# 1 Title: SARS-CoV-2 Viral Clearance and Evolution Varies by Extent of

## 2 Immunodeficiency

- 3 Authors: Yijia Li<sup>\*1 2 3</sup>, Manish C. Choudhary<sup>\*1</sup>, James Regan<sup>\*1</sup>, Julie Boucau<sup>4</sup>, Anusha
- 4 Nathan<sup>4 5</sup>, Tessa Speidel<sup>6</sup>, May Yee Liew<sup>2 7</sup>, Gregory E. Edelstein<sup>1</sup>, Yumeko Kawano<sup>1</sup>,
- 5 Rockib Uddin<sup>2</sup><sup>7</sup>, Rinki Deo<sup>1</sup>, Caitlin Marino<sup>4</sup>, Matthew A. Getz<sup>4</sup>, Zahra Reynold<sup>2</sup>, Mamadou
- 6 Barry<sup>2</sup>, Rebecca F. Gilbert<sup>2</sup>, Dessie Tien<sup>2</sup>, Shruti Sagar<sup>2</sup>, Tammy D. Vyas<sup>2</sup>, James P. Flynn<sup>1</sup>,
- 7 Sarah P. Hammond<sup>2</sup>, Lewis A. Novack<sup>1</sup>, Bina Choi<sup>1</sup>, Manuela Cernadas<sup>1</sup>, Zachary S. Wallace<sup>2</sup>,
- 8 Jeffrey A. Sparks<sup>1</sup>, Jatin M. Vyas<sup>2 4</sup>, Michael S. Seaman<sup>6</sup>, Gaurav D. Gaiha<sup>2 4</sup>, Mark J.
- 9 Siedner<sup>#2</sup>, Amy K. Barczak<sup>#2 4</sup>, Jacob E. Lemieux<sup>#2 7</sup>, Jonathan Z. Li<sup>#1</sup>
- 10

### 11 Affiliations:

- 12 1. Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA
- 13 2. Department of Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA
- 14 3. University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, Pittsburgh, PA, USA
- 15 4. Ragon Institute of MGH, MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA, USA
- 16 5. Program in Health Sciences and Technology, Harvard Medical School and Massachusetts Institute of
- 17 Technology, Boston, MA 02115, USA
- 18 6. Center for Virology and Vaccine Research, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical
- 19 School, Boston, MA, USA
- 20 7. Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA, USA
- 21
- 22 \*, # Equal contribution
- 23
- 24

## 25 Abstract

26 Despite vaccination and antiviral therapies, immunocompromised individuals are at risk for 27 prolonged SARS-CoV-2 infection, but the immune defects that predispose to persistent COVID-28 19 remain incompletely understood. In this study, we performed detailed viro-immunologic 29 analyses of a prospective cohort of participants with COVID-19. The median time to nasal viral 30 RNA and culture clearance in the severe hematologic malignancy/transplant group (S-HT) were 31 72 and 40 days, respectively, which were significantly longer than clearance rates in the severe 32 autoimmune/B-cell deficient (S-A), non-severe, and non-immunocompromised groups 33 (P<0.001). Participants who were severely immunocompromised had greater SARS-CoV-2 34 evolution and a higher risk of developing antiviral treatment resistance. Both S-HT and S-A 35 participants had diminished SARS-CoV-2-specific humoral, while only the S-HT group had 36 reduced T cell-mediated responses. This highlights the varied risk of persistent COVID-19 37 across immunosuppressive conditions and suggests that suppression of both B and T cell 38 responses results in the highest contributing risk of persistent infection.

#### 39 Main Text

## 40 Introduction

41 Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) vaccinations have drastically transformed the landscape 42 of the COVID-19 pandemic by offering significant protection against infection acquisition, and 43 severe diseases(1, 2), and ultimately have averted tens of millions of deaths(3). Unfortunately, 44 not all individuals respond to vaccination equally well, and immunocompromised individuals can 45 have poor vaccine responses (4, 5) and worse COVID-19-related outcomes (6, 7). Each new 46 variant of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) brings risks of 47 resistance to current treatments, particularly targeted antibody therapies (8, 9), resistance to 48 vaccine-induced and naturally acquired immunity (9, 10), and increased transmissibility (10). 49 Immunocompromised individuals have been observed to harbor detectable SARS-CoV-2 virus 50 for longer than non-immunocompromised individuals(11-13). Such individuals represent a 51 potential origin of novel SARS-CoV-2 variants as persistent infection has been associated with 52 accelerated viral evolution(11, 13). However, the immunocompromised state is composed of a 53 range of conditions and immune defects. These defects that predispose to persistent COVID-54 19 remain under-characterized. While there have been a number of case reports of persistent 55 COVID-19 in immunosuppressed individuals (11-16) showing excessively prolonged viral 56 shedding, persistent disease, and intra-host virological genetic diversity, there remains a need 57 for larger scale studies with a comprehensive virologic and immunologic characterization to better elucidate the immunologic risk factors for and mechanisms of persistent infection. To this 58 59 end, we hereby present a detailed longitudinal virological and immunological analysis of a 60 cohort of immunocompromised and non-immunocompromised participants with SARS-CoV-2 61 infection with the goal of characterizing the virologic spectrum of persistent infection and 62 exploring the immunologic determinants that predispose to its occurrence.

#### 63 **Results**

#### 64 **Participant Characteristics**

65 Fifty-six immunocompromised participants and 184 non-immunocompromised participants

- 66 enrolled in the POSITIVES longitudinal cohort study were included in this analysis (17-19).
- 67 Demographic information and key viral characteristics are shown in Table 1.
- 68 Immunocompromised participants were significantly older than non-immunocompromised
- 69 controls (median 55 vs 46 years, P=0.001), and more likely to receive monoclonal antibody
- 70 (mAb) and/or antiviral treatment against SARS-CoV-2. The two groups had comparable sex,
- race, and ethnicity profiles and a similar median time from symptom onset/first positive COVID-
- 19 test to enrollment (5 vs 4 days). We further subdivided immunocompromised participants into
- the severe hematologic-oncology/transplant (S-HT, n=12), severe autoimmune/B-cell deficient

74 (S-A, n=13) and non-severe (NS, n=31) groups (refer to Supplementary Tables 1 and 2 for

75 detailed categorization). Three participants died due to severe COVID-19 or COVID-19 related

- complications, all of whom were in the immunocompromised sub-group (S-HT and S-A).
- 77

#### 78 Delayed Viral Clearance in Hematologic Oncology and Transplant Participants

79 We first aimed to characterize viral dynamics in the upper respiratory tract in participants with

80 different categories of immunocompromising conditions. Immunocompromised and non-

immunocompromised participants had similar peak vRNA levels (5.1, 5.1, 4.9, and 5.7 log<sub>10</sub>

82 SARS-CoV-2 copies/ml in S-HT, S-A, NS and non-immunocompromised groups, P=0.5).

83 However, the rates of nasal vRNA decay were significantly different between the

84 immunocompromising categories, with the S-HT group demonstrating significantly slower viral

clearance compared to other groups (Fig. 1a and 1b). Median time to nasal vRNA clearance in

the S-HT group was 72 days (95% confidence interval [CI] 5, not available [NA]) compared to 7

87 (6, NA) days for the S-A, 11 (8, 16) days for the NS and 11 (10, 12) days for the non-

immunocompromised group (Fig. 1b, Log-rank P=0.002). Similarly, the S-HT group experienced

89 a significant delay in the clearance of culturable virus (Fig. 1c and 1d). Median time to viral culture clearance in the S-HT group was 40 days (95%CI 5, NA) compared to 6.5 (5, NA) days 90 91 for the S-A group, 6 days (5, 7) for the NS group and 7 days (6, 7) for the non-92 immunocompromised group (Fig. 1d, log-rank P<0.001, Fig. 1d). After 30 days from symptom onset or first positive test, 50%, 15%, and 6.5% of participants from S-HT, S-A and NS groups 93 94 had detectable vRNA, compared to 0% in non-immunocompromised group (P<0.0001, 95 Supplementary Fig. 1a). In addition, 50% of S-HT and 8.3% of S-A participants still had 96 culturable virus, compared to 0% in the NS and non-immunocompromised groups after 30 days 97 (P<0.0001, Supplementary Fig. 1b). Compared to the non-immunocompromised group, the S-98 HT group was significantly associated with delayed vRNA clearance (adjusted hazard ratio 99 [aHR] for viral clearance, 0.32, 95%CI 0.12-0.83, P=0.02) and culturable virus clearance (aHR 100 0. 27 for viral clearance, 95% CI 0.12-0.63, P=0.002), after adjusting for demographics, number 101 of vaccinations and antiviral use (Table 2).

102

#### 103 Increased Viral Evolution and Genetic Diversity in Immunocompromised Participants

104 We used gene-specific next-generation sequencing approach to guantify the number of unique 105 intra-host single-nucleotide variants (iSNVs) in the S gene present at >3% frequency within 106 each sample. This analysis was limited to participants with a viral genome available both at 107 baseline and at least one follow-up timepoint. Severely immunocompromised (S-HT and S-A) 108 participants harbored a greater number of iSNVs over time compared to NS and non-109 immunocompromised group participants, although these comparisons did not reach statistic 110 significance (Fig. 2a). To evaluate viral diversity, we calculated the average pairwise distance 111 both at the nucleotide and at the amino-acid level. Nucleotide average pairwise distance was 112 significantly higher in the severe immunocompromised (S-HT and S-A) group compared to 113 either non-severe (4.0E-4 vs 8.2E-5, P<0.001 Dunn's test with Benjamini-Hochberg adjustment) 114 or non-immunocompromised groups (4.0E-4 vs 2.3E-5, P<0.001, Fig. 2b). Similar results were

115 obtained when the average pairwise distances were calculated for amino acids (Supplementary 116 Fig. 2a). Among participants with longitudinal sequences, 39% of the participants in 117 immunocompromised group versus 12% in the non-immunocompromised group had evidence 118 of viral nucleotide changes (Fisher's exact P<0.001, Fig. 2c). These nucleotide changes were 119 distributed across the entire length of the S gene (Fig. 2d). 120 121 Increased Risk of Treatment-Emergent Resistance to Anti-SARS-CoV-2 Monoclonal 122 Antibody Therapy 123 Deep sequencing analysis of Spike gene was carried out to evaluate the dynamics of mutation 124 emergence in the presence of mAb treatment as earlier reports have shown evidence of mAb 125 resistance emergence both in immunocompromised and non-immunocompromised 126 participants(13, 20-29). In total, 34 participants across different study groups received mAb 127 therapy, 10 in S-HT, 9 in S-A, 5 in NS, and 10 in non-immunocompromised groups (Details in 128 Supplementary Table 3). Of these, we were able to evaluate the risk of resistance emergence in 129 a subset of participants for whom sequences were available at both baseline and at least one 130 follow-up time point. Five out of nine (56%) severely immunocompromised participants (S-HT 131 and S-A) developed mAb-specific resistance mutations (Supplementary Fig. 2b). This was a 132 significantly higher rate than that found in the non-severe or non-immunocompromised groups 133 (0/11 [0%] combined, Fisher's exact P=0.008) (Fig 2e). 134

#### 135 Suboptimal Humoral Response in Severely Immunocompromised Participants

- 136 We next characterized the antibody response in immunocompromised and non-
- immunocompromised participants. In participants whose serum sample was available (n=94),

including those who had previously received monoclonal antibodies, we found no significant

- 139 difference in nAb titers between the different immunocompromised and non-
- immunocompromised groups at early and later sampling time points, although this analysis may

have been limited due to individuals who received anti-SARS-CoV-2 monoclonal antibody 141 142 infusion before sample collection (either therapeutic or pre-exposure prophylaxis) (Fig. 3a and 143 3b). The non-immunocompromised group had a significant increase in anti-ancestral and anti-144 variant spike nAb levels during follow-up, whereas we did not observe a significant increase in 145 antibody levels in the immunocompromised group (Fig. 3a and 3b). However, after excluding 146 individuals with exposure to mAb therapies, the severe immunocompromised group showed no 147 significant changes in either anti-ancestral or anti-variant Spike nAb levels, while the non-severe 148 immunocompromised group demonstrated a moderate increase and the non-149 immunocompromised group demonstrated the greatest increase in nAb levels, plateauing at day 150 25-30 (Fig. 3c and d). 151 152 In a generalized estimating equation (GEE) model adjusted for factors associated with nAb 153 levels, severely immunocompromised participants were only able to mount 0.18-fold 154 (approximately 5-fold lower) of the anti-ancestral Spike antibody (95% CI 0.05-0.60) and 0.08-155 fold (approximately 12-fold lower) of the anti-variant Spike antibody (95% CI 0.03-0.22) 156 compared to non-immunocompromised participants (Supplementary Fig. 3a, b). Non-severe 157 immunocompromised status was not associated with significant differences in nAb changes 158 from acute to post-infection, compared to non-immunocompromised group. In the whole cohort, 159 each dose of vaccinations prior to SARS-CoV-2 infection was associated with 1.70-fold (95% CI 160 1.25 to 2.30) and 1.35-fold (95% CI 1.01 to 1.79) increase in anti-ancestral and anti-variant 161 Spike antibody levels, respectively (Supplementary Fig. 3ab). 162 163 We also evaluated binding antibody against nucleocapsid protein because this assay is not 164 affected by monoclonal antibody use. Similar to nAb, individuals in the S-HT and S-A sub-165 groups had significantly blunted increases in nucleocapsid binding Ab development from acute

to post-infection, and significantly lower binding Ab levels compared to NS and non-

immunocompromised groups (Fig. 3e). Longitudinally, binding Ab levels in NS and non-

immunocompromised groups plateaued around day 20-25 at a level of 1-1.5 log<sub>10</sub> IU/ml, while

169 S-HT/S-A groups exhibited delayed development to a level below 1 log<sub>10</sub> IU/ml after day 50 (Fig.

170 3f).

171

#### 172 T Cell Responses

173 In a recent study, Apostolidis et al. demonstrated elevated spike-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell responses 174 in COVID-19 mRNA vaccinated participants with multiple sclerosis receiving anti-CD20 175 treatment compared to healthy controls(30). However, it remains largely unknown if different 176 types and levels of immunosuppression are associated with a similar T cell immunophenotype. 177 To this end, we profiled the T cell effector function using enzyme-linked immunosorbent spot 178 (ELISpot) and antigen-specific proliferation assay for a selected group of participants based on 179 sample availability. The non-immunocompromised group had lower interferon gamma (IFN-y) 180 producing units per million cells upon stimulation at both blood draws (acute infection 0-14 days, 181 and post-acute 15-60 days after symptom onset or first positive COVID-19 test) compared to 182 both NS and S-A groups in response to both ancestral and variant-specific Spike peptide pools 183 (Fig. 4a). Individuals in the S-A group tended to have the highest levels of CD4+ and CD8<sup>+</sup> T 184 cell proliferation upon spike peptide pool stimulation, especially compared to the S-HT and non-185 immunocompromised individuals (Fig. 4bc and Supplementary Fig. 4). In longitudinal analysis, 186 the S-HT group showed poor functional CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell proliferation, despite a 187 comparable IFN-y secretion level compared to the non-immunocompromised group (Fig. 4d). In 188 contrast, the S-A group showed robust T cell proliferation over time, in response to both 189 ancestral and variant-specific spike peptide pools compared to all other groups, suggestive of 190 either an antigen-stimulated compensatory effect in the setting of B cell deficiency or a 191 medication-related T cell priming.

## 192 Discussion

193 Understanding viral and immune control characteristics of COVID-19 infection is crucial to our 194 ability to care for immunocompromised individuals at greatest risk of persistent and severe 195 infection. Moreover, it can guide public health interventions and shed light on vaccine 196 development that protects immunocompromised individuals. In this study, we performed an in-197 depth virologic and immunologic evaluation of a cohort of immunocompromised and non-198 immunocompromised individuals. We demonstrated a hierarchy of immunocompromised 199 conditions that increase the risk of delayed viral clearance and SARS-CoV-2 evolution. 200 Furthermore, we identified the varied risk of persistent COVID-19 across immunosuppressive 201 conditions and suppression of both B and T cell responses in those at the highest risk of 202 persistent infection. Specifically, we found that individuals with a history of hematological 203 malignancy and organ transplant demonstrated the greatest delay in viral clearance that may be 204 mediated by suppression of both the B and T cell responses. In contrast, those with B cell 205 immunodeficiency had an intermediate risk of chronic infection in the setting of an immune 206 response showing compensatory heightened levels of SARS-CoV-2-specific T cell function. 207 208 Our cohort study confirmed findings from prior case reports and case series. In a review by 209 Dioverti et al., the authors summarized cases of persistent COVID-19 lasting from 210 approximately one month to one year(31). These included a spectrum of immunocompromised 211 hosts from individuals with solid organ transplants, hematological malignancy(14, 20, 22, 23, 25, 212 29, 32-36), and autoimmune diseases receiving immunosuppressive therapies. However, 213 immunocompromise is a broad spectrum and, until now it has not been clear which 214 immunosuppressive conditions represent the greatest risk for persistent infection. The results of 215 our study provide critical insight as to the hierarchy of risk for delayed SARS-CoV-2 RNA and 216 culture clearance, and viral evolution. Specifically, we found that demonstrated that individuals 217 with solid organ transplant and hematological malignancy are associated with the longest period of viral RNA and culturable viral shedding, followed by severely immunocompromised
participants with autoimmune conditions receiving B-cell depleted therapy and/or those with B
cell deficiency. Participants with mild non-severe immunocompromise, such as those with
autoimmune diseases receiving anti-tumor necrosis factor (TNF) treatment, had similar viral
shedding dynamics to non-immunocompromised participants.

223

224 There have been several prior case reports of immunosuppressed individuals with chronic 225 COVID-19 and accumulation of viral polymorphisms and drug resistance mutations (13, 14, 21, 226 27, 34), but there has been little in the way of more systematic evaluation of viral evolution. In 227 this study, we used S gene-specific deep sequencing to assess longitudinal viral evolution and 228 diversity. Our results show that severe immunosuppression is associated with increased viral 229 evolution and diversification. In addition, severe immunocompromised hosts had a greater risk 230 of developing treatment-emergent resistance mutations to mAb therapy when compared to non-231 immunocompromised group participants. These findings highlight the potential for 232 immunocompromised individuals to serve as a source for SARS-CoV-2 evolution and drug 233 resistance, consistent with isolated reports of immunocompromised hosts implicated in the 234 emergence of highly mutated SARS-CoV-2 variants(13, 15, 16, 24, 28, 37-40). It should be 235 noted though that even within the category of severe immunocompromise, participants 236 demonstrated a range of viral diversification and evolution patterns, and additional studies are 237 needed to fully assess the drivers of accelerated viral evolution.

238

Another highlight of our study is our use of in-depth analysis of B and T cell responses,

including SARS-CoV-2-specific neutralizing and binding antibody levels, as well as ELISpot and
 T cell proliferation studies. We noted lower levels of neutralizing antibody against both ancestral
 virus and variant virus in the severe immunocompromised group (less than 10-20% compared
 to non-immunocompromised individuals), after adjusting for vaccination doses, monoclonal

244 antibody use, and demographic confounders. Each additional dose of vaccination is associated 245 with an approximately 1.5-fold increase in antibody levels in the whole cohort, underscoring the 246 importance of adherence to COVID-19 vaccination recommendations. However, there is 247 evidence that non-B-cell immunity may be sufficient for the clearance of SARS-CoV-2. Early in 248 the pandemic, cases were reported of individuals with X-linked agammaglobulinemia who 249 developed COVID-19 pneumonia, but subsequently recovered despite a lack of SARS-CoV-2-250 specific immunoglobulins(41). In our study, the risk of chronic infection was highest in S-HT 251 participants. This group of participants was found to have both suboptimal humoral and cell-252 mediated immune responses. The "near-normal" level of effector ELISpot responses in S-HT 253 individuals, compared to the non-immunocompromised group, is the likely the result of exposure 254 to high levels of SARS-CoV-2 antigen, while the reduced proliferation demonstrates 255 compromised functionality. In contrast, the S-A participants had an even more robust SARS-256 CoV-2-specific proliferative T-cell responses than the non-immunocompromised group. 257 indicating increased levels of functional SARS-CoV-2-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell responses, which 258 was associated with an intermediate risk of chronic infection. These results align with some 259 intriguing reports that individuals receiving anti-CD20 treatment may demonstrate a stronger T-260 cell responses, in particular more robust activation-induced marker-positive CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell 261 responses(30). We found that both CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell response and CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cell responses, including 262 proliferation in response to both ancestral and variant-specific spike peptides, were more 263 pronounced in S-A group compared to other non-immunocompromised groups. Together with 264 the results from Apostolidis et al. (30), our results raise the question of whether individuals with B 265 cell deficiencies may have a lower risk of persistent SARS-CoV-2 infection due to preserved T 266 cell function, either as a compensatory mechanism or T cell priming by certain B cell-depleting 267 therapies.

268

There are several limitations to this study. We included a relatively small number of individuals 269 270 with malignant hematological conditions or transplant history, and we were able to obtain blood 271 samples from only a subset of the participants to characterize their humoral and T-cell immunity. 272 Larger studies are needed to provide greater precision as to extent of immune defect or 273 immunosuppressive medication that may place patients at the greatest risk of chronic infection 274 and viral evolution. We also did not analyze markers reflecting innate immunity, including 275 soluble inflammatory markers and monocyte phenotypes. Our study focused on virologic and 276 immunologic responses after COVID-19 and it is unclear how these may contribute to 277 persistence and severity of symptoms. Furthermore, we only evaluated Spike-specific humoral 278 and cellular immunity, while immunity targeting other structural or non-structural proteins has 279 been shown to alter disease course(42, 43).

280

281 In conclusion, in this prospective cohort of well-characterized individuals with acute COVID-19, 282 we demonstrated a correlation between a hierarchy of immunocompromised conditions and 283 SARS-CoV-2 viral shedding, viral evolution, and adaptive immunity. Our results highlight the 284 finding that the risk of chronic SARS-CoV-2 infection is not uniform across immunosuppressive 285 conditions and provide clarity on which immunosuppression conditions predispose to delayed 286 SARS-CoV-2 RNA and culture clearance, and viral evolution. The high risk populations 287 identified in this study may benefit from targeted public health and additional therapeutic 288 interventions. In addition, the results provide further insights on the humoral and cell-mediated 289 immune correlates of viral clearance, which is crucial for the development of improved vaccines 290 and future therapies.

### 291 Materials and Methods

### 292 Participant Enrollment and Sample Collection

293 We enrolled participants with a positive COVID-19 test in the Mass General Brigham Medical 294 HealthCare System as part of the POSt-VaccInaTIon Viral CharactEristics Study (POSITIVES) 295 (17, 19) in addition to one immunocompromised participant from our previous study. Each 296 participant's medical record was reviewed for demographic data, immunosuppression status, 297 and COVID-19 treatment history by board-certified clinicians. For the POSITIVES study, 298 participants self-collected anterior nasal swabs every 2-3 days to a total of 6 samples over 2 299 weeks. Participants with immunocompromised conditions were offered to collect additional 300 swabs when possible and were followed until they had two consecutive negative PCR tests. For 301 this one immunocompromised participants reported previously (13), nasopharyngeal swab was 302 collected by healthcare providers. In a subset of participants who agreed to provide blood 303 sample, the first blood draw was done generally before day 15 of symptom onset (acute phase) 304 or first positive PCR or antigen test for COVID-19, and second blood draw between 15-60 days 305 after (post-acute phase) (Supplementary Fig. 5). This study was approved by Mass General 306 Brigham Institutional Review Board and all participants have signed informed consent upon 307 entry to the study.

308

#### 309 **Categorization for Immunocompromised Conditions**

Immunocompromised participants were further categorized into the following groups: severe immunocompromised participants, which were further categorized into severe-hematological malignancy/transplant patients (S-HT), severe autoimmune patients (S-A, participants with autoimmune condition receiving B-cell targeting agents or B cell deficiency); and non-severe immunocompromised participants (NS). This categorization was based on a recent cohort study which demonstrated a hierarchy of antibody response to COVID-19 vaccinations in different medical conditions(*4*, *44*). Detailed classification criteria were listed in Supplementary Table 1.

#### 317

#### 318 SARS-CoV-2 Viral Load Assay

319 SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA (vRNA) were quantified as described previously(45). Briefly, virions 320 were pelleted from nasal swab fluid by centrifugation at 21,000g for 2 hours at 4°C. Trizol-LS 321 Reagent (Thermo fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) was added to the pellet, vortexed, and 322 incubated on ice for 10 minutes. Chloroform was added and the solution was vortexed before 323 centrifugation at 21,000g for 15 minutes at 4°C. RNA was isolated from the aqueous layer by 324 isopropanol precipitation and eluted in DEPC-Treated water (Thermo fisher Scientific, Waltham, 325 MA). SARS-CoV-2 RNA copies were quantified with an in-house viral load assay using the CDC 326 2019-nCoV N1 primer and probe set (Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, IA). The 327 efficiency of the RNA extraction and RT-qPCR amplification was evaluated by guantifying the 328 RCAS RNA recovered from each sample and the two N1 controls. The importin-8 (IPO8) human 329 housekeeping gene was also amplified and evaluated as a measure of sample collection 330 quality. Samples were run in triplicate wells for N1, and in duplicate wells for RCAS and IPO8.

331

#### 332 SARS-CoV-2 Viral Culture Assay

333 Viral culture was performed as previously reported(17). Vero-E6 cells (ATCC, Manassas, VA) 334 maintained in DMEM (Corning, Corning, NY) supplemented with HEPES (Corning, Corning, 335 NY), 1X Penicillin (100 IU/mL)/Streptomycin (100 µg/ml) (Corning, Corning, NY), 1X Glutamine 336 (Glutamax, Thermo fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) and 10% FBS (MilliporeSigma, Burlington, 337 MA) were plated 16-20 hours before infection. Each sample consisting of nasal swab fluid was 338 thawed on ice and filtered through a Spin-X 0.45 um filter (Corning, Corning, NY) at 10,000 xg 339 for 5 minutes. Before infection the media was changed to DMEM supplemented with HEPES, 340 1X Antibiotic/Antimycotic (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA), 1X Glutamine, 2% FBS and 5 µg/mL 341 of polybrene (Santa Cruz Biotechnologies, Dallas, TX). Each filtered sample was then used to

inoculate Vero-E6 cells by spinfection (2,000x g for 1 hour at 37C). Each condition was plated in
quadruplicate wells in 1:5 dilutions across half the plate. The plates were observed at 7-days
post-infection using a light microscope to check for cytopathogenic effect (CPE) and a median
tissue culture infectious dose (TCID<sub>50</sub>) was calculated for each sample.

346

## 347 Neutralizing Antibody Responses

348 Neutralizing activity against SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus was measured using a single-round 349 infection assay in 293T/ACE2 target cells(18). Pseudotyped virus particles were produced in 350 293T/17 cells (ATCC, Manassas, VA) by co-transfection of plasmids encoding codon-optimized 351 full-length Spike (ancestral-D614G, Delta, Omicron-BA.1, Omicron-BA.2, Omicron-BA.4/5), 352 packaging plasmid pCMV ΔR8.2, and luciferase reporter plasmid pHR' CMV-Luc. Packaging 353 and luciferase plasmids were kindly provided by Dr. Barney Graham (NIH, Vaccine Research 354 Center). The 293T cell line stably overexpressing the human ACE2 cell surface receptor protein 355 was kindly provided by Drs. Michael Farzan and Huihui Ma (The Scripps Research Institute). 356 For neutralization assays, serial dilutions of patient sera were performed in duplicate followed by 357 addition of pseudovirus. Pooled serum samples from convalescent COVID-19 patients or pre-358 pandemic normal healthy serum (NHS) were used as positive and negative controls, 359 respectively. Plates were incubated for 1 hour at 37°C followed by addition of 293/ACE2 target 360 cells (1x10<sup>4</sup>/well). Wells containing cells + pseudovirus (without sample) or cells alone acted as 361 positive and negative infection controls, respectively. Assays were harvested on day 3 using 362 Promega BrightGlo luciferase reagent and luminescence detected with a Promega GloMax 363 luminometer (Promega, Madison, WI). Titers are reported as the dilution of serum that inhibited 364 50% virus infection (ID<sub>50</sub> titer). Pseudovirus-based neutralization assays were conducted using 365 ancestral Spike protein, as well as Delta- and Omicron- (BA.1, BA.2, or BA.4/5) Spike. Anti-366 variant neutralizing antibody level (nAb) was determined based on the viral strain each

- 367 participant was infected with (either by sequencing or in small proportion, imputed by time of
- 368 infection when specific strain was prevalent).
- 369

## 370 Nucleocapsid binding antibody assay

- 371 Binding antibody against Nucleocapsid protein was measured using Coronavirus Ig Total
- Human 11-Plex ProcartaPlex<sup>™</sup> Panel (Thermo fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) according to the
- 373 manufacturer's instruction.
- 374

# 375 T Cell Enzyme-linked immunosorbent spot (ELISpot) Assay

376 Interferon (IFN)-γ ELISpot assay was reported in our previous study and were performed

377 according to the manufacturer's instructions (Mabtech, Cincinnati, OH)(46). Briefly, peripheral

378 blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were incubated with SARS-CoV-2 peptide pools (MGH

Peptide Core) at a final concentration of 0.5µg/ml for 16–18h (100,000-200,000 cells per test).

Anti-CD3 (Clone OKT3, Biolegend, 0.5µg/mL, San Diego, CA) and anti-CD28 Ab (Clone

381 CD28.2, Biolegend, 0.5µg/mL, San Diego, CA) were used as positive controls. To quantify

382 antigen-specific responses, mean spots of the DMSO negative control wells were subtracted

from the positive wells. The results were expressed as spot-forming units (SFU) per 10<sup>6</sup>

384 PBMCs. Responses were considered positive if the results were >5 SFU/10<sup>6</sup> PBMCs following

385 control subtraction. If negative DMSO control wells had >30 SFU/10<sup>6</sup> PBMCs or if positive

386 control wells (anti-CD3/anti-CD28 stimulation) did not have >1000 spot-forming units, the results

387 were deemed invalid and excluded from further analysis.

388

### 389 **T Cell Proliferation Assay**

T Cell proliferation assay was reported previously(*46*). Briefly, PBMCs were incubated in PBS
 with 0.5 uM carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester (CFSE; Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) or

392 CellTrace<sup>™</sup> Far Red (CTFR, Invitrogen, Waltham, MA) at 37°C for 20 min. Then they were

393 washed and resuspended at  $0.5-1 \times 10^{6}$ /mL and plated into 96-well U-bottom plates (Corning) in 200 µL of. media. Peptide pools were added at a final concentration of 0.5 µg/mL, followed by 394 395 incubation at 37°C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub> for six days. The PBMC staining antibody panels are in 396 Supplementary Materials. Cells were washed and fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde prior to flow 397 cytometric analysis on a BD LSR II (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ). A positive proliferation response was defined as a percentage of CD3<sup>+</sup>CD4<sup>+</sup> or CD3<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> CFSE<sup>low</sup> or 398 399 CTFR<sup>low</sup> cells with at least 1.5x greater than the highest of two negative-control wells and 400 greater than 0.2% CFSE<sup>low</sup> or CTFR<sup>low</sup> cells in magnitude following background subtraction.

401

#### 402 SARS-CoV-2 S-gene Sequencing

403 SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA (vRNA) isolation as described previously(45). RNA was converted to 404 cDNA using Superscript IV reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA) as per manufacturer's 405 instructions). Spike (S) gene amplification was performed using a nested PCR strategy with in-406 house designed primer sets targeting codons 1-814 of the Spike as previously described(28). 407 Further, PCR products from different individuals were pooled, and Illumina library construction 408 was performed using the Nextera XT library prep kit (Illumina). Sequencing was performed on the 409 Illumina MiSeq platform and deep sequencing data analysis was carried out using the Stanford 410 & Coronavirus Antiviral Resistance Database (CoVDB) platform 411 (https://covdb.stanford.edu/sierra/sars2/by-reads/?cutoff=0.01&mixrate=0.01)(47). Input FASTQ 412 sequence alignment with Wuhan-Hu-1 reference was done using MiniMap2 version 2.22 in 413 CodFreq pipeline (https://github.com/hivdb/codfreg). The output of MiniMap2, an aligned SAM 414 file, is converted to a CodFreq file by an in-house written Python script using a PySam library 415 (version: 0.18.0) and further analyzed with the CoVDB. PCR and sequencing runs were 416 performed once with the appropriate positive and negative controls. For S gene analysis, amino 417 acid variants were then called at the codon level using perl code and used for resistance 418 interpretation with a 1% limit of detection. The accuracy of the deep sequencing platforms was

evaluated with a control library of clonal SARS-CoV-2 sequences mixed at known concentrations
as described previously(*48*). Mutations detected by next-generation sequencing at <20% of the</li>
viral population were labelled as 'low-frequency' variants as they would largely be missed by
traditional Sanger sequencing. A minimum average of 500x sequencing coverage per sample
was required for variant calling. SARS-COV-2 variant calling was done using 3 different variant
calling platforms, namely, CoVDB(*47*), Scorpio call v1.2.123 (https://pangolin.cog-uk.io/), and
Nextclade v.1.13.2 (https://clades.nextstrain.org/)(*49*).

426

## 427 Single nucleotide variation, and genetic diversity analysis

428 For assessing intrahost single nucleotide variation (iSNV), data from only those participants were 429 included for whom sequence data from baseline and at least one follow-up time point were 430 available. SNV analysis was performed using PASeg SARS-CoV-2 pipeline (www.paseg.org). 431 Briefly, raw sequence files were quality filtered and adapter-trimmed using trimmomatic (v0.30). 432 Contaminating sequences were filtered out using BBMap Suite (v35.76). Duplicated reads were 433 detected using fastunig (v1.1). High quality non-redundant reads were then aligned to SARS-CoV-2 Wuhan reference (NC\_045512.2) using Bowtie2 (v.2.3.2). Resulting alignments were 434 435 processed with samtools (v.1.2) and iVar (v1.4.2) to obtain nucleotide variant VCF files. 436 Nucleotide variants present at 100% frequency of the total viral population at all time points 437 indicative of lineage defining mutations were excluded from the iSNV analysis. Genetic diversity 438 between multiple sequences of an individual were assessed by average pairwise distance in 439 MEGA both at the nucleotide and amino-acid level.

440

### 441 Statistical Analysis

442 Categorical variables were summarized using total number and percentage and between-group

- 443 differences were evaluated using either chi-squared test or Fisher's exact test when
- 444 appropriate. Continuous variables were summarized with median and interquartile ranges and

- 445 compared with non-parametric methods (Wilcoxon rank-sum test to compare two groups and
- 446 Dunn's test with Benjamini-Hochberg adjustment to compare three or more groups). Within
- 447 group comparison was conducted using paired Wilcoxon signed-rank test without adjustment for
- 448 multiple comparisons. We also used generalized estimating equation (GEE) with Gaussian
- estimation to evaluate between-group differences accounting for repeated measurement during
- 450 longitudinal follow-up. R (4.3.0) was used for statistical analyses. Two-tailed tests were used for
- 451 all the analyses and P<0.05 was considered statistically significant unless specified otherwise.
- 452
- 453 List of Supplementary Materials
- 454 Supplementary Methods
- 455 Supplementary Tables 1-3
- 456 Supplementary Figures 1-5

#### 457 Acknowledgements

We thank all the participants for their participation in this important study. We thank all the 458 459 healthcare workers who take care of them and kindly refer them to the POSITIVES study. This 460 work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (U19 AI110818), the Massachusetts 461 Consortium for Pathogen Readiness SARS-CoV-2 Variants Program and the Massachusetts 462 General Hospital Department of Medicine. Drs. JA Sparks and ZS Wallace are supported by the 463 National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases (R01 AR080659). Dr. JA 464 Sparks is also supported by the Llura Gund Award funded by the Gordon and Llura Gund 465 Foundation. Dr. GD Gaiha is supported by the NIH (DP2AI154421, R01AI176533 and 466 DP1DA058476), the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, Burroughs Wellcome Career Award for 467 Medical Scientists and Howard Goodman Fellowship. Dr. JZ Li was also supported by a grant 468 from Merck. Dr. Y Li was supported by Rustbelt CFAR (Case Western Reserve 469 University/University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center and University of Pittsburgh, P30 470 AI036219). The BSL3 laboratory where viral culture work was performed is supported by the 471 Harvard CFAR (P30 Al060354). The funders had no role in the study design; in the collection, 472 analysis, and interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to submit 473 the manuscript for publication.

474

### 475 Authors Contribution

- 476 Study conceptualization: MJ Siedner, AK Barczak, JE Lemieux, JZ Li
- 477 First draft writing: Y Li, MC Choudhary, J Regan, JZ Li
- 478 Draft review, discussion and approval: All authors
- 479 Statistical analyses: Y Li, MC Choudhary, R Deo, J Regan
- 480 Chart review and participant classification: Y Li, Y Kawano, ZS Wallace, JA Sparks
- 481 Virology assays: J Regan, J Boucau, GE Edelstein, C Marino, JP Flynn
- 482 Sequencing analyses: MC Choudhary, R Uddin, R Deo, JE Lemieux, JZ Li

- 483 Neutralizing antibody assays: T Speidel, MS Seaman
- 484 Binding antibody assays: MY Liew
- 485 T cell assays: A Nathan, MA Getz, GD Gaiha
- 486 Study coordination, sample collection, participant referral: Z Reynold, M Barry, RF Gilbert, D
- 487 Tien, S Sagar, TD Vyas, SP Hammond, LA Novack, B Choi, M Cernadas, ZS Wallace, JA
- 488 Sparks, JM Vyas
- 489 Database management: MJ Siedner, RF Gilbert
- 490

### 491 **References**

- H. M. El Sahly *et al.*, Efficacy of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine at Completion of
   Blinded Phase. *N Engl J Med* 385, 1774-1785 (2021).
- 494 2. E. D. Moreira, Jr. *et al.*, Safety and Efficacy of a Third Dose of BNT162b2 Covid-19
  495 Vaccine. *N Engl J Med* 386, 1910-1921 (2022).
- 496 3. O. J. Watson *et al.*, Global impact of the first year of COVID-19 vaccination: a
  497 mathematical modelling study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 22, 1293-1302 (2022).
- 498 4. G. Haidar *et al.*, Prospective Evaluation of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)
- Vaccine Responses Across a Broad Spectrum of Immunocompromising Conditions: the
   COVID-19 Vaccination in the Immunocompromised Study (COVICS). *Clin Infect Dis* **75**,
   e630-e644 (2022).
- 5. L. Wieske *et al.*, Humoral responses after second and third SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in
  patients with immune-mediated inflammatory disorders on immunosuppressants: a
  cohort study. *Lancet Rheumatol* 4, e338-e350 (2022).
- J. D. Kelly *et al.*, Incidence of Severe COVID-19 Illness Following Vaccination and
   Booster With BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, and Ad26.COV2.S Vaccines. *JAMA* 328, 1427 1437 (2022).
- 508 7. U. Agrawal *et al.*, Severe COVID-19 outcomes after full vaccination of primary schedule
  509 and initial boosters: pooled analysis of national prospective cohort studies of 30 million
  510 individuals in England, Northern Ireland, Scotland, and Wales. *Lancet* 400, 1305-1320
  511 (2022).
- 5128.D. Planas *et al.*, Reduced sensitivity of SARS-CoV-2 variant Delta to antibody513neutralization. *Nature* **596**, 276-280 (2021).
- 514 9. M. Hoffmann *et al.*, SARS-CoV-2 variant B.1.617 is resistant to bamlanivimab and 515 evades antibodies induced by infection and vaccination. *Cell Reports* **36**, (2021).
- 516 10. Q. Wang *et al.*, Antibody evasion by SARS-CoV-2 Omicron subvariants BA.2.12.1, BA.4
  517 and BA.5. *Nature* **608**, 603-608 (2022).
- 518 11. S. Weigang *et al.*, Within-host evolution of SARS-CoV-2 in an immunosuppressed
  519 COVID-19 patient as a source of immune escape variants. *Nat Commun* **12**, 6405
  520 (2021).
- 521 12. S. Cele *et al.*, SARS-CoV-2 prolonged infection during advanced HIV disease evolves
  522 extensive immune escape. *Cell Host Microbe* **30**, 154-162 e155 (2022).
- 523 13. B. Choi *et al.*, Persistence and Evolution of SARS-CoV-2 in an Immunocompromised
  524 Host. *N Engl J Med* 383, 2291-2293 (2020).

525	14.	M. K. Hensley et al., Intractable Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) and Prolonged
526		Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) Replication in a
527		Chimeric Antigen Receptor-Modified T-Cell Therapy Recipient: A Case Study. Clin Infect
528		<i>Dis</i> <b>73</b> , e815-e821 (2021).
529	15.	S. Harari et al., Drivers of adaptive evolution during chronic SARS-CoV-2 infections. Nat
530		<i>Med</i> <b>28</b> , 1501-1508 (2022).
531	16.	M. C. Choudhary, C. R. Crain, X. Qiu, W. Hanage, J. Z. Li, Severe Acute Respiratory
532		Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) Sequence Characteristics of Coronavirus
533		Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Persistence and Reinfection. Clin Infect Dis 74, 237-245
534		(2022).
535	17.	J. Boucau et al., Duration of Shedding of Culturable Virus in SARS-CoV-2 Omicron
536		(BA.1) Infection. N Engl J Med 387, 275-277 (2022).
537	18.	M. S. Seaman et al., Vaccine breakthrough infection leads to distinct profiles of
538		neutralizing antibody responses by SARS-CoV-2 variant. JCI Insight 7, (2022).
539	19.	M. J. Siedner et al., Duration of viral shedding and culture positivity with postvaccination
540		SARS-CoV-2 delta variant infections. JCI Insight 7, (2022).
541	20.	V. A. Avanzato et al., Case Study: Prolonged Infectious SARS-CoV-2 Shedding from an
542		Asymptomatic Immunocompromised Individual with Cancer. Cell 183, 1901-1912.e1909
543		(2020).
544	21.	C. Chaguza et al., Accelerated SARS-CoV-2 intrahost evolution leading to distinct
545		genotypes during chronic infection. Cell Rep Med 4, 100943 (2023).
546	22.	B. Bailly et al., Persistent Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in an
547		Immunocompromised Host Treated by Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus
548		2 (SARS-CoV-2)-Specific Monoclonal Antibodies. <i>Clin Infect Dis</i> <b>74</b> , 1706-1707 (2022).
549	23.	A. Truffot et al., SARS-CoV-2 Variants in Immunocompromised Patient Given Antibody
550		Monotherapy. <i>Emerg Infect Dis</i> <b>27</b> , 2725-2728 (2021).
551	24.	A. Gupta et al., Host immunological responses facilitate development of SARS-CoV-2
552		mutations in patients receiving monoclonal antibody treatments. J Clin Invest 133,
553		(2023).
554	25.	J. H. Baang et al., Prolonged Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2
555		Replication in an Immunocompromised Patient. J Infect Dis 223, 23-27 (2021).
556	26.	Y. Bronstein et al., Evolution of spike mutations following antibody treatment in two
557		immunocompromised patients with persistent COVID-19 infection. J Med Virol 94, 1241-
558		1245 (2022).

- 559 27. A. S. Gonzalez-Reiche *et al.*, Sequential intrahost evolution and onward transmission of 560 SARS-CoV-2 variants. *Nat Commun* **14**, 3235 (2023).
- 561 28. M. C. Choudhary *et al.*, Emergence of SARS-CoV-2 escape mutations during
- 562 Bamlanivimab therapy in a phase II randomized clinical trial. *Nat Microbiol* **7**, 1906-1917 563 (2022).
- S. A. Kemp *et al.*, SARS-CoV-2 evolution during treatment of chronic infection. *Nature*565 592, 277-282 (2021).
- S. A. Apostolidis *et al.*, Cellular and humoral immune responses following SARS-CoV-2
  mRNA vaccination in patients with multiple sclerosis on anti-CD20 therapy. *Nat Med* 27, 1990-2001 (2021).
- 569 31. V. Dioverti, S. Salto-Alejandre, G. Haidar, Immunocompromised Patients with Protracted
  570 COVID-19: a Review of "Long Persisters". *Curr Transplant Rep*, 1-10 (2022).
- 571 32. V. Nussenblatt *et al.*, Yearlong COVID-19 Infection Reveals Within-Host Evolution of
  572 SARS-CoV-2 in a Patient With B-Cell Depletion. *J Infect Dis* 225, 1118-1123 (2022).
- 573 33. T. Aydillo *et al.*, Shedding of Viable SARS-CoV-2 after Immunosuppressive Therapy for
  574 Cancer. *N Engl J Med* 383, 2586-2588 (2020).
- 575 34. S. Gandhi *et al.*, De novo emergence of a remdesivir resistance mutation during
  576 treatment of persistent SARS-CoV-2 infection in an immunocompromised patient: a case
  577 report. *Nat Commun* 13, 1547 (2022).
- 578 35. C. L. Gordon *et al.*, Defective Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2
  579 Immune Responses in an Immunocompromised Individual With Prolonged Viral
  580 Replication. *Open Forum Infect Dis* 8, ofab359 (2021).
- 581 36. I. Monrad *et al.*, Persistent Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Infection
- in Immunocompromised Host Displaying Treatment Induced Viral Evolution. *Open Forum Infect Dis* 8, ofab295 (2021).
- 58437.K. M. Braun *et al.*, Acute SARS-CoV-2 infections harbor limited within-host diversity and585transmit via tight transmission bottlenecks. *PLoS Pathog* **17**, e1009849 (2021).
- 586 38. K. K. K. Ko *et al.*, Emergence of SARS-CoV-2 Spike Mutations during Prolonged
- 587 Infection in Immunocompromised Hosts. *Microbiol Spectr* **10**, e0079122 (2022).
- 588 39. K. A. Lythgoe *et al.*, SARS-CoV-2 within-host diversity and transmission. *Science* 372,
  589 (2021).
- 59040.A. L. Valesano *et al.*, Temporal dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 mutation accumulation within591and across infected hosts. *PLoS Pathog* **17**, e1009499 (2021).

592	41.	A. Soresina et al., Two X-linked agammaglobulinemia patients develop pneumonia as
593		COVID-19 manifestation but recover. Pediatr Allergy Immunol 31, 565-569 (2020).
594	42.	T. Dangi et al., Improved control of SARS-CoV-2 by treatment with nucleocapsid-specific
595		monoclonal antibody. J Clin Invest, e162282 [Online ahead of print] (2022).
596	43.	R. Kundu et al., Cross-reactive memory T cells associate with protection against SARS-
597		CoV-2 infection in COVID-19 contacts. Nat Commun 13, 80 (2022).
598	44.	K. Maneikis et al., Immunogenicity of the BNT162b2 COVID-19 mRNA vaccine and early
599		clinical outcomes in patients with haematological malignancies in Lithuania: a national
600		prospective cohort study. The Lancet Haematology <b>8</b> , e583-e592 (2021).
601	45.	J. Fajnzylber et al., SARS-CoV-2 viral load is associated with increased disease severity
602		and mortality. <i>Nat Commun</i> <b>11</b> , 5493 (2020).
603	46.	A. Nathan et al., Structure-guided T cell vaccine design for SARS-CoV-2 variants and
604		sarbecoviruses. <i>Cell</i> <b>184</b> , 4401-4413.e4410 (2021).
605	47.	P. L. Tzou, K. Tao, S. L. K. Pond, R. W. Shafer, Coronavirus Resistance Database
606		(CoV-RDB): SARS-CoV-2 susceptibility to monoclonal antibodies, convalescent plasma,
607		and plasma from vaccinated persons. <i>PLoS One</i> <b>17</b> , e0261045 (2022).
608	48.	J. Z. Li et al., Impact of pre-existing drug resistance on risk of virological failure in South
609		Africa. J Antimicrob Chemother <b>76</b> , 1558-1563 (2021).
610	49.	I. Aksamentov, C. Roemer, E. B. Hodcroft, R. A. Neher, Nextclade: clade assignment,
611		mutation calling andquality control for viral genomes. The Journal of Open Source
612		<i>Software</i> <b>6</b> , 3773 (2021).
613		

614

### 615 Figure Legends

#### 616 Figure 1. Kinetics of SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA and culturable virus among different

immunocompromised groups. a, Upper respiratory viral load decay. Lower level of
quantification (LLOQ) is 10 copies/ml. b, Kaplan-Meier estimates of upper respiratory viral
clearance (viral load below LLOQ). c, Upper respiratory culturable virus dynamics (50% Tissue
Culture Infectious Dose [TCID<sub>50</sub>)). d, Kaplan-Meier estimates of upper respiratory culturable
virus clearance.

622

#### 623 Figure 2. SARS-CoV-2 intra-host mutations among different immunocompromise groups.

624 a, Number of intra-host single-nucleotide variants (iSNVs) among severe (S-HT in red and S-A

625 in green), non-severe immunocompromised and non-immunocompromised (None) groups. **b**,

626 Nucleotide average pairwise distance (APD) among severe (S-HT in red and S-A in green),

627 non-severe immunocompromised (NS) and non-immunocompromised (None) groups. c,

628 Participants with any nucleotide changes during follow-up. **d**, Heat map showing distribution of

629 Spike polymorphisms from participants receiving mAb treatment. Each row represents one

630 participant, while x axis shows amino acid positions in the Spike gene. Different domains of

631 Spike are shown at the top. Colors indicate frequency of polymorphisms, with blue indicating the

632 lowest value and red indicating the highest value in the scale. Participants in different study

633 groups are separated by a red horizontal line. **e**, Proportion of mAb resistance emergence

amongst those treated with mAbs, categorized by those with severe or non-severe/no

635 immunosuppression. Comparison of iSNV and APD between groups were done using using

636 Dunn's test with Benjamini-Hochberg P value adjustment. Fisher's exact test was used to

637 calculate significance between participants with and without viral evolution and further, in

638 participants with and without mAb treatment specific resistance mutations. Only significant P

639 values are shown. NTD, N-terminal domain; RBD, receptor binding domain; RBM, receptor

640 binding motif; S1, subunit 1; S2, subunit 2.

641

642	Figure 3. Neutralizing antibody (nAb) and Nucleocapsid binding antibody levels among
643	different immunocompromised groups. a, nAb levels (50% inhibitory dilution [ID <sub>50</sub> ]) against
644	ancestral Spike protein. <b>b</b> , nAb levels against variant-specific Spike protein. <b>c</b> and <b>d</b> ,
645	Longitudinal trajectory of nAb in different immunocompromise groups, including ( $c$ ) or excluding
646	(d) monoclonal antibody use. e, binding antibody levels against Nucleocapsid protein. f,
647	Longitudinal trajectory of binding antibody in different immunocompromise groups. Comparison
648	between different immunocompromise groups at the same time point was performed using
649	Dunn's test with Benjamini-Hochberg P value adjustment. Comparison of longitudinal antibody
650	changes for participants with two blood draws was performed using the pairwise Wilcoxon rank
651	sum test with Benjamini-Hochberg P value adjustment. Only significant P values were shown.
652	Tukey boxplot was used to summarize antibody levels. Generalized additive model was used to
653	evaluate the trend of antibody development with 95% confidence intervals in the shaded area.
654	Lines between two timepoints indicate the same participants with two blood draws. S-HT,
655	severe hematologic-oncology/transplant; S-A, severe autoimmune/B-cell deficient; NS, non-
656	severe. Severe group included both S-HT and S-A as they had comparable antibody levels at
657	multiple time points.
658	
659	Figure 4. Spike-specific T levels among different immunocompromised groups. a,
660	enzyme-linked immunosorbent spot (ELIspot) assays using peptide pools derived from

ancestral and variant-specific Spike protein. b, c, CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell (b) and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell proliferation
(c) upon stimulation of ancestral- and variant-specific Spike peptide pools. d, Longitudinal
trajectory of Spike-specific T levels in different immunocompromise groups. Comparison
between different immunocompromise groups at the same time point was performed using
Dunn's test with Benjamini-Hochberg P value adjustment. Comparison of longitudinal antibody
changes for participants with two blood draws was performed using the pairwise Wilcoxon rank

- sum test with Benjamini-Hochberg P value adjustment. Only significant P values were shown.
- 668 Tukey boxplot was used to summarize antibody levels. Generalized additive model was used to
- 669 evaluate the trend of antibody development with 95% confidence intervals in the shade area.
- 670 Lines between two timepoints indicate the same participants with two blood draws.
- 671
- 672

	Immunocompromised (N=56)	Non-Immunocompromised (N=184)	Total (N=240)	P value
Sex, n (%)				0.2
Female	32 (57.1%)	126 (68.5%)	158 (65.8%)	
Male	24 (42.9%)	58 (31.5%)	82 (34.2%)	
Age, Median (Q1, Q3)	55 (45, 67)	46 (33, 59)	49 (34-60)	0.001
Race, n (%)				0.8
Asian	1 (1.8)	10 (5.4)	11 (4.6)	
Black or AA	5 (8.9)	19 (10.3)	24 (10.0)	
Other/Unknown	5 (8.9)	16 (8.7)	21 (8.8)	
White	45 (80.4)	139 (75.5)	184 (76.7)	
Ethnicity				0.5
Hispanic or Latino	5 (8.9)	17 (9.2)	22 (9.2)	
Not Hispanic or Latino	47 (83.9)	143 (77.7)	190 (79.2)	
Other/Unknown	4 (7.1)	24 (13.0)	28 (11.7)	
Inpatient, n (%)	7 (12.5)	8 (4.3)	15 (6.2)	0.051
Number of vaccinations, median number, (Q1, Q3)	3 (3-4)	3 (2-3)	3 (2-4)	<0.001
mAb use, n (%)	24* (42.9)	10 (5.4)	34 (14.2)	<0.001
Antiviral use, n (%)	40 (71.4)	57 (31.0)	97 (40.4)	<0.001
Immunocompromise group, n (	%)			<0.001
S-HT	12 (21.4)	0 (0.0)	12 (5.0)	
S-A	13 (23.2)	0 (0.0)	13 (5.4)	
NS	31 (55.4)	0 (0.0)	31 (12.9)	
None	0 (0.0)	184 (100.0)	184 (76.7)	
Symptom duration, median days** (Q1, Q3)	5 (4, 7)	4 (3, 6)	4 (3, 6)	0.04
Variant***				<0.001
Delta	3 (5.4)	43 (23.4)	46 (19.2)	
Omicron	48 (85.7)	137 (74.5)	185 (77.1)	
Other/Unknown	5 (8.9)	4 (2.2)	9 (3.8)	

## Table 1. Demographic and clinical information.

Q1 and Q3, quartile 1 and quartile 3; AA, African American; mAb, monoclonal antibody; S-HT, severe with malignant hematology or transplant history; S-A, severe autoimmune/B-cell deficient; NS, non-severe immunocompromising condition.

\*, four participants received Mab after blood draws.

\*\*, Symptom duration indicates the duration between symptom onset (patient report or first positive test if asymptomatic screening) and first nasal swab collected by the study group.

\*\*\*, Variant information was obtained by either Spike or whole genome sequencing or by epidemiological information (time period when the participant was infected).

Hazard ratio for SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA clearance						
Group	HR (95% CI)	Р	aHR (95% CI)	Р		
None (Reference)						
S-HT	0.22 (0.09-0.52)	0.0005	0.32 (0.12-0.83)	0.02		
S-A	0.64 (0.34-1.22)	0.2	0.74 (0.33-1.64)	0.5		
NS	0.82 (0.54-1.24)	0.4	0.92 (0.58-1.46)	0.7		
	Hazard ratio for SARS-Co	oV-2 culturab	le virus clearance			
Group	HR (95% CI)	Р	aHR (95% CI)	Р		
None (Reference)						
S-HT	0.23 (0.11-0.48)	8.7E-5	0.27 (0.12-0.63)	0.002		
S-A	0.54 (0.28-1.03)	0.06	0.53 (0.26-1.11)	0.09		
NS	1.12 (0.76-1.66)	0.6	1.35 (0.89-2.06)	0.2		

Table 2. Association between immunocompromise groups and SARS-CoV-2 viral decay.

HR, hazard ratio; aHR, adjusted hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Age, sex at birth, race, ethnicity, number of vaccinations, monoclonal use, and antiviral use were adjusted for in the multivariate models.

а S-HT Viral load (log<sub>10</sub> copies/ml) S-A SN 6 None 60 90 120 150 Days since symptom onset or first positive PCR











# **Supplementary Materials**

Supplementary Methods2
T cell proliferation staining panels2
Generalized estimating equation (GEE)2
Supplementary Tables
Supplementary Table 1. Categorization for immunocompromising conditions3
Supplementary Table 2. Diagnoses for immunocompromised participants and categorization.
Supplementary Table 3. Monoclonal antibody use6
Supplementary Figures7
Supplementary Figure 1. Detectable SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA (a) and culturable SARS-CoV-2 virus (b) beyond 30 days after symptom onset or first positive PCR/antigen tests, supplemental to Fig. 1
Supplementary Figure 2. SARS-CoV-2 mutations among different immunocompromised groups, supplementary to Fig. 28
Supplementary Figure 3. Severe immunocompromise is associated with lower neutralizing antibody levels, supplemental to Fig. 3
Supplementary Figure 4. Representative T cell proliferation assay gating scheme, supplementary to Fig. 410
Supplementary Figure 5. Distribution of duration between symptom onset or first positive PCR and blood draws11
References12

# **Supplementary Methods**

# T cell proliferation staining panels

For PBMCs stained with carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester (CFSE; Life Technologies), cells were then washed and stained with anti-CD3 PE-Cy7 (clone SK7; BioLegend), anti-CD8 APC (clone SK1; BioLegend), anti-CD4 BV711 (clone RPA-T4; BioLegend), and LIVE/DEAD violet viability dye (Life Technologies).

For PBMCs stained with Cell Trace Far Red Proliferation Dye (CTFR, Invitrogen), cells were then washed and stained with anti-CD3 APC-Cy7 (clone UCHT1; BioLegend), anti-CD8 BV605 (clone SK1; BioLegend), anti-CD4 PE-Cy7 (clone OKT4; BioLegend), and LIVE/DEAD violet viability dye (Life Technologies).

# Generalized estimating equation (GEE)

GEE was performed using "geepack" package (version 1.3.9) in R<sup>1</sup>. In the GEE model, family was set as "gaussian", and the correlation structure ("corstr") was set as "independence". Quasi Information Criterion (QIC) was used to compare models using "independence", "exchangeable" and "ar1" and the one with "independence" had the lowest QIC. Monoclonal antibody (Mab) use, weeks since symptom onset or first positive PCR, numbers of vaccinations before enrollment, sex, and age were adjusted for in these models. Logarithm base 10 of the neutralizing antibody levels were treated as dependent variables and other variables as independent. Coefficients for all the independent variables were then transformed to the power of 10 and was shown in this figure as fold-change compared to reference group.

# Supplementary Tables

Supplementary Table 1. Categorization for immunocompromising conditions.

Non-Severe (NS)		•	Autoimmune disease, receiving immunosuppressants that are not B cell/plasma cell targeted therapy within 12 months of study entry Solid malignant tumor on treatment (excluding those who underwent resection and were considered in remission after resection) Corticosteroid use equivalent to Prednisone >20mg daily for at least 14 consecutive days within 30 days prior to study entry HIV infection with CD4 cell count >200 cells/mm <sup>3</sup>
Severe	Severe- Hematological malignancy/Transplant (S-HT)	• • •	Solid organ transplant (SOT) Hematopoietic stem cell transplant (HSCT) Lymphoma, leukemia Immune-Related Adverse Event (irAE) on multiple immunosuppressants targeting different pathways
	Severe- Autoimmune and other B cell deficiency (S-A)	•	Autoimmune disease receiving B cell targeted therapy within 12 months of study entry Congenital or late onset B cell deficiency (e.g. Common Variable Immunodeficiency)

Supplementary	Table 2. Diagnoses	for immunocompromised i	participants and	categorization.
	5			0

ID	Diagnosis	Immunosuppressants/Treatment	Group
100	Antiphospholipid syndrome	Prednisone, eculizumab, rituximab, cyclophosphamide	S-A
101	Heart and kidney transplant	Everolimus, Prednisone 5mg daily, Tacrolimus	S-HT
107	Rheumatoid arthritis	Tocilizumab	NS
113	Minimal change disease	Rituximab within 12 months of COVID-19	S-A
126	RA	Abatacept+ Prednisone 5mg daily	NS
217	RA	Methotrexate+ Prednisone 5mg daily	NS
240	Chronic myelogenous leukemia	Dasatinib	S-HT
245	Diffuse lorge R cell lymphome	Rituximab+Polatuzumab+Prednisone, CAR-T,	ешт
240	Diffuse large b-cell lymphoma	Chemotherapy, Tocilizumab	3-11
388	Adenocarcinoma of pancreas	Chemotherapy and radiation	NS
449	Granulomatosis with polyangiitis	Rituximab within 12 months of COVID-19	S-A
450	Immune Related Adverse Events,	Pembrolizumah Tacrolimus Prodpisone Myconhonolate	с цт
459	Metastatic Merkel cell carcinoma		5-11
470	Multiple sclerosis	Ocrelizumab within 12 months of COVID-19	S-A
471	Marginal zone lymphoma (CR)	Obinutuzumab+CHOP	S-HT
475	Multiple sclerosis	Rituximab within 12 months of COVID-19	S-A
107	Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (CR),	Rituximah-CHOR	S_HT
437	Hypogammaglobulinemia		0-111
531	Sarcoidosis	Infliximab	NS
532	Granulomatosis with polyangiitis	Mycophenolate	NS
533	Diffuse large B cell lymphoma,	CAR-T, Tocilizumab, Pembrolizumab, Rituximab,	S_HT
000	Hypogammaglobulinemia after CAR-T	Corticosteroid	0-111
534	RA, Sjogren's syndrome,	IVIG	S-A
001	Hypogammaglobulinemia; cryoglobulinemia		077
547	RA	Tocilizumab+Methotrexate	NS
548	RA	Rituximab within 12 months of COVID-19+ Leflunomide	S-A
549	Bechet's disease	Azathioprine	NS
550	RA	Methotrexate+ Hydroxychloroquine	NS
551	Psoriatic arthritis	Infliximab	NS
552	Seronegative spondyloarthropathy	Adalimumab+ Methotrexate	NS
557	RA, SLE	Methotrexate	NS
558	SLE	Belimumab	S-A
563	RA	Adalimumab	NS
564	Multiple myeloma	Daratumumab+ Dexamethasone	S-HT
569	SLE	Hydroxychloroquine+ Methylprednisolone daily 6mg	NS
573	Inflammatory arthritis	Adalimumab+ Hydroxychloroquine	NS

597	Giant cell arteritis, polymyalgia rheumatica	Tocilizumab+ Prednisone orally 5mg daily	NS
604	CVID	IVIG every 4 weeks	S-A
610	Ulcerative colitis	Infliximab	NS
658	RA	Tocilizumab	NS
678	RA	Tofacitinib	NS
687	SLE, RA	Hydroxychloroquine, methotrexate	NS
688	Mantle cell lymphoma	Zanubrutinib+Venetoclax; Last dose of Obinutuzumab within 12 months	S-HT
691	RA	Rituximab	S-A
708	Minimal change disease	Rituximab	S-A
716	RA	Methotrexate	NS
723	Multiple sclerosis, acquired hypogammaglobulinemia	IVIG every 4 weeks; Ocrelizumab within 12 months	S-A
725	RA	Infliximab, methotrexate, hydroxychloroquine	NS
735	Psoriatic arthritis	Adalimumab	NS
768	Ankylosing spondylitis	Secukinumab	NS
793	RA	Adalimumab, methotrexate	NS
805	Ulcerative colitis, inflammatory arthritis	Golimumab, methotrexate	NS
870	Mantle cell lymphoma	Bendamustine and rituximab within 12 months	S-HT
892	HIV infection	N/A, on antiretroviral therapy, CD4 cell count>200	NS
936	RA	Etanercept, hydroxychloroquine	NS
945	IgG4 related disease	Rituximab	S-A
946	Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma	R-CHOP	S-HT
952	Inflammatory arthritis	Adalimumab	NS
953	RA	Infliximab, methotrexate, prednisone 3mg	NS
965	Breast cancer	Trastuzumab deruxtecan within 12 months, then Olaparib	NS
982	Follicular lymphoma	Bendamustine, Obinutuzumab within 12 months	S-HT

S-HT, severe hematological oncology/Transplant; S-A, severe autoimmune disease/B-cell deficient; NS, non-severe immunocompromise; CAR-T, chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy; CR, complete remission; CHOP, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone; R-CHOP, Rituximab in combination with CHOP; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus; CVID, common variable immunodeficiency

S-HT	S-A	NS	None	Overall
(N=12)	(N=13)	(N=31)	(N=184)	(N=240)
1	0	0	4	5
0	2 <sup>b</sup>	2	5	9
4 <sup>a</sup>	1	1	0	6
3	2	2	1	8
5°	4	0	0	9
	S-HT (N=12) 1 0 4ª 3 5°	S-HT         S-A           (N=12)         (N=13)           1         0           0         2 <sup>b</sup> 4 <sup>a</sup> 1           3         2           5 <sup>c</sup> 4	S-HT         S-A         NS           (N=12)         (N=13)         (N=31)           1         0         0           0         2 <sup>b</sup> 2           4 <sup>a</sup> 1         1           3         2         2           5 <sup>c</sup> 4         0	S-HT         S-A         NS         None           (N=12)         (N=13)         (N=31)         (N=184)           1         0         0         4           0         2 <sup>b</sup> 2         5           4 <sup>a</sup> 1         1         0           3         2         2         1           5 <sup>c</sup> 4         0         0         0

### Supplementary Table 3. Monoclonal antibody use.

a, three participants received Sotrovimab after blood draws.

b, one participant received Casirivimab-Imdevimab after blood draws.

c, two participants received both Tixagevimab-Cilgavimab and Sotrovimab and one participant received

both Tixagevimab-Cilgavimab and Bebtelovimab

# **Supplementary Figures**



Supplementary Figure 1. Detectable SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA (a) and culturable SARS-CoV-2 virus (b) beyond 30 days after symptom onset or first positive PCR/antigen tests, supplemental to Fig. 1.

Fisher's exact test was used to calculate the P values.



Supplementary Figure 2. SARS-CoV-2 mutations among different immunocompromised groups, supplementary to Fig. 2.

(a), SARS-CoV-2 intrahost mutations at the amino acid level among different immunocompromise groups. (b), Heat map showing distribution of Spike polymorphisms from participants receiving mAb treatment longitudinally. In the heatmap, y axis indicates participants' ID (PID) followed by sequential numbers of sample collection, while x axis shows amino acid positions in the Spike gene. Different domains of Spike are shown at the top. Colors indicate frequency of polymorphisms, with blue indicating the lowest value and red indicating the highest value in the scale. Participants in different study groups are separated by a red horizontal line. mAb resistance mutations are shown by red dotted box.

а ID50 to Ancestral strain Fold change (95% CI) IC Group (Ref: None) S 0.18 (0.05 to 0.60) ----NS -----0.61 (0.28 to 1.32) • mAb use (Ref: No mAb use) -29.4 (9.93 to 86.9) Weeks 0.98 (0.89 to 1.08) ю Number of vaccinations 1.70 (1.25 to 2.30) Male (Ref: Female) H-Ò-I 1.06 (0.55 to 2.04) Age (Every 10 years) 0.96 (0.80 to 1.15) 0.001 0.01 10 100 0.1 1.0 b ID₅o to Variant strain Fold change (95% CI) IC Group (Ref: None) S 0.08 (0.03 to 0.22) NS 0.62 (0.24 to 1.59) mAb use (Ref: No mAb use) 27.3 (7.99 to 93.1) \_ ⊢\_\_\_ Weeks 0.99 (0.88 to 1.12) Number of vaccinations 1.35 (1.01 to 1.79) • Male (Ref: Female) 1.65 (0.84 to 3.26) ⊢**e** Age (Every 10 years) 0.90 (0.74 to 1.11) 0.001 0.01 0.1 10 100 1.0

Supplementary Figure 3. Severe immunocompromise is associated with lower neutralizing antibody levels, supplemental to Fig. 3.

Generalized estimation equation to account for longitudinal repeated measurements was used to estimate the association between immunocompromise groups and neutralizing antibody levels against ancestral SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein (a) and variant-specific Spike protein (b). Monoclonal antibody (mAb) use, weeks since symptom onset or first positive PCR/antigen, numbers of vaccinations before enrollment, sex, and age were adjusted for in these models.

		CD4 <sup>+</sup> T Cell Responses				CD8 <sup>+</sup> T Cell Responses		
	Timepoint	DMSO	WT Spike	Variant Spike	DMSO	WT Spike	Variant Spike	
None	1	0.21%	7.42%	4.30%	0.28%	0.68%	0.38%	
	2	0.89%	21.3%	24.0%	0.53%	2.22%	3.14%	
NS	1	0.27%	12.5%	12.8%	0.46%	1.70%	2.29%	
	2	1.32%	20.6%		0.47%	4.09%	4.11%	
			1		1,,,,	1	L	
	1				.:@			
<b>C</b> A		0.55%	6.42%	4.78%	0.17%	18.9%	18.0%	
5-A	2							
		2.55%	12.2%	14.5%	0.25%	32.4%	27.4%	
S-HT	1	0.80%	0.64%	0.46%	1.14%	1.02%	0.75%	
	2 ~				· •			
	1 )D4/CD8	0.70%	0.82%	0.55%	0.76%	0.19%	0.86%	
	P	roliferation Dye						

Supplementary Figure 4. Representative T cell proliferation assay gating scheme, supplementary to Fig. 4.

CD4+ and CD8+ T cell proliferation results from representative participants in each immunocompromise group are shown. Non-immunocompromised group, ID=261 (Omicron, BA.1); Non-severe group (NS), ID= 768 (Omicron, BF.5); Severe-autoimmune/B-cell deficient (S-A), ID=534 (Omicron, BA.2); Severe- hematological malignancy/transplant (S-HT), ID= 245 (Delta, B.1.617.2).



Supplementary Figure 5. Distribution of duration between symptom onset or first positive PCR and blood draws.

# References

1. Højsgaard, S., Halekoh, U. & Yan, J. The R Package geepack for Generalized Estimating Equations. *Journal of Statistical Software* **15**, 1 - 11 (2005).