

Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning in Aneurysmal Subarachnoid Hemorrhage: Future Promises, Perils, and Practicalities.

Saif Salman¹, Qiangqiang Gu², Rohan Sharma¹, Yujia Wei³, Benoit Dherin⁴,
Sanjana Reddy⁴, Rabih Tawk¹, W David Freeman¹

¹Department of Neurological Surgery, Neurology and Critical Care, Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville, FL, 32224

²Mayo Clinic Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, 55902

³Artificial Intelligence (AI) Laboratory, Department of Radiology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN 55905

⁴Google, Inc. Mountain View, CA, 94043

Running title: AI and ML In Subarachnoid Hemorrhage

Key words: Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, Subarachnoid Hemorrhage, Aneurysmal Subarachnoid Hemorrhage

Correspondence:

W David Freeman

freeman.william1@mayo.edu

Mangurian Bldg., Fourth Floor

4500 San Pablo Road

Jacksonville, Florida 32224

Word Count:

Abstract: 247

Manuscript Body: 2495

Figures: 2

Tables: 1

References: 26

Abstract

Introduction: Aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH) is a subtype of hemorrhagic stroke with thirty-day mortality as high as 40%. Given the expansion of Machine Learning (ML) and Artificial intelligence (AI) methods in health care, SAH patients desperately need an integrated AI system that detects, segments, and supports clinical decisions based on presentation and severity.

Objectives: This review aims to synthesize the current state of the art of AI and ML tools for the management of SAH patients alongside providing an up-to-date account of future horizons in patient care.

Methods: We performed a systematic review through various databases such as Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, MEDLINE, Scopus, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, and Embase.

Results: A total of 507 articles were identified. Following extensive revision, only 21 articles were relevant. Two studies reported improved mortality prediction using Glasgow Coma Scale and biomarkers such as Neutrophil to Lymphocyte Ratio and glucose. One study reported that ffANN is equal to the SAHIT and VASOGRADE scores. One study reported that metabolic biomarkers Ornithine, Symmetric Dimethylarginine, and Dimethylguanidine Valeric acid were associated with poor outcomes. Nine studies reported improved prediction of complications and reduction in latency until intervention using clinical scores and imaging. Four studies reported

accurate prediction of aneurysmal rupture based on size, shape, and CNN. One study reported AI-assisted Robotic Transcranial Doppler as a substitute for clinicians.

Conclusion: AI/ML technologies possess tremendous potential in accelerating SAH systems-of-care. Keeping abreast of developments is vital in advancing timely interventions for critical diseases.

1. Introduction:

Subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH) is a subtype of hemorrhagic stroke ensuing aneurysmal rupture due to modifiable and non-modifiable factors. Consequent sterile bleeding into the tight subarachnoid space carries a high 30-day mortality of 40%¹, as 10-25% of patients will die immediately or upon hospital arrival². Surviving patients will develop a range of short- and long-term complications peaking on days 4 to 14, such as rebleeding, elevated intracranial pressure (ICP), hydrocephalus, seizures, and cognitive dysfunction. Distinctively, delayed cerebral ischemia (DCI) is an important prognostic factor that develops after the first 24 hours as the sterile intracranial bleed will cause inflammation, micro thrombosis, irritation, and vasospasm of the cerebral arteries. Delayed neuronal damage will impair propagation of depolarization waves and cause generalized autonomic instability affecting the heart and other organ systems as a significant cause of morbidity and mortality³. DCI is seen on imaging as cortical infarcts (single in 40% or bilateral in 50%) of cases distal to the rupture site⁴.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) algorithms were designed to mimic human abilities to solve problems, identify objects, and recognize patterns. Machine learning (ML) is one of the main subfields in AI that automatically learns patterns and relationships based on the provided data. ML has proved useful in various medical disciplines including figures extraction, defining parameters, and predicting outcomes by anticipating associations between various databases. AI/ML doesn't require the need for pre-programming and follows a multidisciplinary planning-diagnosis-treatment approach in various radiological, surgical, and non-surgical fields surpassing conventional schemes⁵⁻⁷. ML utilizes unique models such as feedforward artificial neural networks (ffANNs), Random Forest (RF), Support Vector Machine (SVM), Logistic Regression (LR), Stacked Convolutional Denoising Auto-Encoders (SCDAE), Principal

Component Analysis (PCA), Deep neural networks (DNN), convolutional neural network (CNN) and Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP)⁸. Among these models, ffANN is the most utilized to predict case-specific outcomes competing with decisions made by clinicians⁹.

Several studies implemented AI in imaging classification for Penumbra and Large Vessel Occlusion (LVO). This intimates the cruciality of timely intervention in the prognostication of ischemic strokes through a holistic, systematic approach^{10, 11}. Alas, SAH lacks such an approach. Hence, this systematic review aims to redesign the SAH systems-of-care and synthesize the current state of the art of AI/ML tools available in managing aneurysmal SAH through comparison with the current approach.

2. METHODS:

This systematic review was reported according to the PRISMA guidelines. Two co-authors (WDF and RS) performed this search. We developed the search criteria and assessment through databases such as Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, MEDLINE, Scopus, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, and Embase (1991, 1946, 1823, 2005, 1974 until present), respectively. We directed our literature search into three domains: 1) clinical, biomarkers and laboratory predictors of diagnosis or prognosis; 2) imaging predictors of diagnosis, prognosis and complications; 3) other literature predicting aneurysm rupture, treatment, or modeling. Neither publication dates nor languages were limited. We created these search strategies by combining standardized and indexed keywords, titles, and abstracts. Further, we included MeSH and Embase search terms and keywords such as artificial intelligence, machine learning, subarachnoid hemorrhage, and aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage.

All results were downloaded, and duplicated citations were identified and removed. Co-authors (QG, BD, and SR) further screened the identified articles, including titles and abstracts. We assessed the quality of results based on the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) criteria from Cochrane (Ryan and Hill, 2016)¹². Unfortunately, we performed a qualitative assessment due to insufficient data required for conducting a meta-analysis. Co-author (WDF) designed Figure 1 using the BioRender® platform, explaining the current approach for SAH management and hypothesizing our future vision.

3. RESULTS:

A total of 507 articles were identified. Following the exclusion of duplicates, 203 articles discussing AI/ML and other subtypes of brain hemorrhages remained. Following revision of the abstracts, 182 articles were excluded as they were discussing subtypes of hemorrhagic strokes other than SAH. Hence, 21 articles remained relevant to our study (**Figure 1**). Upon extensive revision, we used these articles and their bibliography to define our three domains of 1) clinical, biomarkers and laboratory predictors of diagnosis or prognosis; 2) imaging predictors of diagnosis, prognosis, and complications; 3) other literature predicting aneurysm rupture, treatment, or modeling.

3.1 Clinical, biomarkers and laboratory predictors of diagnosis or prognosis

Two articles reported improvement in mortality prediction using Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) and biomarkers such as Neutrophil to Lymphocyte Ratio (NLR) and glucose (Jiewen Deng and Zhaohui He, 2022, Toledo, et al., 2009). In a retrospective study of 1787 patients, Deng et al. reported improvement in mortality prediction in SAH using LR model, compared

with GCS, levels of glucose, chloride, bicarbonate, sodium, saturation of oxygen (SPO₂), white blood cell count (WBC), temperature, sepsis-related organ failure assessment (SOFA) score, and heparin use. Moreover, Toledo, et al. reported improved prediction outcomes in SAH patients using LR model and comparing them with the World Federation of Neurological Surgeons Committee scale (WFNS), Glasgow Outcome Scale (GOS), and Fisher scale.

A large prospective study of 1226 patients was conducted by Jong et al., where the database included hospital mortality, six months unfavorable modified Rankin Scale (mRS), and incidents of DCI. They found that the feed-forward artificial network (FFAN) equals the Subarachnoid Hemorrhage International Trialists (SAHIT) prediction models and VASOGRADE clinical scoring system in predicting DCI (Jong et al., 2021). Savarraj et al. conducted a retrospective study involving 1032 patients. They compared the performance of LR model with the Fisher scale, age, DCI status, and modified Rankin Scale at discharge and three months. Jude et al. reported that ML models exhibited a significant 36% improvement in the area under the curve (AUC) compared to the LR model when predicting DCI. Furthermore, the AUCs of the ML models were 9% and 18% higher than those of the standard models in predicting discharge and 3-month functional outcomes, respectively (Jude, et al., 2021).

Koch, et al. conducted a study that included 81 CSF samples from patients with SAH, he used an Elastic Net method (an extension of the LR model) and predicted poor outcomes upon correlating Ornithine, Symmetric Dimethylarginine (SDMA), and Dimethylguanidine Valeric acid (DMGV) metabolic biomarkers with the progression of SAH (Koch, et al., 2020). Further, they hypothesized that vasospasm was not the sole factor in DCI development due to variations in levels of nitric oxide (NO), NO synthase, and its precursor arginine. Tanioka, et al. used RF

model to analyze (periostin, osteopontin, and galectin-3) multicellular proteins and considered ML in promoting early detection of DCI.

3.2 Imaging predictors of diagnosis, prognosis and complications

Eight studies reported improved stratification, accuracy, prediction of complications including DCI, and most importantly, reduction in latency until intervention using total blood volume calculation, and non-contrast CT imaging (NCCT) (Nishi, et al.,2021, Gibson, et al., 2022, Rava, et al. 2021, 2022, Hu, et al., 2022, Ramos, et al., 2019, van der Steen, et al., 2019, Seyam, et al., 2022).

The accuracy of AI in detecting SAH was equal to the accuracy of five neurosurgery specialists to detect SAH based on NCCT scans and surpassed other non-neurosurgical experts (Nishi, et al.). The authors used NCCT images to prepare CNN models for comparison. Hence, suggesting AI as a solution for false negative errors when diagnosing SAH. Gibson, et al. retrospectively studied 46057 patients and used DNN as prediction tools compared to the NCCT imaging to generate two confidence scores (calibrated classifier and Dempster-Shafer) which could reliably detect SAH.

Rava, et al. suggested improved timely intervention as AI could accurately detect SAH and rule out SAH when not present. They conducted a retrospective study, to compare an AI algorithm (Cannon auto Stroke solution)® with NCCT imaging. In a prospective study of 317 patients, utilizing modified Fisher scale, Hunt and Hess clinical score (HHS) and conventional ML models, Ramos, et al. showed that ML can accurately predict DCI and outcome by providing an algorithm that can extract images from NCCT.

Van der Steen, et al. assessed TBV via an automatic quantification algorithm compared to models that used a modified Fisher score to predict DCI and showed that TBV was the sole

factor that could accurately predict DCI. Implementing AI-based tools for detecting SAH in a prospective study by Seyam, et al. resulted in 93% accuracy, 87.2% sensitivity, and 97.8% negative predictive value (NPV). Further, a prospective study of 404 patients with SAH showed that ML surpasses the conventional LR methods in predicting DCI and classifying patients with high risk, thus, facilitating interventions (Hu, et al., 2022).

3.3 Literature predicting aneurysm rupture, treatment, or modeling

Four studies reported accurate prediction, diagnoses, and evaluation of aneurysmal rupture based on size, shape (Din, et al., 2022, Kim, et al., 2019, 2020, Li, et al., 2022). AI algorithms were suggested to have a promising role in aiding clinicians when diagnosing SAH. However, the evidence is limited to support their adoption in routine clinical care (Din, et al., 2022). Still, implementing AI in assessing rupture probability has been reported to be “feasible” in small-sized aneurysms and surpassing clinicians, using CNN and prospectively testing them in 272 patients (Kim, et al., 2019).

Deploying ML in designing a two-staged hybrid gene selection algorithm and analyzing transcriptomes revealed that (AADAC, LRRCC1, WDR62, RAB32, ANPEP, YIPF1, GZMK, WBP2NL, TOR1B, and PBX1) genes could predict the rupture status of aneurysms and can be used as adjuncts to imaging (Li, et al., 2022). Moreover, Shi, et al., 2020 reported in their review article that using ML algorithms in assessing CTA and MRA scans aid clinicians in managing SAH and predicting outcomes, thus decreasing the clinical workload (Shi, et al., 2020).

A final category regarding AI utilization in Robotics, Esmaeeli, et al., 2020 reported utilizing AI in programming Robotic Transcranial Doppler (TCD), a technique that can surpass manually assessing DCI.

4. DISCUSSION:

Aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage carries a high risk of mortality and morbidity². Complications for survivors range from mild brain injury to profound sensory, motor, and cognitive dysfunction³. Sterile bleeding into the tight subarachnoid space causes a cascade of inflammation, with consequent complications such as hydrocephalus, vasospasm, and delayed cerebral ischemia. Timely intervention is paramount and can be crucial in determining outcomes⁴.

Artificial Intelligence has entered an era of rapidly progressing algorithms and has received attention universally through prediction-diagnosis-management roles that can surpass counterpart human roles⁶. ML is the main subtype, where algorithms can be designed and interpreted into clinical, biological, and imaging databases without prior programming through the utilization of unique models such as ffANN most of the time⁷⁻⁹.

For ischemic strokes, a holistic theme to approach patients as they emerge through hospital doors to intervention, often referred to as "Door to Needle" and "Door to Puncture", has improved outcomes¹⁰. This has been reflected in Several studies that were based on utilizing AI in imaging classification for Penumbra and large vessel occlusion (LVO), intimating the cruciality of timely intervention in prognosticating ischemic strokes^{10, 11}.

Unfortunately, the current state of care for patients with SAH lacks a similar streamlined approach. We believe that the average time taken from presentation to imaging is 75 minutes. Additionally, thirty minutes are approximately consumed during the imaging process. Subsequently, another 45 minutes are dedicated for results interpretation. Moreover, the lack of expert physicians interpreting the results is another major obstacle alongside the time delay since

diagnosing SAH can be overlooked if assessed by non-expert physicians¹³. The future state of care for patients with SAH is based on implementing AI based models to detect SAH within minutes. This can bypass the time consumed during imaging and interpretation. Hence, decreasing the "door to procedure" time and expediting intervention (**Figure 2**).

This is the first systematic review analyzing AI and ML methods for SAH patient care and scientific discovery. Using a streamlined approach, it is now possible to make incredible innovations by translating basic disciplines and promoting patient care. We reviewed studies implementing AI in categories encompassing 1) clinical, biomarkers and laboratory predictors of diagnosis or prognosis; 2) imaging predictors of diagnosis, prognosis, and complications ;3) other literature predicting aneurysm rupture, treatment, or modeling.

4.1 Clinical, biomarkers and laboratory predictors of diagnosis or prognosis

Studies that implemented AI/ ML in clinical prediction of diagnosis or prognosis utilizing Fisher, WFNS, SOFA, HHS, GCS, GOS, SAHIT, VASOGRADE clinical scores and studies that implemented AI/ML into biomarkers and laboratory values such as NLR, levels of glucose, chloride, bicarbonate, sodium, SPO2, WBCs, SDMA, DMGV, periostin, osteopontin, and galectin-3 promoted early detection of DCI, mortality prediction, and improvement in general outcome. They further considered AI/ ML utilization to be comparable or superior to our conventional methods^{9, 14-18}. This forebodes a future paradigm of SAH management where AI/ML can aid in diagnosis and outcome predictions for SAH patients leading to a more streamlined approach in their management.

4.2 Imaging predictors of diagnosis, prognosis and complications

Studies that implemented AI/ML in the field of imaging predictors reported that AI/ML has the ability to detect SAH at an equivalent level to neurosurgeons while surpassing non-specialists. Furthermore, these studies also showed improved accuracy, predicting complications including DCI, detecting hemorrhagic transformation, and, most importantly, facilitating timely intervention^{8, 13, 19-23}. Hence, we believe that implementing AI and ML in our future protocols can overcome false negative errors in diagnosing SAH when experts are not available, thereby directing appropriate interventions on a case-to-case basis without delay.

4.3 Literature predicting aneurysm rupture, treatment, or modeling

Studies that implemented AI and ML to predict rupture of aneurysms can surpass human prediction ability, especially for small-sized aneurysms^{6, 24,25}. Hence, AI/ML may serve as an adjunct tool to aid physicians in future prevention of SAH. Further studies that implemented AI and ML in programming and robotics show a promising future by surpassing healthcare professional in detecting DCI and other SAH complications²⁶.

AI and ML are rapidly progressing, revolutionizing the field of medicine. However, profound studies on AI and ML's utility in SAH diagnosis and outcomes must be conducted. While ML has various models, with FFANN being the most utilized, the question of whether artificial neural networks or other machine learning techniques can outperform statistical modeling techniques in predicting clinical obstacles still needs a simple answer.

Approaching SAH from the critical facets of imaging, prompt diagnosis, and timely intervention is vital. However, lacking the in-depth discussion of utilizing ML models and biomarkers in different SAH settings and being constrained by the current literature are limitations of this study. Yet, we believe in establishing future frameworks and implementing

AI/ML in SAH diagnosis and management as a new streamlined approach similar to those for ischemic strokes. Hence, we can overcome the most crucial peril of delayed diagnosis and management of SAH.

Conclusion:

Various AI and ML methods offer promising tools that can accelerate stroke systems-of-care by providing highly sensitive prehospital and emergency department decision-making systems, automated early SAH detection and prediction of outcomes, improved standardization of intrahospital care as well as providing critical scientific insights into genetics, and mechanistic pathways that can shed light on future neurotherapeutic approaches for this complex disease.

References

1. Rigante L, van Lieshout JH, Vergouwen MDI, van Griensven CHS, Vart P, van der Loo L, de Vries J, Vinke RS, Etminan N, Aquarius R, Gruber A, Mocco J, Welch BG, Menovsky T, Klijn CJM, Bartels RHMA, Germans MR, Hänggi D, Boogaarts HD. Time trends in the risk of delayed cerebral ischemia after subarachnoid hemorrhage: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Neurosurg Focus*. 2022 Mar;52(3):E2. doi: 10.3171/2021.12.FOCUS21473. PMID: 35231892
2. Petridis AK, Kamp MA, Cornelius JF, Beez T, Beseoglu K, Turowski B, Steiger HJ. Aneurysmal Subarachnoid Hemorrhage. *Dtsch Arztebl Int*. 2017 Mar 31;114(13):226-236. doi: 10.3238/arztebl.2017.0226. PMID: 28434443; PMCID: PMC5624452.
3. Francoeur CL, Mayer SA. Management of delayed cerebral ischemia after subarachnoid hemorrhage. *Crit Care*. 2016 Oct 14;20(1):277. doi: 10.1186/s13054-016-1447-6. PMID: 27737684; PMCID: PMC5064957.
4. Rabinstein AA, Weigand S, Atkinson JL, Wijndicks EF. Patterns of cerebral infarction in aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage. *Stroke*. 2005 May;36(5):992-7. doi: 10.1161/01.STR.0000163090.59350.5a. Epub 2005 Apr 14. PMID: 15831836.
5. Suarez JI. Big Data/AI in Neurocritical Care: Maybe/Summary. *Neurocrit Care*. 2022 Aug;37(Suppl 2):166-169. doi: 10.1007/s12028-021-01422-x. Epub 2021 Dec 29. PMID: 34966957.

6. Shi Z, Hu B, Schoepf UJ, Savage RH, Dargis DM, Pan CW, Li XL, Ni QQ, Lu GM, Zhang LJ. Artificial Intelligence in the Management of Intracranial Aneurysms: Current Status and Future Perspectives. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2020 Mar;41(3):373-379. doi: 10.3174/ajnr.A6468. Epub 2020 Mar 12. PMID: 32165361; PMCID: PMC7077887.
7. Silva MA, Patel J, Kavouridis V, Gallerani T, Beers A, Chang K, Hoebel KV, Brown J, See AP, Gormley WB, Aziz-Sultan MA, Kalpathy-Cramer J, Arnaout O, Patel NJ. Machine Learning Models can Detect Aneurysm Rupture and Identify Clinical Features Associated with Rupture. *World Neurosurg*. 2019 Nov;131:e46-e51. doi: 10.1016/j.wneu.2019.06.231. Epub 2019 Jul 9. PMID: 31295616.
8. Ramos LA, van der Steen WE, Sales Barros R, Majoie CBLM, van den Berg R, Verbaan D, Vandertop WP, Zijlstra IJAJ, Zwinderman AH, Strijkers GJ, Olabariaga SD, Marquering HA. Machine learning improves prediction of delayed cerebral ischemia in patients with subarachnoid hemorrhage. *J Neurointerv Surg*. 2019 May;11(5):497-502. doi: 10.1136/neurintsurg-2018-014258. Epub 2018 Nov 10. PMID: 30415227.
9. de Jong G, Aquarius R, Sanaan B, Bartels RHMA, Grotenhuis JA, Henssen DJHA, Boogaarts HD. Prediction Models in Aneurysmal Subarachnoid Hemorrhage: Forecasting Clinical Outcome With Artificial Intelligence. *Neurosurgery*. 2021 Apr 15;88(5):E427-E434. doi: 10.1093/neuros/nyaa581. PMID: 33548918.
10. Hassan, A.E. *et al.* (2022) "Artificial Intelligence–parallel stroke workflow tool improves reperfusion rates and door-to-puncture interval," *Stroke: Vascular and Interventional Neurology*, 2(5). Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1161/svin.121.000224>.
11. Bruggeman, A.A.E. *et al.* (2022) "Automated detection and location specification of large vessel occlusion on computed tomography angiography in acute ischemic stroke," *Stroke: Vascular and Interventional Neurology*, 2(4). Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1161/svin.121.000158>.
12. Ryan R, Hill S. How to GRADE the quality of the evidence. Cochrane Consumers and Communication Group. Version 3.0. December 2016. <http://cccrg.cochrane.org/author-resources> (accessed Feb 26, 2022).
13. Nishi T, Yamashiro S, Okumura S, Takei M, Tachibana A, Akahori S, Kaji M, Uekawa K, Amadatsu T. Artificial Intelligence Trained by Deep Learning Can Improve Computed Tomography Diagnosis of Nontraumatic Subarachnoid Hemorrhage by Nonspecialists. *Neurol Med Chir (Tokyo)*. 2021 Nov 15;61(11):652-660. doi: 10.2176/nmc.oa.2021-0124. Epub 2021 Sep 16. PMID: 34526447; PMCID: PMC8592812.
14. Deng J, He Z. Characterizing Risk of In-Hospital Mortality Following Subarachnoid Hemorrhage Using Machine Learning: A Retrospective Study. *Front Surg*. 2022 Jun 8;9:891984. doi: 10.3389/fsurg.2022.891984. PMID: 36034376; PMCID: PMC9407038.
15. de Toledo P, Rios PM, Ledezma A, Sanchis A, Alen JF, Lagares A. Predicting the outcome of patients with subarachnoid hemorrhage using machine learning techniques.

- IEEE Trans Inf Technol Biomed. 2009 Sep;13(5):794-801. doi: 10.1109/TITB.2009.2020434. Epub 2009 Apr 14. PMID: 19369161.
16. Koch M, Acharjee A, Ament Z, Schleicher R, Bevers M, Stapleton C, Patel A, Kimberly WT. Machine Learning-Driven Metabolomic Evaluation of Cerebrospinal Fluid: Insights Into Poor Outcomes After Aneurysmal Subarachnoid Hemorrhage. *Neurosurgery*. 2021 Apr 15;88(5):1003-1011. doi: 10.1093/neuros/nyaa557. PMID: 33469656; PMCID: PMC8046589.
 17. Tanioka S, Ishida F, Nakano F, Kawakita F, Kanamaru H, Nakatsuka Y, Nishikawa H, Suzuki H; pSEED group. Machine Learning Analysis of Matricellular Proteins and Clinical Variables for Early Prediction of Delayed Cerebral Ischemia After Aneurysmal Subarachnoid Hemorrhage. *Mol Neurobiol*. 2019 Oct;56(10):7128-7135. doi: 10.1007/s12035-019-1601-7. Epub 2019 Apr 13. PMID: 30989629.
 18. Jude, P.J. Savarraj, Georgene W. Hergenroeder, Liang Zhu, Tiffany Chang, Soojin Park, Murad Megjhani, Farhaan S. Vahidy, Zhongming Zhao, Ryan S. Kitagawa, H. Alex Choi. Machine Learning to Predict Delayed Cerebral Ischemia and Outcomes in Subarachnoid Hemorrhage. *Neurology*. 2021 Jan 26;96(4):e553-e562. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0000000000011211. Epub 2020 Nov 12. PMID: 33184232; PMCID: PMC7905786.
 19. Gibson E, Georgescu B, Ceccaldi P, Trigan PH, Yoo Y, Das J, Re TJ, Rs V, Balachandran A, Eibenberger E, Chekkoury A, Brehm B, Bodanapally UK, Nicolaou S, Sanelli PC, Schroepel TJ, Flohr T, Comaniciu D, Lui YW. Artificial Intelligence with Statistical Confidence Scores for Detection of Acute or Subacute Hemorrhage on Noncontrast CT Head Scans. *Radiol Artif Intell*. 2022 Apr 20;4(3):e210115. doi: 10.1148/ryai.210115. PMID: 35652116; PMCID: PMC9152881.
 20. Rava RA, Seymour SE, LaQue ME, Peterson BA, Snyder KV, Mokin M, Waqas M, Hoi Y, Davies JM, Levy EI, Siddiqui AH, Ionita CN. Assessment of an Artificial Intelligence Algorithm for Detection of Intracranial Hemorrhage. *World Neurosurg*. 2021 Jun;150:e209-e217. doi: 10.1016/j.wneu.2021.02.134. Epub 2021 Mar 5. PMID: 33684578.
 21. Hu P, Li Y, Liu Y, Guo G, Gao X, Su Z, Wang L, Deng G, Yang S, Qi Y, Xu Y, Ye L, Sun Q, Nie X, Sun Y, Li M, Zhang H, Chen Q. Comparison of Conventional Logistic Regression and Machine Learning Methods for Predicting Delayed Cerebral Ischemia After Aneurysmal Subarachnoid Hemorrhage: A Multicentric Observational Cohort Study. *Front Aging Neurosci*. 2022 Jun 17;14:857521. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2022.857521. PMID: 35783143; PMCID: PMC9247265.
 22. van der Steen WE, Marquering HA, Boers AMM, Ramos LA, van den Berg R, Vergouwen MDI, Majoie CBLM, Coert BA, Vandertop WP, Verbaan D, Roos YBWEM. Predicting Delayed Cerebral Ischemia with Quantified Aneurysmal Subarachnoid Blood Volume. *World Neurosurg*. 2019 Oct;130:e613-e619. doi: 10.1016/j.wneu.2019.06.170. Epub 2019 Jun 28. PMID: 31260850.
 23. Seyam M, Weikert T, Sauter A, Brehm A, Psychogios MN, Blackham KA. Utilization of Artificial Intelligence-based Intracranial Hemorrhage Detection on Emergent

- Noncontrast CT Images in Clinical Workflow. *Radiol Artif Intell.* 2022 Feb 9;4(2):e210168. doi: 10.1148/ryai.210168. PMID: 35391777; PMCID: PMC8980872.
24. Din M, Agarwal S, Grzeda M, Wood DA, Modat M, Booth TC. Detection of cerebral aneurysms using artificial intelligence: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Neurointerv Surg.* 2023 Mar;15(3):262-271. doi: 10.1136/jnis-2022-019456. Epub 2022 Nov 14. PMID: 36375834.
25. Kim HC, Rhim JK, Ahn JH, Park JJ, Moon JU, Hong EP, Kim MR, Kim SG, Lee SH, Jeong JH, Choi SW, Jeon JP. Machine Learning Application for Rupture Risk Assessment in Small-Sized Intracranial Aneurysm. *J Clin Med.* 2019 May 15;8(5):683. doi: 10.3390/jcm8050683. PMID: 31096607; PMCID: PMC6572384.
26. Esmaeeli, S. *et al.* (2020) “Robotically assisted transcranial Doppler with Artificial Intelligence for assessment of cerebral vasospasm after subarachnoid hemorrhage,” *Journal of Neurocritical Care*, 13(1), pp. 32–40. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.18700/jnc.200002>.

Table 1: Summary of the studies included in our systematic review.

Studies	Type	Clinical Criteria	AI/ML Models	Findings
Nishi, et al.,2021	Retrospective study	NCCT	CNN	Accuracy of AI/ML in diagnosing SAH is equal to 5 neurosurgery specialists and higher than nonspecialists
Li, et al., 2022	Retrospective Study	NCCT	LR	AI/ML can be utilized in specific gene analysis alongside imaging to predict rupture of aneurysms
Gibson, et al., 2022	Retrospective Study	NCCT	DNN	AI/ML provides higher accuracy than physicians in classifying and detecting SAH
Rava, et al. 2021	Retrospective Study	NCCT	AutoStroke solution platform	AI/ML provides accurate detection of SAH

Jiewen Deng and Zhaohui He, 2022	Retrospective Study	Biomarkers including glucose levels, GCS,NLR and SOFA score	LR	AI/ML promotes enhanced mortality prediction
Koch, et al., 2020	Retrospective Study	SDMA, DMGV, and ornithine	Elastic Net/LR	AI/ML can anticipate SAH association with poor outcome
Hu, et al., 2022	Multicentric Observational Cohort	NCCT	LR	AI/ML promotes detection, accuracy and intervention for DCI cases
Tanioka, et al., 2019	Prospective Study	Periostin, osteopontin, and galectin-3	RF	AI/ML promotes accuracy and sensitivity of DCI detection
Kim, et al., 2019	retrospective study	3D digital subtraction angiography	CNN	AI/ML has accuracy superseding clinicians in predicting rupture risks for small sized aneurysms

Van der Steen, et al., 2019	Prospective Study	Sex, age, medical history of chronic diseases, modified Fisher scale, WFNS score, TBV, aneurysm location and size	LR	AI/ML provides automated calculation of TBV and can predict DCI
Toledo, et al., 2009	Retrospective Study	WFNS, GOS, GCS, and Fisher scales	LR	AI/ML improves accuracy and outcome predictions for SAH
Jong et al., 2021	Prospective Study	Sex, age, medical history of chronic diseases, modified rankin scale, modified Fisher scale, WFNS score,	FFANN	FFAN is equal to the SAHIT and VASOGRADE scores in predicting outcome
Esmaeeli, et al., 2020	Retrospective Study	NCCT and CT angiograms, modified Fisher, hunt and Hess	robotic TCD (Neural Analytics Lucid Robotic System.) ®	Robotic TCD as an alternative to manual TCD in assessing delayed vasospasm
Seyam, et al., 2022	Prospective Study	NCCT	Aldoc medical ®	AI/ML has 93.0% accuracy, 87.2% sensitivity and 97.8% NPV in SAH detection

Ramos, et al., 2019	Prospective Study	Medical history of chronic illness, WFNS, Hunt and Hess and modified Fisher scale	LR, SVM, RFC, MLP, PCA	AI/ML improves DCI prediction
Savarraj, et al., 2021	Retrospective Study	Fisher scale, age, DCI status, modified Rankin Scale at discharge and 3-month	LR	AI/ML Improves detection, and outcome prediction

Figure 1: PRISMA diagram detailing the selection process for studies in our systematic review.




Figure 2: courtesy of Co-author (WDF), hypothesizing the role of AI as a catalyst in reading images and generating reports, Hence, decreasing the imaging to intervention latency.

