

# Why mothers continue to die in Pakistan: a nested case-control study of predictors of maternal mortality

**Short title:** Predictors of maternal mortality in Pakistan

Ahsan Maqbool Ahmad<sup>1\*</sup>, PhD, Iqbal H. Shah<sup>2</sup>, PhD, Ali Muhammad Mir<sup>3</sup>, MPH,  
Maqsood Sadiq<sup>4</sup>, MSc, Muddassir Altaf Bosan<sup>5</sup>, MSc

<sup>1</sup>PRIME Consulting, House 20, Street 37, F-6/1, Islamabad. Email: [drahsen@yahoo.com](mailto:drahsen@yahoo.com) – ORCID ID 0000-0003-3692-2296

<sup>2</sup>Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, 665 Huntington Avenue, Boston, MA 02115, USA. Email: [ishah@hsph.harvard.edu](mailto:ishah@hsph.harvard.edu) – ORCID ID 0000-0001-8850-4374

<sup>3</sup>The Population Council, NTC Regional Headquarters Building (North), Sector F-5/1, Islamabad, Pakistan. Email: [amir@popcouncil.org](mailto:amir@popcouncil.org) – ORCID ID: 0000-0001-8613-1228

<sup>4</sup>The Population Council, NTC Regional Headquarters Building (North), Sector F-5/1, Islamabad, Pakistan. Email: [msadiq@popcouncil.org](mailto:msadiq@popcouncil.org) – ORCID ID 0000-0002-5515-6681

<sup>5</sup>Ministry of National Health Services, Regulations and Coordination, G-5/2, Islamabad, Pakistan. Email: [drmudassirbosan@gmail.com](mailto:drmudassirbosan@gmail.com) – ORCID ID 0009-0001-1015464

**\*Corresponding author**

Email: [drahsen@yahoo.com](mailto:drahsen@yahoo.com);

Telephone: +92 321 5959366

Number of words: Abstract: 390; main text: 3,522

## 26 **Why mothers continue to die in Pakistan: a nested case-control study of** 27 **predictors of maternal mortality**

28

### 29 **Abstract**

#### 30 **Background**

31 Maternal mortality ratio (MMR) declined in Pakistan from 276 maternal deaths per 100,000 live births in  
32 2006-07 to 186 (confidence interval: 138-234) in 2019. Despite this decline, reasons why mothers continue  
33 to die, the inequity in the burden of maternal mortality and its predictors largely remain unknown. We  
34 investigate the levels and predictors of maternal mortality in Pakistan.

#### 35 **Methods**

36 The in-depth analysis of Pakistan Maternal Mortality Survey (PMMS) 2019 was undertaken using the  
37 nested case-control design. We matched 147 maternal deaths that occurred during three years prior to  
38 PMMS 2019, defined as “cases”, with 724 women who delivered during the same period, defined as  
39 “controls”. We compared socio-demographic background characteristics of cases and controls and  
40 performed multivariate regression to investigate the predictors of maternal mortality in Pakistan.

#### 41 **Results**

42 Cases and controls were similar on access to antenatal care (ANC) and ANC provider, but differed on age,  
43 education, number of pregnancies, type of delivery, tetanus toxoid vaccination during last pregnancy, and  
44 ever using a contraceptive method. More of the cases had their last delivery by a skilled birth attendant  
45 (83% compared to 63% among controls) and delivered at the government hospital (43% compared to 33%  
46 among controls) while home delivery was relatively more common among controls (32% compared to 25%  
47 among cases). Odds of maternal death were lowest for women giving birth during age 20-29 (odds ratio –  
48 OR: 0.5; 95% CI 0.23-1.07) as compared to women in age 15-19 and those age 30-39 (OR: 1.34; 95% CI  
49 0.61-2.95) and 40-49 (OR: 1.21; 95% CI 0.42-3.45), and among women with secondary or higher education  
50 (OR: 0.35; 95% CI 0.17-0.74) compared to women with no education. Surprisingly, women who had their  
51 delivery by a skilled birth attendant experienced higher odds of maternal death (OR: 4.07; 95% CI 2.19-  
52 7.57) compared to those who did not. Whereas women having had tetanus injection during last pregnancy  
53 (OR: 0.1; 95% CI 0.0-0.96) and ever-used contraceptives (OR: 0.23; 95% CI 0.11-0.39) tend to have  
54 reduced odds of maternal death compared to women who did not have the injection and who never used  
55 contraceptives, respectively.

56 **Conclusions**

57 Women in certain sub-groups confront higher risks of maternal death. Increasing female education,  
58 preventing early and late childbearing through contraceptive use, increasing tetanus vaccination during  
59 pregnancy, improving providers' skills, and quality of health care are required to eliminate preventable  
60 maternal deaths in Pakistan.


61

## 62 **Introduction**

63 Childbirth is a joyful occasion, yet 287,000 (uncertainty interval – UI: 273,000 to 343,000) women were  
64 estimated to have died globally of maternal causes during pregnancy, childbirth or within 42 days of  
65 pregnancy termination in 2020 [1]. Despite an overall reduction of 66.9 percent in maternal mortality ratio  
66 (MMR) from 417 in 2000 to 138 maternal deaths per 100,000 livebirths in 2020, South Asia accounted for  
67 16.4 percent of all maternal deaths in 2020 globally [1]. Pakistan’s MMR in 2020 was estimated to be  
68 higher at 154 compared to other Muslim majority countries of Bangladesh (123), Iran (22) and Egypt (17)  
69 and South Asian countries of India (103) and Sri Lanka (29) [1]. Pakistan witnessed an overall decrease in  
70 the MMR, from 276 as estimated from the 2006-07 Pakistan Demographic and Health Survey (PDHS) [2]  
71 to 186 (CI: 138-234) as reported in the 2019 Pakistan Maternal Mortality Survey (PMMS) [3]. Note that  
72 these estimates refer to a period of three years prior to each survey. These figures represent a one-third  
73 decline in the number of maternal deaths between 2007 and 2019. However, given that the Sustainable  
74 Development Goal (SDG) target for reducing maternal mortality is to lower the MMR to less than 70  
75 maternal deaths per 100,000 live births by 2030 [1], Pakistan still needs to make substantive progress on  
76 this indicator and especially to address the high burden of maternal mortality among some population  
77 subgroups. Both PDHS and PMMS provide useful information on the level of maternal mortality,  
78 nationally, by region and urban-rural place of residence, but little is known about the contextual, health  
79 system, social, and biological factors that play an important role in reducing preventable maternal deaths in  
80 Pakistan.

81 Informed by the previous work on studying determinants of maternal death [4,5,6,7,8], we developed a  
82 conceptual framework to examine the predictors of maternal mortality using PMMS data. More specifically,  
83 we hypothesize that socioeconomic and demographic characteristics and health seeking behavior and  
84 comorbidities jointly or individually influence the peril of maternal deaths in Pakistan and  
85 disproportionately affect some subgroups of population (Figure 1).

86 **Figure 1: Conceptual framework of predictors of maternal death**



87

88

## 89 **Materials and Methods**


90 The data were obtained from the PMMS that was the first exclusive survey on maternal mortality in  
91 Pakistan. Using a multi-stage cluster design, this nationally representative survey was conducted across  
92 four provinces (Balochistan, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa – KP, Punjab and Sindh) of Pakistan as well as in Gilgit  
93 Baltistan (GB) and Azad Jammu and Kashmir (AJ&K). We performed a nested case control study in which  
94 all direct and indirect maternal deaths (147) identified in the PMMS were regarded as cases, while the  
95 controls were randomly selected by matching on cluster from the rest of (6,907) women who reported a  
96 live birth during the last three years before the survey. The PMMS Household Questionnaire collected  
97 information on all deaths in three years prior to the survey. All female deaths (1,177) were further  
98 investigated in detail using the verbal autopsy (VA) questionnaire, which was administered by specially  
99 trained interviewers. A total of 1,117 verbal autopsies were reviewed by a panel of expert obstetricians and  
100 gynecologists and physicians at the National Committee of Maternal and Neonatal Health (NCMNH). The

101 panel classified maternal deaths into direct, indirect, coincidental, and late maternal deaths, based on the  
102 cause of death [9]. International Classification of Diseases 11<sup>th</sup> Revision (ICD-11) defines maternal death  
103 as: the death of a woman while pregnant or within 42 days of termination of pregnancy, irrespective of the  
104 duration and site of the pregnancy, from any cause related to or aggravated by the pregnancy or its  
105 management but not from unintentional or incidental causes [10]. Direct maternal deaths are those  
106 “resulting from obstetric complications of the pregnant state (pregnancy, labour and puerperium), and from  
107 interventions, omissions, incorrect treatment, or from a chain of events resulting from any of the above”  
108 [10]. Indirect maternal deaths are those “resulting from previous existing disease or disease that developed  
109 during pregnancy, and that were not due to direct obstetric causes but were aggravated by the physiologic  
110 effects of pregnancy” [10]. Our study analyzes direct and indirect maternal deaths only.

111 The nested case control design extends the flexibility of focusing specifically on those who experienced  
112 mortality (cases) and a set of matched controls per case providing the statistical strength to conduct analysis  
113 through which variability due to known and unknown risk factors related to various characteristics and  
114 health-seeking behaviours can be controlled in an appropriate manner.

115 There was a 1:5 ratio between cases and controls. All deaths of women of reproductive age that occurred  
116 during the last three years preceding the survey and classified as direct or indirect maternal deaths were  
117 included as “cases” in the study (N = 147). On the other hand, all women who were alive and reported a  
118 live birth during the same period and matched on the same clusters as cases were classified as “controls”.  
119 The controls (N = 724) having the required information about risk factors were included for the analysis  
120 (Figure 2). The sample sizes were computed at the 95% confidence level, at a power of 90%, an assumed  
121 20% rate of exposure among controls. Note that the information for cases (deceased women) was provided  
122 by the household member(s) most knowledgeable about the deceased woman’s symptoms preceding her  
123 death and her background characteristics, whereas information about the controls was provided by women  
124 themselves.

125 **Figure 2: Scheme of cases and controls identification and matching**



126

127

## 128 **Ethical Statement**

129 We analyzed anonymized data available in the public domain for secondary analysis.

## 130 **Statistical Analysis**

131 Analysis was done using Stata version 14 (StatCorp, College Station, Texas). Maternal death was taken as  
132 an outcome variable which was dichotomized into whether the death occurred or not (i.e., cases were  
133 maternal deaths, and controls were women who were alive and had a live birth). Frequencies and  
134 percentages were reported for categorical variables and mean with standard deviation for quantitative  
135 variables (for example, composite complications and composite symptoms). Cross tabulations for  
136 categorical variables were performed with outcome to identify any sparse data that required merging with  
137 other categories.

138 Across the initial stages of the analyses, three sets of iterations were performed to re-define categories of  
139 independent variables. Given the relatively small number of cases in the survey, the number of categories  
140 were merged for variables to allow for meaningful comparisons.

141 To determine the risk factors associated with maternal deaths, these factors were examined separately in  
142 univariate analysis. Crude odds ratios (ORs) and matched odds ratios (matched ORs) with 95% confidence

143 interval (CI) were computed by simple logistic regression and conditional logistic regression, respectively.  
144 Variables with a p-value less than 0.25 at the univariate level were included in the multivariable analysis  
145 and multi-collinearity among the independent variables was checked. Multivariable analysis was done using  
146 the same regression techniques to determine risk factors associated with maternal deaths by computing  
147 adjusted odds ratios (adjusted ORs) with 95% CIs. Variables having p-value less than 0.05 were kept in the  
148 final multivariable model and the Hosmer Lemeshaw goodness of fit test was applied to check goodness of  
149 fit of the model using simple logistic regression technique.

150 We assessed the impact of each of the specified risk factors on maternal mortality by estimating odds ratios  
151 (ORs) using a logistic regression model to control for the effects of known biological and socioeconomic  
152 variables shown in Figure 1.

## 153 **Results**

154 Multi-stage analyses included the phases of descriptive analysis and inferential analyses by applying  
155 univariate and multivariate regression techniques. The proportional representation of cases versus controls  
156 was similar for the matching variables of region/province of residence and place of residence (i.e. urban vs  
157 rural).

158 Similar proportion of cases and controls who had a live birth, stillbirth, miscarriage or abortion in three  
159 years prior to the survey accessed antenatal care (ANC); and saw an obstetrician/gynecologist or a doctor  
160 for ANC (Table 1). Cases were, however, distinctly different from controls on important attributes like age  
161 group, level of education, number of children alive, type of delivery, tetanus toxoid vaccination during last  
162 pregnancy, ever used a contraceptive method. Controls were more numerous in age group 20-29 (54.1%)  
163 compared to cases (34.7%), but less numerous in the youngest age group 15-19 (5.9% vs 10.9%) and in  
164 older age groups of 30-39 and 40-49. More of controls had middle or less (23.3%) or secondary and higher  
165 education (18.8%) compared to cases (17.7% and 12.9%, respectively). More among cases (19.5%) had 7  
166 or more living children than among controls (11.6%). Nearly all controls had tetanus injection (99.9%)  
167 compared to cases (86.4%) and more of them ever used an contraceptive method (36.3%) than cases  
168 (11.6%) and more of them ever had cesarean section (27.9%) than the controls (14.4%).

169 Surprisingly, more of the cases than controls had their last delivery by a skilled birth attendant (83% vs  
170 63.1%) and delivered at a government hospital (43.2% vs 33.4%) while home delivery was relatively more  
171 common among controls (32.5%) compared to cases (25.3%). The average level of composite  
172 complications index (including high blood pressure, diabetes, anemia and jaundice during last pregnancy)  
173 was similar - 0.62 (standard deviation 0.77) for cases as compared to 0.63 (standard deviation 0.77) for  
174 controls. However, cases experienced a higher level (2.35 with standard deviation 1.86) of composite



175 symptoms (fever, fits, vaginal bleeding, jaundice, abdominal pain, breathing difficulty, paleness/anemia,  
176 swelling feet or ankles and swelling face during last pregnancy/illness) compared to controls (1.85 with  
177 standard deviation 1.62).

**Table 1: Number and percentage distribution (%) of cases and controls by background variables, Pakistan, 2019**

Name of Variables	Cases		Controls	
	No.	%	No.	%
<b>Region of residence</b>				
Punjab	32	21.77	150	20.72
Sindh	42	28.57	210	29.01
KP	27	18.37	134	18.51
Balochistan	26	17.69	130	17.96
GB/ AJ&K	20	13.61	100	13.81
<b>Total</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>724</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Place of residence</b>				
Urban	49	33.33	242	33.43
Rural	98	66.67	482	66.57
<b>Total</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>724</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Age groups (years)</b>				
15-19	16	10.88	43	5.94
20-29	51	34.69	392	54.14
30-39	66	44.9	239	33.01
40-49	14	9.52	50	6.91
<b>Total</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>724</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Highest class completed</b>				
No education	102	69.39	419	57.87
Middle or less	26	17.69	169	23.34
Secondary and higher	19	12.93	136	18.78
<b>Total</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>724</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Ever given birth</b>				
Yes	128	87.07	698	96.41
No	19	12.93	26	3.59
<b>Total</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>724</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Number of children alive</b>				
3 and less	64	50.00	446	61.6
Between 4-6	39	30.47	194	26.8
7 and above	25	19.53	84	11.6
<b>Total</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>724</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Ever had a caesarean section operation</b>				
Yes	41	27.89	104	14.36
No	106	72.11	620	85.64
<b>Total</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>724</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Did see anyone for antenatal care</b>				
Yes	125	85.03	636	87.85
No	22	14.97	88	12.15
<b>Total</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>724</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Who did she see for antenatal care</b>				
Did not see anyone	22	14.97	88	12.15
Obstetrician/Specialist	59	40.14	330	45.58
Doctor	49	33.33	232	32.04
Nurse/Midwife/LHV	13	8.84	64	8.84
Nonskilled Birth Attendants	4	2.72	10	1.38
<b>Total</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>724</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Trimester of pregnancy at first health provider visit</b>				
1st trimester	63	50.4	358	56.29
2nd trimester	37	29.6	200	31.45
3rd trimester	25	20.0	78	12.26
<b>Total</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>636</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>During last pregnancy had an injection to prevent tetanus</b>				
Yes	127	86.39	723	99.86

No	20	13.61	1	0.14
<b>Total</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>724</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Place of delivery</b>				
Home	24	25.26	215	32.48
Govt. hospital/other public	41	43.16	221	33.38
Pvt. hospital/clinic	30	31.58	226	34.14
<b>Total</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>662</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Assistance at delivery</b>				
Skilled Birth Attendants	122	82.99	457	63.12
Others	25	17.01	267	36.88
<b>Total</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>724</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>How was the delivery</b>				
Normal	58	61.05	328	73.54
assisted vaginal	7	7.37	14	3.14
caesarean section	30	31.58	104	23.32
<b>Total</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>446</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Ever used a Contraceptive method</b>				
Yes	17	11.56	263	36.33
No	130	88.44	461	63.67
<b>Total</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>724</b>	<b>100</b>

178

179 The multivariate analysis controlled for the significant confounding effects of age, education, ever  
180 given birth, ever had a cesarean section, had injection in the last pregnancy to prevent tetanus,  
181 whether received delivery assistance from a skilled attendant, and ever used a contraceptive  
182 method while looking at the conditional odds of each one for maternal death (Table 2). The odds  
183 ratios after controlling for factors included in the model, were higher for women giving birth at  
184 younger age (15-19) or at older ages (30-39 and 40-49). Age group 20-29 had the least risk of 0.5  
185 adjusted odds ratio (CI: 0.23-1.07) compared to women in ages 15-19. Women with education had  
186 lower odds than those with no education with 0.35 odds ratio (CI: 0.17-0.74) of maternal death for  
187 women with secondary education and 0.51 (CI: 0.27-0.98) for those with middle or less education  
188 compared to 1.0 for women with no education. Having ever had a cesarean section doubles the  
189 odds of maternal death while having had the tetanus injection in the last pregnancy drastically  
190 reduced the odds for maternal death close to zero as compared to women who were not vaccinated.  
191 Also, having had ever used a contraceptive method reduces the odds to 0.21 (CI: 0.11-0.39)  
192 compared to 1.0 for never users. Delivery by skilled birth attendant was associated with higher  
193 odds of maternal death. Having delivery by a skilled attendant had the matched conditional odds  
194 of 4.07 (CI: 2.19-7.57) compared to the reference category of delivery by “others”, including home  
195 delivery. Women with prior history of symptoms and those who have caesarean section are more

196 likely to have delivery by a skilled attendant and are thus predispose to the risk of death, especially  
197 if the quality of care is poor or the attendant lacks the required skills.

198

199  
200  
201

**Table 2: Multivariate conditional logistic and unconditional logistic regression of predictors of maternal mortality, Pakistan, 2019**

Name of Variables	Conditional logistic regression (N=871)			Unconditional logistic regression (N=871)			Goodness of fit
	Matched Adjusted OR's	95% CI's	p value	Adjusted OR's	95 % CI's	p value	
<b>Age groups (years)</b>							
15-19	1	-	-	1	-	-	
20-29	<b>0.50</b>	(0.23 – 1.07)	<b>0.075</b>	0.47	(0.21 - 0.93)	<b>0.031</b>	
30-39	<b>1.34</b>	(0.61 – 2.95)	<b>0.467</b>	1.24	(0.59 – 2.61)	<b>0.564</b>	
40-49	<b>1.21</b>	(0.42 – 3.45)	<b>0.726</b>	1.06	(0.40 – 2.83)	<b>0.912</b>	
<b>Highest class completed</b>							
No education	1	-	-	1	-	-	
Middle or less	<b>0.51</b>	(0.27 – 0.98)	<b>0.042</b>	0.57	(0.33 - 0.99)	<b>0.046</b>	
Secondary and higher	<b>0.35</b>	(0.17 – 0.74)	<b>0.006</b>	0.49	(0.27 – 0.90)	<b>0.021</b>	
<b>Ever given birth</b>							
Yes	<b>0.19</b>	(0.09 – 0.39)	<b>0.000</b>	0.21	(0.10 – 0.45)	<b>0.000</b>	N= 871
No	1	-	-	1	-	-	Hosmer and lemeshow Goodness of fit – test statistics (chi <sup>2</sup> ) = 62.39
<b>Ever had a caesarean section operation</b>							
Yes	<b>2.05</b>	(1.18 - 3.55)	<b>0.011</b>	2.22	(1.35 - 3.66)	<b>0.002</b>	
No	1	-	-	1	-	-	p value = 0.9380 (model is good fit)
<b>During last pregnancy had an injection to prevent tetanus</b>							
Yes	<b>0.007</b>	(0.001 – 0.06)	<b>0.000</b>	0.005	(0.001 – 0.046)	<b>0.000</b>	
No	1	-	-	1	-	-	
<b>Assistance at delivery</b>							
Skilled Birth Attendants	<b>4.07</b>	(2.19 – 7.57)	<b>0.000</b>	4.13	(2.32 – 7.35)	<b>0.000</b>	
Others	1	-	-	1	-	-	
<b>Ever Used a Contraceptive method</b>							
Yes	<b>0.21</b>	(0.11 - 0.39)	<b>0.000</b>	0.22	(0.12 - 0.39)	<b>0.000</b>	
No	1	-	-	1	-	-	

\*Matching variables in relation to urban – rural status and province of residence were retained in the model as matching variables

202

## 203 Discussion

204 Despite the decline in the levels of maternal mortality, substantial inequalities continue to persist by region,  
205 urban-rural place of residence and by subgroups of population in Pakistan [9]. The greatest decline from  
206 2006-07 to 2019 was observed in Balochistan province which nevertheless continues to exhibit the highest  
207 MMR of 298 (CI: 130-466) per 100,000 live births in 2019 compared to any other region [3]. Compared to  
208 2006-07, progress was also noted in 2019 for an increase in literacy rate and higher educational attainment,  
209 especially in rural areas [9]. Higher order (6 or more) births declined from 22% to 15%; four or more ANC  
210 visits nearly doubled from 28% to 52% as well as the visit to obstetrician/gynecologist and doctor for ANC  
211 from 33% to 60% [2, 3]. Not having even one ANC visit during the last pregnancy declined from 35% to  
212 8%. The coverage of mobile phones in rural areas also doubled from 46% in 2006-07 to 93% in 2019 [2,  
213 3].

214 This progress has been, however, slow and uneven. Women in rural areas, with no education and those  
215 living in Balochistan province continue to suffer excessive risk of maternal death than their counterparts in  
216 urban areas, living in other regions of Pakistan or educated, especially those attaining higher levels of  
217 education. In addition, little progress has been made in rural infrastructure in terms of improved access to  
218 the nearest functioning basic health unit (BHU), rural health centre (RHC), secondary/tertiary hospital or  
219 the availability of motorized public transport [9].

220 The findings that women in the age group of 20-29, with education, had ever used a contraceptive method,  
221 or had tetanus injection during the last pregnancy had lower odds of maternal death are expected and  
222 consistent with findings from other studies both in Pakistan and elsewhere, The finding that women whose  
223 last delivery was by a skilled birth attendant had higher odds of maternal death is counterintuitive, though  
224 consistent in both PDHS 2006-07[8] and our analysis of PMMS data. There are three explanations for this  
225 unexpected result derived from the in-depth analysis of the verbal autopsies of the deceased women  
226 identified in the PMMS [9]. First, women after having sought care from various types of care providers for  
227 symptoms/complications of pregnancy reached the skilled attendant with a severe  
228 complication/symptom(s) in a critical condition in many cases after shuffling between two to three facilities  
229 before reaching the final referral facility. The in-depth analysis further showed that majority of maternal  
230 deaths occurred at health facilities following delays in deciding to seek professional care and in reaching  
231 an appropriate health facility for care [9]. In a social-cultural context with little or no birth planning and  
232 accessing care when situation is worsened, this selectivity in terms of women with a complicated pregnancy  
233 or delivery reaching late a skilled attendant, manifested in a higher risk of death. Also, women with prior  
234 history of symptoms or those requiring cesarean section are more likely to approach skilled attendant for  
235 delivery. Such a pattern is noted where effective referral system is not operational. A study of rural areas  
236 of Balochistan and North West Frontier Province (now renamed as Khyber Pakhtunkhwa) showed a similar  
237 pattern of high risk of maternal mortality for women who had delivered by a skilled attendant compared to  
238 those by a family member or traditional birth attendant [11]. A similar pattern was also observed in eight  
239 urban squatter settlements of Karachi [12].

240 Second, it was found that skilled attendants were not adequately trained and provided a sub-optimal quality  
241 of care. The in-depth analysis shows that 36% of all maternal deaths, direct or indirect, and 91% of direct  
242 maternal deaths were due to surgical or medical misadventures [9]. Indifference by unmotivated staff, poor  
243 skills of health care providers, and lack of medicine and equipment together contributed to heavy death toll  
244 of women reaching the facility in reasonable condition [9]. Third, the finding of higher maternal mortality  
245 among women with births attended by a skilled provider is consistently reported in studies from Pakistan  
246 and the qualitative analysis of verbal autopsies indicates that women with complicated pregnancy or

247 delivery to start with were more likely to seek care from a skilled attendant. The pathways to skilled delivery  
248 is, therefore, shaped by the prior history of symptoms exacerbating pregnancy complications leading to  
249 institutional care and delivery by a skilled attendant. In addition, the poor quality of service, including poor  
250 skills of providers contribute to higher maternal mortality for women with delivery by a skilled attendant.  
251 This noteworthy finding indicates that the emphasis on institutional deliveries and delivery by a skilled  
252 birth attendant to reduce maternal mortality are insufficient to reduce maternal mortality where norms for  
253 pregnancy care are lacking, but a necessary concomitant of complicated pregnancy. Further, quality of care  
254 and strengthening providers' skills must be ensured to provide the care women need on reaching the facility.

255 Estimating maternal mortality and its risk factors require an exceptionally large sample size that is often  
256 not feasible. To keep the sample size within manageable limits, a three-year recall of births and deaths was  
257 used in PDHS 2006-07 and PMMS. This approach has problems in that the recall of deaths may have  
258 declined considerably during the second and third years before the survey, presumably due to recall errors,  
259 misreporting of dates, and/or dissolution or change in the composition of households. It is, therefore,  
260 possible that recall errors for the second or third year before the survey underreported maternal deaths.  
261 Also, information on the causes and circumstances of deaths ascertained through the verbal autopsy may  
262 be less reliable for deaths that occurred in the second or third years of recall.

263 Another potential limitation is the intentional or unintentional misreporting. Given the cultural sensitivity,  
264 induced abortions are notoriously underreported or misreported, especially in the restrictive legal contexts  
265 such as Pakistan. It is, therefore, possible that some of the induced abortions and related deaths were under-  
266 reported.

## 267 **Conclusions**

268 Despite the progress made in reducing maternal mortality, approximately 1 in 143 women in Pakistan will  
269 die during her lifetime due to complications during pregnancy, childbirth/abortion, or during the 42 days  
270 following pregnancy termination. WHO estimated that 98,000 maternal deaths occurred in Pakistan in 2020  
271 [1]. High levels of maternal mortality, inequity in the burden of maternal mortality and the finding that  
272 women having delivery by a skilled attendant suffer higher risk of death indicate a number of policy and  
273 programmatic implications. First, health system needs to improve the quality of obstetric care provided  
274 especially within the public sector facilities. The government must properly equip facilities and institute  
275 proper accountability mechanisms so that all deaths are audited and accounted for. Second, pre-marital  
276 counselling should be launched to discourage childbearing during adolescence. Both young (<20 years) and  
277 older women of ages over 30 should be given priority attention during ANC, delivery and the postnatal  
278 period. Third, family planning should be promoted for maternal and child survival through birth spacing

279 and prevention of unintended and high-risk pregnancies. According to the PDHS 2017-18, nearly 18% of  
280 women became pregnant six to 17 months after a live birth and 37% within 24 months. PDHS data show  
281 that the highest proportion of closely spaced pregnancies occur in the adolescent age group of 15-19 years.  
282 Compared to older women, this group also has the highest unmet need for birth spacing—nearly one out of  
283 six women in this age bracket wants to space their pregnancies but is unable to do so.

284 Unmet need for family planning (FP) stems from the inability of women to access services. The Lady Health  
285 Worker (LHW) program, launched in 1994, had the mandate to provide doorstep FP services to rural  
286 women, who have higher unmet need and more unintended pregnancies than urban women. This highly  
287 acclaimed program is currently plagued with many issues, the foremost of which is a perennial shortage of  
288 contraceptive supplies. Within public sector health facilities, service providers do not consider offering  
289 family planning services and counselling as their responsibility. This needs to be changed through some  
290 bold decisions by the government. A major step should be mandatory provision of FP services through the  
291 public and private health networks. This has several advantages, including avoiding the hesitancy some  
292 women and men have in visiting the socially stigmatized Family Planning centers run by the Population  
293 Welfare Department. General health facility visits offer several opportunities for discussing family  
294 planning, such as during antenatal care visits, immediately after delivery, during postnatal checkups, and  
295 child immunization visits. On these occasions, couples can be encouraged to discuss their family planning  
296 needs and individually focused options can be suggested to help meet their needs. This service delivery  
297 approach would also allow men to discuss family planning with male health care providers.

298 The Population Council estimates that even without increasing the current coverage of skilled birth  
299 attendance, by simply meeting the 17 % unmet need for family planning and thus raising current  
300 contraceptive use from 34% to 51%, Pakistan could lower maternal mortality by around 30% and save  
301 every year nearly 4,000 maternal lives.

302 Third, tetanus toxoid injection during pregnancy should be universal because of its protective effect.  
303 Pakistan must strengthen its immunization program and ensure that the injection is made available at both  
304 public and private sector facilities. Fourth, a functional and efficient referral system needs to be in place  
305 that prevents women shuffling between facilities and instead reach an appropriate facility equipped to  
306 provide comprehensive obstetric care. For ensuring the functional integrity of the referral system, an  
307 ambulance system must be put in place that connects the lower to the higher referral facilities. Interventions  
308 that make optimal use of the high coverage of mobile phone with home visits by Lady Health Workers and  
309 Community Midwives are needed for the continuum of care for maternal, neonatal and child health. The  
310 emphasis on institutional delivery and by a skilled birth attendant must be accompanied with strengthening  
311 quality of care and providers' skills, especially in public sector hospitals and facilities that are accessed by



312 poor women and those with little or no education. Concerted efforts and investments are urgently needed  
313 to meet the public health and human rights imperative of saving maternal lives. This will also enable  
314 Pakistan to meet the Sustainable Development Goal 3 target 3.1 to reduce MMR to less than 70 per 100,000  
315 live births by 2030.

### 316 **Role of the Funding Source**

317 The funding agency had no role in study design, data analysis, or in the interpretation of results.

### 318 **Author Contributions**

319 AMA, AMM, and IHS conceived the idea for the study developed the initial plans, and drafted the  
320 manuscript. MS created data files and supported analysis of data and MAB conducted data analysis. All  
321 authors have contributed to the final version of the manuscript.

### 322 **Acknowledgements**

323 We thank National Institute of Population Studies for providing the PMMS data and members of the  
324 Technical Advisory Committee for helpful comments and suggestions.

325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357

## References

1. World Health Organization (WHO). *Trends in maternal mortality 2000 to 2020: Estimates by WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA, World Bank Group and the UNDESA/Population Division*. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2023.
2. National Institute of Population Studies (NIPS) [Pakistan], and Macro International Inc. *Pakistan Demographic and Health Survey 2006-07*. Islamabad, Pakistan: National Institute of Population Studies and Macro International Inc, 2008.
3. National Institute of Population Studies (NIPS) [Pakistan] and ICF. *Pakistan Maternal Mortality Survey 2019*. Islamabad, Pakistan, and Rockville, Maryland, USA: NIPS and ICF, 2020.
4. McCarthy J and Maine Deborah. 1992. A framework for analyzing the determinants of maternal mortality. *Studies in Family Planning* 1992; 23(1): 23-33.
5. Filippi Veronique, Chou D, Barreix M, et al. on behalf of the WHO Maternal Morbidity Working Group (MMWG). A new conceptual framework for maternal morbidity. *Int J Gynecol Obstet* 2018; 141 (Suppl. 1): 4–9.
6. Cleland J, Conde-Agudelo A, Peterson H, et al. Contraception and health. *Lancet* 2012; 380 (9837): 149-156.
7. Hanif M, Khalid S, Rasul A, et al. Khalid Mahmood. Maternal Mortality in Rural Areas of Pakistan: Challenges and Prospects. Chapter in IntechOpen.
8. Midhet F, Durrani S, and Jaffery S. Maternal Mortality. Women and Children’s Health: an in-depth Analysis of 2006-07 Pakistan Demographic and Health Survey Data.
9. The Population Council. Explaining maternal mortality: an in-depth analysis of Pakistan Maternal Mortality Survey 2019. 2022, Islamabad: The Population Council.
10. World Health Organization. International Classification of Diseases 11<sup>th</sup> Revision (ICD-11). <https://icd.who.int>.
11. Midhet F, Becker S and Berendes HW. Contextual determinants of maternal mortality in rural Pakistan. *Social Science and Medicine* 1988, 46 (12): 1587-1598.
12. Fikree FF, Gray RH, Berendes HW and Karim MS. A community-based nested case-control study of maternal mortality. *Int J Gynecol Obstet* 1992, 47(3): 247-255.