

Title: Large vessel occlusions of milder severity show better collaterals and reduced harm from thrombectomy transfer delays

Short Title: Milder severity large vessel occlusion

Authors: Hannah Rowling BSc^{1,2}, Dominic Italiano MBiostat³, Leonid Churilov PhD^{2,3}, Logesh Palanikumar MBBS⁴, Jackson Harvey MBBS⁴, Timothy Kleinig PhD⁴, Mark Parsons PhD^{2,3}, Peter Mitchell MMed^{2,3}, Stephen Davis PhD^{2,3}, Nyika Kruyt PhD¹, Bruce Campbell PhD^{*2,3}, Henry Zhao PhD^{*2,3}

*Joint senior author

¹Department of Neurology, Royal Melbourne Hospital, Australia

²Department of Neurology, Leiden University Medical Centre, the Netherlands

³Department of Medicine and Radiology, The University of Melbourne, Australia

⁴Department of Neurology, Royal Adelaide Hospital, Australia

Corresponding author:

Dr Henry Zhao

zhaohdr@live.com

Royal Melbourne Hospital, 300 Grattan St, Parkville VIC 3050, Australia

Word Count: 2000

Abstract

Background and Purpose:

Patients with large vessel occlusion (LVO) stroke of milder baseline clinical severity are often missed by pre-hospital severity-based triage tools. We examined whether these patients had differences in 1) markers of collateral circulation and 2) the relative harm of transfer delays on outcome, compared to patients with clinically more severe baseline deficits.

Methods:

Registry data from two large Australian thrombectomy centers was used to identify all directly presenting and transferred LVO patients undergoing endovascular thrombectomy, divided into those with milder baseline deficits (NIHSS <10) and severe baseline deficits (NIHSS ≥10). Baseline CT-perfusion markers of collateral circulation and the association between transfer status and functional outcome (using the 90-day modified Rankin Scale) were compared.

Results:

Of 1210 included LVO patients, 273 (22.6%) had milder severity. Milder LVO patients showed smaller median ischemic core volumes (12.6 [IQR 0.0-17.9] vs 27.5 [IQR 6.5-37.1] mL, $p < 0.001$), higher median perfusion mismatch ratio (148.3 [IQR 5.0-500.0] vs 66.2 [IQR 3.6-17.2], $p < 0.001$) and lower median hypoperfusion intensity ratio (0.25 [IQR 0.18-0.38] vs 0.40 [IQR 0.22-0.57], $p < 0.001$). Transferred patients had a lower odd of excellent outcome than primary presenters, when deficits were severe deficits (aOR 0.759 [95% CI 0.576-0.999]) but not when deficits were milder (aOR 1.357 [95% CI 0.764-2.409], $p_{\text{interaction}} = 0.122$).

Conclusions:

Patients with LVO stroke of milder clinical severity have significantly better imaging markers of collateral circulation compared to high-severity patients. Despite high rates of thrombolytics, secondary transfer to thrombectomy-center was only associated with poorer 90-day functional outcomes in higher-severity patients. Failure of pre-hospital screening tools to detect lower-severity LVO patients for immediate pre-hospital bypass to a thrombectomy center may not deleteriously affect their outcome.

Non-standard Abbreviations and Acronyms

EVT	Endovascular thrombectomy
LVO	Large vessel occlusion
mRS	Modified Rankin Scale
NIHSS	National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale

Introduction

For patients with large vessel occlusion (LVO), endovascular thrombectomy (EVT) with or without intravenous thrombolytics dramatically improves functional post-stroke outcome.¹

As EVT efficacy sharply diminishes with time,² delays from secondary inter-hospital transfers for EVT (as opposed to direct EVT-center presentation) are associated with harm.^{3,4}

Pre-hospital triage tools for selecting likely EVT candidates reduces need for secondary transfers, but there is considerable uncertainty in balancing tool sensitivity and specificity⁵ based on the accepted threshold of clinical severity that triggers a positive tool assessment.

Approximately 30% of patients with LVO have milder baseline severity⁶ and we hypothesized such patients have better collateral circulation that maintains blood flow above the symptomatic threshold and have slower infarct growth kinetics. Consequently, such patients may experience less harm from secondary transfer delays. This study therefore aimed to investigate the association of milder clinical severity with imaging markers of collateral adequacy and functional outcomes in patients needing secondary transfer.

Materials and methods

Patient inclusion

We performed a multicenter, retrospective cohort study using open-label stroke registry data from two major Australian metropolitan EVT centers (Royal Melbourne Hospital and Royal Adelaide Hospital) including consecutive patients who directly presented or underwent secondary transfer for EVT from 2016 to 2021, with complete 90-day follow-up data. We dichotomized baseline severity as milder (NIHSS <10) and severe (NIHSS \geq 10) based on the likely threshold of LVO detection of commonly used triage tools using an earlier dataset.⁶ Data collection was approved by institutional ethics committees with waiver of consent. The study complies with STROBE observational cohort guidelines (<https://www.strobe-statement.org/>). Authors H.R. and H.Z. had full access to all data and are responsible for integrity and data analysis.

Outcomes

To compare adequacy of collateral circulation between patients with milder and severe baseline severity, we used previously validated baseline CT-perfusion parameters⁷ obtained through RAPID processing software (iSchemaView, Inc.): 1) estimated ischemic core volume (cerebral blood volume <30%), 2) critically hypoperfused tissue (time-to-maximum >6 secs), 3) perfusion mismatch ratio (ratio of time-to-maximum >6 secs volume/cerebral blood volume <30% volume) and 4) hypointensity intensity ratio (ratio of time-to-maximum >10 secs volume/>6 secs volume). Functional outcomes were assessed at 90 days with the modified Rankin Scale (mRS) with a good outcome defined as mRS 0-2 or return to baseline mRS.

Statistical analysis

Mann-Whitney U-test was used for continuous variables and Fisher exact test for categorical variables. The association of 90-day mRS in patients with milder versus severe deficits was investigated using logistic regression modelling adjusted for age, occlusion site and pre-morbid mRS. Transfer status by degree of deficit interactions were assessed by including respective multiplicative interaction terms in regression models. Sensitivity analyses were also conducted for those achieving good reperfusion (Thrombolysis In Cerebral Infarction grade 2b/3) and to only include internal carotid and first/second middle cerebral artery occlusions. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS v27 (IBM Corp.) and Stata v17SE (StataCorp, TX, USA).

Results

Patient characteristics

Table 1 shows demographic and study outcomes. A total of 1210 LVO patients with complete 90-day mRS data were included, of which 273/1210 (22.6%) had milder baseline severity. Median onset-to-groin puncture time was 282 min (IQR 219-381) for secondarily transferred patients and 210 min (IQR 162-289) for patients presenting directly to a EVT-center ($p < 0.001$). The vast majority ($>90\%$) received intravenous thrombolysis, with median onset-to-needle 150min (IQR 115-199) for transfer and 148min (IQR 105-195) for direct presenting patients ($p = 0.058$). Patients with milder baseline severity had lower rates of secondary transfer and longer onset-to-arterial access, while those with severe deficits had more proximal arterial occlusions at baseline.

Collateral circulation assessment

Baseline CT-perfusion data were processed for 436 patients. Patients with milder severity showed lower median estimated ischemic core volume (12.6 [IQR 0.0-17.9] vs 27.5 [IQR

6.5-37.1] mL, $p < 0.001$) and lower median critically hypoperfused tissue volume (107.6 [IQR 66.2-138.3] vs 130 [IQR 91.7-166.0] mL, $p < 0.001$). In addition, those with milder severity had greater median perfusion mismatch ratio (148.3 [IQR 5.0-500.0] vs 66.2 [IQR 3.6-17.2], $p < 0.001$) and lower median hypoperfusion intensity ratio (0.25 [IQR 0.18-0.38] vs 0.40 [IQR 0.22-0.57], $p < 0.001$).

Functional outcome

Good outcome (mRS 0-2 or baseline) was achieved in 203(74.4%) patients with milder deficits and 430(45.9%) in patients with severe deficits ($p < 0.001$). Table 2 shows the distribution of mRS scores for mild and severe deficit LVO patients depending on transfer status. Secondary transfer was associated with lower odds of good outcome for patients with severe deficits (aOR 0.76 [95% CI 0.58-1.00]) but not when deficits were milder (aOR 1.36 [95% CI 0.76-2.41], $p_{\text{interaction}} = 0.12$). Results were similar for secondary sensitivity analyses (see Online-only data supplement).

Discussion

In this study, patients undergoing EVT with milder baseline clinical severity had lower proportions of very proximal arterial occlusions and significantly better CT-perfusion based imaging markers of collateral circulation compared with those with severe baseline deficits. Need for secondary inter-hospital transfer was associated with poorer outcomes for patients with severe baseline deficits, however this was not the case when clinical deficits were milder. However, statistical heterogeneity between severe and milder groups according to transfer status was not demonstrated.

The implications of our findings are that, although LVO patients with milder baseline severity are less likely to be identified by severity-based triage tools, they have better markers of collateral circulation which have been previously associated with slower infarct growth kinetics during secondary transfer for EVT.⁸ In contrast, patients with severe baseline deficits showed poorer outcomes from secondary transfer delays. Accordingly, lowering specificity to increase milder LVO stroke detection triage tool sensitivity may not be beneficial and may even be harmful, given the potential concerns of thrombolytic delay and EVT-center overburdening.

Up to 20% of patients with a visible vessel occlusion and initially mild symptoms subsequently deteriorate,⁹ possibly due to eventual collateral failure. As such, LVO patients with milder severity may still require secondary transfer for monitoring at an EVT-center. However, this could be completed with less urgency and better patient selection than immediate pre-hospital bypass.

The limitations of this study include its retrospective nature and relatively small sample of patients with milder severity, which may have limited interpretation of functional outcome data. Larger studies with greater precision are needed to confirm these findings. There may also have been selective referral of patients to EVT centers, creating potential bias in the cohort of secondarily transferred patients. Lastly, most of our included patients received intravenous thrombolysis, such that caution should be advised in extrapolation of results to thrombolysis-ineligible patients.

Acknowledgements

Nil

Sources of funding

Nil

Disclosures

None

References


1. Goyal M, Demchuk AM, Menon BK, Eesa M, Rempel JL, Thornton J, Roy D, Jovin TG, Willinsky RA, Sapkota BL, et al. Randomized assessment of rapid endovascular treatment of ischemic stroke.*NEJM*.2015;372:1019-1030.
2. Saver JL, Goyal M, van der Lugt A, Menon BK, Majoie CBLM, Dippel DW, Campbell BC, Nogueira RG, Demchuk AM, Tomasello A, et al. Time to Treatment With Endovascular Thrombectomy and Outcomes From Ischemic Stroke.*JAMA*.2016;316:1279-1288.
3. Froehler MT, Saver JL, Zaidat OO, Jahan R, Aziz-Sultan MA, Klucznik RP, Haussen DC, Hellinger FR, Jr., Yavagal DR, Yao TL, et al. Interhospital Transfer Before Thrombectomy Is Associated With Delayed Treatment and Worse Outcome in the STRATIS Registry.*Circulation*.2017;136:2311-2321.
4. Shah S, Xian Y, Sheng S, Zachrison KS, Saver JL, Sheth KN, Fonarow GC, Schwamm LH, Smith EE. Use, temporal trends, and outcomes of endovascular therapy after interhospital transfer in the United States.*Circulation*.2019;139:1568-1577.
5. Smith EE, Kent DM, Bulsara KR, Leung LY, Lichtman JH, Reeves MJ, Towfighi A, Whiteley WN, Zahuranec DB, American Heart Association Stroke Committee.

- Accuracy of Prediction Instruments for Diagnosing Large Vessel Occlusion in Individuals With Suspected Stroke.*Stroke*.2018;49:e111-e122.
6. Zhao H, Coote S, Pesavento L, Churilov L, Dewey HM, Davis SM, Campbell BC. Large Vessel Occlusion Scales Increase Delivery to Endovascular Centers Without Excessive Harm From Misclassifications.*Stroke*.2017;48:568-573.
 7. Olivot JM, Mlynash M, Inoue M, Marks MP, Wheeler HM, Kemp S, Straka M, Zaharchuk G, Bammer R, Lansberg MG, et al. Hypoperfusion Intensity Ratio Predicts Infarct Progression and Functional Outcome in the DEFUSE 2 Cohort.*Stroke*.2014;45:1018-1023.
 8. Boulouis G, Lauer A, Siddiqui AK, Charidimou A, Regenhardt RW, Viswanathan A, Rost N, Leslie-Mazwi TM, Schwamm LH. Clinical Imaging Factors Associated With Infarct Progression in Patients With Ischemic Stroke During Transfer for Mechanical Thrombectomy.*JAMA Neurol*.2017;74:1361-1367.
 9. Saleem Y, Nogueira RG, Rodrigues GM, Kim S, Sharashidze V, Frankel M, Al-Bayati A, Bianchi N, Haussen DC. Acute Neurological Deterioration in Large Vessel Occlusions and Mild Symptoms Managed Medically.*Stroke*.2020;51:1428-1434.


Figures

Figure 1: Association of transfer status with functional outcome in patients with differing baseline severities

Panel A: NIHSS <10



Panel B: NIHSS \geq 10



Tables

Table 1: Baseline demographics

	NIHSS <10	NIHSS ≥10	p-value
No. of patients	273	937	
Age, mean (SD)	69.97 (13.8)	69.72 (14.0)	0.908
Female sex	118 (43.2%)	419 (44.7%)	0.678
NIHSS, median (IQR)	6 (4-8)	18 (14-22)	<0.001
Premorbid mRS			0.117
0-1	256 (93.8%)	857 (91.5%)	
2	7 (2.6%)	31 (3.3%)	
3	9 (3.3%)	47 (5.0%)	
4	1 (0.4%)	2 (0.2%)	
Inter-hospital transfer	135 (49.5%)	524 (55.9%)	0.062
Intravenous thrombolysis	249 (91.2%)	879 (93.8%)	0.134
Occlusion location			<0.001
ICA	34 (12.5%)	181 (19.2%)	
M1	136 (49.8%)	587 (62.6%)	
M2	61 (22.3%)	118 (12.6%)	
Basilar	17 (6.2%)	29 (3.1%)	
Others	25 (9.2%)	22 (2.3%)	
Successful reperfusion (TICI 2b/3)	228 (83.5%)	799 (85.3%)	0.502

Onset-to-arterial access, median (IQR), min	192.2 (117-218)	171.0 (106-190)	0.008
Onset-to-thrombolysis, median (IQR), min	334.0 (201-354)	309.6 (183-350)	<0.001
Estimated ischemic core volume (CBV<30%), median (IQR)	12.6 (0.0-17.9)	27.5 (6.5-37.1)	<0.001
Critically hypoperfused tissue volume (Tmax>6sec), median (IQR)	107.6 (66.2-138.3)	130.0 (91.7-166.0)	<0.001
Perfusion mismatch ratio (Tmax>6s/CBV<30%), median (IQR)	148.3 (5.0-500.0)	66.2 (3.6-17.2)	<0.001
Hypoperfusion intensity ratio (Tmax>10s/6s), median (IQR)	0.25 (0.18-0.38)	0.40 (0.22-0.57)	<0.001
Modified Rankin Scale 90 days, median (IQR)	1 (0-3)	3 (1-4)	<0.001
Good outcome at 90 days (mRS 0-2 or baseline)	203 (74.4%)	430 (45.9%)	<0.001
Death or severe disability at 90 days (mRS 5-6)	22 (8.1%)	221 (23.6%)	<0.001

Abbreviations: CBV (cerebral blood flow), TICI (thrombolysis in cerebral infarction), Tmax (time-to-maximum), mRS (modified Rankin scale)