

The youth of tamale metropolis: understanding energy drink consumption, perceptions and related factors

Williams Kobik^{1¶*}, Paul Armah Aryee^{2¶}

¹ Department of Health and Nutrition, BIDO Ghana, Tamale, Northern Region, Ghana

² Department of Dietetics, University for Development Studies, Tamale, Northern Region, Ghana

* Corresponding author

E-mail: williamskobik@gmail.com

¶Williams Kobik, Paul Armah Aryee contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

Energy drinks have become a popular choice for young people seeking physical and cognitive boosts, with ingredients such as caffeine, taurine, and B vitamins aimed at improving academic, athletic, and alertness levels. However, the popularity of these drinks is also driven by low prices, taste, brand loyalty, and gendered marketing, with boys being more likely to consume them. Despite the supposed benefits, energy drinks have been associated with high-risk behaviours, deaths, and adverse health effects, especially related to cardiovascular risk. Meanwhile, in Ghana, the use of energy drinks is on the rise. Hence, this study aimed to examine the prevalence and consumption pattern, perception, and factors associated with ED consumption among the youth of the Tamale Metropolis. The study was cross-sectional consisting of 541 participants. The group consisted of 340 males and 201 females, between the ages of 15 and 45. A questionnaire was utilized to obtain data on the respondents' consumption patterns and perceptions of EDs, as well as their socio-demographic characteristics. The results of the study indicated that a large percentage of the respondents, 98.7%, had consumed energy drinks before, while 78.7% currently consume them. Respondents believed that energy drinks provided additional energy (81.00%) and reduced stress (62.30%). However, they also perceived side effects such as insomnia (60.60%) and restlessness (51.40%). Although the majority of respondents (83.4%) were unaware of the classification of energy drinks and their ingredients, side effects, and benefits. Age, marital status, level of education, work intensity, EDs served at gatherings, and knowledge of EDs was significantly associated with ED consumption ($p < .05$). Consumption was higher among those aged 26 to 35 years, singles, individuals with no formal education, and those with high work intensity. Energy drinks were consumed by the majority of the youth. The high consumption was also associated with low knowledge levels. It is recommended that public health and nutrition professionals should engage in further advocacy efforts to improve the youth's perception of EDs in a positive manner. In addition, lawmakers should use legislation to influence consumption rates and safeguard the health of consumers.

Keywords

Energy drinks, Consumption, Tamale Metropolis, Youth, Ghana

Introduction

Throughout history, humans have sought physical and cognitive enhancements to tackle challenging tasks. The rise of energy drinks (EDs) and their marketing strategies, aimed at boosting physical and cognitive performance, has led to a significant increase in consumption among young people [1]. Ingredients commonly found in energy drinks include caffeine, guarana, ginseng, taurine, glucose, L-carnitine, glucuronolactone, and B vitamins [2]. Despite their perceived benefits, factors such as low cost, taste, brand loyalty, and gendered marketing have contributed to their popularity. However, marketing campaigns geared towards masculinity have resulted in fewer girls consuming energy drinks than boys [3,4].

The energy drink market is one of the fastest-growing segments of the non-alcoholic beverage industry. Despite the notoriety of energy drinks being high in sugar and caffeine, their dangers have been largely overlooked. Although the European Union has stated that there is no evidence to support the argument that energy drinks pose a degree of toxicity to the extent of adverse health outcomes. However, case reports from around the world suggest otherwise [5–7].

Energy drinks have not only been associated with negative health effects and death but have also been linked to high-risk substance use and social deviance such as vandalism and reckless driving, resulting in accidents [8–11]. Acute effects include sudden cardiac death, high blood pressure, and endothelial dysfunction, which affect young people and those with underlying cardiovascular conditions. The usual ingredients found in energy drinks, including guarana, ginseng, taurine, and caffeine, have been associated with a range of adverse health outcomes [12]. Excessive sugar intake, which is often present in energy drinks, is also a contributor to overnutrition.

Sub-Saharan Africa is experiencing an upward trend in diet-related diseases, including hypertension, obesity, stroke, and heart disease, contributing to the rapid increase in the epidemic of non-communicable diseases. In Ghana, where energy drink consumption is on the rise, a survey revealed that 62% of student-athletes consume at least one can of energy drink per week. While the sale and use of energy drinks are not regulated in Ghana, developed countries such as France, Denmark, and Norway have banned energy drinks with high levels of caffeine and taurine. Some countries require that energy drinks are sold as medicinal products or with warning labels [13,14].

The overall aim of this study was to evaluate the youth's perceptions, consumption habits, and factors linked with energy drink consumption in the Tamale Metropolis. This study will make clear enable the understanding of the consumption habits of energy drinks among youth in the Tamale Metropolis will contribute to raising awareness, prompt more research in the area and influence policies and public health actions.

Methods

Study design

The study design was a descriptive cross-sectional.

Study area

The Tamale Metropolis is an urban area in the Northern Region with a population of about 360,579, 80.8% of which lives in urban areas and a size of 289.58 square miles [15]. Energy drinks are readily available through convenience shops and street vendors, and the area has a fast-growing business landscape where the trading of energy drinks thrives. Below is a map of the metropolis extracted from Google Maps.

Study site

The data were collected in the central business district of the metropolis.

Study population

The focus of this research was on individuals aged 15 to 45 years old. This age bracket was chosen for two reasons: Firstly, approximately 63.3% of the population aged 15 and above in the city are engaged in economic activities, making them financially capable of buying energy drinks [15]. Secondly, this group holds a particular belief about the advantages of these drinks, which impacts their decision to purchase them.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

The participants for this study were required to fall within the age range of 15 to 45 years and also be permanent residents of the Tamale metropolis. To verify residency, respondents were asked if they had lived in the metropolis for a minimum of five years. These criteria were set to ensure that the data collected was representative of the local population within this age bracket and who have had adequate exposure to the city's environment and social dynamics.

Sample size determination

The total population of youth (n) for this study, 383 was determined using Cochran's formula. The confidence interval was set at 95% with the z-score (t) being 1.96. The margin of error (m) was 0.05 and the prevalence of energy drink consumption (p) was estimated to be 46.7% [16].

Sampling technique

The Tamale Metropolis was purposively chosen as the study location, and respondents were selected for convenience. Specifically, study participants were chosen based on their presence in the central business district of the metropolis. Although convenience sampling was used to select participants, the process depended on the established inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Data Collection and Quality Management

The study collected data on individual respondents in the Tamale metropolis through semi-structured interviews using a pretested-structured questionnaire administered via face-to-face interviews with the aid of a Computer Assisted Personal Interview (CAPI) device. The questionnaire covered demographic information, anthropometry, and perception, with the latter section probing respondents' knowledge of energy drinks. The data was collected by enumerators who received a one-day training on questionnaire administration and translation into the local dialect. The questionnaire was divided into four sections covering sociodemographic characteristics, anthropometry, perception, and knowledge. Anthropometry involved measuring respondents' height and weight, while perception sought to probe respondents' understanding of

energy drinks, including their ingredients, brands, benefits, and adverse effects. Based on the responses, a score was generated, and respondents' knowledge was categorized as "poor," "good," or "excellent."

Data analysis

The collected data was transferred from the Kobo toolbox on mobile phones to Excel for cleaning and then to IBM Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS version 21) for analysis. The consumption patterns of energy drinks were analyzed using frequencies and percentages. For continuous data, correlations, means, and standard deviations were used. The relationship between exposure variables such as demographics and perceptions and consumption patterns was examined using Chi-square tests. A p-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant for all analyses.

Ethical considerations

The study followed ethical guidelines and obtained ethical approval from the Committee on Human Research and Publication Ethics (CHRPE) with Ref No: CHRPE/AP/256/22. Prior to data collection, informed consent was obtained from all participants, emphasizing their voluntary participation and the confidentiality of their information. Participants were provided with clear explanations regarding the purpose, procedures, and potential risks and benefits associated with the study. Participants were also assured of their right to withdraw from the study at any point without repercussions. To uphold privacy and confidentiality, personal identifiers were removed from the collected data, and data storage followed secure protocols. Only authorized researchers had access to the data, maintaining strict confidentiality throughout the study. The data was collected from 1st to 31st July 2022.

Results

The data gathered explored respondents' socio-demographic characteristics, knowledge, attitude, and practice regarding energy drinks. Analysis was done using various statistical tests, including T-tests, chi-square tests, Pearson's r correlation, and logistic regression, with a significance level of 0.05.

Socio-demographic characteristics of youth

The respondents had a mean age of 25.55 ($SD = 8.11$) years, with the majority (59.7%) falling in the 15-25 age range. Most respondents were male (62.8%), single (70.6%), practicing Islam (66.0%), and from the Dagomba ethnic group (55.1%). Around 44% of respondents were students, and a significant proportion had formal education. The majority (65.2%) engaged in labor-intensive work. An independent samples T-test revealed significant age differences between males and females ($t(366.66) = -2.04, p < .001$), with females ($M = 36.51, SD = 8.92$) being older than males ($M = 24.98, SD = 7.55$). However, there were no significant BMI differences between genders ($t(539) = 1.623, p = .105$). The chi-square test examined

associations between gender and general characteristics. Marital status did not differ significantly by gender ($X^2(4, N = 541) = 4.67, p > 0.05$). However, there were significant gender differences in the frequency of energy drink (ED) consumption ($X^2(1, N = 525) = 7.36, p = .007$) and mixing EDs with other substances ($X^2(1, N = 413) = 10.65, p = .001$). Employment status showed no significant gender differences ($X^2(3, N = 541) = 5.11, p = .164$), but work intensity had a significant relationship with gender ($X^2(1, N = 541) = 8.01, p = .005$). The level of education did not differ significantly between males and females ($X^2(4, N = 541) = 2.21, p = .697$). Alcohol consumption was significantly higher among males than females ($X^2(1, N = 541) = 36.94, p < .001$), and there were no female smokers ($X^2(1, N = 541) = 14.83, p < .001$) (Table 1).

Table 1. Socio-Demographic Characteristics of Sampled Youth of Tamale.

Variable	Total (541)	Male (340)	Female (201)	P-value
Age (yrs.), n(%)				.021
15-25	323 (59.70)	213 (39.40)	110 (20.30)	
26-35	128 (23.70)	82 (15.20)	46 (8.50)	
36-45	90 (16.60)	45 (8.30)	45 (8.30)	
Age, mean (SD)	25.55 (8.11)	24.98 (7.55)	36.51 (8.92)	.034
Weight, mean (SD)	61.65 (9.08)	63.69 (8.31)	58.3532 (9.39)	<.001
Height, mean (SD)	1.64 (.10)	1.66 (0.11)	1.6019 (.07)	<.001
BMI, mean (SD)	23.19 (3.86)	23.40 (3.85)	22.8418 (3.86)	.105
Marital status, n(%)				.335
Never Married	382 (70.61)	249 (73.20)	133 (66.20)	
Married/Cohabiting	141 (26.06)	82 (24.10)	59 (29.40)	
Separated	8 (1.48)	5 (1.50)	3 (1.50)	
Divorced	2 (.37)	1 (.30)	1 (.50)	
Widowed	8 (1.48)	3 (.90)	5 (2.50)	
Ethnicity, n(%)				.001
Dagomba	298 (55.10)	211 (39.0)	87 (16.10)	
Others	243 (44.90)	129 (23.8)	114 (21.10)	
Religion, n(%)				.059
Christianity	181 (33.50)	100 (18.50)	81 (15.00)	
Islam	357 (66.00)	238 (44.00)	119 (22.00)	
Traditional	3 (.50)	2 (.40)	1 (.10)	
Employment status, n(%)				.164
Student	228 (42.10)	134 (39.40)	94 (46.80)	
Unemployed	49 (9.10)	28 (8.20)	21 (10.40)	
Self-employed	143 (26.40)	99 (29.10)	44 (21.90)	
Employed	121 (22.40)	79 (23.20)	42 (20.90)	
Work intensity, n(%)				.005
Yes	353 (65.20)	237 (69.70)	116 (57.50)	
No	188 (34.80)	103 (30.30)	85 (42.30)	
Education level, n(%)				.697
None	44 (8.10)	29 (8.50)	15 (7.50)	
Primary	28 (5.20)	19 (5.60)	9 (4.50)	

JHS	80 (14.80)	49 (14.40)	31 (15.40)	
Secondary/vocational	184 (34.00)	121 (35.60)	63 (31.30)	
Tertiary	205 (37.90)	122 (35.90)	83 (41.30)	
Alcohol intake, n(%)				<.001
Yes	90 (16.60)	82 (24.10)	8 (4.00)	
No	451 (83.40)	258 (75.90)	193 (96.00)	
Smoking, n(%)				<.001
Yes	24 (4.40)	24 (4.40)	0 (.00)	
No	517 (95.60)	316 (92.90)	201 (100.00)	

The prevalence of energy drink consumption

Figure 1 illustrates that 98.7% of youth in Tamale have ever consumed an energy drink, and 78.7% of them are current consumers (Fig 1).

Fig 1. Prevalence of Energy Drink Consumption.

Consumption pattern of energy drinks

The analysis of the data revealed that the highest frequency of energy drink consumption among the study sample was weekly (70.9%), with smaller proportions consuming daily (36.9%) or monthly (2.2%) (Table 2). The majority of consumers (93.9%) consumed one bottle per sitting, while smaller proportions consumed two (4.1%), three (1.2%), or four (0.7%) bottles/cans. The evening was the preferred time for consumption (55.0%), and most consumers (62.0%) had been consuming energy drinks for 2 to 3 years without a specific reason (Table 2). Fig 2 shows that the primary reasons for consuming energy drinks were to reduce stress and tiredness (60.3%), followed by seeking an energy boost (34.1%) and the desire to stay awake (29.5%). Smaller proportions mentioned other reasons such as increasing concentration, boosting appetite, refreshment, pain relief, sexual endurance, aiding digestion, seeking a high, enjoying the taste, and facilitating weight loss. Only 31.26% of respondents encountered energy drinks at social gatherings. The majority encountered them at weddings (50.3%), while 39.4% encountered them at outdoor events, and a smaller proportion encountered them at parties (Fig 3 and 4). Among the respondents, 25.9% experienced side effects. Insomnia was the most commonly reported side effect (55.1%), followed by post-consumption fatigue (18.1%), palpitations (16.8%), and restlessness (13.1%) (Fig 5 and 6).

Table 2. Consumption Pattern Among the Youth in Tamale.

Variable	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Frequency of consumption of energy drink		
Daily	111	26.90
Weekly	293	70.90

Monthly	9	2.20
Number of bottles drank per sitting		
One	388	93.90
Two	17	4.10
Three	5	1.20
Four	3	0.70
Duration since consumption commenced		
One year and below	46	11.10
2 to 3 years	256	62.00
4 years and above	11	26.90
Preferred time of consumption		
Morning	31	7.50
Afternoon	155	37.50
Evening/ night	227	55.00
Reason for preferred time for consumption		
Energy boost for work or studies	83	20.10
To relax or refreshment	32	7.70
No reason	119	28.80
Manage fatigue and stress	76	18.50
Keep awake and concentrate	56	13.60
Craving	27	6.50
For its effects to dissipate by a certain time	20	4.80

Fig 2. Reasons for Consuming Energy Drinks.

Fig 3. The Proportion of Energy Drink Sightings at Social Gatherings.

Fig 4. Social Gatherings Where Energy Drinks Have Been Sighted.

Fig 5. The Proportion of Energy Drink Users Who Have Experienced Side Effects.

Fig 6. Side Effects Experienced by Energy Drink Users.

Knowledge and perception of energy drink consumption

Almost all of the respondents had heard about energy drinks (97.8%). The source of their information on EDs mainly came from Television (87.3%), friends (83.2%), the internet (51.6%), and radio (41.4%). With regards to known ingredients used for manufacturing EDs,

Caffeine (76.4%), Taurine (24.6%), Guarana (24.4%), and sugar (38.4%) were also considerably known compared to lesser possible ingredients such as ginseng (3.6%), and vitamins (5.1%). The popular brand was Rush energy drink (93.2%) (Table 3). The most perceived benefit of taking energy drinks was to provide extra energy (81.0%). A greater proportion (81%) of the respondents perceived that the benefit of energy drinks is to get extra energy and about sixty-two per cent perceive stress reduction as a benefit. About sixty-one per cent of the sample perceived that the adverse effect of energy drinks is insomnia (Table 3). The highest proportion of respondents (83.4%) had poor knowledge of EDs. Those with good and excellent knowledge of EDs were made of comparatively smaller proportions (15.7% and .9% respectively) (Fig 7).

Table 3. Knowledge and Perception of the Sample on EDConsumption.

Variable	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Ever Heard of Energy Drink		
Yes	529	97.80
No	12	2.20
Heard About Energy Drinks Through		
Television	462	87.30
Radio	219	41.40
media/internet	273	51.60
Friends	440	83.20
Billboard	11	2.10
Known Ingredients in Energy Drinks		
Guarana	129	24.40
Taurine	130	24.60
Salt	24	4.50
Caffeine	404	76.40
Water	326	61.60
Sugar	203	38.40
Ginseng	19	3.60
Colour	6	1.10
Flavour	20	3.80
Vitamins	27	5.10
Alcohol	45	8.50
Acid	19	3.50
Known Brand of Energy Drinks		
Storm	468	88.10
5-Star	472	88.90
Rush	495	93.20
Burn	37	6.80
Red Bull	46	8.70
Blue Jeans	119	22.40
Tamarinda	1	.20
Power Up	5	.90
Explode	10	1.90
Rox	53	10.00

Passion	12	2.30
Easy Sport	123	23.20
Run	146	27.30
Lucozade	31	5.80
Vim	56	10.40
10-10	66	12.20
Boss	16	3.00
Vody	26	4.90
Bullet	17	3.20
Next Level	12	2.30
Perceived Benefit of Energy Drink		
Increase work/study concentration	106	20.00
Give extra energy	430	81.00
Boost Appetite	61	11.50
Reduce stress	331	62.30
Nutrient source	1	.20
Prevents malaria	1	.20
Reduce or manage hunger	1	.20
High	1	.20
Pain killer	5	.90
Sexual stamina	5	.90
Aid digestion	2	.40
Increase work rate	1	.20
Lose weight	2	.40
Keep awake	48	9.00
Refreshment	4	.80
Perceived Side Effects of Energy Drinks		
Insomnia	322	60.60
Drowsiness	59	11.10
Restlessness	273	51.40
Headache	105	19.80
Addiction	20	3.80

Fig 7. Knowledge Level of Respondents.

Relationship between ED use and socio-demographic characteristics

An independent samples T-test revealed that consumers ($M = 24.4$, $SD = 7.6$) were generally younger than non-consumers ($M = 29.5$, $SD = 8.6$), $t(160.79) = -5.64$, $p < .001$. The Chi-square analysis indicated that the proportion of ED consumers decreased across different age categories: 264 (83.8%), 102 (82.9%), and 47 (54%) for the age groups 15-25, 26-35, and 36-45, respectively. Notably, each age group had a higher proportion of consumers compared to non-consumers, and the youngest age category (15-25) exhibited the highest proportion of users.

These frequency differences were statistically significant, $\chi^2(2, N = 525) = 37.8, p < .001$. The Chi-square test indicated a significantly higher proportion of male consumers (66.6%) compared to female consumers (33.4%), $\chi^2(1, N = 525) = 7.36, p = .007$. Educational level was also found to be significantly associated with consumption, $\chi^2(4, N = 525) = 19.14, p = .001$. Additionally, respondents with high work intensity were more likely to consume energy drinks, $\chi^2(1, N = 525) = 24.03, p < .001$. Although there was no significant association between consumption and alcohol intake, $\chi^2(1, N = 525) = 1.299, p = .254$, a significant association was found between consumption and smoking, $\chi^2(1, N = 525) = 6.196, p = .013$. Non-smokers (96.6%) had a considerably higher proportion of non-consumers, while smokers (3.4%) were more likely to consume energy drinks (Table 4).

Table 4. Association Between Energy Drink Use and Socio-Demography.

Variables	ED consumers, Yes (N= 413)	ED consumers, No (N= 112)	P-value
Age, mean (SD)	24.41 (7.62)	29.47 (8.64)	<.001
Age Categorized, n (%)			<.001
15-25	264 (63.90)	51 (45.50)	
26-35	102 (24.70)	21 (18.80)	
36-45	47 (11.40)	40 (35.70)	
Gender (M/F), n (%)			.007
Male	275 (66.60)	59 (52.70)	
Female	138 (33.40)	53 (57.30)	
Educational level, n (%)			.001
None	36 (8.70)	8 (7.10)	
Primary	25 (6.10)	2 (1.80)	
JHS	66 (16.00)	12 (10.70)	
Secondary/vocational	151 (36.60)	29 (25.90)	
Tertiary	135 (32.70)	61 (45.50)	
Work type, n (%)			.066
Employed	85 (20.60)	33 (29.50)	
Self-employed	111 (26.90)	28 (25.00)	
Unemployed	32 (7.70)	13 (11.60)	
Student	185 (44.80)	38 (33.90)	
Work intensity, n (%)			<.001
Yes	294 (71.20)	42 (46.40)	
No	119 (28.80)	60 (53.60)	
Alcohol intake, n (%)			.254
Yes	66 (16.00)	23 (20.50)	
No	347 (84.00)	89 (79.50)	
Smokers, n (%)			.013
Yes	14 (3.40)	10 (8.90)	
No	399 (96.60)	102 (91.10)	

Risk factors related to ED consumption

A logistic regression analysis was conducted to examine the predictive value of various factors, including age, gender, marital status, education level, work intensity, alcohol intake, smoking, BMI, energy drink consumption at social gatherings, and knowledge of energy drinks (EDs), on the likelihood of consuming EDs. The logistic regression model yielded significant results, $X^2(23, N = 525) = 149.17, p < .001$. The model accounted for 24.7% (Cox & Snell R²) to 38.3% (Nagelkerke R²) of the variance in consumption and accurately classified 83.0% of cases. Table 5 demonstrates that marital status, education level, work intensity, servings at gatherings, and knowledge level of EDs significantly contributed to the model.

Regarding specific variables, individuals in the 26-35 age group had 0.359 times lower odds of not consuming energy drinks compared to those in the 15-25 age group ($p = .014$, 95% CI .159, .814). On the other hand, individuals in the 36-45 age group had 1.515 times higher odds of not consuming EDs than those in the 15-25 age group ($p = .398$, 95% CI .578, 3.975). Separated individuals had 12.626 times greater odds of not consuming EDs compared to singles ($p = .007$, 95% CI 2.001, 79.644). Furthermore, tertiary-educated individuals had 3.634 times higher odds of not consuming EDs than those without formal education ($p = .025$, 95% CI 1.175, 11.237). Individuals with low work intensity had 3.692 times higher odds of not consuming EDs compared to those with high work intensity ($p < .001$, 95% CI 2.055, 6.634). Moreover, the likelihood of consuming energy drinks was 84% higher when consumed privately compared to being served at public gatherings ($p < .001$, 95% CI .092, .277). Additionally, individuals with good knowledge of EDs were 2.714 times more likely to not consume EDs than those with poor knowledge ($p = .004$, 95% CI 1.375, 5.348). However, age category 36-45, gender, marital status (married, divorced, widowed), work type, alcohol intake, smoking, BMI, and excellent knowledge of EDs did not have a significant impact on the model (Table 5).

Table 5. Logistic Regression Predicting the Likelihood of Consuming Energy Drinks.

Variables		OR	95% C.I.		P-value
			Lower	Upper	
Age in categories	15 – 25	1			.002
	26 – 35	.359	.159	.814	.014
	36 – 45	1.515	.578	3.975	.398
Gender	Male	1			
	Female	1.652	.951	2.869	.075
Marital status	Single	1			.043
	Married	.872	.392	1.942	.738
	Separated	12.626	2.001	79.644	.007
	Divorced	.925	.003	319.780	.979
	Widowed	3.208	.354	29.027	.300
Education level	None	1			.001
	Primary	.465	.075	2.890	.411

	JHS	1.069	.287	3.979	.921
	SHS/ vocational	.993	.316	3.124	.991
	Tertiary	3.634	1.175	11.237	.025
Type of work	Employed	1			.220
	Self-employed	1.624	.747	3.532	.221
	Unemployed	1.130	.398	3.208	.818
	Student	.700	.329	1.490	.355
Work intensity	Yes	1			
	No	3.692	2.055	6.634	<.001
Alcohol intake	Yes	1			
	No	.835	.387	1.802	.646
Smoking	Yes	1			
	No	.672	.192	2.359	.535
BMI categories	Underweight	1			.941
	Normal	.713	.242	2.098	.539
	Overweight	.696	.206	2.356	.560
	Obese	.730	.183	2.910	.655
EDs served at gatherings	Yes	1			
	No	.160	.092	.277	<.001
Knowledge level	Low knowledge	1			.010
	Good knowledge	2.714	1.378	5.348	.004
	Excellent knowledge	4.295	.467	39.493	.198

Discussion

Energy drinks are gaining popularity, but studies have linked them to harmful effects. Despite this, more people are consuming them for various reasons. Given the lack of policies in Ghana and aggressive marketing by energy drink companies, it is crucial to understand consumption patterns and predictors. This knowledge can help inform policies and educational efforts by public health professionals to address this growing phenomenon.

According to this research, a high percentage of the study population is aware of energy drinks, consistent with other studies [13,17]. The perceived benefits of these drinks align with their advertised effects, suggesting strong marketing efforts. However, negative effects are often learned through personal experiences rather than advertising. Therefore, obtaining information from friends and relatives can have a more positive impact on decisions about energy drink consumption compared to direct advertising. Other studies have also shown the influence of friends and advertisements on awareness of energy drinks, but the nature of this influence (positive or negative) remains undetermined [13,18].

The high brand awareness among respondents in this study suggests effective advertising and promotion strategies, as well as the visibility of various energy drink brands. Energy drink producers invest heavily in advertising through major outlets such as television, public signs, posters, and sponsorship. Another study found that the popularity of energy drinks is associated with advertising media [19]. Additionally, there is a strong link between energy drink use and exposure to brand advertisements. Advertisements primarily target the youth, who face high demands in their fast-paced lives and may turn to energy drinks as a quick solution. These products are often marketed with strong sports and entertainment themes, leveraging associations with influential celebrities. Advertisements specifically target youthful audiences, with males being the primary focus due to their association with physical activity and sports. Gendered advertising reflects the leveraging of higher involvement of males in sports. Overall, messaging in energy drink advertisements is often associated with sports and entertainment [20].

According to a study by Coso et al. [21], the most common ingredients found in energy drinks, comprising over 50% of the content, are caffeine, vitamin B6, sodium, niacin, and vitamin B12. Caffeine is the most well-known ingredient, with 76.4% awareness among consumers. However, there is a contrast in awareness of other ingredients such as taurine (24.6%), sugar (38.4%), and guarana when compared to similar studies [17]. The popularity of caffeine awareness can be attributed to its prominent marketing as a key ingredient in energy drinks. Lesser-known ingredients are not heavily marketed and may be too technical for consumers to be concerned about. This indicates that consumers of processed products, including energy drinks, prioritize the perceived or real benefits of the product rather than paying attention to its specific contents. Individuals may not fully understand the information provided on energy drink labels or simply place a high level of trust in the producers.

Rush, 5-Star, and Storm are the most popular energy drink brands in the northern region, with high levels of popularity ranging from 88.1% to 93.2%. These findings differ from other studies that identified brands like Black and Red Bull as popular choices. The variation in popularity could be influenced by factors such as economic and demographic conditions, product effectiveness, taste, and availability. The marketing efforts, affordability, and favourable taste of Rush, 5-Star, and Storm brands likely contribute to their popularity in the Tamale Metropolitan area, especially among the youth who prefer these drinks over more expensive premium brands like Red Bull and Blue Jeans.

Energy drinks are perceived to have several benefits, including increased alertness, improved work or study concentration, energy boost, stress management, and promotion of health and social relationships. However, when comparing these perceived benefits to older studies, there are loose similarities. Similarly, the study highlights insomnia, restlessness, headache, and drowsiness as commonly reported adverse effects of energy drinks, aligning with previous research findings [17].

Upon analyzing the data, it is evident that a large proportion of the sample population in this study has poor knowledge of energy drinks (EDs), accounting for 83.4%. This trend is consistent with other studies that have also reported a high rate of poor knowledge among participants [13]. The lack of warning labels and inadequate inclusion of risks and side effects in advertisements and messaging contribute to this poor knowledge [13,22,23]. However, in contrast to previous

findings, this study establishes a significant relationship between knowledge level and ED consumption. This suggests that low knowledge is associated with a higher prevalence of ED consumption. It is crucial to address the misconceptions and provide accurate information about EDs, as some respondents even mistakenly associate other carbonated drinks with EDs. Additionally, there is a lack of available information, particularly regarding the adverse effects incorporated in default marketing strategies. Overall, there is a pressing need to improve knowledge and correct misconceptions surrounding EDs among youthful consumers.

Attitude and practice

Despite the relatively low consumption rate of energy drinks in some environments [24], this study reveals that a significant proportion (78.7%) of the population currently consumes these beverages. This finding aligns with studies conducted in Sicily among adults (78%) and in Ho, Ghana among commercial drivers (75%) [13,17]. Moreover, an even higher percentage of individuals have ever tried energy drinks, with nearly the entire study population (98.7%) reporting consumption at some point. This trend is consistent with studies conducted among adolescents in Shanghai, China (70.5%) and in Ho (85.6%) [13,25,26]. The high prevalence of ever-consuming energy drinks may be attributed to factors such as curiosity and perceived utility. The increasing popularity of energy drinks can be attributed to various factors, including individual factors such as perceived benefits, experienced side effects, and limited knowledge about these beverages. Interpersonal factors like peer pressure, social image, and parental influence also play a role, along with environmental factors such as advertising and branding, affordability, availability, and the lack of policies and regulations.

The main reasons for consuming energy drinks reported by the respondents were performance enhancement, stress management, energy boost, and increased work or study concentration. Similar findings were observed in studies conducted among commercial drivers and students, who relied on energy drinks to manage fatigue, stay awake, and concentrate for extended periods [13,27–29]. Workers using energy drinks to enhance performance may pose risks to themselves and others in the workplace, while students use them to cope with academic pressure. Many energy drink users appreciate their ability to reduce sleep hours, enhance concentration, boost energy, and serve as refreshments. However, non-users tend to avoid them due to awareness of potential side effects [30]. Withdrawal symptoms were reported by energy drink consumers once the effects wore off, potentially leading to dependence and workplace accidents. Some users mix water with energy drinks to reduce their effects, which is seen as a positive health behavior. Adverse effects experienced by consumers included abdominal pain, chest pain, fatigue, constipation, insomnia, palpitations, diuresis, and muscle weakness. Although a wide range of adverse reactions have been reported by energy drink users, this study suggests a small proportion of users experienced side effects, possibly due to responsible consumption patterns within the sample population. Lack of information about adverse health outcomes in advertisements may contribute to negative perceptions based on user experiences, making word-of-mouth information sharing a crucial source of such information for the general public.

Energy drinks are particularly popular among young individuals, especially those in their early twenties, as supported by various studies. This can be attributed to the energy-demanding nature of their activities and the desire to save time. Young people's curiosity and inclination to follow trends also contribute to their higher consumption of energy drinks compared to older individuals. Additionally, this study found that males generally consumed energy drinks more frequently,

particularly at night, and experienced more side effects. These findings align with the marketing strategies of energy drinks, which often target young males and emphasize activities associated with youth and masculinity, such as sports. This focus on young males in energy drink marketing has been observed in multiple studies [20,26,31–34].

The findings of this study demonstrate a clear association between education level and the use of energy drinks (EDs). This relationship may be attributed to the high number of students in the population who rely on EDs for studying purposes. Furthermore, individuals engaged in intense and demanding work activities tend to consume more energy drinks compared to those with less intense work. Intense work is typically characterized by physically demanding tasks, long work hours, and limited breaks. One possible interpretation is that individuals in such occupations find energy drinks to be a convenient way to boost energy without interrupting their work for a meal. This is particularly appealing to young individuals in intense work settings who seek an affordable alternative to food, consume energy drinks quickly, maintain workflow without lengthy breaks, and replenish energy after major meals. It should be noted that while energy drinks may impact energy levels, they may not provide sufficient nutritional value and can lead to decreased reliance on natural foods and inadequate rest management.

On the other hand, individuals with low work intensity are less likely to consume energy drinks since they do not require the same level of energy boost due to their lower energy demands at work. Among energy drink consumers, a small proportion consists of smokers, suggesting that individuals who consume other substances may prefer alternatives to cigarettes. The lack of association between alcohol consumption and energy drink use may be attributed to taste preferences and concerns about the impact of combining the two on performance, which was the primary reason for consuming energy drinks among the participants in this study. Similar to previous studies by Casuccio et al. [17]. and Chang et al. [11], no significant associations were found between ED use and these variables. Furthermore, this study revealed that easy access to energy drinks corresponds to higher consumption rates. Energy drinks are readily available and easily accessible to children and young adults, with numerous brands found in every shop. Additionally, there is a lack of government regulations governing the sale and marketing of energy drinks. This unrestricted promotion and utilization of energy drinks contribute to their increasing appeal, popularity, and availability. The knowledge or experience of side effects also plays a role in influencing the use of energy drinks, as indicated by the study results.

The respondents in this study were primarily within the age range of 15-25 (63.9%), followed by 26-35 (24.7%), and 36-45 (11.4%) age groups. This aligns with previous studies that have shown a lower likelihood of energy drink consumption with increasing age [17,35,36]. It is also consistent with the finding that knowledge about energy drinks tends to increase with age [37].

Among the participants in this study, a high proportion (70.9%) consumed energy drinks on a weekly. A smaller percentage consumed them daily (26.9%) or monthly (2.2%). In contrast, a study by Subaiea et al. [22]. found a higher proportion of monthly consumers and fewer weekly and daily consumers. However, there are differences in the age range and age distribution between the two studies, which may explain the variation in consumption frequency patterns. Other studies have reported that most consumers tend to consume energy drinks weekly and have one drink per day [27,33,38].

Interestingly, the majority of consumers in this study started consuming energy drinks within the past 2 to 3 years. This coincides with the introduction of new and affordable energy drink brands in the Ghanaian market, suggesting that these factors contribute to the increasing popularity of energy drinks. The frequency of consumption is also influenced by the relatively low price of energy drinks, which makes them easily accessible to individuals with lower purchasing power. The data further indicates that the most consumed energy drinks are the cheaper brands such as Storm, Rush, and 5-Star.

While another study suggests that energy drink (ED) consumers do not have a preferred time of consumption, the participants in this study revealed a tendency to consume EDs in the evenings or at night [19]. This finding aligns with the study conducted by Chang et al. [11], where more than half of the consumers reported consuming EDs at night. This preference for evening consumption may be related to specific usage patterns, particularly among those who use EDs to study, stay awake, or manage stress and fatigue after a day of work. Consumers are less likely to consume EDs in the morning when they are already rested and do not require an energy boost. However, consumption rates tend to increase in the afternoon when workers start to feel tired from their morning activities.

ED consumption has been associated with smoking, alcohol intake, cannabis, medical drugs, and opioid use in previous studies [39,40]. However, EDs pose risks to mental health, cardiovascular health, dental health, metabolic health, and social well-being [41,42]. While some studies have reported an association between ED use and alcohol intake [43], this study's results align with a study by Peacock et al. [31] that found no significant association between ED use and alcohol consumption. The study population in this research consists of few alcohol users and smokers due to the strong religious affiliations among the participants.

Public places and events are the primary locations where EDs are consumed, as reported in previous studies. However, in this study, less than half of the participants mentioned consuming EDs at public gatherings. This differs from another study where a similar proportion of ED users reported consuming them at parties. Special occasions were found to have little influence on the use of EDs according to most users in this study, which contrasts with the findings of Casuccio et al. [17]. When it comes to mixing EDs with other substances, consumption at social gatherings seems to promote such behavior compared to consumption at home [44]. Approximately half of the sightings of EDs at social gatherings in this study were reported at weddings. These results differ from previous literature, possibly due to differences in study populations, demographics, and cultures. It is worth noting that the majority of users and non-users of EDs do not support the idea of mixing them with other substances, as supported by the study conducted by Chang et al. [11]. This is similar to user behavior in this study.

According to the findings of this study, individuals experience various side effects when the effects of energy drinks (EDs) wear off. These side effects include insomnia, fatigue, palpitations, restlessness, headaches, stomach aches, drowsiness, menstrual cramps, and nausea. It is worth noting that these results differ slightly from a previous study conducted by Subaiea et al. [22], which examined the consumption patterns of EDs among the Saudi population. The variation in reported side effects may be attributed to the different brands of energy drinks consumed by each population.

The study explored various factors associated with energy drink (ED) consumption, including staying alert, curiosity, flavor/taste, and concentration on tasks such as school or work [11]. Similar to previous research, this study did not find a significant correlation between ED use and BMI, alcohol intake, or employment status [11,17]. While age was not a significant predictor, there was a decrease in ED consumption with increasing age, consistent with findings that young adults are more likely to consume energy drinks than older adults [45]. Work intensity and education level emerged as predictors of ED use, supporting the notion that activities like work and academics influence calorie intake and, consequently, ED consumption [17,40,46]. Beverage availability was found to be unrelated to consumption [47]. The study's findings also contradict the claim that gender, smoking, alcohol use, and positive perception of energy drinks predict ED use [11].

Predictors of energy drink consumption

The findings of this study show that when studying energy drink consumption certain factors that may influence its consumption or otherwise should be looked at in more detail. Age has a relationship with consumption and people in the age category of 15-25 years are less likely to consume EDs compared to those in the 26-35 age category. This may be because the older group of people has purchasing power. They may also tend to consume it more because they feel more drained of energy in their daily lives. This could lead to people turning to EDs to quickly replenish their energy. Meanwhile, younger people may not consume it as much because they have a belief in innate energy levels and may consume it for pleasure and curiosity. On the contrary, in other studies, EDs are consumed among adolescents more than older people [24]. Marital status is associated with energy drink consumption as well. This appears to be the case because relationships with people play a key role in their psychology which encompasses decision-making on nutrition and health-seeking behavior. Therefore, it is not out of place that the findings of this study have revealed marital status to be a factor that influences consumption. The intensity or perceived intensity of work done by an individual inadvertently causes the need for more energy to complete tasks, especially for those in the blue-collar job bracket. This is in line with this study's findings that indicate that people who perceive their work to be low in intensity are less likely to consume energy drinks. Meanwhile, another study conducted among adolescents contradicts this finding by reporting that ED consumption is highest among those who are less physically active [24]. The difference may be a result of a difference in culture in the way adolescents are raised as well as the span of age categories covered by this study in its study population. Education plays a key role in exposure and understanding of information including the types related to health. And this is demonstrated in the findings - the level of education has a relationship with ED consumption. As shown, those with tertiary education are less likely to consume EDs when compared to those who have never had a formal education. This could mean that the exposure to and ability to understand health information such as what EDs are and what their potential side effects may be, people with formal education are better informed to make the right choices. Those who have not had a formal education usually struggle to accept new information and end up accepting myths and discarding truths and facts in some cases. A related factor of interest is the level of knowledge people have of EDs in general. An understanding of what drinks are referred to as EDs, their potential benefits, side effects, and even constituent ingredients could influence the decision to consume ED products. Therefore, special attention should be given to those with low education status and low health literacy

because formal and health education could play a critical role in the way in which people consume EDs [33]. Consumption of EDs was found to be 84% higher when consumed in private compared to consumption at public gatherings. This may be the case because public gatherings do not occur often enough for each individual to consume enough EDs. Also being served as a refreshment means that most people will only get a can or a bottle at the said function in addition to the possible availability of other drinks as options for refreshment. On the other hand, it is the private intrinsic needs of individuals that may push them to consume more EDs. Hence, they can easily obtain it more often to satiate that need.

Conclusions

The study examined the prevalence and consumption of energy drinks (EDs) among the youth in the Tamale Metropolis, along with their perceptions and factors influencing consumption. The findings indicate that more than half of the respondents currently consume EDs. However, there is a lack of knowledge regarding the ingredients, potential benefits, and harmful effects of EDs. Several factors were identified as independently associated with ED consumption, including age, marital status, work intensity, education level, knowledge of EDs, and the availability of EDs at public gatherings. This research highlights the high consumption of EDs and low abuse. This is despite a limited understanding of them, raising concerns about regulations related to advertising, marketing, distribution, and usage, as well as the need to quantify the size of the energy drink market. Unlike previous studies that focused on specific groups such as commercial drivers, students, and athletes, this study provides insights into energy drink use and knowledge within the general population.

References

1. Reissig CJ, Strain EC, Griffiths RR. Caffeinated energy drinks--a growing problem. *Drug Alcohol Depend* [Internet]. 2009 [cited 2019 Nov 11];99:1–10. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18809264>
2. Higgins JP. Energy Drink Ingredients and their Effect on Endothelial Function: A Review. *Int J Clin Cardiol*. 2014;1:1.
3. Visram S, Cheetham M, Riby DM, Crossley SJ, Lake AA. Consumption of energy drinks by children and young people: a rapid review examining evidence of physical effects and consumer attitudes. *BMJ Open* [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2019 Jan 28];6:e010380. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27855083>
4. Visram S, Crossley SJ, Cheetham M, Lake A. Children and young people's perceptions of energy drinks: A qualitative study. de Souza RJ, editor. *PLoS One* [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2019 Jan 28];12:e0188668. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29190753>
5. Capps O, Hanselman RD, Bull R. A Pilot Study of the Market for Energy Drinks. *J Food Distrib Res*. 2012;43:15–30.
6. Goldfarb M, Tellier C, Thanassoulis G. Review of published cases of adverse cardiovascular events after ingestion of energy drinks. *Am J Cardiol* [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2019 Nov

2];113:168–72. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24176062>

7. Wassef B, Kohansieh M, Makaryus AN. Effects of energy drinks on the cardiovascular system. *World J Cardiol* [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2019 May 10];9:796–806. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29225735>

8. Smit HJ, Cotton JR, Hughes SC, Rogers PJ. Mood and Cognitive Performance Effects of “Energy” Drink Constituents: Caffeine, Glucose and Carbonation. *Nutr Neurosci* [Internet]. 2004 [cited 2019 Nov 11];7:127–39. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15526987>

9. Higgins JP. Energy Drink Ingredients and their Effect on Endothelial Function: A Review. *Int J Clin Cardiol* [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2019 Feb 5];1:1–6. Available from: <https://clinmedjournals.org/articles/ijcc/ijcc-1-006.php?jid=ijcc>

10. Caviness CM, Anderson BJ, Stein MD. Energy drinks and alcohol-related risk among young adults. *Subst Abus* [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2019 Jan 28];38:376–81. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28622099>

11. Chang YJ, Peng CY, Lan YC. Consumption of energy drinks among undergraduate students in Taiwan: Related factors and associations with substance use. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2021 Mar 19];14. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28837085/>

12. Higgins JP, Yarlagadda S, Yang B, Higgins JP, Yarlagadda S, Yang B. Cardiovascular Complications of Energy Drinks. *Beverages* [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2019 Jan 28];1:104–26. Available from: <http://www.mdpi.com/2306-5710/1/2/104>

13. Saku EY, Nuro-Ameyaw P, Amenya PC, Kpodo FM, Esua- Amofo P, Kortei NK. Energy drink: The consumption prevalence, and awareness of its potential health implications among commercial drivers in the Ho municipality of Ghana. *BMC Public Health*. 2020;20.

14. Buxton C, Hagan JE. A survey of energy drinks consumption practices among student - athletes in Ghana: lessons for developing health education intervention programmes. *J Int Soc Sports Nutr* [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2019 May 14];9:9. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22444601>

15. GSS. Tamale metropolis. *Dist Anal Rep Tamale Metrop*. 2014;x.

16. Bekoe JY. UNIVERSITY OF CAPE COAST PREVALENCE OF USE AND PERCEIVED IMPACT OF ENERGY DRINK CONSUMPTION BY SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS IN THE GA SOUTH DISTRICT OF GREATER ACCRA REGION [Internet]. 2015. Available from: <https://erl.ucc.edu.gh>

17. Casuccio A, Immordino P, Falcone R, Pojero F, Palmeri S. Survey on Energy Drink Consumption Among the Adult Population in Sicily: Do New Drinking Habits Associate with the Risk of Alcoholism in the Crib of Mediterranean Diet? *Int J High Risk Behav Addict* [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2022 Feb 4];6:59989. Available from: <https://www.sid.ir/FileServer/JE/1046120170310.pdf>

18. Ibrahim NK, Iftikhar R, Murad M, Fida H, Abalkhaeil B, Al Ahmadi J. Energy Drinks Consumption amongst Medical Students and Interns from Three Colleges in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. *J Food Nutr Res*. 2014;2:174–9.
19. Subaiea GM, Altebainawi AF, Alshammari TM. Energy drinks and population health: consumption pattern and adverse effects among Saudi population. *BMC Public Health* [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2022 Feb 16];19. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31752795/>
20. Stacey N, van Walbeek C, Maboshe M, Tugendhaft A, Hofman K. Energy drink consumption and marketing in South Africa. *Prev Med (Baltim)* [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2022 Feb 16];105S:S32–6. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28526393/>
21. Coso J Del, Jagim AR, Harty PS, Barakat AR, Erickson JL, Carvalho V, et al. Prevalence and Amounts of Common Ingredients Found in Energy Drinks and Shots. 2022 [cited 2022 Feb 16]; Available from: <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu14020314>
22. Subaiea GM, Altebainawi AF, Alshammari TM. Energy drinks and population health: consumption pattern and adverse effects among Saudi population. *BMC Public Health* [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2020 Aug 10];19:1539. Available from: <https://link.springer.com/articles/10.1186/s12889-019-7731-z>
23. Gunja N, Brown JA. Energy drinks: health risks and toxicity. *Med J Aust* [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2022 Mar 22];196:46–9. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22256934/>
24. Degirmenci N, Fossum IN, Strand TA, Vaktskjold A, Holten-Andersen MN. Consumption of energy drinks among adolescents in Norway: A cross-sectional study 11 Medical and Health Sciences 1117 Public Health and Health Services. *BMC Public Health* [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2022 Feb 16];18. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-018-6236-5>
25. Ghozayel M, Ghaddar A, Farhat G, Nasreddine L, Kara J, Jomaa L. Energy drinks consumption and perceptions among University Students in Beirut, Lebanon: A mixed methods approach. *PLoS One* [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2022 Feb 5];15:e0232199. Available from: <https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0232199>
26. Ibrahim UM, Sani HU, Ayaba AK, Abdullahi HM, Jalo RI, Tsiga Ahmed FI, et al. Prevalence and factors associated with energy drink consumption amongst undergraduate students in Kano, Nigeria. *Niger Postgrad Med J* [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2022 Feb 16];28:204. Available from: <https://www.npmj.org/article.asp?issn=1117-1936;year=2021;volume=28;issue=3;spage=204;epage=210;aulast=Ibrahim>
27. Carsi Kuhangana T, Muta Musambo T, Pyana Kitenge J, Kayembe-Kitenge T, Kazadi Ngoy A, Musa Obadia P, et al. Energy Drink Consumption among Adolescents Attending Schools in Lubumbashi, Democratic Republic of Congo. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2022 Feb 16];18. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34300068/>
28. Sharwood LN, Elkington J, Stevenson M, Grunstein RR, Meuleners L, Ivers RQ, et al. Assessing Sleepiness and Sleep Disorders in Australian Long-Distance Commercial Vehicle

Drivers: Self-Report Versus an “At Home” Monitoring Device. *Sleep* [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2022 Feb 13];35:469. Available from: [/pmc/articles/PMC3296788/](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23292872/)

29. Malinauskas BM, Aeby VG, Overton RF, Carpenter-Aeby T, Barber-Heidal K. A survey of energy drink consumption patterns among college students. *Nutr J* [Internet]. 2007 [cited 2019 Sep 25];6:35. Available from: <http://nutritionj.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1475-2891-6-35>

30. Aslam HM, Mughal A, Edhi MM, Saleem S, Rao MH, Aftab A, et al. Assessment of pattern for consumption and awareness regarding energy drinks among medical students. *Arch Public Heal*. 2013;71.

31. Peacock A, Bruno R, Ferris J, Winstock A. Energy drink use frequency among an international sample of people who use drugs: Associations with other substance use and well-being. *Drug Alcohol Depend* [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2022 Feb 16];174:70–9. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2017.01.010>

32. Troxel WM, Tucker JS, Ewing B, Miles JNV, D’Amico EJ. Sleepy Teens and Energy Drink Use: Results from an Ethnically Diverse Sample of Youth. *Behav Sleep Med* [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2022 Feb 16];16:223. Available from: [/pmc/articles/PMC5173439/](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34744582/)

33. Puupponen M, Tynjälä J, Tolvanen A, Välimaa R, Paakkari L. Energy Drink Consumption Among Finnish Adolescents: Prevalence, Associated Background Factors, Individual Resources, and Family Factors. *Int J Public Health* [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2022 Feb 16];66:620268. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34744582/>

34. Visram S, Crossley SJ, Cheetham M, Lake A. Children and young people’s perceptions of energy drinks: A qualitative study. *PLoS One* [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2022 Feb 16];12. Available from: [/pmc/articles/PMC5708842/](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26061635/)

35. Pennay A, Cheetham A, Droste N, Miller P, Lloyd B, Pennay D, et al. An Examination of the Prevalence, Consumer Profiles, and Patterns of Energy Drink Use, With and Without Alcohol, in Australia. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2022 Feb 6];39:1485–92. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26061635/>

36. Pomeranz JL, Munsell CR, Harris JL. Energy drinks: an emerging public health hazard for youth. *J Public Health Policy* [Internet]. 2013 [cited 2022 Feb 9];34:254–71. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23486464/>

37. Lorenzi DS De, Kovač MB, Koch V. Habits of Energy Drink Consumption among Teens in Slovenia – Focus Group Findings. *Slov J Public Heal* [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2022 Feb 14];60:230. Available from: [/pmc/articles/PMC8643114/](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34178908/)

38. Svensson Å, Warne M, Gillander Gådin K. Longitudinal Associations Between Energy Drink Consumption, Health, and Norm-Breaking Behavior Among Swedish Adolescents. *Front public Heal* [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2022 Feb 16];9. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34178908/>

39. Sampasa-Kanyinga H, Masengo L, Hamilton HA, Chaput JP. Energy Drink Consumption and

Substance Use Among Middle and High School Students. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2022 Feb 16];17. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32365667/>

40. Benkert R, Abel T. Heavy energy drink consumption is associated with risky substance use in young Swiss men. *Swiss Med Wkly* 2020 21 [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2022 Feb 16];150. Available from: <https://smw.ch/article/doi/smw.2020.20243>

41. Al-Shaar L, Vercammen K, Lu C, Richardson S, Tamez M, Mattei J. Health effects and public health concerns of energy drink consumption in the United States: A mini-review. *Front. Public Heal. Frontiers Media S. A*; 2017.

42. Curran CP, Marczinski CA. Taurine, caffeine, and energy drinks: Reviewing the risks to the adolescent brain. *Birth defects Res* [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2019 May 10];109:1640–8. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29251842>

43. Newbury-Birch D, Walker J, Avery L, Beyer F, Brown N, Jackson K, et al. Impact of Alcohol Consumption on Young People A Systematic Review of Published Reviews Eilish Gilvarry (Northumberland, Tyne and Wear Drug and Alcohol Service) Paul McArdle and Venkateswaran Ramesh (Northumberland Tyne and Wear NHS Trust). 2009.

44. Linden-Carmichael AN, Lau-Barraco C. Alcohol mixed with Energy Drinks: Daily Context of Use. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2022 Feb 16];41:863. Available from: </pmc/articles/PMC5391838/>

45. Norman-Burgdolf H, DeWitt E, Cardarelli KM, Gillespie R, Slone S, Gustafson A. Sugar-sweetened beverage consumption among adults in rural Appalachia. *Prev Med Reports* [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2022 Feb 23];24:101642. Available from: </pmc/articles/PMC8684001/>

46. Islam MA, Al-karasneh AF, Hussain A Bin, Muhanna A, Albu-hulayqah T, Naqvi AA, et al. Assessment of beverage consumption by young adults in Saudi Arabia. *Saudi Pharm J SPJ* [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2022 Feb 23];28:1635. Available from: </pmc/articles/PMC7783230/>

47. Butler AE, Qian W, Leatherdale ST. Caffeinated energy drink consumption and predictors of use among secondary school students over time in the COMPASS cohort study. *Prev Med Reports* [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2022 Feb 23];15:100911. Available from: </pmc/articles/PMC6551549/>

Figure 1 *Prevalence of Energy Drink Consumption*





Figure 1 *Reasons for Consuming Energy Drinks*




medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.07.20.23292872>; this version posted July 25, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](#).

Figure 1 *The Proportion of Energy Drink Sightings at Social Gatherings*




medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.07.20.23292872>; this version posted July 25, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Figure 1 *Social Gatherings Where Energy Drinks Have Been Sighted*




medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.07.20.23292872>; this version posted July 25, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Figure 1 *The Proportion of Energy Drink Users Who Have Experienced Side Effects*



medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.07.20.23292872>; this version posted July 25, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](#).

Figure 1 Side Effects Experienced by Energy Drink Users



medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.07.20.23292872>; this version posted July 25, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Figure 1 Knowledge Level of Respondents

