Evaluating the buffering role of perceived social support and coping resources against the adult mental health impacts of COVID-19 psychosocial stress: a cross-sectional study in **South Africa** Andrew Wooyoung Kim^{1,2}, Someleze Swana², Mallika S. Sarma^{3*} ¹Department of Anthropology, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, USA ²SAMRC/Wits Developmental Pathways for Health Research Unit, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa ³Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, USA Total text pages (23); tables (2); figures (3)Running Headline: COVID stress buffering in SA *Corresponding Author: Mallika Sarma, PhD Johns Hopkins School of Medicine Baltimore, MD, USA msarma1@jhu.edu Data Availability Statement: The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions. Funding: AWK is supported by the Fogarty International Center and National Institute of Mental Health, of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number D43 TW010543. MSS is supported by the Translational Research Institute for Space Health through National Aeronautics and Space Administration Cooperative Agreement NNX16AO69A. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health or the National Aeronautics Space Administration.

47 ABSTRACT

48

49 **Objectives**: Growing evidence has highlighted the global mental health impacts of the COVID-

50 19 pandemic and lockdown, particularly in societies with pre-existing socioeconomic adversities

and public health concerns. Despite the sudden and prolonged nature of many psychosocial

- 52 stressors during the pandemic, recent studies have shown that communities utilized several
- 53 coping mechanisms to buffer the mental health consequences of COVID-related stress. This
- 54 paper examines the extent to which coping resources and social support buffered against the
- 55 mental health effects of COVID-19 psychosocial stress among adults in South Africa.
- 56

57 Materials & Methods: Adult participants (n=117) completed an online survey during the second

- and third waves of the COVID-19 pandemic in South Africa (January-July 2021), which
- assessed experiences of stress, coping resources, social support, and four mental health
- 60 outcomes: depression, anxiety, post-traumatic stress disorder, and bipolar disorder. Moderation
- analyses examined the potential buffering role of coping resources and social support against the
- 62 mental health effects of COVID-19 stress.
- 63

64 **Results**: Adults reported elevated rates of psychiatric symptoms. Coping resources buffered

against the poor mental health effects of COVID-19 psychosocial stress, whereas perceived

social support did not significantly moderate the association between COVID-19 stress and adult

- 67 mental health.
- 68

69 **Discussion**: These results suggest that adults in our sample utilized a variety of coping resources

- to protect their mental health against psychosocial stress experienced during the COVID-19
- 71 lockdown and pandemic in South Africa. Additionally, existing mental health conditions and
- strained social relationships may have attenuated the potential stress-buffering effect of
- 73 perceived social support on adult mental health.
- 74

75 INTRODUCTION

76 The SARS-COVID-19 pandemic and subsequent lockdown severely disrupted everyday 77 life and infrastructure across the world while introducing a wide variety of global-level stressors. 78 Building on everyday stressors, many of the additional pressures COVID-19 brought were novel 79 and abrupt, compounding negative effects. They were also broad reaching and deeply felt across 80 sectors, impacting economic, social, interpersonal, and healthcare domains. Since its onset, 81 COVID-19 has led to at least 6.55 million global deaths but also has caused upheaval through 82 lost jobs, depletion of resources, and the cause of short-and long-term disability for an 83 unquantified number of people. In particular, the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic has been 84 disproportionately harmful to people at the margins already living in precarity. Several studies 85 have demonstrated that individuals with pre-existing conditions were more likely to experience 86 COVID-related hospitalization, ongoing morbidity, or mortality (Fang et al., 2020; Sanyaolu et 87 al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020). Marginalized populations, particularly those that have experienced 88 systemic and pervasive violence and trauma, have higher rates of morbidity and mortality, 89 particularly during the COVID-19 pandemic (Brakefield et al., 2022; Braveman & Gottleib, 90 2014). In lower-to-middle income countries (LMIC), like South Africa, the pandemic has 91 introduced further mental health threats compounding existing ones (Kim et al., 2022). 92 As of October 2022, 4.02 million cases of COVID-19 have been reported in South 93 Africa, resulting in 102,000 deaths (Our World in Data, 2022). Like in many other parts of the 94 world, in South Africa, the onset of the pandemic brought about violent and abrupt disruptions to 95 economic and social resources, including travel, and gathering restrictions, as well as strain on an 96 already burdened healthcare infrastructure. Further, as a highly contagious airborne disease, the containment and treatment of COVID-19 necessitated mandated social isolation, which has well-97

98 documented negative impacts on mental health. In South Africa, a country recovering from the 99 violent legacies of apartheid and the subsequent downstream high rates of stress-related health 100 conditions (Coovadia et al., 2009; Kim et al., 2023), the additional stressors stemming from 101 COVID-19 created compounded stressors on an already overburdened healthcare system. This 102 struggling healthcare system, and the epidemic of non-COVID-related communicable and non-103 communicable diseases, is an outcome of policies derived from colonial subjugation, apartheid 104 dispossession, and post-apartheid recovery. Across these periods, racial and gender 105 discrimination, the migrant labor system, the destruction of family life, vast income inequalities, 106 and extreme violence have shaped health and health services (Coovadia et al., 2009; Barbarin & 107 Richter, 2013; Kaminer & Eagle, 2010). These conditions have primed a population facing 108 inequality across multiple sectors, including in healthcare and disease incidence, to be 109 disproportionately impacted by COVID onset and recovery. 110 For many individuals, increased psychosocial stress has been a hallmark of this 111 pandemic. The pervasive and powerful impacts of stress on different aspects of human 112 functioning and well-being, particularly on mental health, have been well described in the 113 literature (Lupien et al., 2009; Lupien et al., 2018). Chronic psychosocial stress has powerful 114 effects on individual physiology including changes to sleep, metabolism, and immune function 115 (Russel & Lightman, 2019; Sanford et al., 2023). The ubiquitous stress of the pandemic has had 116 substantial downstream impacts on mental health in populations around the world (Hossain et al., 117 2020; Manchia et al., 2022; Oyenubi et al., 2022; Subramaney et al., 2020). Chronic 118 psychosocial stress has also long been associated with negative mental health, including 119 increased incidence of depression, anxiety, burnout, pathological aging, and post-traumatic stress 120 disorders (Burke et al., 2005; Marin et al., 2011; McEwen, 2017; Meewisse et al., 2007; Metzger

et al., 2008; Steudte et al., 2013; Uchino, 2006; Yehuda et al., 2005). These effects of
psychosocial stress on mental health tend to be context-specific, where outcomes differ on an
individual basis and are shaped by factors such as severity, duration, or unpredictability of the
stressor. Further, untreated mental health issues can leave individuals more susceptible to future
mental health problems in times of crisis, creating compounded effects.

126 In global crises, the context in which individual stress response is often shaped by their 127 social world, and much of the COVID-19 experience has been characterized by social isolation. 128 It is well known that social isolation can have devastating effects on highly social animals like 129 humans – social isolation has been shown to transform stress response mechanisms and in the 130 last 25 years, has been recognized as a major risk factor for morbidity and mortality in humans 131 (Cacioppo et al., 2003; Cacioppo et al., 2015; Hostinar et al., 2015). Coping plays an important 132 role in processing negative experiences, particularly those related to social isolation, and can 133 shape physical and mental health (Cacioppo et al., 2003). The COVID-19 pandemic, like many 134 other global crises, led to an increased reliance on a variety of coping mechanisms 135 (Bhattacharjee & Ghosh, 2022; Polizzi et al., 2020). These coping mechanisms have included 136 support from family and friends, changes in attitude (i.e., a positive outlook or acceptance), 137 activities (i.e., staying occupied/busy, activities promoting relaxation, exercise), taking 138 medications, religious practices, counseling/therapy, or crying. 139 Social support, specifically, is a powerful and well-documented coping mechanism. In 140 settings of extreme stress, highly resilient individuals are particularly adept at forming supportive 141 social attachments (Charney, 2004). Socially supportive ties play two major roles in times of

142 stress: (1) helping individuals process and control emotional responses to stressful situations and

143 (2) keeping physiological, neuroendocrine, and immunologic responses to stress at lower levels

144	and/or by promoting faster recovery of these systems when responding to a stressor (Cohen &
145	Wills, 1985; Taylor, 2011). Early work by Uchino and colleagues demonstrated the positive
146	physiological effects of perceived social support/connectedness, including lower resting blood
147	pressure, better immunosurveillance, and lower levels of basal catecholamines (Uchino et al.,
148	1996; Hennessy et al., 2009; Taylor, 2011). Additionally, many mechanisms of social support,
149	including informational support (i.e., helping another to understand a stressful event and
150	available resources better), instrumental support (i.e., provisioning of tangible assistance, such as
151	services or financial assistance), and emotional support (i.e., providing warmth and nurturance),
152	likely ameliorate the adverse consequences of stress and trauma (Cohen & Wills, 1985; Taylor,
153	2011).
154	During disaster scenarios, particularly pandemics (e.g., HIV/AIDS, H1N1 influenza,
155	SARS, and Ebola), coping mechanisms are key. For example, increased social support has been
156	associated with lower rates of mental health problems in these settings (Asante, 2012; Chew et
157	al., 2020; Guilaran et al., 2018). This is also true for COVID-19. In a study with 405 students at
158	an American university, Szkody and colleagues report that when accounting for time in social
159	isolation, perceived social support buffered the association between concerns about COVID-19
160	and psychological health (Szkody et al., 2021). In South Africa, recent work suggests that
161	individuals embedded within care networks tended to weather the pandemic better - Steigler and
162	Bouchard showed that those confined with family members tended to be more optimistic than
163	those confined alone and were able to spend any leisure time doing family activities, thus staving
164	off boredom, anxieties, and rumination on the situation (Stiegler & Bouchard, 2020).
165	Here, we examined the relationship between COVID-19 stress and self-reported mental
166	health outcomes and the potential buffering effects of coping and social support in a cohort of

167	adults living through the COVID-19 pandemic across South Africa. We were interested in testing
168	if COVID psychosocial stress was associated with adult mental health, particularly the incidence
169	of symptoms for four main psychiatric conditions: depression, anxiety, bipolar disorder, and
170	post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). Further, using multivariate regression analyses, we
171	evaluated if coping and social support attenuated the relationships between COVID psychosocial
172	stress and adult mental health outcomes.
173	
174	
175	
176	
177	
178	
179	
180	
181	
182	
183	
184	
185	
186	
187	
188	
189	

190 METHODS

191 *Study sample*

192 This study was conducted using an online survey between January and July 2021 during 193 the second and third waves of the coronavirus pandemic in South Africa. The online survey 194 collected data on mental health symptoms, experiences of stress and social support, COVID-19 195 infection history, perceptions of COVID-19, and household conditions. The survey was 196 administered in English and distributed using online listservs, social media, community groups, 197 and non-profit organizations. Organizations working with resource-constrained communities 198 were targeted to increase representation of the sample and reduce selection bias, given the online 199 nature of the survey. Inclusion criteria were as follows: adults 18 years of age and older; English 200 proficiency; lived in South Africa for at least three weeks during the pandemic; and ability to 201 provide informed consent. Participants provided formal written consent. Individual participants 202 were not identifiable during or after the survey data collection process. All study procedures 203 were approved by the University of the Witwatersrand Human Research Ethics Committee. 204

205 Study measures

Participants first completed surveys querying demographic and household information.
Socioeconomic status was assessed using an asset inventory of the following household items:
cell phone, computer, electricity, internet access, landline telephone, microwave, motor vehicle,
pay television, radio, refrigerator, television, video machine, and washing machine. Education
was assessed by querying participants to report the highest level of schooling completed.
COVID-19 psychosocial stress was assessed using an ethnographically derived survey
tool based on in-depth ethnographic interviews with 55 adults in the metropolitan Johannesburg

213 region, 12 adults living in rural Thohoyandou in Limpopo Province, and participant observation 214 for eight months during the COVID-19 pandemic in Johannesburg (Kim in prep). Interviews and 215 field notes were thematically analyzed and twenty of the most prevalent and salient stressors 216 were identified and converted into items for the COVID-19 psychosocial stress scale. Items 217 included stressors related to health (feeling unsafe, having a chronic or existing health 218 condition), socioeconomic adversity (unemployment, food insecurity, financial insecurity), 219 socialization (not being able to socialize, not being able to attend gatherings), and resource 220 deficits (lack of transportation, difficulty accessing healthcare), among others. Participants 221 reported the degree to which each item served as a source of stress based on a 4-point Likert 222 scale, which included the following responses: "Never, Seldom, Sometimes, Often, Very Often." 223 The Likert scale for each item ranged from 0-4. All items were summed to create a total score of 224 COVID-19 psychosocial stress. The internal reliability for this scale was acceptable ($\alpha = 0.79$). 225 Mental health outcomes were assessed using four Likert scale-based surveys, which 226 assessed symptoms of four separate psychiatric conditions: depression, anxiety, post-traumatic 227 stress disorder (PTSD), and bipolar disorder. The internal reliability for all measures passed the 228 threshold for acceptability ($\alpha > 0.7$). Depressive symptoms were assessed using the Patient 229 Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9), a nine-item survey that measures common symptoms of 230 depression, such as fatigue, irritability, melancholia, and trouble concentrating ($\alpha = 0.93$). 231 Anxiety symptoms were measured using the General Anxiety Disorder Scale (GAD-7), a seven-232 item survey that assesses key symptoms of anxiety, including nervousness, rumination, and 233 restlessness, among others ($\alpha = 0.94$).

234 PTSD symptoms were assessed using the PTSD Checklist – Civilian Version, which 235 comprises 17 questions that query key disease symptoms ($\alpha = 0.96$). While PTSD diagnoses

236	typically query symptoms in response to a particular event, the PCL-C assesses PTSD symptoms
237	related to a set of "stressful experiences" experienced by the individual and can be viewed as a
238	screening tool for PTSD symptoms. Finally, bipolar disorder symptoms were assessed using the
239	Mood Disorder Questionnaire (MDQ), a screening tool for bipolar symptoms, including
240	increased energy, grandiosity, decreased need for sleep, and others. The first thirteen items of the
241	MDQ were summed to create a composite score of bipolar disorder symptomatology ($\alpha = 0.86$).
242	The following cut-off scores were used for the following measures: ≥ 10 (Patient Health
243	Questionnaire-9), ≥10 (Generalised Anxiety Disorder-7), ≥31 (PTSD Checklist - Civilian
244	Version), and \geq 7 (Mood Disorder Questionnaire)
245	Social support was evaluated using the Multidimensional Scale for Perceived Social
246	Support (MSPSS). The MSPSS is a 12-item tool that measures perceptions of support from
247	family, friends, and significant others (Zimet et al., 1988). Finally, coping resources were
248	assessed using an ethnographically derived coping measure (developed through the same
249	procedure described above), which assessed the availability and use of a variety of psychological
250	skills, social practices, economic resources, and other tools utilized to cope with the pandemic.
251	
252	Statistical analysis
253	Data were analyzed using Stata 15.1 (College Station, TX). Bivariate associations were
254	conducted to estimate the relationships between COVID-19 psychosocial stress, all adult mental
255	health measures, social support, coping, and covariates. We then fitted linear regression models
256	to the data and ran four separate sets of analyses based on the specified mental health outcomes:

the primary exposure variable of interest, and social support and coping resources were treated as

257

depression, anxiety, PTSD, and bipolar disorder symptoms. COVID-19 psychosocial stress was

259	moderators of the association between COVID-19 psychosocial stress and adult mental health.
260	Psychological, household, and social factors that were thought to potentially confound the
261	relationship between COVID-19 psychosocial stress and adult mental health were included as
262	covariates: age, gender, assets, education, adverse childhood experiences, exercise, disease
263	status, and hours worked. Individuals missing relevant data needed for this analysis were
264	excluded through listwise deletion.
265	
266	
267	
268	
269	
270	
271	
272	
273	
274	
275	
276	
277	
278	
279	
280	
281	

282 **RESULTS**

283 Full data were available for n=117 individuals out of a total of n=395 who were eligible 284 for the study, provided informed consent, participated in data collection. Table 1 describes the 285 characteristics of our analytic sample. The average age was 36.8 years, 83% of the sample was 286 female, and a majority of the sample had some post-secondary education. The average number of 287 adverse childhood experiences was 2.4, and the average COVID-19 psychosocial stress score 288 was 22.7 (out of 80). The average score for depressive symptoms was 9.3 (PHQ-9), 8.6 for 289 anxiety symptoms (GAD-9), 39.1 for PTSD symptoms (PCL-C), and 3.8 for bipolar symptoms 290 (MDQ). The prevalence rates of probable psychiatric disorders across the following 291 psychopathologies in our sample are as follows: 38% for depression, 39% for anxiety, 57% for 292 PTSD, and 21% for bipolar disorder. 293 [Table 1]

294 Supplementary Tables 1 and 2 report forms of social support received from friends, 295 family, and significant others and the availability of coping resources. The most prevalent forms 296 of social support included the presence of "a special person with whom I can share my joys and 297 sorrows," "a special person who is a real source of comfort to me," "a special person in my life 298 who cares about my feelings," "a special person who is around when I am in need," and "friends 299 with whom I can share my joys and sorrows". The most common forms of coping were receiving 300 support from family, staying occupied/busy, sleeping, receiving support from friends, and having 301 a positive outlook.

All bivariate analyses between COVID-19 psychosocial stress and all four mental health outcomes were positive (depression: b = 0.30; anxiety: b = 0.23; PTSD = 0.81; bipolar disorder: b = 0.12) and highly significant *p*<0.0001. Fully adjusted models found that COVID-19 stress

305 remained directly associated with all four mental health outcomes, and all associations were 306 significant (p < 0.01) (depression: b = 0.21; anxiety: b = 0.17; PTSD: b = 0.54; bipolar 307 symptoms: b = 0.074) (not shown). Identification as female was positively associated with worse 308 depression, anxiety, and PTSD scores (p < 0.05). Older age was associated with lower anxiety 309 scores (b = -0.10; p = 0.49) while adverse childhood experiences (b = 2.4; p = 0.001) and the 310 number of chronic diseases (b = 5.7; p = 0.021) were associated with higher PTSD scores. Older 311 age (b = -0.065; p = 0.022) and educational attainment (b = -1.03; p = 0.024) were associated 312 with lower bipolar disorder scores and the number of hours worked was associated with higher 313 bipolar disorder (b = 0.052; p = 0.01). 314 Table 2a shows the moderating effect of social support on the association between 315 COVID-19 psychosocial stress and mental health. After adjusting for covariates, social support 316 did not significantly buffer the mental health effects of COVID-19 psychosocial stress 317 (depression: b = -.0010, p = 0.75; anxiety: b = -.000073, p = 0.98; PTSD: b = -0.0084, p = 0.28; 318 bipolar disorder: b = -0.0022; p = 0.20). The R² for each of the models is as follows: 35% for 319 depression, 32% for anxiety, 47% for PTSD, and 32% for bipolar disorder. 320 Table 2b reports the moderating effect of coping resources on the relationship between 321 COVID-19 psychosocial stress and mental health. In fully adjusted models, coping significantly 322 buffered against symptoms of depression (b = -0.014, p = 0.043; see fig 1), anxiety (b = -0.013, p 323 = 0.038; see fig 2), and PTSD (b = -0.030, p = 0.044; see fig 3), but not bipolar symptoms (b = -324 0.0045, p = 0.18). The R² for each of the models is as follows: 37% for depression, 34% for 325 anxiety, 51% for PTSD, and 33% for bipolar disorder. 326 [Table 2] 327 [Figures 1, 2, & 3]

328 **DISCUSSION**

329 In this analysis of adults living under the COVID-19 pandemic in South Africa, coping 330 resources buffered against the poor mental health effects of COVID-19 psychosocial stress, 331 whereas perceived social support did not significantly moderate the association between 332 COVID-19 stress and adult mental health. Specifically, greater use of coping resources 333 attenuated symptoms of depression, anxiety, and PTSD due to COVID-19 stress. We also found 334 elevated levels of poor mental health in this sample during the COVID-19 pandemic and 335 lockdown. We highlight the fact that this sample is overly educated, largely female, and 336 represents a group of adults with moderate to high socioeconomic status. Despite the difficult 337 and prolonged conditions of the pandemic, our results suggest that adults effectively utilized 338 resources to positively cope with the various stressors brought on by the pandemic. 339 The buffering role of coping resources is consistent with the larger literature on adult 340 mental health during the pandemic and various other conditions of psychosocial stress. 341 Specifically, our results build on past studies that show that coping behaviors buffer against 342 symptoms of adult depression, anxiety, and PTSD due to psychosocial stress from the pandemic 343 (Okafor et al. 2021; Senger 2023; Suhail et al. 2022). Past studies have shown that a variety of 344 coping resources is valuable, including cognitive strategies (e.g., positive thinking, reappraisal), 345 behavioral practices, (e.g., handwashing, information gathering), social capital (e.g., structural, 346 instrumental), and spirituality (e.g., praying, connectedness, meaning-making) (Pankowski & 347 Wytrychiewicz-Pankowska 2023). This important set of mental health-sparing behaviors 348 protected numerous communities at high risk of COVID-19 infection and those with pre-existing 349 vulnerabilities, including frontline healthcare workers, adults living with chronic diseases, and 350 elderly populations (Hong et al. 2023; Lábadi et al. 2022; Tahara et al. 2021). Coping also

351 provided similar buffering effects against psychosocial stress among adults in past pandemics, 352 including Ebola (James et al. 2019) and HIV/AIDS (Seffren et al. 2018). 353 These results also add to the growing literature in South Africa that report the positive 354 mental health benefits of coping during the COVID-19 lockdown (Eloff, 2021; Engelbrecht et 355 al., 2021; Kim et al. 2022; Paredes-Ruvalcaba et al. 2023; Scheunemann et al. 2023; van der 356 Merwe et al., 2021; Visser & Law-van Wyk, 2021). While a majority of these studies focus on 357 healthcare workers or university students, rather than community-based adults such as those 358 included in our sample, the buffering effect of coping resources is consistent across analyses. 359 Engelbrecht et al. (2021) found that preparedness for care for COVID-19 patients, avoidance-360 based coping, and current health status before COVID-19 predicted lower PTSD symptoms in 361 nurses deployed during the pandemic. In a qualitative study of community-based adults in 362 Gauteng, Paredes-Ruvalcaba et al. (2023) found that adults from diverse racial and 363 socioeconomic groups utilized a variety of coping strategies to overcome the stressors of the pandemic, such as peer support, prayer, exercise, financial support, mindset reframing, natural 364 365 remedies, and following COVID-19 protocols. Notably, coping resources may have positive 366 impacts on mental health but poor, longer-term effects on physical health. For instance, Visser & 367 Law-van Wyk (2021) reported that South African university students engaged in substance use 368 to cope with the pandemic, despite early social policies prohibiting the sale of cigarettes and 369 alcohol in the country. Together, these studies and our results suggest that South Africans 370 utilized a variety of coping mechanisms to protect themselves against the negative mental health 371 impacts of COVID-19 psychosocial stress. 372 We also found that perceived social support did not significantly buffer against the

adverse psychological effects of the pandemic, which contradicts the larger literature that

374 highlights the positive mental health effects of adult social support (Harandi et al. 2017; Kessler 375 & McLeod 1985). Studies worldwide have repeatedly shown the protective and buffering effects 376 of social support against a wide range of poor mental health outcomes, including depression, 377 anxiety, and suicidal ideation (Casale et al. 2015; Chang et al. 2017; Olashore et al. 2021). 378 Additionally, studies in South Africa have described the importance of receiving social support 379 from family, friends, significant others, and coworkers. Paredes-Ruvalcaba et al. (2023) found 380 that South African adults utilized various means of virtual communication, such as video calls, 381 group texts, social media, and online services, to provide emotional support, process negative 382 cognitions, and stay hopeful. Scheunemann et al. (2023) described the vital role of social 383 relationships and active coordination between psychiatric healthcare workers in Gauteng to 384 provide more tangible, instrumental support among one another, including the organization of 385 online prayer groups, alternative work schedules to cover missing shifts due to pandemic-related 386 health problems and pooled financial resources.

387 Despite these past findings, we find that social support did not buffer against the poor 388 mental health effects of COVID-19 psychosocial stress. Given the state-enforced isolation and 389 disruption of infrastructural support systems, drastic changes in social behaviors and structures 390 during the pandemic may have altered the role of social support on health in this setting and may 391 explain these null findings. Past studies have shown that co-occurring emotional and 392 psychological experiences, such as feelings of loneliness, negative mood, and problematic social 393 relationships, can compromise the positive mental health effects of social support (Wang et al. 394 2018). For instance, conditions of depression and anxiety can negatively bias an individual's 395 appraisal of their social relationships, leading to altered evaluations of their interpersonal 396 contexts. Additionally, the Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support may not fully

397	capture the social and interpersonal dynamics between the respondent and the relationships in
398	question (e.g., family, friends, and significant others). Past research has described many familial
399	and social relationships shifted during the lockdown, and greater strain in social relationships,
400	both familial and non-familial, predicted worse mental health outcomes during the pandemic
401	(Essler et al. 2021; Randall et al. 2021; Skinner et al. 2021).
402	
403	Limitations
404	Our study is not without limitations. Our findings are not generalizable to the entire
405	South African population as our sample represents a relatively wealthy, educated, and majority
406	female set of adults. The online nature of data collection likely biased our sample to those who
407	had access to the internet, computers, and other socioeconomic resources, leading to a privileged
408	sample. The cross-sectional design of our analysis may also subject our analysis to reverse
409	causality, limiting our ability to determine the true temporal ordering of events. Finally, different
410	forms of social support important for buffering against the effects of stress may not have been
411	captured by our social support measure.
412	
413	
414	
415	
416	
417	
418	
419	

420 CONCLUSION

421	In this online study of 117 adults during the second and third waves of the COVID-19
422	pandemic in South Africa, we found that the use of coping resources, but not perceived social
423	support alone, significantly buffered against worse symptoms of depression, anxiety, and post-
424	traumatic stress disorder. This sample of South African adults exhibited elevated levels of mental
425	health symptoms, with more than half of the sample reporting PTSD symptoms, over a third
426	exhibiting symptoms of depression and anxiety, and a fifth of adults reporting symptoms of
427	bipolar disorder. These data suggest that adults utilized a variety of coping resources to protect
428	their mental health against psychosocial stress experienced during the COVID-19 lockdown and
429	pandemic in South Africa.
430	
431	

432

433

434 **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- 435
- 436 Asante, K. O. Social support and the psychological wellbeing of people living with HIV/AIDS in
- 437 Ghana. African Journal of Psychiatry (South Africa). 2012; 15(5), 340–345.
- 438 <u>https://doi.org/10.4314/ajpsy.v15i5.42</u>
- 439
- 440 Barbarin, O. A., & Richter, L. M. Mandela's children: Growing up in post-apartheid South
- 441 *Africa*. Routledge; 2013
- 442
- Bhattacharjee, A., & Ghosh, T. COVID-19 Pandemic and Stress: Coping with the New Normal.
 Journal of Prevention and Health Promotion. 2022; 3(1), 30–52.
- 445 <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/26320770211050058</u> 446
- 447 Brakefield, W. S., Olusanya, O. A., White, B., & Shaban-Nejad, A. Social Determinants and
- 448 Indicators of COVID-19 among Marginalized Communities: A Scientific Review and Call to
- 449 Action for Pandemic Response and Recovery. Disaster Medicine and Public Health
- 450 Preparedness. 2022; 617, 9–11. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/dmp.2022.104</u>
- 451
- 452 Braveman, P., & Gottlieb, L. The social determinants of health: It's time to consider the causes
- 453 of the causes. Public Health Reports. 2014; 129(SUPPL. 2), 19–31.
- 454 <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/00333549141291s206</u>
- 455
- Brener, L., Broady, T., Cama, E., Hopwood, M., de Wit, J. B., & Treloar, C. The role of social
 support in moderating the relationship between HIV centrality, internalised stigma and
- 458 psychological distress for people living with HIV. AIDS care. 2020; 32(7), 850-857.
- 459
- Burke, H. M., Davis, M. C., Otte, C., & Mohr, D. C. Depression and cortisol responses to
 psychological stress: A meta-analysis. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2005; 30(9), 846–856.
- 462 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2005.02.010</u> 463
- Cacioppo, J. T., Cacioppo, S., Capitanio, J. P., & Cole, S. W. The Neuroendocrinology of Social
 Isolation. Annual Review of Psychology. 2015; 66(1), 733–767. <u>https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-</u>
 psych-010814-015240
- 467
- 468 Casale, M., Wild, L., Cluver, L., & Kuo, C. Social support as a protective factor for depression
 469 among women caring for children in HIV-endemic South Africa. Journal of behavioral medicine.
 470 2015; 38, 17-27.
- 471
- 472 Chang, Q., Chan, C. H., & Yip, P. S. A meta-analytic review on social relationships and suicidal
 473 ideation among older adults. Social science & medicine. 2017; 191, 65-76.
- 474
- 475 Charney, D. S. Psychobiological Mechanisms of Resilience and Vulnerability: Implications for
- 476 Successful Adaptation to Extreme Stress. American Journal of Psychiatry. 2004; 161, 195–216.
- 477 https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.161.2.195
- 478

479 Chew, Q. H., Wei, K. C., Vasoo, S., & Sim, K. Psychological and Coping Responses of Health 480 Care Workers Toward Emerging Infectious Disease Outbreaks: A Rapid Review and Practical 481 Implications for the COVID-19 Pandemic. Singapore Med J. [revista en Internet] 2020 [acceso 482 10 de marzo de 2021]. 2020; 61(7): 3. Singapore Med J, 61(7), 350–356. 483 484 Cohen, S., & Wills, T. A. Stress, Social Support, and the Buffering Hypothesis. Psychological 485 Bulletin. 1985; 98(2), 310–357. https://doi.org/10.1016/0163-8343(94)90083-3 486 487 Coovadia, H., Jewkes, R., Barron, P., Sanders, D., & McIntyre, D. The health and health system 488 of South Africa: historical roots of current public health challenges. The Lancet. 2009; 489 374(9692), 817–834. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60951-X 490 491 Eloff, I. College students' well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic: An exploratory study. 492 Journal of Psychology in Africa. 2021; 31(3), 254-260. 493 494 Essler, S., Christner, N., & Paulus, M. Longitudinal relations between parental strain, parent-495 child relationship quality, and child well-being during the unfolding COVID-19 pandemic. Child 496 Psychiatry & Human Development. 2021; 52(6), 995-1011. 497 498 Fang, X., Li, S., Yu, H., Wang, P., Zhang, Y., Chen, Z., ... Ma, X. Epidemiological, comorbidity 499 factors with severity and prognosis. Aging. 2020; 12(13), 12493–12503. 500 501 Fino, E., Bonfrate, I., Fino, V., Bocus, P., Russo, P. M., & Mazzetti, M. Harnessing distress to 502 boost growth in frontline healthcare workers during COVID-19 pandemic: the protective role of 503 resilience, emotion regulation and social support. Psychological Medicine. 2023; 53(2), 600-602. 504 505 Fu, M., Guo, J., & Zhang, Q. The associations of pandemic-related difficulties with depressive 506 symptoms and psychological growth among American older adults: Social support as 507 moderators. Journal of health psychology. 2022; 13591053221124374. 508 509 Guilaran, J., de Terte, I., Kaniasty, K., & Stephens, C. Psychological Outcomes in Disaster 510 Responders: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis on the Effect of Social Support. 511 International Journal of Disaster Risk Science. 2018; 9(3), 344–358. 512 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13753-018-0184-7 513 514 Harandi, T. F., Taghinasab, M. M., & Nayeri, T. D. The correlation of social support with mental 515 health: A meta-analysis. Electronic physician. 2017; 9(9), 5212. 516 517 Hennessy, M. B., Kaiser, S., & Sachser, N. Social buffering of the stress response: Diversity, 518 mechanisms, and functions. Frontiers in Neuroendocrinology. 2009; 30(4), 470–482. 519 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yfrne.2009.06.001 520 521 Hong, C., Queiroz, A., & Hoskin, J. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on mental health, 522 associated factors and coping strategies in people living with HIV: a scoping review. Journal of 523 the International AIDS Society. 2023; 26(3), e26060. 524

- 525 Hossain, M. M., Tasnim, S., Sultana, A., Faizah, F., Mazumder, H., Zou, L., ... Ma, P.
- 526 Epidemiology of mental health problems in COVID-19: A review. F1000Research. 2020; 9, 1–
 527 16. <u>https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.24457.1</u>
- 528
- 529 Hostinar, C. E., Sullivan, R. M., & Gunnar, M. R. Psychobiological Mechanisms Underlying the
- 530 Social Buffering of the HPA Axis: A Review of Animal Models and Human Studies across
- 531 Development. Psychological Bulletin. 2015; 140(1), 1–47.
- 532 <u>https://doi.org/10.1037/a0032671.Psychobiological</u>
- 533

Hou, T., Zhang, T., Cai, W., Song, X., Chen, A., Deng, G., & Ni, C. Social support and mental
health among health care workers during Coronavirus Disease 2019 outbreak: A moderated
mediation model. Plos one. 2020; 15(5), e0233831.

- 537
- James, P. B., Wardle, J., Steel, A., & Adams, J. Post-Ebola psychosocial experiences and coping
 mechanisms among Ebola survivors: a systematic review. Tropical Medicine & International
 Health. 2019; 24(6), 671-691.
- 541

Jones, D. L., Ballivian, J., Rodriguez, V. J., Uribe, C., Cecchini, D., Salazar, A. S., ... & Alcaide,
M. L. Mental health, coping, and social support among people living with HIV in the Americas:
a comparative study between Argentina and the USA during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. AIDS
and Behavior. 2021; 25, 2391-2399.

546

547 Kaminer, D., & Eagle, G. *Traumatic stress in South Africa*. Wits University Press.

Kessler, R. C., & McLeod, J. D. (1985). Social support and mental health in community samples.
2010; Academic Press.

550

551 Kim, A. W., Said Mohamed, R., Norris, S. A., Richter, L. M., & Kuzawa, C. W. Psychological

552 legacies of intergenerational trauma under South African apartheid: Prenatal stress predicts 553 greater vulnerability to the psychological impacts of future stress exposure during late

adolescence and early adulthood in Soweto, South Africa. Journal of Child Psychology and

555 Psychiatry and Allied Disciplines. 2023; 64(1), 110–124. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.13672

556

Kim, A.W., Maaroganye, K., & Subramaney, U. Mental health experiences of public psychiatric
 healthcare workers during COVID-19 across southern Gauteng, South Africa: a call for

strengthening. South African Health Review. 2021; 2021(1), 143-151.

560

561 Kim, A. W., Nyengerai, T., & Mendenhall, E. Evaluating the mental health impacts of the

562 COVID-19 pandemic: Perceived risk of COVID-19 infection and childhood trauma predict adult 563 depressive symptoms in urban South Africa. Psychological medicine. 2022; 52(8), 1587-1599.

565 564

565 Kokou-Kpolou, C. K., Derivois, D., Rousseau, C., Balayulu-Makila, O., Hajizadeh, S., Birangui,

566 J. P., ... & Cénat, J. M. Enacted Ebola Stigma and Health-related Quality of Life in Post Ebola

567 Epidemic: A Psychosocial Mediation Framework Through Social Support, Self-Efficacy, and 568 Coping Applied Passarch in Quality of Life 2022; 17(5), 2800, 2822

568 Coping. Applied Research in Quality of Life. 2022; 17(5), 2809-2832.

569

570 Lábadi, B., Arató, N., Budai, T., Inhóf, O., Stecina, D. T., Sík, A., & Zsidó, A. N. Psychological 571 well-being and coping strategies of elderly people during the COVID-19 pandemic in Hungary. 572 Aging & Mental Health. 2022; 26(3), 570-577. 573 574 Labrague, L. J. Psychological resilience, coping behaviours and social support among health care 575 workers during the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review of quantitative studies. Journal of 576 nursing management. 2021; 29(7), 1893-1905. 577 578 Liu, C., Huang, N., Fu, M., Zhang, H., Feng, X. L., & Guo, J. Relationship between risk 579 perception, social support, and mental health among general Chinese population during the 580 COVID-19 pandemic. Risk management and healthcare policy. 2021; 1843-1853. 581 582 Lupien, S J, McEwen, B. S., Gunnar, M. R., & Heim, C. Effects of stress throughout the lifespan 583 on the brain, behaviour and cognition. Nature Reviews Neuroscience. 2009; 10(6), 434-445. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2639 584 585 586 Lupien, Sonia J., Juster, R. P., Raymond, C., & Marin, M. F. The effects of chronic stress on the 587 human brain: From neurotoxicity, to vulnerability, to opportunity. Frontiers in 588 Neuroendocrinology. 2018; 49(February), 91–105. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yfme.2018.02.001 589 590 Manchia, M., Gathier, A. W., Yapici-Eser, H., Schmidt, M. V., de Quervain, D., van 591 Amelsvoort, T., ... Vinkers, C. H. The impact of the prolonged COVID-19 pandemic on stress 592 resilience and mental health: A critical review across waves. European 593 Neuropsychopharmacology. 2022; 55, 22–83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euroneuro.2021.10.864 594 595 Marin, M. F., Lord, C., Andrews, J., Juster, R. P., Sindi, S., Arsenault-Lapierre, G., ... Lupien, S. 596 J. Chronic stress, cognitive functioning and mental health. Neurobiology of Learning and 597 Memory. 2011; 96(4), 583–595. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nlm.2011.02.016 598 599 McEwen, B. S. Neurobiological and Systemic Effects of Chronic Stress, Chronic Stress, 2017; 1. 600 https://doi.org/10.1177/2470547017692328 601 602 Meewisse, M. L., Reitsma, J. B., De Vries, G. J., Gersons, B. P. R., & Olff, M. Cortisol and post-603 traumatic stress disorder in adults: Systematic review and meta-analysis. British Journal of 604 Psychiatry. 2007; 191(NOV.), 387–392. https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.106.024877 605 606 Metzger, L. J., Carson, M. A., Lasko, N. B., Paulus, L. A., Orr, S. P., Pitman, R. K., & Yehuda, 607 R. Basal and suppressed salivary cortisol in female Vietnam nurse veterans with and without 608 PTSD. Psychiatry Research. 2008; 161(3), 330-335. 609 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2008.04.020 610 611 Okafor, C. N., Bautista, K. J., Asare, M., & Opara, I. Coping in the time of COVID-19: 612 Buffering stressors with coping strategies. Journal of Loss and Trauma. 2022; 27(1), 83-91. 613

614 Olashore, A. A., Akanni, O. O., & Oderinde, K. O. Neuroticism, resilience, and social support: 615 correlates of severe anxiety among hospital workers during the COVID-19 pandemic in Nigeria 616 and Botswana. BMC Health Services Research. 2021; 21, 1-7. 617 618 Our World in Data. COVID-19 Data explorer. 2023; 619 https://ourworldindata.org/explorers/coronavirus-data-explorer 620 621 Oyenubi, A., Kim, A. W., & Kollamparambil, U. COVID-19 risk perceptions and depressive 622 symptoms in South Africa: Causal evidence in a longitudinal and nationally representative 623 sample. Journal of Affective Disorders. 2022; 308, 616-622. 624 625 Pankowski, D., & Wytrychiewicz-Pankowska, K. Turning to religion during COVID-19 (Part I): 626 A systematic review, meta-analysis and meta-regression of studies on the relationship between 627 religious coping and mental health throughout COVID-19. 2023; Journal of religion and health, 628 1-34. 629 630 Polizzi, C., Lvnn, S. J., & Perry, A. Stress and coping in the time of COVID-19: Pathways to 631 resilience and recovery. Clinical Neuropsychiatry. 2020; 17(2), 59-62. 632 https://doi.org/10.36131/CN20200204 633 634 Randall, A. K., Leon, G., Basili, E., Martos, T., Boiger, M., Baldi, M., ... & Chiarolanza, C. 635 Coping with global uncertainty: Perceptions of COVID-19 psychological distress, relationship 636 quality, and dyadic coping for romantic partners across 27 countries. Journal of Social and 637 Personal Relationships. 2022; 39(1), 3-33. 638 639 Russell, G., & Lightman, S. The human stress response. Nature Reviews Endocrinology, 2019: 640 15(9), 525–534. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41574-019-0228-0 641 642 Sanford, L. D., Wellman, L. L., Adkins, A. M., Guo, M.-L., Zhang, Y., Ren, R., ... Tang, X. 643 Modeling integrated stress, sleep, fear and neuroimmune responses: Relevance for understanding 644 trauma and stress-related disorders. Neurobiology of Stress. 2023; 23(June 2022), 100517. 645 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ynstr.2023.100517 646 647 Sanyaolu, A., Okorie, C., Marinkovic, A., & Patidar, R. Comorbidity and its impact on patients 648 with COVID-19. SN Comprehensive Clinical Medicine. 2020; 2, 1069–1076. 649 650 Schierberl Scherr, A. E., Ayotte, B. J., & Kellogg, M. B. Moderating roles of resilience and 651 social support on psychiatric and practice outcomes in nurses working during the COVID-19 652 pandemic. SAGE open nursing. 2021; 7, 23779608211024213. 653 654 Seffren, V., Familiar, I., Murray, S. M., Augustinavicius, J., Boivin, M. J., Nakasujja, N., ... & 655 Bass, J. Association between coping strategies, social support, and depression and anxiety 656 symptoms among rural Ugandan women living with HIV/AIDS. AIDS care. 2018; 30(7), 888-657 895. 658

- 659 Senger, A. R. Hope's Relationship with Resilience and Mental Health During the COVID-19
- 660 Pandemic. Current Opinion in Psychology. 2023; 101559.
- 661
- 662 Skinner, A. T., Godwin, J., Alampay, L. P., Lansford, J. E., Bacchini, D., Bornstein, M. H., ... &
- 663 Yotanyamaneewong, S. Parent-adolescent relationship quality as a moderator of links between
- 664 COVID-19 disruption and reported changes in mothers' and young adults' adjustment in five
- 665 countries. Developmental Psychology. 2021; 57(10), 1648.
- 666
- 667 Steudte, S., Kirschbaum, C., Gao, W., Alexander, N., Schönfeld, S., Hoyer, J., & Stalder, T. Hair
- 668 cortisol as a biomarker of traumatization in healthy individuals and posttraumatic stress disorder
- patients. Biological Psychiatry. 2013; 74(9), 639-646.
- 670 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2013.03.011</u> 671
- 672 Stiegler, N., & Bouchard, J. P. South Africa: Challenges and successes of the COVID-19
- 673 lockdown. Annales Medico-Psychologiques. 2020; 178(7), 695–698.
- 674 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amp.2020.05.006</u>
- 675
- 676 Subramaney, U., Kim, A. W., Chetty, I., Chetty, S., Jayrajh, P., Govender, M., ... & Pak, E.
- 677 Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and psychiatric sequelae in South Africa: Anxiety and 678 beyond. Wits journal of clinical medicine. 2020; 2(2), 115-122.
- 679
- Suhail, A., Dar, K. A., & Iqbal, N. COVID-19 related fear and mental health in Indian sample:
 The buffering effect of support system. Current Psychology. 2022; 41(1), 480-491.
- 682
 683 Szkody, E., Stearns, M., Stanhope, L., & McKinney, C. Stress-Buffering Role of Social Support
 684 during COVID 10, Family Process 2021; 60(2) 1002, 1015, https://doi.org/10.1111/famp.12618
- 684 during COVID-19. Family Process. 2021; 60(3), 1002–1015. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/famp.12618</u> 685
 - 686 Tahara, M., Mashizume, Y., & Takahashi, K. Coping mechanisms: exploring strategies utilized
 - 687 by Japanese healthcare workers to reduce stress and improve mental health during the COVID-688 19 pandemic. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2021; 18(1),
- 088 689

131.

- 089 690
- 691 Taylor, S. E. Social support: A review. In H. S. Friedman (Ed.), Oxford handbook of health
- 692 psychology. 2011; (pp. 192–217). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- 693
- 694 Uchino, B. N. Social Support and Health: A Review of Physiological Processes Potentially
 695 Underlying Links to Disease Outcomes. Journal of Behavioral Medicine. 2006; 29(4).
 696 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10865-006-9056-5
- 697
- 698 Uchino, B. N., Cacioppo, J. T., & Kiecolt-glaser, J. K. The Relationship Between Social Support
- and Physiological Processes: A Review with Emphasis on Underlying Mechanisms and
- 700 Implications for Health. Psychological Bulletin. 1996; 119(3), 488–531.
- 701 <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/JGP.0b013e3181f7d89a</u>
- 702

van der Merwe, L., Erasmus, E., Morelli, J., Potgieter, H., Modise, J., Viviers, E., ... & Lerumo,

- K. Stories of the role musicking plays in coping with the COVID-19 pandemic in South Africa.
 Psychology of Music. 2021; 03057356211026959.
- 706
- Visser, M., & Law-van Wyk, E. University students' mental health and emotional wellbeing
 during the COVID-19 pandemic and ensuing lockdown. South African Journal of Psychology.
 2021; 51(2), 229-243.
- 710
- 711 Wang, B., Li, R., Lu, Z., & Huang, Y. Does comorbidity increase the risk of patients with
- 712 COVID-19. Aging. 2020; 12(7), 6049–6057.
- 713
- 714 Wang, J., Mann, F., Lloyd-Evans, B., Ma, R., & Johnson, S. Associations between loneliness and
- perceived social support and outcomes of mental health problems: a systematic review. BMC
 psychiatry. 2018; 18(1), 1-16.
- 717
- 718 Yehuda, R., Golier, J. A., & Kaufman, S. Circadian rhythm of salivary cortisol in Holocaust
- survivors with and without PTSD. American Journal of Psychiatry. 2005; 162(5), 998–1000.
- 720 https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.162.5.998
- 721
- 722 Zaken, M. D., Boyraz, G., & Dickerson, S. S. COVID-19 pandemic-related stressors and
- posttraumatic stress: The main, moderating, indirect, and mediating effects of social support.
 Stress and Health. 2022; 38(3), 522-533.
- 725

Variables	n = 117	%	Range
Demographics			
Age	36.8 (11.7)		21-76
Gender			
Male	23	19.7	
Female	91	77.8	
Non-binary/Genderqueer	3	2.5	
Education			
Finished high school & matric	9	7.7	
Some university	15	12.8	
Graduated university	93	79.5	
Assets	10.5 (2.0)		1-13
Social experience & mental health			
COVID-19 psychosocial stress	22.7 (11.4)		1-55
Adverse childhood experiences	2.4 (2.1)		0-9
Perceived social support	61.0 (16.2)		15-84
Coping resources	28.2 (7.9)		6-49
Exercise (hours)	8.8 (11.2)		0-60
Average hours of work per week	34.7 (16.5)		0-72
Number of chronic conditions	0.35 (0.7)		0-3
Depressive symptoms	9.3 (7.3)		0-27
Depression caseness (≥ 10)	45	38.5	
Anxiety symptoms	8.7 (6.5)		0-21
Anxiety caseness (≥10)	46	39.3	
PTSD symptoms	39.1 (18.3)		17-85
PTSD caseness (≥30)	82	57.3	
Bipolar disease symptoms	3.8 (3.5)		0-13
Bipolar caseness (≥7)	24	20.5	

726 Table 1. Demographic characteristics, social experience, and mental health

	Dep	ressive symp	toms (PHQ-9)	Anx	ns (GAD-7)	
	b	SE	95% CI	b	SE	95% CI
COVID-19 psychosocial stress	0.24	0.22	-0.19, 0.67	0.15	0.20	-0.24, 0.54
Social support	-0.078	0.090	-0.26, 0.099	-0.080	0.081	-0.24, 0.082
COVID-19 stress x social support	-0.0011	0.0034	-0.0079, 0.0057	-0.000073	0.0031	-0.0063, 0.0061
Age (years)	-0.087	0.057	-0.20, 0.027	-0.10*	0.052	-0.21, -0.0013
Female	2.58	1.36	-0.11, 5.27	2.41	1.23	-0.038, 4.85
Education	-1.15	0.93	-2.99, 0.70	-0.59	0.84	-2.26, 1.09
Assets	0.079	0.31	-0.53, 0.69	0.13	0.28	-0.42, 0.68
ACEs	0.30	0.31	-0.32, 0.91	0.32	0.28	-0.24, 0.88
Chronic disease	1.30	1.07	-0.83, 3.42	1.41	0.97	-0.52, 3.35
Exercise	0.024	0.055	-0.086, 0.13	-0.034	0.050	-0.13, -0.066
Hours worked	-0.019	0.042	-0.10, 0.06	-0.0083	0.038	-0.084, 0.067
Constant	19.7	11.6	-3.39, 42.8	15.2	10.6	-5.76, 36.1

Table 2a. Regression models predicting buffering role of social support on mental health impacts of COVID-19 psychosocial stress

Note: ACEs = Adverse childhood experiences; b = unstandardized regression weights; CI = confidence interval; * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01; *** p < 0.001

	PI	PTSD symptoms (PCL-C)			Bipolar symptoms (MDQ)			
	b	SE	95% CI	b	SE	95% CI		
COVID-19 psychosocial stress	0.99*	0.48	0.027, 1.95	0.20	0.11	-0.0096, 0.41		
Social support	0.024	0.20	-0.37, 0.42	0.033	0.044	-0.054, 0.12		
COVID-19 stress x social support	-0.0084	0.0077	-0.024, 0.0069	-0.0022	0.0017	-0.0055, 0.0012		
Age (years)	-0.21	0.13	-0.47, 0.43	-0.063*	0.028	-0.12, -0.0070		
Female	6.7*	3.05	0.66, 12.7	0.94	0.67	-0.38, 2.26		
Education	-1.6	2.1	-5.75, 2.53	-0.90	0.46	-1.8, 0.0067		
Assets	0.44	0.69	-0.92, 1.8	-0.049	0.15	-0.35, 0.25		
ACEs	2.3**	0.69	0.89, 3.6	0.22	0.15	-0.078, 0.52		
Chronic disease	5.2*	2.4	0.42, 10.0	0.89	0.53	-0.15, 1.9		
Exercise	0.13	0.12	-0.12, 0.37	0.046	0.027	-0.0079, 0.010		
Hours worked	0.016	0.094	-0.17, 0.20	0.046*	0.021	0.0050, 0.087		
Constant	29.3	26.1	-22.5, 81.0	7.2	5.7	-4.1, 18.6		

Note: ACEs = Adverse childhood experiences; b = unstandardized regression weights; CI = confidence interval; * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01; *** p < 0.001

	Dep	ressive symp	otoms (PHQ-9)	А	Anxiety symptoms (GAD-		
	b	SE	95% CI	b	SE	95% CI	
COVID-19 psychosocial stress	0.58**	0.19	0.20, 0.96	0.52**	0.17	0.18, 0.87	
Coping resources	0.095	0.16	-0.22, 0.41	0.11	0.14	-0.18, 0.39	
COVID-19 stress x coping resources	-0.014*	0.0066	-0.027, -0.00043	-0.013	0.0060*	-0.024, -0.00072	
Age (years)	-0.073	0.056	-0.19, 0.039	-0.092	0.051	-0.19, 0.0097	
Female	2.5	1.4	-0.21, 5.2	2.3	1.2	-0.14, 4.7	
Education	-1.3	0.90	-3.1, 0.46	-0.68	0.82	-2.3, 0.93	
Assets	0.14	0.30	-0.45, 0.73	0.21	0.27	-0.33, 0.74	
ACEs	0.38	0.30	-0.22, 0.98	0.39	0.27	-0.15, 0.93	
Chronic disease	1.1	1.1	-0.97, 3.2	1.3	0.96	-0.65, 3.2	
Exercise	-0.020	0.058	-0.14, 0.095	-0.075	0.053	-0.18, 0.029	
Hours worked	-0.0015	0.040	-0.081, 0.078	0.0030	0.036	-0.069, 0.075	
Constant	11.8	10.4	-8.9, 32.5	6.3	9.4	-12.4, 25.0	

Table 2b. Regression models predicting buffering role of coping resources on mental health impacts of COVID-19 psychosocial stress

Note: ACEs = Adverse childhood experiences; b = unstandardized regression weights; CI = confidence interval; * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01; *** p < 0.001

	PTSD symptoms (PCL-C)			Bipolar symptoms (MDQ)		
	b	SE	95% CI	b	SE	95% CI
COVID-19 psychosocial stress	1.4**	0.42	0.52, 2.2	0.20*	0.095	0.0093, 0.39
Coping resources	0.16	0.35	-0.54, 0.86	0.038	0.079	-0.12, 0.20
COVID-19 stress x coping resources	-0.030*	0.015	-0.059, -0.00089	-0.0045	0.0033	-0.011, 0.0021
Age (years)	-0.19	0.12	-0.43, 0.01	-0.060*	0.028	-0.12, -0.0051
Female	6.2*	3.0	0.23, 12.1	0.79	0.67	-0.54, 2.1
Education	-2.0	2.0	-6.0, 1.9	-0.97*	0.45	-1.9, -0.085
Assets	0.61	0.66	-0.70, 1.9	-0.037	0.15	-0.33, 0.26
ACEs	2.4**	0.67	1.1, 3.7	0.23	0.15	-0.062, 0.53
Chronic disease	4.8*	2.3	0.12, 9.4	0.87	0.53	-0.18, 1.9
Exercise	0.020	0.13	-0.23, 0.28	0.031	0.29	-0.027, 0.088
Hours worked	0.051	0.089	-0.13, 0.23	0.051*	0.020	0.011, 0.090
Constant	26.3	23.1	-19.4, 72.1	8.7	5.2	-1.60, 18.9

Note: ACEs = Adverse childhood experiences; b = unstandardized regression weights; CI = confidence interval; * p<0.05; ** p<0.01; *** p<0.00

FIGURES



Figure 1. Interaction between COVID-19 psychosocial stress and coping resources predicting depressive symptoms.



Figure 2. Interaction between COVID-19 psychosocial stress and coping resources predicting depressive symptoms.



Figure 3. Interaction between COVID-19 psychosocial stress and coping resources predicting depressive symptoms.