

Determinants of gender disparities in psychological distress among youth and adults in South Africa: Evidence from the 2017 national population-based household survey

Nompumelelo P. Zungu^{1,2}, Musawenkosi Mabaso¹, Tawanda Makusha^{1*}

Lehlogonolo Makola¹, Olive Shisana³

¹Public Health, Societies and Belonging Division, Human Sciences Research Council, Pretoria, South Africa

²School of Nursing and Public Health, University of KwaZulu Natal, Durban, South Africa

³Department of Psychiatry and Mental Health, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa

*Corresponding author: Tawanda Makusha: tmakusha@hsrc.ac.za

Abstract

Background: Psychological distress has become a significant public health concern, and gender differences in psychological distress are well documented in the literature. This study investigated determinants of gender disparities in psychological distress among youth and adults in South Africa.

Methods: This study data used obtained from the 2017 National HIV Prevalence, Incidence, Behaviour, and Communication Survey conducted using a multi-stage stratified random cluster sampling design. Multivariate backward stepwise logistic regression models were used to determine the factors associated with psychological distress among males and females.

Results: In the male model, the odds of psychological distress were significantly higher among those who reported fair/poor self-rated health [AOR=1.7% (95% CI: 1.2-2.4), $p=0.003$], and excessive alcohol users [AOR=1.6% (95% CI: 1.1-2.3), $p=0.008$]. The odds were significantly lower among those with tertiary education level [AOR=0.5% (95% CI: 0.3-0.9), $p=0.031$], those from rural formal/farm areas [AOR=0.6% (95% 0.4-1.0), $p=0.046$], and those who were HIV negative [AOR=0.7% (95% CI: 0.4-1.0), $p=0.051$]. In the female model, the odds of psychological distress were significantly higher among those who reported fair/poor self-rated health [AOR=2.6% (95% CI: 2.0-3.4), $p<0.001$], and excessive alcohol users [AOR=2.0% (95% CI: 1.3-3.1), $p=0.002$]. The odds were significantly lower among the employed [AOR=0.7% (95% CI: 0.5-0.9), $p=0.002$], those from rural informal/tribal areas [AOR=0.6% (95% CI: 0.5-0.8), $p=0.001$], rural formal/farm areas [AOR=0.6% (95% CI: 0.4-0.9), $p=0.015$], and those with correct HIV knowledge and myth rejection [AOR=0.6% (95% CI: 0.4-0.7), $p<0.001$].

Conclusion: The findings highlight the need for tailored gender-specific interventions and targeting identified high-risk groups. The finding also underscores the importance of integrated

interventions to address the adverse effects of harmful alcohol use and HIV-positive serostatus on psychological distress.

Introduction

Psychological distress characterized by symptoms of anxiety and depression has become a significant public health concern affecting the quality of life, work productivity, physical illnesses, and life expectancy of a large proportion of the general population [1, 2]. Population surveys and epidemiological studies in several countries indicate that women report higher levels of psychological distress than men [3-7].

Gender-specific psychological distress impacts health status and the overall disease burden and contributes to disparities in non-communicable diseases [8]. Consequently, men and women may have different treatment needs, ranging from medication doses and types to behavioral and psychosocial treatments [8]. Gender-specific intervention strategies could benefit from an improved understanding of the critical determinants and mechanisms that lead to gender differences in psychological distress.

Evidence shows that gender disparities in psychological distress may vary according to context or socio-cultural setting [1-3,6,9]. Studies have found a relationship between gender differences, social inequalities, and psychological distress, with higher levels found in those from the lowest social class compared to the highest class [10]. Others found that gender differences in psychological distress decrease or eventually disappear in case of a high occupational grade and when women and men have similar socioeconomic conditions [11].

Several other factors have been associated with gender differences in psychological distress. These include but are not limited to differential hormonal stress response and coping strategies, gender norms and relations, gender inequality, gender-based violence, and sociodemographic characteristics such as age, population group, educational attainment, and type of

settlement/residential area [12,13]. Therefore biological, contextual, structural, social, and demographic factors interact to determine gender differences in psychological distress. However, the prevalence of psychological distress and associated factors vary across different population groups [14-18].

This study explores the determinants of gender disparities in psychological distress among youth and adults in South Africa using the 2017 South African National HIV Prevalence, Incidence, Behaviour, and Communication Survey. The social determinants of health framework informs this analytic approach because it requires a comprehensive understanding of multi-level approaches that address the multiple factors contributing to psychological distress [14,19-22]. The rationale for analysing these sociodemographic factors and psychological distress is based on the theory that various social and economic factors, such as gender, age, education, marital status, and employment, can influence an individual's exposure to stressors and access to resources that are important for maintaining mental health. The analysis also explored the relationship between psychological distress and socio-behavioural, health, and HIV-related characteristics by sex because it is essential for understanding the complex factors that contribute to mental health outcomes and developing effective interventions that meet the unique needs of diverse populations. The analysis was stratified by gender because it is a critical social determinant of health. Therefore, gender lens analysis is necessary to improve women's and men's health and health care.

Methods

Study design and sample

The data used in this secondary analysis were obtained from the 2017 National HIV Prevalence, Incidence, Behaviour, and Communication Survey conducted using a multi-stage stratified

random cluster sampling design described in detail elsewhere [23]. Data were collected from the 4th of December 2016 to the 31st of January 2018. The survey used a systematic probability sample of 15 households drawn randomly from 1000 small area layers (SALs) selected from 84 907 SALs released by Statistics South Africa in 2015 [24]. The sampling of SALs was stratified by province and locality type (urban formal, urban informal, rural formal, and rural informal localities) and race group. All consenting members of the selected household formed the ultimate sampling unit.

The survey administered age-appropriate questionnaires to consenting participants, soliciting information on sociodemographic factors and HIV-related knowledge, attitudes, practice, sexual history, and behaviours. The questionnaires were fieldworker administered and electronically captured using CSPro software on Mercer tablets. Dried blood spots (DBS) specimens were also collected from participants who consented to HIV testing [23].

The current study is based on a sub-sample of youth and adult individuals 15 years and older who responded to questions on experiences of anxiety and depressive disorders, which were used to measure psychological distress.

Measures

Dependent Variable

The primary outcome variable psychological distress was derived from respondents' experiences of anxiety and depressive disorders measured using the Kessler 10 scale [25], which consists of 10 items that describe how they felt during the previous 30 days. How often did you feel: Tired out for no good reason? So nervous that nothing could calm you down? Hopeless; Restless or fidgety: So restless that you could not sit still; Depressed? That

everything was an effort? So sad that nothing could cheer you up? Worthless?’ Responses to these items were recorded using a 5-point Likert scale (1 = never, 2 = rarely, 3 = some of the time, 4 = most of the time, 5 = all of the time). The scores from these responses were then summed to calculate a total score indicating whether the respondents were likely to experience psychological distress, that is, likely to be well (score below 20), experiencing mild (score 20–24), moderate (score 25–29) or severe (score 30 and above) psychological distress. The scores were then dichotomized into a binary outcome; those who scored <19 absence of psychological distress = 0) and those who scored ≥ 20 (presence of psychological distress = 1).

Independent Variables

Explanatory variables included sociodemographic variables such as age categories in years (15-24, 25-49, 50 years and older), sex (Male and Female), race (Black African and Other), marital status (Married and Never Married), educational level (Primary/no education, Secondary and Tertiary), employment status (Unemployed and Employed), and locality type (Urban formal, Rural informal/tribal areas, Rural formal/farm areas) and Socio-behavioural, health, and HIV related factors such excessive alcohol use (No and Yes), self-rated health (fair/poor and good/excellent), perceived risk of HIV infection (Low and High), HIV serostatus (Positive and Negative), and correct HIV knowledge and myth rejection (No and Yes)

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to summarize sample characteristics and psychological distress by sex. Differences between categorical variables were assessed using Pearson’s chi-squared test. A multivariate backward stepwise logistic regression selection model was fitted to determine the factors associated with psychological distress among males and females 15 years and older. Adjusted Odds Ratios (AOR) with 95% Confidence Intervals (CI) and p-value \leq

0.05 was used to determine the magnitude and direction of the relationship and the level of statistical significance. All analyses were conducted using STATA Statistical Software Release 15.0 [26].

Ethical Approval

The survey protocol was approved by the HSRC's Research Ethics Committee (REC: 4/18/11/15) and the Associate Director for Science, Center for Global Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), GA, USA. Informed consent was sought from all participants aged 18 years and older. However, informed consent was first sought from parents/guardians of participants aged 15–17 years and then assent obtained from the youth themselves.

Results

Characteristics of the study sample

A sub-sample of 8 154 participants was used in the analysis (Table 1). There was an equal proportion of males and females. Most study participants were aged 25 to 49 years, were Black African, were never married, had secondary education, were unemployed (56.2%), and resided in urban areas.

Table 1: Sociodemographic characteristics of the study sample

Variables	Total	%
Sex		
Male	3322	49.8
Female	4832	50.2
Age groups (years)		
15 to 24	1341	15.0
25 to 49	4460	61.8

50+	2353	23.2
Race groups		
Black African	6058	79.0
Other	2096	21.0
Marital status		
Married	2712	37.2
Never married	4450	62.8
Education level		
No education/Primary	1302	15.8
Secondary	4400	66.4
Tertiary	1030	17.8
Employment status		
Unemployed	4894	56.2
Employed	3139	43.8
Locality type		
Urban areas	5106	71.9
Rural informal/tribal areas	2263	22.9
Rural/farm areas	785	5.2

Subtotals do not add up to the overall total, due to non-response and/or missing data.

Table 2 shows that just above 10% of study participants were excessive alcohol users. About a fifth rated their health as fair/poor and perceived themselves as at high risk of HIV infection. Just over a fifth of study participants were HIV positive, and over a third had correct knowledge of HIV and myth rejection.

Table 2: Socio-behavioural, health, and HIV-related characteristics of the study sample

Variables	Total	%
Excessive alcohol use		
No	6651	86.5
Yes	784	13.5
Self-rated health		

Excellent/good	6560	81.5
Fair/poor	1591	18.5
Self-perceived risk of HIV		
Low	6019	80.8
High	1277	19.2
HIV serostatus		
Positive	1262	21.6
Negative	4423	78.4
Correct HIV knowledge and myth rejection		
No	5057	62.9
Yes	3088	37.1

Subtotals do not add up to the overall total, due to non-response and/or missing data.

Prevalence of psychological distress

Of 8 154 participants, 19.3% [95% CI: 18.0-20.6, n=1 525] reported psychological distress. Psychological distress was significantly higher among females 22.2% [95% CI: 20.5-23.9] compared to males 16.3% [95% CI: 14.6-18.3, $p < 0.001$]. Table 3 shows the prevalence of psychological distress by sociodemographic characteristics and sex. Among males, the prevalence of psychological distress was significantly higher among Black Africans, those who never married, those with no education/primary education level, and the unemployed. No differences in psychological distress by age among females, yet there were differences by age among males. Among females, the prevalence of psychological distress was significantly higher among Black Africans, those who were never married, those with no education/primary education level, the unemployed, and those from urban areas.

Table 3: Prevalence of psychological distress by sociodemographic characteristics and sex

Variables	Males				Females			
	Total	%	95% CI	p-value	Total	%	95% CI	p-value
Age groups (years)				0.557				0.789
15 to 24	587	14.2	10.8-18.6		754	23.2	18.6-28.6	
25 to 49	1807	16.9	14.5-19.6		2653	21.7	19.5-24.0	
50+	928	16.2	12.9-20.0		1425	22.7	19.7-26.0	
Race groups				<0.001				<0.001
Black African	2452	18.3	16.1-20.6		3606	24.0	22.1-26.1	
Other	870	9.1	6.7-12.2		1226	15.1	12.4-18.3	
Marital status				0.021				0.001
Married	1212	13.4	10.8-16.5		1500	17.6	15.0-20.6	
Never married	1865	18.1	15.6-20.8		2585	23.9	21.5-26.4	
Education level				0.002				0.041
No education/Primary	502	18.9	14.5-24.2		800	24.3	20.5-28.6	
Secondary	1782	18.1	15.5-21.0		2618	23.4	21.1-25.8	
Tertiary	458	9.1	6.0-13.6		572	16.7	12.2-22.4	
Employment status				0.018				<0.001
Unemployed	1615	19.0	16.5-21.9		3279	24.8	22.6-27.0	
Employed	1655	14.2	11.7-17.0		1484	17.2	14.5-20.2	
Locality type				0.127				0.038

Urban	2142	16.6	14.4-19.1		2964	23.2	21.0-25.5	
Rural informal (tribal areas)	762	17.1	14.0-20.8		1501	20.5	18.0-23.2	
Rural (farms)	418	10.7	7.5-15.0		367	15.7	11.4-21.1	

Subtotals do not add up to the overall total, due to non-response and/or missing; data; CI – confidence intervals.

Table 4 shows the prevalence of psychological distress by socio-behavioural, health, and HIV-related characteristics and sex. Among males, psychological distress was significantly higher among those that reported excessive alcohol use, those who reported fair/poor self-rated health, those who perceived themselves as being at high risk of HIV infection, those who were HIV positive, and those with incorrect HIV knowledge and myth rejection. For females, the prevalence of psychological distress was significantly higher among those who reported IPV, excessive alcohol use, those who reported fair/poor self-rated health, those who perceived themselves as at high risk of HIV infection, and those with no correct HIV knowledge and myth rejection.

Table 4: Prevalence of psychological distress, socio-behavioural, health, and HIV-related factors by sex

Variables	Male				Female			
	Total	%	95% CI	p-value	Total	%	95% CI	p-value
Physical IPV				0.084				0.019
No	2954	15.7	13.87-17.61		3979	21.1	19.29-23.03	
Yes	199	24.0	14.86-36.37		573	27.8	22.47-33.76	
Excessive alcohol use				0.004				0.013
No	2465	14.3	12.47-16.33		4186	21.5	19.71-23.33	
Yes	550	22.0	17.02-27.97		234	32.7	25.05-41.35	
Self-rated health				<0.001				<0.001
Excellent/good	2737	13.8	11.93-15.82		3823	17.7	15.98-19.54	
Fair/poor	585	28.5	23.46-34.14		1006	40.7	36.25-45.34	
Self-perceived risk of HIV infection				0.028				0.016
Low	2559	14.3	12.30-16.48		3460	19.9	17.96-21.89	
High	526	19.6	15.34-24.72		Total	25.8	21.33-30.86	
HIV serostatus				<0.001				0.343
Positive	329	27.0	20.87-34.10		933	26.8	22.93-30.95	
Negative	1854	15.6	13.38-18.03		2569	23.1	20.73-25.73	
Correct HIV knowledge and myth rejection				<0.001				<0.001
No	2054	19.0	16.58-21.70		3003	25.7	23.52-28.09	

Yes	1263	11.8	9.47-14.61		1825	16.2	13.80-18.89	
-----	------	------	------------	--	------	------	-------------	--

Subtotals do not add up to the overall total, due to non-response and/or missing data; CI – confidence intervals.

Determinants of psychological distress

Figure 1 shows the multivariate logistic regression model of the relationship between psychological distress and sociodemographic, socio-behavioural, health, and HIV-related characteristics by sex. In the male model, the odds of psychological distress were significantly higher among those who reported fair/poor self-rated health than excellent/good self-rated health and those who reported excessive alcohol use than abstainers. The odds of psychological distress were significantly lower among those with tertiary education level than those with no education/primary education level, those from rural formal/farm areas than those from urban areas, those with correct HIV knowledge and myth rejection, and those who were HIV negative than HIV positive individuals. In the female model, the odds of psychological distress were significantly higher among those who reported fair/poor self-rated health than excellent/good self-rated health and those with excessive alcohol use than abstainers. The odds of reporting psychological distress were significantly lower among the employed than unemployed, those who reside in rural informal/tribal areas than in urban areas, those from rural formal/farm areas than urban areas, and those with correct HIV knowledge and myth rejection.

[Insert Figure 1 here]

Discussion

This nationally representative survey revealed that 19.3% of the sample reported psychological distress. Consistent with other studies, the results indicate that females had a higher prevalence of psychological distress than males [9, 10, 13, 27, 28]. Also, in line with other studies, the prevalence of psychological distress varied according to sociodemographic factors such as race/ethnicity, level of education, marital status, socioeconomic status, occupation, substance

use, and geographic location [18, 29-31]. As observed in other studies, the prevalence of psychological distress also varied by health and HIV-related factors [17, 32-35].

The findings of the final models showed that an increased likelihood of psychological distress was associated with fair/poor self-rated health for both males and females. Other studies also found an association between psychological distress and fair and poor self-rated health [32, 36, 37]. Self-reported health status reflects an individual's perception of their social, biological, and psychological health [38]. Psychological distress may also be a common underlying feature for fair/poor self-rated health, and the latter can also exacerbate the effect of psychological distress [32, 35, 38].

The findings showed that the increased likelihood of psychological distress was associated with excessive alcohol use in male and female models. Evidence attests to the co-occurrence of excessive alcohol use and psychological distress. People with depression and anxiety might use alcohol to help ease symptoms, but excessive alcohol use can also worsen their mental health [39-41]. However, there is no consistent pattern for how excessive alcohol use and psychological distress interact to influence each other.

The findings suggest an increased likelihood of psychological distress for males and females residing in urban areas compared to rural areas. Urbanization has been associated with heightened mental disorders through increased stressors and factors such as overcrowding and more significant social disparities, crime exposure and victimization, high levels of violence, and reduced social support [42, 43]. These findings underscore the importance of developing interventions to improve mental health in urban settings.

In addition, the findings suggest that HIV-positive males were more likely to have psychological distress. Evidence shows that most HIV-positive individuals suffer psychological distress compared to the general population in sub-Saharan Africa [34]. This highlights the need for universal mental health screening and the provision of mental health treatment integrated into ongoing HIV care [33].

The findings also suggest that unemployed females were more likely to have psychological distress. The link between unemployment and psychological distress is well-documented in the literature [44-46]. Being unemployed increases the probability of experiencing stress-inducing factors such as socioeconomic deprivation, lack of resources, limited opportunities, and low self-regard [44, 45]. Gender differences and the effect of unemployment on mental health are related to the different social positions and roles associated with psychosocial and economic needs depending on the context, such that unemployed women have worse mental health and lower life satisfaction than unemployed men in some settings [46-48].

Study limitations

The data used in the analysis are based on a self-report questionnaire and may be affected by nonresponse bias, recall bias, and social desirability bias. The cross-sectional design is limited to assessing the association between psychological distress and potential covariates and cannot infer causality. Control for confounding was limited to the questions asked by the survey, and there may be other covariates related to gender differences in psychological distress that were not examined in the study. Furthermore, missing values were not analysed to determine if they bias the results. Despite these limitations, the study uses a large nationally representative population-based sample generalizable to youth and adults 15 years and older in South Africa.

In addition, this study contributes to the literature toward a better understanding of factors associated with psychological distress.

Conclusion

A complex interplay of socioeconomic, cultural, and structural factors shapes gender disparities in psychological distress among youth and adults in South Africa. The study showed that an increased likelihood of psychological distress was associated with fair/poor self-rated health, alcohol misuse, residing in rural areas in both males and females and reporting no correct HIV knowledge and myth rejection. Among males, lack of education/low education attainment and HIV-positive serostatus were associated with increased psychological distress. Among females, unemployment was associated with increased psychological distress. Understanding these determinants is vital to crafting targeted interventions and fostering an environment that promotes psychological well-being for all individuals. Gender-specific interventions should be tailored and targeted to people in these high-risk groups to reduce psychological distress in the country. The findings also highlight the need for integrated public health interventions to address the adverse effects of harmful alcohol use and HIV-positive serostatus on psychological distress. Only through collective and holistic efforts can South Africa pave the way for a psychologically healthy and equitable future for its citizens.

References

1. Yoon, Y., et al., *Gender difference in the change of adolescents' mental health and subjective wellbeing trajectories*. European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 2022: p. 1-10.
2. Otten, D., et al., *Gender differences in the prevalence of mental distress in East and West Germany over time: a hierarchical age-period-cohort analysis, 2006–2021*. Social psychiatry and psychiatric epidemiology, 2023: p. 1-14.
3. Idowu, O.M., et al., *A gender comparison of psychological distress among medical students in Nigeria during the Coronavirus pandemic: a cross-sectional survey*. African Health Sciences, 2022. **22**(1): p. 541-50.
4. Afifi, M., *Gender differences in mental health*. Singapore medical journal, 2007. **48**(5): p. 385.
5. Artazcoz, L., et al., *Unemployment and mental health: understanding the interactions among gender, family roles, and social class*. American Journal of public health, 2004. **94**(1): p. 82-88.
6. Gao, W., S. Ping, and X. Liu, *Gender differences in depression, anxiety, and stress among college students: a longitudinal study from China*. Journal of affective disorders, 2020. **263**: p. 292-300.
7. Seedat, S., et al., *Cross-national associations between gender and mental disorders in the World Health Organization World Mental Health Surveys*. Archives of general psychiatry, 2009. **66**(7): p. 785-795.
8. St-Pierre, M., et al., *Relationships between psychological distress and health behaviors among Canadian adults: Differences based on gender, income, education, immigrant status, and ethnicity*. SSM-population health, 2019. **7**: p. 100385.

9. Matud, M.P. and M.C. García, *Psychological distress and social functioning in elderly Spanish people: A gender analysis*. International journal of environmental research and public health, 2019. **16**(3): p. 341.
10. Matud, M.P., J.M. Bethencourt, and I. Ibáñez, *Gender differences in psychological distress in Spain*. International Journal of Social Psychiatry, 2015. **61**(6): p. 560-568.
11. Kosidou, K., et al., *Socioeconomic status and risk of psychological distress and depression in the Stockholm Public Health Cohort: a population-based study*. Journal of affective disorders, 2011. **134**(1-3): p. 160-167.
12. Klose, M. and F. Jacobi, *Can gender differences in the prevalence of mental disorders be explained by sociodemographic factors?* Archives of women's mental health, 2004. **7**: p. 133-148.
13. Levinson, M.M., et al., *Cross-national associations between gender and mental disorders in the WHO World Mental Health Surveys*. Arch Gen Psychiatry, 2009. **66**(7): p. 785-795.
14. Myer, L., et al., *Social determinants of psychological distress in a nationally-representative sample of South African adults*. Social science & medicine, 2008. **66**(8): p. 1828-1840.
15. Pengpid, S. and K. Peltzer, *Prevalence and associated factors of psychological distress among a national sample of in-school adolescents in Morocco*. BMC psychiatry, 2020. **20**(1): p. 1-11.
16. Belay, A.S., et al., *Prevalence and associated factors of psychological distress among nurses in public hospitals, Southwest, Ethiopia: A cross-sectional study*. Ethiopian Journal of Health Sciences, 2021. **31**(6).

17. Cheon, Y., et al., *Factors associated with psychological stress and distress among Korean adults: the results from Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey*. Scientific reports, 2020. **10**(1): p. 15134.
18. Viertiö, S., et al., *Factors contributing to psychological distress in the working population, with a special reference to gender difference*. BMC public health, 2021. **21**: p. 1-17.
19. Alegría, M., et al., *Social determinants of mental health: where we are and where we need to go*. Current psychiatry reports, 2018. **20**: p. 1-13.
20. Allen, J., et al., *Social determinants of mental health*. International review of psychiatry, 2014. **26**(4): p. 392-407.
21. Silva, M., A. Loureiro, and G. Cardoso, *Social determinants of mental health: a review of the evidence*. The European Journal of Psychiatry, 2016. **30**(4): p. 259-292.
22. Braveman, P., S. Egerter, and D.R. Williams, *The social determinants of health: coming of age*. Annual review of public health, 2011. **32**: p. 381-398.
23. Simbayi, L., et al., *South African National HIV prevalence, incidence, behaviour and communication survey, 2017: Towards achieving the UNAIDS 90-90-90 targets*. 2019, Human Sciences Research Council,: Pretoria.
24. Statistics South Africa, *Mid-year population estimates*. 2017, Statistics South Africa: Pretoria.
25. Kessler, R.C., et al., *Screening for serious mental illness in the general population*. Archives of general psychiatry, 2003. **60**(2): p. 184-189.
26. StataCorp, *Stata Statistical Software: Release 15*, StataCorp LLC, Editor. 2017: College Station, TX.

27. Eaton, N.R., et al., *An invariant dimensional liability model of gender differences in mental disorder prevalence: evidence from a national sample*. Journal of abnormal psychology, 2012. **121**(1): p. 282.
28. Maji, S., *Society and 'good woman': A critical review of gender difference in depression*. International Journal of Social Psychiatry, 2018. **64**(4): p. 396-405.
29. Otero-López, J.M. and E. Villardefrancos, *Prevalence, sociodemographic factors, psychological distress, and coping strategies related to compulsive buying: a cross sectional study in Galicia, Spain*. BMC psychiatry, 2014. **14**(1): p. 1-12.
30. Islam, F.M.A., *Psychological distress and its association with sociodemographic factors in a rural district in Bangladesh: a cross-sectional study*. PloS one, 2019. **14**(3): p. e0212765.
31. Hubbard, G., et al., *Sociodemographic and psychological risk factors for anxiety and depression: findings from the Covid-19 health and adherence research in Scotland on mental health (CHARIS-MH) cross-sectional survey*. International journal of behavioral medicine, 2021: p. 1-13.
32. Rantanen, A.T., et al., *Poor or fair self-rated health is associated with depressive symptoms and impaired perceived physical health: A cross-sectional study in a primary care population at risk for type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease*. European Journal of General Practice, 2019. **25**(3): p. 143-148.
33. Remien, R.H., et al., *Mental health and HIV/AIDS: the need for an integrated response*. AIDS (London, England), 2019. **33**(9): p. 1411.
34. Lofgren, S., et al., *Burden of depression in outpatient HIV-infected adults in sub-Saharan Africa; systematic review and meta-analysis*. AIDS and Behavior, 2020. **24**: p. 1752-1764.

35. Thomson, E.M., et al., *Self-rated stress, distress, mental health, and health as modifiers of the association between long-term exposure to ambient pollutants and mortality*. Environmental Research, 2020. **191**: p. 109973.
36. Franzoi, I.G., et al., *Self-Rated health and psychological distress among emerging adults in Italy: a comparison between data on university students, young workers and working students collected through the 2005 and 2013 National Health Surveys*. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2021. **18**(12): p. 6403.
37. Adeyemi, O.J., et al., *Evaluating the association of self-reported psychological distress and self-rated health on survival times among women with breast cancer in the US*. PloS one, 2021. **16**(12): p. e0260481.
38. Williams, G., F. Di Nardo, and A. Verma, *The relationship between self-reported health status and signs of psychological distress within European urban contexts*. The European Journal of Public Health, 2017. **27**(suppl_2): p. 68-73.
39. Mäkelä, P., K. Raitasalo, and K. Wahlbeck, *Mental health and alcohol use: a cross-sectional study of the Finnish general population*. The European Journal of Public Health, 2015. **25**(2): p. 225-231.
40. Levine, J.A., et al., *Reductions in Drinking Predict Increased Distress: Between-and Within-Person Associations between Alcohol Use and Psychological Distress During and Following Treatment*. Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research, 2020. **44**(11): p. 2326-2335.
41. Maccombs-Hunter, S. and C.S. Bhat, *Exploring psychological distress and impulsivity as predictors of undergraduate problematic alcohol use*. Journal of Addictions & Offender Counseling, 2022. **43**(2): p. 111-124.

42. Gong, Y., et al., *A systematic review of the relationship between objective measurements of the urban environment and psychological distress*. Environment international, 2016. **96**: p. 48-57.
43. Matiullah, S., M. Généreux, and G. Petit, *Rural and urban variation in psychological distress among adults: results of the 2014–2015 Eastern Townships Population Health Survey (ETPHS)*. Canadian Journal of Public Health, 2021. **112**: p. 253-261.
44. Paul, K.I. and K. Moser, *Unemployment impairs mental health: Meta-analyses*. Journal of Vocational behavior, 2009. **74**(3): p. 264-282.
45. Jefferis, B.J., et al., *Associations between unemployment and major depressive disorder: evidence from an international, prospective study (the predict cohort)*. Social science & medicine, 2011. **73**(11): p. 1627-1634.
46. Strandh, M., et al., *Unemployment, gender and mental health: the role of the gender regime*. Sociology of Health & Illness, 2013. **35**(5): p. 649-665.
47. Altweck, L., et al., *The interplay of gender, social context, and long-term unemployment effects on subjective health trajectories*. BMC Public Health, 2021. **21**: p. 1-11.
48. Heggebø, K., *Gendered health consequences of unemployment in Norway 2000–2017: a register-based study of hospital admissions, health-related benefit utilisation, and mortality*. BMC Public Health, 2022. **22**(1): p. 1-13.

medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.15.23293960>; this version posted August 15, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).




Figure 1: Multivariate logistic regression models for determinates of psychological distress among youth and adults 15 years and older by sex.