- 1 **Title** A protocol for a systematic review with prospective individual patient data meta-analysis in
- 2 EGFR mutant NSCLC with brain metastases to assess the effect of SRS + Osimertinib compared to
- 3 Osimertinib alone: the STARLET collaboration.

4 Author names

- 5 Dr Kristy P Robledo*, NHMRC Clinical Trials Centre, University of Sydney, Australia;
- 6 Kristy.robledo@sydney.edu.au
- 7 Dr Shilo Lefresne, BC Cancer Agency, Canada SLefresne@bccancer.bc.ca
- 8 Dr Yu Yang, National University Cancer Institute Singapore, yu_yang_soon@nuhs.edu.sg
- 9 Dr Arjun Sahgal, Sunnybrook Health Science Centre, Canada, Arjun.Sahgal@sunnybrook.ca
- 10 A/Prof Mark B Pinkham, University of Queensland and Princess Alexandra Hospital, Australia
- 11 Mark.Pinkham@health.qld.gov.au
- 12 Prof Alan Nichol, BC Cancer Agency, Canada, <u>anichol@bccancer.bc.ca</u>
- 13 Dr Ross Andrew Soo, National University Cancer Institute Singapore ross_soo@nuhs.edu.sg
- 14 Dr Ambika Parmar, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Canada ambika.parmar@sunnybrook.ca
- 15 Dr Fiona Hegi-Johnson, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Australia Fiona. Hegi-
- 16 Johnson@petermac.org
- 17 Dr Mark Doherty, St Vincents University Hospital, Ireland, <u>mdoherty@svcpc.ie</u>
- 18 Prof Benjamin J Solomon, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Australia Ben.solomon@petermac.org
- 19 Dr David Shultz, Princess Margaret Cancer Centre, Canada david.shultz@rmp.uhn.ca
- 20 Dr Ivan WK Tham, Mt Elizabeth Novena Hospital, Singapore ivan.tham@ihhhealthcare.com
- 21 Dr Adrian Sacher, Princess Margaret Cancer Centre, Canada Adrian.Sacher@uhn.ca

- 22 Dr Jeremy Tey, National University Cancer Institute Singapore jeremy_tey@nuhs.edu.sg,
- 23 Dr Cheng Nang Leong, National University Cancer Institute Singapore
- 24 Cheng_Nang_Leong@nuhs.edu.sg
- 25 Dr Wee Yao Koh, National University Cancer Institute Singapore wee_yao_koh@nuhs.edu.sg
- 26 Dr Yiqing Huang, National University Cancer Institute Singapore yiqing_huang@nuhs.edu.sg
- 27 Dr Yvonne Ang, National University Cancer Institute Singapore Yvonne_ang@nuhs.edu.sg
- 28 Dr Jiali Low, National University Cancer Institute Singapore Jiali_low@nuhs.edu.sg
- 29 Dr Clement Yong, National University Hospital Singapore clement_yong@nuhs.edu.sg
- 30 Dr Mei Chin Lim, National University Hospital Singapore mei_chin_lim@nuhs.edu.sg
- 31 Dr Ai Peng Tan, National University Hospital, Singapore, ai_peng_tan@nuhs.edu.sg
- 32 A/Prof Chee Khoon Lee, NHMRC Clinical Trials Centre, University of Sydney, Australia
- 33 chee.lee@sydney.edu.au
- 34 Dr Cheryl Ho, BC Cancer Agency, Canada, CHo@bccancer.bc.ca
- 35 On behalf of the oSimertinib with or without sTereotActic Radiosurgery in egfr non-small cell Lung
- 36 cancEr with brain metastases (STARLET) Collaboration
- 37
- 38 *Corresponding author; <u>Kristy.robledo@sydney.edu.au</u>; 92-94 Parramatta Road, Camperdown, 2050
- 39
- 40 Word count 2728
- 41 Number of figures: 0
- 42 Number of tables: 1

43 **DECLARATIONS**

44 Ethics approval and consent to participate

- 45 IPD will be provided by each included trial on the stipulation that ethical approval has been provided
- 46 by their respective Human Research Ethics Committees (or equivalent), and participants gave
- 47 informed consent before enrolment. Only trials with ethics approval will be included in these
- 48 analyses. Trialists remain the custodians of their own data, which will be de-identified before being
- 49 shared with the Collaboration.
- 50 Each trial contributing data to this project has been approved by ethics committees. OUTRUN (TROG
- 51 17.02, NCT03497767) has ethics approval from Melbourne Health Human Research Committee,
- 52 Melbourne, Australia and LUOSICNS (NCT03769103) has ethics approval from British Columbia
- 53 Cancer Agency Research Ethics Board, University of British Columbia, Canada.
- 54 Consent for publication
- 55 NA

56 Availability of data and materials

- 57 Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the
- 58 current study.

59 Competing interests

- 60 SL: research funding and honoraria from AstraZeneca.
- 61 YYS: Honoraria: AstraZeneca, Janssen
- 62 ASah: research grants (Institution) from Elekta AB, Varian, Seagen Inc and BrainLAB. Consulting fees
- 63 from Varian, Elekta (Gamma Knife Icon), BrainLAB, Merck, Abbvie and Roche. Honoraria:
- 64 AstraZeneca, Elekta AB, Varian, BrainLAB, Accuray, Seagen Inc.

- 65 MBP: speaker fees AZ, BMS, MSD, Roche.
- 66 AN: research grants from Varian Medical Systems Ltd.
- 67 RAS: advisory board: Amgen, Astra-Zeneca, Bayer, BMS, Boehringer Ingelheim, Janssen, Lily, Merck,
- 68 Merck Serono, Novartis, Pfizer, Puma Biotechnology, Roche, Taiho, Takeda, Thermo Fisher, Yuhan
- 69 Corporation, research grant: Astra-Zeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim
- 70 FHJ: clinical trial funding, received honoraria and participated in advisory boards for Astra Zeneca.
- 71 She has received payments and honoraria from BeiGene and MSD for lectures and presentations.
- 72 Her work is supported by the Peter Mac Foundation and the Victorian Cancer Agency.
- 73 BJS: Advisory Board/Honoraria from AstraZeneca, Pfizer, Novartis, Roche, Takeda, Merck, Bristol
- 74 Mysers Squibb, Janssen, Amgen, Eli Lilly
- 75 IT: Honorarium Elekta, MSD
- 76 CH: advisory boards with Abbvie, Amgen, AstraZeneca, Bayer, BMS, Eisai, EMD Serono, Janssen, Jazz,
- 77 Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, Sanofi, Takeda, research grants: AstraZeneca, EMD Serono, Roche
- 78 CKL: Advisory board: Amgen, Astra Zeneca, GSK, Merck KGA, Norvatis, Pfizer, Roche, Takeda,
- 79 Boehringer-Ingelheim, Yuhan. Research Funding (Institution): Astra Zeneca, Roche, Merck KGA,
- 80 Amgen
- 81 KR, AP, MD, DS, ASac, JT, CNL, WYK, YH, YA, JL, CY, MCL, and APT have no competing interests. CH,
- 82 SL, FHJ, CKL, YY, RAS and IT are study chairs on the included trials.

83 Funding

- 84 OUTRUN was supported by the following: Sponsored by Trans Tasman Radiation Oncology Group
- 85 (TROG) Cancer Research, ESR funding from AstraZeneca, National University Health System (NUHS)
- 86 Seed Fund, NUHSRO/2019/053/RO5+5/Seed-Mar/06), National University Health System (NUHS)
- 87 Medical Research Application (HREF) Cancer Fund, National University Cancer Institute, Singapore

- 88 (Gift from Mr Sajiv Misra via Phoenix Advisers Pte Ltd), The Royal Australian New Zealand College of
- 89 Radiologists (RANZCR) Research Grant.
- 90 LUOSICNS was supported by ESR funding from AstraZeneca.
- 91 Authors Contributions
- 92 KR, CKL, YY, FHJ, CH, AN and SL conceived the idea for this study. KR, YY, CKL, FHJ, MP, RS, CH, AN
- 93 and SL developed the research question and protocol registration. KR wrote the first draft of the
- 94 manuscript. KR and YY developed the eligibility and search strategy, and performed the search and
- screening. All authors critically reviewed and provided feedback on the intellectual content of the
- 96 manuscript and agreed and approved the final version.

97 Acknowledgements

- 98 We would like to thank AstraZeneca for their ongoing support of both clinical trials. We would also
- 99 like to thank Cancer Australia for their support for OUTRUN.
- 100 YYS: Supported by the National Medical Research Council (NMRC/MOH/000396)

101

102

103 KEYWORDS

- 104 Individual participant data meta-analysis, EGFR mutant NSCLC, stereotactic radiosurgery,
- 105 Osimertinib, brain metastases

- 107
- 108
- 109

110 ABSTRACT: 268/350 words

111	Background: Patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) with activating mutations in
112	the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) gene are a heterogenous population who often
113	develop brain metastases (BM). The optimal management of patients with asymptomatic brain
114	metastases is unclear given the activity of newer generation targeted therapies in the central
115	nervous system. We present a protocol for an individual patient data prospective meta-analysis (IPD-
116	PMA) to evaluate whether the addition of stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) before Osimertinib
117	treatment will lead to better control of intracranial metastatic disease. This is a clinically relevant
118	question that will inform practice.
119	Methods: Randomised controlled trials (RCTs) will be eligible if they included: participants with BM
120	arising from EGFR mutant NSCLC and suitable to receive Osimertinib both in the first- and second-
121	line settings (P); comparisons of SRS followed by Osimertinib versus Osimertinib alone (I, C); and
122	intracranial disease control included as an endpoint (O). Systematic searches of Medline (Ovid),
123	Embase (Ovid), Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), CINAHL (EBSCO),
124	PsychInfo, ClinicalTrials.gov and the World Health Organisation's International Clinical Trials Registry
125	Platform's Search Portal will be undertaken. An IPD meta-analysis will be performed using
126	methodologies recommended by the Cochrane Collaboration. The primary outcome is intra-cranial
127	progression free survival, as determined by RANO-BM criteria. Secondary outcomes include overall
128	survival, time to whole brain radiotherapy, quality of life and adverse events of special interest.
129	Effect differences will be explored among pre-specified subgroups.
130	Ethics and dissemination: Approved by each trials ethics committee. Results will be relevant to
131	clinicians, researchers, policymakers and patients, and will be disseminated via publications,
132	presentations and media releases.

133 **Prospero registration**: CRD42022330532

134

135 Strengths and Limitations of this study

- The use of an individual patient data (IPD) meta-analysis will give increased statistical power
- 137 for the relative comparison of SRS followed by Osimertinib versus Osimertinib alone on intracranial
- 138 progression-free survival. Such a meta-analysis will also enable the exploration of subgroups.
- Frequency of outcome assessment and outcome measures may be collected and reported
- 140 differently across included trials, which may lead to some imprecision. Harmonisation of clinical trial
- 141 protocols through prospective meta-analysis will address some of these limitations.
- A limitation of this study is that the searches will only be conducted until late 2023 and any

studies that are registered after this time will not be included.

144

145 Abbreviations

- 146 AESI adverse events of special interest
- 147 ASCO American Society of Clinical Oncology
- 148 ASTRO American Society for Radiation Oncology
- 149 BM brain metastases
- 150 EGFR epidermal growth factor receptor
- 151 FDA U.S. Food and Drug Administration
- 152 GRADE Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation ()
- 153 ic-PFS intracranial disease progression-free survival
- 154 IPD individual patient data

155	NSCLC	Non-small-cell lung cancer
156	OS	overall survival
157	PRISMA-P	Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA)
158	extension for p	rotocols (P)
159	RCT	randomised controlled trial
160	SNO	Society for Neuro-Oncology
161	SRS	Stereotactic radiosurgery
162	STARLET	oSimertinib with or without sTereotActic Radiosurgery in egfr non-small cell Lung
163	cancEr with bra	ain metastases
164	ткі	tyrosine kinase inhibitors
165		
166		
167		
168		
169		
170		
171		
172		
173		
174		
175		

176 **INTRODUCTION**

177	Non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) with activating mutations in the epidermal growth factor
178	receptor (EGFR) gene is a distinct subtype that is characterised by a high tumour response rate when
179	treated with small molecule EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs). Approximately 20% to 40% of
180	patients with advanced NSCLC will develop brain metastases (BM) at some point during their disease
181	course, and it is possible that patients with EGFR mutant NSCLC at greater risk due to improved
182	survival (1, 2).

183 Stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) involves the precise delivery of high doses of ionising radiation over a

184 single or limited number of fractions to an intracranial target (3). Based on populations with BM

185 from predominantly NSCLC but not enriched for EGFR, incorporating SRS in the management of BM

186 was associated with improvement in overall survival (OS) for those with a single lesion and

187 prolongation of functional independence in those with up to 3 BM (4). However, the detrimental

188 effects of whole brain radiation are now well known such that SRS alone has become the standard of

189 care. Use of SRS alone for multiple BM has been adopted routinely (5), in particular, given the

190 prospective Japanese observational study involving patients with up to 10 BM demonstrated that

191 OS of patients with 5-10 brain metastases treated with SRS alone was non-inferior to those with 2-4

brain metastases (6) . Hence for patients with a good performance status, the American Society for

193 Radiation Oncology (ASTRO) strongly recommends SRS for those with 1 to 4 BM and also

194 conditionally recommends this treatment for those with 5 to 10 BM (7).

195 Osimertinib is an oral 3rd generation irreversible mutant selective, wild type sparing EGFR TKI with a

196 higher central nervous system penetration and intracranial activity than first-generation *EGFR* TKIs.

197 It has been approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) as a first line treatment for

198 EGFR mutant NSCLC based on the FLAURA trial(8, 9), as well as second line treatment for those who

have developed a T790M mutation after exposure to first generation *EGFR* TKI based on the AURA 3

trial (10). In subset analyses, patients with stable, asymptomatic BM had significantly prolonged

201	intracranial disease progression-free survival (ic-PFS) with Osimertinib compared to Geftinib or
202	Erlotinib in the FLAURA trial (11) and platinum-pemetrexed in the AURA3 trial (12). However, the
203	true intracranial activity of Osimertinib remains unclear as a significant number of patients enrolled
204	in these trials had prior cranial radiotherapy (24% in FLAURA and 41% in AURA3). Notably, the
205	OCEAN trial, a single arm phase two study of with T790M positive EGFR mutant NSCLC and
206	untreated BM, found the intracranial response rate for second line Osimertinib was 67% and median
207	ic-PFS was 25 months (13).
208	Currently, the optimal sequencing of SRS and Osimertinib in patients with EGFR mutant NSCLC and
209	untreated BM is unclear. The American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO)- Society for Neuro-
210	Oncology (SNO)-ASTRO guideline states that local therapy may be delayed in selective patients with
211	asymptomatic BM from EGFR mutant NSCLC, however the strength of the recommendation is weak
212	as the quality of evidence supporting this recommendation is low (14). There is conflicting evidence
213	from retrospective cohort studies. Magnuson and colleagues found that those who received upfront
214	cranial irradiation had longer OS than those who received upfront first-generation EGFR TKI with
215	deferred cranial irradiation (15). Similarly, Yu and colleagues observed that upfront cranial
216	radiotherapy was associated with reduced cumulative incidence of ic-PFS in the entire cohort
217	receiving Osimertinib and improvement in OS in a subset of patients with 1-3 BM (16). However,
218	Thomas and colleagues did not find any improvement (17).
219	Two phase II randomised controlled trials (RCTs), OUTRUN (TROG 17.02) (18) and LUOSICNS (19) are
220	independently recruiting participants with BM from EGFR mutant NSCLC to evaluate whether SRS
221	followed by Osimertinib is more efficacious than Osimertinib alone in delaying progression of
222	intracranial disease. OUTRUN completed recruitment in September 2022, and LUOSICNS completed
223	recruitment in April 2023. Both have a sample size of 40 participants, and individually lack the
224	statistical power to formally compare differences between treatment arms. They are hypothesis-

225 generating to inform planning of a future definitive phase III RCT.

226	Therefore, we have developed a collaboration, STARLET (oSimertinib with or without sTereotActic
227	Radiosurgery in egfr non-small cell Lung cancEr with brain metastases), to prospectively conduct an
228	individual patient data (IPD) meta-analysis of these RCTs to compare the effects of SRS followed by
229	Osimertinib versus Osimertinib alone followed by deferred local cranial therapies on intracranial
230	disease control in patients with BM from EGFR mutant NSCLC. The purpose is to establish which
231	treatment strategy will lead to better control of intracranial disease, and if there are subgroups of
232	patients that might benefit more from the combination treatment strategies.

233

234 METHODS AND ANALYSIS

- A systematic review and IPD meta-analysis will be conducted according to the recommended
- 236 methods (20, 21). Lead investigators of eligible RCTs will be invited to share their IPD and join this
- 237 STARLET collaboration. Online supplementary Appendix 1 lists eligible RCTs identified up to July
- 238 2022. This protocol adheres to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-
- Analysis (PRISMA) extension for protocols (PRISMA-P, checklist detailed in supplementary Appendix
- 240 2) (22) and has been registered on PROSPERO (CRD42022330532). If subsequent potentially eligible
- 241 RCTs are published, a nested prospective meta-analysis may be used, in order to combine
- retrospective inclusion of these additional trials with the proposed results gained from these
- analyses. At this time, there are no consumers actively involved with the collaboration.

244

245 Eligibility criteria

- 246 Types of studies
- 247 STARLET will include RCTs only. Randomisation may occur at the individual level or by cluster and
- 248 quasi-randomised trials will be excluded. There are no language or date restrictions.
- 249 Trial participants

250 Participants will be eligible if they are receiving Osimertinib in the first- or second-line setting. For

those receiving Osimertinib as first line systemic therapy, all newly diagnosed participants must have

- a documented sensitising *EGFR* mutation (including exon 19 del, L858R (exon 21), G719X (exon 18),
- L861G (exon 21), S768I (exon 20) and T790M (exon 20)) and intracranial metastasis, with or without
- 254 extracranial disease. For those receiving Osimertinib as second line systemic therapy, participants
- 255 will have developed intracranial metastases while on first-line 1st or 2nd generation EGFR TKI
- therapy, with no or stable extracranial disease regardless of T790M mutation.
- 257 Intracranial disease is defined as: (a) \leq 10 lesions visible and measurable on protocol screening MRI,
- with at least one BM amenable to SRS; (b) no single BM exceeding 30mm in longest diameter; and
- 259 (c) absence of neurologic symptoms except for headache, nausea or seizure which were medically
- 260 controlled.
- 261 Interventions
- 262 One intervention is SRS followed by Osimertinib. The SRS dose-fractionation schedule depends on
- size and location of the lesion. The SRS is to be planned after randomisation, and Osimertinib
- 264 commences after the completion of SRS. Osimertinib treatment is described below.
- 265 The other intervention is Osimertinib alone. Osimertinib will be administered orally as one 80 mg
- tablet once a day. A cycle of treatment is defined as 28 days of once daily Osimertinib treatment.
- 267 For those allocated to Osimertinib alone, treatment with Osimertinib will commence following
- randomisation. Participants may continue to receive treatment with Osimertinib as long as they are
- continuing to show clinical benefit, as judged by the treating clinician, and within the guidelines of
- 270 the relevant trial protocol's discontinuation criteria.

271

272 Information sources and search strategy

273 We searched the following databases from their inception: Medline (Ovid), Embase (Ovid), Co

- 274 Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), CINAHL (EBSCO), Psychlnfo, ClinicalTrials.gov and the
- 275 World Health Organisation's International Clinical Trials Registry Platform's Search Portal. The full
- search strategy is available in **Online Supplementary Appendix 2**. The initial search was completed
- up to July 2022, and will be updated regularly to search for new trials until late-2023. Collaborators
- and contacts were asked to notify us of any additional planned, or ongoing completed trials that may
- fulfil eligibility criteria. At this time, only the two aforementioned trials (OUTRUN, TROG 17.02:
- 280 NCT03497767 and LUOSICNS: NCT03769103) have been identified, and both trial teams have agreed
- to share IPD for this collaboration.
- 282 Selection of studies for inclusion in the review
- 283 Two members of the STARLET Collaboration will independently screen all future retrieved records
- against eligibility criteria. Any discrepancies will be resolved by consensus or, if required,
- adjudication by a third reviewer. The Principal Investigator and/or corresponding author of any
- additional eligible studies will be invited to join the STARLET Collaboration. If there is no response to
- 287 initial emails, we will contact other co-authors or contacts listed on registration records. If IPD are
- 288 not available for an eligible trial, we will use aggregate data where possible.

289

290 Data collection, management, and confidentiality

291 Data receipt / extraction

- 292 De-identified IPD will be shared via secure data transfer platforms or via institutional secure email
- 293 using password-protected zip files. Data will be provided according to a pre-specified coding
- template where possible, otherwise, data will be accepted in any format and recoded as necessary.
- 295 The data management team will receive and store the data in perpetuity in a secure, customised
- 296 database at the NHMRC Clinical Trials Centre, University of Sydney, and data management will

follow the University of Sydney's Data Management Policies. Each trial team will also be asked to
provide metadata (such as questionnaires, data collection forms, and data dictionaries) to aid
understanding of the datasets. Trial-level data, such as intervention details (setting, timing and
duration), intervention details, method of sequence generation, allocation concealment,
geographical location, sample size, outcome measures and definitions will be cross-checked against
published reports, trial protocols, registration records and data collection sheets, in order to ensure
data integrity.

304 Data processing

- 305 IPD from each trial will be checked with respect to range, internal consistency, consistency with
- 306 published reports and missing items. Integrity of the randomisation process will be examined by
- 307 reviewing the chronological randomisation sequence and pattern of assignment, as well as the
- 308 balance of participant characteristics across intervention and control groups. Any inconsistencies or
- 309 missing data will be discussed with trialists/data managers and resolved by consensus. Each included
- trial will be analysed individually, and results shared with trialists for verification. Once finalised,
- 311 data from each of the trials will be combined into a single database.

312 Risk of bias assessment and certainty of evidence appraisal

- 313 Included studies will be assessed for risk of bias by two reviewers, independently, using the criteria
- described in the Cochrane handbook (23): random sequence generation; allocation concealment;
- 315 blinding of participants and personnel; blinding of outcome assessment; incomplete outcome data;
- 316 selective reporting; and other bias. The quality of evidence will be assessed using the Grading of
- 317 Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) approach (24). Any
- 318 differences will be resolved by consensus or with a third reviewer.

319

320 **Primary outcome**

321 The primary outcome will be ic-PFS at 12 months, as determined by RANO-BM criteria (25).

322 Secondary outcomes

- 323 All outcomes and their definitions are detailed in Table 1. Secondary outcomes include OS, time to
- 324 whole brain radiotherapy, quality of life and adverse events of special interest (AESI).

325 Covariates and subgroups

- 326 Individual and study-level subgroup analyses will be conducted for ic-PFS. Individual-level
- 327 characteristics to be assessed include mutation type (EGFR exon19 deletion vs exon 21 L858R vs
- 328 uncommon sensitising mutations, pending numbers), line of therapy (first vs second), number of BM
- 329 (either: <4 vs \geq 4 or 1 vs \geq 2, pending total numbers), diameter of largest lesion (\leq 15mm vs >15mm),
- age at baseline (<70 vs ≥70 years), sex (male vs female), country of treatment (Singapore vs
- 331 Australia vs Canada), ethnicity (Asian vs other), smoker (never vs ex or current smoker), extracranial
- disease presence at baseline (present vs absent), and ECOG performance status (0 vs \geq 1). If data are
- insufficient for the pre-specified subgroup analyses, categories will be reassessed prior to any
- analyses, by consensus of the STARLET collaboration.

335 Data analysis

A detailed statistical analysis plan will be prepared and agreed on by the STARLET Collaboration

337 members prior to any analyses being undertaken. Analyses will include all randomised participants

- 338 who meet the inclusion criteria, for which IPD are available. All analyses will be based on randomised
- 339 treatment allocation (intention to treat principle).

340 For the primary outcome of ic-PFS at 12 months, cumulative incidence estimates taking into account

- 341 the competing risk of extracranial progression (with their variances) from the trials will be pooled
- 342 using inverse variance weighting (two stage approach). Other secondary outcomes will be examined
- 343 using Cox regression or linear models, adjusted for study (one stage approach). Heterogeneity of

- 344 treatment effects across trials will be estimated using I², and investigated by fitting a trial-by-
- 345 treatment interaction term to the models. Any heterogeneity identified will be explored further.
- 346 Differences in treatment effect between the pre-specified subgroups will be examined by testing a
- 347 treatment by subgroup interaction term within a Fine-Gray regression model for ic-PFS, taking into
- 348 account competing risks. Findings of subgroup analyses will be reported as exploratory.
- 349 Missing data may be explored in sensitivity analyses using multiple imputation. Analyses will be
- 350 performed using SAS and the open-source software R (26).

351 Assessment of selection or publication bias

- 352 Potential selection bias and publication bias may be investigated by conducting a nested prospective
- 353 meta-analysis and comparing trials that were included prospectively versus those identified
- 354 retrospectively in a sensitivity analysis (if appropriate). Contour-enhanced funnel plots to examine
- 355 whether there are differences in results between more and less precise studies.

356 Adjustments for multiple testing

- 357 No formal adjustments will be made for multiple comparisons. However, we will follow Schulz and
- 358 Grimes' approach (27) and interpret the patterns and consistency of results across related outcomes
- 359 rather than focusing on statistical significance alone.

360 Planned sensitivity analyses

- 361 If possible, the following sensitivity analyses will be conducted for the primary outcome; including
- 362 published aggregate data combined with IPD in the meta-analysis compared to IPD alone and
- 363 including prospectively included trials only. Additional sensitivity analyses may be conducted on
- 364 other outcomes to determine the effect of missing data. These will be detailed in the statistical
- 365 analysis plan.

367 **Project management**

- 368 Membership of the STARLET Collaboration includes representatives from each of the trials
- 369 contributing IPD to the project. Trial representatives have the opportunity to contribute their expert
- 370 knowledge to the Collaboration and provide input into the protocols, statistical analysis plan, and
- 371 final results manuscript. The STARLET Collaboration will be responsible for data collection,
- 372 management and analysis, as well as communication within the Collaboration, including organisation
- 373 of virtual or face-to-face collaborator meetings.
- 374

375 ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

376 Ethical considerations

- 377 IPD will be provided by each included trial on the stipulation that ethical approval has been provided
- by their respective Human Research Ethics Committees (or equivalent), and participants gave
- informed consent before enrolment. Only trials with ethics approval will be included in these
- 380 analyses. Trialists remain the custodians of their own data, which will be de-identified before being
- 381 shared with the Collaboration.

382 **Publication policy**

- 383 Manuscripts will be prepared by the relevant members of the STARLET Collaboration, and circulated
- 384 for comment, revision and approval prior to submission for publication. Any reports of the results
- 385 from this study will be published either in the name of the collaborative group, or by representatives
- of the collaborative group on behalf of the STARLET Collaboration, as agreed by all members.

387

388

390 DISCUSSION

391	An IPD meta-analysis is considered the gold standard of systematic reviews, and has many
392	advantages over a standard aggregate approach. This includes the collaboration of a range of expert
393	trialists and biostatisticians in order to ensure that all possible RCTs are included and appropriate
394	analysis of outcomes are performed. Through prospectively collaborating, STARLET can pre-specify
395	the patient population, interventions, and outcomes clearly and harmonise trial protocols where
396	possible. Another clear advantage is the increase in statistical power. The two eligible RCTs
397	identified at this time are both Phase II RCTs that individually are not powered to identify a
398	statistically significant difference between treatments, but rather are looking for efficacy signals and
399	safety of treatment.
400	We will seek to address this with the use of a prospective meta-analysis to include published
401	aggregate data, and by encouraging planned and ongoing trials to collect our core outcomes and
402	share data.
403	Based on the recruitment timelines of the two trials identified, we plan to complete study
404	identification by end of 2023, IPD collection by mid-2024 and conduct the analyses and disseminate
_	
405	the results by mid-2025. These timelines may be adjusted if follow-up completes early, or if
405 406	the results by mid-2025. These timelines may be adjusted if follow-up completes early, or if additional trials are identified and not completed in time to provide data.
406	additional trials are identified and not completed in time to provide data.
406 407	additional trials are identified and not completed in time to provide data. The results of this systematic review will guide whether a Phase III study is required to inform clinical
406 407 408	additional trials are identified and not completed in time to provide data. The results of this systematic review will guide whether a Phase III study is required to inform clinical
406 407 408 409 410	additional trials are identified and not completed in time to provide data. The results of this systematic review will guide whether a Phase III study is required to inform clinical
406 407 408 409	additional trials are identified and not completed in time to provide data. The results of this systematic review will guide whether a Phase III study is required to inform clinical

413

414 **REFERENCES**

415	1.	Barnholtz-Sloan JS, Sloan AE, Davis FG, Vigneau FD, Lai P, Sawaya RE. Incidence proportions
416		of brain metastases in patients diagnosed (1973 to 2001) in the Metropolitan Detroit Cancer
417		Surveillance System. Journal of clinical oncology. 2004;22(14):2865-72.
418	2.	Rangachari D, Yamaguchi N, VanderLaan PA, Folch E, Mahadevan A, Floyd SR, et al. Brain
419		metastases in patients with EGFR-mutated or ALK-rearranged non-small-cell lung cancers.
420		Lung Cancer. 2015;88(1):108-11.
421	3.	Suh JH, Kotecha R, Chao ST, Ahluwalia MS, Sahgal A, Chang EL. Current approaches to the
422		management of brain metastases. Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology. 2020;17(5):279-99.
423	4.	Andrews DW, Scott CB, Sperduto PW, Flanders AE, Gaspar LE, Schell MC, et al. Whole brain
424		radiation therapy with or without stereotactic radiosurgery boost for patients with one to
425		three brain metastases: phase III results of the RTOG 9508 randomised trial. The Lancet.
426		2004;363(9422):1665-72.
427	5.	Sahgal A, Ruschin M, Ma L, Verbakel W, Larson D, Brown PD. Stereotactic radiosurgery alone
428		for multiple brain metastases? A review of clinical and technical issues. Neuro Oncol.
429		2017;19(suppl_2):ii2-ii15.
430	6.	Yamamoto M, Serizawa T, Shuto T, Akabane A, Higuchi Y, Kawagishi J, et al. Stereotactic
431		radiosurgery for patients with multiple brain metastases (JLGK0901): a multi-institutional
432		prospective observational study. Lancet Oncol. 2014;15(4):387-95.
433	7.	Gondi V, Bauman G, Bradfield L, Burri SH, Cabrera AR, Cunningham DA, et al. Radiation
434		Therapy for Brain Metastases: An ASTRO Clinical Practice Guideline. Practical Radiation
435		Oncology. 2022;12(4):265-82.
436	8.	Ramalingam SS, Vansteenkiste J, Planchard D, Cho BC, Gray JE, Ohe Y, et al. Overall Survival
437		with Osimertinib in Untreated, EGFR-Mutated Advanced NSCLC. N Engl J Med.
438		2020;382(1):41-50.

- 439 9. Soria J-C, Ohe Y, Vansteenkiste J, Reungwetwattana T, Chewaskulyong B, Lee KH, et al.
- 440 Osimertinib in Untreated EGFR-Mutated Advanced Non–Small-Cell Lung Cancer. New
- 441 England Journal of Medicine. 2018;378(2):113-25.
- 442 10. Mok TS, Wu YL, Ahn MJ, Garassino MC, Kim HR, Ramalingam SS, et al. Osimertinib or
- 443 Platinum-Pemetrexed in EGFR T790M-Positive Lung Cancer. N Engl J Med. 2017;376(7):629-
- 444 40.
- 445 11. Reungwetwattana T, Nakagawa K, Cho BC, Cobo M, Cho EK, Bertolini A, et al. CNS Response
- 446 to Osimertinib Versus Standard Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Tyrosine Kinase
- 447 Inhibitors in Patients With Untreated EGFR-Mutated Advanced Non–Small-Cell Lung Cancer.
- 448 Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2018;36(33):3290-7.
- 449 12. Wu YL, Yang JC, Kim DW, Lu S, Zhou J, Seto T, et al. Phase II Study of Crizotinib in East Asian

450 Patients With ROS1-Positive Advanced Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. J Clin Oncol.

- 451 2018;36(14):1405-11.
- 452 13. Yamaguchi H, Wakuda K, Fukuda M, Kenmotsu H, Mukae H, Ito K, et al. A Phase II Study of
- 453 Osimertinib for Radiotherapy-Naive Central Nervous System Metastasis From NSCLC: Results
- 454 for the T790M Cohort of the OCEAN Study (LOGIK1603/WJOG9116L). J Thorac Oncol.
- 455 2021;16(12):2121-32.
- 456 14. Vogelbaum MA, Brown PD, Messersmith H, Brastianos PK, Burri S, Cahill D, et al. Treatment
 457 for Brain Metastases: ASCO-SNO-ASTRO Guideline. J Clin Oncol. 2022;40(5):492-516.
- 458 15. Magnuson WJ, Lester-Coll NH, Wu AJ, Yang TJ, Lockney NA, Gerber NK, et al. Management of
- 459 Brain Metastases in Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitor-Naive Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor-
- 460 Mutant Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer: A Retrospective Multi-Institutional Analysis. J Clin
- 461 Oncol. 2017;35(10):1070-7.
- 462 16. Yu F, Ni J, Zeng W, Zhou Y, Guo T, Zeng Y, et al. Clinical Value of Upfront Cranial Radiation
- 463 Therapy in Osimertinib-Treated Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor-Mutant Non-Small Cell
- 464 Lung Cancer With Brain Metastases. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2021;111(3):804-15.

- 465 17. Thomas NJ, Myall NJ, Sun F, Patil T, Mushtaq R, Yu C, et al. Brain Metastases in EGFR- and
- 466 ALK-Positive NSCLC: Outcomes of Central Nervous System-Penetrant Tyrosine Kinase
- 467 Inhibitors Alone Versus in Combination With Radiation. J Thorac Oncol. 2022;17(1):116-29.
- 468 18. A Randomised Phase II Trial of Osimertinib With or Without SRS for EGFR Mutated NSCLC
- 469 With Brain Metastases. <u>https://ClinicalTrials.gov/show/NCT03497767</u>.
- 470 19. Study of Osimertinib + SRS vs Osimertinib Alone for Brain Metastases in EGFR Positive

471 Patients With NSCLC. <u>https://ClinicalTrials.gov/show/NCT03769103</u>.

- 472 20. Seidler AL, Hunter KE, Cheyne S, Ghersi D, Berlin JA, Askie L. A guide to prospective meta473 analysis. Bmj. 2019;367:15342.
- 474 21. Tierney JF SL, Clarke M. Chapter 26: Individual participant data. In: Higgins JPT TJ, Chandler J,

475 Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, Welch VA (editors), editor. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic
476 Reviews of Interventions version 6.0 (updated July 2019) ed: Cochrane; 2019.

- 477 22. Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, et al. Preferred reporting
- 478 items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement.
- 479 Systematic Reviews. 2015;4(1):1.
- 480 23. Higgins JPT SJ, Page MJ, Elbers RG, Sterne JAC. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews
 481 of Interventions: Cochrane, 2022; 2022.
- 482 24. Guyatt G, Oxman AD, Akl EA, Kunz R, Vist G, Brozek J, et al. GRADE guidelines: 1.
- 483 Introduction-GRADE evidence profiles and summary of findings tables. J Clin Epidemiol.
- 484 2011;64(4):383-94.
- 485 25. Luchi T, Shingyoji M, Sakaida T, Hatano K, Nagano O, Itakura M, et al. Phase II trial of
- 486 gefitinib alone without radiation therapy for Japanese patients with brain metastases from
- 487 EGFR-mutant lung adenocarcinoma. Lung Cancer. 2013;82(2):282-7.
- 488 26. Team RCD. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria2022.
- 489 27. Schulz KF, Grimes DA. Multiplicity in randomised trials I: endpoints and treatments. The
 490 Lancet. 2005;365(9470):1591-5.

4	91				
4	.92				
4	.93				
4	.94				
4	.95				
4	96				
4	.97				
4	98				
4	.99				
5	00				
5	01				
5	02				
5	03				
5	04				
5	05				
5	06				
5	07				
5	08				
5	09				
5	10				

511 Table 1: Outcomes for individual patient data meta-analysis

Outcome	Definition				
Primary outcome					
Intracranial progression free	Time from randomisation to intracranial disease				
survival (iPFS) at 12 months	progression, as defined according to RAN-NO				
Secondary outcomes					
PFS	Time from randomization to any disease progression				
Overall survival	Time from randomization to death				
Time to salvage whole brain	Time from randomization to salvage whole brain				
radiotherapy	radiotherapy				
Time to salvage SRS	Time from randomization to salvage stereotactic				
	radiotherapy				
time to local brain failure	Time from randomization to local brain failure				
time to distant progression	Time from randomization to distant disease progression				
QOL	QLQ-C30				
	QLQ-BN 20				
Adverse events of special interest	Rates of the following AE (for example): radiation necrosis,				
	neurocognitive impairment, edema cerebral, muscle				
	weakness right side, muscle weakness left side, Fatigue,				
	Gait disturbance, Headache, Seizures, Tremors, Lethargy,				
	Dizziness, Syncope, Stroke and Intracranial hemorrhage				