

1 Adoption of policies to improve respectful maternity care in Timor-Leste

2 Short title: Policies for respectful maternity care in Timor-Leste

3
4 Angelina da Costa Fernandes¹, Stefanus Supriyanto², Chatarina Umbul Wahyuni², Hari Basuki
5 Notobroto², Alexandra Gregory³, Kayli Wild³

6
7 ¹ Doctoral Program of Public Health, Airlangga University, Surabaya, Indonesia

8 ² School of Public Health, Airlangga University, Surabaya, Indonesia

9 ³ Centre for Child Development and Education, Menzies School of Health Research, Darwin, Northern
10 Territory, Australia

11
12 *Corresponding author angelina.da.costa-2019@fkm.unair.ac.id (cc kayli.wild@menzies.edu.au;
13 Stefpriyanto49@gmail.com)

15 Abstract

16 **Introduction:** There are now well-established global standards for supporting improvement in
17 women's experience of maternity services, including frameworks for the prevention of mistreatment
18 during childbirth. Given the ongoing reports from Timor-Leste outlining poor quality of care in maternal
19 health services and the low use of health facilities for birth, we aimed to examine the adoption of global
20 respectful maternity care standards in intrapartum care policies in Timor-Leste. **Methods:** From May to
21 July 2022, we conducted a desk review of the Timor-Leste National Intrapartum Care Standards and
22 Clinical Protocols for Referral Facilities and Community Health Centres. This was followed by a health-
23 facility audit of policies, guidelines and procedures in three main maternity facilities in the capital, Dili to
24 examine the extent to which the WHO (2016) standards for women's experiences of care have been
25 adopted. **Results:** Despite the availability of global guidelines, key standards to improve women's
26 experience of care have been omitted from the National Intrapartum Care guidelines in Timor-Leste.
27 There was no mention of avoiding mistreatment of women, needing informed consent for procedures, or

28 strengthening women's own capability and confidence. In the policy wording, women tended to be
29 distanced from the care 'procedures' and their involvement was framed around being told what to do
30 during the birth process. The results of the health facility assessment showed extremely low use of
31 standards that improve women's experiences of care. Health Facility 1 and 2 met two of the 21 quality
32 measures, while Health Facility 3 met none of them. **Conclusion:** The discourse communicated through
33 policy fundamentally affects how health care issues are framed and how policies are enacted. Given the
34 findings of this study, combined with the widespread documentation of poor quality of care, low
35 satisfaction with maternal health services, and emerging stories of physical and verbal abuse of women
36 during birth, there is a need for a fundamental shift in the culture of care for women in Timor-Leste. This
37 will require an immediate focus on leadership, training and policy-frameworks to stop mistreatment of
38 women in health facilities. It will also require a longer-term focus on addressing the power imbalances
39 that drive disrespect and abuse of women within and across social systems, and understanding how to
40 support relationship-based models of care that inherently foster understanding and compassion.

41 **Keywords:** respectful care, maternal health, disrespect and abuse, obstetric violence, facility assessment,
42 policy, audit, implementation.

43 **Introduction**

44 The care women receive during pregnancy, birth and in the postnatal period is intimately linked to
45 their well-being and that of their newborn infants. Poor quality of maternal health care across the perinatal
46 period is associated with increased risk of birth trauma [1, 2], birth and postpartum complications [3] and
47 has flow-on effects for maternal and infant mortality [4-6]. Improving the quality of maternal healthcare is
48 integral to women's and children's right to health, contributing to their equitable access and positive
49 experience with health care [7].

50 Respectful maternity care is care that is organised and provided to women in a way that maintains
51 their dignity, privacy and confidentiality, ensures freedom from harm and mistreatment, and enables

52 informed choice and continuous support during labour and birth [7]. The WHO [5] framework for quality
53 maternal and newborn health care establishes measurable standards of care and provides a foundation for
54 improving the quality of maternity care in health facilities. In addition to provision of care standards, the
55 framework incorporates women's experience of care, including standards for effective communication;
56 respect and preservation of dignity; and emotional support.

57 These standards provide important guidance for policy-makers, managers and researchers, to assess
58 strengths and gaps in health service-systems and develop processes to improve care over time [5]. When
59 issues central to women's experience of care are left out of health policy and facility protocols, women and
60 families are more likely to be exposed to disrespectful, abusive and coercive behaviour by healthcare
61 providers [8]. This can culminate in a highly traumatic experience of birth [1], feelings such as fear of
62 childbirth [9] and avoidance of healthcare [10].

63 The woman-centred care agenda, foregrounding women's experiences of care, has gained traction
64 globally and national health systems are starting to adopt these global standards. Despite this progress, gains
65 can be slower in poorly resourced health systems [11]. In Timor-Leste, the quality of maternal health care
66 has long been identified as a central health systems issue [12-15]. Maternal mortality has steadily declined
67 since the country gained independence from Indonesia in 2002, however, only 48% of women give birth in
68 a health facility and the steepest decline is between first birth and second birth (nationally, 63% of first
69 births occurred in a health facility, 48% for second or third birth, 41% for fourth or fifth birth, and 35% for
70 sixth or more) [16]. The contribution of poor quality of care toward preference for home birth has not yet
71 been quantified, however it is likely to be a contributing factor in Timor-Leste as the National Demographic
72 Health Survey showed 56% of women had concerns about being treated respectfully when accessing health
73 care [16].

74 Given such prevalence of concern raised by women, and the importance of clear policies and
75 frameworks to start addressing the issue at multiple levels [17], we examine the adoption of the WHO [5]
76 Standards for Improving Quality of Maternal and Newborn Care in Timor-Leste. The study uses the

77 Experience of Care standards as a framework to review the national Timor-Leste Intrapartum Care
78 Guidelines [18, 19] and perform an audit of site-specific policies and protocols at three major health
79 facilities in the country's capital, Dili.

80 **Methods**

81 **Study design**

82 For this study we adopted a critical perspective of policy transfer [20]. The research consisted of a
83 policy review and health facility audit, based on the WHO [5] Standards for Improving Quality of Maternal
84 and Newborn Care in Health Facilities. This study was part of larger program of research being undertaken
85 by author AF, on women's experiences of disrespect and abuse during childbirth in Dili (initial qualitative
86 study, survey with women on prevalence of mistreatment, and this policy assessment). In this way, the
87 findings presented here are part of a broader assessment on provision and experience of respectful care, to
88 inform gaps and ways forward for policy and practice in Timor-Leste.

89 **Sampling technique**

90 The study was conducted in the capital city, Dili, as this municipality has the highest proportion of
91 facility-based births (83% of births in a health facility, compared with 48% nationally) [16]. Dili also
92 contains the largest referral hospital in the country as well as six community health centres providing
93 primary health care and birthing services. Three health facilities were sampled based on the highest number
94 of births per month. The facilities have been de-identified and are denoted as Health Facility 1, 2 and 3.

95 **Ethical approval and permission**

96 Ethical clearance was obtained from the Ethical Review Committee at Airlangga University,
97 Indonesia (ID# 071/HRECC.FODM/III/2022). Reciprocal approval was obtained from Timor-Leste's
98 Human Ethics Committee at the National Institute for Health (INS – *Instituto Nasional Saude*) in Dili (ID#
99 603MS-INS/GDE/IV/2022). At each of the study sites, the health facility or maternity department manager

100 was contacted, the research explained and a letter of approval from INS was provided. The study was also
101 approved through the ethics department of the larger study facility.

102 **Policy review**

103 Deductive content analysis was used to compare the extent to which the concepts in the WHO [5]
104 Standards and Quality Statements (4-6 on experiences of care) had been incorporated into the Timor-Leste
105 National Intrapartum Standards of Care and Clinical Protocols [18, 19]. The national policies were first
106 read and reviewed as a whole, then a word search was performed to further identify specific concepts and
107 how they were framed within the documents.

108 **Health facility audit**

109 An audit tool (Table 2-7) was derived from the WHO [5] Standards 4-6 on Experiences of Care. These
110 included the Input Quality Measures for:

- 111 • Standard 4 on Effective Communication: 4.1 All women and their families receive information about
112 the care and have effective interactions with staff.
- 113 • Standard 5 on Respect and Preservation of Dignity: 5.1 All women and newborns have privacy around
114 the time of labour and childbirth, and their confidentiality is respected; 5.2 No woman or newborn is
115 subjected to mistreatment, such as physical, sexual or verbal abuse, discrimination, neglect, detainment,
116 extortion or denial of services; 5.3 All women can make informed choices about the services they
117 receive, and the reasons for interventions or outcomes are clearly explained.
- 118 • Standard 6 on Emotional Support: 6.1 Every woman is offered the option to experience labour and
119 childbirth with the companion of her choice; 6.2 Every woman receives support to strengthen her
120 capability during childbirth.

121 The 'Input Quality Measures' for each of these standards was developed into a table, with a tick-box
122 column to indicate whether the criteria were present or not at each facility (Table 2-7). The wording for
123 each quality measure was adapted for the context of Timor-Leste through rephrasing for clarity, and then

124 translated to Indonesian as the research is being undertaken at an Indonesian University. For example, WHO
125 Standard 4.1 '*Easily understood health education materials, in an accessible written or pictorial format,*
126 *are available in the languages of the communities served by the health facility*' was rephrased as '*Health*
127 *education materials are available in Tetum, with easily accessible writing or pictures, and are given by*
128 *midwives to birthing women*'. Some input measures were omitted if they were not applicable to the Timor-
129 Leste context, for example standards referring to detainment for non-payment, as Timor-Leste has a free
130 public healthcare system.

131 At each study facility a department manager was contacted, the research was explained and a time was
132 made to visit the service. Interviews were conducted from May to July 2022. Written informed consent was
133 provided by the participant and they were interviewed by AF in the local language, Tetun, to assess whether
134 each of the input criteria were met. Item responses consisted of "Yes", meaning the health facility had the
135 policy, guideline or equipment in place, or "No" meaning it did not exist at the time of the interview. Where
136 policy was only somewhat compliant with the standard, participants were probed for further comment until
137 consensus was reached.

138 **Results**

139 **Adoption of WHO standards in national intrapartum care policies**

140 The review of the Timor-Leste national intrapartum Standards of Care and Clinical Protocols for
141 Community Health Centres [18] and Referral Facilities [19] showed that the WHO Experience of Care
142 Standards were only marginally adopted. The Timor-Leste guidelines briefly referenced supportive care, in
143 the form of four dot points in the whole document [18]. These tended to be general statements, without
144 specific actions or directions on how to achieve them. For example, with regard to effective communication,
145 the only reference in the protocols was a dot point stating 'Communication with patient and family about
146 progress and problems and management'. For respect and preservation of dignity, there was one dot point
147 in the document stating 'Respect for clients (respect during care and discussions and maintain privacy

148 during examinations)'. Within this concept of respect, there was no mention of not mistreating women or
149 newborns, and no mention of avoiding physical, sexual or verbal abuse, discrimination, neglect, detainment,
150 extortion or denial of services. Similarly, there was no mention of informed choice, consent or that reasons
151 for interventions or outcomes should be clearly explained. For emotional support there was one dot point
152 stating '**If requested**, allow one accompanying person of women's choice in labour room' (author emphasis
153 added). There were no statements around strengthening a woman's own capability and confidence during
154 childbirth. Overall, any reference to supportive care in the national protocols was very sparse (total of four
155 dot points) and the wording of these points was very general (i.e. Comfort: physical and emotional). It is
156 worth noting that the way birthing women were positioned in the policy wording tends to remove them as
157 active agents in the birth process, and fails to address women's social and emotional wellbeing and their
158 right to choice.

159 **Health facility assessment**

160 The findings of the health facility assessment show all of the sampled facilities scored low on
161 quality measures for Experiences of Care (Table 1). Health Facility 1 and 2 had the highest score, meeting
162 two of the 21 criteria, while Health Facility 3 met none of them. Following is a breakdown of challenges
163 within each domain.

164 **Table 1. Number (%) of Experience of Care quality measures met at each study facility.**

Experience of care quality measures	Number (%) of quality measures met		
	Health Facility 1	Health Facility 2	Health Facility 3
Effective communication	0/4 (0%)	0/4 (0%)	0/4 (0%)
Privacy and confidentiality	1/2 (50%)	1/2 (50%)	0/2 (0%)
Avoid mistreatment	0/5 (0%)	0/5 (0%)	0/5 (0%)
Informed consent	0/3 (0%)	0/3 (0%)	0/3 (0%)
Companion of choice	1/4 (25%)	1/4 (25%)	0/4 (0%)
Strengthen women's capability	0/3 (0%)	0/3 (0%)	0/3 (0%)
Total	2/21 (10%)	2/21 (10%)	0/21 (0%)

165

166 **Effective communication**

167 None of the WHO recommended standards for supporting effective communication between
168 women and their care providers were in place in any of the surveyed health facilities (Table 2). There were
169 no birth education materials for women (for example pain management, relaxation, how to push during
170 labour), and there were no specific policies in place to promote midwives' communication and counselling
171 skills. Only one of the health facilities had received in-service training on interpersonal communication
172 (provided by INS). However, it was not provided regularly and they did not received follow-up training or
173 support. The main communication issues identified by the interview participants were midwives not
174 introducing themselves to women, not involving women in choices about what actions were taken during
175 their labour and birth and not providing opportunities to discuss women's concerns. There were also no
176 induction or supervision mechanisms to support midwives' communication and counselling skills.

177 **Table 2. Quality measures for Effective Communication.**

No	Quality measures	Health facility 1	Health facility 2	Health facility 3
1	Health education materials are available in Tetum, with easily accessible writing or pictures, and are given by midwives to birthing women.	No	No	No
2	Midwives are oriented and receive in-service training at least once every 12 months to improve communication and interpersonal counselling skills according to local culture.	No	No	No
3	The maternity department has a written, up-to-date policy that outlines clear goals, operational plans and monitoring mechanisms to promote the interpersonal communication and counselling skills of midwives.	No	No	No
4	Midwives in the maternity unit receive supportive supervision in interpersonal communication, counselling and cultural competence every three months.	No	No	No

178 **Privacy and confidentiality**

179 Health facility 1 and 2 had a separate room for birth, but it was small (3 x 4 meters). There were
180 sometimes difficulties fitting people in the room, especially when students were observing. The third health
181 facility had two beds in the ‘delivery’ room. This was a problem when two women were giving birth, and
182 the companion of the other birthing woman could either see and overhear, or would be asked to leave the
183 room. There was also lack of space and privacy for women in labour, who often had to stay on the postnatal
184 ward (large shared room) due to lack of space. When curtains and partitions were present, they were not
185 always used by the health providers, and curtains provide no sound protection. In addition, there were no
186 written protocols to guide staff on the importance of or how to promote privacy and confidentiality (Table
187 3).

188 **Table 3. Quality measures for Privacy and Confidentiality.**

No	Quality measures	Health facility 1	Health facility 2	Health facility 3
1	The physical environment of the health facility allows for privacy and respectful, confidential care, including the availability of curtains, screens, partitions and adequate bed capacity.	Yes	Yes	No
2	The health facility has written and up-to-date protocols to ensure privacy and confidentiality for all women during birth.	No	No	No

189

190 **Avoid mistreatment**

191 There were no policies outlining the need to avoid mistreatment of women, accountability
192 mechanisms, or the rights of women to make complaints (Table 4). Although there was a complaints box
193 at one of the health facilities, there was no mechanism to check or review it, and it was empty during the
194 time of the interview. Participants at all facilities said their midwifery staff had not received in-service
195 training or supportive supervision about avoiding mistreatment or respecting women’s rights.

196 **Table 4. Quality measures to ensure no woman is subjected to Mistreatment.**

No	Quality measures	Health facility 1	Health facility 2	Health facility 3
1	The health facility has written, up-to-date, zero-tolerance non-discriminatory policies with regard to the mistreatment of women in the maternity ward.	No	No	No
2	The health facility has a written accountability mechanism in the event of mistreatment or violence	No	No	No
3	The health facility has up-to-date written policies and protocols outlining the rights of women to make complaints about the care received and has an easily accessible mechanism (eg, comments box) for submitting complaints.	No	No	No
4	Midwives receive in-service training and supportive supervision in respecting the rights of women, and providing respectful care. Orientation is given to new staff.	No	No	No
5	The health facility has a complaints box, which is easily accessible to women and their families, which is periodically emptied and the contents reviewed.	No	No	No

197

198 **Informed consent**

199 There were no written policies outlining the need to obtain women’s informed consent before
200 examinations or procedures such as episiotomy, vaginal examination, or perineal suturing (Table 5). While
201 there was a consent procedure to perform cesarean section, there were no standard consent forms available
202 and a lack of process around seeking consent for other procedures such as vaginal examination and
203 episiotomy. In addition, participants reported that their midwifery staff had not received in-service training
204 or supportive supervision on informed consent procedures or women’s right to choose their care.

205 **Table 5. Quality measures for Informed Choice.**

No	Quality measures	Health facility 1	Health facility 2	Health facility 3
1	The health facility has a written and up-to-date policy for obtaining the consent of the woman prior to examinations and procedures.	No	No	No

2	Health facilities have standard informed consent forms that help midwives provide women with easy-to-understand information to obtain full consent before taking action	No	No	No
3	Midwives in the maternity unit receive in-service training and supportive supervision in informed consent and women’s right to choose their care. Orientation is provided for new staff.	No	No	No

206

207 **Companion of choice**

208 There were no written protocols that encouraged women to have a companion of choice during
 209 labour, birth or post-partum (Table 6). One participant said their staff often asked women if they would like
 210 a family member to accompany them. The shared birthing room in one of the health facilities was
 211 particularly problematic for male birth companions, who were not allowed to enter the room when there
 212 was more than one woman using the space. Midwives in the study facilities had not been provided with in-
 213 service training on the positive impact of labour companion, and there was no information to orient
 214 companions on their role in supporting women during birth.

215 **Table 6. Quality measures for Companion of Choice.**

No	Quality measures	Health facility 1	Health facility 2	Health facility 3
1	The labour and childbirth areas are organized in such a way as to allow a physical private space for the woman and her companion at the time of birth.	Yes	Yes	No
2	The health facility has a written, up-to-date protocol, which is explained to women and their families, to encourage all women to have at least one person of their choice, as culturally appropriate, with them during labour, childbirth and the immediate postnatal period.	No	No	No
3	Midwives in the health facility are oriented and receive in-service refresher training sessions at least once every 12 months on the evidence for and positive impact of the presence of a chosen companion during labour and birth.	No	No	No
4	Orientation sessions and information (written or pictorial) are available to orient the companion on his or her role in supporting the woman during labour and birth.	No	No	No

216 **Strengthen women's capability**

217 Interview participants said that their midwives had not been oriented to use of pharmacological or
218 non-pharmacological pain relief, nor in strengthening their interpersonal communication in providing
219 emotional support, and had not received in-service training in relation to these. There were no protocols to
220 minimise unnecessary interventions or strengthen a woman's own capability during birth (Table 7).

221 **Table 7. Quality measures to Strengthen Women's Capability.**

No	Quality measures	Health facility 1	Health facility 2	Health facility 3
1	Midwives in the labour and childbirth areas of the maternity unit were oriented in nonpharmacological and pharmacological pain relief and received in-service training or sessions at least once in the preceding 12 months.	No	No	No
2	The health facility has a written, up-to-date protocol, which is explained to women and their families, to minimise unnecessary interventions, support normal labour and strengthen the woman's capability, so that she feels in control of her childbirth experience.	No	No	No
3	Midwives in the labour and childbirth areas of the maternity unit were oriented and received in-service training or refresher sessions at least once in the preceding 12 months to strengthen their interpersonal and cultural competence in providing emotional support.	No	No	No

222

223 **Discussion**

224 Despite availability of global guidelines to support respectful maternity care and prevent
225 mistreatment of women during childbirth [5, 7, 21, 22], this study found that key standards to improve
226 women's experience of care have been omitted from the national intrapartum care guidelines in Timor-
227 Leste [18, 19]. Most significantly, there was no mention of avoiding mistreatment of women, needing
228 informed consent for procedures, or strengthening women's own capability and confidence. Given the
229 failure to acknowledge women's experience of care within national policy, it is not surprising that the

230 audited health facilities met so few of the Experience of Care standards. Health Facility 1 and 2 had the
231 highest score, meeting two of the 21 quality measures, while Health Facility 3 met none of them. Without
232 policies that prioritise respectful woman-centred care, women are at risk of disrespect directly as a result of
233 harmful policies [23], or indirectly as a result of lack of guidance, oversight and procedural consequence
234 for neglectful or harmful practice [11, 24].

235 Qualitative research on women’s experience of mistreatment, conducted by the authors in the same
236 audited health facilities, revealed that women were subjected to frequent physical and verbal abuse by their
237 birth attendant [25]. This included hitting her, forcing her thighs open, stitching her perineum without pain
238 relief, shouting and being angry, and humiliating her. The abuse was most severe in the second stage of
239 labour, during the intense pain of birth, and when the birth attendant perceived the woman was not following
240 their instructions [25].

241 The discourse communicated through policy, the tone and wording within documents,
242 fundamentally affects how health care issues are framed and how policies are enacted [26]. In the national
243 intra-partum care guidelines [18, 19] women were distanced from the care ‘procedures’, their views and
244 wishes were rarely mentioned, and their involvement was limited to being directed on what to do during
245 the birth process. The lack of attention given to respectful care in maternal health policy, in combination
246 with the authoritative discourse within the guidelines, mirrors the broader gender inequality and
247 disempowerment of women in Timorese society [27]. Given the high rates of family violence in Timor-
248 Leste (35% experienced violence from their partner in the past 12 months), in combination with the high
249 fertility rate of 4.2 births per woman [16], there is likely to be substantial intersections of abuse and trauma
250 for women, repeated in multiple settings and over multiple births.

251 Policy improvements are fundamental to practice improvements, as adequate policies, procedures
252 and accountability mechanisms are linked to behaviour of health care providers, with flow on effects for
253 improved birth experiences and better outcomes for women and families [8]. In addition to policy guidance,
254 calls for improvements in training and supportive supervision are echoed across existing literature [2, 8, 21,

255 28-31]. Training should include confronting the normalisation of violence against women within families
256 and across systems, the belief that mistreatment is necessary to minimise clinical harm, reflection on our
257 own psychological and emotional responses in various scenarios [32] and strategies that encouraged
258 introspection and cultural humility [33].

259 There is an immediate need for greater awareness, training and policy frameworks in Timor-Leste
260 that directly address how to stop mistreating women. Evidence suggests, however, that simply having
261 policies and training is not enough, as health systems interact with structural inequality and individual
262 interpersonal dynamics to underpin the disrespect and abuse of women [34]. Key organisational factors
263 such as high workload, low pay, resource shortages, and lack of professional autonomy and supervision
264 and feedback mechanisms have been identified as contributing factors that need to be addressed at a systems
265 level [35]. The WHO [21] has long argued that ending disrespect and abuse during childbirth must be
266 approached comprehensively. This has been reinforced in recent reviews of strategies to prevent
267 mistreatment, in that they emphasise the need to address the power-related drivers of mistreatment across
268 the ecological model (i.e. intrapersonal, interpersonal, community, organizational, and law/policy) [36], as
269 well as transforming institutional leadership and approaches to supervision that tackle existing power
270 hierarchies [35]. Given the findings in of this study, combined with the widespread documentation of poor
271 quality of care, low satisfaction with maternal health services, and emerging stories of physical and verbal
272 abuse of women during birth in Timor-Leste [12-16, 25, 37], there is a need for an urgent and fundamental
273 shift in the culture of care for women, a shift that needs to be based on the diverse experiences of birthing
274 women in Timor, and their vision of what better models of maternity care would look like across the
275 country.

276 **Conclusion**

277 Lack of policy frameworks that prioritise women's experiences of care hinders the ability to
278 implement respectful ways of working with women in maternal health services. The National Intrapartum

279 Care guidelines in Timor-Leste are not woman-centered, and minimize women's autonomy, their right to
280 choice and freedom from violence. Further exploration is required to understand the lack of priority given
281 to addressing harm at the highest level of policy, and to promote national leadership in this area. Given the
282 dearth of research on interventions and models that increase respectful care and reduce mistreatment, this
283 is a priority area for further research and maternity care reform in Timor-Leste.

284 **Acknowledgements**

285 Thank you to the research participants and department heads who gave their permission to
286 participate in this study. This research was funded through AF's PhD scholarship by Airlangga
287 University, Indonesia and Instituto Superior Cristal, Timor-Leste.

288 **References**

- 289 1. Reed, R., R. Sharman, and C. Inglis, Women's descriptions of childbirth trauma relating to care
290 provider actions and interactions. *BMC pregnancy and childbirth*, 2017. 17: p. 1-10.
- 291 2. Mannava, P., et al., Attitudes and behaviours of maternal health care providers in interactions
292 with clients: a systematic review. *Globalization and health*, 2015. 11: p. 1-17.
- 293 3. Forssén, A.S., Lifelong significance of disempowering experiences in prenatal and maternity
294 care: interviews with elderly Swedish women. *Qualitative Health Research*, 2012. 22(11): p.
295 1535-1546.
- 296 4. Raven, J.H., et al., What is quality in maternal and neonatal health care? *Midwifery*, 2012. 28(5):
297 p. e676-83.
- 298 5. WHO, Standards for improving quality of maternal and newborn care in health facilities. 2016.
- 299 6. Wong, P.C. and P. Kitsantas, A review of maternal mortality and quality of care in the USA. *The*
300 *Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine*, 2020. 33(19): p. 3355-3367.
- 301 7. WHO, WHO recommendation on respectful maternity care during labour and childbirth. Geneva:
302 The WHO Reproductive Health Library, 2018.
- 303 8. Hernández-Martínez, A., et al., Women's perceptions of living a traumatic childbirth experience
304 and factors related to a birth experience. *International journal of environmental research and*
305 *public health*, 2019. 16(9): p. 1654.
- 306 9. Lukasse, M., et al., Prevalence of experienced abuse in healthcare and associated obstetric
307 characteristics in six European countries. *Acta Obstetrica et Gynecologica Scandinavica*, 2015.
308 94(5): p. 508-517.
- 309 10. Schroll, A.-M., H. Kjærgaard, and J. Midtgaard, Encountering abuse in health care; lifetime
310 experiences in postnatal women - a qualitative study. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*, 2013.
311 13(1): p. 74.
- 312 11. Miller, S., et al., Beyond too little, too late and too much, too soon: a pathway towards evidence-
313 based, respectful maternity care worldwide. *The Lancet*, 2016. 388(10056): p. 2176-2192.

- 314 12. Khorshed, M.S., et al., Factors Affecting Quality of Care in Maternal and Child Health in Timor-
315 Leste: A Scoping Review. *Health Services Insights*, 2022. 15: p. 11786329221110052.
- 316 13. King, R. and L. Jones, Key factors deterring women's engagement with skilled birth attendants in
317 three districts of Timor—Leste. A qualitative descriptive study. *Midwifery*, 2019. 79: p. 102555.
- 318 14. Price, J.A., et al., “I go I die, I stay I die, better to stay and die in my house”: understanding the
319 barriers to accessing health care in Timor-Leste. *BMC Health Services Research*, 2016. 16(1): p.
320 1-15.
- 321 15. Wild, K., et al., Birth choices in Timor-Leste: a framework for understanding the use of maternal
322 health services in low resource settings. *Social science & medicine*, 2010. 71(11): p. 2038-2045.
- 323 16. General Directorate of Statistics and ICF, Timor-Leste Demographic and Health Survey 2016:
324 Key Indicators, Ministry of Finance, Editor. 2017, GDS: Dili.
- 325 17. Freedman, L.P., et al., Defining disrespect and abuse of women in childbirth: a research, policy
326 and rights agenda. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 2014. 92: p. 915-917.
- 327 18. Ministry of Health, Standards of Care and (Intrapartum) Clinical Protocols Referral Facilities
328 Timor-Leste, Ministry of Health, Editor. 2017a, Ministry of Health: Dili.
- 329 19. Ministry of Health, Standards of Care and (Intrapartum) Clinical Protocols for Community Health
330 Centre (CHC) Timor-Leste, Ministry of Health, Editor. 2017b, Ministry of Health: Dili.
- 331 20. Evans, M., Policy transfer in critical perspective. *Policy studies*, 2009. 30(3): p. 243-268.
- 332 21. WHO, The prevention and elimination of disrespect and abuse during facility-based childbirth:
333 WHO statement. 2014, World Health Organization.
- 334 22. WHO, WHO recommendations on maternal and newborn care for a positive postnatal experience.
335 2022: World Health Organization.
- 336 23. Mayra, K., Z. Matthews, and S.S. Padmadas, Why do some health care providers disrespect and
337 abuse women during childbirth in India? *Women and Birth*, 2022. 35(1): p. e49-e59.
- 338 24. Austin, A., et al., Approaches to improve the quality of maternal and newborn health care: an
339 overview of the evidence. *Reproductive Health*, 2014. 11(S2): p. S1.
- 340 25. Fernandes, A., S. Suprianto, and K. Wild. A Qualitative Study of Physical and Verbal Abuse
341 Experienced by Women during Labor and Childbirth in Dili Municipality, Timor-Leste. in 8th
342 International Conference on Public Health 2021. 2021. Sebelas Maret University.
- 343 26. Cicchetti, D., Annual Research Review: Resilient functioning in maltreated children - past,
344 present, and future perspectives. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 2013. 54(4): p. 402-
345 422.
- 346 27. Wild, K., et al., Harmonia-Communities ending gender-based violence. *Gender Equality and
347 Social Inclusion (GESI) Analysis*. 2021.
- 348 28. Tsakmakis, P.L., S. Akter, and M.A. Bohren, A qualitative exploration of women's and their
349 partners' experiences of birth trauma in Australia, utilising critical feminist theory. *Women Birth*,
350 2023. 36(4): p. 367-376.
- 351 29. Sudhinaraset, M., et al., Providers and women's perspectives on person-centered maternity care: a
352 mixed methods study in Kenya. *International journal for equity in health*, 2019. 18(1): p. 1-15.
- 353 30. Lazzarini, M., et al., Use of WHO standards to improve quality of maternal and newborn hospital
354 care: a study collecting both mothers' and staff perspective in a tertiary care hospital in Italy.
355 *BMJ Open Quality*, 2019. 8(1): p. e000525.
- 356 31. Ukke, G.G., M.K. Gurara, and W.G. Boynito, Disrespect and abuse of women during childbirth
357 in public health facilities in Arba Minch town, south Ethiopia—a cross-sectional study. *PloS one*,
358 2019. 14(4): p. e0205545.
- 359 32. Downe, S., et al., Theories for interventions to reduce physical and verbal abuse: A mixed
360 methods review of the health and social care literature to inform future maternity care. *PLOS
361 global public health*, 2023. 3(4): p. e0001594.
- 362 33. Bohren, M.A., et al., Strategies to reduce stigma and discrimination in sexual and reproductive
363 healthcare settings: A mixed-methods systematic review. *PLOS Global Public Health*, 2022. 2(6):
364 p. e0000582.

- 365 34. Bradley, S., et al., Disrespectful intrapartum care during facility-based delivery in sub-Saharan
366 Africa: A qualitative systematic review and thematic synthesis of women's perceptions and
367 experiences. *Social Science & Medicine*, 2016. 169: p. 157-170.
- 368 35. Reddy, B., et al., A scoping review of the impact of organisational factors on providers and
369 related interventions in LMICs: Implications for respectful maternity care. *PLOS Global Public
370 Health*, 2022. 2(10): p. e0001134.
- 371 36. Schaaf, M., et al., A critical interpretive synthesis of power and mistreatment of women in
372 maternity care. *PLOS Global Public Health*, 2023. 3(1): p. e0000616.
- 373 37. Wallace, H.J., et al., The decision to seek care antenatally and during labour and birth – Who and
374 what influences this in Timor-Leste? A qualitative project exploring the perceptions of Timorese
375 women and men. *Midwifery*, 2018. 65: p. 35-42.