. Clinical symptoms and severe metabolic disturbance (e.g. diabetic ketoacidosis¹⁵) at onset, autoimmune markers before and after onset, initial glycaemic outcomes (HbA1c, acute glucose levels, TIR), and the efficacy of therapeutic interventions^{8 16} all vary between PWDs. Furthermore, the T1D phenotype represents different distinct underlying functional or pathobiological mechanisms, also called endotypes.¹⁷ Improving future outcomes will depend on the ability to further unravel this heterogeneity, dissect endotypes and develop individualized prediction, prevention and intervention strategies to prevent progression to stage 3 and 4 T1D and eventually even restore immunological tolerance and beta-cell mass.¹⁸ Using combinations of (epi)genetic data (e.g. genetic risk loci) and disease biomarkers (clinical data, metabolic markers and immunological markers) can provide a new integrative approach that will help to develop personalized T1D interventions.²

Several studies on factors of heterogeneity in T1D stages 1-3 have been initiated.¹⁶ However, unravelling the heterogeneity in stage 4 T1D requires appropriate clinical datasets on the individual history of the PWD and longitudinally collected samples. Together with the JDRF and the Dutch Diabetes Research Foundation ('Diabetes Fonds' [DF] in Dutch) we identified needs and research questions for a new project, based on research gap analysis and existing knowledge of the field. With funding from JDRF and DF we developed the 'Biomarkers of heterogeneity in type 1 diabetes' prospective cohort study to collect data and bio-samples from PWDs with at least 5 years diabetes duration, and the 'Long-Term type 1 Diabetes' cross-sectional cohort to collect data and bio-samples from PWDs with at least 35 years diabetes duration. This study ran from 2016 to end 2023. We collected clinical data and samples for physiological and genetic analyses and information on psychosocial factors, aiding in explaining the observed heterogeneity in T1D and its progression. While we have started to use data and samples from this Biomarker cohort, ¹⁹⁻²¹ the data and samples are available for additional projects and collaborative research. Requests for data and/or samples will

6

be considered by a steering committee. Here we provide an overview of the study setup, a description of the participants and future aims.

Cohort description

The prospective 'Biomarkers of heterogeneity in type 1 diabetes' study (Clinicaltrials.gov/ NCT04977635; called 'Biomarker study' from hereon) was initiated by Diabeter Netherlands (Rotterdam, The Netherlands) and the University Medical Center Groningen (Groningen, The Netherlands). These centres provided the majority of patients, limiting variation in treatment of participants. Additionally, PWDs from Haaglanden Medical Center (The Hague, The Netherlands) and Ikazia hospital (Rotterdam, The Netherlands) were recruited. The project and amendments for additional research and future research questions were approved by the Medical Ethics Review Board of the University Medical Center Groningen (METC 2015/493).

A total of around N=600 participants was deemed sufficient to stratify for age and diabetes duration. Between June 2016 and March 2021, a total of 611 people with T1D aged ≥16 years and with a diabetes duration ≥ 5 years (called the 'Biomarker complete study cohort' from hereon) were included (Figure 1). The T1D diagnosis was determined by either the presence of diabetes autoantibodies, based on clinical and historical data or both. Exclusion criteria were: all types of diabetes which are not considered T1D according to ADA criteria,²² pregnancy (until 3 months after childbirth) and breastfeeding (until 3 months after breastfeeding), using experimental medication or participating in other studies with conflicting goals and schedules, decision against participation at the investigator's/physician's discretion and being unwilling to be informed on incidental findings. A subgroup of 169 participants (28%) positively responded to the invitation to participate in additional mixed meal tolerance tests (MMTT: called the 'Biomarker MMTT-subcohort' from hereon). Participation in this sub-study was voluntary and no additional in- and exclusion criteria applied.

The 'Long-Term type 1 Diabetes' cross-sectional study (NL62401.042.17; called the 'LTD study' from hereon) was also initiated by the University Medical Center Groningen (Groningen, the Netherlands) and Diabeter Netherlands (Rotterdam, The Netherlands). This study aims to recruit 200 people with T1D aged ≥16 years and with a diabetes duration ≥ 35 years ('LTD cohort'). T1D diagnosis and exclusion criteria are equivalent to the prospective Biomarker study. The cohort comprises participants from the Biomarker complete study cohort and PWDs recruited from the Martini Hospital (Groningen, the Netherlands), the Wilhelmina Hospital (Assen, the Netherlands), the Treant Hospital Group (locations Emmen, Hoogeveen and Stadskanaal, the Netherlands), the Medical Center Leeuwarden (Leeuwarden, the Netherlands), and the Dijklander Hospital (locations Hoorn and Purmerend, the Netherlands). The LTD project and study protocol amendments were approved by the Medical Ethics Review Board of the University Medical Center Groningen (METC 2017/412). Recruitment started in 2019 and is expected to close by the end of 2023.

PARTICIPANT RECRUITMENT

Eligible participants received (electronic) flyers and notifications about the studies and were asked by their diabetes care providers about their interest to participate. Interested PWDs were contacted, provided with information and were given the opportunity to ask questions to an independent physician. After written informed consent was provided, the participant was enrolled in the study and assigned a unique study number.

Participant and public involvement and data dissemination

Participants, funders or the public were not involved in the design, conduct, reporting or dissemination plans of this study. Participants were updated via e-mail newsletters, the Diabeter Netherlands website (www.diabeter.nl) and on social media. We have presented initial results and will present future results of the studies at national and international conferences, in peer-reviewed

research papers, various other channels including local and social media, and via the research website: www.diabeterresearch.com.

DATA COLLECTION

Table 1 lists the parameters collected from the study participants.

Biomarker complete study cohort (prospective)

At the baseline visit, fasting blood and urine samples were collected. Part of the samples underwent immediate analysis of routine haematology and biochemistry, and the remainder of the samples were stored for future analysis. All participants completed six questionnaires: the World Health Organisation- Five Well-Being Index (WHO-5),²³ the Problem Areas In Diabetes (PAID) Scale,^{24 25} the World Health Organization Quality of Life (WHOQOL) questionnaire,²⁶ the Diabetic Neuropathy (DN4) questionnaire²⁷ and the Dutch version of the Clarke hypoglycaemic (impaired awareness of hypoglycaemia [IAH]) questionnaire,^{28 29} either on paper or online. UMCG participants underwent anthropometric assessments and foot examination including arterial pulsation, tuning fork and monofilament evaluation³⁰ by a trained physician assistant. For the PWDs attending the other participating clinics, anthropometric data were retrieved from their electronic health records by the study team after enrolment. All study procedures were repeated at the 1-year and 2-year follow-up visits, except for the questionnaires, which were repeated only at 2-year follow-up.

Biomarker MMTT-subcohort (prospective)

Participants provided additional informed consent to participate in the MMTTs. They underwent an MMTT at baseline (n=169) and 1-year follow-up (n=104). If possible, the MMTT was carried out during the same appointment as the fasting blood and urine samples collection. Alternatively, a separate appointment was made by the study team.

LTD cohort (cross-sectional)

At the study visit, fasting blood (when possible) and urine samples were collected. Part of the samples underwent immediate analysis of routine haematology and biochemistry and the remainder of the samples were stored for future analysis. Each participant completed paper-and-pencil questionnaires. Five questionnaires, on quality of life (EuroQol-5D [EQ-5D]³¹) and Patient Health Questionnaire-9[PHQ-9]³²), psychosocial burden and fears (Hypoglycaemia Fear Survey-II [HFS-II ³³), neuropathy (DN4²⁷), impaired awareness of hypoglycaemia (Dutch version of the Clarke questionnaire^{28 29}), and physical activity (International Physical Activity Questionnaire [IPAQ]³⁴), were completed. Participants from all sites underwent anthropometric assessments and foot examination including arterial pulsation, tuning fork and monofilament evaluation³⁰ by a trained physician. Retrospective data up till five years before inclusion were extracted from their electronic health records by the study team after enrolment. Individuals included in the Biomarker complete study cohort with a diabetes duration \geq 35years were contacted after the 2-year follow-up to fill out additional questionnaires (EQ-5D, PHQ-9, HFS-II, and IPAQ) in order to harmonise the data between the LTD cohort participants derived from the Biomarker complete study cohort. Participants were only contacted if they had previously consented to being contacted for follow-up studies. When informed consent had been provided, the additional questionnaires were sent out.

All study materials (e.g. collected samples, paper questionnaires) and study data(sets), including medical record data, results from the questionnaires and sample analysis were stored under the participant's unique study number.

Biochemical analyses and storage of samples and data

Biomarker complete study cohort: At each visit, blood samples were collected in coagulation (Becton-Dickinson, cat. no. 367953), lithium-heparin (Becton-Dickinson, cat. no. 367378), EDTA (Becton-Dickinson, cat. no. 367525), EDTA P800 (BD 366421) and PAXgene RNA (Qiagen, cat. no. 762165; at baseline only) blood collection tubes. PAXgene DNA tubes (Qiagen, cat. no. 761115) were collected for non-UMCG participants at one visit during the study. For UMCG participants DNA was isolated from EDTA and EDTA-P800 pellets. Blood collected in coagulation tubes was allowed to coagulate for 30 minutes. Coagulation, lithium-heparin, EDTA, EDTA P800 and urine tubes were centrifuged at room temperature for 10 minutes at 1500 rpm. Fasting morning urine was collected in tubes without any additives (Becton-Dickinson, cat. no. 365000). Blood and urine samples were aliquoted and stored at -80°C in dedicated freezers located in the clinical laboratory of the IJsselland Hospital (Capelle aan den IJssel, The Netherlands). DNA samples were genotyped using the Infinium Global Screening Array (GSA)-24 v1 and v3 Illumina Inc. (San Diego, United States).

For the MMTT procedure the target glucose level at the start of the test was between 3.3 and 12 mmol/l. If values were lower than 3.3 mmol/l, oral glucose was administered and glucose levels were checked every 30 minutes until levels were in range again. If values were higher, a correction bolus was needed, and participants were retested after 30-45 minutes. If glucose values during the night prior to the test were continuously >12 mmol/mL, tests were rescheduled. An IV-catheter was placed in a cubital vein or in the hand-wrist area from which blood was sampled. At time point 0, participants were given a dose of Resource® Protein (Nestlé) mixed meal (@ 1,25 kcal/mL), comprising 6 ml/kg body weight to a maximum of 60 kg (=360 ml). The dose had to be consumed in no more than five minutes. Blood was collected at 0, 30, 60, 90, 120 minutes in three types of tubes: coagulation tubes (Becton-Dickinson, cat. no. 367955), lithium-heparin tubes (Becton-Dickinson, cat. no. 367955), lithium-heparin tubes (Becton-Dickinson, cat. no. 367955), lithium-heparin tubes (The Netherlands). At each time point, glucose from the drawn blood was also measured using a point-of-care glucose meter.

LTD cohort: At baseline visit, blood samples were collected in coagulation (Becton-Dickinson, cat. no. 367953), lithium-heparin (Becton-Dickinson, cat. no. 367378), EDTA (Becton-Dickinson, cat. no. 367525), trisodium citrate (Bectron-Dickinson, cat. No. 366575) and PAXgene RNA (Qiagen, cat. no. 762165) blood collection tubes. Fasting morning urine and 2-hour post-prandial urine were collected in tubes without any additives (Becton-Dickinson, cat. no. 365000). Blood collected in coagulation tubes was allowed to coagulate for 30 minutes. Coagulation, lithium-heparin, and EDTA tubes were centrifuged at room temperature for 10 minutes at 1300 rpm. DNA was isolated from EDTA pellets. Trisodium citrate tubes were centrifuged for 20 minutes at 1300 rpm. Urine sample tubes were centrifuged at 4 degrees for 10 minutes at 2000 rpm. Blood and urine samples were aliquoted and stored at -80°C in dedicated freezers at the University Medical Center Groningen.

Availability of biosamples is listed in Table 2. Data from biochemical analyses and extracted from electronic heath records were collected in multiple databases stored on secure servers at the University Medical Center Groningen and at Diabeter.

PARTICIPANT CHARACTERISTICS

Table 3 shows the baseline characteristics of the study participants. The median (interquartile range [IQR]) age of the Biomarker complete study cohort participants at baseline was 31.7 (23.2–52.4) years and 59% were women. The majority of participants were of western European origin. The median age at diagnosis was 12.3 (7.9–20.6) years and median duration of diabetes was 18.5 (11.8–29.9) years. Participants used a median total daily dose of insulin of 50 (40–64) U/day and had a mean (SD) HbA1c of 61 (13) mmol/mol (7.7 [1.1]%). The majority of participants (74%) did not produce any detectable fasting levels of C-peptide (<3.8 pmol/L). The 26% of participants who did, showed a median fasting C-peptide level of 30 (10–110) pmol/L. With most participants (61%) using an insulin pump for insulin administration, this cohort may not be representative for the general T1D population in the Netherlands. Just over half of included participants (54%) were from Diabeter

Netherlands (Figures 2 and 3). Since this is a specialist centre for people with T1D, historically focusing on young children, adolescents and young adults with T1D and on technology, participants were younger (mean [SD] age: Diabeter Netherlands, 27 [10] years: other hospitals, 51 [15] years). Also the proportion of insulin pump users was higher (Diabeter Netherlands, 70%; other hospitals, 50%). It must also be noted that glucose monitoring was mostly performed by SMBG (77%), while real-time (rt-)CGM and intermittent scanning (is-)CGM were used by only 19% and 5% of participants, respectively. Rt-CGM and is-CGM use increased in the course of the study as insurance coverage of is-CGM was introduced after start of the study. Approximately one fifth of participants were using antihypertensive and lipid-lowering drugs.

The individuals in the LTD cohort had a median age and diabetes duration of 63 (56–70) and 45 (40– 50) years, respectively (also see figure 3). Almost half the participants were women with a mean BMI of 27 (4) kg/m². Mean (SD) HbA1c was 58 (9) mmol/mol (7.4 [0.8]%) with a median total daily dose of 30 (18–49) U/day. Around half the participants used an insulin pump, with 47% using rt-CGM and 36% using is-CGM. This is higher when compared with the Biomarker complete study cohort, likely because rt-CGM was reimbursed at the time this study started. Antihypertensive and lipid lowering drugs were used by 21% and 16% of the study participants, respectively.

Follow-up information

Biomarker complete study cohort: After baseline recruitment of 611 participants (T0), 539 (88%) returned for a 1-year follow-up visit between May 2017 and March 2020 (T1), after a median follow-up time of 12.6 (11.9–13.5) months after T0 (range: 8.9–20.3). Two-year follow-up visits were completed by 460 participants (75%) between April 2018 and March 2021 (T2), after a median of 13.0 (11.7–13.6) months after T1 (range: 4.8–35.4). Reasons for loss to follow-up included, but were not restricted to, Covid-19 (40%), own request/no time (23%), diabetes treatment at other hospital

13

(17%), pregnancy/breastfeeding (7%), illness/medication use/accident (5%), death (2%) and other reasons (6%).

MMTT-cohort: 169 participants (28%) underwent an MMTT between August 2016 and February 2019 2020, of whom 104 underwent a second MMTT (62% of the 169 participants who underwent an MMTT at baseline) between August 2017 and March 2019, after a median follow-up time of 12.4 (11.9–13.4) months (range: 8.6–25.3).

Publications to date

1. C-peptide assay validation and sample analysis

Samples from the cohort (only from one centre) have first been used to compare and verify two commercially available assays able to measure C-peptide in the picomolar range. Many C-peptide assays are commercially available for research and routine use.³⁵ We compared the ultrasensitive Mercodia enzyme-linked immunosorbent C-peptide assay (ELISA) with the Beckman immunoradiometric assay (IRMA) for C-peptide, assessing reproducibility (coefficient of variation [CV]), limit of blank (LoB), limit of detection (LoD) and limit of quantitation (LoQ).²⁰ Because only the IRMA met the specifications claimed by the manufacturer, providing the lowest threshold for quantification of serum C-peptide, we chose this assay for our C-peptide analyses.²⁰

2. Residual C-peptide production and impaired awareness of hypoglycaemia (IAH)

In a following analysis of the longitudinal cohort we assessed the association between fasting serum C-peptide levels and the presence of impaired awareness of hypoglycaemia (IAH) in people with T1D.¹⁹ Clinical data and fasting serum C-peptide concentrations were related to the presence or absence of IAH, which was evaluated using the validated Dutch version of the Clarke questionnaire. Using a multivariable logistic regression model, associations between C-peptide and other clinical variables with IAH were investigated. We reported that residual C-peptide secretion was associated

with lower risk of IAH and that higher BMI, the presence of microvascular complications and higher age at diabetes onset were independent risk factors for IAH in people with T1D.

3. Fasting versus stimulated residual C-peptide production

About 25% of PWDs still showed some residual C-peptide production (Table 3). One of our analyses focussed on the stability of residual C-peptide production over time.²¹ Because the MMTT procedure constitutes a burden to PWDs, an easier way to reliably assess residual C-peptide secretion is required, e.g. a simplified MMTT testing only 1 timepoint. To assess if fasting residual C-peptide production or residual C-peptide production at 90 minutes or 120 minutes after a MMTT may be sufficient to identify residual C-peptide production, we compared these variables with the C-peptide area under the curve (AUC) of the complete MMTTs. About 10% of participants who did not show fasting residual C-peptide production, did still show meal-stimulated residual C-peptide production. The 90 minutes and 120 minutes MMTT timepoints showed good concordance with the MMTT total AUC. Overall, there was a decrease of C-peptide at 1-year follow-up.²¹

Strengths and limitations

With regard to the prospective part of the study, the main strengths of this cohort are the prospective nature of the measurements and the collection of biosamples from participants with established T1D, whereas most longitudinal studies collect biosamples in the context of the early phases of T1D. To test stability of C-peptide assessments, a subgroup of participants underwent an MMTT at 2 time points. The clinical and biochemical data can be linked to the results from ancillary genetic studies on DNA and mRNA and a large pool of data and samples is available for collaborative projects. For both cohorts detailed clinical data are available of all participants and for a large subgroup of PWDs' historical glucose and HbA1c data are also available. Furthermore, PWDs were recruited from multiple clinics, including non-academic/-specialised centres, representing a "real world" population.

This study also has some limitations. First, although this was a longitudinal study, participants were followed for only 2 years, which is relatively short. Second, this cohort may not be representative for the general Dutch population of PWDs, considering the high percentage of mainly younger participants using technology. It is likely that these participants feel more engaged in looking for solutions for T1D (management), potentially introducing participation bias. Third, the inclusion age of ≥16 years old prevents extrapolation of the data to younger cohorts, because the disease process in younger patients is different and more rapid at onset, resulting in less C-peptide reserve causing different disease processes later in the course of the disease. Fourth, in this study cellular immunity was not assessed so it is not possible to investigate possible associations between beta-cell function and beta-cell autoimmunity. Fifth, although data and biosamples were collected for more than 600 participants, this number may be too low for detailed analyses in specific PWD subgroups (e.g. insulin delivery modality, different treating centres and therapies). Finally, there was a relatively high dropout rate of 25% after 2 years, largely influenced by the Covid-19 outbreak.

Next steps and call for further use of data and samples and collaborative efforts

Diabetes research on the intersection of immunology and metabolism is a field that is developing in a fast pace.³⁶ While research is increasingly focusing on the role of the innate immune system in the earliest steps of the disease and its sequelae,^{37 38} our main goal was to focus on heterogeneity in hormonal, immune/inflammatory/metabolic markers and insulin resistance in PWDs. With regard to hormones, the samples have already been analysed for fasting and stimulated C-peptide. Future biochemical analyses will be aimed at determining fasting and stimulated levels of additional relevant hormones such as glucagon, GLP-1, GLP-2, leptin, growth hormone and proinsulin. With respect to inflammatory markers in established T1D we plan to assess if innate immune markers (e.g. CRP, fibrinogen, complement component C3) or specific immune markers like cytokines (e.g. II-6, II-10, TNF-alpha) and adipokines (e.g. chemerin, CCL2, adiponectin) are associated with glucose metrics and residual beta-cell function (as measured by residual C-peptide production). In terms of glycaemic

16

outcomes, HbA1c levels of participants were collected during the study. In addition, for participants from one centre (Diabeter Netherlands) automatically retrieved glucose (sensor) data since 2012 are available. These sensor data can be used for analysis of glycaemic variability parameters (e.g. time in targeted glucose [TIR], coefficient of variation [CV], mean amplitude of glycaemic excursions [MAGE] etc.), which can also be linked to the other data.

The innate immune system is able to sense metabolic stress induced by factors such as nutritional components and changes in the intestinal microbiota, refocusing the problem of diabetes to other organs, such as the liver and the gut.³⁹ We aim to explore some of the involved pathways in an integrated systems biology approach to assess clinical heterogeneity and to improve clinical phenotyping in T1D. While metabolic biomarkers associated with the early pathogenesis of T1D are established,⁴⁰ there is a need for similar markers that exist beyond the clinical onset period. Currently, samples from the Biomarker cohorts are undergoing genetic, metabolomic and proteomic analyses. These data can then be linked to the hormonal data. We also plan to estimate additional features of insulin resistance based on blood pressure, insulin dose per kg lean body mass, markers of lipid metabolism (fasting free fatty acids, triglycerides and HDL-cholesterol), liver enzymes and adipokines (IL6, TNF-alpha, adiponectin).

In addition, new markers such as microRNA (miRNAs) have been identified as promising markers of disease in T1D, both in the disease process leading to beta cell destruction and in the subsequent development of complications. Circulating microRNAs and exosomes (EXOs: miRNA containing vesicles) are novel biomarker candidates, showing characteristic changes in blood levels in samples from PWDs.⁴¹⁻⁴⁵ Also, it has been shown that miRNAs are transported within HDL and this way can reach target tissues to influence gene expression there.⁴⁶

All these data can be interlinked with data like residual beta-cell function (as measured by C-peptide levels), anthropometric and clinical parameters (e.g. [changes in] weight, length, glycaemic outcomes [HbA1c, glucose levels], insulin dose), and beta-cell-directed autoimmunity (e.g. [persistence of] autoantibodies, autoimmune comorbidities).

We welcome collaboration with other research groups interested in the data/samples of this cohort. Researchers can visit the Diabeter Research website (https://www.diabeterresearch.com/biomarkerstudy/) for additional information and can contact us at <u>research@diabeter.nl</u>.

Acknowledgments

Establishing these cohorts and performing research would not have been possible without the interest, participation and support from all participating people with diabetes as well as the staff in all participating centres.

Contributors

The Biomarker project was a Strategic Research Agreement (SRA) initially developed by HA, HV and BHRW with JDRF, later extended with the Diabetesfonds LTD cohort. HJA and BHRW conceived the present manuscript. MdV, HJA, BHRW and RDMV established the cohorts. PD, RDMV, CEV and EB conducted the data analysis. PD, DM and RDMV drafted the manuscript. All authors critically revised the manuscript and approved the final version of the manuscript. PD prepared the final version for the submission.

Funding

This study was supported by the Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation (JDRF), grant no. 3-SRA-2014-291-M-R, the Dutch Diabetes Research Foundation, project 2015.16.1856, and the 'Vrienden van Diabeter' (Friends of Diabeter) foundation, for which we are very grateful.

18

Competing interests

The authors have no conflict of interest to disclose. Diabeter Netherlands is an independent clinic, which was acquired by Medtronic. The research presented here was independently performed and there is no conflict of interest.

Ethics approval Ethics approval

This study was approved by the Medical Ethics Review Board of the UMCG (METC 2015/493 and

METC 2017/412). All participants gave written informed consent.

Provenance and peer review

Not commissioned, externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement

Data are available upon request. Researchers can obtain additional information or submit a request and proposal for data and sample use to the Biomarker Steering Committee (e-mail: research@diabeter.nl). Proposals are subject to a final decision by the Biomarker Steering committee.

REFERENCES

- 1. Richardson SJ, Pugliese A. 100 YEARS OF INSULIN: Pancreas pathology in type 1 diabetes: an evolving story. *The Journal of endocrinology* 2021;252(2):R41-r57. doi: 10.1530/joe-21-0358 [published Online First: 2021/11/11]
- 2. Scherm MG, Wyatt RC, Serr I, et al. Beta cell and immune cell interactions in autoimmune type 1 diabetes: How they meet and talk to each other. *Molecular metabolism* 2022;64:101565. doi: 10.1016/j.molmet.2022.101565 [published Online First: 2022/08/10]
- 3. Insel RA, Dunne JL, Atkinson MA, et al. Staging presymptomatic type 1 diabetes: a scientific statement of JDRF, the Endocrine Society, and the American Diabetes Association. *Diabetes care* 2015;38(10):1964-74. doi: 10.2337/dc15-1419 [published Online First: 2015/09/26]
- Couper JJ, Haller MJ, Greenbaum CJ, et al. ISPAD Clinical Practice Consensus Guidelines 2018: Stages of type 1 diabetes in children and adolescents. *Pediatric diabetes* 2018;19 Suppl 27:20-27. doi: 10.1111/pedi.12734 [published Online First: 2018/07/28]
- 5. Nagy G, Szekely TE, Somogyi A, et al. New therapeutic approaches for type 1 diabetes: Diseasemodifying therapies. World journal of diabetes 2022;13(10):835-50. doi: 10.4239/wjd.v13.i10.835 [published Online First: 2022/11/01]
- 6. Sun JK, Keenan HA, Cavallerano JD, et al. Protection from retinopathy and other complications in patients with type 1 diabetes of extreme duration: the joslin 50-year medalist study. *Diabetes care* 2011;34(4):968-74. doi: 10.2337/dc10-1675 [published Online First: 2011/03/31]
- 7. Prigge R, McKnight JA, Wild SH, et al. International comparison of glycaemic control in people with type 1 diabetes: an update and extension. *Diabetic medicine : a journal of the British Diabetic Association* 2022;39(5):e14766. doi: 10.1111/dme.14766 [published Online First: 2021/12/11]

- Redondo MJ, Hagopian WA, Oram R, et al. The clinical consequences of heterogeneity within and between different diabetes types. *Diabetologia* 2020;63(10):2040-48. doi: 10.1007/s00125-020-05211-7 [published Online First: 2020/09/08]
- 9. Bhutta ZA, Salam RA, Gomber A, et al. A century past the discovery of insulin: global progress and challenges for type 1 diabetes among children and adolescents in low-income and middleincome countries. *Lancet (London, England)* 2021;398(10313):1837-50. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(21)02247-9 [published Online First: 2021/11/15]
- 10. van Duinkerken E, Snoek FJ, de Wit M. The cognitive and psychological effects of living with type
 1 diabetes: a narrative review. *Diabetic medicine : a journal of the British Diabetic Association*2020;37(4):555-63. doi: 10.1111/dme.14216 [published Online First: 2019/12/19]
- 11. Rawshani A, Sattar N, Franzén S, et al. Excess mortality and cardiovascular disease in young adults with type 1 diabetes in relation to age at onset: a nationwide, register-based cohort study. *Lancet (London, England)* 2018;392(10146):477-86. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(18)31506-x
 [published Online First: 2018/08/22]
- 12. 6. Glycemic Targets: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2022. *Diabetes care* 2022;45(Suppl 1):S83-s96. doi: 10.2337/dc22-S006 [published Online First: 2021/12/30]
- 13. Battelino T, Danne T, Bergenstal RM, et al. Clinical Targets for Continuous Glucose Monitoring
 Data Interpretation: Recommendations From the International Consensus on Time in Range.
 Diabetes care 2019;42(8):1593-603. doi: 10.2337/dci19-0028 [published Online First:
 2019/06/10]
- 14. Varkevisser RDM, Birnie E, Vollenbrock CE, et al. Cardiovascular risk management in people with type 1 diabetes: performance using three guidelines. *BMJ open diabetes research & care* 2022;10(4) doi: 10.1136/bmjdrc-2022-002765
- 15. Große J, Hornstein H, Manuwald U, et al. Incidence of Diabetic Ketoacidosis of New-Onset Type 1 Diabetes in Children and Adolescents in Different Countries Correlates with Human

> Development Index (HDI): An Updated Systematic Review, Meta-Analysis, and Meta-Regression. *Hormone and metabolic research = Hormon- und Stoffwechselforschung = Hormones et metabolisme* 2018;50(3):209-22. doi: 10.1055/s-0044-102090 [published Online First: 2018/03/10]

- 16. Martens PJ, Gysemans C, Mathieu C. 100 YEARS OF INSULIN: Arresting or curing type 1 diabetes:
 an elusive goal, but closing the gap. *The Journal of endocrinology* 2021;249(2):T1-t11. doi:
 10.1530/joe-20-0552 [published Online First: 2021/03/18]
- 17. Battaglia M, Ahmed S, Anderson MS, et al. Introducing the Endotype Concept to Address the Challenge of Disease Heterogeneity in Type 1 Diabetes. *Diabetes care* 2020;43(1):5-12. doi: 10.2337/dc19-0880 [published Online First: 2019/11/23]
- 18. Chung WK, Erion K, Florez JC, et al. Precision Medicine in Diabetes: A Consensus Report From the American Diabetes Association (ADA) and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD). *Diabetes care* 2020;43(7):1617-35. doi: 10.2337/dci20-0022 [published Online First: 2020/06/21]
- Wellens MJ, Vollenbrock CE, Dekker P, et al. Residual C-peptide secretion and hypoglycemia awareness in people with type 1 diabetes. *BMJ open diabetes research & care* 2021;9(1) doi: 10.1136/bmjdrc-2021-002288 [published Online First: 2021/09/17]
- 20. de Leur K, Vollenbrock C, Dekker P, et al. How low is really low? Comparison of two C-peptide assays to establish residual C-peptide production in type 1 diabetes. *Diabetic medicine : a journal of the British Diabetic Association* 2022;39(5):e14785. doi: 10.1111/dme.14785 [published Online First: 2022/01/07]
- 21. Vollenbrock CE, Mul D, Dekker P, et al. Fasting and meal-stimulated serum C-peptide in long-standing type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetic medicine : a journal of the British Diabetic Association* 2023;40(2):e15012. doi: 10.1111/dme.15012 [published Online First: 2022/11/19]

- 22. Holt RIG, DeVries JH, Hess-Fischl A, et al. The Management of Type 1 Diabetes in Adults. A Consensus Report by the American Diabetes Association (ADA) and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD). *Diabetes care* 2021;44(11):2589-625. doi: 10.2337/dci21-0043 [published Online First: 2021/10/02]
- 23. Topp CW, Østergaard SD, Søndergaard S, et al. The WHO-5 Well-Being Index: a systematic review of the literature. *Psychotherapy and psychosomatics* 2015;84(3):167-76. doi: 10.1159/000376585 [published Online First: 2015/04/04]
- 24. Polonsky WH, Anderson BJ, Lohrer PA, et al. Assessment of diabetes-related distress. *Diabetes care* 1995;18(6):754-60. doi: 10.2337/diacare.18.6.754 [published Online First: 1995/06/01]
- 25. Welch GW, Jacobson AM, Polonsky WH. The Problem Areas in Diabetes Scale. An evaluation of its clinical utility. *Diabetes care* 1997;20(5):760-6. doi: 10.2337/diacare.20.5.760 [published Online First: 1997/05/01]
- 26. The World Health Organization Quality of Life assessment (WHOQOL): position paper from the World Health Organization. *Social science & medicine (1982)* 1995;41(10):1403-9. doi: 10.1016/0277-9536(95)00112-k [published Online First: 1995/11/01]
- 27. Bouhassira D, Attal N, Alchaar H, et al. Comparison of pain syndromes associated with nervous or somatic lesions and development of a new neuropathic pain diagnostic questionnaire (DN4).
 Pain 2005;114(1-2):29-36. doi: 10.1016/j.pain.2004.12.010 [published Online First: 2005/03/01]
- 28. Janssen MM, Snoek FJ, Heine RJ. Assessing impaired hypoglycemia awareness in type 1 diabetes: agreement of self-report but not of field study data with the autonomic symptom threshold during experimental hypoglycemia. *Diabetes care* 2000;23(4):529-32. doi: 10.2337/diacare.23.4.529 [published Online First: 2000/06/17]
- 29. van Meijel LA, de Vegt F, Abbink EJ, et al. High prevalence of impaired awareness of hypoglycemia and severe hypoglycemia among people with insulin-treated type 2 diabetes: The Dutch

Diabetes Pearl Cohort. BMJ open diabetes research & care 2020;8(1) doi: 10.1136/bmjdrc-

2019-000935 [published Online First: 2020/02/29]

30. Specialists FoMS. Guidelines database [Available from: https://richtlijnendatabase-

nl.translate.goog/richtlijn/diabetische voet/preventie/uitvoering voetcontrole.html? x tr s l=auto& x tr tl=en& x tr hl=en& x tr pto=wapp.

- 31. Devlin NJ, Brooks R. EQ-5D and the EuroQol Group: Past, Present and Future. *Applied health economics and health policy* 2017;15(2):127-37. doi: 10.1007/s40258-017-0310-5 [published Online First: 2017/02/15]
- 32. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. Journal of general internal medicine 2001;16(9):606-13. doi: 10.1046/j.1525-1497.2001.016009606.x [published Online First: 2001/09/15]
- 33. Gonder-Frederick LA, Schmidt KM, Vajda KA, et al. Psychometric properties of the hypoglycemia fear survey-ii for adults with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes care* 2011;34(4):801-6. doi:
 10.2337/dc10-1343 [published Online First: 2011/02/25]
- 34. Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjöström M, et al. International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise* 2003;35(8):1381-95. doi: 10.1249/01.Mss.0000078924.61453.Fb [published Online First: 2003/08/06]
- 35. Dekker P, de Vries M, Aanstoot HJ, et al. Which C-peptide assay do you use? Increasing need for describing C-peptide assay performance. *Pediatric endocrinology, diabetes, and metabolism* 2022;28(1):101-03. doi: 10.5114/pedm.2022.113741 [published Online First: 2022/03/22]
- 36. Jin C, Henao-Mejia J, Flavell RA. Innate immune receptors: key regulators of metabolic disease progression. *Cell metabolism* 2013;17(6):873-82. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2013.05.011 [published Online First: 2013/06/12]

- 37. Roep BO. β-Cells, autoimmunity, and the innate immune system: "un ménage á trois"? *Diabetes* 2013;62(6):1821-2. doi: 10.2337/db13-0276 [published Online First: 2013/05/25]
- 38. Galgani M, Nugnes R, Bruzzese D, et al. Meta-immunological profiling of children with type 1 diabetes identifies new biomarkers to monitor disease progression. *Diabetes* 2013;62(7):2481-91. doi: 10.2337/db12-1273 [published Online First: 2013/02/12]

39. Piccioni A, Rosa F, Mannucci S, et al. Gut Microbiota, LADA, and Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus: An Evolving Relationship. *Biomedicines* 2023;11(3) doi: 10.3390/biomedicines11030707 [published Online First: 2023/03/30]

- 40. Oresic M, Simell S, Sysi-Aho M, et al. Dysregulation of lipid and amino acid metabolism precedes islet autoimmunity in children who later progress to type 1 diabetes. *The Journal of experimental medicine* 2008;205(13):2975-84. doi: 10.1084/jem.20081800 [published Online First: 2008/12/17]
- 41. Guay C, Regazzi R. Circulating microRNAs as novel biomarkers for diabetes mellitus. *Nature reviews Endocrinology* 2013;9(9):513-21. doi: 10.1038/nrendo.2013.86 [published Online First: 2013/05/01]
- 42. Nielsen LB, Wang C, Sørensen K, et al. Circulating levels of microRNA from children with newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes and healthy controls: evidence that miR-25 associates to residual beta-cell function and glycaemic control during disease progression. *Experimental diabetes research* 2012;2012:896362. doi: 10.1155/2012/896362 [published Online First: 2012/07/26]
- 43. Sebastiani G, Grieco FA, Spagnuolo I, et al. Increased expression of microRNA miR-326 in type 1 diabetic patients with ongoing islet autoimmunity. *Diabetes/metabolism research and reviews* 2011;27(8):862-6. doi: 10.1002/dmrr.1262 [published Online First: 2011/11/10]
- 44. Takahashi P, Xavier DJ, Evangelista AF, et al. MicroRNA expression profiling and functional annotation analysis of their targets in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Gene* 2014;539(2):213-23. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2014.01.075 [published Online First: 2014/02/18]

- 45. Lukic ML, Pejnovic N, Lukic A. New insight into early events in type 1 diabetes: role for islet stem cell exosomes. *Diabetes* 2014;63(3):835-7. doi: 10.2337/db13-1786 [published Online First: 2014/02/22]
- 46. Vickers KC, Palmisano BT, Shoucri BM, et al. MicroRNAs are transported in plasma and delivered

to recipient cells by high-density lipoproteins. *Nature cell biology* 2011;13(4):423-33. doi:

10.1038/ncb2210 [published Online First: 2011/03/23]

Figure legends

Figure 1. Flowchart of participant inclusion.

^a aim to include 200 individuals.

^b n=23 of these n=460 skipped the 1-year follow-up.

Figure 2. Distribution of age.

Figure 3. Distribution of diabetes duration.

Table 1: Summary of parameters collected from the cohort.

	Biomarker complete study cohort			Biomarker MMTT cohort ^ª		LTD cohort
	Baseline	Year 1	Year 2	Baseline	Year 1	Baseline
Sex	V					V
Ethnicity	V					V
Age at diagnosis	V					V
Diabetes duration	V	V	V			V
Age	V	V	V			V
Systolic and diastolic blood pressure	V	V	V			V
Pulse	V	V	V			V
Height	V	V	V			V
Weight	V	V	V			V
BMI	V	V	V			V
Waist circumference						V
Hip circumference						V
Total daily insulin dose	v	V	V			V
Mode of insulin administration (MDI or insulin pump)	v	V	V			V
Glucose monitoring method (SMBG, rt-CGM or is-CGM)	v	V	V			V
Medication use	V	V	V			V
Co-morbidities/complications (kidney, eye and macrovascular) ^b	V	٧ ^c	٧ ^c			V
HbA1c	V	V	V			V
Fasting glucose	V	V	V			
Fasting C-peptide	v	V	V			
Glucose during MMTT				v^{d}	٧ ^e	
MMTT stimulated C-peptide				v^{d}	٧ ^e	
Routine laboratory parameters measured from samples ^f	V	V	V			V
Routine laboratory parameters extracted from electronic	V	V	V			V

health records ^f]
Genotyping data from global screening array	V			
Quality of life (WHO-5)	V	V		
Problem Areas in Diabetes (PAID) scale	V	V		
World Health Organization Quality of Life (WHOQOL)				
questionnaire	V	V		
Diabetic Neuropathy (DN) questionnaire	V	V		V
Clarke hypoglycaemic questionnaire	V	√		V
EuroQol (EQ-5D)		$\sqrt{v^h}$		V
Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9)		√ ^h		V
Hypoglycaemia Fear Survey		√ ^h		V
International Physical Activity Questionnaire		√ ^h		V

^a Same as for 'Biomarker complete study cohort', except for MMTT samples

^b Addison's disease, Angina pectoris, Autonomic Neuropathy, Cerebrovascular Accident (stroke), Chronic Kidney Disease and Dialysis, Coeliac disease, Erectile Dysfunction, Hypothyroidism, Hyperthyroidism, Hypertension, Hypercholesterolemia, Laser treatment, Lower Limb Amputation, Lower limb ulcer, Myocardial Infarction, Peripheral artery disease, Peripheral Neuropathy, Pre-proliferative Retinopathy, Proliferative Retinopathy.

^c Only at baseline for UMCG participants

^d n=169

^e n-104, only Diabeter Netherlands participants

^f Alanine aminotransferase, Aspartate Aminotransferase, C-reactive protein, Gamma-glutamyltransferase, Total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, LDL-Cholesterol, Triglycerides, Apolipoprotein B, Vit D3. In UMCG for all freshly measured on same day as blood draw.

^g TSH, Free T4, Thyroid peroxidase antibodies, Total cholesterol/HDL-cholesterol ratio, Non-HDL cholesterol, Urine creatinine, Urine albumin, Albumin/creatinine ration, Serum creatinine, Tissue transglutaminase IgA antibodies. In UMCG for all freshly measured on same day as blood draw.

^h In individuals with ≥35 years T1D in the 'Biomarker complete study cohort' who also agreed to complete the questionnaires of the LTD study.

BMI, body-mass index; HER, electronic health record; HbA1c, glycated haemoglobin; is-CGM, intermittent scanning continuous glucose monitoring; MDI, multiple daily injection; MMTT, mixed-meal tolerance test; rt-CGM, real-time continuous glucose monitoring; SMBG, self-monitoring of blood glucose; WHO-5, World Health Organisation- Five Well-Being Index.

Biosamples	Biosamples Baseline	First follow-up (T1)	Second follow-up (T2)
Biomarker complete study cohort		(/	()
Serum	V	V	√
Lithium-heparin plasma	V	V	V
EDTA (plasma)	V	V	V
EDTA-P800 (plasma)	V	V	V
RNA (whole blood)	V		
DNA (from whole blood/buffy coat)	V		
Urine (normal)	V	V	V
MMTT cohort (additional samples to biosamples Biomarker complete study cohort)			
Serum (timepoints 0, 30, 60, 90 and 120 minutes)		V	
Lithium-heparin plasma (timepoints 0, 30, 60, 90 and 120 minutes)	V	V	
EDTA-P800 plasma (timepoints 0, 30, 60, 90 and 120 minutes)	V	V	
LTD cohort			
Lithium-heparin	\checkmark		
DNA (from whole blood/buffy coat)	\checkmark		
Serum	\checkmark		
Morning urine	\checkmark		
Urine 2 hours post-prandial	√		
EDTA (plasma)	√		
Citrate (plasma)	\checkmark		
RNA (whole blood)	√		

Table 2: Bio sample availability for baseline and 1-year and 2-year follow-ups.

Table 3: Participants characteristics at baseline (n=611)

	Biomarker complete study cohort		MMTT-cohort		LTD coho	rt
	Mean (SD), range (unless stated otherwise)	n	Mean (SD), range (unless stated otherwise)	n	Mean (SD), range (unless stated otherwise)	Nª
Age, median (IQR), range (years)	31.7 (23.2–52.4), 16.0– 80.4	611	26.3 (21.5–47.9), 16.0– 74.2	169	64 (56–70), 39–88	160
Age at diagnosis, median (IQR), range (years)	12.3 (7.9–20.6), 0.8–68.1	611	11.5 (7.9–17.0), 0.8–55.3	169	15 (8–23), 0–49	169
Diabetes duration, median (IQR), range (years)	18.5 (11.8–29.9), 3.8–72.6	611	17.2 (10.3–27.8), 5.0–64.8	169	45 (41–51), 35–72	160
Sex (% female)	59	611	59	169	45	175
Blood pressure (mm Hg)				163		155
Systolic	128 (13), 90–183	588	126 (12), 90–163		137 (18), 64–218	
Diastolic	72 (8), 45–102	588	71 (8), 52–101		70 (10), 41–99	
Height (cm)	175 (9), 145–200	610	174 (9), 156–193	169	175 (9), 146–203	160
Weight (kg)	79 (13), 52–123	592	78 (12), 54–113	166	81 (16), 38–134	161
BMI (kg/m2)	26 (4), 18–40	592	26 (4), 19–37	166	27 (4), 15–40	159
Total daily insulin dose, median (IQR), range (U/day)	50 (40–64), 15–179	598	52 (42–64), 15–130	165	30 (18–45), 8–124	114
HbA1c		609		169		112
mmol/mol	61 (13), 23–124		60 (12), 23–115		58 (9), 36–87	
%	7.7 (1.1), 4.3–13.5		7.7 (1.1), 4.3–12.7		7.4 (0.8), 5.4–9.1	
Glucose (mmol/L)	9.6 (3.9), 1.0–28.3	609			-	
C-peptide undetectable vs detectable (%)		609		169	-	
Undetectable (<3.8 pmol/L)	74		75			
Detectable (≥3.8 pmol/L)	26		25			
C-peptide concentration in participants with	30 (10–110), 3.9– 1439	155	31 (10–129), 4–741	43	-	
detectable C-peptide, median (IQR), range (pmol/L)						

Insulin administration (%)		610		169		150
MDI	39		33		73	
Insulin pump	61		67		77	
Glucose monitoring method (%)		610		169		120
SMBG	77		82		17	
rt-CGM	19		14		47	
is-CGM	4		4		36	
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	4.4 (0.8), 2.6–8.9	611	4.3 (0.8), 2.8–7.0	169	4.3 (0.9), 0.5–7.2	145
HDL-cholesterol (mmol/L)	1.7 (0.5), 0.6–3.6	611	1.7 (0.5), 0.9–3.6	169	1.7 (0.5), 0.3–3.1	146
LDL-cholesterol, mmol/L	2.7 (0.7), 0.8–5.8)	611	2.6 (0.7), 1.3–5.2	169	2.4 (0.7), 0.9–5.4	147
Triglycerides, median (IQR), range (mmol/L)	0.9 (0.7–1.2), 0.2–5.4	606	0.8 (0.7–1.1), 0.3–4.7	164	1.0 (0.7), 0.4–6.4	147
Apolipoprotein B (mmol/L)	0.8 (0.2), 0.3–1.7	611	0.8 (0.2), 0.4–1.7	169	-	
Antihypertensive medication (%)	21	595	14	168	34	159
Lipid-lowering medication (%)	23	596	19	168	26	159

^a recruitment still ongoing

HbA1c, glycated haemoglobin; HDL, high density lipoprotein; IQR, interquartile range; is-CGM, intermittent scanning continuous glucose monitoring; LDL, low density lipoprotein; MMTT, mixed-meal tolerance test; rt-CGM, real-time continuous glucose monitoring; SD, standard deviation; SMBG, self-monitoring of blood glucose





