

Title: Lower Dynamic Cerebral Autoregulation following Acute Bout of Low-Volume High-Intensity Interval Exercise in Chronic Stroke Compared to Healthy Adults

Alicen A. Whitaker^{1,2,3}, Stacey E. Aaron⁴, Mark Chertoff⁵, Patrice Brassard^{6,7}, Jake Buchanan¹, Katherine Nguyen¹, Eric D. Vidoni^{4,8}, Saniya Waghmare^{1,4}, Sarah M. Eickmeyer⁹, Sandra A. Billinger^{4,8,9,10}

¹Department of Physical Therapy, Rehabilitation Science, and Athletic Training, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, KS

²Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI

³Cardiovascular Center, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI

⁴Department of Neurology, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, KS

⁵Department of Hearing and Speech, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, KS

⁶Department of Kinesiology, Faculty of Medicine, Université Laval, Québec, Canada

⁷Research Center of the Institut Universitaire de Cardiologie et de Pneumologie de Québec-Université Laval, Québec, Canada

⁸University of Kansas Alzheimer's Disease Research Center, Fairway, KS

⁹Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, KS

¹⁰Department of Cell Biology and Physiology, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, KS

Short Title: Post-Stroke dCA after HIIT

Corresponding Author: Alicen A. Whitaker, DPT, PhD, (414) 955 - 1929,

8701 W Watertown Plank Rd, Milwaukee, WI 53226, awhitakerhilbig@mcw.edu

1 **Abstract**

2 Fluctuating blood pressure during high-intensity interval exercise (HIIT) may challenge dynamic
3 cerebral autoregulation (dCA), specifically post-stroke after an injury to the cerebrovasculature.
4 We hypothesized dCA would be attenuated at rest and during a sit-to-stand, immediately
5 following and 30 min after HIIT in individuals post-stroke compared to age- and sex-matched
6 controls (CON). HIIT switched every minute between 70% and 10% estimated maximal watts
7 for 10 min. Mean arterial pressure (MAP) and middle cerebral artery blood velocity (MCAv)
8 were recorded. Resting dCA measured spontaneous fluctuations in MAP and MCAv via transfer
9 function analysis. For sit-to-stand, time delay before an increase in cerebrovascular conductance
10 index ($CVCi = MCAv/MAP$), rate of regulation, and %change in MCAv and MAP were
11 measured. Twenty-two individuals post-stroke (age 60 ± 12 yrs, 31 ± 16 months) and twenty-four
12 CON (age 60 ± 13 yrs) completed the study. VLF gain ($p=0.02$, $\eta^2=0.18$) and normalized gain
13 ($p=0.01$, $\eta^2=0.43$) had a group-by-time interaction, with CON improving immediately and 30
14 min after HIIT. Individuals post-stroke had impaired VLF phase ($p=0.03$, $\eta^2=0.22$) immediately
15 following HIIT compared to CON. We found no differences in the sit-to-stand. Our study
16 showed lower resting dCA up to 30 min after HIIT in individuals post-stroke compared to CON
17 while the sit-to-stand response was maintained.

18 **Key Words:** Cerebral Autoregulation, Cerebral Blood Flow, Cerebral Hemodynamics,
19 Rehabilitation, Physiotherapy

20

21 **Introduction**

22 Dynamic cerebral autoregulation (dCA), or the cerebral vasculature's ability to react to
23 rapid changes in mean arterial pressure (MAP),¹⁻³ is impaired after stroke,⁴⁻⁸ At rest, dCA can be
24 quantified using transfer function analysis using spontaneous fluctuations in MAP and cerebral
25 artery blood velocity, to obtain gain (amplitude of cerebral blood velocity change for a given
26 oscillation in MAP) and phase (difference in the timing of the MAP and cerebral blood velocity
27 waveforms) metrics. dCA also responds to changes in MAP during everyday activities, such as
28 standing up from a seated position.³ During a sit-to-stand, there is a transient drop in MAP, due
29 to gravity and venous pooling. dCA then responds prior to the activation of the arterial baroreflex
30 through an increase in cerebrovascular conductance index (CVCi).³ However, the effects of
31 aerobic exercise on the dCA response in individuals post-stroke with impaired dCA are
32 unknown.⁹

33 It is important to examine the ability of the cerebrovascular system to react to changes in
34 MAP after aerobic exercise,^{10, 11} as dCA post-stroke may be less effective at buffering
35 fluctuations in MAP, potentially leaving the brain less protected.¹² In individuals with a pre-
36 existing injury to the cerebrovascular system such as stroke, the dCA response should be an
37 important factor in prescribing aerobic exercise and determining post-exercise recovery care.¹²
38 Specifically, one type of aerobic exercise, which may influence dCA and has gained in
39 popularity for individuals post-stroke, is high-intensity interval exercise (HIIT).¹³⁻¹⁵

40 HIIT may challenge dCA due to the rapid fluctuations in MAP when switching between
41 high-intensity exercise and recovery.^{10, 11, 16} Despite multiple narrative reviews suggesting HIIT
42 may attenuate dCA to a larger degree in individuals with a cerebrovascular injury such as stroke
43 compared to healthy adults,¹⁰⁻¹² HIIT is starting to be implemented within stroke rehabilitation to

44 improve motor outcomes.^{13, 15, 17} Therefore, we need to fill the gaps in knowledge on the effects
45 of low-volume HIIT on cerebrovascular health and the dCA response in individuals with chronic
46 stroke, specifically after exercise.¹²

47 While no studies have examined dCA after an acute bout of HIIT in individuals post-
48 stroke,⁹ prior work in healthy adults reported dCA was less effective during repeated squat-
49 stands following HIIT.¹⁸ During exercise recovery following HIIT, MAP decreases^{16, 19} which
50 could challenge the dCA response, as dCA seems less effective at buffering decreases compared
51 to increases in MAP at rest.²⁰ During recovery following moderate to vigorous intensity
52 continuous exercise in healthy adults, dCA at rest has been shown to be effected immediately
53 following exercise and recover by 30 min.^{21, 22} However, the dCA response may take longer than
54 30 min to recover in individuals post-stroke potentially due to post-exercise hypotension and
55 prolonged recovery of MAP.¹² Recovery of end tidal carbon dioxide ($P_{ET}CO_2$) may also
56 influence cerebral blood velocity following HIIT²³ in individuals post-stroke compared to
57 healthy adults¹⁹ and could also play a role in the dCA response during recovery.^{16, 24, 25}

58 To address these critical gaps in knowledge, we characterized the dCA response using
59 spontaneous fluctuations in MAP and middle cerebral artery blood velocity (MCAv) as well as
60 during a single sit-to-stand following an acute bout of low-volume HIIT in individuals with
61 chronic stroke compared to age- and sex-matched adult controls (CON). We hypothesized that
62 individuals with chronic stroke would have attenuated dCA initially following HIIT as well as
63 during a follow-up at 30 min after HIIT, compared to CON. We also hypothesized that $P_{ET}CO_2$
64 during recovery following HIIT would influence the dCA response.

65 **Material and Methods**

66 The University of Kansas Medical Center Human Subjects Committee approved all study
67 procedures and the Declaration of Helsinki and local statutory requirements were followed. This
68 study was registered on clinicaltrials.gov (NCT04673994) and STROBE guidelines reported.

69 Our methods have been described previously.^{16, 19} Individuals with stroke were included
70 within this study if they were: 1) between 40-85 years old, 2) treated for stroke 6 months to 5
71 years ago, 3) classified as inactive by performing <150 min of moderate intensity exercise per
72 week, and 4) able to answer consenting questions and follow a 2-step command. Individuals
73 within the CON group were matched to the sex and age \pm 5 years of an individual post-stroke
74 and also classified as inactive. Individuals were excluded from the study if: 1) stroke etiology
75 was due to COVID-19, 2) they were unable to stand from a chair without physical assistance
76 from another person, 3) they were unable to perform exercise on a recumbent stepper, 4)
77 diagnosed with insulin-dependent diabetes, 5) diagnosed with another neurological disease, or 6)
78 we were unable to find a transcranial Doppler ultrasound (TCD) signal on left or right side.

79 *Study Visit 1*

80 All participants were informed of study procedures, benefits, and risks before providing
81 voluntary written consent. We then collected participant demographics, current medications,
82 prior medical history, and self-reported disability via the modified Rankin scale (mRS).²⁶ Lower
83 extremity function in individuals post-stroke was assessed using the Fugl Meyer Lower
84 Extremity Subscale and the 5 Times Sit-to-Stand Assessment.^{27, 28} We then screened for an
85 MCAv signal on both the left and right side using TCD probes (2-MHz, Multigon Industries Inc,
86 Yonkers, New York). TCD signal acquisition was performed following standard depth, gain, and
87 power.²⁹ The position of the probes were recorded on *study visit 1* to ensure accurate signal
88 acquisition on *study visit 2* when recordings were performed.

89 Submaximal Exercise Test

90 As previously reported,^{16, 19} the total body recumbent stepper (TBRS, T5XR NuStep, Inc.
91 Ann Arbor, MI) submaximal exercise test was used to determine the estimated maximal watts
92 (estimatedWatt_{max}) for the acute HIIT bout.^{30, 31} If individuals were on a beta blocker medication,
93 maximum HR was determined using the beta-blocker equation ($164 - (0.7 * \text{age})$).

94 Exercise Familiarization

95 The low-volume HIIT exercise familiarization was performed at least 15 min after the
96 TBRS submaximal exercise test or once HR and MAP returned to near baseline values. Based on
97 previously published low-volume HIIT protocols in older adults and clinical populations, low-
98 volume HIIT was dosed at 70% estimatedWatt_{max} and active recovery at 10%
99 estimatedWatt_{max}.^{15, 32-37} Participants practiced switching between high-intensity exercise and
100 active recovery bouts every min for approximately 10 min.

101 *Study Visit 2*

102 Following our laboratory protocols, the room was dimly lit and kept at a constant
103 temperature (21 - 23°C).^{16, 38} All participants were asked to refrain from caffeine for 8 hours,³⁹
104 food for 2 hours,⁴⁰ vigorous exercise for 24 hours,¹⁸ and alcohol for 24 hours.⁴¹

105 Equipment Set-Up

106 Participants were seated on a recumbent stepper and fitted with the following equipment:
107 1) bilateral TCD probes were secured to an adjustable headset to ensure a stable position and
108 angle to measure MCAv throughout testing, 2) a 5-lead electrocardiogram (ECG; Cardiocard,
109 Nasiff Associates, Central Square, New York) to collect HR, 3) a left middle finger Finometer
110 cuff (Finapres Medical Systems, Amsterdam, the Netherlands) to measure beat-to-beat MAP, 4)
111 a right arm brachial automated sphygmomanometer with a microphone (Tango M2; Suntech,

112 Morrisville, NC) for calibration of the Finometer, and 5) a nasal cannula attached to a
113 capnograph (BCI Capnocheck Sleep 9004 Smiths Medical, Dublin, Ohio) for $P_{ET}CO_2$
114 monitoring. In individuals post-stroke with left arm spasticity, the Finometer was placed on the
115 right arm.

116 Cerebral Autoregulation Measures

117 To quantify spontaneous dCA metrics, participants performed a 5-min seated rest
118 recording 1) at BL, 2) immediately following HIIT and 3) 30 min after HIIT while capturing
119 MCA_v , MAP, HR, and $P_{ET}CO_2$ (**Figure 1**).

120 Using a sit-to-stand procedure, we recorded MCA_v , MAP, HR, and $P_{ET}CO_2$ and
121 quantified dCA, based on our previously published methodology, 1) at BL, 2) following 5 min of
122 passive recovery after HIIT, and 3) 35 min after HIIT.⁴² Participants placed the hand with the
123 Finometer across their chest and a sling was used to secure the arm at heart-level during the sit-
124 to-stand recording.⁴² Participants placed their feet flat on the ground, sat in an upright posture,
125 and had a force-sensitive resistor (SEN-09376, Mouser Electronics Inc., Tx) to detect the exact
126 moment of stance from the chair or the moment of arise-and-off (AO).⁴² The sit-to-stand
127 recording lasted a total of 3 minutes (see Figure 1). Individuals began in a seated position for 1
128 min and then were given a 3-s countdown and asked to quickly transition to standing at 60-s and
129 remained standing for 2 min for hemodynamic stability. Study personnel were standing next to
130 the participants during the sit-to-stand transition. Initial orthostatic hypotension (within 15 s of
131 standing) was determined by a drop of systolic blood pressure >40 mmHg and a drop in diastolic
132 blood pressure >20 mmHg as well as symptoms of dizziness, blurry vision, nausea, or weakness
133 with standing.⁴³⁻⁴⁵

134 Low-Volume HIIT Protocol

135 Repetitive 1-min intervals of high-intensity exercise (70% estimatedWatt_{max}) separated
136 by 1-min active recovery bouts (10% estimatedWatt_{max}) were performed for 10 min.^{16, 19} The
137 first minute of HIIT was an active recovery bout, to avoid a Valsalva Maneuver, which would
138 cause a transient decrease in MCAv.⁴⁶ Following HIIT, resistance and step rate were decreased
139 for a 2-min cool-down.

140 Data Acquisition

141 Data was collected at 500 Hz via an analog-to-digital unit (NI-USB-6212, National
142 Instruments) and custom-written code within MATLAB (v2014a, TheMathworks Inc, Natick,
143 Massachusetts).³⁸ Beat-to-beat data was processed offline using the QRS complex of the ECG.³⁸
144 The left MCAv signals for CON was used for analysis. However, if left MCAv was not
145 obtainable or had noise, the right MCAv signal was used.³⁸ In individuals post-stroke, the
146 ipsilesional hemisphere's MCAv signal was used to compare to CON.

147 Transfer Function Analysis

148 Quantification of spontaneous TFA metrics followed the recommendations of the
149 Cerebrovascular Research Network (CARNet).^{47, 48} The 5-min seated rest recordings were
150 computed to cross spectral analysis via fast Fourier transform utilizing Welch's method, 100-s
151 Hanning windows, and 50% superposition. Waveforms of MAP and MCAv were analyzed via
152 TFA and described through gain (amplitude), phase (shift in radians), and coherence
153 (association). Due to dCA acting as a high-pass filter, measures of gain, phase, coherence, and
154 power spectral density (PSD) of the integrate area were reported within very low frequency (0.02
155 – 0.07 Hz) and low frequency (0.07 – 0.2Hz) bands. Following CARNet recommendations, the
156 critical threshold of coherence was set at 0.34.⁴⁷ Coherence determined whether estimates of gain
157 and phase were reliable and could be compared across time.⁴⁷ We visually inspected our data for

158 phase wrap-around and if detected these data points were removed.⁴⁷ Attenuated dCA was
159 interpreted as higher gain (greater differences in amplitude) and lower phase (smaller shift)
160 following HIIT compared to baseline.

161 Sit-to-Stand Analysis

162 Metrics of dCA included the time delay (TD) before the onset of the regulatory response,
163 rate of regulation (ROR), percent change in MCAv ($\% \Delta \text{MCAv}$), percent change in MAP
164 ($\% \Delta \text{MAP}$), and the ratio of $\% \Delta \text{MCAv} / \% \Delta \text{MAP}$. To measure the TD before the onset of the
165 regulatory response, beat-to-beat CVCi was calculated ($\text{CVCi} = \text{MCAv} / \text{MAP}$). Based on
166 previously published methods,^{2, 42} 2 trained researchers selected the physiological heart beat
167 when CVCi began to increase (without immediate transient reduction).² The TD before the onset
168 of the regulatory response was calculated as the time in s from AO until the continuous increase
169 in CVCi. The ROR was used to determine the efficiency of the regulatory response, by
170 calculating the slope of the 3 heart-beats following TD ($\text{ROR} = \Delta \text{CVCi} / \Delta t / \Delta \text{MAP}$).² The ROR is
171 taken within phase 1 of the sit-to-stand response (1-7 s after standing), prior to the onset of the
172 arterial baroreflex response.^{3, 43} The $\% \Delta \text{MCAv}$ and $\% \Delta \text{MAP}$ were calculated from the seated
173 baseline (15 s prior to AO) to nadir (the lowest value of MCAv and MAP after standing).

$$174 \quad \% \Delta = ((\text{seated baseline} - \text{nadir}) / \text{seated baseline}) * 100$$

175 An attenuated dCA response was interpreted as a longer TD before the onset of the regulatory
176 response and smaller ROR, greater $\% \Delta \text{MCAv}$ and a higher ratio of $\% \Delta \text{MCAv} / \% \Delta \text{MAP}$.^{2, 43}

177 Statistical Analysis

178 A Shapiro-Wilk test was used to verify the assumptions of normality and a priori α was
179 set at 0.05. Participant characteristics were compared using an independent t-tests for continuous
180 variables or the Mann-Whitney U tests for non-normally distributed continuous variables.

181 Categorical variables were compared using a Chi-square. Baseline differences between groups
182 were compared using an independent t-tests. The primary aim of this study was to examine dCA
183 during spontaneous fluctuations at rest and during a sit-to-stand: 1) at BL, 2) following HIIT, and
184 3) at a follow up 30 min after HIIT in individuals post-stroke compared to CON. Mixed-model
185 ANOVAs were used to determine group, time, and group by time interaction effects. Post-hoc
186 comparisons used one-sided t-tests. As a sensitivity analysis, we controlled for $P_{ET}CO_2$ after
187 HIIT within the mixed model ANOVAs.

188 **Results**

189 Sixty individuals were enrolled within the study. Forty-six individuals were included in
190 the primary analysis: individuals post-stroke (n = 22) and CON (n = 24), shown in **Figure 2**.

191 Participant characteristics are described in **Table 1** and have been published in part
192 within our previous work.^{16, 19} Briefly, individuals post-stroke had a significantly slower 5x sit-
193 to-stand, lower average workload at high-intensity, and lower workload during active recovery
194 compared to CON. Individuals post-stroke had slight-to-moderate disability as shown by the
195 mRS and lower extremity Fugl-Meyer sub score.⁴⁹

196 Dynamic Cerebral Autoregulation during Spontaneous Fluctuations in MAP and MCAv

197 Twenty-two individuals had complete TFA data sets across all 3 timepoints, shown in
198 **Table 2**. The reduction in TFA sample size is common and occurs due to reduced coherence
199 within the VLF range,⁴⁷ which could be attributed to non-linearity.⁵⁰ At BL, there was no
200 significant differences between groups in all measures. MAP PSD and MCAv PSD within the
201 VLF and LF range were also not different between groups or across time.

202 Our primary analysis showed a significant group by time cross-over interaction effect for
203 VLF gain ($\eta^2 = 0.18$) and VLF normalized gain ($\eta^2 = 0.43$), with the CON group having

204 decreased VLF gain and normalized gain immediately following HIIT and 30 min after HIIT
205 compared to BL. While average VLF gain and normalized gain in individuals post-stroke
206 changed in the opposite direction of CON following HIIT, creating a cross-over interaction, there
207 was no significant within-group differences across time. There was also a significant group effect
208 for VLF phase ($\eta^2 = 0.22$), with individuals post-stroke having lower VLF phase compared to
209 CON immediately following HIIT ($p = 0.01$), and returned to being not significantly different
210 between groups at 30 min after HIIT. Finally, there was a linear time effect for LF normalized
211 gain, where CON individuals had reduced normalized gain at 30 min following HIIT compared
212 to BL ($p = 0.03$).

213 Our sensitivity analysis showed that when controlling for reductions in $P_{ET}CO_2$ after
214 HIIT, the group by time interaction remained for VLF gain ($p = 0.01$) and VLF normalized gain
215 ($p = 0.004$). The group effect for VLF phase ($p = 0.046$) also remained when controlling for
216 $P_{ET}CO_2$. However, the time effect for LF normalized gain ($p = 0.56$) was no longer significant
217 when controlling for $P_{ET}CO_2$. The transfer function analysis across the frequency ranges (0.02 –
218 5 Hz) in individuals with chronic stroke compared to CON are shown in **Figure 3**.

219 Sit-to-Stand Measures of Dynamic Cerebral Autoregulation

220 There were no significant group or time effects on the sit-to-stand measures of dCA
221 following HIIT in individuals post-stroke compared to CON, shown in **Table 3**. When
222 controlling for seated $P_{ET}CO_2$ after HIIT, there were still no group or time effects for TD onset,
223 ROR, $\% \Delta MCA_v$, $\% \Delta MAP$, or $\% \Delta MCA_v / \% \Delta MAP$.

224 **Figure 4** shows the CVCi response during the sit-to-stand at BL in individuals post-
225 stroke compared to CON. Monitoring of beat-to-beat MAP during the sit-to-stand allows for the
226 detection of initial orthostatic hypotension, defined by the literature as a decrease in systolic

227 blood pressure >40 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure >20mmHg within 15 s after standing
228 as well as symptoms of dizziness or syncope.⁴⁵ These values differ from the clinical thresholds of
229 delayed orthostatic hypotension (systolic >20mmHg and/or diastolic >10mmHg) taken after 3-
230 min of standing.⁵¹ We report that no individuals met the criteria of initial orthostatic hypotension
231 (having both decreases in blood pressure and symptoms of cerebral hypoperfusion)⁴⁵ during our
232 study. However, following HIIT, three CON individuals met the criteria for initial drops in blood
233 pressure upon standing but reported no symptoms of dizziness. At 35-min after HIIT, 2 different
234 CON individuals met the criteria for an initial drop in blood pressure upon standing. While no
235 individuals post-stroke met the blood pressure thresholds of drops of >40mmHg systolic or
236 diastolic >20mmHg upon standing, one individual post-stroke reported slight dizziness upon
237 standing at baseline (lasting < 3 s) and another individual post-stroke reported slight dizziness
238 upon standing (lasting <3 s) following HIIT.

239 **Discussion**

240 Our findings collectively show that while dCA was not different at baseline between
241 individuals with chronic stroke and their age- and sex-matched peers, dCA was lower during
242 spontaneous fluctuations in MAP and MCAv immediately following HIIT. While various
243 reviews have outlined the importance of examining the dCA response to HIIT in individuals
244 post-stroke,¹⁰⁻¹² our study is the first to show: 1) individuals post-stroke had lower VLF phase
245 immediately following HIIT compared to CON, and 2) VLF gain and normalized gain had a
246 cross-over interaction effect in which CON individuals had a significant decrease in gain
247 immediately following HIIT and 30 min after HIIT compared to BL while TFA gain in
248 individuals post-stroke increased. Reductions in $P_{ET}CO_2$ following HIIT only accounted for the
249 significant difference in LF normalized gain. Interestingly, while dCA was lower following HIIT

250 during spontaneous MAP and MCAv fluctuations, our study did not find differences in the sit-to-
251 stand dCA response between individuals post-stroke and CON, even when controlling for
252 $P_{ET}CO_2$. Therefore, dCA in individuals post-stroke may maintain the ability to respond to a
253 single drop in MAP upon standing, after 6 min of seated rest recovery following HIIT.

254 Spontaneous Dynamic Cerebral Autoregulation

255 While previous systematic reviews have reported impaired resting dCA in individuals
256 post-stroke compared to controls,⁵² our results did not show a difference between groups at
257 baseline. Most of the previous studies reporting impaired dCA in individuals post-stroke were
258 conducted during the acute stage (1-7 days post) and subacute stage (7 days – 6 months) post-
259 stroke.⁵³⁻⁵⁵ To our knowledge, only 1 other study has examined dCA in the chronic stage (≥ 6
260 months) post-stroke and reported decreased resting phase (within 0.06 – 0.12 Hz) at exactly 6-
261 months post-stroke compared to healthy controls.⁵⁶ However, our study found no difference in
262 resting BL dCA between individuals 31 ± 16 months post-stroke and their age- and sex-matched
263 peers. This could be due to differences in supine vs. seated rest recordings, TFA frequency
264 ranges utilized, or the chronicity of stroke. It is possible that dCA at rest during spontaneous
265 blood pressure oscillations may continue to recover within the chronic stage post-stroke.⁵²

266 To show differences in dCA between individuals with chronic stroke and healthy adults,
267 the cerebrovascular system may need to be hemodynamically challenged, such as with an acute
268 bout of HIIT. We show that immediately following HIIT, dCA during spontaneous MAP and
269 MCAv fluctuations was lower in individuals post-stroke compared to CON, with lower VLF
270 phase and increased VLF gain and normalized gain. Lower VLF phase immediately following
271 HIIT in individuals post-stroke compared to CON can be interpreted as dCA being less efficient
272 at buffering the cerebrovascular system from changes in peripheral blood pressure.⁵⁷ The cross-

273 over interaction in VLF gain and normalized gain shows that while CON individuals had
274 significant improvements in the ability of the cerebrovasculature to dampen the amplitude
275 changes in MAP fluctuations⁵⁷ immediately following HIIT and 30 min after HIIT, individuals
276 post-stroke had a reduction in the cerebral vessels' dampening ability. Even when controlling for
277 changes in $P_{ET}CO_2$, the differences in dCA between groups during recovery were maintained
278 within the VLF range.

279 Lower dCA in individuals post-stroke, quantified via TFA immediately following HIIT,
280 could be due to dCA's sensitivity to decreasing MAP.^{19, 58, 59} Previous studies have shown that
281 dCA at rest²⁰ and during repetitive squat-stands⁵⁸⁻⁶⁰ was less efficient at regulating decreases in
282 MAP in comparison with MAP increases, and HIIT may exacerbate this directional sensitivity in
283 healthy adults.⁶¹ It is unknown whether individuals post-stroke have lesser dCA sensitivity to
284 decreasing MAP compared to CON, however, this could potentially explain the differences
285 between groups found immediately following HIIT. However, when forcing blood pressure to
286 decrease using a single sit-to-stand following HIIT, we did not find any differences in the
287 cerebral pressure-flow relationship in individuals post-stroke. Previous studies have also shown
288 that blood pressure medications such as beta-blockers and calcium channel blockers may
289 attenuate dCA.⁶²⁻⁶⁵ Our study reports individuals post-stroke had greater beta-blocker use and
290 trended toward greater calcium channel blocker use compared to CON. While we did not directly
291 measure the effects of blood pressure medications on dCA, they could have also contributed to
292 the lower dCA response in individuals post-stroke following HIIT.

293 Sit-to-Stand Dynamic Cerebral Autoregulation Response

294 There were no differences between individuals post-stroke and CON in the sit-to-stand
295 dCA response at BL and after HIIT. We did not find a significant change in the TD before the

296 onset of dCA or the ROR response in individual post-stroke following HIIT compared to CON.
297 Therefore, the temporal response of increasing CVCi during a sit-to-stand was maintained
298 following HIIT in individuals post-stroke. A previous study in healthy adults has shown that the
299 initial dCA response during a sit-to-stand (occurring 2 ± 2 heartbeats after standing) may be due
300 in-large to compliance of the cerebrovasculature, which occurs prior to cerebral vasodilation
301 (occurring 11 ± 3 heartbeats after standing).⁶⁶ While not measured within the study,
302 cerebrovascular compliance may play a role in the preserved dCA sit-to-stand response
303 following HIIT in individuals post-stroke and aging adults. We also did not show a significant
304 difference in $\% \Delta MCA_v / \% \Delta MAP$ following HIIT. These findings may suggest that the
305 cerebrovascular system in individuals post-stroke did not become more pressure passive
306 following HIIT, and changes in MAP when standing up from a seated position resulted in similar
307 concordant changes in MCA_v .⁶⁷ However, when interpreting the dCA sit-to-stand findings, one
308 must also take into account that the participants had a notable amount of seated recovery (~6
309 min) before the dCA sit-to-stand measure. Therefore, future studies should examine the sit-to-
310 stand response immediately following aerobic exercise, without seated rest, to determine stability
311 of the dCA response and how long someone should wait before standing up.

312 Clinical Importance

313 Within the clinic, traditionally only peripheral blood pressure is used to determine
314 hemodynamic stability during exercise recovery. However, our study shows the need to also
315 examine the cerebrovascular system in individuals post-stroke. While the clinical implications
316 for a lower dCA response during passive recovery immediately following HIIT are unknown,
317 clinicians may want to continue practicing the recommended post-exercise precautions within
318 the first 5 minutes following HIIT.¹² When waiting ≥ 6 min after HIIT to stand up from the

319 seated position, individuals post-stroke did not differ in the dCA response compared to BL or
320 CON. However, two individuals post-stroke did report slight dizziness upon standing, despite not
321 meeting the peripheral blood pressure criteria for initial orthostatic hypotension. Symptomatic
322 thresholds associated with drops in MCAv during sit-to-stands following exercise should be
323 established⁶⁸ in individuals post-stroke to better understand the cerebrovascular response rather
324 than making inferences of “hypoperfusion” from peripheral blood pressure.

325 Methodological Considerations

326 The results of this study cannot be generalized to the entire population of individuals
327 post-stroke, such as individuals with more severe impairments. Our findings within the chronic
328 stage of stroke also cannot be generalized to individuals within the acute and subacute stages,
329 where dCA has been shown to be impaired at baseline.⁵² While TCD is currently the best method
330 to capture beat-to-beat MCAv for TFA, one must assume a constant MCA diameter. While we
331 could not directly measure MCA diameter during the study, a previous study using 4D Flow
332 Magnetic Resonance Imaging in older adults showed no significant change in diameter during an
333 8-mmHg change in $P_{ET}CO_2$.⁶⁹ Our sample size to quantify TFA metrics was also reduced due to
334 low coherence, potentially due to non-linearity of spontaneous fluctuations.⁵⁰ Although we
335 followed the CARNet recommendation of a 5-min recording,⁴⁷ to increase coherence via non-
336 linear modeling requires longer recordings (~40 minutes).⁵⁰ Measuring the dCA response via a
337 single sit-to-stand was chosen due to its real-life applicability as well as accounting for
338 neuromuscular fatigue after HIIT in individuals post-stroke. While our sit-to-stand methodology
339 accounted for the exact time in which individuals stood from the chair by using a force sensor,
340 we did not use an accelerometer to account for the speed of standing. During the sit-to-stand, we
341 were also unable to account for other potential confounding factors including neurovascular

342 coupling, sympathetic activity, and cardiac output. Since accumulating evidence also suggests
343 directional sensitivity of the cerebral pressure-flow relationships,^{20, 58-61, 70, 71} further research
344 quantifying dCA while considering the direction of MAP changes will be necessary to better
345 understand whether the reported attenuated dCA after HIIT is in response to reductions in MAP,
346 increases in MAP, or both.

347 **Conclusion**

348 We show that dCA at rest during spontaneous fluctuations in MAP and MCAv was lower
349 following HIIT in individuals post-stroke compared to CON. However, the dCA response during
350 a sit-to-stand following HIIT (after ~6 min seated rest) did not show a significant difference
351 compared to CON. These findings provide the groundwork for further characterization of the
352 dCA response following aerobic exercise of varying intensities in individuals across the stages of
353 stroke recovery. The cerebral autoregulation response to aerobic exercise and recovery is “an
354 essential physiological consideration to protect the brain when progressing exercise intensity
355 [post-stroke]”.¹² Future research should develop therapeutic strategies to improve dCA post-
356 exercise in individuals post-stroke.

357

358 **Acknowledgements**

359 Thank you, Andrew Geise, Kailee Carter, Katelyn Struckle, and Emily Hazen for data collection.

360

361 **Author Contribution Statement**

362 AW, MC, EV, SE, and SB, conceived and designed research; AW, SA, JB, KN, and SW,
363 performed experiments; AW, analyzed data; AW, SA, MC, PB, EV, and SB interpreted results of
364 experiments; AW, PB, SB drafted manuscript; AW, SA, JB, KN, MC, PB, EV, SW, SE, and SB

365 edited and revised manuscript; AW, SA, JB, KN, MC, PB, EV, SW, SE, and SB approved final
366 version of manuscript.

367

368 **Funding**

369 AW was supported by the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute [T32HL134643],
370 Cardiovascular Center's A.O. Smith Fellowship Scholars Program, Eunice Kennedy Shriver
371 National Institute of Child Health and Human Development [T32HD057850], American Heart
372 Association [898190], and Kansas Partners in Progress Inc. SEA was supported by National
373 Center for Advancing Translational Sciences [TL1TR002368]. SB and EV were supported in
374 part by the National Institute on Aging [P30 AG072973]. REDCap at University of Kansas
375 Medical Center was supported by National Center for Research Resources [ULTR000001]. The
376 REACH laboratory was supported by Georgia Holland Endowment. The content is solely the
377 responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH.

378

379 **Declaration of Conflicting Interests**

380 Author(s) report no conflict of interest.

381

382 References

- 383 1. Fantini S, Sassaroli A, Tgavalekos KT, et al. Cerebral blood flow and autoregulation: current
384 measurement techniques and prospects for noninvasive optical methods. *Neurophotonics* 2016; 3:
385 031411. 2016/07/13. DOI: 10.1117/1.NPh.3.3.031411.
- 386 2. Labrecque L, Rahimaly K, Imhoff S, et al. Diminished dynamic cerebral autoregulatory capacity
387 with forced oscillations in mean arterial pressure with elevated cardiorespiratory fitness. *Physiol Rep*
388 2017; 5 2017/11/11. DOI: 10.14814/phy2.13486.
- 389 3. Sorond FA, Serrador JM, Jones RN, et al. The sit-to-stand technique for the measurement of
390 dynamic cerebral autoregulation. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 2009; 35: 21-29. 2008/10/07. DOI:
391 10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2008.08.001.
- 392 4. Petersen NH, Ortega-Gutierrez S, Reccius A, et al. Dynamic cerebral autoregulation is transiently
393 impaired for one week after large-vessel acute ischemic stroke. *Cerebrovascular diseases (Basel,*
394 *Switzerland)* 2015; 39: 144-150. 2015/02/11. DOI: 10.1159/000368595.
- 395 5. Xiong L, Tian G, Lin W, et al. Is Dynamic Cerebral Autoregulation Bilaterally Impaired after
396 Unilateral Acute Ischemic Stroke? *Journal of stroke and cerebrovascular diseases : the official journal of*
397 *National Stroke Association* 2017; 26: 1081-1087. 2017/03/07. DOI:
398 10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2016.12.024.
- 399 6. Eames PJ, Blake MJ, Dawson SL, et al. Dynamic cerebral autoregulation and beat to beat blood
400 pressure control are impaired in acute ischaemic stroke. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2002; 72: 467-
401 472. 2002/03/23. DOI: 10.1136/jnnp.72.4.467.
- 402 7. Sheriff F, Castro P, Kozberg M, et al. Dynamic Cerebral Autoregulation Post Endovascular
403 Thrombectomy in Acute Ischemic Stroke. *Brain sciences* 2020; 10 2020/09/20. DOI:
404 10.3390/brainsci10090641.
- 405 8. Aries MJ, Elting JW, De Keyser J, et al. Cerebral autoregulation in stroke: a review of transcranial
406 Doppler studies. *Stroke* 2010; 41: 2697-2704. 2010/10/12. DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.110.594168.
- 407 9. Moncion K, Allison EY, Al-Khazraji BK, et al. What are the effects of acute exercise and exercise
408 training on cerebrovascular hemodynamics following stroke? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J*
409 *Appl Physiol (1985)* 2022; 132: 1379-1393. 2022/04/29. DOI: 10.1152/jappphysiol.00872.2021.
- 410 10. Calverley TA, Ogoh S, Marley CJ, et al. HIITing the brain with exercise: mechanisms,
411 consequences and practical recommendations. *J Physiol* 2020 2020/04/30. DOI: 10.1113/JP275021.
- 412 11. Lucas SJ, Cotter JD, Brassard P, et al. High-intensity interval exercise and cerebrovascular health:
413 curiosity, cause, and consequence. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 2015; 35: 902-911. 2015/04/03. DOI:
414 10.1038/jcbfm.2015.49.
- 415 12. Marzolini S, Robertson AD, Oh P, et al. Aerobic Training and Mobilization Early Post-stroke:
416 Cautions and Considerations. *Front Neurol* 2019; 10: 1187. 2019/12/06. DOI: 10.3389/fneur.2019.01187.
- 417 13. Boyne P, Billinger SA, Reisman DS, et al. A Multicenter Randomized Comparison of High-Intensity
418 Interval Training and Moderate-Intensity Exercise to Recover Walking Post-Stroke: Results of the HIT-
419 Stroke Trial. *medRxiv* 2022: 2022.2008.2001.22278246. DOI: 10.1101/2022.08.01.22278246.
- 420 14. Hornby TG, Reisman DS, Ward IG, et al. Clinical Practice Guideline to Improve Locomotor
421 Function Following Chronic Stroke, Incomplete Spinal Cord Injury, and Brain Injury. *J Neurol Phys Ther*
422 2020; 44: 49-100. 2019/12/14. DOI: 10.1097/NPT.0000000000000303.
- 423 15. Crozier J, Roig M, Eng JJ, et al. High-Intensity Interval Training After Stroke: An Opportunity to
424 Promote Functional Recovery, Cardiovascular Health, and Neuroplasticity. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair*
425 2018; 32: 543-556. 2018/04/21. DOI: 10.1177/1545968318766663.

- 426 16. Whitaker AA, Aaron SE, Kaufman CS, et al. Cerebrovascular response to an acute bout of low-
427 volume high-intensity interval exercise and recovery in young healthy adults. *J Appl Physiol (1985)* 2022;
428 132: 236-246. 2021/12/10. DOI: 10.1152/jappphysiol.00484.2021.
- 429 17. Hornby TG, Reisman DS, Ward IG, et al. Clinical Practice Guideline to Improve Locomotor
430 Function Following Chronic Stroke, Incomplete Spinal Cord Injury, and Brain Injury. *J Neurol Phys Ther*
431 2020; 44: 49-100. 2019/12/14. DOI: 10.1097/NPT.0000000000000303.
- 432 18. Burma JS, Copeland P, Macaulay A, et al. Dynamic cerebral autoregulation across the cardiac
433 cycle during 8 hr of recovery from acute exercise. *Physiol Rep* 2020; 8: e14367. 2020/03/13. DOI:
434 10.14814/phy2.14367.
- 435 19. Whitaker AA, Waghmare S, Montgomery RN, et al. Reduced Middle Cerebral Artery Blood
436 Velocity Response during Low-Volume High-Intensity Interval Exercise in Chronic Stroke. *medRxiv* 2022:
437 2022.2012.2015.22283530. DOI: 10.1101/2022.12.15.22283530.
- 438 20. Panerai RB, Barnes SC, Batterham AP, et al. Directional sensitivity of dynamic cerebral
439 autoregulation during spontaneous fluctuations in arterial blood pressure at rest. *J Cereb Blood Flow*
440 *Metab* 2022: 271678x221142527. 2022/11/25. DOI: 10.1177/0271678x221142527.
- 441 21. Ogoh S, Fisher JP, Purkayastha S, et al. Regulation of middle cerebral artery blood velocity during
442 recovery from dynamic exercise in humans. *Journal of Applied Physiology* 2007; 102: 713-721. DOI:
443 10.1152/jappphysiol.00801.2006.
- 444 22. Willie CK, Ainslie PN, Taylor CE, et al. Maintained cerebrovascular function during post-exercise
445 hypotension. *European Journal of Applied Physiology* 2013; 113: 1597-1604. DOI: 10.1007/s00421-012-
446 2578-3.
- 447 23. Burma JS, Macaulay A, Copeland P, et al. Comparison of cerebrovascular reactivity recovery
448 following high-intensity interval training and moderate-intensity continuous training. *Physiol Rep* 2020;
449 8: e14467. 2020/06/09. DOI: 10.14814/phy2.14467.
- 450 24. Curtelin D, Morales-Alamo D, Torres-Peralta R, et al. Cerebral blood flow, frontal lobe
451 oxygenation and intra-arterial blood pressure during sprint exercise in normoxia and severe acute
452 hypoxia in humans. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 2018; 38: 136-150. 2017/02/12. DOI:
453 10.1177/0271678X17691986.
- 454 25. Labrecque L, Drapeau A, Rahimaly K, et al. Comparable blood velocity changes in middle and
455 posterior cerebral arteries during and following acute high-intensity exercise in young fit women. *Physiol*
456 *Rep* 2020; 8: e14430. 2020/04/29. DOI: 10.14814/phy2.14430.
- 457 26. Jurca R, Jackson AS, LaMonte MJ, et al. Assessing cardiorespiratory fitness without performing
458 exercise testing. *Am J Prev Med* 2005; 29: 185-193. 2005/09/20. DOI: 10.1016/j.amepre.2005.06.004.
- 459 27. Park EY and Choi YI. Psychometric Properties of the Lower Extremity Subscale of the Fugl-Myer
460 Assessment for Community-dwelling Hemiplegic Stroke Patients. *J Phys Ther Sci* 2014; 26: 1775-1777.
461 2014/12/02. DOI: 10.1589/jpts.26.1775.
- 462 28. Mong Y, Teo TW and Ng SS. 5-repetition sit-to-stand test in subjects with chronic stroke:
463 reliability and validity. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2010; 91: 407-413. 2010/03/20. DOI:
464 10.1016/j.apmr.2009.10.030.
- 465 29. Alexandrov AV, Sloan MA, Wong LK, et al. Practice standards for transcranial Doppler
466 ultrasound: part I--test performance. *J Neuroimaging* 2007; 17: 11-18. 2007/01/24. DOI: 10.1111/j.1552-
467 6569.2006.00088.x.
- 468 30. Wilson DR, Mattlage AE, Seier NM, et al. Recumbent Stepper Submaximal Test response is
469 reliable in adults with and without stroke. *PLoS One* 2017; 12: e0172294. 2017/02/17. DOI:
470 10.1371/journal.pone.0172294.
- 471 31. Billinger SA, VanSwearingen E, McClain M, et al. Recumbent stepper submaximal exercise test to
472 predict peak oxygen uptake. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2012; 44: 1539-1544. 2012/03/03. DOI:
473 10.1249/MSS.0b013e31824f5be4.

- 474 32. Boyne P, Dunning K, Carl D, et al. High-Intensity Interval Training and Moderate-Intensity
475 Continuous Training in Ambulatory Chronic Stroke: Feasibility Study. *Phys Ther* 2016; 96: 1533-1544.
476 2016/04/23. DOI: 10.2522/ptj.20150277.
- 477 33. Currie KD, Dubberley JB, McKelvie RS, et al. Low-volume, high-intensity interval training in
478 patients with CAD. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2013; 45: 1436-1442. 2013/03/09. DOI:
479 10.1249/MSS.0b013e31828bbbd4.
- 480 34. Nepveu JF, Thiel A, Tang A, et al. A Single Bout of High-Intensity Interval Training Improves
481 Motor Skill Retention in Individuals With Stroke. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair* 2017; 31: 726-735.
482 2017/07/12. DOI: 10.1177/1545968317718269.
- 483 35. Bailey TG, Perissiou M, Windsor M, et al. Cardiorespiratory fitness modulates the acute flow-
484 mediated dilation response following high-intensity but not moderate-intensity exercise in elderly men.
485 *J Appl Physiol (1985)* 2017; 122: 1238-1248. 2017/02/18. DOI: 10.1152/jappphysiol.00935.2016.
- 486 36. Bailey TG, Perissiou M, Windsor MT, et al. Effects of acute exercise on endothelial function in
487 patients with abdominal aortic aneurysm. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 2018; 314: H19-H30.
488 2017/09/25. DOI: 10.1152/ajpheart.00344.2017.
- 489 37. Windsor MT, Bailey TG, Perissiou M, et al. Cytokine Responses to Acute Exercise in Healthy
490 Older Adults: The Effect of Cardiorespiratory Fitness. *Front Physiol* 2018; 9: 203. 2018/03/31. DOI:
491 10.3389/fphys.2018.00203.
- 492 38. Billinger SA, Craig JC, Kwapiszeski SJ, et al. Dynamics of middle cerebral artery blood flow
493 velocity during moderate-intensity exercise. *J Appl Physiol (1985)* 2017; 122: 1125-1133. 2017/03/11.
494 DOI: 10.1152/jappphysiol.00995.2016.
- 495 39. Addicott MA, Yang LL, Peiffer AM, et al. The effect of daily caffeine use on cerebral blood flow:
496 How much caffeine can we tolerate? *Hum Brain Mapp* 2009; 30: 3102-3114. 2009/02/17. DOI:
497 10.1002/hbm.20732.
- 498 40. Marley CJ, Hodson D, Brugniaux JV, et al. Post-prandial hyperlipidaemia results in systemic
499 nitrosative stress and impaired cerebrovascular function in the aged. *Clin Sci (Lond)* 2017; 131: 2807-
500 2812. 2017/10/22. DOI: 10.1042/cs20171406.
- 501 41. Mathew RJ and Wilson WH. Regional cerebral blood flow changes associated with ethanol
502 intoxication. *Stroke* 1986; 17: 1156-1159. 1986/11/01. DOI: 10.1161/01.str.17.6.1156.
- 503 42. Whitaker AA, Vidoni ED, Aaron SE, et al. Novel application of a force sensor during sit-to-stands
504 to measure dynamic cerebral autoregulation onset. *Physiol Rep* 2022; 10: e15244. 2022/04/07. DOI:
505 10.14814/phy2.15244.
- 506 43. Labrecque L, Rahimaly K, Imhoff S, et al. Dynamic cerebral autoregulation is attenuated in young
507 fit women. *Physiol Rep* 2019; 7: e13984. 2019/01/18. DOI: 10.14814/phy2.13984.
- 508 44. Freeman R, Wieling W, Axelrod FB, et al. Consensus statement on the definition of orthostatic
509 hypotension, neurally mediated syncope and the postural tachycardia syndrome. *Clin Auton Res* 2011;
510 21: 69-72. DOI: 10.1007/s10286-011-0119-5.
- 511 45. Wieling W, Krediet CT, van Dijk N, et al. Initial orthostatic hypotension: review of a forgotten
512 condition. *Clin Sci (Lond)* 2007; 112: 157-165. DOI: 10.1042/cs20060091.
- 513 46. Perry BG, Cotter JD, Mejuto G, et al. Cerebral hemodynamics during graded Valsalva maneuvers.
514 *Front Physiol* 2014; 5: 349. 2014/10/14. DOI: 10.3389/fphys.2014.00349.
- 515 47. Panerai RB, Brassard P, Burma JS, et al. Transfer function analysis of dynamic cerebral
516 autoregulation: A CARNet white paper 2022 update. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 2023; 43: 3-25.
517 2022/08/14. DOI: 10.1177/0271678X221119760.
- 518 48. Claassen JA, Meel-van den Abeelen AS, Simpson DM, et al. Transfer function analysis of dynamic
519 cerebral autoregulation: A white paper from the International Cerebral Autoregulation Research
520 Network. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 2016; 36: 665-680. 2016/01/20. DOI: 10.1177/0271678X15626425.

- 521 49. Kwong PWH and Ng SSM. Cutoff Score of the Lower-Extremity Motor Subscale of Fugl-Meyer
522 Assessment in Chronic Stroke Survivors: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2019; 100:
523 1782-1787. 20190320. DOI: 10.1016/j.apmr.2019.01.027.
- 524 50. Chertoff ME, Billinger SA, Perdomo SJ, et al. A Novel Nonlinear System Identification for Cerebral
525 Autoregulation in Human: Computer Simulation and Validation. *Ann Biomed Eng* 2020; 48: 1207-1217.
526 20191223. DOI: 10.1007/s10439-019-02442-7.
- 527 51. Freeman R, Wieling W, Axelrod FB, et al. Consensus statement on the definition of orthostatic
528 hypotension, neurally mediated syncope and the postural tachycardia syndrome. *Auton Neurosci* 2011;
529 161: 46-48. 2011/03/12. DOI: 10.1016/j.autneu.2011.02.004.
- 530 52. Intharakham K, Beishon L, Panerai RB, et al. Assessment of cerebral autoregulation in stroke: A
531 systematic review and meta-analysis of studies at rest. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 2019; 39: 2105-2116.
532 2019/08/23. DOI: 10.1177/0271678x19871013.
- 533 53. Bernhardt J, Hayward KS, Kwakkel G, et al. Agreed definitions and a shared vision for new
534 standards in stroke recovery research: The Stroke Recovery and Rehabilitation Roundtable taskforce.
535 *International Journal of Stroke* 2017; 12: 444-450. DOI: 10.1177/1747493017711816.
- 536 54. Aries MJH, Elting JW, Keyser JD, et al. Cerebral Autoregulation in Stroke. *Stroke* 2010; 41: 2697-
537 2704. DOI: doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.110.594168.
- 538 55. Beishon LC and Minhas JS. Cerebral Autoregulation and Neurovascular Coupling in Acute and
539 Chronic Stroke. *Front Neurol* 2021; 12: 720770. 2021/09/21. DOI: 10.3389/fneur.2021.720770.
- 540 56. Guo ZN, Xing Y, Wang S, et al. Characteristics of dynamic cerebral autoregulation in cerebral
541 small vessel disease: Diffuse and sustained. *Sci Rep* 2015; 5: 15269. 2015/10/16. DOI:
542 10.1038/srep15269.
- 543 57. Silverman A and Petersen NH. Physiology, Cerebral Autoregulation. *StatPearls*. Treasure Island
544 (FL), 2022.
- 545 58. Roy M-A, Labrecque L, Perry BG, et al. Directional sensitivity of the cerebral pressure-flow
546 relationship in young healthy individuals trained in endurance and resistance exercise. *Experimental*
547 *Physiology* 2022; 107: 299-311. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1113/EP090159>.
- 548 59. Labrecque L, Smirl JD and Brassard P. Utilization of the repeated squat-stand model for studying
549 the directional sensitivity of the cerebral pressure-flow relationship. *J Appl Physiol (1985)* 2021; 131:
550 927-936. 2021/07/16. DOI: 10.1152/jappphysiol.00269.2021.
- 551 60. Brassard P, Ferland-Dutil H, Smirl JD, et al. Evidence for hysteresis in the cerebral pressure-flow
552 relationship in healthy men. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 2017; 312: H701-h704. 2017/01/29. DOI:
553 10.1152/ajpheart.00790.2016.
- 554 61. Abbariki F, Roy MA, Labrecque L, et al. Influence of high-intensity interval training to exhaustion
555 on the directional sensitivity of the cerebral pressure-flow relationship in young endurance-trained men.
556 *Physiol Rep* 2022; 10: e15384. 2022/07/14. DOI: 10.14814/phy2.15384.
- 557 62. Meyer JS, Okamoto S, Sari A, et al. Effects of beta-adrenergic blockade on cerebral
558 autoregulation and chemical vasomotor control in patients with stroke. *Stroke* 1974; 5: 167-179.
559 1974/03/01. DOI: 10.1161/01.str.5.2.167.
- 560 63. Höllerhage HG, Gaab MR, Zumkeller M, et al. The influence of nimodipine on cerebral blood flow
561 autoregulation and blood-brain barrier. *J Neurosurg* 1988; 69: 919-922. 1988/12/01. DOI:
562 10.3171/jns.1988.69.6.0919.
- 563 64. Aihara K. Effects of nitrendipine on the autoregulation of regional cerebral blood flow in the
564 pithed rabbit. *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's archives of pharmacology* 1989; 339: 469-473. 1989/04/01. DOI:
565 10.1007/bf00736063.
- 566 65. Asano N, Hishiyama S, Ishiyama T, et al. Effects of beta(1)-adrenergic receptor blockade on the
567 cerebral microcirculation in the normal state and during global brain ischemia/reperfusion injury in
568 rabbits. *BMC Pharmacol Toxicol* 2020; 21: 13. 2020/02/23. DOI: 10.1186/s40360-020-0394-7.

- 569 66. Moir ME, Klassen SA, Zamir M, et al. Rapid changes in vascular compliance contribute to
570 cerebrovascular adjustments during transient reductions in blood pressure in young, healthy adults. *J*
571 *Appl Physiol (1985)* 2020; 129: 27-35. 2020/05/29. DOI: 10.1152/jappphysiol.00272.2020.
- 572 67. Meng L and Gelb AW. Regulation of Cerebral Autoregulation by Carbon Dioxide. *Anesthesiology*
573 2015; 122: 196-205. DOI: 10.1097/aln.0000000000000506.
- 574 68. Morales G, Romero SA, Rickards CA, et al. Effects of dehydration on cerebrovascular control
575 during standing after heavy resistance exercise. *Journal of Applied Physiology* 2012; 112: 1875-1883.
576 DOI: 10.1152/jappphysiol.01217.2011.
- 577 69. Miller KB, Howery AJ, Rivera-Rivera LA, et al. Age-Related Reductions in Cerebrovascular
578 Reactivity Using 4D Flow MRI. *Frontiers in aging neuroscience* 2019; 11: 281. 2019/11/05. DOI:
579 10.3389/fnagi.2019.00281.
- 580 70. Tzeng YC, Willie CK, Atkinson G, et al. Cerebrovascular regulation during transient hypotension
581 and hypertension in humans. *Hypertension* 2010; 56: 268-273. 2010/06/16. DOI:
582 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.110.152066.
- 583 71. Labrecque L, Burma JS, Roy MA, et al. Reproducibility and diurnal variation of the directional
584 sensitivity of the cerebral pressure-flow relationship in men and women. *J Appl Physiol (1985)* 2022;
585 132: 154-166. 2021/12/03. DOI: 10.1152/jappphysiol.00653.2021.

586

587 **Figure Legend**

588 **Figure 1. Protocol Measuring Dynamic Cerebral Autoregulation after an Acute Bout of**

589 **HIIT.** TFA = transfer function analysis (was conducted during seated rest). BL = baseline. R =

590 active recovery. H = high-intensity. Post = Recovery immediately following HIIT. Post30 =

591 Recovery at 30 min after HIIT.

592 **Figure 2. Flow Diagram.**

593 **Figure 3. Transfer Function Analysis in Individual Post-Stroke compared to CON**

594 **following HIIT.** Solid black line = individuals with chronic stroke. Dotted Line = age- and sex-

595 matched adult controls (CON). Hz = hertz.

596 **Figure 4. Baseline Sit-to-Stand Measure of Dynamic Cerebral Autoregulation.**

597 Mean and standard deviation lines. Individuals post-stroke (n = 22) = Grey line. Age- and sex-

598 matched controls (CON, n = 23) = Black line. CVCi = cerebrovascular conductance index

599 (MCAv/MAP). Horizontal black line = marks the moment of arise-and-off (AO) detected by the

600 custom force sensor as the moment individuals stood up. Arrow = Time delay (TD) of the

601 regulation response before an increase in CVCi. Square box = slope of the rate of regulation

602 (ROR). Resampled to 1 Hz for group averages.

603

604 **Table 1. Participant Characteristics**

	Individuals Post-Stroke (n = 22)	CON (n = 24)	p-value
Age[†] (years)	60 ± 12	60 ± 13	0.80
Female n (%)	9 (41%)	8 (33%)	0.76
BMI (kg/m²)	30.7 ± 6.0	28.9 ± 6.6	0.34
Race n (%)			0.34
Asian	0	1 (4%)	
Black/African American	4 (18%)	2 (8%)	
White/Caucasian	17 (77%)	21 (88%)	
White & Native American	1 (5%)	0	
Blood Pressure Medications			
Beta Blocker	9 (41%)	1 (4%)	0.004*
ACE Inhibitor	6 (27%)	2 (8%)	0.13
Angiotensin II Receptor Blocker	6 (27%)	5 (21%)	0.73
Calcium Channel Blocker	8 (36%)	3 (13%)	0.09
Diuretic	2 (9%)	3 (13%)	1.00
Vasodilator	1 (5%)	0	0.48
5x Sit-to-Stand[†] (s)	21.9 ± 13.5	8.6 ± 2.7	<0.001*

TBRS estimated $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ ($\text{ml}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$)	29.2 ± 9.4	32.3 ± 10.2	0.30
Workload during High Intensity[†] (Watts)	79 ± 27	117 ± 36	<0.001*
Workload during Active Recovery[†] (Watts)	16 ± 2	18 ± 4	0.04*

Stroke Characteristics

Months Post-Stroke	31 ± 16
Right-Sided Stroke n (%)	11 (50%)
Ischemic Stroke n (%)	18 (82%)
MCA Stroke n (%)	12 (55%)
mRS n (%)	
No Significant Disability (1)	9 (41%)
Slight Disability (2)	6 (27%)
Moderate Disability (3)	7 (32%)
Fugl-Meyer Lower Extremity Score	23 ± 6

Means (Standard Deviations). *Significantly different between groups. † = Non-normally distributed. BMI = body mass index. TBRS = Total Body Recumbent Stepper Submaximal Exercise Test. $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ = maximal oxygen consumption. $\text{ml}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ = milliliters of oxygen per kilogram of body weight per minute. estimatedWatt_{max} = estimated maximal watts. mRS = modified rankin scale. MOCA = montreal cognitive assessment.

605 **Table 2. Transfer Function Analysis of Spontaneous Fluctuations at Rest**

	Individuals Post-Stroke (n = 8)			CON (n = 14)			Group	Time	Interaction
	BL	Immediately after HIIT	30 min after HIIT	BL	Immediately after HIIT	30 min after HIIT			
MCAv (cm/s)	47 ± 5	45 ± 5	47 ± 6	48 ± 10	47 ± 8	50 ± 11 [^]	0.20	0.003*	0.73
MAP (mmHg)	75 ± 12	70 ± 6	73 ± 7	81 ± 11	77 ± 9 [^]	82 ± 11	0.06	0.02*	0.74
P _{ET} CO ₂	37 ± 5	36 ± 5	35 ± 5 [^]	36 ± 3	35 ± 3	34 ± 3 [^]	0.53	<0.001*	0.90
<u>Very Low Frequency (0.02 – 0.07 Hz)</u>									
MAP PSD (mmHg ²)	5.58 ± 3.99	3.71 ± 1.68	6.46 ± 2.94	4.49 ± 2.28	4.97 ± 2.67	5.47 ± 2.21	0.72	0.11	0.23
MCAv PSD (cm/s ²)	2.93 ± 1.75	3.13 ± 1.64	3.99 ± 1.63	3.60 ± 5.09	2.73 ± 2.50	3.04 ± 3.19	0.86	0.43	0.23
Coherence	0.62 ± 0.17	0.55 ± 0.09	0.67 ± 0.18	0.58 ± 0.14	0.52 ± 0.13	0.55 ± 0.18	0.26	0.12	0.33
Gain (cm/s/mmHg)	0.66 ± 0.31	0.74 ± 0.25	0.73 ± 0.32	0.71 ± 0.34	0.62 ± 0.29 [^]	0.56 ± 0.24 [^]	0.53	0.51	0.02*
Normalized Gain	1.38 ± 0.54	1.65 ± 0.58	1.52 ± 0.62	1.44 ± 0.42	1.30 ± 0.40 [^]	1.11 ±	0.23	0.14	0.01*

(%/mmHg)						0.36 [^]			
Phase (degrees)	49.7 ± 14.8	43.3 ± 20.9 [†]	44.1 ± 18.1	59.9 ± 14.8	65.8 ± 18.5 [†]	55.8 ± 15.2	0.03*	0.31	0.17
<u>Low Frequency (0.07 – 0.2 Hz)</u>									
MAP PSD	2.61 ± 2.10	2.36 ± 2.79	3.36 ± 3.37	2.28 ± 2.33	3.91 ± 4.04	3.70 ± 3.72	0.67	0.26	0.36
(mmHg ²)									
MCAv PSD	2.37 ± 2.00	2.92 ± 4.32	2.93 ± 3.97	1.91 ± 1.92	3.18 ± 3.57	2.34 ± 2.47	0.83	0.25	0.62
(cm/sec ²)									
Coherence	0.75 ± 0.20	0.66 ± 0.20	0.76 ± 0.16	0.71 ± 0.18	0.73 ± 0.12	0.74 ± 0.17	0.96	0.32	0.35
Gain	0.91 ± 0.27	0.97 ± 0.34	0.91 ± 0.32	0.88 ± 0.28	0.90 ± 0.22	0.83 ± 0.26	0.61	0.21	0.76
(cm/s/mmHg)									
Normalized Gain	1.92 ± 0.42	2.12 ± 0.57	1.88 ± 0.52	1.85 ± 0.49	1.93 ± 0.39	1.64 ±	0.36	0.01*	0.55
(%/mmHg)						0.35 [^]			
Phase (degrees)	25.8 ± 5.5	26.7 ± 7.7	30.4 ± 9.2	32.4 ± 9.7	33.5 ± 10.4	33.5 ± 9.3	0.10	0.40	0.63

Mean ± SD. * Significantly different at p < 0.05. † Significantly different between groups (Used Mann-Whitney U test due to uneven groups). ^ Significantly different from BL. CON = age- and sex-matched adults. MCAv = middle cerebral artery blood velocity. MAP = mean arterial pressure. PSD = power spectral density. VLF = very low frequency. LF = low frequency. BL = baseline.

607


608 **Table 3. Sit-to-Stand Dynamic Cerebral Autoregulation Metrics**

	Individuals Post-Stroke (n = 22)			CON (n = 23)			Group	Time	Interaction
	BL	Following HIIT	35 min after HIIT	BL	Following HIIT	35 min after HIIT			
Seated MCAv (cm/s)	42 ± 11	42 ± 11	42 ± 11	50 ± 15	49 ± 14	49 ± 13	0.052	0.07	0.66
Seated MAP (mmHg)	84 ± 12	82 ± 10	82 ± 8	82 ± 11	86 ± 11	85 ± 11	0.52	0.49	0.08
Seated P_{ET}CO₂ (mmHg)	36 ± 5	34 ± 6 [^]	35 ± 4	36 ± 4	34 ± 4 [^]	34 ± 4 [^]	0.71	<0.001*	0.31
Standing MCAv (cm/s)	41 ± 11	39 ± 11	41 ± 11	48 ± 14	47 ± 13	48 ± 13	0.60	0.001	0.53
Standing MAP (mmHg)	84 ± 14	84 ± 14	84 ± 13	83 ± 13	85 ± 12	87 ± 12	0.74	0.32	0.26
Standing P_{ET}CO₂ (mmHg)	36 ± 4	34 ± 4 [^]	35 ± 4 [^]	35 ± 3	33 ± 4 [^]	33 ± 4 [^]	0.27	<0.001*	0.51
TD onset	2.99 ± 2.05	2.88 ±	2.66 ± 2.00	3.00 ±	2.83 ±	2.99 ± 2.77	0.83	0.90	0.87

		1.97		2.14	1.86				
ROR	0.005 ±	0.026 ±	0.005 ±	0.003 ±	0.003 ±	0.003 ±	0.11	0.21	0.21
	0.009	0.080	0.006	0.003	0.003	0.003			
%ΔMCAv	12.1% ±	16.9% ±	14.9% ±	15.3% ±	15.9% ±	16.0% ±	0.66	0.17	0.32
	10.0%	8.2%	9.7%	10.1%	11.6%	10.1%			
%ΔMAP	16.5% ±	18.0% ±	15.5% ±	18.7% ±	19.8% ±	18.5% ±	0.35	0.22	0.88
	8.5%	7.7%	9.9%	8.9%	10.8%	9.6%			
%ΔMCAv/%ΔMAP	0.64 ± 0.61	1.06 ±	0.87 ± 1.13	0.77 ±	0.80 ±	0.85 ± 0.50	0.80	0.19	0.30
		1.12		0.47	0.63				

Mean ± SD. * Significantly different at $p < 0.05$. † Significantly different between groups. ^Significantly different than BL.

CON = age- and sex-matched adults. BL = baseline. TD = time delay. ROR = rate of regulation. %Δ = percent change from baseline to nadir upon standing. MCAv = middle cerebral artery blood velocity. MAP = mean arterial pressure.



Participant Enrollment

Enrolled and Assessed for Eligibility
(n = 60)

Excluded (n = 8)

- ◆ No TCD Signal on Left or Right Hemisphere (n = 5)
- ◆ Unable to perform HIIT due to weakness (n = 3)

Participant Testing

Completed Visit 1 Screening (n = 52)

- ◆ Older Adults (n = 26)
- ◆ Individuals with Stroke (n = 26)

Lost to Follow Up (n = 2)

- ◆ Older Adult (n = 1)
- ◆ Individual with Stroke (n = 1)

Completed Visit 2 Data Collection (n = 50)

- ◆ Older Adults (n = 25)
- ◆ Individuals with Stroke (n = 25)

Analysis

Excluded

- ◆ Noise in Signal (n = 4)

Data Included in Analysis (n = 46)

- ◆ Older Adults (n = 24)
- ◆ Individuals with Stroke (n = 22)

