<u>**Title</u>**: Assessment of Ultra Processed Foods consumption in Senegal: Validation of the Nova-UPF screener.</u>

<u>Authors</u>: Saliou Diombo Kébé^{1*}, Adama Diouf¹, Papa Mamadou Dit Doudou Sylla^{1,4}, Kalidou Kane¹, Caroline dos Santos Costa², Fernanda Helena Marrocos Leite², Giovanna Calixto Andrade², Abdou Badiane¹, Jean-Claude Moubarac³, Nicole Idohou-Dossou¹ and Carlos Augusto Monteiro².

- Laboratoire de Recherche en Nutrition et Alimentation Humaine (LARNAH), Faculté des Sciences et Techniques, Université Cheikh Anta Diop. BP 5005, Dakar – Fann, Sénégal; <u>labonut@ucad.edu.sn</u>
- 2. Center for Epidemiological Research in Nutrition and Health, School of Public Health, University of Sao Paulo, Brazil nupens.usp@gmail.com
- 3. Département de Nutrition, Faculté de médecine, Université de Montréal. Montréal, Canada; info-nutrition@umontreal.ca
- Laboratoire des sciences Biologiques, Agronomiques, Alimentaires et de Modélisation des Systèmes complexes (LABAAM), UFR des Sciences Agronomiques, de l'Aquaculture et des Technologies Alimentaires, Université Gaston Berger de Saint-Louis. BP 234 Saint-Louis, Sénégal, <u>s2ata@ugb.edu.sn</u>

*Corresponding author: salioudiombo.kebe@ucad.edu.sn

1 Abstract:

Background: Ultra-processed foods (UPF), as proposed by the Nova food classification system, are linked to the development of obesity and several non-communicable chronic diseases and deaths from all causes. The Nova-UPF screener developed in Brazil is a simple and quick tool to assess and monitor the consumption of these food products. The aim of this study was to adapt and validate, against the 24-hour dietary recall, this short food-based screener to assess UPF consumption in the Senegalese context.

8 Methods: The tool adaptation was undertaken using DELPHI methodology with national 9 experts and data from a food market survey. The validation study was conducted in the urban 10 area of Dakar in a sample of 301 adults, using as a reference the dietary share of UPF on the 11 day prior to the survey, expressed as a percentage of total energy intake obtained via 24-hour recall. Association between the Nova-UPF score and the dietary share of UPF was evaluated 12 13 using linear regression models. The Pabak index was used to assess the agreement in 14 participants' classification according to quintiles of Nova-UPF score and quintiles of the 15 dietary share of UPF.

16 Results: The results show a direct association (p-value<0.001) between intervals of the Nova-17 UPF score and the average dietary share of UPF. There was a near perfect agreement in the 18 distribution of individuals according to score's quintiles and UPF dietary share quintiles (Pabak 19 index = 0.84).

20 Conclusion: The study concluded that the score provided by the Nova-UPF screener adapted
21 to the Senegalese context is a valid estimate of UPF consumption.

22

<u>Keywords</u>: Validation study; Questionnaire; Food consumption; Ultra-processed Foods;
 Senegal; Non-communicable disease; Nova classification, Diet Surveys, food classification
 systems.

26 Introduction

27 The Nova system classifies all foods and beverages according to the nature, extent and purpose of the industrial processes they undergo. Food processing includes all physical, biological, and 28 29 chemical techniques used after food is extracted from production and before it is consumed or 30 made into dishes and meals in kitchens [1]. Foods and beverages are classified according to the 31 Nova system into four groups including Ultra-Processed Foods (UPF). UPF are defined as 32 formulations of ingredients, mostly of exclusive industrial use, that result from a series of 33 industrial processes. Ingredients include derived substances (e.g., emulsifiers, hydrogenated 34 oils) with little or no whole foods to which flavours, colours and other cosmetic additives are 35 added. As a group, UPF have a poor nutritional profile and share characteristics that favour 36 overconsumption such as being hyperpalatable and addictive [2-3]. A meta-analysis involving 37 many representative studies and several other epidemiological studies have shown the negative 38 effect of high UPF consumption on the nutritional quality of diets [4] and the effective link between UPF consumption and the development of obesity and chronic non-communicable 39 40 diseases (NCDs) such as type II diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular diseases and all-cause 41 mortality [5–9].

42 The consumption of UPF is rapidly increasing in low and middle-income countries (LMICs) 43 and this transition, strongly linked to the industrialization, globalization and market 44 deregulation of food systems, represents today a major threat to public health [10–12]. Such as 45 for Senegal, which is facing a rise in the prevalence of overweight and obesity due to lifestyle 46 changes, including sedentary lifestyle and physical inactivity, and unhealthy eating habits. In 47 2015, the prevalence of overweight and obesity was 22.1% and 6.4%, respectively, among 48 people aged 18 to 69 years. The prevalence of hypertension at national level was 29.8%, and 49 19.2% and 2.1% of adults had hypercholesterolemia and type II diabetes, respectively in the 50 same period [13].

51 The Senegalese public health system regularly conducts surveys to assess the state of 52 malnutrition in all its forms, the dietary diversity and micronutrient intakes, but thus far none specifically addresses UPF consumption. Although investigation on UPF consumption and 53 54 their effects on health in lower-income settings is urgent, researchers and policy makers can 55 find it difficult to monitor consumption trends of UPF. This is mainly because assessing the 56 dietary contribution of UPF using quantitative data, based on 24-hour recall or semi-57 quantitative food frequency data, is expensive, time consuming and complex to analyse. There 58 is also a considerable lack of data on new dietary patterns and sales and/or consumption trends 59 of ultra-processed foods in these contexts.

60 The Nova-UPF screener developed and validated in Brazil is a short food-based questionnaire that specifically addresses the consumption of UPF. It asks about UPF consumption ("yes" or 61 62 "no" question) in the previous day and assigns a score ranging from 0 to 23 according to a list 63 of 23 predefined UPF subcategories. It is a simple and quick tool to administer, requires a low workload, is easy to use and its application will allow the monitoring and evaluation of UPF 64 65 consumption and possibly their impact on the development of obesity and diet-related NCDs [14–15]. The aim of this study was to describe the adaptation of the Nova-UPF screener to the 66 Senegalese context and evaluate the performance of the score obtained by applying the adapted 67 68 tool in comparison with the daily energy contribution of UPF obtained from 24-hour dietary 69 recalls as a reference measure.

70 **2. Materials and Methods**

71 **2.1. Ethics**

This study received approval (Protocol SEN21/17 - 00000079MSAS/CNERS/SP) from the
National Committee of Ethics for Research in Health (CNERS) of the Ministry of Health and
Social Action (MSAS). Free and informed consent was obtained from the participants before
starting the study.

76 **2.2. Adaptation of the Nova-UPF Screener**

77 The original version of the Nova-UPF screener was developed in Brazil and validated against a full 24-hour recall [15]. To be applicable in our context, the original content (Table 1) was 78 79 adapted and tested to reflect Senegalese dietary patterns by the Human Nutrition and Food 80 Research Laboratory (LARNAH) team and its partners, including researchers who worked on 81 the development of the original version (Center for Epidemiological Research in Nutrition and 82 Health, NUPENS). The changes made to the original version of the Nova-UPF screener were 83 carried out through a collaborative process, led by the LARNAH research team with key 84 stakeholders in the domains of nutrition, epidemiology, public health and food technology at 85 national level. First, a literature review was performed on limited existing data, particularly 86 Euromonitor reports of countries from the same economic zone and with a similar food 87 environment (e.g., Ghana and Côte d'Ivoire) [16–17], to map the availability of UPF and carry 88 out a first content adjustment. Then, two virtual workshops and individual consultation sessions 89 involving the aforementioned stakeholders were organised (further details below). During the 90 workshops, the DELPHI method was applied, which aims to gather expert opinions on a 91 specific subject and to highlight convergences and consensus on the orientations to be given to 92 a project by subjecting these experts to successive waves of questions, was applied [18].

93	Table 1:	Original	version	of the	Nova-UPF screener
----	----------	----------	---------	--------	-------------------

\mathbf{N}°	Subcategories
1	Regular or Diet soda
2	Fruit juice or fruit drink in can or box
3	Fruit drink prepared from a powdered mix
4	Chocolate milk in can or box or prepared from a powdered mix
5	Tea or coffee in can or box or prepared from a powdered mix
6	Any type of flavored yogurt
7	Sausage, hamburger or nuggets
8	Ham, salami or bologna

9	Bun, roll or any type of packaged bread
10	Margarine
11	Branded mayonnaise, ketchup, or mustard
12	Branded salad dressing
13	Frozen French fries or from fast-food restaurants
14	Frozen pizza or from fast-food restaurants
15	Instant noodles or instant powdered soup
16	Frozen lasagna or any other frozen ready meal
17	Potato chips, crackers or any other type of packaged salty snacks
18	Cookies or biscuits with or without filling
19	Branded cake or muffin (not homemade or artisanal)
20	Cereal bar
21	Branded ice cream or ice lolly (not homemade or artisanal)
22	Chocolate bar or chocolate candies
23	Sugary breakfast cereals
G = (1, 1, 1, (2021))	

94 Source: Caroline et al. (2021).

95 The first virtual workshop carried out in June 2021 with over 20 national stakeholders was 96 conducted to i) present the Nova-UPF screener to the audience and ii) define the guidelines for 97 adapting the original content of the Nova-UPF screener to the Senegalese context. These 98 included finding resources to fill the data gap on UPF consumption in the country and to define 99 a methodological approach to revise the proposed subcategories. As a result, we implemented 100 a market survey to characterise the food supply in Dakar (the capital of Senegal) and capture 101 the diversity and main types of ultra-processed foods available in the food environment. The 102 Dakar region concentrates most of the economic activity, flow of food products to the rest of 103 the country, and all major distribution chains [19]. More than 4,700 types of packaged food 104 products, of which more than 70% was ultra-processed, were listed and classified into different 105 subcategories.

Following the first virtual workshop, individual one-hour consultations were held with expertsto directly obtain their opinions and suggestions on the content to be adapted (e.g., what types

of UPF, which brands or examples should be included). The results of these interviews and data from the market survey were used to develop a first adapted content proposal. The second workshop carried out in September 2021 with the same stakeholders reviewed the suitability of selected UPF subcategories and examples of products included in each subcategory to ensure that they were relevant to the Senegalese context. Following this second consultation with national experts, the final content of the Nova-UPF screener for Senegal was obtained.

114 Before implementing the tool, face-validity was assessed to evaluate the tool's design, content, 115 structure, and ease of understanding. The Nova-UPF screener in its original design is a self-116 administered questionnaire where the respondent reads the names of the subcategories and 117 checks the answers. However, given the low level of literacy and linguistic diversity, the Nova-118 UPF screener for Senegal was completed with the assistance of a trained interviewer who could explain the tool to respondents, and the meaning of each UPF subcategory, when necessary. In 119 120 this phase, an electronic questionnaire was designed to allow interviewers to personally assess, 121 after each question (subcategory): i) whether the wording of the requested subcategory was 122 well understood and ii) whether the respondent had no difficulty in answering. Then, 123 participants were asked: i) whether he/she had understood the meaning of each subcategory, ii) 124 whether the proposed UPF subcategories corresponded to the food products to which they were 125 often exposed, iii) whether the examples used were clear and well recognised. Based on these 126 results, further minor adjustments to the phrasing of the questions were made. From the original 127 version, several changes were made. These included rewording of subcategory names, the 128 splitting or grouping of certain subcategories and the introduction of new subcategories. In 129 Figure 1, we have a summary of the changes made from the original version. Like the original 130 tool, the Nova-UPF screener for Senegal also presents 23 subcategories. Foods were grouped 131 within the same subcategory according to their composition or use. At the end of the adaptation

- 132 phase and content validation (face-validity), a final version of the Nova-UPF screener adapted
- 133 for Senegal was obtained (see Table 2).

<u>Original</u> <u>Adapted</u>											
Chocolate milk in can or box or prepared from a powdered mix	Instant milk powder or instant chocolate powder										
Tea or coffee in can or box or prepared from a powdered	Iced or flavoured tea, coffee milk or flavoured milk in a can or bottle or prepared from a powdered mixture										
Any type of flavored yogurt	Any type of flavoured yoghurt or industrial curdled milk										
Sausage, hamburger or nuggets Ham, salami or bologna	Processed meats or meat spreads or nuggets										
Branded salad dressing	Bouillons, Dipping Sauce, vinaigrette or industrial salad dressing										
Frozen French fries or from fast-food restaurants Frozen pizza or from fast-food restaurants	Frozen fries or frozen pizza										
Frozen lasagna or any other F frozen ready meal	Any frozen ready-to-eat meal										
Cereal bar Chocolate bar or chocolate candies	Chocolate bars, chocolate candies, confectionery or chewy products										
Three (3) new categories											
Industrial jams or marmalades Chocolate or hazelnut paste spreads Spreadable cheese											
Instant milk powder: in Senegal, the great majority of instant milk powders available on the market are ultra-processed due to the presence of additives. Figure 1: Summary of changes to the original content											

139 Table 2: Final adapted version

N°	Subcategories	Code
1	Soda, energizing or diet beverages	CAT 01
2	Flavoured drinks, concentrates and/or fruit nectars in a can or box	CAT 02
3	Fruit-flavoured drinks prepared from a powdered mixture	CAT 03
4	Instant milk powder or instant chocolate powder	CAT 04
5	Iced or flavoured tea, coffee milk or flavoured milk in a can or bottle or	
5	prepared from a powdered mixture	CAT 05
6	Any type of flavoured yoghurt or industrial curdled milk	CAT 06
7	Processed meats or meat spreads or nuggets	CAT 07
8	Bread, rusks, any other type of packaged industrial bread	CAT 08
9	Frozen fries or frozen pizza	CAT 09
10	Margarine	CAT 10
11	Industrial jams or marmalades	CAT 11
12	Chocolate or hazelnut paste spreads	CAT 12
13	Spreadable cheese	CAT 13
14	Industrial mayonnaise, ketchup or mustard	CAT 14
15	Bouillons, Dipping Sauce, vinaigrette or industrial salad dressing	CAT 15
16	Instant soup powder or instant noodles	CAT 16
17	Any frozen ready-to-eat meal	CAT 17
18	Chips, crackers, or any other type of packaged salty snack	CAT 18
19	Cookies or biscuits with or without fillings	CAT 19
20	Industrial cakes, muffins, or pastries	CAT 20
21	Sweetened breakfast cereals	CAT 21
22	Industrial ice cream or popsicles	CAT 22
23	Chocolate bars, chocolate candies, confectionery or chewy products	CAT 23

140

141 2.3. Validation of the Nova-UPF Screener

142 **2.3.1.** Design and selection of participants

143 The validation study involved adults aged 18 years and older, living in urban areas of Dakar,

144 which concentrates nearly a quarter of the national population and almost half of the country's


145 urban population (49.6%) [20]. For validation studies, higher numbers of subjects will provide

better estimates of reproducibility or validity and a sample size of at least 50 to100 subjects isrecommended [21].

A two-stage random sampling design was used. First, a random selection was taken in 25 urban census districts (CD), spread across nine (9) health districts in Dakar's medical region. Second, l2 households were randomly selected in each CD (13 in one of them), by using a sampling step defined according to the size of the CD. Finally, in each household, one adult was randomly selected, taking into account a 50/50 balance between men and women. In total, 301 adults were enrolled to participate in this study.

154 **2.3.2. Data collection**

155 The data collection was carried out on December 2021, by experienced nutritionists and 156 investigators who were trained in the use of the Nova-UPF screener and quantitative 24h recall. 157 All participants were informed about the aim of the study and invited to join after agreeing to 158 participate by signing the consent form. Then sociodemographic and food consumption data 159 (Nova-UPF screener and 24-hour recall) were collected. The electronic questionnaire was 160 deployed in tablets using the ODK application for data collection (socio-demographic and food 161 consumption), where the 23 subcategories were listed along with a descriptive text of the 162 category and illustrative images as shown in Figure 2. Images corresponding to the mentioned brands were selected taking into account the different forms of packaging (bottle, can, brick 163 164 sachet, box etc.).



165

166

Figure 2: Electronic version of the Nova-UPF screener for Senegal.

167 The Nova-UPF screener was administered first, for an average time of five minutes. After 168 completion of the tool, investigators conducted the 24-hour dietary recall, where participants 169 informed about all foods and drinks and beverages, and total amount consumed on the day 170 before.

The 24-hour dietary recall was conducted using the automated multiple-pass method [22]. First, 171 participants report, in a rapid and uninterrupted manner, all foods and beverages consumed. 172 173 Next, the interviewer asks, from an initial list of often forgotten foods, what other foods or 174 beverages the respondent might have omitted to report. The participant is then asked about the type, time and place of each meal, and then provides details such as the method of preparation, 175 176 quantities and the addition of other foods (e.g., sugar, salt, etc.). Finally, the interviewer lists all the foods previously reported by the respondent, reviewing any omissions. Given local food 177 178 habits, where people are used to eat the main meals together around the same bowl, the quantity 179 of food or meals consumed was estimated by direct weighing (if a replica was available in the 180 household), by non-standard measurements (e.g., slice, unit, tablespoon, bag, handful, etc.), or

181 by using substitutes (water, dry millet, modelling paste) to get the weight that refer to the182 volume occupied by the amount of food consumed.

183 **2.4. Data analysis**

The Nova-UPF score of each participant, which corresponds to the total number of 184 185 subcategories (from 0 to 23) consumed on the day before, was calculated. To estimate the dietary share of UPF, each food reported in the 24-hour recall was first classified according to 186 187 the Nova food classification system as 1) unprocessed or minimally processed, 2) processed 188 culinary ingredients, 3) processed foods or 4) ultra-processed foods [1–2]. Then, the real 189 amount consumed of each food was obtained using the database of food conversion factors and 190 the Senegalese standard recipe database, developed under the national food consumption 191 survey implemented by Consortium pour la Recherche Économique et Sociale (CRES) in 192 collaboration with LARNAH, the Ministry of Health and the Food and Agriculture 193 Organization of the United Nations in Senegal (FAO-Senegal). These conversion factors are 194 coefficients that allow us to move from non-standard measurements or substitute quantities to 195 real quantities of food. The amount of each food (in grams) was converted to calories using the 196 2019 West African Food Composition Table [23] and the CIQUAL 2020 Nutritional Food 197 Composition Table [24]. Finally, for each person, we calculated the total calories consumed on 198 the day prior to the interview, including the total calories from UPF and also the percentage of 199 calories from UPF.

To test the association between the score provided by the Nova-UPF screener and the dietary share of UPF obtained from the 24-h recall two methods were used. First, the variation in the average percentage of calories from UPF was examined according to the changes in the score quintiles on a continuous basis and also by comparing the quintiles of distribution of the two variables. In both cases, linear regression models were used to estimate the association. The level of agreement between the quintiles for the score and the percentage of calories from UPF

was assessed by calculating the prevalence and bias-adjusted Kappa index (Pabak) [25]. Values
greater than 0.80 indicate near-perfect agreement; between 0.61 and 0.80, substantial
agreement; between 0.41 and 0.60, moderate; between 0.21 and 0.40, fair; and equal to or less
than 0.20, slight [26]. Data analyses were performed with the Stata® 16.1 software and the
Pabak index was calculated using R Studio software.

211 **3. Results**

212 **3.1. Socio-demographic characteristics**

The Sociodemographic characteristics of participants are described in Table 3. The mean age of subjects was 42 ± 14 years. All 301 volunteers in this study were aged between 20 and 68 years, and the most represented age group was those of 20-39 years. More than half of individuals were married (61.5%) and had reached high school or university (52.8%). Regarding their occupation, 22.9% were in the trade sector, and 11% were unemployed, including 18.7% of women.

	Total	Men	Women
	(n= 301)	(n =151)	(n= 150)
Mean age	42 ± 14	41 ± 14	43 ± 15
Age group			
20 - 39	49.5 (149)	55 (83)	44 (66)
40 - 59	34.2 (103)	31.1 (47)	37.3 (56)
60 - 68	16.3 (49)	13.9 (21)	18.7 (28)
Literacy	77.1 (232)	82.8 (125)	71.3 (107)
Level of Education			
Primary school	21.6 (65)	13.9 (21)	29.3 (44)
College / high school	24.2 (73)	26.5 (40)	22 (33)
University	28.6 (86)	25.8 (39)	31.4 (47)
Vocational school	16.3 (49)	21.2 (32)	11.3 (17)
Others	9.3 (28)	12.6 (19)	6 (9)


219 Table 3: Socio-demographic characteristics (n=301). Senegal (2021).

Single	23.6 (71)	31.1 (47)	16 (24)
Divorced	4.6 (14)	3.3 (5)	6 (9)
Married monogamous	51.5 (155)	55 (83)	48 (72)
Married polygamous	14 (42)	10.6 (16)	17.3 (26)
Widow(er)	6.3 (19)	0 (0)	12.7 (19)
Occupation			
Unemployed	11 (33)	3.3 (5)	18.7 (28)
Student	9.6 (29)	8.6 (13)	10.7 (16)
Manual worker	7 (21)	13.3 (20)	0.7 (1)
Trader	22.9 (69)	13.3 (20)	32.7 (49)
Housekeeper	11.6 (35)	2 (3)	21.3 (32)

220 The results are expressed as percentages with the effective (n) or mean \pm SD.

221 **3.2. UPF consumption and distribution of the Nova-UPF score**

As described in Figure 3, the most consumed UPF subcategories were Bouillons, dipping sauce, vinaigrette or industrial salad dressings (32.5%); Industrial mayonnaise, ketchup or mustard (26.3%); Instant milk powder or instant chocolate powder (14.5%); Margarine (6.6%), and Chocolate bars, chocolate candies, confectionery or chewy products (4.9%). Overall, no products belonging to the subcategories Fruit-flavoured drinks prepared from a powdered mixture (CAT 03); Industrial jams or marmalades (CAT 11); and Industrial ice cream or popsicles (CAT 22) were consumed by our study sample.






230

Figure 3: Consumption frequencies of ultra-processed food subcategories.

The Nova scores ranged from zero (0) to eight (8) (Figure 4). The majority of participants got scores of 3 (28.6%), 2 (27.2%), 1 (20.3%), and 4 (13.3%). Only one individual (0.3%) had a score of zero and 10.3% of individuals had a score of 5 or higher (\geq 5).





235

234


3.3. Dietary share of ultra-processed foods according to Nova score intervals


Overall, the average contribution of UPF to daily energy intake was 17.4% (CI= 18.5 - 21.3).

238 The results showed that the dietary share of UPF consumption increased linearly with the

- increase in the intervals of the Nova-UPF score (p-value for linear trend <0001) (Figure 5).
- 240 Individuals in the first quintile had a mean energy contribution from UPF lower than 3%, while

the mean energy contribution from UPF for those in the fifth quintile was more than 30%.





244

242

score intervals


245 **3.4. Agreement between the Nova-UPF Screener and the 24-hour dietary recall**

Table 4 shows the distribution of individuals according to quintiles of the Nova-UPF score and

247 quintiles of energy contribution from UPF. The Pabak index value was 0.84 (0.68 - 1). This

248 indicates an almost perfect agreement (>0.80) between the two criteria, the Nova-UPF score

and the 24-hour dietary recall.



0.33 8.97	0.33	0.33	20.60							
8.97	2.33	0.33								
		0.55	27.24							
10.96	4.98	4.65	28.57							
5.98	2.99	2.33	13.29							
Q5 (≥ 41.54) 0.00 2.66 2.33 2.66 2.66 10.3										
Total 20.60 27.24 28.57 13.29 10.30 100.0										
Total 20.60 27.24 28.57 13.29 10.30 100.00 Pabak index (Kappa adjusted for prevalence bias) = 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84 0.84										

251

252 **4. Discussion**

Results of this study show a linear positive association (P<0.001) between the score obtained with the Nova-UPF screener for Senegal and the dietary share of UPF obtained from the full 24-hour dietary recall, as the reference method There was near-perfect agreement between the score quintiles distribution of individuals and the UPF energy contribution quintiles.

The average percentage of calories provided by UPF (17.4%) was similar to that reported in France (17.4%) [27] and in Brazilian adults (17.7%) [28], and to other studies in Colombia (15.9%) [29] and Spain (17.3%) [30]. This reflects that consumption of these products occurs regardless of differences in dietary habits and economic development between countries. However, UPF consumption in the Senegalese context is still much lower than the rates reported in the USA [31], Canada [32], and Great Britain [8], where the availability of these products in the food supply is much higher [10 - 33].

The most consumed UPF category was "Bouillons, dipping sauce, vinaigrette or industrial salad dressings". This is due to the presence of bouillons in this category, which is widely consumed by the majority (90%) of the Senegalese households' [34], to enhance the taste of prepared dishes. The same happens for the category of salty sauces (Industrial mayonnaise, ketchup or mustard). The frequency of use of foods belonging to the categories "Instant milk powder or instant chocolate powder" and "Margarine" is mainly due to the habit of people to consume instant milk powder with coffee in the morning and bread coated with margarine, for

breakfast. The consumption of "Chocolate bars, chocolate candies, confectionery or chewy
products" is mostly due to snacking behaviour between meals, especially among younger
people.

274 The absence of consumption of 'Industrial ice cream or popsicles' could be attributed to the 275 data collection period, which took place in winter, whereas these products are generally more 276 consumed during hot weather (summer). Despite their high availability on the market, it 277 appeared that 'Fruit-flavoured drinks prepared from a powdered mixture' and 'Industrial jams 278 or marmalades' were not consumed by our study sample. This may be due to the fact that we 279 have not caught profiles of people who consume these products, or because their consumption 280 is more common in areas other than those covered by our study (e.g., rural or peri-urban). 281 Applying the Nova tool at national level will give us a clearer assessment on consumption of 282 all categories, and possibly allow us to adjust the adapted content further on.

283 The scores obtained and their distribution were very similar to results from the validation tool 284 in Brazil. Indeed, in both studies, the most common scores were 1, 2, 3, and 4 [15]. However, 285 the average percentage of energy contribution according to the score quintiles was lower for 286 Senegal. It varied between 2.8% for the first quintile and 30.4% for the fifth quintile, while the 287 Brazilian average contribution varied between 19.3% and 43.9%, respectively [15]. When considering the distribution of individuals according to Nova score quintiles and quintiles of 288 289 energy contribution of UPF, the agreement between the Nova-UPF screener for Senegal and 290 the 24h recall is higher in the first three quintiles, while the original Brazilian tool highlights 291 more the extreme quintiles (first and fifth). These differences could be explained by the 292 difference in dietary patterns and food culture between Senegal and Brazil, and the sample 293 characteristics, such as age, sex, and education level, which can influence the consumption of 294 UPF in quantity and variety.

Beyond the significant association, the concordance expressed through the Pabak index is better for the adapted version in Senegal compared to the original one [15]. Adaptation from a predefined original content allows for a more precise and exhaustive adjustment of the tool's content. This suggests good results when considering that the Nova-UPF screener can be adapted in other countries, or its content can be updated in the future, according to changes in dietary habits.

The sample size of this study is unrepresentative, but this has no impact on the results because this study compares two methods to estimate UPF consumption in the same population. It was conducted based on probability sampling with a balanced sex-ratio population whose sociodemographic characteristics (level of education, age, profession, etc.) cover the entire concerned population. This is the first study on food consumption in Senegal using the Nova food classification and gives a relatively good idea of what the situation could be at the national level.

308 5. Conclusions

The Nova-UPF screener for Senegal is valid for measuring and monitoring the consumption of ultra-processed foods at the population level and over time. The score obtained with this tool accurately reflects the level of energy intake from these targeted foods. However, it will be necessary to perform this tool on a larger sample to better appreciate its applicability in nutritional surveillance and monitoring system.

- 314
- 315
- 316
- 317
- 318
- 319

320 **References**

- Monteiro CA, Cannon G, Moubarac JC, Levy RB, Louzada MLC, Jaime PC. The UN 321 1. 322 Decade of Nutrition, the NOVA food classification and the trouble with ultra-processing. 323 Public Health Nutr. 2018;21:5–17. Monteiro CA, Cannon G, Levy RB, Moubarac J-C, Louzada MLC, Rauber F, et al. Ultra-324 2. 325 processed foods: what they are and how to identify them. Public Health Nutr. 2019;22:936-326 41. 327 LaFata EM, Gearhardt AN. Ultra-Processed Food Addiction: An Epidemic? Psychother 3. 328 Psychosom. 2022;91:363-72. 4. 329 Martini D, Godos J, Bonaccio M, Vitaglione P, Grosso G. Ultra-Processed Foods and 330 Nutritional Dietary Profile: A Meta-Analysis of Nationally Representative Samples. 331 Nutrients. 2021;13:3390. 5. Rauber F, Chang K, Vamos EP, Louzada MLC, Monteiro CA, Millett C, Levy RB. Ultra-332 333 processed food consumption and risk of obesity: a prospective cohort study of UK 334 Biobank. Eur J Nutr. 2021;60:2169-80. 6. Llavero-Valero M, Escalada-San Martín J, Martínez-González MA, Basterra-Gortari FJ, 335 336 de la Fuente-Arrillaga C, Bes-Rastrollo M. Ultra-processed foods and type-2 diabetes risk in the SUN project: A prospective cohort study. Clin Nutr. 2021;40:2817-24. 337 338 Machado PP, Steele EM, Levy RB, da Costa Louzada ML, Rangan A, Woods J, et al. 7. 339 Ultra-processed food consumption and obesity in the Australian adult population. Nutr
- 340 Diabetes. 2020;10:39.
- Pagliai G, Dinu M, Madarena MP, Bonaccio M, Iacoviello L, Sofi F. Consumption of
 ultra-processed foods and health status: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Br J Nutr.
 2021;125:308-18.

	344	9.	Suksatan	W,	Moradi	S,	Naeini F	, Bagheri	R,	Mohammadi	H,	Talebi S	S,	et	al.	Ult	ra
--	-----	----	----------	----	--------	----	----------	-----------	----	-----------	----	----------	----	----	-----	-----	----

345 Processed Food Consumption and Adult Mortality Risk: A Systematic Review and Dose–

Response Meta-Analysis of 207,291 Participants. Nutrients. 2021;14:174.

- 347 10. Vandevijvere S, Jaacks LM, Monteiro CA, Moubarac J-C, Girling-Butcher M, Lee AC, et
- al. Global trends in ultra-processed food and drink product sales and their association with
 adult body mass index trajectories. Obes Rev. 2019;20:10-19.
- Baker P, Machado P, Santos T, Sievert K, Backholer K, Hadjikakou M, et al. Ultraprocessed foods and the nutrition transition: Global, regional and national trends, food
 systems transformations and political economy drivers. Obes Rev. 2020;21:e13126.
- Moodie R, Bennett E, Kwong EJL, Santos T, Pratiwi L, Williams J, Baker P. UltraProcessed Profits: The Political Economy of Countering the Global Spread of UltraProcessed Foods A Synthesis Review on the Market and Political Practices of
 Transnational Food Corporations and Strategic Public Health Responses. Int J Health
 Policy Manag. 2021;10:968-82.
- 358 13. Agence Nationale de la Statistique et de la Démographie (ANSD). Enquête nationale sur
 359 les facteurs de risques des maladies non transmissibles (STEPS). Sénégal: Ministère de la
 360 Santé et de l'Action Sociale; 2015.
- 361 14. Sattamini IF. Instrumentos de avaliação da qualidade de dietas: desenvolvimento,
 362 adaptação e validação no Brasil. [PhD thesis]. São Paulo: Universidade de São Paulo;
 363 2019.
- 15. Costa CS, Faria FR, Gabe KT, Sattamini IF, Khandpur N, Leite FHM, et al. Nova score
 for the consumption of ultra-processed foods: description and performance evaluation in
 Brazil. Rev. saúde pública. 2021;55:13.
- 367 16. Euromonitor International. Packaged Food in Ghana. Passport, 2019.
- 368 17. Euromonitor International. Packaged Food in Côte d'Ivoire. Passport, 2019.

- 369 18. De Villiers MR, De Villiers PJ, Kent AP. The Delphi technique in health sciences
 370 education research. Med Teach. 2005;27:639-43.
- 19. Agence Nationale de la Statistique et de la Démographie (ANSD). Situation économique
- et sociale régionale 2019. Sénégal: ANSD; 2021.
- 373 20. Agence Nationale de la Statistique et de la Démographie (ANSD). Rapport définitif
- 374 Recensement Général de la Population et de l'Habitat, de l'Agriculture et de l'Elevage
- 375 (RGPHAE). Sénégal: ANSD; 2013.
- 21. Cade J, Thompson R, Burley V, Warm D. Development, validation and utilisation of food-
- 377 frequency questionnaires a review. Public Health Nutr. 2002;5:567-87.
- 22. Moshfegh AJ, Rhodes DG, Baer DJ, Murayi T, Clemens JC, Rumpler WV, et al. The US
- 379 Department of Agriculture Automated Multiple-Pass Method reduces bias in the collection
- 380 of energy intakes. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88:324-32.
- 381 23. Vincent A, Grande F, Compaoré E, Amponsah Annor G, Addy PA, Aburime LC, et al.
- FAO/INFOODS Food Composition Table for Western Africa (2019) User Guide &
 Condensed Food Composition Table. Rome: FAO; 2020.
- 24. CIQUAL. Table de composition nutritionnelle des aliments. 2020. https://ciqual.anses.fr.
 Accessed on 24 October 2022.
- 386 25. Byrt T, Bishop J, Carlin JB. Bias, prevalence and kappa. J Clin Epidemiol. 1993;46:423387 9.
- 26. Landis JR, Koch GG. The Measurement of Observer Agreement for Categorical Data.
 Biometrics. 1977;33:159-74.
- 390 27. Srour B, Fezeu LK, Kesse-Guyot E, Allès B, Méjean C, Andrianasolo RM, et al. Ultra391 processed food intake and risk of cardiovascular disease: prospective cohort study
 392 (NutriNet-Santé). BMJ. 2019;365:11451.

- 393 28. Cattafesta M, Petarli GB, Zandonade E, Bezerra OMPA, Abreu SMR, Salaroli LB. Energy
- 394 contribution of NOVA food groups and the nutritional profile of the Brazilian rural workers' diets. PLoS ONE. 2020;15:e0240756. 395
- 396 29. Khandpur N, Cediel G, Obando DA, Jaime PC, Parra DC. Sociodemographic factors 397 associated with the consumption of ultra-processed foods in Colombia. Rev. saúde pública. 398 2020;54:19.
- 399 30. Sandoval-Insausti H, Jiménez-Onsurbe M, Donat-Vargas C, Rey-García J, Banegas JR,
- 400 Rodríguez-Artalejo F, Guallar-Castillón P. Ultra-Processed Food Consumption Is
- 401 Associated with Abdominal Obesity: A Prospective Cohort Study in Older Adults. 402 Nutrients. 2020;12:2368.
- 403 31. Yang Q, Zhang Z, Steele EM, Moore LV, Jackson SL. Ultra-Processed Foods and Excess 404 Heart Age Among U.S. Adults. Am J Prev Med. 2020;59:e197-206.
- 405 32. Nardocci M, Polsky JY, Moubarac J-C. Consumption of ultra-processed foods is 406 associated with obesity, diabetes and hypertension in Canadian adults. Can J Public Health. 407 2021;112:421-9.
- 408 33. Pan American Health Organization. Ultra-processed food and drink products in Latin 409 America: Trends, impact on obesity, policy implications. Washington, DC: PAHO; 2015.