1	Reliability and validity of physical function tests and ADL survey
2	questions in women living in rural, highland Ethiopia
3	
4	Jenna Golan <sup>1*</sup> , Anna Thalacker-Mercer <sup>2</sup> , John Hoddinott <sup>1</sup>
5	<sup>1</sup> Division of Nutritional Sciences, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, USA
6	
7	<sup>2</sup> Department of Cell, Development and Integrative Biology, University of Alabama at
8	Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA
9	* Corresponding author
10	jmg559@cornell.edu
11	
12	

# 13 Abstract

14 In rural, highland Ethiopia, physical function, which is the physical ability to fulfill one's daily 15 roles and responsibilities, may be compromised by a lack of access to nutrition, healthcare, and 16 sanitation. Decreased physical function would be detrimental to health and income-generating 17 activities. Unfortunately, there is a lack of validated methods to measure physical function in 18 adult women in this region. This validity study evaluated the feasibility and reliability of 19 physical tests, including the sit-to-stand (STS) and usual gait speed (UGS). The physical tests 20 were used to assess the validity of context-appropriate activities of daily living (ADL) questions. 21 The results of the STS were used to calculate a power index  $(P_{sts})$  which accounted for body 22 mass and leg length. Feasibility was ascertained qualitatively. Reliability was assessed by 23 comparing the results of the tests and questions between each visit using either Cohen's  $\kappa$  or 24 Pearson's p. Validity was assessed by regressing the responses to the ADL questions against P<sub>sts</sub>, controlling for relevant participant characteristics. Study participants consisted of 316 25 women between the age of 18 and 45 years, living in rural Tigray, Ethiopia, that had previously 26 participated in an impact evaluation of a safety net program. Over a one-week period, 27 28 participants completed the STS and UGS tests and responded to the ADL questionnaires three times. P<sub>sts</sub> was determined to be a feasible, reliable, and valid physical function test for women 29 30 in rural, highland Ethiopia. UGS lacked feasibility and reliability. The validity of the ADLs was 31 inconclusive. The P<sub>sts</sub> will be an essential tool for improving physical function and, 32 subsequently, health and quality of life in rural Ethiopia.

# 33 Introduction

34	A person's ability to functionally, independently, and physically fulfill their activities of							
35	daily living (ADL) is known as physical function (1,2). It is both a cause and consequence of							
36	body size and composition and physical activity. Intrinsically, physical function is essential for							
37	overall health and quality of life (3,4). Instrumentally, physical function can identify people at							
38	risk for further declines in health (5–9), requiring additional care (4), or having future health							
39	expenditures (10). Additionally, studies have used physical function as an outcome measure to							
40	determine the impact of interventions targeting health (11–13). Outside of health measures,							
41	physical function impacts social networks (14,15) and income-generating activities (16-18).							
42	Medical practitioners and researchers in high-income countries have extensively							
43	measured physical function in a variety of populations, such as older adults with and without							
44	dementia (5,19–23) and people with chronic illness or pain (e.g., arthritis) (24–26). However,							
45	measuring physical function has been underused in low- and middle-income countries (LMIC)							
46	populations, with few exceptions (19,27–29).							
47	Measuring physical function in LMIC is essential because daily life is physically							
48	demanding. According to the 2016 Ethiopia Demographic and Health Survey (DHS), of the							
49	employed women living in rural Ethiopia, approximately 65% were employed in skilled manual							
50	labor, unskilled manual labor, or agriculture. Additionally, 53% of women in rural households							
51	spent more than 30 minutes fetching drinking water daily (30). Furthermore, populations living							
52	in rural Ethiopia are exposed to many risk factors that would negatively impact their physical							
53	function, including access to nutrition (31–33), healthcare, and sanitation (34–36). According to							
54	the 2016 DHS report, 25% of women living in rural Ethiopia were underweight (BMI $< 18.5$							

 $^{kg/m^2}$ , and 25% were anemic (30). Declines in physical function would significantly impact both necessary income-generating activities and activities needed for daily life.

57 Physical function is measured through physical tests, or validated survey questions 58 focused on the respondents' ability to complete tasks required for personal care and independent 59 living (37). Several tests, including usual gait speed (UGS) and sit-to-stand tests (STS), do not 60 require specialized training or resources, making them a viable option for measuring physical 61 function in resource-limited settings. Gait speed strongly relates to pathophysiological 62 mechanisms (38) and is considered a global marker of well-being (39). The STS requires a 63 combination of muscle power and balance (40). It uses upper and lower-body musculature, 64 requires continuous balance adjustments, and employs a motor pattern commonly used during ADLs (41). Both tests collect continuous outcome measures, which collect data on a gradient at 65 66 the upper end of the functional spectrum, making them more useful in young and active populations (5,42,43). 67

Survey questions require less time and training to administer than direct tests, making
them suitable for population studies. ADL surveys are one example of the validated survey
questions used to measure physical function (44). They use population-specific questions to
determine how well participants complete their ADLs (19,45). For example, surveys for elderly
or infirmed populations often ask about participants' ability to bathe, dress, and feed themselves
(1,20,37,44).

Physical function is not well understood in rural Ethiopia because the physical function
assessment tools have not been studied in this setting. The objectives of this study were to
determine the feasibility, reliability, and validity of the UGS, STS, and ADLs survey to measure
physical function in women living in rural highland Ethiopia. By understanding physical

function in the region, future research could identify those needing additional healthcare andfactors influencing health.

# **80 Materials and Methods**

## 81 Study setting

82 Data were collected in the mountainous Central, Eastern, and South Zones of Tigray, 83 Ethiopia. Most people in the rural highland regions have livelihoods based on rainfed 84 agriculture. A short rainy season occurs between March and May, and the main rainy season 85 occurs between June and October. During the main rainy season, more than 90 percent of the 86 country's total crop production occurs, with harvesting occurring between October and 87 December (46). In addition, during the small rainy season, the poorest households in the regions 88 receive additional support from a government social safety net called the Productive Safety Net 89 Program (PSNP). The PSNP provides cash or food payments for work on community projects such as terracing land, building roads, water reclamation, and reforestation efforts (46,47). 90 91 Participants in this study belonged to households who had previously taken part in an 92 evaluation of the PSNP (46). According to this evaluation, the villages included in this study 93 were remote. The average travel time to the market was 85 minutes on foot. Only 1% of 94 households had water piped into their dwelling; the average time to fetch water and return was 95 45 minutes. Female respondents spent most of their time conducting childcare, cooking/ eating, 96 domestic activities, and personal care during the dry season. During the main rainy season, they 97 spent most of their time on childcare, cooking/ eating, and food production activities (46).

## 98 Study subjects

Participants in this study resided in households that had previously taken part in an 99 100 evaluation of the PSNP (46). They were recruited based on the zones, counties, and villages 101 where they lived. Within the zones, counties were excluded if the PSNP was inactive. Within 102 each county, villages were excluded if they did not meet the criterion for a concurrently running 103 study on physical exertion (48) which required two households that received benefits from the 104 public works program and two that did not. All PSNP evaluation participants living in the 105 selected villages were screened for eligibility. Prior studies that validated ADL questionnaires 106 ranged in sample size from 30 (49.50) to over 400 people (51). Inclusion criteria included 107 women aged 18-45 years who were not pregnant and were not planning on leaving the area 108 during data collection. One hundred and seventy-eight women participated in the first round of 109 data collection during the dry season, and 138 women participated in the second round of data 110 collection during the main rainy season, the agricultural round. One hundred and twenty-seven 111 women participated in data collection during both rounds; 51 only participated during the dry 112 season round and 11 during the agricultural round.

## **Data collection**

Data collection took place over two four-week periods. The first four-week period,
April-May 2019, coincided with the small rainy season when minimal agricultural activities
occurred, and the PSNP was operational. The second, four-week period, September-October
2019, occurred during the main rainy season when households were engaged in farming
activities such as preparing the land, planting, and weeding. The PSNP was non-operational.
Over eight days, participants were visited up to six times. Participants answered survey
questions during three visits, including the ADL questions, and completed the physical function

tests. During the first visit, relevant anthropometric information, including weight (Seca, Model
874 dr, Hamburg, Germany) and leg length, were also measured. Women wore light clothing
while being weighed. Leg length was measured from the greater trochanter to the lateral
malleolus using a standard measuring tape. All anthropometric measurements were measured
twice, and the average of the two was used for analysis. Additional anthropometric,
demographic, and village information including was collected during the PSNP evaluation (46).

127 **Physical function instruments** 

#### 128 Sit-to-stand

129 A repeated STS test measures the time it takes a participant to rise from a seated position 130 without using their hands. This task requires a combination of muscle power and balance (40). 131 It uses upper and lower-body musculature, requires continuous balance adjustments, and 132 employs a motor pattern commonly used in ADLs (41). There is no standardized protocol for 133 this test, and several variations exist. For this study, we adapted the protocol used by Takai et al. 134 (52), modifying the seated position and the number of tests to make them appropriate for this 135 setting. The subjects started in a standing position, then sank into a low seated squat before 136 standing again. They squatted seven times as quickly as possible. The bottoms of their feet remained in contact with the ground throughout the test. The low seated squat is a common 137 138 position for adults in developing countries to adopt when sitting or resting. Participants crossed 139 their arms at the wrists and held them against their chest to ensure they were unaided in rising. A stopwatch recorded the time to the nearest 10<sup>th</sup> of a second. The STS test was 140 141 performed twice with an interval of 1 minute between the trials. The shorter of the two times was used for data analysis. A power index (P<sub>sts</sub>) was calculated using Equation 1. Previous 142

143 studies have found that the power index is correlated with the maximum voluntary isometric 144 knee extension force (52). 145  $P_{sts} = \ln \frac{L \times body mass \times g \times 7}{T_{sts}}$ 146 147 (1) 148 Where:  $P_{sts}$  is the power index from the test 149 L is the leg length measured from the greater trochanter to the lateral malleolus 150 Body mass is measured in kg to the nearest tenth G is the acceleration of gravity  $(9.8 \text{ m/s}^2)$ 151  $T_{sts}$  is the time it took to complete the test 152

#### 153 Usual gait speed

During the UGS, participants were timed as they walked 20 meters at their usual pace. Enumerators laid out pre-measured lengths of rope on a flat surface, free of obstacles, on stable ground. The participants started three steps behind the start of the distance over which they were recorded walking and continued walking until they were three steps beyond the finishing point. The test was repeated three times, and the two closest times (out of three) were averaged and used for data analysis.

#### 160 Activities of daily living survey

ADL surveys have been used to assess physical function since they were developed in 1963 (53). Multiple versions of the survey exist. Each version asks the study participants if they experienced difficulty completing routine activities such as getting in/out of bed, showering, and preparing food. The routine activities selected for this version of an ADL survey were based on pilot data from a "24-hour recall of time use" and "perceived energy exertion" survey conducted

166	by the study team. Three ADLs were selected that almost all participants had engaged in and
167	were not expected to vary much in difficulty throughout the year. The ADLs survey questions
168	collected information on participants' perceived difficulty (i) traveling to and from the market
169	(ADL - Market), (ii) cleaning and housework (ADL - Housework), and (iii) preparing food
170	(ADL - Food) due to the physical nature of the activity.
171	The participants ranked the level of difficulty encountered on an ordinal scale 1)
172	experienced no difficulty, 2) experienced some difficulty, 3) needed assistance to complete the
173	task, 4) avoided completing the task unless necessary, and 5) not responsible for the task. The
174	responses to the ADL survey questions were collapsed into binary values whether the participant
175	experienced no difficulty or any level of difficulty. Participants who were not responsible for a
176	task were marked as "missing." Additionally, a binary variable indicated whether a participant
177	responded positively to experiencing difficulties with any ADLs (ADL - Any), and another
178	variable captured the number of ADLs a participant reported difficulties (ADL – Number).

# 179 Analysis

180 Feasibility was assessed based on the training and tools needed to administer the tests and 181 qualitative reports from the enumerators on their ability to administer them. The reliability of 182 each physical function test was evaluated using the Pearson correlation coefficient ( $\rho$ ). The physical function tests from each visit were compared to the other visits with data. A coefficient 183 184 between 0 to 0.20 was poor agreement, 0.21 to 0.40 was fair agreement, 0.41 to 0.60 was 185 moderate/ acceptable agreement, 0.61 to 0.80 was substantial agreement, and 0.81 to 1.0 was 186 near perfect agreement. These classifications have been used in other validation studies (54). 187 Reliability for each ADL question was evaluated using Cohen's kappa statistic ( $\kappa$ ). The same 188 cutoffs were used to assess agreement for the correlation coefficient (55).

189	Due to the low agreement between UGS and $P_{\text{sts}}$ and low agreement of UGS between
190	visits, only $P_{sts}$ was used to assess the validity of the ADL survey questions. One visit per
191	participant per round was randomly selected for analysis for the validity of the ADL survey.
192	First, a univariate analysis was conducted to determine if the responses to the ADL questions
193	were significantly associated with P <sub>sts</sub> . Then, the variables were regressed with the rounds
194	collapsed and by round. In rounds where the p-value for an ADL question was less than 0.20,
195	the ADL question was fit into a multivariate regress with $P_{sts}$ as the dependent variable, and
196	significant participant characteristics from that round were considered in the model. Significant
197	participants characteristics included BMI, underweight (BMI < 18.5 kg/m2), having a partner
198	live in the household, being food insecure as measured by food gap (46), self-reported short- or
199	long-term illness, and residing in a household that benefits from the PSNP. The best fit modeled
200	was determined using backward-stepwise regression by Bayesian information criterion (BIC).

# 201 Ethical approval

202 This study received ethical approval from the IRB at Cornell University (1902008596).

# 203 **Results**

Between the two rounds of data collection, participants were comparable in age, education, BMI, marital status, PSNP beneficiary status, and their self-reported long-term and short-term illnesses and injuries, **Table 1**. The mean age of the participants was 30 years, and the range was 18 to 45. The average BMI was  $19.4 \text{ kg/m}^2$ . However, more participants were underweight in the dry season round than in the agricultural (35.4% versus 31.6%). In addition, there was a seasonal difference in food security, with more participants reporting a food gap in the past six months during the dry season round (33.1%) than in the agricultural round (26.8).

## 211

Characteristic	Dry season round	Agricultural round	P-value
N	178	138	
Age (years)	30 (6.6)	30 (6.5)	0.46
Any education	50.0%	51.0%	0.90
BMI $({}^{\text{kg}}\!/_{\text{m}}^2)$	19.4 (2.1)	19.5 (2.3)	0.54
Underweight (BMI < $18.5 \text{ kg/}{m^2}$ )	35.4%	31.6%	0.48
Partner lives in household	85.4%	83.3%	0.62
Food insecure during the past 6 months	33.1%	26.8%	0.23
Long-term illness or injury	15.7%	14.5%	0.76
Short-term illness or injury	24.7%	26.8%	0.67
Benefits from PSNP	47.2%	44.9%	0.69
P <sub>sts</sub>	9.9 (0.3)	9.9 (0.3)	0.89
UGS	8.7 (1.3)	8.5 (1.4)	0.26
ADL - Market	14.0%	21.0%	0.10
ADL - Housework	12.4%	20.3%	0.06
ADL - Food	12.4%	21.0%	0.04*
ADL - Any	17.4%	26.1%	0.06
ADL - Number			
Zero	82.6%	73.9%	0.06
One	5.1%	6.5%	0.58
Two	3.4%	2.9%	0.81
Three	9.0%	16.7%	0.04*

#### Table 1: Study participant characteristics

Values are presented as means with standard deviation in parentheses or as a percent of the study population. \* Indicates that it is significant at  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

212

213	The average values of the two physical function tests were similar between rounds. More
214	participants reported difficulty with ADL - Any and each ADL during the agricultural round
215	compared to the dry season round. There was only a statistically significant difference (p-value
216	= 0.04) between those that reported difficulty preparing food for each round (12.4% vs $21.0$ %).
217	During the dry season round, 82.6% of participants reported experiencing no difficulties with
218	ADLs; this decreased to 73.9% in the agricultural round. In the dry season round, 9.0% of
219	participants reported difficulties with all three ADLs. This increased to 16.7% of participants in
220	the agricultural round (p-value = $0.04$ ).
221	The enumerators reported that the STS was feasible to administer to the study population.
222	Participants could complete the number of repetitions but reported that it was challenging and
223	had started to fatigue. There were more reported difficulties in administering the UGS,
224	particularly in finding a sufficient area to administer the tests. The UGS was not feasible in
225	some households, making it an unsuitable test for use in rural Ethiopia.
226	The P <sub>sts</sub> had a near-perfect agreement for each visit within a round, with a correlation
227	coefficient greater than 0.80 (Table 2), except for visit 1 compared to visit 3 in the dry season
228	round. There was substantial agreement between the values. Overall, UGS had a lower
229	agreement, with most comparisons having moderate agreement. There was substantial
230	agreement between visits 2 and 3 in the agricultural round (correlation coefficient = $0.62$ ). There
231	was nearly always poor agreement between the UGS and P <sub>sts</sub> between each visit for both rounds.
232	

		Dry season round		Agricultural round	
Test	Visit numbers	Ν	Pearson's p	Ν	Pearson's p
P <sub>sts</sub>					
	1 vs 2	176	0.81****	126	0.88****
	1 vs 3	147	0.78***	122	0.82****
	2 vs 3	147	0.81****	129	0.84****
UGS					
	1 vs 2	176	0.54**	124	0.59**
	1 vs 3	151	0.40*	117	0.40*
	2 vs 3	152	0.47**	121	0.62**
P <sub>sts</sub> vs					
UGS					
	Visit 1	175	-0.22*	127	-0.12
	Visit 2	176	-0.10	132	-0.05
	Visit 3	147	-0.18	124	-0.05

## Table 2: Reliability of physical function tests

\* Indicates fair agreement, \*\* indicates moderate/ acceptable agreement, \*\*\* indicates substantial agreement, \*\*\*\* indicated near-perfect agreement.

233

234 The responses to each ADL question were compared between visits in each round, Table235 3. During the dry season round, there was a near-perfect agreement in the responses to the ADL

- 236 questions between the visits ( $\kappa$  ranged from 0.78 to 0.97). During the agricultural round, there
- 237 was either substantial or near-perfect agreement ( $\kappa$  ranged from 0.70 to 0.88).

#### 238

		Dry se	Dry season round		tural round
ADL question	Visit numbers	Ν	Cohen's ĸ	Ν	Cohen's ĸ
ADL - Market					
	1 vs 2	174	0.89****	128	0.81****
	1 vs 3	150	0.81****	124	0.83****
	2 vs 3	150	0.89****	131	0.80***
ADL - Housework					
	1 vs 2	175	0.97****	128	0.77***
	1 vs 3	149	0.85****	124	0.70***
	2 vs 3	149	0.88****	131	0.84****
ADL - Food					
	1 vs 2	176	0.97****	128	0.84****
	1 vs 3	150	0.85****	124	0.86****
	2 vs 3	150	0.88****	131	0.88****
ADL - Any					
	1 vs 2	176	0.91****	128	0.76***
	1 vs 3	151	0.78***	124	0.70***
	2 vs 3	151	0.84****	131	0.71***
ADL - Number					
	1 vs 2	177	0.91****	137	0.74***
	1 vs 3	177	0.75***	137	0.65***

#### **Table 3: Correlation of ADL questions**

#### 2 vs 3 177 0.79\*\*\* 137 0.71\*\*\*

\* Indicates fair agreement, \*\* indicates moderate/ acceptable agreement, \*\*\* indicates substantial agreement, \*\*\*\* indicated near-perfect agreement.

239

240	<b>Table 4</b> shows the association between Psts and participant characteristics and ADL
241	responses with the rounds combine and by round. Age, BMI, underweight, and self-reported
242	long-term illness or injury were consistently significantly associated with $P_{sts.}$ (p-value < 0.05).
243	For every year increase in age, physical function as measured by the $P_{sts}$ decreased. An increase
244	in BMI was associated with improvements in physical function as measured by $P_{\text{sts}}$ , while those
245	underweight had lower physical function as measured by P <sub>sts</sub> . Those that self-reported a long-
246	term illness or injury had a lower physical function than those that did not. Among the ADL
247	responses, none were significantly associated with $P_{sts}$ when the rounds were combined. During
248	the dry season round, ADL – Housework, ADL – Food, ADL – Any, and ADL – Number were
249	significant at the $\alpha = 0.10$ . Only the ADL - Market was significant at the $\alpha = 0.10$ level during
250	the agricultural round.

Table 4: Association of P<sub>sts</sub> with participant characteristics and ADL responses

	A	All Dr		son round	Agricultural round		
Model parameter	Coefficien	p-value	Coefficien p-value		Coefficien	p-value	
woder parameter	t	p-value	t	p-value	t	p-value	
N	3	316		178		138	
Age	-0.01	0.01**	-0.01	0.06*	-0.01	0.04**	
Any education	-0.02	0.46	-0.01	0.88	-0.04	0.30	
BMI $({}^{\text{kg}}\!/_{\text{m}}{}^2)$	0.04	<0.01***	0.05	<0.01***	0.03	<0.01***	

Underweight (BMI <	-0.15	<0.01***	-0.18	<0.01***	-0.11	0.02**	
$18.5 \text{ kg/m^2})$	-0.15	\$0.01	-0.10	\$0.01	-0.11	0.02	
Partner lives in	0.05	0.20	0.05	0.41	0.04	0.50	
household	0.05	0.29	0.05	0.41	0.04	0.50	
Food insecure	-0.05	0.13*	-0.01	0.78	-0.11	0.02**	
Long term illness	-0.13	0.04**	-0.13	0.04**	-0.14	0.02**	
Short term illness	0.01	0.78	0.02	0.74	-0.04	0.37	
Safety net beneficiary	0.04	0.17*	0.03	0.51	0.06	0.16*	
ADL – Market	-0.01	0.82	0.08	0.21	-0.10	0.07*	
ADL – Housework	0.02	0.59	0.12	0.09*	-0.06	0.29	
ADL – Food	0.03	0.52	0.12	0.08*	-0.05	0.33	
ADL - Any	0.03	0.53	0.12	0.08*	-0.05	0.33	
Number of ADLs	0.01	0.74	0.04	0.09*	-0.03	0.17	

The mean value of  $\ln(P_{sts})$  for each round was 9.92 with a standard deviation of 0.30 for round 1 and 0.25 for round 2. \* Indicates statistical significance less than 0.20, qualifying for consideration in the multivariate model, \*\* Indicates statistical significance at <0.05, \*\*\* Indicates statistical significance <<0.01

252

Multivariate models were constructed for each ADL question where the response was significant at  $\alpha = 0.10$ , Table 5. In the dry season round, the ADL - Housework and ADL - Any were significant after controlling for BMI. The ADL - Food and ADL – Number were significant after controlling for BMI and self-reported long-term illness or injury. For the agricultural round, ADL-Market was significant after controlling for BMI. In the dry season round, all significant ADL variables had a positive coefficient, indicating that they were positively associated with P<sub>sts</sub> and had improved physical function compared to those that

- 260 responded that they had no difficulties. During the agricultural round, ADL Market had a
- 261 negative coefficient, indicating that those who reported difficulty had lower P<sub>sts</sub> and lowered
- 262 physical function than those who responded that they had no difficulties.
- 263

	ADL		BMI		Long-term illness or	
					injury	
ADL question	Coefficient	p-value	Coefficient	p-value	Coefficient	p-value
Dry season round						
Clean	0.13	0.04**	0.05	0.02**		
Food	0.15	0.02**	0.05	<0.01***	-0.12	0.04**
Any ADL	0.12	0.03**	0.05	<0.01***		
Number of ADL	0.05	0.03**	0.05	<0.01***	-0.12	0.05**
Agricultural round						
Travel	-0.10	0.04**	0.03	<0.01***		

The mean value of  $P_{sts}$  for each round was 9.92 with a standard deviation of 0.30 for round 1 and 0.25 for round 2. \* Indicates statistical significance less than 0.20, \*\* Indicates statistical significance at <0.05, \*\*\* Indicates statistical significance <0.01

264

265

# 266 **Discussion**

This research found that  $P_{sts}$  (power index) is a feasible, reliable, and valid method to measure physical function in adult women living in rural highland Ethiopia. Measuring  $P_{sts}$ required very little training or materials, making it a low-cost, feasible option for research

270 conducted in resource-constrained settings. Additionally, enumerators reported that the test was 271 easy to administer and acceptable to the study participants. P<sub>sts</sub> had high reliability with a nearperfect agreement between visits in each round. Additionally, P<sub>sts</sub> was associated with age, BMI, 272 273 and self-reported long-term illness or injury, indicating it is a valid measure of physical function. 274 P<sub>sts</sub> is a promising tool for measuring physical function in similar populations. UGS was neither feasible nor reliable, and it is not likely a good measure of physical function in adult women 275 276 living in rural highland Ethiopia. The ADL survey was reliable, but it had inconclusive validity. 277 The high reliability of the subjective scoring for each ADL among the rounds indicates that the 278 participants understood the question and interpreted it consistently. More work is needed for it 279 to be used to measure physical function in rural Ethiopia.

280 Strengths of this research include the careful attention to the development of the 281 methodologies and the administration of the STS and UGS in a novel setting. Using Psts as a 282 measure of physical function is a strength of this paper. Previous work on physical function in LMICs used grip strength (27,29,56). To the authors' knowledge, Psts has not previously been 283 used in rural regions of LMIC. P<sub>sts</sub> is a better measure of physical function than grip strength 284 because it requires muscle strength, mobility, balance, and coordination (40). In addition, P<sub>sts</sub> is 285 286 more likely to reflect the necessary activities to fulfill a study participant's daily roles and 287 responsibilities (41).

There were limitations in this paper, particularly around the development of the ADLs. The three activities were selected because they appeared in most responses in the time-use pilot survey. There was minimal seasonal variation in the frequency or difficulty of completing these activities. Unfortunately, logistical and time constraints prevented the piloting of these questions before the study. Questions added to the agricultural round of data collection found that these

293 activities were the ones that were most likely to be altered when a participant was unwell. 294 However, this was not reflected in that data. There is a lack of information to help us understand 295 why the ADL questionnaire had limited validity or to aid in creating a new survey. 296 More research is needed to explore survey questions and activities that accurately 297 characterize this population's physical function. Additionally, research is required to understand 298 the cause of the reported difficulties in completing ADLs. While the ADL questions may not 299 detect decreased physical function, the responses should not be ignored or dismissed. 300 Future research that includes P<sub>sts</sub> should examine several additional factors that impact 301 physical function. This research's nutritional status was limited to BMI, but it should include 302 body composition. Physical function is both a cause and a consequence of lean body mass, 303 making it an important consideration when evaluating physical function. Additionally, more 304 detailed dietary intake and biomarkers such as hemoglobin should be measured. Diet quality, 305 especially protein and micronutrient intake, impacts physical function (31-33). Physical 306 activity, including type, intensity, and duration, should be included in future research. Medical 307 history was limited to self-reported long or short-term illness or injury in this research, but an in-308 depth medical history would be valuable to future research, including the type, timing, treatment, 309 and health-seeking behaviors around illnesses and injuries. Finally, research regarding physical 310 function needs more frequent data points over longer periods. There are seasonal variations in 311 many factors that influence physical function, including diet (57), physical activity (46), and 312 exposure to diseases (58). More data points will help determine how quickly physical function changes in women in rural Ethiopia in response to different factors and if there are long-term 313 314 changes.

315 The STS was piloted among the enumerators before the start of the study. There was not 316 a consistent chair height among the households of the study participants, eliminating the 317 possibility of using a chair for the seated position. Like other cultures, people in rural Ethiopia 318 frequently sit in a deep squatted position while resting or working near the ground (e.g., farming 319 activities such as planting and weeding or domestic work such as cooking or laundry). As such, 320 the study team determined that it would be an appropriate option for the seated position of the STS, especially since the P<sub>sts</sub> variable took leg length into account. The enumerators determined 321 322 that seven was the ideal number of repetitions. It was challenging enough to reflect variations in 323 physical function, but participants could complete it.

# 324 Conclusions

Measuring physical function and identifying those experiencing difficulties completing their ADLS is essential in all vulnerable populations, including women in rural Ethiopia. ADLs in rural highland Ethiopia are physically demanding. Taking care of oneself, family, and household, and many income-generating activities require significant manual labor and traveling significant distances on foot. Therefore, decreases in physical function could affect the wellbeing of one's family by impacting household income, diet quality, and health through water, sanitation, and hygiene.

A feasible and reliable measure of physical function is vital in addressing poverty and malnutrition. It would allow healthcare providers to identify those requiring additional medical care and researchers to identify factors that influence physical function, including nutritional, behavioral, and environmental factors. This research has demonstrated that the P<sub>sts</sub> using a timed seven-repetition stand to seated squat is a reliable measure of physical function in this setting.

# 337 Acknowledgements

- 338 We would like to thank our field research staff in Tigray, Ethiopia, especially Equbay
- 339 Gebrehiwet, for all their work during data collection. Additionally, we are thankful for the
- 340 support provided by the Ethiopian Strategic Support of the International Food Policy Research
- 341 Institute. We would like to thank Drs Kathleen M. Rasmussen and James Booth for their
- 342 support.

# 343 **References**

- Spector WD, Katz S, Murphy JB, Fulton JP. The hierarchical relationship between activities of daily living and instrumental activities of daily living. Journal of Chronic Diseases. 1987 Jan 1;40(6):481–9.
- Beaton DE, Schemitsch E. Measures of health-related quality of life and physical function.
   Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research<sup>®</sup>. 2003 Aug;413:90–105.
- Tomey KM, Sowers MR. Assessment of Physical Functioning: A Conceptual Model
   Encompassing Environmental Factors and Individual Compensation Strategies. Phys Ther.
   2009 Jul;89(7):705–14.
- O'Neill D, Forman DE. The importance of physical function as a clinical outcome:
   Assessment and enhancement. Clin Cardiol. 2020;43(2):108–17.
- Seeman TE, Charpentier PA, Berkman LF, Tinetti ME, Guralnik JM, Albert M, et al. Predicting
   Changes in Physical Performance in a High-Functioning Elderly Cohort: MacArthur Studies of
   Successful Aging. J Gerontol. 1994 May 1;49(3):M97–108.
- Wolinsky FD, Miller DK, Andresen EM, Malmstrom TK, Miller JP, Miller TR. Effect of
   subclinical status in functional limitation and disability on adverse health outcomes 3 years
   later. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2007 Jan;62(1):101–6.
- Viccaro LJ, Perera S, Studenski SA. Is Timed Up and Go Better Than Gait Speed in Predicting
   Health, Function, and Falls in Older Adults? J Am Geriatr Soc. 2011 May;59(5):887–92.
- Shukla B, Bassement J, Vijay V, Yadav S, Hewson D. Instrumented Analysis of the Sit-to Stand Movement for Geriatric Screening: A Systematic Review. Bioengineering. 2020
   Dec;7(4):139.
- Gleeson M, Sherrington C, Keay L. Exercise and physical training improve physical function
   in older adults with visual impairments but their effect on falls is unclear: a systematic
   review. J Physiother. 2014 Sep;60(3):130–5.

- Fried TR, Bradley EH, Williams CS, Tinetti ME. Functional disability and health care
   expenditures for older persons. Arch Intern Med. 2001 Nov 26;161(21):2602–7.
- Morey MC, Sloane R, Pieper CF, Peterson MJ, Pearson MP, Ekelund CC, et al. Effect of
   Physical Activity Guidelines on Physical Function in Older Adults. Journal of the American
   Geriatrics Society. 2008;56(10):1873–8.
- 373 12. Beswick AD, Rees K, Dieppe P, Ayis S, Gooberman-Hill R, Horwood J, et al. Complex
  374 interventions to improve physical function and maintain independent living in elderly
  375 people: a systematic review and meta-analysis. The Lancet. 2008 Mar 1;371(9614):725–35.
- 13. Calvo-Ayala E, Khan BA, Farber MO, Ely EW, Boustani MA. Interventions to Improve the
   Physical Function of ICU Survivors: A Systematic Review. Chest. 2013 Nov 1;144(5):1469–80.
- 14. Imamura K, Kamide N, Sakamoto M, Sato H, Shiba Y, Matsunaga A. Association Between
  Social Network and Physical Function in Community-Dwelling Older Adults in Japan. Phys
  Ther Res. 2020 Jul 22;23(2):153–9.
- 15. Rogers SN, Lowe D, Yueh B, Weymuller Jr EA. The Physical Function and Social-Emotional
   Function Subscales of the University of Washington Quality of Life Questionnaire. Arch
   Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2010 Apr 19;136(4):352–7.
- 16. Noppert GA, Brown CS, Chanti-Ketterl M, Hall KS, Newby LK, Cohen HJ, et al. The Impact of
   Multiple Dimensions of Socioeconomic Status on Physical Functioning Across the Life
   Course. Gerontol Geriatr Med. 2018 Jan 1;4:2333721418794021.
- 17. Nilsen C, Agahi N, Kåreholt I. Work Stressors in Late Midlife and Physical Functioning in Old
   Age. J Aging Health. 2017 Aug 1;29(5):893–911.
- 18. Palumbo A, De Roos A, Cannuscio C, Robinson L, Mossey J, Weitlauf J, et al. Work
  Characteristics Associated with Physical Functioning in Women. IJERPH. 2017 Apr
  15;14(4):424.
- 392 19. Paddick SM, Gray WK, Ogunjimi L, Iwezuala B, Olakehinde O, Kisoli A, et al. Validation of the
  393 Identification and Intervention for Dementia in Elderly Africans (IDEA) cognitive screen in
  394 Nigeria and Tanzania. BMC Geriatr. 2015 Dec;15(1):53.
- 395 20. Bucks RS, Ashworth DL, Wilcock GK, Siegfried K. Assessment of Activities of Daily Living in
   396 Dementia: Development of the Bristol Activities of Daily Living Scale. Age and Ageing. 1996
   397 Mar 1;25(2):113–20.
- 398 21. Fitzpatrick AL, Buchanan CK, Nahin RL, DeKosky ST, Atkinson HH, Carlson MC, et al.
  399 Associations of Gait Speed and Other Measures of Physical Function with Cognition in a
  400 Healthy Cohort of Elderly Persons. The Journals of Gerontology: Series A. 2007 Nov
  401 1;62(11):1244–51.

- Wang L, Larson EB, Bowen JD, van Belle G. Performance-Based Physical Function and Future
   Dementia in Older People. Archives of Internal Medicine. 2006 May 22;166(10):1115–20.
- 404 23. Busch T de A, Duarte YA, Pires Nunes D, Lebrão ML, Satya Naslavsky M, dos Santos
  405 Rodrigues A, et al. Factors associated with lower gait speed among the elderly living in a
  406 developing country: a cross-sectional population-based study. BMC Geriatr. 2015 Apr
  407 1;15:35.
- 408 24. Jones SE, Kon SSC, Canavan JL, Patel MS, Clark AL, Nolan CM, et al. The five-repetition sit-to409 stand test as a functional outcome measure in COPD. Thorax. 2013 Nov 1;68(11):1015–20.
- 410 25. White DK, Master H. Patient Reported Measures of Physical Function in Knee Osteoarthritis.
  411 Rheum Dis Clin North Am. 2016 May;42(2):239–52.
- 26. Urits I, Smoots D, Franscioni H, Patel A, Fackler N, Wiley S, et al. Injection Techniques for
  Common Chronic Pain Conditions of the Foot: A Comprehensive Review. Pain Ther. 2020
  Jun;9(1):145–60.
- 27. Bernard C, Dabis F, de Rekeneire N. Physical function, grip strength and frailty in people
  living with HIV in sub-Saharan Africa: systematic review. Trop Med Int Health. 2017
  May;22(5):516–25.
- 418 28. Akosile CO, Mgbeojedo UG, Maruf FA, Okoye EC, Umeonwuka IC, Ogunniyi A. Depression,
  419 functional disability and quality of life among Nigerian older adults: Prevalences and
  420 relationships. Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics. 2018 Jan;74:39–43.
- 421 29. Pieterse S, Manandhar M, Ismail S. The association between nutritional status and handgrip
  422 strength in older Rwandan refugees. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2002 Oct;56(10):933–9.
- 423 30. Central Statistical Agency (CSA) Ethiopia and ICF. Ethiopia Demographic and Health Survey.
  424 Addis Ababa, Ethiopia and Rockville, Maryland, USA: CSA and ICF; 2016.
- 425 31. McLoughlin DM, Spargo E, Wassif WS, Newham DJ, Peters TJ, Lantos PL, et al. Structural and
  426 functional changes in skeletal muscle in anorexia nervosa. Acta Neuropathol. 1998 Jun
  427 15;95(6):632–540.
- 428 32. Cimolin V, Galli M, Vismara L, Vimercati SL, Precilios H, Cattani L, et al. Gait Analysis in
  429 Anorexia and Bulimia Nervosa. Journal of Applied Biomaterials & Functional Materials. 2013
  430 May;11(2):122–8.
- 431 33. Asamane EA, Greig CA, Thompson JL. The association between nutrient intake, nutritional
  432 status and physical function of community-dwelling ethnically diverse older adults. BMC
  433 Nutrition. 2020 Aug 25;6(1):36.

434 34. Cesari M, Penninx BWJH, Pahor M, Lauretani F, Corsi AM, Williams GR, et al. Inflammatory
435 Markers and Physical Performance in Older Persons: The InCHIANTI Study. The Journals of
436 Gerontology: Series A. 2004 Mar 1;59(3):M242–8.

- 437 35. Brinkley TE, Leng X, Miller ME, Kitzman DW, Pahor M, Berry MJ, et al. Chronic Inflammation
  438 Is Associated with Low Physical Function in Older Adults Across Multiple Comorbidities. J
  439 Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2009 Apr;64A(4):455–61.
- 36. Aiello AE, Haan MN, Pierce CM, Simanek AM, Liang J. Persistent Infection, Inflammation,
  and Functional Impairment in Older Latinos. The Journals of Gerontology: Series A. 2008 Jun
  1;63(6):610–8.
- 37. Wiener JM, Hanley RJ, Clark R, Van Nostrand JF. Measuring the Activities of Daily Living:
  Comparisons Across National Surveys. Journal of Gerontology. 1990 Nov 1;45(6):S229–37.
- 38. Studenski S, Perera S, Wallace D, Chandler JM, Duncan PW, Rooney E, et al. Physical
  Performance Measures in the Clinical Setting. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society.
  2003 Mar;51(3):314–22.
- 448 39. Cesari M, Scarlata S. What the 4-metre gait speed measures and why it cannot replace
  449 functional capacity tests. European Respiratory Journal. 2014 Jun 1;43(6):1819–20.
- 40. Lindemann U, Claus H, Stuber M, Augat P, Muche R, Nikolaus T, et al. Measuring power
  during the sit-to-stand transfer. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2003 Jun;89(5):466–70.
- 41. Smith WN, Rossi GD, Adams JB, Abderlarahman K, Asfour SA, Roos BA, et al. Simple
  equations to predict concentric lower-body muscle power in older adults using the 30second chair-rise test: a pilot study. Clinical Interventions in Aging. :8.
- 42. Guralnik JM, Seeman TE, Tinetti ME, Nevitt MC, Berkman LF. Validation and use of
  performance measures of functioning in a non-disabled older population: MacArthur
  studies of successful aging. Aging Clin Exp Res. 1994 Dec;6(6):410–9.
- 43. Curb JD, Ceria-Ulep CD, Rodriguez BL, Grove J, Guralnik J, Willcox BJ, et al. PerformanceBased Measures of Physical Function for High-Function Populations. Journal of the
  American Geriatrics Society. 2006;54(5):737–42.
- 44. Katz S. Assessing Self-maintenance: Activities of Daily Living, Mobility, and Instrumental
  Activities of Daily Living. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 1983;31(12):721–7.
- 463 45. Algarni AD, Alrabai HM, Al-Ahaideb A, Kachanathu SJ, AlShammari SA. Arabic translation,
  464 cultural adaptation, and validation study of Knee Outcome Survey: Activities of Daily Living
  465 Scale (KOS-ADLS). Rheumatol Int. 2017 Sep;37(9):1585–9.
- 46. Berhane G, Golan J, Hirvonen K, Hoddinott JF, Kim, Sunny, Seyoum Tafesse, Alemayehu, et
  al. Evaluation of the nutrition-sensitive features of the fourth phase of Ethiopia's Productive

- 468 Safety Net Programme [Internet]. 0 ed. Washington, DC: International Food Policy Research
- 469 Institute; 2020. Available from:
- 470 https://ebrary.ifpri.org/digital/collection/p15738coll2/id/133685
- 471 47. World Bank. The State of Social Safety Nets 2018. Washington, DC: World Bank; 2018.
  472 Report No.: doi:10.1596/978-1-4648-1254-5.
- 473 48. Golan J, Hoddinott J. Validation of the 24-hour perceived exertion recall survey (PERS) in
  474 women in rural Tigray, Ethiopia. Current Developments in Nutrition. 2023 Mar;100064.
- 475 49. Edwards MM. The Reliability and Validity of Self-Report Activities of Daily Living Scales.
  476 Canadian Journal of Occupational Therapy. 1990;57(5):273–8.
- 50. Vitória Puzzi, Joice Mara Oliveira, Thainá Bessa Alves, Natielly Soares Correia, Diery
  Fernandes Rugila, Thamires Spositon, et al. Validity and reproducibility of instruments to
  assess activities of daily living in adults with asthma: preliminary results. Eur Respir J. 2021
  Sep 5;58(suppl 65):PA761.
- 481 51. Pan AW, Wu CY, Chung L, Chen TJ. Reliability and validity of the self-reported Activities of
  482 Daily Living Scale for people with mental illness. Hong Kong J Occup Ther. 2018
  483 Dec;31(2):115–24.
- 484 52. Takai Y, Ohta M, Akagi R, Kanehisa H, Kawakami Y, Fukunaga T. Sit-to-stand Test to Evaluate
  485 Knee Extensor Muscle Size and Strength in the Elderly: A Novel Approach. J Physiol
  486 Anthropol. 2009;28(3):123–8.
- 487 53. Gurland BJ, Maurer MS. Life and Works of Sidney Katz, MD: A Life Marked by Fundamental
  488 Discovery. Journal of the American Medical Directors Association. 2012 Nov;13(9):764–5.
- 489 54. Bull FC, Maslin TS, Armstrong T. Global Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPAQ): Nine
  490 Country Reliability and Validity Study. J Phys Act Health. 2009 Nov;6(6):790–804.
- 491 55. Watson PF, Petrie A. Method agreement analysis: A review of correct methodology.
  492 Theriogenology. 2010 Jun;73(9):1167–79.
- 493 56. Hoddinott J, Behrman JR, Maluccio JA, Melgar P, Quisumbing AR, Ramirez-Zea M, et al.
  494 Adult consequences of growth failure in early childhood. Am J Clin Nutr. 2013
  495 Nov;98(5):1170–8.
- 496 57. Baye K, Hirvonen K. Seasonality: a missing link in preventing undernutrition. Lancet Child
  497 Adolesc Health. 2020 Jan 1;4(1):e3.
- 498 58. Altizer S, Dobson A, Hosseini P, Hudson P, Pascual M, Rohani P. Seasonality and the
  499 dynamics of infectious diseases. Ecology Letters. 2006;9(4):467–84.
- 500