- 1 Title: Traditional surveys versus ecological momentary assessments: digital citizen science
- 2 approaches to improve ethical physical activity surveillance among youth
- 3 Authors: Sheriff Tolulope Ibrahim<sup>1</sup>, Nour Hammami<sup>2</sup>, Tarun Reddy Katapally<sup>1,3,4</sup>

4	Affiliations:
5 6 7 8 9	<sup>1</sup> DEPtH Lab, School of Health Studies, Faculty of Health Sciences, Western University, London, Ontario, N6A 3K7, Canada; <u>tarun.katapally@uwo.ca</u> (T.R.K.).
10 11 12	<sup>2</sup> Trent University Durham, 55 Thornton Road South, Oshawa, Ontario, L1J 5Y1, Canada; <u>nourhammami@trentu.ca</u> (N.H.).
12 13 14	<sup>3</sup> Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry, Western University, London, Ontario, N6A 3K7, Canada; <u>tarun.katapally@uwo.ca.</u>
15 16 17	<sup>4</sup> Children's Health Research Institute, Lawson Health Research Institute, 750 Base Line Road East, Suite 300, London, Ontario, Canada N6C 2R5
18 19	
20 21 22	Corresponding author: Tarun Reddy Katapally School of Health Studies
22 23	Faculty of Health Sciences
24	Western University
25	1151 Richmond St
26	London, ON N6A 3K7.
27	Phone: $+1(519) 661-4249$
28	Email: <u>tarun.katapally(a)uwo.ca</u>
29 30	Keywords: Digital Health: Citizen Science: Physical Activity: Ecological Momentary
31	Assessments; Ethical Surveillance
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37 28	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

44

### Abstract

# 45 Background

The role of physical activity (PA) in minimizing non-communicable diseases is well established. Measurement bias can be reduced via ecological momentary assessments (EMAs) deployed via citizen-owned smartphones. This study aims to engage citizen scientists to understand how PA reported digitally by retrospective and prospective measures varies within the same cohort.

### 51 Methods

This study used the digital citizen science approach to collaborate with citizen scientists, aged 52 13-21 years over eight consecutive days via a custom-built app. Citizen scientists were recruited 53 through schools in Regina, Saskatchewan, Canada in 2018 (August 31 - December 31). 54 Retrospective PA was assessed through a survey, which was adapted from three validated PA 55 surveys to suit smartphone-based data collection, and prospective PA was assessed through 56 57 time-triggered EMAs deployed consecutively every day, from day 1 to day 8, including weekdays and weekends. Data analyses included t-test to understand the difference in PA 58 reported retrospectively and prospectively, and linear regressions to assess contextual and 59 60 demographic factors associated with PA reported retrospectively and prospectively.

# 61 **Result**

Findings showed a significant difference between PA reported retrospectively and prospectively (p = 0.001). Ethnicity (visible minorities:  $\beta$  = - 0.911, 95% C.I.= -1.677, -0.146), parental education (university:  $\beta$  = 0.978, 95% C.I.= 0.308, 1.649), and strength training (at least one day:  $\beta$  = 0.932, 95% C.I.= 0.108, 1.755) were associated with PA reported prospectively. In contrast, the number of active friends (at least one friend:  $\beta$  = 0.741, 95% C.I.= 0.026, 1.458) was associated with retrospective PA.

68

69

# 70 Conclusion

71	Physical inactivity is the fourth leading cause of mortality globally, which requires accurate
72	monitoring to inform population health interventions. In this digital age, where ubiquitous
73	devices provide real-time engagement capabilities, digital citizen science can transform how
74	we measure behaviours using citizen-owned ubiquitous digital tools to support prevention and
75	treatment of non-communicable diseases.
76	
77	
78	
79	
80	
81	
82	
83	
84	
85	
86	
87	
88	
89	
90	
91	
92	
93	
94	
95	
96	
97	
30	

# 99 Author summary

Traditionally, the surveillance of physical activity has been predominantly conducted with retrospective surveys that require participants to recall behaviours, a methodology which has significant challenges due to measurement bias. With advances in digital technology, ubiquitous devices offer a solution through ecological momentary assessments (EMAs). Using the Smart Framework, which combines citizen science with community-based participatory research, this study ethically obtained retrospective and prospective EMA physical activity data from the same cohort of youth citizen scientists, who used their own smartphones to engage with our team over an eight-day period. The findings show a significant difference between physical activity reported through retrospective and prospective EMAs. Moreover, there was also a variation between contextual and demographic factors that were associated with retrospective and prospective physical activity – evidence that points towards the need to adapt physical activity surveillance in the digital age by ethically engaging with citizens via their own ubiquitous digital devices. 

### 125 Background

Physical activity is an important protective factor that can prevent or minimize non-126 communicable diseases such as diabetes mellitus, cancer, obesity, hypertension, and joint 127 conditions [1–4]. However, measuring physical activity (PA) can be plagued with challenges 128 and inaccuracies, such as over-reporting of PA [5], recall bias [6], lack of environmental and 129 social context of PA, and difficulty in reporting PA in the form of intensities (e.g., moderate, 130 and vigorous activities) [7–9]. The continued understanding of how PA is accumulated, and its 131 accurate measurement, is crucial in identifying patterns of PA, and to accurately monitor 132 population adherence to PA recommendations [10,11]. 133

134 Traditionally, retrospective means of measurement have been used to measure PA accumulation [12,13]. Retrospective surveys in general are easy to implement and are not resource intensive 135 [14], however they tend to overestimate PA [15,16], which can be attributed to recall biases 136 [8,17]. For instance, in a study carried out on lower back pain patients and healthy controls, it 137 was determined that retrospective surveys overestimated self-reported moderate physical 138 activity by 42min/day, and vigorous activity by 39min/day [18]. Objective measures of PA, 139 such as the use of accelerometers, global positioning system, heart rate monitoring, and 140 movement sensors, can solve the problem of recall bias present in retrospective subjective 141 questionnaires. However, objective measures can be time consuming [19], expensive [20], and 142 logistically challenging to implement across populations [21–23]. 143

Advances in information and communication technology offer novel opportunities in PA measurement [24,25]. Ubiquitous tools such as smartphones can enable ecological momentary assessments (EMAs) to be deployed via smartphones in near real-time, and with more frequency by using time-, location-, and user-triggers, which provide flexibility for both researchers and study participants [26,27]. EMAs assess participants' experience/behaviour in real-time, and in the real-world, where researchers use sampling and monitoring strategies to

assess phenomena as they occur in natural settings [17]. More recently, the use of EMAs in assessing PA behaviour using citizen-owned smartphones has gained momentum among researchers [26–28], due to its ability to eliminate recall [23], and social desirability biases [29] that are inherent in retrospective subjective PA surveys [30,31].

This study aims to ascertain if there is a significant difference between the duration of PA reported retrospectively using traditional validated surveys, and duration of PA reported prospectively using EMAs, within the same cohort of participants. In addition, this study assesses contextual and demographic factors that are associated with duration of PA reported retrospectively vs. prospectively (EMAs) within the same cohort of participants.

# 159 Methodology

This study captures PA behaviours, and its related factors from youth who participated in the 160 Smart Platform as youth citizen scientists [26]. The Smart Platform is a citizen science and 161 162 digital epidemiological initiative for population health surveillance, knowledge translation, and real-time interventions [32,33]. It combines participatory, community-based, and citizen 163 science approaches to leverage citizen-owned smartphones to ethically engage citizen scientists 164 165 for population health research. The research ethics approval for the Smart Platform was approved by the Research Ethics Boards of the Universities of Regina and Saskatchewan (REB 166 # 2017-029). 167

The Smart Platform enabled our research team to use a custom-built smartphone application (app) to engage with citizen scientists [26,27] over eight consecutive days [34] Youth citizen scientists had the option to download the app from both the iOS and Android platforms onto their smartphones. Using the app, apart from PA data, a wide range of behavioural, contextual, demographic, social factors were reported by youth [26,27,33]. This study used the following data that were derived using surveys deployed via the app [25,26]: family and peer support for

PA; sociodemographic characteristics; and individual characteristics that determined overall
PA, such as strength training.

### 176 Participants

A total of 808 youth citizen scientists (13 - 21 years) were recruited for this study. Youth citizen 177 scientists were recruited through Regina Public and Catholic Schools engagement sessions held 178 in various high schools in Regina, Saskatchewan, Canada in 2018. Citizen scientists were 179 recruited through a collaborative effort between the school administrators and the research 180 team. Scheduled in-person recruitment sessions were organized between the research team and 181 182 the youth. Activities during the recruitment sessions included describing the study to youth, demonstration of how to use the app, answering queries and concerns, and assisting youth in 183 downloading the app onto their respective smartphones. All youth participants of the study 184 provided informed consent through the app. For youth participants between the ages of 13-16 185 years, implied informed consent was obtained from their caregivers and parents before the 186 187 scheduled recruitment sessions.

### 188 Measures

### 189 PA (dependent variables)

On day one of the study, using a time-triggered smartphone nudge, retrospective PA data (over 190 191 previous 7 days) were collected from youth through a modified survey adapted from three validated self-reported measures [35-39]. The modification allowed time-triggered digital 192 deployment of the retrospective survey and accommodated the varying start dates of youth 193 joining the study (Figure 1). Youth could download and join the study between (August 31 -194 December 31). Irrespective of the time when youth joined the study, a time-triggered 195 retrospective survey was deployed that ensured the exact dates of the previous 7 days were 196 197 reflected in the app to improve recall (Figure 1).

After defining PA, the retrospective survey provided youth the opportunity to report PA they accumulated over the previous seven days starting from the day of joining the study. From these responses, mean overall PA per day (will be referred to as: retrospective PA duration) was derived. Following general PA data derivation standards [40,41], youth who reported less than 10 minutes or more than 960 minutes (about 16 hours) per day were excluded from the analyses [41,42].





207 Prospective PA information was obtained via daily time-triggered EMAs throughout the study period (eight consecutive days), to include both weekdays and weekends. The EMAs were 208 deployed every evening between 8:00 PM to 11: 30 PM and were set to expire at midnight [26]. 209 EMAs used skip-pattern questions to capture PA accumulation (Figure 2). After defining what 210

constitutes PA, EMAs asked youth the following questions: 1) "What type of physical activities
did you do today?" (Multiple choice); 2) "How many minutes did you spend doing this
activity?" (Open ended). From these questions, mean PA per day was derived (will be referred
to as: EMA PA duration).



215 216

**Figure 2**: EMAs PA types, and duration.

- 217
- 218

# 219 Family support for PA (independent variables)

Family support PA was captured using one question: "How much do your parents, stepparents,
or guardians support you in being physically active? (e.g., driving you to team games, buying
you sporting equipment)" with the 4 response options: "very supportive", "supportive",
"unsupportive", or "very unsupportive". Using these data, we collapsed the responses into:

224 "unsupportive" (combining unsupportive and very unsupportive), "supportive" and "very225 supportive".

### 226 Peer support for PA (independent variables)

Youth were asked to think about their closest friends in the last 12 months when answering the
question regarding peer support of PA. Peer support for PA was captured with the question:
"How many of your closest friends are physically active?" with the six response options: "none
of my friends", "1", "2", "3", "4", or "5 of my friends". This variable was dichotomized into
"0 physically active friends" corresponding to "none of my friends" and "at least 1 active
friend" corresponding to "1", "2", "3", "4" or "5 of my friends".

# 233 Sociodemographic covariates

Gender was ascertained with the question, "What is your gender?", with 5 response options: 234 "male", "female", "transgender", "other (please specify)", and "prefer not to disclose". The 235 236 responses "transgender", "other", and "prefer not to disclose" were collapsed into one category 237 due to low counts within these categories. Parental education was measured by asking youth to report the "highest education" of one of their parents or guardians, with six response options: 238 "elementary school", "some secondary/high school", "completed high school", "some post-239 secondary (university/college)", "received university or college degree /diploma", and "does 240 not apply". From these responses, four categories of parental education were derived: 1) 241 "elementary school" corresponds to "elementary school or below", 2) "some secondary/high 242 243 school" and "completed high school" corresponds to "at least secondary school" 3) "some post-244 secondary (university /college)", "received university or college degree/diploma" corresponds to "university and above" and "does not apply". 245

Youth citizen scientists were also asked about their ethnicity, with the following response
options: "First Nations", "Dene", "Cree", "Metis", "Inuit", "African", "Asian", "Canadian",
"Caribbean/West Indian", "Eastern European", "European", "South Asian", "other", and

"Mixed". From these responses, four categories were extracted: 1) "Indigenous" which
corresponds to "First Nations", "Dene", "Cree", "Metis", "Inuit", 2) "Canadian", 3) "mixed"
and 4) "visible minorities". The visible minorities include "African", "Asian", "Caribbean/West
Indian", "Eastern European", "European", "South Asian", and "other" categories. The visible
minorities category was created due to low count within these ethnic categories.

# 254 Strength training (independent variables)

Strength training was captured using the following questions "On how many days in the last 7
days did you do exercises to strengthen or tone your muscles? (e.g., push-ups, sit-ups, or weighttraining)" with the eight response options including "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5", "6", or "7
days". We dichotomized these responses into "0 days of strength training" corresponding to 0
days and "at least 1 day of strength training" corresponding to "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5", "6", "6", or "7

### 261 Data and risk management

To ensure confidentiality, all data were encrypted before being streamed to a secure cloud 262 server. Identifiable artifacts (e.g., photos, voice recordings) were removed or de-identified 263 before the data were analyzed. A permission built into the app restricted access to personally 264 identifiable information (e.g., contact list or network visited). Media Access Control address 265 anonymization was used to protect youth citizen scientists' data based on a simple hash 266 algorithm. Risks and privacy management options were made clear to youth citizen scientists 267 while obtaining informed consent. In addition, citizen scientists not only had the option to drop 268 out of the study or pause data gathering anytime they wished, but also had the option to upload 269 data only when they had Wi-Fi access and /or when their smartphones were plugged into a 270 power source. Together with the above features, youth citizen scientists also had the option to 271 272 drop out of the study at any point of time [27] (Figure 3).

12

# SKIP **Right to Withdraw:** Your participation is voluntary and the consent process continues throughout the study honoring the commitments made by the researchers and the study participants. Please answer only those questions that you are comfortable with, decline to answer any particular question(s) you are not comfortable with, and feel free to ask any questions regarding the procedures and goals of the study or participant's role. At any time during the study, you are free to withdraw consent without explanation or penalty of any sort. If you wish to withdraw from the study, you can navigate to the "About" section of the application and click "Leave Study". This will ensure that your participation ceases immediately. As you would be providing data in 8-day cycles in each season, you can withdraw from the study on any day during any 8-day cycle. For example, if you decide to withdraw from the study on the 2nd day of a particular cycle, you can do so without informing the researchers. The data you have provided until the time you withdraw would be stored

### 273

**Figure 3:** Study withdrawal information feature

275

### 276 Data Analysis

Descriptive statistics, such as frequencies and percentages were used in describing the
independent variables of this study. T-test inferential statistics was used to ascertain difference
between mean minutes of PA reported via retrospective PA survey and mean minutes of PA
reported via EMAs. Multiple linear regression models were used to assess factors associated

with mean minutes of PA reported retrospectively and prospectively (EMAs), while adjusting for control variables. Data analyses were conducted using R 4.2.1 statistical tool. A significance level was set at p < 0.05.

# 284 **Results**

A total of 808 youth citizen scientists (13 - 21 years) were recruited for this study. After excluding participants who did not report on primary dependent and independent variables, the final sample size of this study was 436.

288 Table 1 presents the summary statistics of youth citizen scientists. Youth were predominantly females (55.8%), with 38.5% being males and 5.7% reporting one of following categories: 289 transgender, other, or preferred not to disclose. Majority of youth identified themselves as 290 Canadian (39.8%), followed by mixed (29.7%), visible minority (25.5%), and Indigenous 291 (5%). In terms of socioeconomic status, most youth (65.1%) reported that one of their parents 292 293 had a university degree. In terms of strength training, 76.5% of youth reported having at least 294 one day of strength training, while 23.5% reported having zero days of strength training. In terms of social context/support for PA, 88.7% reported having at least one or more physically 295 active friends, while 45.3% reported that parents/guardians are supportive of their PA, and 296

42.9% reported that parents/guardians are very supportive of their PA.

298

299

**Table 1**: Summary statistics for youth citizen scientists participating in this study (n=436).

	Percentage
Gender	
Male (n=161)	38.5
Female (n=233)	55.8
Transgender / Other / Prefer not to disclose (n=24)	5.7

Total (n=418) <sup>a</sup>	100
Fthnicity	
Indigenous (n=21)	5.0
Canadian (n=166)	39.8
Mixed (n= 124)	29 7
Visible minority (n=106)	25.5
Total (n=417) <sup>a</sup>	100
School	
1 (n=110)	25.3
2 (n=74)	17.1
3 (n=50)	11.5
4 (n=78)	18.0
5 (n=122)	28.1
Total (n=434) <sup>a</sup>	100
Grade	
Grade 9 (n=125)	29.7
Grade 10 (n=86)	20.4
Grade 11 (n=61)	14.5
Grade 12 (n=149)	35.4
Total $(n=421)^{a}$	100
Parental education	
Elementary or below $(n=12)$	2.8
At least secondary school (n=91)	21.0
University and above $(n = 282)$	65.1
Does not apply (n=48)	11.1
Total (n=433) <sup>a</sup>	100
Strength training	
zero days of strength training (n=97)	23.5
At least one day of strength training (n=315)	76.5
$1 \text{ otal } (n=412)^{a}$	100
Family support for PA	
Unsupportive (n=49)	11.8
Supportive (n=188)	45.3
Very supportive (n=178)	42.9
Total (n=415) <sup>a</sup>	100
Peer Support for PA	
zero active friends (n= 47)	11.3
At least one active friend (n=368)	88.7

Total (n=415) <sup>a</sup>	100
<sup>a</sup> Some youth did not provide response to this	question

301

- 302 The summary statistics of the dependent variables: retrospective PA and prospective PA EMAs
- 303 were presented in Table 2. The mean time spent on PA per day (in minutes) reported via the
- retrospective PA survey and prospective EMAs were 93 and 196, respectively. The t-test result
- of 3.237 (p = 0.001) suggests a statistically significant difference between mean duration of PA
- 306 reported by youth retrospectively and prospectively (EMAs).

307

Table 2: Mean time spent on PA per day (in minutes) as reported in the retrospective surveyand the prospective EMAs and t-test analysis.

	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	t-test (p-
	(Minutes per	(minutes)	(minutes)	value)
	day)			
Retrospective PA	93	13.0	557.0	3.237
(Measured via				(0.001)
retrospective survey)				
Prospective PA	196	10.0	910.0	
(Measured via EMA)				

310

- The adjusted, linear regression models showing the relationship between (EMA PA [model 1]
- and Retrospective PA [model 2]), and contextual and demographic factors are presented in
- 313 Table 3.

314

Table 3: Factors associated with PA duration measured via prospective (EMA; results of Model
1) and retrospective PA survey measures (Retrospective PA; results of Model 2).

Model 1: EMA PA duration		Model 2: Retrospective PA duration	
Beta coefficients <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)	P-value	Beta coefficients <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)	P-value

Ethnicity -Canadian (Ref.)				
Indigenous	-3.336 (-6.816, 0.143)	0.066	0.163 (-2.726, 3.052)	0.912
Mixed	0.287 (-0.350, 0.925)	0.381	0.205 (-0.324, 0.734)	0.451
Visible minority	-0.911*** (- 1.677, -0.146)	0.024	0.106 (-0.530, 0.742)	0.745
Parental education <sup>b</sup> – At least secondary school (Ref.)				
University and above	0.978*** (0.308, 1.649)	0.006	0.262 (-0.294, 0.819)	0.360
Does not apply	0.768 (-0.341, 1.878)		-0.016 (-0.937, -0.905)	0.973
Strength training				
zero days of strength training (Ref.)				
At least one day of strength training	0.932*** (0.108, 1.755)	0.031	0.357 (-0.326, 1.041)	0.310
Family support for PA				
Unsupportive (Ref.)				
Supportive	-0.593 (-1.479, - 0.293)	0.195	-0.702 (-1.438, 0.033)	0.067
Very supportive	-0.412 (-1.253, 0.429)	0.342	-0.026 (-0.724, 0.673)	0.942
Peer support for PA				
zero physically active friends (Ref.)				
At least one active friend	0.169 (-1.693, 1.032)	0.702	0.741*** (0.026, 1.458)	0.048

Constant	4.215 (-1.496, 9.927)	0.154	1.339 (-3.402, 6.081)	0.582
<ul> <li>*** p &lt; 0.05. All regression m</li> <li><sup>a</sup> Log dependent variable require</li> <li>parameter estimate.</li> <li><sup>b</sup> Elementary school category dependent variables</li> </ul>	odels controlled for: res the transformation ropped by software of	Gender, Sc n 100* (2.7 due to incor	whool, and Age (182 <sup>β</sup> – 1) [43] to ir mplete information	nterpret in

317

In the EMA model (i.e., prospective PA: model 1), youth whose ethnicity was categorized as 318 visible minorities reported less PA ( $\beta$  = -0.911, 95% confidence interval [C.I.] = -1.677, -0.146, 319 p-value = 0.024) in comparison with youth whose ethnicity was Canadian. This association 320 was not found to be statistically significant in the retrospective PA model (i.e., retrospective 321 322 PA: model 2). Similarly, youth who reported at least one parent having a university degree accumulated more EMA PA ( $\beta = 0.978$ , 95% [C.I.] = 0.308, 1.649, p-value = 0.006) in 323 comparison with youth who reported that their parents had at least secondary school education. 324 325 This association was not found to be statistically significant in the retrospective PA model. Following the same pattern, youth who engaged in at least one day of strength training reported 326 more PA via EMAs ( $\beta = 0.932, 95\%$  [C.I.] = 0.108, 1.755, p-value = 0.031) in comparison with 327 youth who reported zero days of strength training. This association was not found to be 328 statistically significant in the retrospective PA model. 329

In the retrospective PA model, youth who reported having at least one friend who is physically active were significantly associated with more PA ( $\beta = 0.741$ , 95% [C.I.] = 0.026, 1.458, pvalue = 0.048) in comparison to youth who reported having zero physically active friends. This association was not found to be statistically significant in the EMA model.

# 334 Discussion

This study was conducted by engaging youth citizen scientists using their own smartphones by utilizing a methodology that integrates ethical population health surveillance, integrated knowledge translation, and real-time behavioural interventions [32]. The primary purpose of

this study was to ascertain if there was a significant difference between the duration of PA reported retrospectively using a modified version of three validated PA questionnaires and duration of PA reported prospectively using EMAs within the same cohort of youth aged 13-21 years. In addition, the study also assessed sociodemographic and contextual factors that are associated with duration of PA reported retrospectively vs. prospectively.

The primary finding was that there was a significant difference in PA reported retrospectively 343 344 using the modified retrospective PA survey in comparison with PA reported prospectively using EMAs, with youth reporting more PA using prospective EMAs. In a similar study, although 345 carried out on a cohort of adult participants [26], more PA was reported using a validated 346 347 retrospective survey in comparison to EMAs. This discrepancy between adult and youth reporting of duration of PA needs further exploration. Evidence indicates that there are 348 differences in how adults and youth accumulate PA [44], with youth engaging more in frequent 349 habitual activities [45], resulting in intermittent PA [45], that could be better suited to capture 350 via prospective EMAs. There is also some evidence that overestimation of PA using self-351 352 reported retrospective measures is more likely to occur in older adults [36]. More importantly, 353 as this study was implemented via ubiquitous digital tools (i.e., smartphones), it is important to consider the level of digital literacy of youth, which is known to be higher than adults [46,47], 354 could have played a role in youth having proficiency in reporting PA using digital EMAs. 355

According to the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, "digital literacy is the ability to access, manage, understand, integrate, communicate, evaluate, and create information safely and appropriately through digital devices and networked technologies for participation in economic and social life. It includes competences that are variously referred to as computer literacy, ICT literacy, information literacy, and media literacy" [48]. In employing EMAs in prospective capture of duration of PA, it is important to consider the digital literacy of the participants to ensure that the methods of EMA deployment align with the level of digital literacy. In our study, we used citizen science approaches to engage with youth before EMA deployment to ensure that they can report PA with ease [26]. This engagement included relationship building with the schools and organizing presentation sessions, where youth had the opportunity to ask questions and even suggest potential changes to EMA deployment. For instance, although before deployment EMAs were set up to expire within an hour, after feedback from youth citizen scientists during the engagement sessions, we increased the time of expiry to maximize daily PA reporting opportunities [27]

As for the sociodemographic and contextual factors associated with duration of PA, this study 370 indicates that there are several differences in associations between duration of PA reported 371 372 retrospectively vs. prospectively. The retrospective PA model (Table 3: Model 2) depicted one significant association, where youth who reported having at least one physically active friend 373 also reported more minutes of PA. This finding is consistent with previous quantitative and 374 qualitative PA studies [49–51], where peer support was found to increase PA of youth. The 375 EMA model (Table 3: Model 1) depicted three significant associations. Youth who reported at 376 377 least one parent having a university degree in comparison with youth whose parents have high 378 school or lower education, and youth who engaged in at least one day of strength training in comparison to youth who reported zero days of strength training reported more minutes of PA. 379 380 Although not many studies have been carried out to ascertain factors that are associated with PA duration reported using EMAs by youth, these findings are consistent with existing evidence 381 [52-55]. Finally, the EMA model also showed that visible minority youth reported lower 382 duration of PA in comparison with youth who identified themselves as Canadian, a finding that 383 is consistent with previous studies that have examined differences of PA among ethnicities 384 385 [56,57].

More importantly, although our findings are consistent with existing evidence, the key finding here is the difference in sociodemographic and contextual factors that were associated with

duration of PA reported retrospectively vs. prospectively. If we are to develop appropriate interventions to address global physical inactivity [58–60], it is critical to accurately understand the factors that determine PA accumulation. A clear difference between factors that are associated with PA reported retrospectively vs. prospectively by the same cohort of individuals shows that further investigation is needed to understand physical activity measurement, particularly in the digital age, where ubiquitous tools are available to obtain data in real-time [26,27,61].

There is considerable evidence that prospective EMAs are effective measures in estimating 395 determinants and correlates of PA in real-time and real-world settings and their validity and 396 reliability in measuring PA has been established [62,63]. EMAs reduce participant burden by 397 using digital reminders/nudges that can be triggered on participants' smartphones based on time, 398 and location. EMAs can also be self-triggered by participants, which provides them the capacity 399 to provide information that is tailored to their needs and circumstances [64,65]. EMAs are also 400 known to reduce recall bias since participants do not need to recall their behaviours [17], a 401 402 significant factor in improving PA measurement in real-world settings.

It is important to note that EMAs can transform how data are collected in the digital age, because they can be completed at participants' convenience, and in collaboration between the researchers and the participants i.e., digital citizen science [26,66]. It is also important to consider the age cohort involved in data collection i.e., EMAs are more appealing to young participants with greater digital literacy [46,63].

Evidence also indicates that EMAs provide ecological validity of whether associations are significant in relation to typical settings of everyday life [67,68]. PA measurement using EMAs provide context, improves data validity through reduction of recall bias and data entry errors as participants are not required to retrospectively recall their behaviours [69,70]. EMAs reduce participant burden by using digital reminders/ nudges that can be triggered on participants'

phones based on predefined time and location [69,71]. In addition, EMAs can also be self-413 414 triggered by participants, which allows them some level of personalization to their needs [69,72]. One clear indication is that in the digital age, where smartphone usage is almost 415 universal [73,74], it is critical to further explore usage of digital EMAs to capture PA across 416 populations. This exploration is especially important due to PA's role in minimizing non-417 communicable diseases [1,75]. As global PA patterns are consistently reported to educate the 418 public, and to inform policies to prevent non-communicable diseases [76-81], and as it is 419 important to accurately capture PA patterns, digital citizen science could play an important role 420 in ethical surveillance of PA [27]. 421

# 422 Strengths and Limitations

Strengths of this study include further enhancing our understanding regarding digital tools and 423 methodologies in reporting health behaviours. It will contribute to new evidence given the 424 digitization of the surveys themselves, but also because they capture prospective data at 425 426 participants' convenience. Such data are collected by researchers in real-time, who can adjust surveys if needed or send prompts in real-time. In addition, the combination of three validated 427 surveys to come up with a modified retrospective survey suited for smartphone-based 428 429 deployment adds to the strength of this study in this digital age where smartphones have become ubiquitous. Limitations of this study include subjective surveys within cross-sectional design, 430 and future research should combine EMA measures with objective data collection using 431 longitudinal designs. 432

### 433 Conclusion

Physical inactivity is the fourth leading cause of mortality globally, and it is critical to
understand patterns of PA using rigorous and validated tools. The findings of this study show
the importance of using prospective EMAs to capture PA, which is particularly relevant in the

437	digital age, where ubiquitous devices provide us with real-time engagement capabilities. More
438	importantly, digital citizen science can transform how we measure behaviours using citizen-
439	owned ubiquitous digital tools to support prevention and treatment of non-communicable
440	diseases.
441	Acknowledgments
442	The authors acknowledge the entire Digital Epidemiology and Population Health Laboratory
443	team (DEPtH) for their unwavering support. Authors also acknowledge the Canadian Institute
444	of Health Research for their support to the DEPtH Lab and the Smart Platform.
445	
446	
447	
448	
449	
450	
451	
452	
453	
454	
455	
456	
1	23

# 457 **References**

- Saqib ZA, Dai J, Menhas R, et al. Physical Activity is a Medicine for Non-Communicable
   Diseases: A Survey Study Regarding the Perception of Physical Activity Impact on Health
   Wellbeing. *Risk Manag Healthc Policy*. 2020;13:2949-2962. doi:10.2147/RMHP.S280339
- 461 2. Budreviciute A, Damiati S, Sabir DK, et al. Management and Prevention Strategies for
  462 Non-communicable Diseases (NCDs) and Their Risk Factors. *Front Public Health*.
  463 2020;8:574111. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2020.574111
- Kuruvilla A, Mishra S, Ghosh K. Prevalence and risk factors associated with noncommunicable diseases among employees in a university setting: A cross-sectional study. *Clinical Epidemiology and Global Health.* 2023;21:101282.
  doi:10.1016/j.cegh.2023.101282
- 4. Booth FW, Roberts CK, Laye MJ. Lack of exercise is a major cause of chronic diseases.
   *Compr Physiol.* 2012;2(2):1143-1211. doi:10.1002/cphy.c110025
- 470 5. Matthews CE, Berrigan D, Fischer B, et al. Use of previous-day recalls of physical activity
  471 and sedentary behavior in epidemiologic studies: results from four instruments. *BMC*472 *Public Health*. 2019;19(2):478. doi:10.1186/s12889-019-6763-8
- 473 6. Sabia S, van Hees VT, Shipley MJ, et al. Association between questionnaire- and
  474 accelerometer-assessed physical activity: the role of sociodemographic factors. *Am J*475 *Epidemiol.* 2014;179(6):781-790. doi:10.1093/aje/kwt330
- 476 7. Sallis JF. Measuring physical activity: practical approaches for program evaluation in
  477 Native American communities. *J Public Health Manag Pract.* 2010;16(5):404-410.
  478 doi:10.1097/PHH.0b013e3181d52804
- 8. Shephard RJ. Limits to the measurement of habitual physical activity by questionnaires. *Br J Sports Med.* 2003;37(3):197-206; discussion 206. doi:10.1136/bjsm.37.3.197
- Marasso D, Lupo C, Collura S, Rainoldi A, Brustio PR. Subjective versus Objective Measure of Physical Activity: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of the Convergent Validity of the Physical Activity Questionnaire for Children (PAQ-C). *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021;18(7):3413. doi:10.3390/ijerph18073413
- Hallal PC, Victora CG, Azevedo MR, Wells JCK. Adolescent Physical Activity and Health.
   *Sports Med.* 2006;36(12):1019-1030. doi:10.2165/00007256-200636120-00003
- 11. Medina C, Monge A, Denova-Gutiérrez E, et al. Validity and reliability of the International
  Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) long-form in a subsample of female Mexican
  teachers. *Salud Publica Mex.* Published online February 25, 2022:57-65.
  doi:10.21149/12889
- 491 12. Bergier J, Kapka-Skrzypczak L, Biliński P, Paprzycki P, Wojtyła A. Physical activity of
   492 Polish adolescents and young adults according to IPAQ: a population based study. *Ann* 493 *Agric Environ Med.* 2012;19(1):109-115. PMID:22462455

- 494 13. Biernat E, Stupnicki R, Lebiedziński B, Janczewska L. Assessment of physical activity by
  495 applying IPAQ questionnaire. *Physical Education and Sport*. 2008;52:46-52.
  496 doi:10.2478/v10030-008-0019-1
- 497 14. Warren JM, Ekelund U, Besson H, et al. Assessment of physical activity - a review of methodologies with reference to epidemiological research: a report of the exercise 498 physiology section of the European Association of Cardiovascular Prevention and 499 500 Rehabilitation. Eur Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil. 2010;17(2):127-139. Jdoi:10.1097/HJR.0b013e32832ed875 501
- 15. Roberts-Lewis SF, White CM, Ashworth M, Rose MR. The validity of the International
   Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) for adults with progressive muscle diseases.
   *Disability and Rehabilitation*. 2021;0(0):1-9. doi:10.1080/09638288.2021.1983042
- 16. Dowd KP, Szeklicki R, Minetto MA, et al. A systematic literature review of reviews on techniques for physical activity measurement in adults: a DEDIPAC study. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*. 2018;15(1):15. doi:10.1186/s12966-017-0636-2
- I7. Zapata-Lamana R, Lalanza JF, Losilla JM, Parrado E, Capdevila L. mHealth technology
   for ecological momentary assessment in physical activity research: a systematic review.
   *PeerJ*. 2020;8:e8848. doi:10.7717/peerj.8848
- 512 18. Schaller A, Rudolf K, Dejonghe L, Grieben C, Froboese I. Influencing Factors on the
  513 Overestimation of Self-Reported Physical Activity: A Cross-Sectional Analysis of Low
  514 Back Pain Patients and Healthy Controls. *Biomed Res Int.* 2016;2016:1497213.
  515 doi:10.1155/2016/1497213
- 516 19. Skender S, Ose J, Chang-Claude J, et al. Accelerometry and physical activity questionnaires
  517 a systematic review. *BMC Public Health*. 2016;16:515. doi:10.1186/s12889-016-3172-0
- 518 20. Dishman RK. The measurement conundrum in exercise adherence research. *Med Sci Sports* 519 *Exerc.* 1994;26(11):1382-1390. PMID:7837959
- 520 21. Sylvia LG, Bernstein EE, Hubbard JL, Keating L, Anderson EJ. A Practical Guide to
  521 Measuring Physical Activity. J Acad Nutr Diet. 2014;114(2):199-208.
  522 doi:10.1016/j.jand.2013.09.018
- Tan H, Wilson AM, Lowe J. Measurement of stride parameters using a wearable GPS and inertial measurement unit. J Biomech. 2008;41(7):1398-1406.
   doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2008.02.021
- Prince S, Adamo K, Hamel M, Hardt J, Gorber S, Tremblay M. A Comparison of direct versus self-report measures for assessing physical activity in adults: a systematic review. *The international journal of behavioral nutrition and physical activity*. 2008;5:56. doi:10.1186/1479-5868-5-56
- 530 24. Graham DJ, Hipp JA. Emerging Technologies to Promote and Evaluate Physical Activity:
  531 Cutting-Edge Research and Future Directions. *Front Public Health*. 2014;2.
  532 doi:10.3389/fpubh.2014.00066

- 533 25. Mbunge E, Muchemwa B, Jiyane S, Batani J. Sensors and healthcare 5.0: transformative
  534 shift in virtual care through emerging digital health technologies. *Global Health Journal*.
  535 2021;5(4):169-177. doi:10.1016/j.glohj.2021.11.008
- 536 26. Katapally TR, Chu LM. Digital epidemiological and citizen science methodology to capture
   prospective physical activity in free-living conditions: a SMART Platform study. *BMJ* 538 *Open.* 2020;10(6):e036787. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2020-036787
- 539 27. Katapally TR, Hammami N, Chu LM. A randomized community trial to advance digital
   540 epidemiological and mHealth citizen scientist compliance: A smart platform study. *PLOS* 541 *ONE*. 2021;16(11):e0259486. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0259486
- 542 28. Burke LE, Shiffman S, Music E, et al. Ecological Momentary Assessment in Behavioral
  543 Research: Addressing Technological and Human Participant Challenges. *Journal of*544 *Medical Internet Research*. 2017;19(3):e7138. doi:10.2196/jmir.7138
- Adams SA, Matthews CE, Ebbeling CB, et al. The effect of social desirability and social approval on self-reports of physical activity. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2005;161(4):389-398.
  doi:10.1093/aje/kwi054
- 30. Althubaiti A. Information bias in health research: definition, pitfalls, and adjustment
   methods. *J Multidiscip Healthc*. 2016;9:211-217. doi:10.2147/JMDH.S104807
- S1. Colombo D, Suso-Ribera C, Fernández-Álvarez J, et al. Affect Recall Bias: Being Resilient
  by Distorting Reality. *Cogn Ther Res.* 2020;44(5):906-918. doi:10.1007/s10608-02010122-3
- 32. Katapally TR, Bhawra J, Leatherdale ST, et al. The SMART Study, a Mobile Health and
  Citizen Science Methodological Platform for Active Living Surveillance, Integrated
  Knowledge Translation, and Policy Interventions: Longitudinal Study. *JMIR Public Health Surveill.* 2018;4(1):e31. doi:10.2196/publichealth.8953
- 33. Katapally TR. Smart Indigenous Youth: The Smart Platform Policy Solution for Systems
   Integration to Address Indigenous Youth Mental Health. *JMIR Pediatrics and Parenting*.
   2020;3(2):e21155. doi:10.2196/21155
- 34. Brodersen K, Hammani N, Katapally TR. Smartphone Use and Mental Health among
  Youth: It Is Time to Develop Smartphone-Specific Screen Time Guidelines. *Youth*.
  2022;2(1):23-38. doi:10.3390/youth2010003
- 563 35. Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjöström M, et al. International physical activity questionnaire:
  564 12-country reliability and validity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2003;35(8):1381-1395.
  565 doi:10.1249/01.MSS.0000078924.61453.FB
- 36. Sattler MC, Jaunig J, Tösch C, et al. Current Evidence of Measurement Properties of
   Physical Activity Questionnaires for Older Adults: An Updated Systematic Review. *Sports Med.* 2020;50(7):1271-1315. doi:10.1007/s40279-020-01268-x
- 37. Wolin KY, Heil DP, Askew S, Matthews CE, Bennett GG. Validation of the International
  Physical Activity Questionnaire-Short Among Blacks. *J Phys Act Health*. 2008;5(5):746760. doi:10.1123/jpah.5.5.746

- 38. Giles-Corti B, Bull F, Christian H, Koohsari MJ, Sugiyama T, Hooper P. Promoting physical activity—reducing obesity and non-communicable diseases. In: van den Bosch M, Bird W, van den Bosch M, Bird W, eds. *Oxford Textbook of Nature and Public Health: The Role of Nature in Improving the Health of a Population*. Oxford University Press; 2018:0. doi:10.1093/med/9780198725916.003.0042
- 39. Van Cauwenberg J, Nathan A, Barnett A, Barnett DW, Cerin E, Council on Environment
  and Physical Activity (CEPA)-Older Adults Working Group. Relationships Between
  Neighbourhood Physical Environmental Attributes and Older Adults' Leisure-Time
  Physical Activity: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Sports Med.* 2018;48(7):16351660. doi:10.1007/s40279-018-0917-1
- 40. Wanner M, Probst-Hensch N, Kriemler S, Meier F, Autenrieth C, Martin BW. Validation
  of the long international physical activity questionnaire: Influence of age and language
  region. *Prev Med Rep.* 2016;3:250-256. doi:10.1016/j.pmedr.2016.03.003
- 41. Hirvensalo M, Magnussen CG, Yang X, et al. Convergent Validity of a Physical Activity
  Questionnaire against Objectively Measured Physical Activity in Adults: The
  Cardiovascular Risk in Young Finns Study. APE. 2017;07(04):457-472.
  doi:10.4236/ape.2017.74038
- 42. Jefferis BJ, Sartini C, Lee IM, et al. Adherence to physical activity guidelines in older
   adults, using objectively measured physical activity in a population-based study. *BMC Public Health*. 2014;14(1):382. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-14-382
- 43. Halvorsen R, Palmquist R. The Interpretation of Dummy Variables in Semilogarithmic
   Equations. American Economic Review. 1980;70(3):474-475. doi:10.1016/0165 1765(82)90119-7
- 44. Rantalainen T, Ridgers ND, Gao Y, Belavý DL, Haapala EA, Finni T. Physical activity
   accumulation along the intensity spectrum differs between children and adults. *Eur J Appl Physiol*. 2021;121(9):2563-2571. doi:10.1007/s00421-021-04731-3
- 45. Beemer LR, Twardzik E, Colabianchi N, Hasson RE. Patterning of physical activity and sedentary behavior at and away from school in preadolescent children. *Am J Health Educ*. 2021;52(1):48-55. doi:10.1080/19325037.2020.1853631
- 46. Martínez-Alcalá CI, Rosales-Lagarde A, Alonso-Lavernia M de los Á, et al. Digital
  Inclusion in Older Adults: A Comparison Between Face-to-Face and Blended Digital
  Literacy Workshops. *Frontiers in ICT*. 2018;5. Accessed April 25, 2023.
  https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fict.2018.00021
- 47. Hodge H, Carson D, Carson D, Newman L, Garrett J. Using Internet technologies in rural
   communities to access services: The views of older people and service providers. *Journal of Rural Studies*. 2017;54:469-478. doi:10.1016/j.jrurstud.2016.06.016
- 48. UNICEF. A Global Framework to Measure Digital Literacy. Published March 19, 2018.
  Accessed April 25, 2023. https://uis.unesco.org/en/blog/global-framework-measuredigital-literacy
- 49. Haidar A, Ranjit N, Archer N, Hoelscher DM. Parental and peer social support is associated
   with healthier physical activity behaviors in adolescents: a cross-sectional analysis of Texas

- School Physical Activity and Nutrition (TX SPAN) data. *BMC Public Health*.
  2019;19(1):640. doi:10.1186/s12889-019-7001-0
- 50. Zou Y, Liu S, Guo S, Zhao Q, Cai Y. Peer Support and Exercise Adherence in Adolescents:
  The Chain-Mediated Effects of Self-Efficacy and Self-Regulation. *Children (Basel)*.
  2023;10(2):401. doi:10.3390/children10020401
- 51. McKenzie G, Shields N, Willis C. 'Finding what works for me' a qualitative study of
  factors influencing community gym participation for young adults with cerebral palsy. *Disability and Rehabilitation*. 2022;0(0):1-8. doi:10.1080/09638288.2022.2083243
- 52. Rostron ZP, Green RA, Kingsley M, Zacharias A. Associations Between Measures of
   Physical Activity and Muscle Size and Strength: A Systematic Review. *Arch Rehabil Res Clin Transl.* 2021;3(2):100124. doi:10.1016/j.arrct.2021.100124
- 53. Ito T, Sugiura H, Ito Y, Noritake K, Ochi N. Relationship between the skeletal muscle mass
   index and physical activity of Japanese children: A cross-sectional, observational study.
   *PLOS ONE*. 2021;16(5):e0251025. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0251025
- 54. Ruedl G, Niedermeier M, Wimmer L, et al. Impact of Parental Education and Physical Activity on the Long-Term Development of the Physical Fitness of Primary School Children: An Observational Study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021;18(16):8736. doi:10.3390/ijerph18168736
- 55. Muñoz-Galiano IM, Connor JD, Gómez-Ruano MA, Torres-Luque G. Influence of the
  parental educational level on physical activity in schoolchildren. Published online 2020.
  Accessed April 18, 2023. https://core.ac.uk/reader/351177380
- 56. Armstrong S, Wong CA, Perrin E, Page S, Sibley L, Skinner A. Association of Physical
  Activity With Income, Race/Ethnicity, and Sex Among Adolescents and Young Adults in
  the United States. *JAMA Pediatr.* 2018;172(8):732-740.
  doi:10.1001/jamapediatrics.2018.1273
- 57. Berge JM, Trofholz A, Jacobs N, Tate A. A Mixed-Methods Description of the Home
  Physical Activity Environments of Racially/Ethnically Diverse and Immigrant/Refugee
  Children. *Global Pediatric Health*. 2022;9:2333794X221133020.
  doi:10.1177/2333794X221133020
- 58. Katzmarzyk PT. Expanding our understanding of the global impact of physical inactivity.
   *The Lancet Global Health*. 2023;11(1):e2-e3. doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(22)00482-X
- 59. Milton K, Gomersall SR, Schipperijn J. Let's get moving: The Global Status Report on
  Physical Activity 2022 calls for urgent action. J Sport Health Sci. 2023;12(1):5-6.
  doi:10.1016/j.jshs.2022.12.006
- 647 60. WHO. WHO highlights high cost of physical inactivity in first-ever global report 648 PAHO/WHO | Pan American Health Organization. Published 2022. Accessed April 25,
  649 2023. https://www.paho.org/en/news/19-10-2022-who-highlights-high-cost-physical650 inactivity-first-ever-global-report
- 61. Katapally TR, Bhawra J, Leatherdale ST, et al. The SMART Study, a Mobile Health and
   Citizen Science Methodological Platform for Active Living Surveillance, Integrated

- Knowledge Translation, and Policy Interventions: Longitudinal Study. *JMIR Public Health and Surveillance*. 2018;4(1):e8953. doi:10.2196/publichealth.8953
- 655 62. Dunton GF. Ecological Momentary Assessment in Physical Activity Research. *Exerc Sport* 656 *Sci Rev.* 2017;45(1):48-54. doi:10.1249/JES.00000000000092
- 657 63. Marszalek J, Morgulec-Adamowicz N, Rutkowska I, Kosmol A. Using Ecological
   658 Momentary Assessment to Evaluate Current Physical Activity. *BioMed research* 659 *international*. 2014;2014:915172. doi:10.1155/2014/915172
- 660 64. Doherty K, Balaskas A, Doherty G. The Design of Ecological Momentary Assessment
   661 Technologies. *Interacting with Computers*. 2020;32(3):257-278.
   662 doi:10.1093/iwcomp/iwaa019
- 663 65. Folkersma W, Veerman V, Ornée DA, Oldehinkel AJ, Alma MA, Bastiaansen JA. Patients'
  664 experience of an ecological momentary intervention involving self-monitoring and
  665 personalized feedback for depression. *Internet Interv.* 2021;26:100436.
  666 doi:10.1016/j.invent.2021.100436
- 667 66. Palacin V, Ferrario MA, Hsieh G, Knutas A, Wolff A, Porras J. Human values and digital
  668 citizen science interactions. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*.
  669 2021;149:102605. doi:10.1016/j.ijhcs.2021.102605
- 670 67. Ram N, Brinberg M, Pincus AL, Conroy DE. The questionable ecological validity of
  671 ecological momentary assessment: Considerations for design and analysis. *Research in*672 *Human Development*. 2017;14:253-270. doi:10.1080/15427609.2017.1340052
- 673 68. Stone AA, Shiffman SS. Ecological Validity for Patient Reported Outcomes. In: Steptoe A,
  674 ed. *Handbook of Behavioral Medicine: Methods and Applications*. Springer; 2010:99-112.
  675 doi:10.1007/978-0-387-09488-5 8
- 676 69. Mitchell RJ, Goggins R, Lystad RP. Synthesis of evidence on the use of ecological
  677 momentary assessments to monitor health outcomes after traumatic injury: rapid systematic
  678 review. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2022;22(1):119. doi:10.1186/s12874-022-01586-w
- 70. Shiffman S, Stone AA, Hufford MR. Ecological momentary assessment. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol.* 2008;4:1-32. doi:10.1146/annurev.clinpsy.3.022806.091415
- 71. Todd KR, Shaw RB, Kramer JLK, Martin Ginis KA. Using ecological momentary assessment to evaluate neuropathic pain experienced by adults with SCI: recommendations and participant perceptions. *Disabil Rehabil*. 2021;43(17):2439-2446. doi:10.1080/09638288.2019.1702724
- 72. Price M, van Stolk-Cooke K, Ward HL, et al. Tracking post-trauma psychopathology using
  mobile applications: A usability study. *J Technol Behav Sci.* 2017;2(1):41-48.
  doi:10.1007/s41347-016-0008-9
- 68873. Rosenberg S. Smartphone Ownership Is Growing Rapidly Around the World, but Not689Always Equally. Pew Research Center's Global Attitudes Project. Published February 5,6902019.AccessedApril25,2023.601https://www.powreacereb.org/clobal/2010/02/05/cmertphone ownership is growing
- 691 https://www.pewresearch.org/global/2019/02/05/smartphone-ownership-is-growing-
- 692 rapidly-around-the-world-but-not-always-equally/

- 693 74. Ofcom. Children and Parents: Media Use and Attitudes 2023. Published online 2023.
  694 Accessed May 1, 2023.
  695 https://www.ofcom.org.uk/\_\_data/assets/pdf\_file/0030/255954/annex-1-childrens-media696 use-and-attitudes-report-2023.pdf
- 697 75. Santos AC, Willumsen J, Meheus F, Ilbawi A, Bull FC. The cost of inaction on physical
   698 inactivity to public health-care systems: a population-attributable fraction analysis. *The* 699 *Lancet Global Health*. 2023;11(1):e32-e39. doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(22)00464-8
- 700 76. Pratt M, Ramirez Varela A, Salvo D, Kohl Iii HW, Ding D. Attacking the pandemic of
  701 physical inactivity: what is holding us back? *Br J Sports Med.* 2020;54(13):760-762.
  702 doi:10.1136/bjsports-2019-101392
- 703 77. Kohl HW, Craig CL, Lambert EV, et al. The pandemic of physical inactivity: global action
   704 for public health. *Lancet*. 2012;380(9838):294-305. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60898-8
- 705 78. Chen S, Kuhn M, Prettner K, Bloom DE. The macroeconomic burden of noncommunicable
  706 diseases in the United States: Estimates and projections. *PLOS ONE*.
  707 2018;13(11):e0206702. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0206702
- 708 79. WHO E. Promoting physical activity to prevent and control noncommunicable diseases.
  709 World Health Organization Regional Office for the Eastern Mediterranean. Accessed
  710 April 25, 2023. http://www.emro.who.int/noncommunicable711 diseases/publications/questions-and-answers-on-promoting-physical-activity-to-prevent712 and-control-noncommunicable-diseases.html
- 80. Sharma S, Matheson A, Lambrick D, et al. Dietary practices, physical activity and social
  determinants of non-communicable diseases in Nepal: A systemic analysis. *PLOS ONE*.
  2023;18(2):e0281355. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0281355
- 81. Onagbiye S, Tshwaro R, Andrews B, Young M. Physical Activity and Non-communicable
  Disease Risk Factors: Knowledge and Perceptions of Youth in a Low Resourced
  Community in the Western Cape. *The Open Public Health Journal*. 2019;12:558-566.
  doi:10.2174/1874944501912010558

720

721