

The intersection between migration, HIV, and family planning in Uganda: a cross-sectional population-based study

Prossy Namusisi^{1,2} (prossywanyana1@gmail.com), Ping Teresa Yeh^{3,4} (teresa.yeh@jhu.edu), Robert Ssekubugu³ (rssekubugu@rhsp.org), Larry William Chang^{3,4,5} (lchang8@jhmi.edu), Tom Lutalo³ (tlutalo@rhsp.org), Linnea A. Zimmerman⁶ (linnea.zimmerman@jhu.edu)⁷, Mary Kathryn Grabowski^{3,4,7} (mgrabow2@jhu.edu)⁷

1 Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD, USA

2 Kawempe National Referral Hospital, Kampala, Uganda

3 Rakai Health Sciences Program, Kalisizo, Uganda

4 Department of International Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD, USA

5 Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

6 Department of Population, Family and Reproductive Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD, USA

7 Department of Pathology, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

□These authors contributed equally to this work.

Corresponding Author: Prossy Namusisi

prossywanyana1@gmail.com

phone: +256 787943859

Abstract

Background: Low use of modern methods of contraception has been linked to HIV seropositivity and to migration, but few studies have evaluated the intersection of both risk factors with contraceptive use.

Methods: We analyzed cross-sectional data from sexually active female participants aged 15 to 49 years in the Rakai Community Cohort Study (RCCS) between 2011 and 2013. The RCCS is an open population-based census and individual survey in south-central Uganda. Recent in-migrants (arrival within approximately 1.5 years) into RCCS communities were identified at time of household census. The primary outcome was unsatisfied demand for a modern contraceptive method (injectable, oral pill, implant, or condom), which was defined as non-use of a modern contraceptive method among female participants who did not want to become pregnant in the next 12 months. Poisson regression models with robust variance estimators were used to identify associations and interactions between recent migration and HIV serostatus on unsatisfied contraceptive demand.

Results: There were 3,417 sexually active participants with no intention of becoming pregnant in the next year. The mean age was 30 (± 8) years, and 17.3% ($n=591$) were living with HIV. Overall, 43.9% ($n=1,500$) were not using any modern contraceptive method. Recent in-migrants were somewhat more likely to have unsatisfied contraceptive demand as compared to long-term residents (adjusted prevalence risk ratio [adjPRR]=1.14; 95% confidence interval [95% CI]: 1.02–1.27), whereas participants living with HIV were less likely to have unsatisfied contraceptive demand relative to HIV-seronegative participants (adjPRR=0.80; 95% CI=0.70-0.90). When stratifying on migration and HIV serostatus, we observed the highest levels of unsatisfied contraceptive demand among in-migrants living with HIV (48.7%); however, in regression analyses, interaction terms between migration and HIV serostatus were not statistically significant.

Conclusions: Unsatisfied contraceptive demand was high in this rural Ugandan setting. Being an in-migrant, particularly among those living with HIV, was associated with higher unsatisfied contraceptive demand.

Keywords: contraception, family planning, migration, HIV, Uganda

Plain English summary

Through a cross-sectional study, we explored the relationship between HIV status, migration, and contraceptive use among sexually active women of reproductive age in rural south-central Uganda. People who had moved into the study area within the last 1.5 years were considered in-migrants, compared to long-term residents i.e. people who had not moved. We examined unsatisfied demand for a modern contraceptive method, which is to say female participants who did not want to become pregnant in the next 12 months and were not using at least one of the following contraceptive methods: injectable, oral pill, implant, or condom. We included 3,417 sexually active female participants with no intention of becoming pregnant in the next year. The average age of these women was 30 years, less than 20% were living with HIV, and almost half were not using any modern contraceptive methods. Recent in-migrants were somewhat more likely to have unsatisfied contraceptive demand as compared to long-term residents, whereas participants living with HIV were less likely to have unsatisfied contraceptive demand relative to HIV-negative participants. Being an in-migrant, particularly among those living with HIV, was associated with higher unsatisfied contraceptive demand. This study shows the need for integrating family planning and HIV services for mobile populations in East Africa.

Background

Addressing women's demand for contraceptive services can prevent unintended pregnancies (1, 2) and reduce mother-to-child HIV transmission among women living with HIV (3). In recognition of the importance of contraception as a sexual and reproductive health intervention, total demand satisfied by a modern contraceptive method (the percentage of women 15-49 years who are currently using a modern method of contraception among women 15-49 who wish to prevent or delay pregnancy), was included in the Sustainable Development Goals, with the goal of satisfying 75% of demand by 2035 (4). Despite this ambitious goal, much work remains. Family Planning 2030 recently estimated that 19.7% of all women in sub-Saharan Africa as of 2022 have unmet need for contraception (5) – that is, they state a desire to delay or prevent pregnancy but are not using a method of contraception. Recent research highlights persistent equity gaps in meeting demand for family planning services across sub-Saharan Africa (6). While equity gaps have been documented across multiple sociodemographic characteristics, including age, wealth, and education status (6-9), there are relatively fewer studies evaluating how demand satisfied varies among women living with HIV.

Demand satisfied with a modern method is increasingly recognized as a better measure to track progress towards meeting family planning goals than prevalence estimates of unmet need or contraceptive use, as estimates of both unmet need and the contraceptive prevalence rate include women who are not at risk for unintended pregnancy (e.g., women who are pregnant or who are not sexually active) in the denominator. In contrast, demand satisfied is specific to women who wish to delay or prevent childbearing and, thus, provides a better measure of the ability of family planning programs to meet the needs of women who may benefit from the use of contraception based on their sexual activity and fertility intentions. These considerations are particularly pertinent among women living with HIV, as HIV status has been demonstrated to affect fertility intentions (10, 11), and in turn, demand for contraception. HIV-positive serostatus has been linked to greater unmet need for contraception in multiple settings across the African continent (12-15), although many of these prior studies employ facility-based samples that limit

generalizability to the broader population and were conducted before widespread availability of antiretroviral therapy (ART) (15). Since the early 2000s, access to ART has gradually increased in sub-Saharan Africa, resulting in higher levels of HIV viral load suppression among women living with HIV (16, 17). ART scale-up has also occurred during a period of wide-spread commitment to improve access to contraceptive services (18), including among women living with HIV (19). Little research, however, has explored whether improvements in access to services and increases in contraceptive use in the general population have resulted in improved equity in demand satisfied by HIV serostatus.

Additionally, prior research has additionally shown that migration is a risk factor for HIV acquisition and that women living with HIV are more likely to migrate. Migration can also affect contraceptive need by changing (either increasing or decreasing) sexual exposure between partners through absence from home (20, 21), and through exposing migrants to differing fertility norms (22), generally leading towards lower desired fertility and greater need for contraception. The relationship between contraceptive behavior for contraception and migration is complex and depends in part on both a migrant's place of origin and destination. For example, one cross-sectional study conducted in Zambia found significantly greater likelihood of experiencing unmet need for contraception among rural non-migrants compared to urban non-migrants and rural-to-rural migrants, but not other groups (i.e. urban-to-rural migrants, urban-to-urban migrants, rural-to-urban migrants) (23). Another cross-sectional study in Ethiopia found that unmet need for contraception was significantly lower among rural-to-urban migrants compared to rural non-migrants (24). In both studies, however, the reference group included women who desired to have children, were pregnant, and/or were not sexually active. It is thus not possible to differentiate between the effect of inequitable access to and use of services or differences in fertility intentions and sexual behavior. As with HIV, however, few studies have explored whether satisfied contraceptive demand, which addresses this limitation, differs between migrants and non-migrants despite the influence that migration may play on both the demand for contraception and ability of migrants to access health services. In addition to changes in contraceptive access, migration may also lead to

interruption in continuity of care among women living with HIV (25), which may include their family planning services. HIV-associated stigma may also hinder migrating women living with HIV from accessing both HIV and family planning services at their place of destination (26). Despite strong associations between migration and HIV, and the impact of both on use of family planning services, there is very limited research on how these factors interact to influence total demand satisfied by a contraceptive method among African women.

Here, we used data from the Rakai Community Cohort Study (RCCS) in south-central Uganda to assess contraceptive use patterns among sexually active women with no intention of getting pregnant in the next 12 months living with and without HIV. Our objective was to determine whether the relationship between a recent history of migration and demand satisfied varies by HIV serostatus. Given the large population of women living with HIV, increasing migration as countries urbanize, and the ongoing development of dual HIV prevention and family planning technologies, understanding the relationship between unsatisfied demand for contraception, migration, and HIV in sub-Saharan Africa is critical to effective delivery of integrated HIV and family planning services.

Methods

Study setting and population

We used data from 30 rural agrarian and semi-urban trading communities under surveillance during the fifteenth survey round (R15) of the RCCS, collected between July 2011 and May 2013. The RCCS is a longitudinal open population-based household census and behavioral survey of persons aged 15 to 49 years in south-central Uganda conducted at approximately 18-month intervals. During the RCCS household census, data on in- and out-migration, births, and deaths is obtained (27). Almost all the migrations are internal, as opposed to cross-border or international, migration. At the time of RCCS survey, data on participant demographics, sexual behaviors and partnerships, and health service utilization, including use of family planning methods, are obtained. Though the RCCS is currently ongoing, RCCS survey R15 was the most recent survey visit for which comprehensive data on fertility intention and contraceptive method use were obtained.

Exposure variables

Our primary exposure variables were migration and HIV serostatus. Migration status was a binary exposure variable with participants being classified as either a recent in-migrant or a long-term resident. A recent in-migrant was defined as a woman who moved into an RCCS study community after the most recent previous RCCS household census (RCCS R14, approximately 1.5 year interval between RCCS R14 and R15) with intention to stay in the community. In-migrants were identified at time of household census, which took place approximately 4 weeks before the RCCS survey. Conversely, long-term residents were defined as women with no recent history of migration over the preceding survey interval (27). HIV serostatus was measured using a validated three-rapid-test (Determine, Stat Pak, and Unigold) algorithm on serum samples collected at time of RCCS survey and confirmed with enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays at the Rakai Health Sciences Program laboratories in Kalisizo, Uganda as previously described (28).

Primary outcome

Women were surveyed as to whether they were using any modern methods of contraception during the last 12 months. Specifically, they were queried, one by one, on whether they were currently using the following modern contraceptive methods: combined oral contraceptive pill, condom, Depo-Provera, intra-uterine device (IUD)/coil, implant/Norplant, or bilateral tubal ligation. They were also asked if they had any intention of becoming pregnant in the next 12 months. Our primary outcome was unsatisfied demand for a modern contraceptive, which we defined as non-use of modern contraceptive method at the time of the survey among sexually active women in the RCCS with no intention of becoming pregnant in the next 12 months.

Statistical analysis

Women were classified into four groups: (1) HIV-seropositive and recent in-migrant, (2) HIV-seropositive and long-term resident, (3) HIV-seronegative and recent in-migrant, and (4) HIV-seronegative and long-term resident. For each of these four groups, we tabulated their frequency and percent of various sociodemographic characteristics: age (15-24, 25-34, 35-49 years), education level (some primary, post-primary), marital status (never married, currently married, previously married), socio-economic status (lowest, low-middle, high-middle, highest as determined using a locally validated scale)(29), religion (Muslim, Catholic, Protestant/Pentecostal, other), and occupation (agriculture/housework, non-agriculture i.e. bar/restaurant/salon, trade/shopkeeper, government/teacher) and current ART use (yes, no).

We then tabulated the use of different types of contraceptive methods (including non-use of any modern methods) by migration and HIV serostatus. We also conducted sensitivity analysis excluding condoms, since condoms are a commonly used method of HIV prevention and tend to have lower efficacy

for pregnancy prevention in our study setting as compared to other modern contraceptive methods (30, 31). Further, we disaggregated unsatisfied contraceptive demand by age (<30 years versus ≥ 30 years), since it previously has been associated with younger age among individuals living with HIV (15).

Poisson regression with robust variance was used to estimate unadjusted and adjusted prevalence risk ratios (PRR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) of unsatisfied contraceptive demand by migration history and HIV serostatus. Based on our conceptual framework and prior literature review, we included the following as potential confounders in our multivariable model: age, education, marital status, socio-economic status, religion, and occupation. To assess for potential effect modification, we stratified analyses by HIV and migration status included product (i.e., interaction) terms between HIV and migration status in regression models, which were considered statistically significant at an alpha significance level of 0.05. All analyses were conducted using STATA (version BE17; College Station, Texas).

Ethical review

This study was approved by the Western Institutional Review Board and the Research Ethics Committee of the Uganda Virus Research Institute. The study received clearance by the Ugandan National Council for Science and Technology. All study participants provided written informed consent or assent/parental consent for participants aged less than 18 years old.

Results

There were 10,960 individuals residing in RCCS rural and semi-urban trading communities who participated in RCCS R15 including 6,083 women of whom 3,417 were sexually active women and did not want to become pregnant in the next year. Of these 3,417 women, 2,346 (68.7%) were long-term residents who were HIV-seronegative, 476 (13.93%) were long-term residents living with HIV, 480 (14.1%) were recent in-migrants who were HIV-seronegative, and 115 (3.37%) were recent in-migrants living with HIV. Table 1 presents sociodemographic characteristics of the study participants. The majority were 25–34 years of age, currently married, and with some primary education. Women living with HIV tended to be older, less likely to be married, and have lower SES compared to women who were HIV seronegative, while recent in-migrants tended to be younger and employed in occupations outside of agriculture and housework. Of those living with HIV, 54% reported current ART use, although levels of ART use were higher among long-term residents as compared to recent migrants living with HIV.

Table 1. Characteristics of RCCS female study participants by recent migration history and HIV serostatus.

Participant characteristics	Long-term resident HIV-seronegative N (%)	Long-term resident HIV-seropositive N (%)	Recent in-migrant HIV-seronegative N (%)	Recent in-migrant HIV-seropositive N (%)	Total N (%)
Total	2346 (68.7)	476 (13.9)	480 (14.0)	115 (3.4)	3417 (100%)
Age (years)					
15-24	561 (23.9)	56 (11.8)	255 (53.1)	38 (33.0)	910 (26.6)
25-34	1022 (43.6)	203 (42.7)	183 (38.1)	54 (47.0)	1462 (42.8)
35-49	763 (32.5)	217 (45.6)	42 (8.8)	23 (20.0)	1045 (30.6)
Education					
Primary or none	1382 (58.9)	310 (65.1)	228 (47.5)	71 (61.7)	1991 (58.3)
Post primary	964 (41.1)	166 (34.9)	252 (52.5)	44 (38.3)	1426 (41.7)
Marital status					
Never married	339 (14.5)	41 (8.6)	72 (15.0)	11 (9.6)	463 (13.6)
Currently married	1735 (74.0)	282 (59.2)	336 (70.0)	58 (50.4)	2411 (70.6)
Previously married	272 (11.6)	153 (32.1)	72 (15.0)	46 (40.0)	543 (15.9)
SES					
Lowest	326 (13.9)	68 (14.3)	38 (7.9)	12 (10.4)	444 (13.0)
Low middle	696 (29.7)	147 (30.9)	129 (26.9)	44 (38.3)	1016 (29.8)
High middle	620 (26.5)	156 (32.8)	166 (34.6)	37 (32.2)	979 (28.7)
Highest	702 (30.0)	105 (22.1)	147 (30.6)	22 (19.1)	976 (28.6)
Religion					

Other	18(0.8)	0 (0.0)	5 (1.0)	1 (0.9)	24 (0.7)
Catholic	1578 (67.3)	312 (65.6)	271 (56.5)	69 (60.0)	2230 (65.3)
Protestant/Pentecostal	438 (18.7)	104 (21.9)	129 (26.9)	33 (28.7)	704 (20.6)
Muslim	312 (13.3)	60 (16.6)	75 (15.6)	12 (10.4)	459 (13.4)
Occupation					
Agriculture/housework	1444 (61.6)	281 (59.0)	223 (46.5)	49 (42.6)	1997 (58.4)
Non agriculture	902 (38.5)	195 (41.0)	257 (53.5)	66 (57.4)	1420 (41.6)
Self-reported ART use					
Currently on ART	0 (0.0)	194 (60.8)	0 (0)	19 (47.5)	213 (53.7)
Not currently on ART	30 (100)	125 (39.2)	8 (100)	21 (52.5)	184 (46.4)

Table 2 shows the different methods of modern contraceptive use overall and stratified by migration history and HIV serostatus. Depo-Provera was the most commonly used modern contraceptive method (29.4%), followed by condoms (13.7%). Women living with HIV were more likely to report using condoms, irrespective of migration status. Overall, unsatisfied contraceptive demand was high, regardless of migration or HIV status. Recent in-migrants living with HIV had the highest levels of unsatisfied contraceptive demand (48.7%), while long-term residents living with HIV had the lowest levels of unsatisfied contraceptive demand (36.6%); however, lower levels of unsatisfied contraceptive demand observed among long-term residents living with HIV was entirely driven by condom use.

Table 2. Modern contraceptive use, overall and by recent migration history and HIV serostatus.

Modern contraceptive method	Long-term resident HIV-seronegative N (%)	Long-term resident HIV-seropositive N (%)	Recent in-migrant HIV-seronegative N (%)	Recent in-migrant HIV-seropositive N (%)	Total N (%)
Pill	138 (5.9)	14 (2.9)	21 (4.4)	2 (1.7)	175 (5.1)
Condom	297 (12.7)	99 (20.8)	53 (11.0)	19 (16.5)	468 (13.7)
Depo-Provera	690 (29.4)	148 (31.1)	138 (28.8)	30 (26.1)	1006 (29.4)
IUD/coil	48 (2.1)	9 (1.9)	10 (2.1)	2 (1.7)	69 (2.0)
Implant/Norplant	113 (4.8)	28 (5.9)	28 (5.8)	5 (4.4)	174 (5.1)
Bilateral tubal ligation	31 (1.3)	8 (1.6)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.9)	40 (1.1)
Unsatisfied contraceptive demand*	1038 (44.3)	174 (36.6)	232 (48.3)	56 (48.7)	1500 (43.9)
Unsatisfied contraceptive demand, excluding condoms*	1326 (56.5)	269 (56.6)	283 (59.0)	75 (65.2)	1953 (57.2)

*Unsatisfied contraceptive demand was defined non-use of a modern contraceptive method; in sensitivity analysis, condoms were excluded from modern contraceptive methods.

Figure 1 shows unsatisfied contraceptive demand by HIV, migration status, and by age. For women both below and above 30 years, in-migrants had higher levels of unsatisfied contraceptive demand as compared to long-term residents. However, older women tended to have higher unsatisfied contraceptive demand. Overall, in-migrants living with HIV had the highest levels of unsatisfied contraceptive demand.




Figure 1. Prevalence of unsatisfied contraceptive demand by recent migration history and HIV serostatus stratified by age among 3,417 sexually active females with no intention of getting pregnant in the next year.

Table 3 shows unadjusted and adjusted associations between HIV and migration and unsatisfied contraceptive demand. Participants living with HIV were significantly less likely to have unsatisfied demand as compared to HIV-seronegative women (adjPRR=0.80; 95% CI 0.71–0.91); however, the lower levels of unsatisfied demand among those living with HIV were entirely driven by higher levels of condom use in this group (Supplemental Table 1). Conversely, recent in-migrants had a 14% higher

prevalence of unsatisfied contraceptive demand as compared to long-term residents (adjPRR=1.14; 95% CI 1.02–1.27). There was some evidence of a positive interaction between HIV serostatus and recent migration, such that the impact of migration on unsatisfied contraceptive demand was greater among participants living with HIV; however, results were not statistically significant (interaction p-value=0.096). Furthermore, among participants living with HIV, unsatisfied contraceptive demand was 61.0% among participants who self-reported ART use and 39.0% among those who did not (PRR=1.07 95% CI 0.80-1.44). Older female age, lower SES, and agricultural work were all associated with higher levels of unsatisfied contraceptive demand.

Table 3. Factors associated with unsatisfied contraceptive demand among 3,417 sexually active female participants in the RCCS with no intention of getting pregnant in the next year.

	n/N (%)	Unadjusted PR [95% CI]	p-value	Adjusted PR [95% CI]	p-value
Migration status					
Resident	1212/2822 (43.0%)	Ref		Ref	
In-migrant	288/595 (48.4%)	1.13 [1.03, 1.24]	0.012	1.14 [1.02, 1.27]	0.019
HIV serostatus					
HIV-seronegative	1270/2826 (44.9%)	Ref		Ref	
HIV-seropositive	230/591 (38.9%)	0.87 [0.78, 0.97]	0.01	0.80 [0.70, 0.90]	<0.001
Interaction term between migration and HIV-positive serostatus					
		1.11 [0.92, 1.35]	0.271	1.23 [0.96, 1.57]	0.096
Age					
15-24	416/910 (45.7%)	Ref		Ref	
25-34	578/1462 (39.5%)	0.86 [0.79, 0.95]	0.003	0.91 [0.82, 1.00]	0.069
35-49	506/1045 (48.4%)	1.06 [0.96, 1.64]	0.233	1.12 [1.00, 1.25]	0.036
Education					
Some primary	894/1991 (44.9%)	Ref		Ref	
Post primary	606/1426 (42.5%)	0.95 [0.88, 1.02]	0.164	0.99 [0.91, 1.07]	0.800
Marital status					
Never married	208/463 (44.9%)	Ref		Ref	
Currently married	1040/2411 (43.1%)	0.96 [0.86, 1.07]	0.472	0.93 [0.82, 1.05]	0.236
Previously married	252/543 (46.4%)	1.03 [0.90, 1.18]	0.638	0.99 [0.85, 1.16]	0.945
SES					
Lowest	224/444 (50.5%)	Ref		Ref	
Low middle	458/1016 (45.1%)	0.89 [0.80, 1.00]	0.054	0.91 [0.81, 1.02]	0.096
High middle	438/979 (44.7%)	0.89 [0.79, 1.00]	0.042	0.92 [0.81, 1.03]	0.144
Highest	379/976 (38.8%)	0.77 [0.68, 0.87]	<0.001	0.80 [0.71, 0.91]	0.001
Religion					
Other	10/24 (41.7%)	Ref		Ref	
Catholic	954/2230 (42.8%)	1.03 [0.64, 1.65]	0.913	1.11 [0.68, 1.86]	0.705
Protestant/Pentecost	325/704 (46.2%)	1.11 [0.69, 1.79]	0.676	1.20 [0.71, 2.03]	0.495
Muslim	211/459 (46.0%)	1.10 [0.68, 1.79]	0.690	1.19 [0.70, 2.02]	0.510
Occupation					
Agriculture/housework	928/1997 (46.5%)	Ref		Ref	
Non agriculture	572/1420 (40.3%)	0.87 [0.80, 0.94]	<0.001	0.87 [0.80, 0.95]	0.002

PRR=Prevalence risk ratio; adjRR=adjusted prevalence risk ratio; 95% CI=95% confidence interval; Ref=reference

Discussion

In this cross-sectional study assessing how the prevalence of non-use of contraception differed among recent in-migrants and long-term residents by HIV status in rural south-central Uganda, we observed that unsatisfied contraceptive demand among sexually active female participants with no intention of getting

pregnant in the next year was 43.9% (including condoms, or 57.2% excluding condoms). We found that unsatisfied demand was significantly higher among recent in-migrants compared to long-term residents, and that women living with HIV had a 20% lower risk of unsatisfied contraceptive demand as compared to HIV-seronegative women, largely due to increased use of condoms among those with HIV. Our interaction analyses further suggested possible effect modification by migration on HIV, such that the impact of migration on unsatisfied demand was greater among those with HIV.

We have previously reported that migrating girls and women in Uganda tend to have higher levels of HIV acquisition risk and lower levels of ART use if living with HIV (32). We similarly found lower levels of ART use among female participants living with HIV in this study. In addition, we report that recent in-migrants also tend to have significantly higher levels of unsatisfied contraceptive demand. Taken together, these data suggest that migrating persons may generally have reduced access to health services in Uganda and that family planning and HIV programs in Uganda should consider the unique needs of mobile persons. Emerging long-acting dual prevention HIV and family technologies may be one way to help close gaps in unsatisfied contraceptive demand and HIV risk during periods of migration (33). However, unlike migration and ART use, prior literature on the association between migration and unsatisfied contraceptive demand from Africa, although very limited, is mixed, suggesting the impact of migration likely depends heavily on context (23, 24). Of note, most prior studies have examined the impact of migration by where a person is migrating to or from (i.e., rural to rural vs. rural to urban), which we did not do here. In addition, previous studies have included women with no need for contraception in their denominators, so cannot determine whether the observed unmet need is due to differences in fertility intentions or other factors. Thus, additional research is needed to disentangle the exact mechanisms by which migration may affect access to family planning services across a range of different settings and migration contexts, including reasons for migration (e.g., marriage).

Condom use was associated with lower levels of unsatisfied contraceptive demand among women living with HIV in this study. In addition to preventing pregnancy, condoms also prevent HIV

transmission. However, condoms have lower levels of typical use effectiveness for pregnancy prevention as compared to other modern contraceptive methods (34), which increases the risk of unintended pregnancy when used as the only method of contraception. When excluding condoms from modern contraceptive methods, we observed no association between HIV serostatus and unsatisfied contraceptive demand. Higher levels of condom use among participants living with HIV may be because these women are more motivated to use condoms for both family planning and prevention of HIV transmission to their partners and because of better access to family planning through HIV services. A recent study of modern contraceptive use in Ghana found that women who recently tested for HIV were more likely to report using modern contraceptives (35). While limited access to condoms through HIV services during migration may partly explain the high levels of unsatisfied contraceptive demand among recently migrating women living with HIV, we also observed lower levels of all other modern contraceptive methods among this subgroup relative to long-term residents also living with HIV.

We also found that having higher SES was associated with lower prevalence of unsatisfied contraceptive demand. Disparities in contraceptive use and demand satisfied have been identified across a range of sociodemographic characteristics, including wealth and education (6, 7, 36). Disparities by wealth are particularly problematic, as wealth is generally highly correlated with other socioeconomic characteristics, such as residence and education (6), and disparities by wealth thus frequently indicate the presence of other inequities. This is reflected by our observation that women working in agriculture, who tend to be of lower SES and live in rural settings, also had significantly higher levels of unsatisfied contraceptive demand. Disparities by socioeconomic status likely exist for a number of reasons, from lower accessibility of services due to limited economic resources (37), lower quality counseling (38), and restricted autonomy (39) to make independent decisions related to contraceptive use relative to wealthier women (40). Lastly, consistent with prior studies, we observed higher levels of unsatisfied contraceptive demand among older women, which likely reflects lower perceived risk of pregnancy (41, 42), though research on the unique contraceptive needs of women over age 35 in Africa is limited.

This study has some important limitations. First, this was cross-sectional study. Consequently, we were unable to assess temporal or causal relationships between migration and HIV on unsatisfied contraceptive demand. Second, this study was done in a small number of communities in southcentral Uganda, so results may not be generalizable to other populations. Relatedly, migration events captured in this study were to a predominantly rural area (RCCS communities), and so results may not be applicable to populations migrating to urban environments or migration across country borders. Fourth, the data for this study were collected more than a decade ago, and may not reflect modern relationships between HIV, migration, and modern contraceptive use. Finally, our sample size of participants living with HIV and recently migrating was limited and may have affected our ability to detect significant interactions between HIV and migration. Despite these limitations, this study has several notable strengths, including its population-based study design and restriction to women who were not intending to get pregnant in the next year. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study in sub-Saharan Africa to evaluate the interaction between HIV and migration on unsatisfied contraceptive demand. Integrating family planning services and HIV services – and exploring implementation strategies for such integration – may be of great benefit to women living with HIV in this context, especially for mobile populations.

Conclusion

Unsatisfied modern contraceptive demand is high among girls and women in rural southcentral Uganda, particularly among persons with a recent history of migration. Impact of migration on use of modern contraceptives may be greater for those living with HIV, particularly if access to HIV services is interrupted during the migration process. Future research should consider exploring differentiated integrated family planning and HIV services for mobile populations in sub-Saharan Africa, including dual HIV prevention and family planning methods.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Rakai Health Sciences Program as well as the participants of the Rakai Community Cohort Study who made this study possible. We appreciate the help of Dr. Tom Lutalo We also thank Joseph Ssekasanvu and Xinyi Feng who supported the biostatistical analyses presented in this manuscript. This study was supported by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (R01AI087409, U01AI075115, U01AI100031), the National Institute of Child Health and Development (R01HD050180, R01HD070769), the National Institute of Mental Health (F31MH095649, R01MH099733), and the National Institutes of Health Fogarty International Center (D43TW010557). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the paper.

Abbreviations

HIV: Human immunodeficiency virus

ART: Antiretroviral therapy

RCCS: Rakai Community Cohort Study

References

1. Moreau C, Shankar M, Helleringer S, Becker S. Measuring unmet need for contraception as a point prevalence. *BMJ Global Health*. 2019;4(4):e001581.
2. Elizabeth A. Sully AB, Jacqueline E. Darroch, Taylor Riley, Lori S. Ashford, Naomi Lince-Deroche, Lauren Firestein and Rachel Murro. Adding It Up: Investing in Sexual and Reproductive Health 2019. Guttmacher institute; July 2020.
3. Baek C, & Rutenberg, N. Addressing the Family Planning Needs of HIV-positive PMTCT Clients: Baseline Findings from an Operations Research Study Washington DC: Horizons Research Update. 2005.
4. Fabic MS, Choi Y, Bongaarts J, Darroch JE, Ross JA, Stover J, et al. Meeting demand for family planning within a generation: the post-2015 agenda. *The Lancet*. 2015;385(9981):1928-31.
5. Family planning 2030. Data Dashboard unmet need (all women) 2021 [cited 2022 8/27/2022]. Available from: <https://fp2030.org/data-dashboard>.
6. Choi Y, Fabic MS. Monitoring Progress in Equality for the Sustainable Development Goals: A Case Study of Meeting Demand for Family Planning. *Global Health: Science and Practice*. 2018;6(2):390.
7. Ewerling F, Victora CG, Raj A, Coll CVN, Hellwig F, Barros AJD. Demand for family planning satisfied with modern methods among sexually active women in low- and middle-income countries: who is lagging behind? *Reproductive Health*. 2018;15(1):42.
8. Hellwig F, Coll CV, Ewerling F, Barros AJ. Time trends in demand for family planning satisfied: analysis of 73 countries using national health surveys over a 24-year period. *Journal of global health*. 2019;9(2):020423.
9. Chandrashekhar TS, Kiran A, Ishwar T. Inequalities in demand satisfied with modern methods of family planning among women aged 15–49 years: a secondary data analysis of Demographic and Health Surveys of six South Asian countries. *BMJ Open*. 2022;12(6):e049630.
10. Hoffman IF, Martinson FEA, Powers KA, Chilongozi DA, Msiska ED, Kachipapa EI, et al. The Year-Long Effect of HIV-Positive Test Results on Pregnancy Intentions, Contraceptive Use, and Pregnancy Incidence Among Malawian Women. *JAIDS Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*. 2008;47(4).
11. Taalo F, Berry M, Tsui A, Makanani B, Kafulafula G, Li Q, et al. Fertility Intentions of HIV-1 Infected and Uninfected Women in Malawi: A Longitudinal Study. *AIDS and Behavior*. 2009;13(1):20-7.
12. Wanyenze RK, Matovu JKB, Kanya MR, Tumwesigye NM, Nannyonga M, Wagner GJ. Fertility desires and unmet need for family planning among HIV infected individuals in two HIV clinics with differing models of family planning service delivery. *BMC Women's Health*. 2015;15(1):5.
13. Jhangri GS, Heys J, Alibhai A, Rubaale T, Kipp W. Unmet need for effective family planning in HIV-infected individuals: results from a survey in rural Uganda. *J Fam Plann Reprod Health Care*. 2012;38(1):23-9.
14. Kassie MD, Habitu YA, Berassa SH. Unmet need for family planning and associated factors among women living with HIV in Gondar city, Northwest Ethiopia: cross-sectional study. *Pan Afr Med J*. 2021;38:22.
15. Mekie M, Addisu D, Taklual W, Melkie A. The Level of Unmet Need for Family Planning and Its Predictors among HIV-Positive Women in Ethiopia: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *BioMed Research International*. 2021;2021:3139272.
16. Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS. UNAIDS DATA 2021 Geneva, Switzerland: UNAIDS; 2021 [Available from: https://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media_asset/JC3032_AIDS_Data_book_2021_En.pdf].

17. Grabowski MK, Serwadda DM, Gray RH, Nakigozi G, Kigozi G, Kagaayi J, et al. HIV Prevention Efforts and Incidence of HIV in Uganda. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;377(22):2154-66.
18. Scoggins S, Bremner J. FP2020: The arc of progress 2019-2020. Washington, DC: Family Planning 2020; 2021.
19. Laryea DO, Amoako YA, Spangenberg K, Frimpong E, Kyei-Ansong J. Contraceptive use and unmet need for family planning among HIV positive women on antiretroviral therapy in Kumasi, Ghana. *BMC Womens Health*. 2014;14:126.
20. McGrath N, Eaton JW, Newell M-L, Hosegood V. Migration, sexual behaviour, and HIV risk: a general population cohort in rural South Africa. *The Lancet HIV*. 2015;2(6):e252-e9.
21. Camlin CS, Hosegood V, Newell M-L, McGrath N, Bärnighausen T, Snow RC. Gender, Migration and HIV in Rural KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. *PLOS ONE*. 2010;5(7):e11539.
22. Majelantle R, Navaneetham K. Migration and Fertility: A Review of Theories and Evidences. *Journal of Global Economics*. 2013;1:1.
23. Almonte MT, Lynch CA. Impact of internal female migration on unmet need for modern contraception in Zambia. *Reproductive Health*. 2019;16(1):169.
24. Groene EA, Kristiansen D. Unmet Need for Family Planning after Internal Migration: Analysis of Ethiopia 2017-2018 PMA Survey Data. *Popul Space Place*. 2021;27(1).
25. Camlin CS, Charlebois ED. Mobility and its Effects on HIV Acquisition and Treatment Engagement: Recent Theoretical and Empirical Advances. *Curr HIV/AIDS Rep*. 2019;16(4):314-23.
26. Ross J, Cunningham CO, Hanna DB. HIV outcomes among migrants from low-income and middle-income countries living in high-income countries: a review of recent evidence. *Curr Opin Infect Dis*. 2018;31(1):25-32.
27. Olawore O, Tobian AAR, Kagaayi J, Bazaale JM, Nantume B, Kigozi G, et al. Migration and risk of HIV acquisition in Rakai, Uganda: a population-based cohort study. *Lancet HIV*. 2018;5(4):e181-e9.
28. Galiwango R, Musoke R, Lubyayi L, Ssekubugu R, Kalibbala S, Ssekweyama V, et al. Evaluation of current rapid HIV test algorithms in Rakai, Uganda. *Journal of virological methods*. 2013;192.
29. Santelli JS, Chen I, Makumbi F, Wei Y, Nalugoda F, Lutalo T, et al. Household wealth and HIV incidence over time, rural Uganda, 1994-2018. *Aids*. 2021;35(11):1835-43.
30. Ahmed S, Lutalo T, Wawer M, Serwadda D, Sewankambo NK, Nalugoda F, et al. HIV incidence and sexually transmitted disease prevalence associated with condom use: a population study in Rakai, Uganda. *AIDS*. 2001;15(16).
31. Brahmabhatt H, Santelli J, Kaagayi J, Lutalo T, Serwadda D, Makumbi F. Pregnancy Incidence and Fertility Desires Among Couples by HIV Status in Rakai, Uganda. *JAIDS Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*. 2019;80(5).
32. Grabowski MK, Lessler J, Bazaale J, Nabukalu D, Nankinga J, Nantume B, et al. Migration, hotspots, and dispersal of HIV infection in Rakai, Uganda. *Nature Communications*. 2020;11(1):976.
33. Friedland BA, Mathur S, Haddad LB. The Promise of the Dual Prevention Pill: A Framework for Development and Introduction. *Front Reprod Health*. 2021;3.
34. World Health Organization. Family planning/contraception methods Geneva, Switzerland: WHO; 2020 [Available from: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/family-planning-contraception>].
35. Nketiah-Amponsah E, Ampaw S, Twumasi Baffour P. Socioeconomic determinants of use and choice of modern contraceptive methods in Ghana. *Tropical Medicine and Health*. 2022;50(1):33.
36. Blumenberg C, Hellwig F, Ewerling F, Barros AJD. Socio-demographic and economic inequalities in modern contraception in 11 low- and middle-income countries: an analysis of the PMA2020 surveys. *Reproductive Health*. 2020;17(1):82.
37. Dias JG, de Oliveira IT. Multilevel Effects of Wealth on Women's Contraceptive Use in Mozambique. *PLOS ONE*. 2015;10(3):e0121758.

38. Jain AK. Examining Progress and Equity in Information Received by Women Using a Modern Method in 25 Developing Countries. *International perspectives on sexual and reproductive health*. 2016;42(3):131-40.
39. OlaOlorun FM, Anglewicz P, Moreau C. From non-use to covert and overt use of contraception: Identifying community and individual factors informing Nigerian women's degree of contraceptive empowerment. *PLOS ONE*. 2020;15(11):e0242345.
40. Adebawale AS, Gbadebo B, Afolabi FR. Wealth index, empowerment and modern contraceptive use among married women in Nigeria: are they interrelated? *Journal of Public Health*. 2016;24(5):415-26.
41. Sedgh G, Ashford LS, Hussain R. *Unmet Need for Contraception in Developing Countries: Examining Women's Reasons for Not Using a Method*. New York, NY: Guttmacher Institute; 2016.
42. Godfrey EM, Chin NP, Fielding SL, Fiscella K, Dozier A. Contraceptive methods and use by women aged 35 and over: A qualitative study of perspectives. *BMC Women's Health*. 2011;11(1):5.

Supplemental Table 1. Factors associated with unsatisfied contraceptive demand among 3,417 sexually active female participants in the RCCS with no intention of getting pregnant in the next year – sensitivity analysis excluding condoms.

	n/N (%)	Unadjusted PR [95% CI]	p-value	Adjusted PR [95% CI]	p-value
Migration status					
Resident	1595/2822 (56.52%)	Ref		Ref	
In-migrant	358/595 (60.17%)	1.06 [0.99, 1.15]	0.093	1.06 [0.98, 1.16]	0.163
HIV serostatus					
HIV-seronegative	1609/2826 (56.94%)	Ref		Ref	
HIV-seropositive	344/591 (58.21%)	1.02 [0.95, 1.10]	0.566	0.97 [0.89, 1.06]	0.48
Interaction term between migration and HIV-positive serostatus	75/115 (65.22%)	1.15 [1.00, 1.31]	0.050	1.12 [0.94, 1.33]	0.21
Age					
15-24	588/910 (64.62%)	Ref		Ref	
25-34	700/1462 (47.88%)	0.74 [0.69, 0.80]	<0.001	0.83 [0.77, 0.90]	<0.001
35-49	665/1045 (63.64%)	0.98 [0.92, 1.05]	0.652	1.10 [1.01, 1.20]	0.018
Education					
Some primary	1141/1991 (57.31%)	Ref		Ref	
Post primary	812/1426 (56.94%)	0.99 [0.94, 1.05]	0.832	1.00 [0.94, 1.06]	0.931
Marital status					
Never married	360/463 (77.75%)	Ref		Ref	
Currently married	1245/2411 (51.64%)	0.66 [0.62, 0.71]	<0.001	0.67 [0.62, 0.72]	<0.001
Previously married	348/543 (64.09%)	0.82 [0.76, 0.89]	<0.001	0.79 [0.72, 0.87]	<0.001
SES					
Lowest	283/444 (63.74%)	Ref		Ref	
Low middle	590/1016 (58.07%)	0.91 [0.83, 0.99]	0.037	0.92 [0.84, 1.00]	0.060
High middle	577/979 (58.94%)	0.92 [0.85, 1.00]	0.079	0.94 [0.86, 1.02]	0.155
Highest	501/976 (51.33%)	0.81 [0.73, 0.88]	<0.001	0.86 [0.78, 0.95]	0.002
Religion					
Other	13/24 (54.17%)	Ref		Ref	
Catholic	1267/2230 (56.82%)	1.05 [0.72, 1.51]	0.800	1.12 [0.76, 1.67]	0.565
Protestant/Pentecost	417/704 (59.23%)	1.09 [0.75, 1.59]	0.639	1.17 [0.78, 1.74]	0.443
Muslim	256/459 (55.77%)	1.03 [0.71, 1.50]	0.879	1.11 [0.74, 1.66]	0.602
Occupation					
Agriculture/housework	1137/1997 (56.94%)	Ref		Ref	
Non agriculture	816/1420 (57.46%)	1.00 [0.95, 1.07]	0.758	0.94 [0.89, 1.00]	0.071

PRR=Prevalence risk ratio; adjRR=adjusted prevalence risk ratio; 95% CI=95% confidence interval; Ref=reference