

1 **Prevalence and risk factors associated with *Haemophilus ducreyi* cutaneous ulcers in**
2 **Cameroon**

3 Philippe Ndzomo^{1,2}, Serges Tchatchouang¹, Earnest Njih Tabah^{3,4}, Theophilus Njamnshi^{3,5},
4 Mireille Victorine Noah Tsanga¹, Jude Alexis Bondi¹, Rebecca Handley⁶, Camila González
5 Beiras⁷, Jules Tchatchueng¹, Claudia Müller⁸, Simone Lueert⁸, Sascha Knauf⁸, Onana
6 Boyomo², Emma Harding-Esch⁶, Oriol Mitja⁷, Tania Crucitti⁹, Michael Marks^{6,10,11}, Sara
7 Eyangoh^{1*}

8
9 **Affiliations**

- 10 1. Centre Pasteur du Cameroun, Yaounde, Cameroon, Pasteur Network.
11 2. Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Science, University of Yaounde 1, Yaounde,
12 Cameroon.
13 3. National Yaws, Leishmaniasis, Leprosy and Buruli ulcer Control Programme, Ministry
14 of Public Health, Yaounde, Cameroon
15 4. Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine and Pharmaceutical Sciences,
16 University of Dschang, West Cameroon.
17 5. Department of Medical Laboratory Sciences, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of
18 Buea, Buea, Cameroon
19 6. Clinical Research Department, Faculty of Infectious and Tropical Diseases, London
20 School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK
21 7. Department of Infectious Diseases, Hospital Universitari Germans Trias i Pujol,
22 Badalona, Spain
23 8. Institute of International Animal Health/One Health, Friedrich-Loeffler-Institut, Federal
24 Research Institute for Animal Health, Greifswald - Insel Riems, Germany

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

25 9. Experimental Bacteriology Unit, Institut Pasteur de Madagascar, Antananarivo,
26 Madagascar

27 10. Hospital for Tropical Diseases, University College London Hospital, London, United
28 Kingdom

29 11. Division of Infection and Immunity, University College London, London, United
30 Kingdom

31

32 *Corresponding author

33 Email : eyangoh@pasteur-yaounde.org (SE)

34

35 **Abstract**

36 Epidemics of yaws-like cutaneous ulcers are regularly documented in children in the
37 tropics. They occur mainly in poor and remote communities without access to health facilities.
38 The integration of molecular tools into yaws control efforts has made it possible to describe
39 *Haemophilus ducreyi* (*HD*) as a major cause of cutaneous ulcers. The objective of this work
40 was to determine the prevalence of *HD* as cause of cutaneous ulcers, as asymptomatic carriage
41 and the risk factors associated.

42 A cross-sectional study was conducted in yaws endemic districts of Cameroon.
43 Participants included people presenting yaws-like ulcers and asymptomatic individuals. Swab
44 samples were collected from each participant and tested for *HD* and *Treponema pallidum* (*TP*)
45 using established qPCR method. Additionally, demographic, habitat, proximity, and hygiene
46 characteristics were collected using a structured questionnaire.

47 A total of 443 individuals, including 271 ulcer cases and 172 asymptomatic contacts,
48 were enrolled in this study. The prevalence of *HD* in ulcers was 30.3% (Confidence Interval
49 (CI) 95% [24.8 – 35.7]) and the prevalence of asymptomatic *HD* carriage was 8.6% (CI95%

50 [4.5 – 12.9]). *TP* was also detected in our sample among ulcer cases but in lower proportion
51 (5.2% CI95% [2.5 – 7.8]) compared to *HD*. The adjusted logistic regression model showed that
52 women were as much at risk of having *HD* cutaneous ulcer as men regardless of age; physical
53 proximity to a confirmed ulcer case was the major factor favouring *HD* transmission. *HD* ulcers
54 were more likely to be present on Bantu individuals compare to Baka as well as *HD*
55 colonization.

56 Data from this study highlight *HD* as the most common cause of cutaneous ulcers in
57 yaws-endemic communities in Cameroon. The real issues of *HD* detection on intact skin are
58 not yet clear. Further studies are needed to elucidate the implications of this carriage in the
59 spread dynamics of the disease.

60

61 **Author summary**

62 Cutaneous ulcers are commonly found affecting children in low-income countries of
63 Africa and the South Pacific. In rural and remote communities of Cameroon the limited access
64 to health care and shortage of sanitation is associated with a high morbidity of cutaneous ulcers.
65 The latter represent an important cause of suffering and stigmatization in children. *HD* is
66 commonly known as the causative agent of the sexually transmitted infection chancroid and has
67 been recently described as a leading cause of cutaneous ulcers in yaws-endemic regions. In this
68 study, we investigated the presence of *HD* and the associated risk factors. Our findings indicate
69 a prevalence of *HD* associated with cutaneous ulcers of 30.3% and a prevalence of
70 asymptomatic *HD* carriage of 5.2%. Physical proximity to a confirmed ulcer case, Bantu
71 ethnicity, and the use of traditional latrines were the main risk factors associated with *HD* ulcers.
72 *TP* DNA was detected in some cutaneous ulcer samples but in lower proportion compared to
73 *HD*. This study confirms that *HD* is a leading cause of cutaneous ulcer in yaws endemic areas
74 in Cameroon. National control programmes in endemic countries should therefore consider this

75 pathogen in their strategies for controlling and eliminating skin neglected tropical diseases
76 (NTDs).

77 **Introduction**

78 Cutaneous ulcers remain a public health problem in many parts of the world especially
79 in the South Pacific, South East Asia, and West and Central Africa [1–3]. They predominantly
80 affect children living in remote communities with limited water and hygiene and who lack
81 access to health services. The clinical manifestations of cutaneous ulcers vary depending on the
82 causative organism and can range from small sores to multiple deep lesions which can evolve
83 to bone damage [1].

84 In Africa, South East Asia and the South Pacific Islands most cutaneous ulcers in
85 children have been attributed to yaws, caused by *Treponema pallidum* subsp. *pertenue* (*TPE*)
86 [4,5] based on a clinical diagnosis which is known to be unreliable [6–8] . PCR which is the
87 gold standard diagnosis has made it possible to detect other aetiological agents of cutaneous
88 ulcers such as *HD*, which is often co-endemic and may also occur as a co-morbidity with *TPE*.

89 *HD* is a fastidious Gram negative coccobacillus traditionally considered as the causative
90 agent of chancroid, a sexually transmitted infection characterized by the appearance of ulcers
91 on the genitals accompanied by suppurative lymphadenopathy [9]. In recent years, multiple
92 studies have reported cutaneous ulcers caused by *H. ducreyi*, including Papua New Guinea [1],
93 [10], the Solomon Islands [11], the Fidji Islands [12], Vanuatu [13], Indonesia [14], Sudan [15],
94 Ghana [16] and Cameroon [17].

95 The true extent of the burden of *HD* cutaneous ulcers is still unclear, but several studies
96 have established that *HD* may account for 20-60% of skin ulcers clinically diagnosed as yaws
97 [1] [10] [18–20]. Moreover, *HD* was found colonizing fomites and the healthy skin of
98 asymptomatic contacts [21].

99 The epidemiology of *HD* remains poorly understood. In most endemic areas of Africa
100 and the South Pacific, the prevalence of *HD* as a cause of genital or cutaneous ulcers is not
101 known due to the lack of confirmatory diagnosis in most settings. Traditionally diagnosis relied
102 on culture but this was challenging due to special conditions required for culture which were
103 not available in low and middle income country health services [22]. Today, nucleic acid
104 amplification tests (NAATs) represent an alternative approach. Whilst they still require
105 infrastructure and well-trained personnel, the stringent sample transport requirements which
106 create a barrier to culture *HD* are not needed for NAATs [23,24].

107 Several factors have been associated with the transmission of certain skin NTDs such
108 as yaws; these include demographic characteristics (age, sex), hygiene and sanitation indicators
109 (poor hand-washing habits, sharing of clothes, frequency of bathing and contact with infected
110 individuals) and housing characteristics (inadequate sanitation, overcrowded houses) [25], but
111 we lack information on the risk factors for *HD* cutaneous ulcers. The limited information that
112 is available suggests there may be both an environmental reservoir and a role for vectors in
113 transmission and these may partly explain the persistence of *HD* ulcers following azithromycin
114 mass drug administration [21].

115 To address these gaps, we designed a study to determine the prevalence of *HD* as a cause
116 of cutaneous ulcers and the frequency of asymptomatic carriage in yaws endemic districts of
117 Cameroon and to identify associated risk factors. These districts were mainly made up of two
118 major ethnic groups: the Baka and the Bantu. The Baka, also known as pygmies, are a semi-
119 sedentary group of people who live in forest camps and sleep in mud or straw huts. They live
120 essentially from hunting, gathering and, to a lesser extent, from farming, for which they are
121 employed as labourers by their Bantu neighbours, who are sedentary and form a more
122 developed community with modern behaviour [26].

123 **Methods**

124 **Ethic statement**

125 This study was approved by the National Ethics Committee for Human Health Research
126 (N°2020 / 12/ 1327/ CE/ CNERSH/ SP) and the Ministry of public Health (approval N°631-
127 021). All participants or their legal representatives provided written informed consent.

128 **Study setting and selection of participants.**

129 We conducted a cross-sectional study from May 2021 to May 2022 in 14 health districts
130 in four regions of Cameroon which have been identified as endemic for yaws by the National
131 Yaws, Leishmaniasis, Leprosy and Buruli Ulcer Control Programme (CNLP2LUB). The
132 selected health districts included: Messamena, Abong-Mbang, Iomé, Yokadouma, Mbang,
133 Doumé, Batouri, Bétaré-oya, Ndélélé in the East region; Sangmelima, Djoum, Lolodorf in the
134 South region, Bankim in the Adamaoua region and Maroua in the Far North region (Fig 1).
135 Twelve of the 14 health districts participated in both the prevalence and risk factor survey, and
136 the remaining two districts, Maroua and Ndélélé, only participated in the prevalence survey as
137 the study overlapped with the field surveillance activities of the national control programme,
138 which conducted the investigations at these sites.

139 We carried out an active case searching for cutaneous ulcer cases using both school and
140 community-based activities. For communities with high school enrolment rate and whose
141 screening period coincided with the school year, the case searching was based in schools. For
142 communities with low enrolment rates or where the survey was conducted during the holidays,
143 the case search was house-to-house.

144 Participants underwent clinical examination and those with cutaneous ulcers were
145 identified and enrolled in the study as cases. Asymptomatic individuals living in the vicinity of

146 the cases, such as the same household or classroom, were enrolled as controls. In addition, we
147 also enrolled asymptomatic participants from households without cases of cutaneous ulcers as
148 a further set of controls.

149 **Sample collection**

150 We collected samples from cutaneous ulcer cases by swabbing of the ulcerated lesions
151 and from asymptomatic participants by swabbing the front face of both legs with polyester
152 tipped swabs with polystyrene handle (Puritan sterile swabs, Cat #25-806 1PD, Puritan Medical
153 Products- Guilborg, UK). We placed the samples into 500 μ L of a custom-made lysis buffer
154 (10 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 0.1 M EDTA, pH 8.0 and 0.5% SDS) and kept them refrigerated (2- 8°C)
155 until transport to the Centre Pasteur du Cameroun (CPC) in a cooler, where they were stored at
156 -20°C.

157 **Laboratory assessment**

158 We extracted genomic DNA from 200 μ L of swab lysate using the QIAmp DNA mini
159 kit (Qiagen, Germany) according to the manufacturer's recommendations and eluted it in a total
160 volume of 100 μ L.

161 We performed on the samples three real time singleplex PCRs on the ABI prism 7500
162 thermocycler (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA). We first detected the *RNAse P* gene,
163 which codes for an endoribonuclease present in all living cells, and used previously described
164 primers and probe [27] to confirm the adequacy of the sample and the absence of amplifications
165 inhibitors. We targeted the V8 region of the 16S ribosomal RNA gene to detect the presence of
166 *HD* DNA in the samples. The PCR reaction was performed in a total volume of 25 μ L
167 containing 0.9 μ M of each primer and probe (Hd16SV8- F:
168 5'>TATACAGAGGGCGGCAAACC<3'; Hd16SV8-R:
169 5'>CCAATCCGGACTTAGACGTAC<3'; Hd16SV8- P: 5'>Fam-CAAAGG

170 GGAGCGAATCTCAC-BHQ1<3'), ABI TaqMan Fast advanced Master Mix and 2 μ L of the
171 DNA template. The cycling conditions were: UNG incubation at 50 °C for 2 minutes, pre-
172 denaturation at 95 °C followed by 40 cycles of denaturation 95 °C for 15 seconds, annealing
173 and extension at 60 °C for 30 seconds. We detected the presence of *TP* by amplifying the *polA*
174 gene (*tp0105*), which is a fragment conserved in all *TP* subspecies, using a protocol described
175 elsewhere [17].

176 **Questionnaire**

177 We administered a questionnaire to each participant (or their legal representative). We
178 collected data either via a smartphone into ODK collect [28] or via a paper form. The
179 questionnaire consisted of demographic characteristics, information about the house in which
180 the participant lived, data on possible exposure to cases of cutaneous ulcers and measures of
181 access to hygiene and sanitation. Local community health workers acted as a translator where
182 necessary for participants who did not speak English or French.

183 **Data management and analyses.**

184 Each participant was attributed a unique ID code at the moment of inclusion, and that
185 code was used to label his/her risk factor form and samples. The unique ID codes were used for
186 management and analysis of all data related to the participants.

187 We calculated the prevalence of *HD* as a cause of cutaneous ulcers in the study
188 population and how this varied by key explanatory variables. We then performed three analyses.
189 Firstly, we compared individuals with and without a cutaneous ulcer; secondly, we compared
190 characteristics of individuals whose cutaneous ulcers were or were not caused by *HD*; finally,
191 we compared the characteristics of asymptomatic individuals who were or were not identified
192 as carriers of *HD*. For each comparison we initially fitted a univariate logistics regression model.

193 We then fitted multivariable models adjusting for age, sex and variables which were significant
194 in the univariate analysis. All analysis were done with SPSS Statistics 20.

195 **Results**

196 **Description of the population**

197 A total of 24,610 people were clinically screened for cutaneous ulcers at the 14 study
198 sites. Overall, we enrolled 443 participants including 271 individuals with a cutaneous ulcer
199 and 172 asymptomatic contacts (controls). The sex ratio of males to females was 2.1 for ulcer
200 cases and 1.4 for asymptomatic individuals. The age of participants ranged from 2 to 60 years,
201 with a median age of 9 years (Inter Quartile Range (IQR) 7-11).

202 The overall prevalence of cutaneous ulcers in this population was therefore estimated to
203 be 1.1%. Of these *HD* was identified in 82 (30.3% - 95% CI 24.8 – 36.1%) giving a population
204 prevalence of *HD* ulcers of 0.3%. Eight out of 14 study sites had at least one cutaneous ulcer
205 associated with *HD* (Fig 1). The proportion of ulcers caused by *H. ducreyi* was varied: with
206 Doumé, Maroua and Bankim having the highest proportions of 73.3 % (33/ 45), 72.7 % (8/ 11)
207 and 68.2% (30/ 44) respectively. Amongst asymptomatic controls *HD* was detected in 15 out
208 of 172 participants (8.7% - 95% CI 5 – 14%) (Table 1). All cases of asymptomatic colonisation
209 were detected in only two sites, Bankim (14/15) and Yokadouma (1/15) (S1 Table).

210

211 **Fig 1. Geographic location of sampling sites and sites with *HD* confirmed ulcer cases**

212 Of 271 individuals with a cutaneous ulcer *TP* DNA was detected in 14 (5.2% - 95% CI
213 2.9 – 8.5%). Cases of yaws were found in only two health districts Mbang (13/14) and Lomié
214 (1/14) and 92.9% were from Baka communities (13/14). Treponemal DNA was detected only
215 in one asymptomatic individual (0.6% - 95% CI 0 – 3.2%) from Mbang (Fig 2).

216

217 **Fig 2. Appearance of cutaneous ulcers similar to yaws in children in Cameroon.** Legend:

218 A, B, C, D: *HD* cutaneous ulcers; E: yaws ulcer; F: idiopathic ulcer (negative for both *TP* and
219 *HD*)

220

221 **Table 1: Proportion of individuals in whom *HD* and *TP* were detected.**

Germ detected	Individuals with a cutaneous ulcer N = 271	Asymptomatic individuals N = 172
<i>HD</i>	82 (30.3 %)	15 (8.7 %)
<i>TP</i>	14 (5.2 %)	1 (0.6 %)

222

223 Of the 443 participants enrolled in the prevalence study 245 (55.3%) being 127
224 individuals with ulcers and 118 asymptomatic individuals, completed the risk factor
225 questionnaire and were included in the risk factor analysis.

226 Both univariate and multivariable analysis revealed that children aged 0-4 years
227 (adjusted Odd Ratio (aOR): 2.91 - 95% CI 1.02– 8.34, $p = 0.046$) and those aged 15 years and
228 over (aOR: 5.94 - 95% CI 1.15– 30.70, $p = 0.034$) were more likely to have a cutaneous ulcer
229 (Table 2). Females were about half less likely to have a cutaneous ulcer (aOR: 0.51 – 95% CI
230 0.28– 0.93, $p = 0.028$). Members of the Bantou ethnic group had increased odds of yaws like ulcer
231 compared to Baka (aOR = 0.28 - 95% CI 0.14– 0.59, $p = 0.001$). Some hygiene factors were
232 associated with a reduced risk of cutaneous ulcers including not sharing their clothes with others
233 (aOR: 0.45 – 95% CI 0.25– 0.81, $p = 0.008$), and living a large distance from a bin (aOR: 0.37
234 – 95% CI 0.20– 550.69, $p = 0.002$) but many other household and hygiene related variables did
235 not appear to be associated (Table 2).

236 **Table 2: Risk factors associated to yaws like cutaneous ulcers**

Characteristics	Total	Presence of ulcer		P-value	Bivariate analysis		Multivariate analysis	
		No (%)	Yes (%)		Crude OR (95% CI)	P-value	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	P-value
Range of age				0.353				
0-4 years	24	11 (45.8%)	13 (54.2%)		1.39 (0.57-3.36)	0.469	2.91 (1.02-8.34)	0.046
5-9 years	113	61 (54.0%)	52 (46.0%)		Ref.		Ref.	
10-14 years	99	43 (43.4%)	56 (56.6%)		1.53 (0.89-2.63)	0.126	1.26 (0.68-2.33)	0.458
15 years and over	9	3 (33.3%)	6 (66.7%)		2.35 (0.59-1.23)	0.244	5.94 (1.15-30.70)	0.034
Sex				0.007				
Female	85	51 (60.0%)	34 (40.0%)		0.48 (0.28-0.82)	0.007	0.51 (0.28-0.93)	0.028
Male	160	67 (41.9%)	93 (58.1%)		Ref.		Ref.	
Ethnicity				0.040				
Bantou	173	76 (43.9%)	97 (56.1%)		Ref.		Ref.	
Baka	72	42 (58.3%)	30 (41.7%)		0.56 (0.32-0.98)	0.041	0.28 (0.14-0.59)	0.001
Type of house				0.502				
Modern house	24	10 (41.7%)	14 (58.3%)					
Traditional house	221	108 (48.9%)	113 (51.1%)					
Type of latrines				0.404				
Open air	44	25 (56.8%)	19 (43.2%)					
Traditional latrines	198	92 (46.5%)	106 (53.5%)					
WC	3	1 (33.3%)	2 (66.7%)					
Sharing bed with other people				0.212				
No	34	13 (38.2%)	21 (61.8%)					
Yes	211	105 (49.8%)	106 (50.2%)					
Sharing clothes with others				0.040				

No	108	60 (55.6%)	48 (44.4%)	0.59 (0.35-0.98)	0.040	0.45 (0.25-0.81)	0.008
Yes	137	58 (42.3%)	79 (57.7%)	Ref.		Ref.	
Proximity to an ulcer case				0.411			
No	85	44 (51.8%)	41 (48.2%)				
Yes	160	74 (46.2%)	86 (53.8%)				
Proximity with a bin				0.001			
Far	78	50 (64.1%)	28 (35.9%)	0.38 (0.22-0.67)	0.001	0.37 (0.20-0.69)	0.002
Close	167	68 (40.7%)	99 (59.3%)	Ref.		Ref.	
Daily bathing				0.440			
No	186	87 (46.8%)	99 (53.2%)				
Yes	59	31 (52.5%)	28 (47.5%)				
Use of soap for bath				0.338			
Occasionally	159	73 (45.9%)	86 (54.1%)				
Always	86	45 (52.3%)	41 (47.7%)				
Constant wearing of shoes				0.054			
No	136	58 (42.6%)	78 (57.4%)				
Yes	109	60 (55.0%)	49 (45.0%)				
Frequency of clothing change				0.003			
after a maximum of 2 days	62	40 (64.5%)	22 (35.5%)	0.41 (0.22-0.74)	0.003	0.43 (0.17-0.67)	0.002
after at least 3 days	183	78 (42.6%)	105 (57.4%)	Ref.		Ref.	

237 Legend: Ref= Reference modality.

238 Among individuals with cutaneous ulcers, we found that members of the Bantu ethnic
239 group were more likely to have *HD* as the causative agent than Baka (51.5% vs 0%, $p= 0.001$)
240 as well as people who relieved themselves in traditional latrines (98% (50/51) of confirmed
241 cases used traditional toilets) (Table 3). In addition, there was some evidence that close contact
242 with a cutaneous ulcer case was associated with both *HD* cutaneous ulcer (aOR: 0.27- 95% CI
243 0.11-0.68, $p= 0.005$) and asymptomatic carriage (close: 20.3% vs not close: 0%, $p= 0.005$). We
244 also found that the 15 asymptomatic *HD* positive cases were all Bantu (Table 4).

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

Table 3. Risk factors associated to *HD* cutaneous ulcers

Characteristics	Total	<i>HD</i> ulcer		P-value	Bivariate analysis		Multivariate analysis	
		Negative (%)	Positive (%)		Crude OR (95% CI)	P-value	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	P-value
Range of age				0.228				
0-4 years	13	10 (76.9%)	3 (23.1%)		0.46 (0.11-1.87)	0.281	3.97 (0.34-45.27)	0.266
5-9 years	52	27 (51.9%)	25 (48.1%)		1.43 (0.67-3.07)	0.358	2.04 (0.83-5.01)	0.118
10-14 years	56	34 (60.7%)	22 (39.3%)		Ref.		Ref.	
15 years and over	6	5 (83.3%)	1 (16.7%)		0.31 (0.03-2.83)	0.298	0.47 (0.04-5.44)	0.548
Sex				0.789				
Female	34	21 (61.8%)	13 (38.2%)		0.90 (0.40-2.01)	0.789	1.25 (0.46-3.42)	0.662
Male	93	55 (59.1%)	38 (40.9%)		Ref.		Ref.	
Ethnicity				> 0.001				
Bantou	99	48 (48.5%)	51 (51.5%)		Ref.		Ref.	
Baka	28	28 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)		1	-	1	
Type of house				0.426				
Modern house	14	7 (50.0%)	7 (50.0%)					
Traditional house	113	68 (61.1%)	44 (38.9%)					
Type of latrines				0.001				
Open air	19	19 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)		1	-	1	-
Traditional latrines	106	56 (52.8%)	50 (47.2%)		Ref.		Ref.	
WC	2	1 (50.0%)	1 (50.0%)		1.12 (0.61-1.31)	0.560	1.31 (0.05-32.83)	0.870
Sharing bed with other people				0.833				
No	21	13 (61.9%)	8 (38.1%)					
Yes	106	63 (59.4%)	43 (40.6%)					
Sharing clothes with others				0.787				

No	48	28 (58.3%)	20 (41.7%)				
Yes	79	48 (60.8%)	31 (39.2%)				
Proximity to an ulcer case				0.034			
No	41	30 (73.2%)	11 (26.8%)		0.42 (0.19-0.95)	0.037	0.27 (0.11-0.68)
Yes	86	46 (53.5%)	40 (46.5%)		Ref		Ref
Proximity with the bin				0.327			
Far	28	19 (67.9%)	9 (32.1%)				
Near	99	57 (57.6%)	42 (42.4%)				
Daily bathing				0.741			
No	99	60 (60.6%)	39 (39.4%)				
Yes	28	16 (57.1%)	12 (42.9%)				
Use of soap for bath				0.857			
Occasionally	86	51 (59.3%)	35 (40.7%)				
Rarely	41	25 (61.0%)	16 (39.0%)				
Constant wearing of shoes				0.319			
No	78	44 (56.4%)	34 (43.6%)				
Yes	49	32 (65.3%)	17 (34.7%)				
Frequency of clothing change				0.380			
After no more than 2 days	22	15 (68.2%)	7 (31.8%)				
After at least 3 days	105	61 (58.1%)	44 (41.9%)				

259 Legend: Ref= Reference modality.

260 **Table 4. Risk factors associated to the carriage of *HD***

Characteristics	Total	Detection of <i>HD</i>		P-value	Bivariate analysis		Multivariate analysis	
		No (%)	Yes (%)		Crude OR (95% CI)	P-value	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	P-value
Range of age				0.325				
0-4 years	11	11 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)		1	-	1	-
5-9 years	61	54 (88.5%)	7 (11.5%)		Ref.		Ref.	
10-14 years	43	35 (81.4%)	8 (18.6%)		1.76 (0.59-5.30)	0.312	0.93 (0.27-3.18)	0.906
15 years and over	3	3 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)		1	-	1	-
Sex				0.166				
Female	51	47 (92.2%)	4 (7.8%)		0.43 (0.13-1.45)	0.175	0.63 (0.16-2.44)	0.507
Male	67	56 (83.6%)	11 (16.4%)		Ref.		Ref.	
Ethnicity				0.002				
Bantou	76	61 (80.3%)	15 (19.7%)		Ref.			
Baka	42	42 (100%)	0 (0.0%)		1	-	1	-
Type of house				0.086				
Modern house	10	7 (70.0%)	3 (30.0%)					
Traditional house	108	96 (88.9%)	12 (11.1%)					
Type of latrines				0.088				
Open air	25	25 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)					
Traditional latrines	92	77 (83.7%)	15 (16.3%)					
WC	1	1 (100%)	0 (0.0%)					
Sharing bed with other people				0.234				
No	13	10 (76.9%)	3 (23.1%)					
Yes	105	93 (88.6%)	12 (11.4%)					
Sharing clothes with others				0.837				

No	60	52 (86.7%)	8 (13.3%)		
Yes	58	51 (87.9%)	7 (12.1%)		
Proximity to an ulcer case				0.001	
No	44	44 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)		1
Yes	74	59 (79.7%)	15 (20.3%)		Ref
Proximity with the bin				0.139	
Far	50	41 (82.0%)	9 (18.0%)		
near	68	62 (91.2%)	6 (8.8%)		
Daily bathing				0.970	
No	87	76 (87.4%)	11 (12.6%)		
Yes	31	27 (87.1%)	4 (12.9%)		
Use of soap for bath				0.874	
Occasionally	73	64 (87.7%)	9 (12.3%)		
Rarely	45	39 (86.7%)	6 (13.3%)		
Constant wearing of shoes				0.368	
No	58	49 (84.5%)	9 (15.5%)		
Yes	60	54 (90.0%)	6 (10.0%)		
Frequency of clothing change				0.593	
After no more than 2 days	40	34 (85.0%)	6 (15.0%)		
After at least 3 days	78	69 (88.5%)	9 (11.5%)		

261 Legend: Ref= Reference modality.

262 Discussion

263 In this comprehensive study we found that approximately 1% of the screened population
264 in Cameroon had a cutaneous ulcer and that over 30% of these were associated with *HD*
265 infection, making it the single commonest causative organism identified. Ulcers were more
266 frequent in children and appeared to be related to several parameters regarding sanitation and
267 hygiene. We also detected asymptomatic colonisation with *HD* and this occurred exclusively
268 in people exposed to an individual with *HD* ulcer.

269 Consistent with some previous studies we found the proportion of cutaneous ulcers
270 associated with *HD* (30.3%) was much higher than those associated with *TPE* (5.2%). Our
271 previous surveillance-based study in Cameroon showed that *HD* was responsible for almost
272 half (49.6%) of yaws-like ulcers identified between 2017 and 2019, slightly more than twice
273 those caused by *TPE* [17]. In this study, some of the samples were collected from participants
274 who had washed and disinfected their ulcers prior to collection, which could have led to
275 degradation or low load of *HD* DNA. The previous study also predominantly involved
276 investigating reported outbreaks of cutaneous ulcers whilst the current study was conducted in
277 normal conditions in the community which may also have impacted on our findings.

278 We identified a number of sociodemographic characteristics alongside markers of poor
279 personal hygiene and sanitation behaviours that were associated with an increased risk of
280 cutaneous ulcers. Apart from a study in 2017 that did not find a significant association between
281 age and cutaneous ulcers [29], our results are consistent with the findings of a case-control
282 study in Ghana where raising age was associated with increased odds of infection [25]. The
283 practice of activities likely to induce trauma or scratches such as farming, animal husbandry
284 and rough play tends to increase with age [30]; these smaller wounds could later serve as a
285 gateway to the bacteria responsible for infection [31]. We found the proportion of men affected

286 by cutaneous ulcers was slightly higher than that of women which has been reported elsewhere.
287 This may be partially attributed to host biological factors [32] and to a higher risk of
288 microtrauma amongst boys and men. Ethnicity was the only socio-demographic variable
289 studied associated both for general cutaneous ulcers and for *HD* ulcers, with Bantu people being
290 more likely to get infected by *HD* cutaneous ulcers compared to Baka people. These unexpected
291 results contrast with several previously published reports. Most of the cutaneous ulcers which
292 correspond to bacterial ulcerative conditions affect preferentially poor people living in warm,
293 moist climates and mainly in forested tropical regions [33,34]. This correspond to the natural
294 environment of the Baka population of Central Africa which until then constituted the most at
295 risks community in this region [35–38].

296 We found people in close and constant contact with other cutaneous ulcer cases were
297 three times more likely to be infected and most of the cases of *HD* ulcers were detected in
298 schools, among classmates. This school environment is characterised by close proximity and
299 frequent contact between children which could favour the transmission of *HD*. Nearly all
300 individuals with an *HD* ulcer made use of traditional latrines characterized by cracked mud
301 walls, damp earthen floors and lack of roof. These kinds of toilets might facilitate interactions
302 with flies which had been identified as possible mechanical vector for the transmission of *TPE*
303 and *HD*. DNA of *HD* has been detected in flies collected from areas immediately outside the
304 houses of individuals with cutaneous ulcers [21]. We also found people who shared their clothes
305 with others or only changed clothes infrequently had an increased risk of cutaneous ulcers
306 which is consistent with previous studies showing a higher risk among people who share
307 personal items such as towels, clothes and sponges [25,39,40].

308 *HD* was detected in 15 (8.7%) individuals without cutaneous ulcers which is about half
309 the rate seen in a previous study [21] . In the current study all asymptomatic individuals in
310 whom *HD* DNA was detected were linked to a confirmed case of *HD* cutaneous ulcer whereas

311 in the previous study *HD* DNA was found in almost the same proportion in asymptomatic
312 individuals exposed and not exposed to ulcers [21]. *HD* spreads naturally through skin contact
313 [33,41], and so far no real evidence of an alternative transmission route has been clearly
314 demonstrated. In this context, it seems plausible that the *HD* DNA detected in asymptomatic
315 individuals results from direct or indirect contact with confirmed ulcer cases.

316 Our study had some limitations. Firstly, because of the COVID-19 pandemic, we could
317 not visit the entire population of the community with many schools and households declining
318 to undertake the preliminary screening step of inclusion and this could have affected the
319 representativeness of our study population. We relied predominantly on detection of DNA and
320 not culture, which is technically challenging, and our data are cross-sectional in nature. As a
321 result, further studies are needed to draw more robust conclusions about the role of
322 asymptomatic colonization.

323 Our data confirm that *HD* is a leading cause of cutaneous ulcers in yaws endemic health
324 district in Cameroon and is associated with limited access to water and sanitation. Whilst we
325 confirmed the evidence of *HD* colonization have further studies on its viability and implications
326 for transmission should be undertaken to help inform control strategies.

327 **Acknowledgements**

328 This study is part of the Lamp4Yaws EDCTP funded project (grant number RIA2018D-
329 2495 (grant number RIA2018D-2495). We would like to thank the field team of this study. We
330 thank all the study participants and their legal representatives for their time.

331 **References**

- 332 1. Mitjà O, Lukehart SA, Pokowas G, Moses P, Kapa A, Godornes C, et al. Haemophilus
333 ducreyi as a cause of skin ulcers in children from a yaws-endemic area of Papua New

- 334 Guinea: A prospective cohort study. *Lancet Glob Heal*. 2014;2: 235–241.
335 doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(14)70019-1
- 336 2. Roberts SA, Taylor SL. *Haemophilus ducreyi*: A newly recognised cause of chronic
337 skin ulceration. *Lancet Glob Heal*. 2014;2: e187–e188. doi:10.1016/S2214-
338 109X(14)70197-4
- 339 3. Marks M, Mitjà O, Solomon AW, Asiedu KB, Mabey DC. Yaws. *Br Med Bull*.
340 2015;113: 91–100. doi:10.1093/bmb/ldu037
- 341 4. Gangaiah D, Webb KM, Humphreys TL, Fortney KR, Toh E, Tai A, et al.
342 *Haemophilus ducreyi* cutaneous ulcer strains are nearly identical to class I genital ulcer
343 strains. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2015;9: 1–20. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0003918
- 344 5. Gangaiah D, Spinola SM. *Haemophilus ducreyi* Cutaneous Ulcer Strains Diverged
345 from Both Class I and Class II Genital Ulcer Strains: Implications for Epidemiological
346 Studies. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2016;10: 1–8. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0005259
- 347 6. Kositz C, Butcher R, Marks M. Images in clinical tropical medicine: New diagnostics
348 for yaws. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2017;96: 3–4. doi:10.4269/ajtmh.16-0639
- 349 7. Marks M, Fookes M, Wagner J, Ghinai R, Sokana O, Solomon AW, et al. Direct
350 Whole-Genome Sequencing of Cutaneous Strains of *Haemophilus ducreyi*. 2018;24.
- 351 8. G-Beiras C, Ubals M, Corbacho-Monné M, Vall-Mayans M, Mitjà O. Yaws,
352 *Haemophilus ducreyi*, and Other Bacterial Causes of Cutaneous Ulcer Disease in the
353 South Pacific Islands. *Dermatol Clin*. 2021;39: 15–22. doi:10.1016/j.det.2020.08.002
- 354 9. Fleming DT, Wasserheit JN. From epidemiological synergy to public health policy and
355 practice: The contribution of other sexually transmitted diseases to sexual transmission
356 of HIV infection. *Sex Transm Infect*. 1999;75: 3–17. doi:10.1136/sti.75.1.3

- 357 10. González-Beiras C, Kapa A, Vall-Mayans M, Paru R, Gavilán S, Houinei W, et al.
358 Single-Dose Azithromycin for the Treatment of *Haemophilus ducreyi* Skin Ulcers in
359 Papua New Guinea. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2017;65: 2085–2090. doi:10.1093/cid/cix723
- 360 11. Marks M, Chi K, Vahi V, Pillay A, Sokana O, Pavluck A, et al. *Haemophilus ducreyi*
361 Associated with Skin Ulcers among Children , Solomon Islands. 2014;20.
- 362 12. Marckmann P, Hojbjerg T, von Eyben FE, Christensen I. Imported pedal chancroid:
363 Case report. *Genitourin Med*. 1989;65: 126–127. doi:10.1136/sti.65.2.126
- 364 13. McBride WJH, Hannah RCS, Le Cornec GM, Bletchly C. Cutaneous chancroid in a
365 visitor from Vanuatu. *Australas J Dermatol*. 2008;49: 98–99. doi:10.1111/j.1440-
366 0960.2008.00439.x
- 367 14. van Hattem JM, Langeveld TJC, Bruisten SM, Kolader M, Grobusch MP, de Vries
368 HJC, et al. *Haemophilus ducreyi* cutaneous ulcer contracted at Seram Island, Indonesia,
369 presented in the Netherlands. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2018;12: 1–9.
370 doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0006273
- 371 15. Humphrey S, Romney M, Au S. *Haemophilus ducreyi* leg ulceration in a 5-year-old
372 boy. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*. MOSBY-ELSEVIER 360
373 PARK AVENUE SOUTH, NEW YORK, NY 10010-1710 USA; 2007. pp. AB121–
374 AB121.
- 375 16. Ghinai R, El-duah P, Chi K, Pillay A, Solomon AW, Bailey RL, et al. A Cross-
376 Sectional Study of ‘ Yaws ’ in Districts of Ghana Which Have Previously Undertaken
377 Azithromycin Mass Drug Administration for Trachoma Control. 2015; 1–10.
378 doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0003496
- 379 17. Ngono JPN, Tchatchouang S, Tsanga MVN, Tabah EN, Tchualeu A, Asiedu K, et al.

- 380 Ulcerative skin lesions among children in cameroon: It is not always yaws. PLoS Negl
381 Trop Dis. 2021;15. doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PNTD.0009180
- 382 18. González-Beiras C, Marks M, Chen CY, Roberts S, Mitjà O. Epidemiology of
383 Haemophilus ducreyi infections. Emerg Infect Dis. 2016;22: 1–8.
384 doi:10.3201/eid2201.150425
- 385 19. Fegan D, Glennon MJ, Kool J, Taleo F. Tropical leg ulcers in children: more than
386 yaws. Trop Doct. 2016;46: 90–93. doi:10.1177/0049475515599326
- 387 20. Chi KH, Danavall D, Taleo F, Pillay A, Ye T, Nachamkin E, et al. Molecular
388 differentiation of treponema pallidum subspecies in skin ulceration clinically suspected
389 as yaws in vanuatu using real-time multiplex PCR and serological methods. Am J Trop
390 Med Hyg. 2015;92: 134–138. doi:10.4269/ajtmh.14-0459
- 391 21. Houinei W, Godornes C, Kapa A, Knauf S, Mooring EQ, González-Beiras C, et al.
392 Haemophilus ducreyi DNA is detectable on the skin of asymptomatic children, flies
393 and fomites in villages of Papua New Guinea. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2017;11:
394 e0004958. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004958
- 395 22. Bruisten SM, Cajro I, Fennema H, Pijl A, Buimer M, Peerbooms PGH, et al.
396 Diagnosing genital ulcer disease in a clinic for sexually transmitted diseases in
397 Amsterdam, The Netherlands. J Clin Microbiol. 2001;39: 601–605.
398 doi:10.1128/JCM.39.2.601-605.2001
- 399 23. Tekle-Michael T, Van Dyck E, Abdellati S, Laga M. Development of a heminested
400 polymerase chain reaction assay for the detection of Haemophilus ducreyi in clinical
401 specimens. Int J STD AIDS. 2001;12: 797–803. doi:10.1258/0956462011924425
- 402 24. Lewis DA. Epidemiology, clinical features, diagnosis and treatment of Haemophilus

- 403 ducreyi-a disappearing pathogen? *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther.* 2014;12: 687–696.
404 doi:10.1586/14787210.2014.892414
- 405 25. Okine RNA, Sarfo B, Adanu RM, Kwakye-Maclean C, Osei FA. Factors associated
406 with cutaneous ulcers among children in two yaws-endemic districts in Ghana. *Infect*
407 *Dis Poverty.* 2020;9: 1–9. doi:10.1186/s40249-020-00641-2
- 408 26. Attribution-noncommercial-sharealike CC, License I, By-nc-sa CC, Trust A. Of Apes
409 and Men : Baka and Bantu Attitudes to Wildlife and the Making of Eco-Goodies and
410 Baddies Author (s): Axel Köhler Published by : Ashoka Trust for Research in
411 Ecology and the Environment and Wolters Stable URL :
412 <https://www.jstor.org/stable/263965>. 2005;3: 407–435.
- 413 27. Who T, Centre C, Atlanta CDC, States U. CDC protocol of realtime RTPCR for swine
414 influenza A(H1N1) 28. *Control.* 2009;1.
- 415 28. Hartung C, Anokwa Y, Brunette W, Lerer A, Tseng C, Borriello G. Open data kit:
416 Tools to build information services for developing regions. *ACM Int Conf Proceeding*
417 *Ser.* 2010. doi:10.1145/2369220.2369236
- 418 29. Dzotsi E, Agana N, Ohene S-A, Adjabeng M, Aziz A, Odoom JK. Factors Associated
419 with Yaws Infections in the West Akim Municipality, Ghana. *Int J Trop Dis Heal.*
420 2017;22: 1–9. doi:10.9734/ijtdh/2017/32425
- 421 30. Costa e Silva L, Fragoso MI, Teles J. Physical Activity–Related Injury Profile in
422 Children and Adolescents According to Their Age, Maturation, and Level of Sports
423 Participation. *Sports Health.* 2017;9: 118–125. doi:10.1177/1941738116686964
- 424 31. Zachary JF. C H A P T E R 4 Mechanisms of Microbial Infections 1. 2020.
- 425 32. Janowicz DM, Ofner S, Katz BP, Spinola SM. Experimental infection of human

- 426 volunteers with *Haemophilus ducreyi*: Fifteen years of clinical data and experience. *J*
427 *Infect Dis.* 2009;199: 1671–1679. doi:10.1086/598966
- 428 33. Mitjà O, Asiedu K, Mabey D. Yaws. *Lancet.* 2013;381: 763–773. doi:10.1016/S0140-
429 6736(12)62130-8
- 430 34. Marks M, Lebari D, Solomon AW, Higgins SP. Yaws. *Int J STD AIDS.* 2015;26: 696–
431 703. doi:10.1177/0956462414549036
- 432 35. Pampiglione S, Wilkinson AE. A study of yaws among pygmies in Cameroon and
433 Zaire. *Brit J vener Dis.* 1975;51: 165. doi:10.1136/sti.51.3.165
- 434 36. Martin PM V, Gonzalez JP, Martin MH, Georges-Courbot MC, Palisson MJ, Georges
435 AJ. Clinical Aspects and Usefulness of Indirect Absorbed Immunofluorescence for
436 Diagnosis of Yaws in Central Africa. *J Clin Microbiol.* 1988. Available:
437 <https://journals.asm.org/journal/jcm>
- 438 37. Manirakiza A, Vilas Boas S, Beyam N, Zadanga G, Konamna FX, Njuimo SP, et al.
439 Clinical outcome of skin yaws lesions after treatment with benzathinebenzylpenicillin
440 in a pygmy population in Lobaye, Central African Republic. 2011. doi:10.1186/1756-
441 0500-4-543
- 442 38. Coldiron M, Obvala D, Mouniaman-Nara I, Pena J, Blondel C, Porten K. The
443 prevalence of yaws among the Aka in the Congo. *Med Sante Trop.* 2013;23: 231–232.
- 444 39. Tettey A. School of public health, college of health sciences, University of Ghana-
445 Legon, factors associated with yaws in the Ga West district of Ghana (A Case-control
446 study at Obom). University of Ghana. 2009. Available:
447 <http://197.255.68.203/handle/123456789/8816>
- 448 40. Sitanggang YA, Hutapea H, Suhardi S, Maladan Y, Wahyuni T, Rokmad MF.

- 449 Serologic observation and risk factor of yaws in Hamadi Public Health Center,
450 Jayapura. Heal Sci J Indones. 2017;8: 25–29. doi:10.22435/hsji.v8i1.6387.25-29
- 451 41. Lewis DA, Mitjà O. Haemophilus ducreyi: From sexually transmitted infection to skin
452 ulcer pathogen. Curr Opin Infect Dis. 2016;29: 52–57.
453 doi:10.1097/QCO.0000000000000226

454 **Supporting information**

- 455 **S1 file. Distribution of *H. ducreyi* and *T. pallidum* positive participants per site.** Legend:
456 CU= cutaneous ulcer case; AS= asymptomatic individual

Legend

- Study sites with no *H. ducreyi* cutaneous ulcer cases
- Study sites with [1-10[confirmed *H. ducreyi* cutaneous ulcer cases
- Study sites with [10-40] confirmed *H. ducreyi* cutaneous ulcer cases



