1	Association of polypharmacy and burden of comorbidities on COVID-19
2	adverse outcomes in people with type 1 or type 2 diabetes
3	
4	JK Gupta ¹ , R Ravindrarajah ¹ , G Tilston ¹ , W Ollier ² , DM Ashcroft ^{3,4} , <u>AH Heald^{5,6}</u>
5	¹ Division of Informatics, Imaging and Data Science, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health,
6	University of Manchester, Manchester, UK.
7	² Faculty of Science and Engineering, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester, UK
8	³ Division of Pharmacy & Optometry, School of Health Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and
9	Health, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK.
10	⁴ NIHR Greater Manchester Patient Safety Research Collaboration (PSRC), University of Manchester,
11	UK
12	5 Department of Diabetes and Endocrinology, Salford Royal NHS Foundation Trust, Salford, UK
13	⁶ Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, University of Manchester, UK
14	
15	Corresponding author: <u>Adrian.Heald@nca.nhs.uk</u>
16	
17	RUNNING TITLE: Diabetes and polypharmacy adverse COVID-19 outcome
18	
19	Abstract
20	Aim: To investigate whether polypharmacy and comorbidities conveyed more risk of adverse health
21	outcomes following COVID-19 infection in people with type 1 diabetes (T1DM) or type 2 diabetes
22	(T2DM).
23	Materials and methods: The Greater Manchester Care Record (GMCR) is an integrated database of
24	electronic health records containing data collected from 433 general practices in Greater
25	Manchester. Baseline demographic information (age, BMI, gender, ethnicity, smoking status,

26 deprivation index), hospital admission or death within 28 days of infection were extracted for adults

27 (18+) diagnosed with either T1DM or T2DM.

28 Results: For T2DM, 16 to 20 medications (p=0.005; OR [95% CI]=2.375 [1.306 to 4.319]) and > 20 29 medications (p<0.001; OR [95% CI]=3.141 [1.755 to 5.621]) were associated with increased risk of 30 death following COVID-19 infection. Increased risk of hospital admissions in T2DM individuals was 31 associated with 11 to 15 medications (p=0.013; OR [95% CI]=1.341 [1.063 to 1.692]) and above. This 32 was independent of comorbidities, metabolic and demographic factors. For T1DM there was no 33 association of polypharmacy with hospital admission. Respiratory, cardiovascular/cerebrovascular 34 and gastrointestinal conditions were associated with increased risk of hospital admissions and 35 deaths in T2DM (p<0.001). 36 Conclusion: We have shown in T2DM an independent association of multiple medications taken

from 11 upwards with adverse health consequences following COVID-19 infection. We also found that individuals with diabetes develop comorbidities that were common across both T1DM and T2DM. This study has laid the foundation for future investigations into the way that complex pharmacological interactions may influence clinical outcomes in people with T2DM.

41

42 Keywords: polypharmacy; comorbidity; electronic health records; COVID-19

43

44 Introduction

Acute Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) or COVID-19 is the pathogenic coronavirus that led to the 2020 pandemic. COVID-19 infections can lead to adverse outcomes, such as hospital admission or death, the risk of which are increased further for individuals diagnosed with either type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) or type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM)^{1,2}. Increased risk of adverse health outcomes following COVID-19 infection have also been linked to other underlying medical conditions, which has raised concerns for chronic disease care ^{3–7}. It has been showed that socially marginalised and psychiatrically vulnerable individuals are at higher risk of severe health outcomes

52 following COVID-19 infection ⁸. McQueenie et al. (2020) investigated the UK Biobank data to 53 determine the association between multi-morbidity (including polypharmacy as a proxy) and COVID-54 19 infection risk ⁹. McQueenie et al. (2020) reported that individuals diagnosed with \geq 2 55 cardiometabolic conditions and increasing polypharmacy were associated with increased the risk of 56 COVID-19 infection ⁹.

Previous studies of COVID-19 in individuals with T1DM and T2DM (in the Greater Manchester (GM) area) have shown that the prescribing of certain medications influenced the likelihood of hospitalisation or death following COVID-19 infection ^{10,11}. Many individuals in this population are often prescribed, and are taking, multiple medications as they live with other long-term conditions.

The definition of 'polypharmacy' has been shown to widely vary in the published literature ¹². In addition to this term, Masnoon et al. (2017) summarised that the terms minor, moderate and major polypharmacy were used in literature to describe when between 2 to 11 or more medications are consumed. The most commonly reported number of medications across these 'polypharmacy' terms was greater than or equal to 5, as identified in the review ¹².

66 It is widely accepted that the higher the number of medications prescribed and taken by an 67 individual, the higher the risk of poor health outcomes. Recent reviews have reported a high 68 prevalence of polypharmacy in older people diagnosed with diabetes and an association with several 69 health-related outcomes, including falls, syncope, hospitalization, and death, as well as highlighting the need to reduce inappropriate prescribing ^{13–15}. Several studies have also investigated the 70 relationship between polypharmacy and severe COVID-19 health outcomes ^{16,17}. However, there is 71 72 little understanding of how polypharmacy in people with diabetes might affect their risk of severe 73 health outcome post COVID-19 infection, other than the public health burden and impact of adverse drug-drug interactions in individuals¹⁸. 74

Electronic health records (EHR) emerged as a useful tool for public health and COVID-19 research, as
 described by Madhavan et al. (2021) and Casey et al. (2016) ^{19,20}. It is also useful for improving health
 service for example by identifying and understanding health inequalities ^{21,22}.

To date, there are no studies that explore the associations between both polypharmacy and comorbidity with adverse health outcomes, such as hospital admission or death, post first infection of COVID-19 specifically in individuals with diabetes. This retrospective cohort study aimed to investigate whether polypharmacy independent of comorbidity conveys greater risk of adverse outcomes in people with diabetes when testing positive for COVID-19 infection in UK EHR data.

83

84 Materials and methods

85 Cohort data source

86 The Greater Manchester Care Record (GMCR) is an integrated database of primary care, secondary 87 care and mental health trusts from across GM (https://gmwearebettertogether.com/research-and-88 planning/) for analyses covering a population of approximately 3 million people. Health and care 89 data were collected from 433 of 435 (99.5%) general practices in GM. Data were de-identified at 90 source and were extracted from the GMCR database. This was a retrospective cohort study with the 91 period of follow-up 2020 to 2022 in relation to the main impact period of the COVID-19 pandemic. 92 The inclusion criteria for this study were defined as individuals that are registered with a GM GP 93 practice and with a diagnosis of T1DM or T2DM and age 18 or above. Individuals with a positive test 94 for COVID-19 close to January 2020 were included in this study. The study included all people 95 diagnosed with diabetes and with data available in the GMCR in January 2020. No power calculation 96 was performed as we included all people with that diagnosis.

97

98 Variables and data cleaning

Baseline demographic information (age, BMI, gender, ethnicity, smoking status, deprivation index),
hospital admission or death within 28 days of infection were extracted for adults (18+) diagnosed
with either T1DM or T2DM (the codes applied are summarised in Appendix 1). Other rare forms of
diabetes were not included.

Hospital admissions were recorded within 4 weeks after, or 2 weeks before a positive COVID-19 test (between Jan 2020 to May 2022). The exposure was defined as prescribed medications, which were recorded in the EHRs and mapped to the corresponding BNF (British National Formulary) chapters. For this study, medications were considered at a single point in time, the month closest to first COVID-19 infection date (January 2020 onwards). The BNF groups were not mutually exclusive, therefore medications that are grouped under more than one chapter were counted. History of comorbidity was collected before March 2020.

110 Individuals who were not assigned a gender were excluded. A total of 410 individuals having a code 111 for both T1DM and T2DM were excluded. The study confounders were determined by literature 112 review. In studies using real world data analysis, a number of factors were not covered in the coded 113 data such as household makeup and employment. Links to the codes used for diabetes can be found 114 in the supplementary information. Data were checked for extreme outliers or inconsistent values 115 and removed as appropriate.

116 Ethics

117 This project was reviewed, and ethical approval for COVID-19 research was overseen by Health 118 Innovation Manchester and granted by the Greater Manchester Care Record (GMCR) review board 119 (ref: IDCR-RQ-046). This research was performed with anonymised data, in line with the Health 120 Research Authority's Governance arrangements for research ethics committees.

121 Statistical methods

Multivariable logistic regression analyses using a forward stepwise approach were performed on the T1DM and T2DM individuals, measuring exposure to number of medications and comorbidities, with either hospital admission or death after COVID-19 infection (within 28 days of COVID-19 diagnosis) as the outcome. The models were adjusted for age, BMI, ethnicity, smoking status, IMD, eGFR <60 ml/min/1.73m², cholesterol, HBA1C and blood pressure. Analyses were performed in STATA v17. This manuscript follows the reporting recommendation of RECORD-PE ²³.

128

129 Results

- 130 The study cohort included patients diagnosed as T1DM and T2DM separately. Across the Greater
- 131 Manchester Region a total of 145,907 individuals were diagnosed with T2DM and 9,705 were
- diagnosed with T1DM (Table 1; Table 2). The number of deaths in T1DM were too low (n=30) for
- 133 further statistical analyses to explore the association with mortality.

134

Table 1. Baseline demographics of individual diagnosed with Type 1 diabetes (T1DM) or Type 2 diabetes (T2DM).

	Type 1 Diabetes	Type 2 Diabetes
	N=9,705	N=145,907
Age (years)	47.3±16.8	65.8±13.8
BMI (kg/m ²)	27.7±7.0	31.0±7.7
Sex		
Female	4143 (42.7)	65922 (45.2)
Male	5562 (57.3)	79985 (54.8)
Smoking status		
Non-smoker	3967 (40.9)	51823 (35.5)
Current smoker	1804 (18.6)	20906 (14.3)
Ex-smoker	3765 (38.8)	71519 (49.0)
Unknown/missing	169 (1.7)	1659 (1.1)
Ethnicity		
White	8020 (82.6)	102051 (69.9)
Asian	565 (5.8)	25359 (17.4)
Black	221 (2.3)	5176 (3.6)
Mixed	107 (1.1)	1528 (1.1)
Other	379 (3.9)	6184 (4.2)
Missing/refused	413 (4.3)	5609 (3.8)
Deprivation index/Townsend quintile (IMD)		
1 (least deprived)	1182 (12.2)	13471 (9.2)
2	1451 (15.0)	19080 (13.1)
3	1395 (14.4)	18017 (12.4)
4	1984 (20.4)	28863 (19.8)
5 (most deprived)	3680 (37.9)	66426 (45.5)
Missing	13 (0.1)	50 (0.03)
Total number of medications prescribed *		
0	543 (5.60)	13359 (9.16)
1 to 5	5822 (59.99)	51999 (35.64)
6 to 10	1879 (19.36)	38533 (26.41)
11 to 15	744 (7.67)	21433 (14.69)
16 to 20	348 (3.59)	9880 (6.77)
> 20	369 (3.80)	10703 (7.34)
Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg) †	127.1±15.2	131.1±14.5

Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg) †	74.8±9.8	75.9±9.6
HBA1C (mmol/mol) †	69.3±20.3	56.8±17.0
eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²) < 60 ‡	907 (9.4)	25851 (17.7)
eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²) †	81.0±15.5	75.4±17.2
Cholesterol (mmol/mol) †	4.5±1.1	4.3±1.1
LDL (mmol/L) †	2.4±0.9	2.2±0.9
HDL (mmol/L) †	1.5±0.5	1.2±0.4
Diabetes duration (years)	20.6±14.3	10.4±7.45
Vaccination status: (defined prior to/around the time of first infection)		
Yes	8816 (90.8)	135141 (92.6)
No	889 (9.2)	10766 (7.4)
Prescribed medications: *		
Metformin	1015 (10.5)	72084 (49.4)
SGLT2 *	163 (1.7)	16134 (11.1)
Insulin	6854 (70.6)	12149 (8.3)
Arb/ACE inhibitors	1759 (18.1)	44127 (30.2)
Antiplatelet (clopidogrel/aspirin)	1177 (12.1)	31403 (21.5)
Angiotensin receptor blockers	710 (7.3)	20168 (13.8)
Sulphonylureas	98 (1.0)	22011 (15.1)
GLP1 receptor agonists	79 (0.8)	4475 (3.1)
Alogliptin	36 (0.4)	10196 (7.0)
Linagliptin	37 (0.4)	5388 (3.7)
Saxagliptin	< 10 (0)	944 (0.7)
Sitagliptin	29 (0.3)	6570 (4.5)
Vildagliptin	< 10 (0)	396 (0.27)
Admission to hospital (within 28 days of infection)	146(1.5)	2107 (1.4)
Length of stay in hospital (days)	4.5±8.3	7.1±11.9
Deaths (within 28 days of confirmed infection)	30 (0.3)	885 (0.6)

137 Categorical variables presented as N (%) and continuous variables as mean (±SD)

138 Townsend index: 1 denotes the least deprived and 5 the most deprived.

139 * Medications prescribed close to January 2020.

140 + Closest point before first COVID-19 +ve test

141 **‡** Estimated glomerular filtration rate

¹⁴² Sodium glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitor (SGLT2i) or a glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) agonist.

143

144 A varying number of multiple medications were prescribed to individuals with T1DM or T2DM (Table

- 145 1). A large proportion of individuals were prescribed between 1 to 5 medications; the distribution of
- 146 number of medications prescribed and BNF chapters can be seen in Figure S1 and Figure S2. In
- 147 T2DM, after endocrine and cardiovascular medications, the largest number of multiple prescriptions
- 148 were from the gastrointestinal (GI) BNF chapter.
- 149 Common comorbidities were grouped into broader categories (see Supplementary Information
- 150 Table S1) and counts were collated for T1DM and T2DM. The top six modal comorbidity groups

- 151 identified in T2DM were mental health conditions, hypertension, gastrointestinal or liver disease,
- 152 pain, respiratory conditions (or sinus-related) and cardiovascular/cerebrovascular conditions (Table
- 153 2). Of the individuals diagnosed with T2DM, 69.9% were diagnosed with hypertension and 44.9%
- 154 with mental health conditions (Table 2). The same six comorbidity groups were also identified in the
- 155 individuals with T1DM (Table S2).
- 156

Table 2. Prevalence of comorbidity groups in individuals diagnosed with T2DM. The top 6 groups are shown in italics.

Morbidity group	N	%
Hypertension	101995	69.9
GI/liver disease	82193	56.3
Mental health disorder	65487	44.9
Pain	60237	41.3
Cardiovascular/cerebrovascular	48213	33.0
Respiratory/sinus	41653	28.6
Sensory	34541	23.7
Skin/connective tissue disorder	28459	19.5
Chronic kidney disease	27931	19.1
Neurological disorders	22795	15.6
Thyroid disorders	14476	9.9
Prostate diseases	9276	6.4
Alcohol substance abuse	9108	6.2
Cancer	7892	5.4
Dementia	6498	4.5
Glaucoma	6262	4.3
Learning disability	1016	0.7

159

160 Logistic Regression Analysis

161 Odds ratios (95% confidence intervals) of the univariate regression are reported in the individuals

- 162 with T1DM and T2DM respectively.
- 163 **T1DM**

164 An increased risk of adverse outcomes post-infection was observed for individuals with T1DM and

165 GI/liver disorders (p<0.001; OR [95% CI] = 3.452 [2.118 to 5.625]) and pain associated conditions

166 (p=0.04; OR [95% CI] = 1.556 [1.021 to 2.372]) (Table 3). Age was determined as slightly protective of

167 hospital admission following COVID-19 diagnosis (p<0.001; OR [95% CI] = 0.964 [0.949 to 0.980]).

- 168 Other significant variables included eGFR < 60 (p<0.001; OR [95% CI] = 2.794 [1.746 to 4.47]). There
- 169 was no association with polypharmacy.

170

171 Table 3. Logistic regression analyses of hospital admission following COVID-19 diagnosis in

individuals with T1DM.

Variable	OR (95% Cl)	p value
Age	0.964 (0.949 to 0.980)	< 0.001
Sex	0.792 (0.537 to 1.167)	0.239
BMI	0.989 (0.960 to 1.02)	0.485
Ethnicity		
Asian	0.919 (0.431 to 1.956)	0.826
Black	0.388 (0.052 to 2.882)	0.355
Mixed	1.889 (0.444 to 8.04)	0.390
Other	0.447 (0.108 to 1.852)	0.267
Unknown	0.250 (0.035 to 1.809)	0.170
Smoking status		
Current smoker	0.856 (0.487 to 1.507)	0.590
Ex- smoker	1.022 (0.665 to 1.571)	0.921
Unknown/missing	1.237 (0.285 to 5.359)	0.777
IMD		
2	0.865 (0.404 to 1.851)	0.708
3	0.65 (0.291 to 1.452)	0.294
4	0.999 (0.501 to 1.992)	0.998
5 (most deprived)	0.843 (0.441 to 1.61)	0.604
eGFR<60	2.794 (1.746 to 4.47)	< 0.001
Cholesterol	0.925 (0.780 to 1.097)	0.369
HBA1C	1.002 (0.993 to 1.011)	0.681
Systolic BP	0.998 (0.984 to 1.012)	0.788
Diastolic BP	1.018 (0.996 to 1.041)	0.105
Comorbidity groups		
Mental health disorder	0.690 (0.463 to 1.028)	0.068
Hypertension	1.179 (0.746 to 1.865)	0.480
GI/liver disorder	3.452 (2.118 to 5.625)	< 0.001
Pain	1.556 (1.021 to 2.372)	0.040
Respiratory/sinus conditions	0.986 (0.650 to 1.496)	0.948
Cardiovascular/cerebrovascular	1.327 (0.814 to 2.162)	0.257
Total number of medications		
1 to 5	1.001 (0.235 to 4.271)	0.999
6 to 10	2.139 (0.488 to 9.381)	0.313
11 to 15	2.496 (0.539 to 11.554)	0.242
16 to 20	3.577 (0.738 to 17.329)	0.113
> 20	2.158 (0.424 to 10.989)	0.355

173 174 Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals from the logistic regression model of hospital admission post COVID-19 diagnosis.

176	T2DM
177	In individuals with T2DM, an increased number of medications, from 11 upwards was associated
178	with increased risk of hospital admission following COVID-19 infection (Table 4). Individuals
179	diagnosed with co-morbidities in the following morbidity groups had an increased likelihood of
180	hospital admission: mental health disorders (p=0.003; OR [95% CI] = 1.167 [1.054 to 1.292]); Gastro-
181	intestinal/liver disorders (p<0.001; OR [95% CI] = 1.977 [1.741 to 2.245]); pain (p<0.001; OR [95% CI]
182	= 1.407 [1.265 to 1.564]); respiratory/sinus conditions (p<0.001; OR [95% CI] = 1.235 [1.115 to
183	1.369]) and cardiovascular/cerebrovascular conditions (p<0.001; OR [95% CI] = 1.443 [1.292 to
184	1.612]). People with T2DM and eGFR level <60 (p<0.001; OR [95% CI] = 1.431 [1.268 to 1.614]) also
185	had an increased risk of hospital admission, similarly HBA1C levels ($p=0.009$; OR [95% CI] = 1.004
186	[1.001 to 1.006]) were also at increased risk (Table 4).
187	Males were more likely to be admitted to hospital after infection with COVID-19 (p<0.001; OR [95%
188	CI] = 1.312 [1.181 to 1.457]). High BMI was associated with a higher likelihood of adverse outcomes
189	(hospitalisation & mortality) from COVID-19 (p=0.002; OR [95% CI] = 1.011 [1.004 to 1.018]). Age was
190	protective of hospital admission; however the odds ratio was only slightly less than 1 (p=0.009; OR
191	[95% CI] = 0.993 [0.988 to 0.998]). Individuals of Asian (p=0.006; OR [95% CI] = 1.213 [1.058 to 1.39])
192	or Black (p=0.003; OR [95% CI] = 1.441 [1.129 to 1.838]) ethnicities were also more likely to be
193	admitted to hospital following infection (Table 4).

Individuals who identified as 'current smokers' were not identified as being at increased risk
(p<0.001; OR [95% CI] = 0.507 [0.419 to 0.614]). However, individuals who lived in areas with higher
social disadvantage were more likely to be admitted to hospital - IMD quintiles 4 and 5 (p=0.02; OR
[95% CI] = 1.278 [1.039 to 1.572]; p=0.033; OR [95% CI] = 1.236 [1.017 to 1.503]) (Table 4).

198

175

Table 4. Logistic regression analyses of hospital admission within 28 days of COVID-19 diagnosis in
 individuals with T2DM.

Variable	OR (95% CI)	p value

Age	0.993 (0.988 to 0.998)	0.009
Sex	1.312 (1.181 to 1.457)	< 0.001
BMI	1.011 (1.004 to 1.018)	0.002
Ethnicity		
Asian	1.213 (1.058 to 1.39)	0.006
Black	1.441 (1.129 to 1.838)	0.003
Mixed	1.059 (0.632 to 1.774)	0.827
Other	0.96 (0.744 to 1.239)	0.754
Unknown	0.947 (0.701 to 1.28)	0.725
Smoking status		
Current smoker	0.507 (0.419 to 0.614)	< 0.001
Ex- smoker	0.998 (0.894 to 1.115)	0.977
Unknown/missing	0.856 (0.525 to 1.396)	0.533
IMD		
2	1.07 (0.851 to 1.345)	0.562
3	1.091 (0.868 to 1.373)	0.454
4	1.278 (1.039 to 1.572)	0.020
5 (most deprived)	1.236 (1.017 to 1.503)	0.033
eGFR<60	1.431 (1.268 to 1.614)	< 0.001
Cholesterol	1.021 (0.976 to 1.068)	0.369
HBA1C	1.004 (1.001 to 1.006)	0.009
Systolic BP	1.001 (0.997 to 1.005)	0.709
Diastolic BP	1.002 (0.996 to 1.008)	0.470
Comorbidity groups		
Mental health disorder	1.167 (1.054 to 1.292)	0.003
Hypertension	1.074 (0.946 to 1.219)	0.272
GI/liver disorder	1.977 (1.741 to 2.245)	< 0.001
Pain	1.407 (1.265 to 1.564)	< 0.001
Respiratory/sinus conditions	1.235 (1.115 to 1.369)	< 0.001
Cardiovascular/cerebrovascular	1.443 (1.292 to 1.612)	< 0.001
Total number of medications		
1 to 5	1.123 (0.901 to 1.401)	0.302
6 to 10	1.108 (0.886 to 1.387)	0.370
11 to 15	1.341 (1.063 to 1.692)	0.013
16 to 20	1.45 (1.124 to 1.87)	0.004
> 20	1.53 (1.191 to 1.966)	0.001

201 202 Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals from the logistic regression model of hospital admission post COVID-19 diagnosis.

203

In individuals with T2DM, the number of deaths was 885 (0.6% of the total number of individuals diagnosed with T2DM) (Table 1). An increased number of medications, from 16 to 20 to > 20 was associated with increased risk of death following COVID-19 infection (p=0.005; OR [95% CI] = 2.375 [1.306 to 4.319] and p<0.001; OR [95% CI] = 3.141 [1.755 to 5.621] respectively) (Table 5).

208	People diagnosed with the following long-term conditions were at higher risk of death post-
209	infection: mental health disorders (p<0.001; OR [95% CI] = 1.544 [1.219 to 1.954]); respiratory/sinus
210	conditions (p<0.001; OR [95% CI] = 1.573 [1.245 to 1.988]) or cardiovascular/cerebrovascular
211	conditions (p<0.001; OR [95% CI] = 1.691 [1.297 to 2.203]). A protective effect was observed for
212	individuals living with GI/liver disorder (p<0.001; OR [95% CI] = 0.519 [0.404 to 0.666]) or pain
213	(p<0.001; OR [95% CI] = 0.513 [0.4 to 0.657]) (Table 5).
214	As with hospital admission, the risk of death increased in males and with high BMI (p=0.017; OR
215	[95% CI] = 1.35 [1.054 to 1.728] and p=0.004; OR [95% CI] = 1.021 [1.007 to 1.036] respectively). Age
216	was associated with an increased risk of mortality post COVID-19 infection (p<0.001; OR [95% CI] =
217	1.092 [1.078 to 1.107]) (Table 5).
218	Individuals with T2DM and living in the most deprived regions (IMD 5) were at higher risk of death

- 219 following COVID-19 infection (p=0.021; OR [95% CI] = 1.684 [1.081 to 2.623]) or if their eGFR level
- 220 measure was <60 (p=0.011; OR [95% Cl] = 1.382 [1.078 to 1.771]) (Table 5).
- 221

Table 5. Logistic regression analyses of deaths within 28 days of COVID-19 diagnosis in individuals with T2DM.

OR (95% CI)	p value
1.092 (1.078 to 1.107)	< 0.001
1.35 (1.054 to 1.728)	0.017
1.021 (1.007 to 1.036)	0.004
0.719 (0.46 to 1.123)	0.147
0.666 (0.272 to 1.631)	0.374
1.418 (0.447 to 4.5)	0.553
0.696 (0.357 to 1.36)	0.289
1.259 (0.717 to 2.212)	0.423
1.015 (0.665 to 1.549)	0.945
0.945 (0.724 to 1.234)	0.677
Omitted	
1.444 (0.88 to 2.37)	0.146
1.181 (0.7 to 1.993)	0.534
1.481 (0.921 to 2.381)	0.105
1.684 (1.081 to 2.623)	0.021
1.382 (1.078 to 1.771)	0.011
	1.092 (1.078 to 1.107) 1.35 (1.054 to 1.728) 1.021 (1.007 to 1.036) 0.719 (0.46 to 1.123) 0.666 (0.272 to 1.631) 1.418 (0.447 to 4.5) 0.696 (0.357 to 1.36) 1.259 (0.717 to 2.212) 1.015 (0.665 to 1.549) 0.945 (0.724 to 1.234) Omitted 1.444 (0.88 to 2.37) 1.181 (0.7 to 1.993) 1.481 (0.921 to 2.381) 1.684 (1.081 to 2.623)

Cholesterol	1.084 (0.973 to 1.207)	0.145
HBA1C	1 (0.992 to 1.008)	0.967
Systolic BP	0.993 (0.985 to 1.002)	0.122
Diastolic BP	1.001 (0.987 to 1.015)	0.906
Comorbidity groups		
Mental health disorder	1.544 (1.219 to 1.954)	< 0.001
Hypertension	0.794 (0.574 to 1.099)	0.164
GI/liver disorder	0.519 (0.404 to 0.666)	< 0.001
Pain	0.513 (0.4 to 0.657)	< 0.001
Respiratory/sinus conditions	1.573 (1.245 to 1.988)	< 0.001
Cardiovascular/cerebrovascular	1.691 (1.297 to 2.203)	< 0.001
Total number of medications		
1 to 5	0.583 (0.32 to 1.06)	0.077
6 to 10	0.945 (0.536 to 1.665)	0.844
11 to 15	1.429 (0.802 to 2.545)	0.226
16 to 20	2.375 (1.306 to 4.319)	0.005
> 20	3.141 (1.755 to 5.621)	< 0.001
		-

Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals from the logistic regression model of mortality post COVID 19 diagnosis.

226

227 Discussion

228 This study uniquely explored the relationship between number of medications and adverse outcome 229 from COVID-19 infection in individuals diagnosed with diabetes in the Greater Manchester population and is therefore distinct from our previous published studies in this area ^{10,11}. We found 230 231 that the number of medications prescribed to an individual was associated with an increased risk of 232 severe outcome from COVID-19 infection, particularly in people living with T2DM (Table 4; Table 5). 233 Given the large proportion of individuals diagnosed with comorbidities, it was not unexpected that 234 many of these individuals were prescribed multiple medications (Figure S1; Figure S2). However, the 235 effect of multiple medications was independent of the presence of the most common comorbidities. 236 Polypharmacy, independent of other factors including major multimorbidity, was associated with an 237 increased likelihood of hospital admission in people with T1DM and of hospitalisation and death in 238 T2DM following COVID-19 infection. This has not been specifically reported previously, although it was described in older individuals²⁴. This is of relevance to the consequences following any serious 239 240 viral infection in people with diabetes, while also highlighting the importance of regular medicine

reviews in everyone with diabetes, where there may be an opportunity to reduce the prescribed
 medications²⁵.

243 The mean ages of individuals diagnosed with T1DM or T2DM are similar to the mean ages of a Swedish cohort investigated by ¹. In our study we also identified several co-morbidities that 244 245 increased the risk of adverse health outcome post COVID-19 infection. Similar co-morbidities were 246 identified for both individuals with T1DM and T2DM. Notably, we also identified that there were a 247 large proportion of diagnoses of mental health conditions in people with T2DM (Table 2), though the 248 highest number of diagnoses was observed in people with T1DM (Table S2). The relation between 249 physical health conditions and poor mental health is an area that is not fully understood. In addition 250 to this, the impact of polypharmacy is unknown.

The risk of hospital admission in current smokers was less than non-smokers. The reason for this may be that people with multiple health issues and who are smokers may have stopped smoking in the weeks or months before a COVID-19 infection and therefore not be deemed as a smoker at the time of the COVID-19 infection.

Over-prescribing is an issue in the current healthcare system. Medication is routinely recorded, therefore in clinical practice there is the potential to flag the number of medications prescribed to clinicians to monitor. Thereby the number of prescriptions given to vulnerable individuals could be limited as appropriate and effective for the individual, though this does require both routine monitoring and structured reviews of the medications that people are taking. The UK healthcare system looks to improve the management of prescriptions, and the removal of unnecessary medications is key to reducing the burden of polypharmacy ^{26,27}.

One of the limitations of this study is that a measure of 'frailty' was not included ²⁸. However, a count of comorbidities is included which could possibly be used as a proxy for frailty. As the data from this study originated from electronic health records, it is also subject to the limitations of how information is coded when originally entered into the systems, such as missing data. Only 70% of individuals with T1DM were recorded with a prescription of insulin (Table 1), this could be due to

267 lack of recording or unclear diagnosis, which highlights another issue with routinely collected data. 268 Another issue is that medications are recorded in the health care records are not true reflections of medications that are concordant with the choices of the individual ²⁹. A study in the United States 269 270 demonstrated that EHR-related medication errors can occur at different stages including at ordering, 271 preparation, dispensing, administering or monitoring stage, these can ultimately affect the data in EHRs ³⁰. Inaccuracies of coding are inherent in any project that relies on primary coded data. 272 273 Nevertheless, the large number of people included in the study means that such inaccuracies are unlikely materially to influence the results. Furthermore, medications can also be counted in more 274 275 than one BNF chapter, making it difficult to determine unique counts. As this data was from one 276 region in the UK, it is difficult to generalise to the rest of the population. Finally in studies using real 277 world data analysis, there will be a number of factors that are not covered in the coded data such as 278 household makeup and employment.

Future work would include investigating the modal medications prescribed in this cohort and explore possible drug-drug interactions between medications that could contribute to increasing the risk of adverse outcome post COVID-19 infection. The burden of mental health conditions on people with diabetes and how to effectively manage multiple long-term conditions, could also be explored. The knowledge gained would be useful for future pandemics and better prepare our healthcare system.

In conclusion, we have identified that multiple medications were prescribed to many people living with diabetes and that this was associated with a higher risk of adverse health outcome following COVID-19 infection. We also found that individuals with diabetes also developed comorbidities that were common across both Type 1 and 2 diabetes. Our analysis confirmed the impact of higher levels of deprivation on increasing a person's a risk of adverse outcome post COVID-19 infection. This study has laid the foundation for future investigations into the increased and complex treatments people living with diabetes who develop other clinical complications.

291

292 Acknowledgements

293	This work was supported by the Turing-Manchester Feasibility Project Funding. The authors
294	recognise the Greater Manchester Care Record (a partnership of Greater Manchester Health and
295	Social Care Partnership, Health Innovation Manchester and Graphnet Health, on behalf of Greater
296	Manchester localities) in the provision of data required to undertake this work. This work uses data
297	provided by patients and collected by the NHS as part of their care and support. GT was funded by
298	the NIHR Manchester Biomedical Research Centre (NIHR203308) for this work. DMA is supported by
299	the NIHR Greater Manchester Patient Safety Research Collaboration (PSRC). The views expressed are
300	those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social
301	Care.

302 Author contributions

303 RR performed the data cleaning and data analyses. JG, WO and AHH conceived the study. JG 304 prepared the figures and the manuscript. GT extracted the original dataset. DM WO and AHH 305 contributed to all sections of the paper and DM provided expert input from a pharmacological 306 perspective. All authors were involved in designing the study, interpreting results and the reviewing 307 and editing of the manuscript.

308 Conflicts of interest

309 Authors declare no conflict of interest.

310 Data availability statement

- 311 The datasets generated during and analysed during the current study are not publicly available as all
- data access is subject to review by Health Innovation Manchester.

313 Supplementary Materials

- Links to the clinical codes applied for diabetes diagnoses can be found in the 'methods' section of
- 315 the supplementary material file. Figure S1: distribution of medications prescribed in T1DM

- 316 individuals. Figure S2: distribution of medications prescribed in T1DM individuals. Table S1:
- breakdown of comorbidity groups. Table S2: Prevalence of comorbidities groups in T1DM.

318 Preprint

319 A preprint has previously been published [31].

320 References

- Rawshani A, Kjölhede EA, Rawshani A, et al. Severe COVID-19 in people with type 1 and type 2
 diabetes in Sweden: A nationwide retrospective cohort study. *The Lancet Regional Health Europe*. 2021;4:100105. doi:10.1016/j.lanepe.2021.100105
- Izzi-Engbeaya C, Distaso W, Amin A, et al. Adverse outcomes in COVID-19 and diabetes: a
 retrospective cohort study from three London teaching hospitals. *BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care*.
 2021;9(1):e001858. doi:10.1136/bmjdrc-2020-001858
- Kone AP, Martin L, Scharf D, et al. The impact of multimorbidity on severe COVID-19 outcomes
 in community and congregate settings. *Dialogues in Health*. 2023;2:100128.
 doi:10.1016/j.dialog.2023.100128
- Li Y, Ashcroft T, Chung A, et al. Risk factors for poor outcomes in hospitalised COVID-19 patients:
 A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Glob Health. 11:10001. doi:10.7189/jogh.11.10001
- Fekadu G, Bekele F, Tolossa T, et al. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on chronic diseases care
 follow-up and current perspectives in low resource settings: a narrative review. *Int J Physiol Pathophysiol Pharmacol.* 2021;13(3):86-93.
- Danhieux K, Buffel V, Pairon A, et al. The impact of COVID-19 on chronic care according to
 providers: a qualitative study among primary care practices in Belgium. *BMC Family Practice*.
 2020;21(1):255. doi:10.1186/s12875-020-01326-3
- Zheng Z, Peng F, Xu B, et al. Risk factors of critical & mortal COVID-19 cases: A systematic
 literature review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Infection*. 2020;81(2):e16-e25.
 doi:10.1016/j.jinf.2020.04.021
- Nilsson SF, Laursen TM, Osler M, et al. Adverse SARS-CoV-2-associated outcomes among people
 experiencing social marginalisation and psychiatric vulnerability: A population-based cohort
 study among 4,4 million people. *The Lancet Regional Health Europe*. 2022;20:100421.
 doi:10.1016/j.lanepe.2022.100421
- McQueenie R, Foster HME, Jani BD, et al. Multimorbidity, polypharmacy, and COVID-19 infection
 within the UK Biobank cohort. *PLOS ONE*. 2020;15(8):e0238091.
 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0238091
- Heald AH, Jenkins DA, Williams R, et al. The Risk Factors Potentially Influencing Hospital
 Admission in People with Diabetes, Following SARS-CoV-2 Infection: A Population-Level Analysis.
 Diabetes Ther. 2022;13(5):1007-1021. doi:10.1007/s13300-022-01230-2

351 352 353	 Heald AH, Jenkins DA, Williams R, et al. Mortality in People with Type 2 Diabetes Follo SARS-CoV-2 Infection: A Population Level Analysis of Potential Risk Factors. <i>Diabetes T</i> 2022;13(5):1037-1051. doi:10.1007/s13300-022-01259-3 	-
354 355	 Masnoon N, Shakib S, Kalisch-Ellett L, Caughey GE. What is polypharmacy? A systemat of definitions. BMC Geriatr. 2017;17(1):230. doi:10.1186/s12877-017-0621-2 	ic review
356 357 358	 Remelli F, Ceresini MG, Trevisan C, Noale M, Volpato S. Prevalence and impact of poly in older patients with type 2 diabetes. <i>Aging Clin Exp Res.</i> 2022;34(9):1969-1983. doi:10.1007/s40520-022-02165-1 	pharmacy
359 360 361	 Rochon PA, Petrovic M, Cherubini A, et al. Polypharmacy, inappropriate prescribing, and deprescribing in older people: through a sex and gender lens. <i>The Lancet Healthy Long</i> 2021;2(5):e290-e300. doi:10.1016/S2666-7568(21)00054-4 	
362 363 364 365	 Bhagavathula AS, Vidyasagar K, Chhabra M, et al. Prevalence of Polypharmacy, Hyperpolypharmacy and Potentially Inappropriate Medication Use in Older Adults in In Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. <i>Frontiers in Pharmacology</i>. 2021;12. Accessed A 2023. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar.2021.685518 	
366 367 368	 McKeigue PM, Kennedy S, Weir A, et al. Relation of severe COVID-19 to polypharmacy prescribing of psychotropic drugs: the REACT-SCOT case-control study. BMC Medicine. 2021;19(1):51. doi:10.1186/s12916-021-01907-8 	
369 370	 Iloanusi S, Mgbere O, Essien EJ. Polypharmacy among COVID-19 patients: A systematic Am Pharm Assoc (2003). 2021;61(5):e14-e25. doi:10.1016/j.japh.2021.05.006 	c review. J
371 372 373	 Rahman S, Singh K, Dhingra S, et al. The Double Burden of the COVID-19 Pandemic and Polypharmacy on Geriatric Population – Public Health Implications. <i>Ther Clin Risk Man</i> 2020;16:1007-1022. doi:10.2147/TCRM.S272908 	
374 375 376 377	 Madhavan S, Bastarache L, Brown JS, et al. Use of electronic health records to support health response to the COVID-19 pandemic in the United States: a perspective from 1: academic medical centers. J Am Med Inform Assoc. 2021;28(2):393-401. doi:10.1093/jamia/ocaa287 	
378 379 380	 Casey JA, Schwartz BS, Stewart WF, Adler NE. Using Electronic Health Records for Population Review of Methods and Applications. Annual Review of Public Heal 2016;37(1):61-81. doi:10.1146/annurev-publhealth-032315-021353 	
381 382 383	 Kruse CS, Stein A, Thomas H, Kaur H. The use of Electronic Health Records to Support I Health: A Systematic Review of the Literature. J Med Syst. 2018;42(11):214. doi:10.1007/s10916-018-1075-6 	Population
384 385	 Cowie MR, Blomster JI, Curtis LH, et al. Electronic health records to facilitate clinical re Clin Res Cardiol. 2017;106(1):1-9. doi:10.1007/s00392-016-1025-6 	search.
386 387 388	 Langan SM, Schmidt SA, Wing K, et al. The reporting of studies conducted using observer routinely collected health data statement for pharmacoepidemiology (RECORD-PE). Bi Published online November 14, 2018:k3532. doi:10.1136/bmj.k3532 	

- 24. Rahman S, Singh K, Dhingra S, et al. The Double Burden of the COVID-19 Pandemic and
- Polypharmacy on Geriatric Population Public Health Implications. *Ther Clin Risk Manag.*
- 391 2020;16:1007-1022. doi:10.2147/TCRM.S272908
- 392 25. Kerr L. Polypharmacy in diabetes and solutions for greater adherence. *Practical Diabetes* 393 *International.* 2009;26(7):289-290i. doi:10.1002/pdi.1402
- The ASHN Network. Polypharmacy. The AHSN Network. Published 2022. Accessed April 7, 2023.
 https://www.ahsnnetwork.com/programmes/medicines/polypharmacy/
- 27. Department of Health and Social Care. National overprescribing review report. GOV.UK.
 Published 2023. Accessed April 7, 2023. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national overprescribing-review-report
- Hanlon P, Nicholl BI, Jani BD, Lee D, McQueenie R, Mair FS. Frailty and pre-frailty in middle-aged
 and older adults and its association with multimorbidity and mortality: a prospective analysis of
 403 737 UK Biobank participants. *The Lancet Public Health*. 2018;3(7):e323-e332.
 doi:10.1016/S2468-2667(18)30091-4
- 403 29. Marzec LN, Maddox TM. Medication Adherence in Patients with Diabetes and Dyslipidemia:
 404 Associated Factors and Strategies for Improvement. *Curr Cardiol Rep*. 2013;15(11):418.
 405 doi:10.1007/s11886-013-0418-7
- 30. Carayon P, Du S, Brown R, Cartmill R, Johnson M, Wetterneck TB. EHR-related medication errors
 in two ICUs. J Healthc Risk Manag. 2017;36(3):6-15. doi:10.1002/jhrm.21259
- 408 31. Gupta JK, Ravindrarajah R, Tilston G, Ollier W, Ashcroft DM, Heald AH. (2023). Association of
- 409 polypharmacy and burden of comorbidities on COVID-19 adverse outcomes in people with type 1 or
- 410 type 2 diabetes. *MedRxiv*. <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2023.08.12.23294016v1.full</u>