

Title: Intrinsic Susceptibility Of The Left Vs Right Recurrent Laryngeal Nerve To Paralysis: A Systematic Review

Authors: Aaron Oswald, MD<sup>1</sup>; Michael J Pitman, MD<sup>1</sup>

Affiliation: 1. Department of Otolaryngology, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, New York, USA

Institution: The Center for Voice and Swallowing, Department of Otolaryngology- Head & Neck Surgery, Columbia University Medical Center

Running title: Intrinsic susceptibility of left RLN

Financial support: This work funded by NIH grant #R01DC018060

Corresponding author: Aaron Oswald

Email: [Aaron.oswald@gmail.com](mailto:Aaron.oswald@gmail.com)

Address: 1320 York Avenue, New York, NY 10021

Telephone: 607-280-1708

Financial disclosure: This work funded by NIH grant #R01DC018060

Conflicts of interest: None apart from financial support noted above

Presented at: American Broncho-Esophagological Association meeting at Combined Otolaryngology Spring Meetings, Boston, MA, USA, May 5<sup>th</sup> 2023

## **Abstract**

Objective: Unilateral vocal fold paralysis (UVFP) occurs more commonly on the left than right, a difference historically attributed to greater left recurrent laryngeal nerve (RLN) length and its presence in the thorax with consequential increased exposure to injury. However, considering the importance of mRNA locally transcribed in the distal nerve after nerve injury, there may be other intrinsic neuromuscular reasons for this discrepancy. To investigate whether intrinsic neuromuscular issues influence laterality, this study investigates the rate of paralysis relative to side in idiopathic and short-term intubation cases, excluding cases due to identifiable disease and surgery.

Data Sources: Embase and PubMed database

Review Methods: A systematic literature search was performed to capture articles published up until May 2022. Articles were included if laterality and etiology of paralysis were reported.

Demographic data was extracted for patients diagnosed with idiopathic paralysis or paralysis after intubation for procedures performed outside the head, neck, and thorax. Study design was collected from included articles.

Results: Twenty-one studies were included, from which 702 patients were drawn for analysis. Within the idiopathic group, 69.2% were left-sided. Within the post-intubation group, 67.9% were left-sided.

Conclusions: The available evidence indicates that left-sided paralysis is more common in patients with idiopathic or short-term intubation related UVFP. This suggests intrinsic neuromuscular differences contribute to the left RLN being more susceptible than the right to damage and dysfunction. Further study is needed to identify these differences, which may provide insights into the pathophysiology of RLN paralysis as well possible therapeutic options.

Key words: unilateral vocal fold paralysis, etiology, larynx, recurrent laryngeal nerve, nerve injury, reinnervation

## **Introduction**

Unilateral vocal fold paralysis (UVFP) is commonly seen in otolaryngology practices, and leads to significant morbidity related to dysphagia and aspiration, hoarseness, difficulty with communication, and dyspnea.<sup>1</sup> Much research has been devoted to understand the pathophysiology of RLN paralysis and developing therapeutics that improve treatment through functional reinnervation.<sup>2-6</sup> One of the clues to improving reinnervation after injury may lie in the clinical appreciation of paralysis occurring more frequently on the left side. If there are inherent neuromuscular disparities in the processes of reinnervation on the left versus right after injury, these targets may be exploited therapeutically.

There are many known etiologies of UVFP, including systemic diseases, as well as disruption or injury along the recurrent laryngeal nerve, proximal vagus nerve, and motor pathways within the

brain. Due to its increased length and course, the left recurrent laryngeal nerve is more susceptible to malignancy or iatrogenic injury, from thoracic malignancy, and cardiac, thoracic, or cervical surgery.<sup>7</sup> In addition to these obvious reasons why the longer left RLN results in more frequent paralysis, it is also felt the length of the nerve itself makes reinnervation more difficult and results in more energy expended as proteins transcribed in reaction to injury must travel between the cell body and the nerve growth cone.<sup>8-10</sup>

Findings over the last 20 years suggest length alone may not be the reason for this laterality, as significant protein transcription after nerve injury takes place locally, in the distal nerve. M s are transported down the axon in advance and their translation is activated by injury or other stimuli. By transcribing mRNA locally, nerves can respond rapidly to injury, distinct from proteins in the cell body.<sup>11</sup>

Studies on rates of nerve recovery after injury also cast doubt on the importance of axon distance. One study on EMG recovery after injury in the canine larynx found no difference in rates of recovery between injuries 5 cm from the cricoid, and 5 cm further inferior.<sup>12</sup> Another study modeling voice recovery after recurrent laryngeal nerve injury found that the extent of injury, rather than the location along the nerve, determined the kinetics of recovery.<sup>13</sup>

Studies have evidenced the importance of local translation after injury of peripheral axons.<sup>14</sup> Netrin-1 and its receptors have also been shown to play significant roles in local translation.<sup>15,16</sup> Accordingly, studies of RLN regeneration in the rat suggest that Netrin-1 may play a significant role in axon guidance and reinnervation.<sup>2,17,18</sup>

The present study aims to better elucidate the intrinsic susceptibility of the left recurrent laryngeal nerve to paralysis compared to the right nerve, by determining whether left-sided UVFP is more common in cases where only neuromuscular factors would influence paralysis. Our primary question was as follows: In a group of patients without a known anatomic factor predisposing them to recurrent laryngeal nerve paralysis on one side over the other, do the remaining etiologies for vocal fold paralysis lead to a predominance of paralysis on the left side over the right side? To this end, a systematic review was performed comparing the rate of paralysis relative to side in idiopathic and short-term intubation cases.

## **Search Strategy**

A search of the Embase and PubMed databases was conducted. The database was searched from inception until August 2022. Subject headings were used, and keywords included “vocal fold” and “vocal cord”; “paralysis”, “paresis”, “hypomobility”, “immobility”, “palsy”, or similar words; and “left” or “right”. This search yielded 2099 articles.

## **Study Selection**

Duplicates were removed with a first pass to automatically capture articles with identical titles and author lists, and with a second pass to capture potential duplicates that were missed because

of slight differences in capitalization or formatting. All were reviewed manually before being removed. After removal of duplicates, 2068 articles remained. Screening of articles according to inclusion criteria and full text review were performed by two reviewers (A.O., M.P.). Full text review was performed for all articles that ultimately fulfilled inclusion criteria, and was performed for some but not all articles during screening, as needed to determine inclusion. Unpublished studies and presentations, dissertations, and studies not in English or without full-text English translations available were not included. Articles that did not report primary data on patients with vocal fold paralysis were excluded. This included systematic reviews and analysis articles, basic science studies, veterinary and animal studies, and studies that included overlapping sets of patients. Studies were only included if they reported laterality of vocal fold paralysis. Case reports and case series including less than four patients with unilateral vocal fold paralysis were excluded.

Only studies that reported etiology of vocal fold paralysis were included. Given our goal of learning about the RLN's intrinsic susceptibility to damage, we excluded cases of RLN paralysis that were likely to be related to an etiology influenced by the nerve's path from the skull base to larynx. Such cases included paralysis following surgery or procedures at any site at or adjacent to the course of the RLN including of the thyroid, parathyroid, central neck, mediastinum, heart, or thorax. We also excluded cases of disease, tumors, radiation or radiofrequency ablation occurring at the skull base or in proximity to the course of the recurrent laryngeal nerve. Examples include chest, neck, or skull base trauma, sarcoidosis, tuberculosis, syphilis, pulmonary embolism, pneumonia, pneumoconiosis, and other pulmonary disease. We also excluded stroke or other localized CNS causes. Finally, we excluded cases where neuropathy

was unlikely to be the cause of vocal fold hypomobility, including laryngeal and pharyngeal tumors, cricoarytenoid joint fixation, and surgical cases where that RLN was not at risk, but the patient remained intubated following a surgery.

Only studies that reported laterality of their patients were included. Studies that selected patients with only left, only right, or only bilateral vocal fold paralysis were excluded. Studies that did not report information on laterality stratified to etiologic group were excluded. Some studies reported sets of patients in which some patients fulfilled our etiologic inclusion criteria and some did not. If the study otherwise fulfilled our inclusion criteria, but had less than four patients that fulfilled the criteria, that study was excluded. Based on these criteria, 21 articles were included (Fig. 1).

## **Data Extraction**

Sets of patients fulfilling our inclusion criteria were drawn from the included studies. Based on the above criteria, 702 patients from 21 articles were included. No overlap in patients was found between studies, which for the most part were from different medical centers or different populations. Two studies included adult patient sets from the same academic medical center in Taiwan, however patients included in those studies were diagnosed and treated in non-overlapping time frames (2002-2006 for one, 2011-2015 for the other).<sup>19,20</sup>

## **Results**

Characteristics of the 21 included studies are shown in Table 1. The majority of included patients were diagnosed with idiopathic UVFP. Each article included eligible patient sets from only one etiologic group. There were 660 patients with idiopathic UVFP drawn from 16 studies, and 28 patients with post-intubation UVFP drawn from 2 studies. All included post-intubation UVFP patients were intubated for procedures that do not put the RLN at risk, and were extubated immediately following surgery.<sup>21,22</sup> The remaining 3 studies contained smaller groups of patients that were eligible for analysis, including 6 patients with multiple system atrophy, 4 patients with vincristine-related neuropathy, and 4 patients with Charcot-Marie-Tooth Disease.<sup>23,24,25</sup>

Risk of bias analysis for included studies is shown in Table 2. This was performed using the MINORS instrument.<sup>26</sup>

Among the idiopathic UVFP group, 453/660 patients were left-sided (69.2%). In the post-intubation group, 19/28 were left-sided (67.9%). Combining those two groups yields a rate of left-sided paralysis of 68.6%. The frequency of left-sided paralysis ranged from 50% to 100%. Removing studies with less than 20 patients, the frequency of left-sided paralysis ranged from 58.2% to 82.4%. Of the 14 patients with the neuropathic disease, all had left-sided UVFP.

Diagnosis of idiopathic UVFP was determined by an absence of features on history and physical exam pointing to an etiology, as well a radiologic examination, which was varied. Three of the 16 studies with included idiopathic UVFP patients specified protocols involving a dedicated CT of the neck.<sup>27,28,29</sup> In one study, all patients underwent PET-CT.<sup>30</sup> Two studies used protocols



that involved a chest X-ray and neck ultrasound as screening tools, followed up by a CT only if those studies were negative, or as needed.<sup>19,20</sup> Two other studies reported some imaging was used to work up patients diagnosed with idiopathic UVFP; one of those specified that cross-sectional imaging of the head, neck, and chest.<sup>31,32</sup> The remaining 8 studies with idiopathic UVFP patients did not specify the radiologic workup.

## Discussion

UVFP is a frequently encountered disorder in otolaryngology practice that accounts for significant morbidity, particularly from dysphagia and dysphonia, leading to risk of aspiration pneumonia and impaired communication. While there are static surgical procedures available to treat UVFP, consistent restoration of normal vocal fold function will require proper reinnervation. Here we demonstrate a consistently increased frequency of left-sided paralysis in etiologies of UVFP independent from anatomic factors that would be expected to put one nerve at risk over the other. Confirming an increased rate of left sided paralysis, in cases of idiopathic paralysis or that after short term intubation, suggests the left RLN is inherently more susceptible to nerve injury or is less able to effectively regenerate and reinnervate the larynx. While this has been attributed to the length of the nerve, considering the importance of local protein translation in the axon after nerve injury, as well as data showing that location of injury along the RLN does not impact the rate of recovery, length is likely not the sole contributor to this discrepancy.<sup>8-13</sup> It is reasonable to conjecture there are other intrinsic neuromuscular differences between the left and right RLN and the intrinsic laryngeal muscles they target that would be responsible for the disparity in the laterality rates of paralysis. The reason for these differences should be explored and may provide insights into the pathophysiology of RLN paralysis as well possible therapeutic options.

Other proposed explanations for the increased rate of left-sided paralysis among patients diagnosed with idiopathic UVFP may fall into several categories. One possibility is that a significant portion of patients who carry this diagnosis have an occult anatomic lesion leading to

compression or damage to the RLN in one or more locations. This may preferentially affect the left RLN, given its longer course passing through the mediastinum. This is unlikely as such a lesion would need to be missed during imaging workup, or be below the resolution of the imaging used.

A possible occult anatomic etiology proposed in recent literature is aortic arch compliance. Recent investigations have demonstrated an association between increased aortic arch compliance and idiopathic left-sided UVFP, when compared to normal matched controls. Aortic arch compliance was determined by measuring changes in aortic arch diameter in a cardiac-gated MRI.<sup>33,34</sup> In those studies, each patient had been previously diagnosed with idiopathic UVFP after undergoing radiologic evaluation of the chest and neck prior.

Length-dependent mechanisms of neuropathy resulting in a higher rate of left sided paralysis have been proposed for Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease, and for vincristine-related neurotoxicity.<sup>24,25</sup> In each of these groups, as well as the group with multiple system atrophy, all patients with UVFP were left-sided paralysis only, though the numbers of eligible patients were small.<sup>23,24,25</sup> Vincristine-related neurotoxicity has been associated with shortened and decreased quantity of microtubules, which could put the longer axons of the left RLN at higher risk. The authors propose that the progression of neuropathy in a length-dependent manner makes an isolated right-sided paralysis less likely to occur.<sup>24</sup> Increased rates of left-sided paralysis related to Charcot-Marie Tooth disease were also attributed to length-related neuropathy that occurs in other nerves in the disease.<sup>25</sup> While it is known that patients with multiple system atrophy tend to

have vocal fold abductor weakness, and many of them present with bilateral vocal fold paralysis, the authors did not have a disease-specific explanation for the preference for left-sided UVFP.<sup>23</sup>

It is interesting to note that the rate of left-sided paralysis is similar in post-intubation UVFP and idiopathic UVFP. The tendency of left-sided paralysis in the post-intubation setting has been postulated to be related to right-handed technique during intubation, or placement of the endotracheal tube at the right side of the mouth, angling the distal portion of the tube to the left,<sup>21</sup> though this explanation would be difficult to verify. Regardless, compression from the cuff of an endotracheal tube against the subglottis should lead to relatively similar neurovascular compression on both RLNs, via direct compression or perineural edema. Even if there is some discrepancy in tube pressures, the extreme difference in laterality of post-intubation paralysis (67.9% on the left) suggests an increased intrinsic susceptibility to paralysis on the left.

Limitations of this review lie in the heterogeneity of studies and samples from which the patients were drawn. Although all patients were examined by otolaryngologists at academic or tertiary care centers, we were unable to verify the clinical factors and workup leading to a diagnosis of idiopathic UVFP in all cases.

## **Conclusion**

This systematic review of studies entailing 688 patients indicates that idiopathic and intubation-related UVFP preferentially affects the left side over the right side. This is likely influenced by

intrinsic neuromuscular differences between the left and right RLN, beyond just nerve length.

Further investigations are necessary to identify these differences which may provide insights into the pathophysiology of RLN paralysis as well possible therapeutic options.

## References

1. Havas, T., Lowinger, D., & Priestley, J. (1999). Unilateral vocal fold paralysis: causes, options and outcomes. *Australian and New Zealand journal of surgery*, 69(7), 509-513.
2. Hernandez-Morato, I., Tewari, I., Sharma, S., & Pitman, M. J. (2016). Blockade of glial-derived neurotrophic factor in laryngeal muscles promotes appropriate reinnervation. *The Laryngoscope*, 126(10), E337-E342.
3. Flint, P. W., Downs, D. H., & Coltrera, M. D. (1991). Laryngeal synkinesis following reinnervation in the rat: neuroanatomic and physiologic study using retrograde fluorescent tracers and electromyography. *Annals of Otolaryngology & Rhinology*, 100(10), 797-806.
4. Vega-Cordova, X., Cosenza, N. M., Helfert, R. H., & Woodson, G. E. (2010). Neurotrophin expression of laryngeal muscles in response to recurrent laryngeal nerve transection. *The Laryngoscope*, 120(8), 1591-1596.
5. Lin, R. J., Klein-Fedyshin, M., & Rosen, C. A. (2019). Nimodipine improves vocal fold and facial motion recovery after injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Laryngoscope*, 129(4), 943-951.
6. Paniello, R. C. (2015). Vocal fold paralysis: improved adductor recovery by vincristine blockade of posterior cricoarytenoid. *The Laryngoscope*, 125(3), 655-660.
7. Cantarella, G., Dejonckere, P., Galli, A., Ciabatta, A., Gaffuri, M., Pignataro, L., & Torretta, S. (2017). A retrospective evaluation of the etiology of unilateral vocal fold paralysis over the last 25 years. *European Archives of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology*, 274, 347-353.
8. Maday, S., Twelvetrees, A. E., Moughamian, A. J., & Holzbaur, E. L. (2014). Axonal transport: cargo-specific mechanisms of motility and regulation. *Neuron*, 84(2), 292-309.
9. Rishal, I., & Fainzilber, M. (2014). Axon-soma communication in neuronal injury. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 15(1), 32-42.
10. Hanz, S., Perlson, E., Willis, D., Zheng, J. Q., Massarwa, R. A., Huerta, J. J., ... & Fainzilber, M. (2003). Axoplasmic importins enable retrograde injury signaling in lesioned nerve. *Neuron*, 40(6), 1095-1104.
11. Li, L., Yu, J., & Ji, S. J. (2021). Axonal mRNA localization and translation: local events with broad roles. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences*, 1-17.
12. Paniello, R. C., Park, A. M., Bhatt, N. K., & Al-Lozi, M. (2016). Recurrent laryngeal nerve recovery patterns assessed by serial electromyography. *The Laryngoscope*, 126(3), 651-656.
13. Mau, T., Pan, H. M., & Childs, L. F. (2017). The natural history of recoverable vocal fold paralysis: implications for kinetics of reinnervation. *The Laryngoscope*, 127(11), 2585-2590.
14. Terenzio, M., Koley, S., Samra, N., Rishal, I., Zhao, Q., Sahoo, P. K., ... & Fainzilber, M. (2018). Locally translated mTOR controls axonal local translation in nerve injury. *Science*, 359(6382), 1416-1421.
15. Tcherkezian, J., Brittis, P. A., Thomas, F., Roux, P. P., & Flanagan, J. G. (2010). Transmembrane receptor DCC associates with protein synthesis machinery and regulates translation. *Cell*, 141(4), 632-644.

16. Tsai, N. P., Bi, J., & Wei, L. N. (2007). The adaptor Grb7 links netrin-1 signaling to regulation of mRNA translation. *The EMBO journal*, 26(6), 1522-1531.
17. Hernandez-Morato, I., Koss, S., Sharma, S., & Pitman, M. J. (2017). Influence of Netrin-1 on reinnervation of laryngeal muscles following recurrent laryngeal nerve injury. *Neuroscience Letters*, 653, 244-249.
18. Hernandez-Morato, I., Tian, L., Montalbano, M., & Pitman, M. J. (2019). Expression of trophic factors receptors during reinnervation after recurrent laryngeal nerve injury. *The Laryngoscope*, 129(11), 2537-2542.
19. Pei, Y. C., Li, H. Y., Chen, C. L., Wong, A. M., Huang, P. C., & Fang, T. J. (2017). Disease characteristics and electromyographic findings of nonsurgery-related unilateral vocal fold paralysis. *The Laryngoscope*, 127(6), 1381-1387.
20. Ko, H. C., Lee, L. A., Li, H. Y., & Fang, T. J. (2009). Etiologic features in patients with unilateral vocal fold paralysis in Taiwan. *Chang Gung Med J*, 32(3), 290-296.
21. Pan, D. R., & Jiang, N. (2020). Outcomes of intubation-induced vocal fold motion impairment. *Journal of Voice*, 34(2), 250-258.
22. Kikura, M., Suzuki, K., Itagaki, T., Takada, T., & Sato, S. (2007). Age and comorbidity as risk factors for vocal cord paralysis associated with tracheal intubation. *British journal of anaesthesia*, 98(4), 524-530.
23. Lalich, I. J., Ekblom, D. C., Starkman, S. J., Orbelo, D. M., & Morgenthaler, T. I. (2014). Vocal fold motion impairment in multiple system atrophy. *The Laryngoscope*, 124(3), 730-735.
24. Kuruvilla, G., Perry, S., Wilson, B., & El-Hakim, H. (2009). The natural history of vincristine-induced laryngeal paralysis in children. *Archives of Otolaryngology–Head & Neck Surgery*, 135(1), 101-105.
25. Sevilla, T., Jaijo, T., Nauffal, D., Collado, D., Chumillas, M. J., Vilchez, J. J., ... & Palau, F. (2008). Vocal cord paresis and diaphragmatic dysfunction are severe and frequent symptoms of GDAP1-associated neuropathy. *Brain*, 131(11), 3051-3061.
26. Slim, K., Nini, E., Forestier, D., Kwiatkowski, F., Panis, Y., & Chipponi, J. (2003). Methodological index for non-randomized studies (MINORS): development and validation of a new instrument. *ANZ journal of surgery*, 73(9), 712-716.
27. Politano, S., Morell, F., Calamari, K., DeSilva, B., & Matrkka, L. (2021). Yield of Imaging to Evaluate Unilateral Vocal Fold Paralysis of Unknown Etiology. *The Laryngoscope*, 131(8), 1840-1844.
28. Jacks, A., Schatz, B., Li, J., Kim, P., Adams, K., Harn, N., & Kraft, S. (2020). Computed Tomography Measurements in Assessment of Idiopathic Vocal Fold Paralysis. *Journal of Voice*.
29. Knudsen, R., Gaunsbaek, M. Q., Schultz, J. H., Nilsson, A. C., Madsen, J. S., & Asgari, N. (2019). Vocal cord paralysis as primary and secondary results of malignancy. A prospective descriptive study. *Laryngoscope Investigative Otolaryngology*, 4(2), 241-245.
30. Thomassen, A., Nielsen, A. L., Lauridsen, J. K., Blomberg, B. A., Hess, S., Petersen, H., ... & Høilund-Carlsen, P. F. (2014). FDG-PET/CT can rule out malignancy in patients with vocal cord palsy. *American Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*, 4(2), 193.
31. Koç, E. A. Ö., Babakurban, S. T., Ozan, E. R. O. L., & Erbek, S. (2016). Vocal cord paralysis: What matters between idiopathic and non-idiopathic cases?. *The Turkish Journal of Ear Nose and Throat*, 26(4), 228-233.
32. Spataro, E. A., Grindler, D. J., & Paniello, R. C. (2014). Etiology and time to presentation of unilateral vocal fold paralysis. *Otolaryngology–Head and Neck Surgery*, 151(2), 286-293.
33. Behkam, R., Roberts, K. E., Bierhals, A. J., Jacobs, M. E., Edgar, J. D., Paniello, R. C., ... & Barkmeier-Kraemer, J. M. (2017). Aortic arch compliance and idiopathic unilateral vocal fold paralysis. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 123(2), 303-309.

34. Williams, M. J., Ayyalasomayajula, A., Behkam, R., Bierhals, A. J., Jacobs, M. E., Edgar, J. D., ... & Vande Geest, J. P. (2015). A computational study of the role of the aortic arch in idiopathic unilateral vocal-fold paralysis. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 118(4), 465-474.
35. Perez, P. L., Cueva, K. L., Rosen, C. A., Young, V. N., Naunheim, M. L., Yung, K. C., ... & Cheung, S. W. (2020). Cortical-Basal Ganglia-Cerebellar Networks in Unilateral Vocal Fold Paralysis: A Pilot Study. *The Laryngoscope*, 130(2), 460-464.
36. Husain, S., Sadoughi, B., Mor, N., Levin, A. M., & Sulica, L. (2018). Time course of recovery of idiopathic vocal fold paralysis. *The Laryngoscope*, 128(1), 148-152.
37. Jabbour, J., Martin, T., Beste, D., & Robey, T. (2014). Pediatric vocal fold immobility: natural history and the need for long-term follow-up. *JAMA Otolaryngology–Head & Neck Surgery*, 140(5), 428-433.
38. Milstein, C. F., Akst, L. M., Hicks, M. D., Abelson, T. I., & Strome, M. (2005). Long-term effects of micronized Alloderm injection for unilateral vocal fold paralysis. *The Laryngoscope*, 115(9), 1691-1696.
39. Zbar, R. I., & Smith, R. J. (1996). Vocal fold paralysis in infants twelve months of age and younger. *Otolaryngology–Head and Neck Surgery*, 114(1), 18-21.
40. de Gaudemar, I., Roudaire, M., François, M., & Narcy, P. (1996). Outcome of laryngeal paralysis in neonates: a long term retrospective study of 113 cases. *International journal of pediatric otorhinolaryngology*, 34(1-2), 101-110.
41. Parnell, F. W., & Brandenburg, J. H. (1970). Vocal cord paralysis. A review of 100 cases. *The Laryngoscope*, 80(7), 1036-1045.

## Figure captions

Figure 1: PRISMA flowchart for study screening

Table 1: Characteristics of Included Studies

Table 2: Risk of Bias Assessment using the Methodological Index for Non-Randomized Studies (MINORS) Instrument

Table 1: Characteristics of Included Studies

Reference	Country	Setting	Study design	Etiology of UVFP	Included patients	Percent left-sided	Workup for idiopathic UVFP
Politano et al., 2021 <sup>27</sup>	USA	Tertiary care center	Retrospective	Idiopathic	115	63.48%	CT skull base to lung apices
Pan & Jiang, 2020 <sup>21</sup>	USA	Tertiary care center	Retrospective	Post intubation	4	75.00%	N/A
Perez et al., 2020 <sup>35</sup>	USA	Tertiary care center	Cross sectional	Idiopathic	4	50.00%	None specified
Jacks et al., 2020 <sup>28</sup>	USA	Tertiary care center	Retrospective	Idiopathic	60	78.33%	CT neck and chest
Knudsen et al., 2019 <sup>29</sup>	Denmark	Tertiary care center	Prospective	Idiopathic	18	66.67%	Neck ultrasound and CT neck/chest
Husain et al., 2018 <sup>36</sup>	USA	Tertiary care center	Retrospective	Idiopathic	55	58.18%	None specified
Pei et al., 2017 <sup>19</sup>	Taiwan	Tertiary care center	Retrospective	Idiopathic	34	82.35%	CXR, neck ultrasound, CT skull base to mediastinum
Cantarella et al., 2017 <sup>7</sup>	Italy	Tertiary care center	Retrospective	Idiopathic	69	71.01%	None specified
Koç et al., 2016 <sup>31</sup>	Turkey	Tertiary care center	Retrospective	Idiopathic	28	60.71%	CT or MR of the head, neck, chest
Lalich et al., 2014 <sup>23</sup>	USA	Tertiary care center	Retrospective	Multiple system atrophy	6	100.00%	N/A
Thomassen et al., 2014 <sup>30</sup>	Denmark	Tertiary care center	Retrospective	Idiopathic	29	58.62%	PET/CT posterior fossa to upper thigh
Jabbour et al., 2014 <sup>37</sup>	USA	Private practice affiliated with academic center	Retrospective	Idiopathic	42	69.05%	None specified*
Spataro et al., 2014 <sup>32</sup>	USA	Tertiary care center	Retrospective	Idiopathic	124	68.55%	Imaging along course of vagus and RLNs
Ko et al., 2009 <sup>20</sup>	Taiwan	Tertiary care center	Retrospective	Idiopathic	35	80.00%	CXR, neck U/S, esophagoscopy, CT if negative
Kuruvillea e al., 2009 <sup>24</sup>	Canada	Tertiary care center	Retrospective	Vincristine toxicity	4	100.00%	N/A*
Sevilla et al., 2008 <sup>25</sup>	Spain	Tertiary care center	Cross sectional	Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease	4	100.00%	N/A
Kikura et al., 2007 <sup>22</sup>	Japan	Tertiary care center	Prospective	Post intubation	24	66.67%	N/A
Milstein et al., 2005 <sup>38</sup>	USA	Tertiary care center	Retrospective	Idiopathic	10	100.00%	None specified
Zbar & Smith, 1996 <sup>39</sup>	USA	Tertiary care center	Retrospective	Idiopathic	4	25.00%	None specified*
de Gaudemar et al., 1996 <sup>40</sup>	France	Tertiary care center	Retrospective	Idiopathic	23	69.57%	None specified*
Parnell & Brandenburg, 1970 <sup>41</sup>	USA	Tertiary care center	Retrospective	Idiopathic	10	70.00%	None specified

UVFP = unilateral vocal fold paralysis; CT = computed tomography; N/A = not applicable; CXR = chest X-ray; MR = magnetic resonance; PET = positron-emission tomography; RLN = recurrent laryngeal nerve; \* = pediatric study



Table 2: Risk of Bias Assessment using the Methodological Index for Non-Randomized Studies (MINORS) Instrument

Reference	Clearly Stated Aim	Inclusion of Consecutive Patients	Prospective Collection of Data	Endpoints Appropriate to Study Aim	Unbiased Assessment of Study Endpoint	Follow-up Period Appropriate to Study Aim	Loss to Follow Up Less Than 5%	Prospective Calculation of Study Size	Adequate Control Group	Contemporary Groups	Baseline Equivalence	Adequate Statistical Analyses	Total Score	Risk of Bias
Politano et al., 2021 <sup>27</sup>	2	2	0	2	1	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	7/16	High
Pan & Jiang, 2020 <sup>21</sup>	2	1	0	2	0	2	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	8/16	High
Perez et al., 2020 <sup>35</sup>	2	1	0	2	0	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5/16	High
Jacks et al., 2020 <sup>28</sup>	2	2	0	2	0	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	6/16	High
Knudsen et al., 2019 <sup>29</sup>	2	2	2	2	0	2	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	11/16	High
Husain et al., 2018 <sup>36</sup>	2	1	0	2	0	2	2	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	9/16	High
Pei et al., 2017 <sup>19</sup>	2	2	0	2	0	2	2	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10/16	High
Cantarella et al., 2017 <sup>7</sup>	2	2	0	2	0	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	6/16	High
Koç et al., 2016 <sup>31</sup>	1	2	0	2	0	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5/16	High
Lalich et al., 2014 <sup>23</sup>	2	2	0	2	0	2	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	9/16	High
Thomassen et al., 2014 <sup>30</sup>	2	2	0	2	1	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	7/16	High
Jabbour et al., 2014 <sup>37</sup>	1	2	0	2	0	2	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	8/16	High
Spataro et al., 2014 <sup>32</sup>	2	2	0	1	0	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5/16	High
Ko et al., 2009 <sup>20</sup>	2	2	0	2	0	2	2	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10/16	High
Kuruville e al., 2009 <sup>24</sup>	2	1	0	1	0	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	4/16	High
Sevilla et al., 2008 <sup>25</sup>	2	1	0	2	0	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5/16	High
Kikura et al., 2007 <sup>22</sup>	2	2	2	2	0	2	2	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	12/16	High
Milstein et al., 2005 <sup>38</sup>	2	2	0	2	1	1	2	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10/16	High
Zbar & Smith, 1996 <sup>39</sup>	1	2	0	2	0	2	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	8/16	High
de Gaudemar et al., 1996 <sup>40</sup>	1	2	0	1	0	2	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	7/16	High
Parnell & Brandenburg, 1970 <sup>41</sup>	2	2	0	2	0	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	6/16	High

Scoring criteria: 0 (not reported), 1 (reported but inadequate), or 2 (reported and adequate)