

1 **The Time Required for Primary Care Consultations:**
2 **Estimates of the Expected Duration of Initial Sick Child Visits in Low-**
3 **and Middle-income Countries Using the Integrated Management of**
4 **Childhood Illness (IMCI) Clinical Algorithm**

5 **Anthony Carter**

6 Professor Emeritus of Anthropology, University of Rochester

7 Correspondence: anthony.carter@rochester.edu

8

9 **Abstract**

10 **Background:** Little is known about the time required for primary care consultations, particularly
11 those involving sick children in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs). This paper begins to
12 fill that gap by providing evidence-based estimates of the time needed for initial visits with
13 under-five infants and children at public or not-for-profit facilities in LMICs that use the
14 Integrated Management of Childhood Illness (IMCI) clinical algorithm. The estimates are
15 evidence-based and case-mix adjusted.

16 **Methods:** The expected duration of IMCI consultations in any given setting is a function of the
17 health issues presented by patients less than 5 years old, the kind and number of tasks health
18 workers must perform to care for such patients, and the time required to complete those tasks.
19 Determining the time needed to complete tasks with no minimum duration requires, in addition
20 to tabulations of health issues and lists of tasks to be performed, information on rates of task

1 performance and the mean observed duration of consultations. All this information was
2 located, first, by searching MEDLINE, the database of the International Network for Rational Use
3 of Medicines, the websites of the WHO and its regional offices, GOOGLE, and GOOGLE SCHOLAR
4 using search terms such as 'Integrated Management of Childhood Illness', 'observational',
5 'prospective', 'classification', 'clinical signs', 'health facility survey', 'validity', 'algorithm', and
6 'chart booklet'. Additional information was found by reviewing work that cited a qualified study
7 and, conversely, material included in the bibliographies of qualified studies.

8 **Results:** Estimates of the expected mean duration of initial consultations were constructed for
9 39 patient populations in 22 countries. The mean ranges from 17.1 to 20.5 minutes in the infant
10 populations and from 15.5 to 26.4 minutes in the child populations. The shortest consultations
11 range from 16.1 to 16.7 minutes in the infant populations and from 11.0 to 17.4 minutes in the
12 child populations. The 98th percentile of expected durations ranges from 21.5 to 25.7 minutes in
13 the infant populations and from 22.5 to 35.2 minutes in the child populations.

14 **Conclusions:** IMCI consultations with infants and children presenting for the first time with a
15 new concern require considerably more time than previously recognized. This suggests that the
16 personnel costs of providing appropriate IMCI services may be substantial. It also confirms that
17 the quality of most IMCI consultations is low.

18

19 **Keywords:** Integrated Management of Childhood Illness; Primary care; Sick-child visits;
20 Consultation; Expected Duration; Tasks; Observational Study; Low- and Middle-Income
21 Countries

1 **Introduction**

2 Little is known about the time required for primary care consultations, particularly those
3 involving sick children in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs). This paper begins to fill that
4 gap by providing estimates of the time needed for initial visits with under-five infants and
5 children at public and not-for-profit facilities in LMICs that use the Integrated Management of
6 Childhood Illness (IMCI) clinical algorithm. The estimates are evidence-based and case-mix
7 adjusted.

8 Health care providers have a great deal to do during primary care visits. They must begin
9 the consultation, establish rapport, elicit the patient's concerns, gather information about
10 presenting illnesses, perform a physical exam, discuss diagnosis and treatment, summarize, and
11 bring the consultation to a close [1, 2{Byrne, P, 1976}]. The time required to accomplish all this
12 remains something of a mystery. In general, it is thought that consultations rarely should be
13 shorter than ten minutes [3, 4]. It also is thought that longer consultations generally are
14 superior to shorter ones. Longer visits do not guaranteed appropriate care [4], but in Europe
15 and North America physicians in longer consultations recognize more issues, explore more
16 psychosocial concerns, give fewer prescriptions, and/or provide more preventive measures [5-
17 12]. Similarly, during longer consultations in Tanzania, clinical officers and assistant medical
18 officers ask more questions and perform more examinations [13]. Longer consultations often
19 are essential for those with multiple morbidities [3]. Finally, several experienced physicians in
20 the United States and Argentina regard 15 to 20 minutes as the optimal duration of primary
21 care visits [14, 15].

1 It has been suggested that the time required for IMCI consultations falls in the lower half
2 of this range. A leading member of the team that designed the IMCI algorithm suggests that
3 IMCI consultations “can be completed in 10-15 min although some cases take longer” [16].
4 According to the World Health Organization (WHO), the mean duration of sick-child
5 consultations in primary care facilities where the IMCI algorithm is used is 15 minutes [17].

6 The problem with all of this is that it rests on little or no evidence. None of it takes
7 account of the mix of problems with which patients present [12, 18], the tasks involved in
8 patient care, or the time required to perform such tasks.

9 The IMCI clinical algorithm and the research surrounding it provide unusually rich
10 resources with which to address these issues. A comprehensive program to reduce
11 “unacceptably high” infant and child mortality in LMICs, IMCI was developed in the mid 1990s
12 by the WHO and the United Nations Children’s Fund and has been widely adopted [19, 20]. IMCI
13 seeks to encourage proper health practices in families and communities, to strengthen health
14 care systems, and to improve the technical quality of primary care services. The IMCI clinical
15 algorithm is the key means used to achieve the last of these aims. Concerned with health issues
16 frequently found in resource-poor settings [16, 21, 22], the algorithm integrates a variety of
17 interventions shown to be cost-effective in previous vertical programs [23]. For infants less than
18 2 months old, it focuses on bacterial infections, feeding problems, low weight, and, in some
19 versions, jaundice. For children 2-59 months old, the foci include acute respiratory infections,
20 especially pneumonia; diarrhea; fevers, especially malaria and measles; malnutrition, and
21 anemia. Immunization status is a concern for both age groups. The algorithm provides a scheme
22 to identify the health issues presented by under-5 patients, tasks to be used in the care of such

1 patients, and criteria by which tasks are elicited. Crucially, research on the design and use of the
2 algorithm, its effects on provider performance, and related topics furnishes the information
3 needed to estimate the expected duration of initial consultations with sick children and infants.

4 **METHODS**

5 The expected duration of IMCI consultations in any given setting is a function of the health
6 issues presented by patients less than 5 years old, the kind and number of tasks health workers
7 must perform to care for such patients, the time required to complete those tasks, and, in a few
8 instances, the organization of work within facilities. An estimate of the time needed to perform
9 tasks that have no predetermined duration requires information on health issues presented,
10 tasks to be performed, task-specific performance rates, and the mean observed duration of
11 consultations.

12 **Definitions**

13 Health Issues and Classifications. Health issues are conditions presented by patients that
14 require providers to perform tasks.

15 IMCI classifications are categories used to organize signs and symptoms indicative of the
16 character, occurrence, and severity of health issues that account for a substantial portion of
17 child mortality in low- and middle-income countries. Classifications differ from “etiologically
18 distinct diagnoses” in two ways [24]. Some combine distinct diseases into a single category. “For
19 example, a child with the classification ‘very severe febrile disease’ could have meningitis (viral
20 or bacterial), ... sepsis (from several possible pathogens), ... cerebral malaria,” pertussis, plague,
21 or pneumonia. Conversely, a single disease may be assigned different IMCI classifications if it
22 presents with different levels of severity. If pneumococcal pneumonia presents with cough and

1 fast breathing it is classified as ‘pneumonia’; however, if it presents with cough and stridor, it is
2 classified as ‘severe pneumonia/very severe disease’. Similarly, in areas of high malaria risk,
3 malaria presenting with fever alone is classified as ‘malaria’. When it presents with fever and a
4 stiff neck or unconsciousness it is classified as ‘very severe febrile disease.’

5 Most classifications designate one or another of the health issues with which the IMCI
6 algorithm is concerned. However, a subset of classifications indicates aspects of health issues
7 rather than the issues themselves. Classifications for ‘persistent diarrhea’ and ‘dysentery’
8 indicate aspects of diarrhea and are initially counted in classifications for ‘dehydration’.
9 Classifications for ‘measles’ or ‘dengue’ indicate aspects of fever and are initially counted in
10 classifications for that issue.

11 The labels for three health issues, ‘HIV status’, ‘other problems’ and ‘missing
12 immunizations’, involve no classifications. One issue requiring preventive care has no label; it
13 occurs when a child ≥ 2 months old but < 2 years old has been given the classifications ‘not very
14 low weight’ and ‘no anemia’.

15 Classification Profiles. Classification profiles are tabulations of the IMCI classifications,
16 other health issues, and ancillary findings present in patient populations.

17 Tasks. A task is a piece of physical work IMCI-trained providers may have to perform
18 during a consultation. The performance of a task requires providers to use their ears, eyes,
19 hands, and/or voices in ways that consume time. The IMCI clinical algorithm is an arrangement
20 of tasks.

21 Providers also are expected to make a variety of decisions, as in ‘Classify COUGH OR
22 DIFFICULT BREATHING’, ‘Decide the Malaria Risk: high or low’ if a child has a fever, and

1 'IDENTIFY TREATMENT'. However, in this paper, these decisions do not count as tasks because
2 they are cognitive rather than physical and are not inherently time-consuming. Providers may
3 sometimes take time over decisions because the issues are novel or complex or because they
4 are uncertain or confused, but, in principle, such decisions can be made instantaneously.

5 Organization of Work. This term is used in health facility survey reports to refer to the
6 distribution of primary responsibility for the performance of a subset of routine tasks between
7 IMCI-trained providers and other categories of clinical staff.

8 Time Required to Perform Tasks with No Minimum Duration. The time required to
9 perform tasks with no minimum duration is the observed duration of consultations less the time
10 spent performing tasks with pre-defined minimum durations divided by the number of tasks
11 with no minimum duration. Where necessary, this is adjusted for the Hawthorne effect.

12 **Data**

13 Health Issues. Data on the prevalence of health issues, IMCI classifications, and ancillary
14 findings on clinical signs come from gold-standard findings reported in studies of the validity
15 and impact of IMCI, evaluations of the clinical signs used in the care of infants, and health
16 facility surveys. Gold-standard findings are based on direct examinations of patients by
17 researchers. In one case, information on malnutrition comes from a contemporaneous
18 household survey.

19 Most of the studies used here were located by searching MEDLINE, the database of the
20 International Network for Rational Use of Medicines, the websites of the WHO and its regional
21 offices, and GOOGLE SCHOLAR. Search terms included 'Integrated Management of Childhood
22 Illness', 'IMCI', 'observational', 'prospective', 'classification', 'clinical signs', 'health facility survey',

1 and 'validity'. Additional studies were identified by locating and reviewing work listed by
2 GOOGLE SCHOLAR and other resources as citing a qualified study and, conversely, work
3 included in the bibliographies of qualified studies.

4 Tasks and Triggering Conditions. Data on IMCI tasks come from relevant versions of the
5 IMCI clinical algorithm. These were located using GOOGLE and GOOGLE SCHOLAR. Most of the
6 world is covered by one or another generic version. Only a relatively small number of countries
7 have produced their own adaptations. The key links between classification profiles and IMCI
8 algorithms are the country in which, and the years during which, the information used in a
9 classification profile was obtained.

10 In the IMCI algorithm, clinical tasks and the conditions that elicit them are indicated by a
11 subset of sentences using imperative verbs that direct providers to carry out specific activities
12 (see TEXT S3_NOTES ON THE IDENTIFICATION OF IMCI TASKS). Nearly all these sentences occur
13 in Chapter 2 and Parts II-III of the IMCI handbook [25] and in the sections of chart booklets
14 concerned with assessing, classifying, and treating infants <2 months old and children 2-59
15 months old (see column J, WORKBOOK S1_STUDIES USED).

16 Time Required to Perform Tasks with No Minimum Duration. The information used to
17 estimate the mean time required to perform a task with no pre-defined minimum duration,
18 comes from a subset of the studies used to construct classification profiles plus a paper
19 comparing direct observation and simulated client surveys of IMCI services in Benin [26].

20 Ancillary Information on Performance of Tasks by Someone other than Provider. Where
21 it is available, this information comes from the studies that also report the prevalence of health
22 issues. It is based on direct observation of clinical interactions.

1 **Analysis**

2 The mean expected durations of initial IMCI consultations for each patient population
3 examined were obtained by means of the following steps (for additional details, see TEXT
4 S1_NOTES ON METHODOLOGY).

- 5 • First, construct the population's classification profile.
- 6 • Second, list all possible combinations of classifications and other health issues included in
7 each classification profile, together with their probabilities.
- 8 • Third, calculate the prevalence of larger and smaller sets of co-occurring health issues.
- 9 • Fourth, identify the clinical tasks provided by each version of the IMCI algorithm and their
10 performance conditions.
- 11 • Fifth, tabulate the number and kind of tasks required by each combination of classifications
12 and other health issues were obtain by applying the relevant task performance conditions
13 to the list of combinations, taking into account, where it is available, ancillary findings on
14 clinical signs and the organization of work.
- 15 • Sixth, estimate the average time needed to complete a task with no minimum duration. NB:
16 this was done once; the estimate was used for all patient populations.
- 17 • Seventh, calculate the expected duration of each combination of classifications and other
18 indicators of health status by applying, as appropriate, the pre-defined minimum duration
19 or the estimated time needed to perform tasks with no minimum duration to the required
20 tasks.
- 21 • Eighth, calculate the content of the mean consultation in terms of the following categories:
22 mandatory tasks with no minimum duration, mandatory tasks with a pre-defined minimum

1 duration, conditional assessments with no minimum duration, conditional assessments with
2 a pre-defined minimum duration, and treatment and counseling tasks.

3 • Finally, the expected duration for each patient population is the mean duration of all
4 combinations weighted by their probabilities. The distribution of durations within each
5 population also was determined.

6 **RESULTS**

7 **Study Selection**

8 Forty-seven observational studies of initial primary care consultations for children less
9 than 5 years old report gold standard IMCI classifications, clinical signs, and/or disease
10 diagnoses. Nine of these studies had to be excluded. Two use disease categories without
11 indicating how these map on to IMCI classifications [27, 28]. One reports the prevalence of
12 several IMCI symptoms but not the relevant classifications [29]. Three fail to report mild
13 classifications for two or more symptoms and/or to distinguish among severe, moderate, and
14 mild classifications [30-32]. Two studies report on very small subsets of classifications [31, 33].
15 One reports classifications for persistent diarrhea and dysentery but fails to mention
16 dehydration [34]. A study from Nigeria [35] appears to assume that no child has more than 1
17 gold standard classification.

18 **Study Characteristics**

19 Thirty-eight studies contain relatively complete information on the incidence of health
20 issues. The studies were carried out between 1993 and 2017. Nine were in India; 4 in
21 Bangladesh; 3 in Kenya; 2 each in Afghanistan, South Africa, and Tanzania, and 1 each in Benin,
22 Bolivia, Botswana, Brazil, Burkina Faso, Cambodia, China, Egypt, Ethiopia, Gambia, Ghana,

1 Morocco, Sudan, Uganda, Vietnam, and Zimbabwe. Since the Cambodia study includes infants
2 <2 months old as well as children 2-59 months old, the 38 studies contain data on 39 distinct
3 patient populations (WORKBOOK S1_STUDIES USED).

4 Each patient population is identified by an expression consisting of the standard three-
5 letter abbreviation of the name of the country in which it was carried out, a 2-digit number to
6 distinguish among studies done in the same country, and either 'I' or 'C', depending on the age
7 group observed. For example, the 1993-94 IMCI validation survey in Kenya is identified as
8 'KEN_01_C'.

9 Twelve of the patient populations consist of infants <2 months old. Twenty-seven consist
10 of children 2-59 months old. For infants, the number of consultations observed ranges from 34
11 to 1268. For children the range is from 98 to 3200.

12 The original generic IMCI algorithm, in use between 1995 and 2005, applies to 7 of the
13 12 infant populations. The 2003/2009 India adaptation applies to the remaining 5. The 1995-
14 2005 generic version covers all the health issues seen in 14 of the child populations and most of
15 the issues seen in BWA_01_C, KHM_01_C, and VNM_01_C. National or provincial adaptations
16 apply to AFG_01_C, AFG_02_C, BFA_01_C, EGY_01_C, IND_01_C, MAR_01_C, and ZAF_02_C.
17 The 2008 generic version applies to CHN_01_C. It is assumed that the 2002 KwaZulu-Natal
18 adaptation applies to HIV status in BWA_01_C and to all classifications in ZWE_01_C. It also is
19 assumed that the Timor-Leste adaptation applies to dengue in KHM_01_C and VNM_01_C.

20 In 30 of the classification profiles, all the classifications and findings came directly from
21 the studies used. IND_01_C, IND_03_C, and IND_08_C also required using local knowledge of
22 the relationships between disease diagnoses and IMCI classifications. BOL_01_I, GHN_01_I,

1 IND_02_I, IND_03_I, KEN_01_I, and ZAF_01_I were constructed, in part, by applying the
2 relevant IMCI algorithms to reported clinical signs. BOL_01_I, GHN_01_I, IND_02_I, IND_03_I,
3 and ZAF_01_I also required using information on the need for referral. Figures for ‘severe
4 malnutrition’ and ‘very low weight’ in TZN_01_C come from a contemporaneous household
5 survey in the same region. (For additional details, see Text S2_NOTES ON THE CONSTRUCTION
6 OF CLASSIFICATION PROFILES.)

7 Information on the observed duration of consultations and task performance rates
8 comes from the studies used to construct the profiles for BRA_01_C, KEN_03_C, MAR_01_C,
9 SDN_01_C, and TZN_01_C. A study comparing the results of conspicuous observation and
10 simulated client surveys in Benin [26] also provides a measure of observer effects (see
11 Workbook S8).

12 **Health Issues**

13 The infants and children in the 39 populations examined here presented with multiple
14 health issues. The approach used here ignores missing information, and counts ‘other
15 problems’, where they are noted, as a single issue.

16 In the infant populations, the number of issues per patient ranges from a low of 0.84 in
17 KHM_01_I to a high of 2.48 in IND_06_I with a weighted average of 1.40 (Table 1.1). The
18 probability that an infant presents with at least 1 health issue ranges from 0.62 in KHM_01_I to
19 0.97 in IND_05_I and IND_06_I (Figure 1.1). The probability that a patient presents with at least
20 2 issues ranges from 0.19 in KHM_01_I to 0.81 in IND_06_I. In GHN_01_I and KEN_02_I, 1 in 50
21 patients presents with at least 3 issues. Half of the patients do so in IND_06_I.

22

23

1 **Table 1.1** Health Issues in Infant Populations

2

PATIENT POPULATIONS	POSSIBLE BACTERIAL INFECTION	JAUNDICE	DIARRHEA	FEEDING PROBLEM OR LOW WEIGHT FOR AGE	OTHER PROBLEMS	MISSING IMMUNIZATION	TOTAL HEALTH ISSUES
BCD 01 I (N=234)	0.58	N/R	0.07	0.31	0.16	NA	1.11
BOL 01 I (N=936)	0.25	N/R	0.04	0.79	0.01	NA	1.08
GHN 01 I (N=535)	0.50	N/R	0.04	0.04	0.40	NA	0.99
IND 02 I (N=1288)	0.48	N/R	0.28	0.75	0.26	NA	1.77
IND 04 I (N=1288)	0.01	0.21	0.05	0.08	0.36	NA	1.32
IND 05 I (N=878)	0.30	0.13	0.05	0.94	0.23	NA	1.05
IND 06 I (N=419)	0.55	0.31	0.13	0.08	0.28	0.53	2.48
IND 07 I (N=117)	0.21	0.08	0.08	0.70	0.38	NA	1.52
IND 09 I (N=500)	0.35	0.19	0.08	0.38	0.15	0.14	1.08
KEN 02 I (N=897)	0.74	N/R	0.05	0.39	0.08	NA	1.05
KHM 01 I (N=14)	0.24	N/R	0.29	0.23	0.09	NA	0.84
ZAF 01 I (N=864)	0.32	N/R	NA	0.28	0.32	NA	0.81
MINIMUM							0.64
MAXIMUM							2.48
WEIGHTED AVERAGE							1.40

3
4

5 Source: WORKBOOK S2_CLASSIFICATION PROFILES FOR INFANT POPULATIONS

6


7 In the child populations, the number of health issues per patient ranges from 1.47 in
8 IND_09_C to 3.84 in EGY_01_C with a mean of 2.44 (Table 1.2). The probability that a child
9 presents with a least 1 issue ranges from 0.81 in IND_08_C to 1.00 in ZWE_01_C (Figure 1.2).

10 The probability that a patient presents with at least 2 issues ranges from 0.45 in
11 IND_08_C to 0.94 in TZN_02_C. The probability that patients present with at least 3 issues
12 ranges from 0.14 in BFA_01_C and ETH_01_C to 0.78 in TZN_02_C. The probability that patients
13 present with at least 5 issues ranges from 0.02 in BFA_01_C and ETH_01_C to 0.40 in TZN_02_C.
14 In 16 of the child populations at least 1 in 50 patients present with 5 or more issues.

15 The kinds of issues presented vary widely from one population to another. The focus
16 here is on issues that are used in all the populations in each age group and that are reported in
17 all or nearly all cases. To save space, they are grouped by symptom rather than classification.

1 **Figure 1.1** Probability of Multiple Health Issue in Infant Populations

2



3

4

5 Source: WORKBOOK S2_CLASSIFICATION PROFILES FOR INFANT POPULATIONS

6

7

8


1 **Table 1.2** Health Issues in Child Populations

Issue	Fever	Ear Problem	Throat Problem	Malnutrition	Anemia	Other Problems	Vision	New HIV Assessment	Well
052	0.05	0.25	2.03	0.23	0.01	NA	NA	N/R	
026	0.05	0.24	2.07	0.22	0.01	2.02	NA	N/R	
013	0.05	0.05	N/R	0.23	0.26	NA	0.02	N/R	
077	0.00	NA	N/R	0.13	0.06	NA	NA	N/R	
019	0.79	0.16	N/R	0.28	0.16	2.21	NA	N/R	
030	0.73	0.07	N/R	0.31	0.01	2.20	NA	N/R	
024	0.72	0.0	N/R	0.29	0.03	2.16	NA	N/R	
032	0.28	0.04	N/R	0.21	0.01	2.51	0.31	N/R	
022	0.40	0.06	N/R	0.28	0.02	NA	0.06	0.22	
033	0.22	0.00	N/R	0.21	0.06	2.09	NA	N/R	
034	0.63	0.16	2.26	0.26	0.27	2.16	0.05	N/R	
006	0.04	0.14	N/R	0.23	0.00	2.13	NA	N/R	
041	0.83	0.06	N/R	0.23	0.03	2.24	NA	N/R	
029	0.03	0.04	N/R	0.25	0.21	2.17	0.16	N/R	
030	0.54	0.03	N/R	0.22	0.06	2.02	NA	N/R	
015	0.15	0.03	N/R	0.29	0.15	2.41	0.16	N/R	
038	0.83	0.10	N/R	0.28	0.32	2.03	NA	N/R	
036	0.87	0.02	N/R	0.28	0.26	NA	NA	N/R	
040	0.01	0.02	N/R	0.28	0.02	2.48	0.17	N/R	
021	0.02	0.05	2.08	0.25	0.07	2.10	0.11	N/R	
030	0.57	0.09	N/R	0.27	0.17	2.11	0.21	N/R	
013	0.76	0.03	N/R	0.40	0.22	NA	0.23	N/R	
020	0.09	0.03	N/R	0.22	0.21	NA	0.06	N/R	
028	0.71	0.03	N/R	0.54	0.40	2.05	0.39	N/R	
019	0.82	0.01	N/R	0.27	0.09	2.01	NA	N/R	
023	0.68	0.06	N/R	0.41	NA	2.41	NA	0.31	
033	0.54	0.03	N/R	0.24	0.02	2.37	NA	0.05	

Sources:
 WORKBOOK S3_CLASSIFICATIONS PROFILES FOR CHILD POPULATIONS IN LMICs, WORKBOOK S4_CLASSIFICATIONS PROFILES FOR CHILD POPULATIONS IN LMICs (PART 1), WORKBOOK S5_CLASSIFICATION PROFILES FOR CHILD POPULATIONS IN LMICs (PART 2),

Probability of Multiple Health Issues in Child Populations

2
3
4
5
6



Sources: WORKBOOK S3_CLASSIFICATIONS PROFILES FOR CHILD POPULATIONS IN UMICs,
WORKBOOK S4_CLASSIFICATIONS PROFILES FOR CHILD POPULATIONS IN LMICs (PART 1),
WORKBOOK S5_CLASSIFICATION PROFILES FOR CHILD POPULATIONS IN LMICs (PART 2),
WORKBOOK S6_CLASSIFICATION PROFILES FOR CHILD POPULATIONS IN LICs

In the infant populations, the prevalence of 'possible bacterial infection' ranges from a
low of 0.21 in IND_07_| to a high of 0.74 in KEN_02_| (Table 1.1). It is the most common issue in

1 6 populations. The prevalence of ‘feeding problem’ ranges from 0.04 in GHN_01_I to 0.94 in
2 IND_05_I. It is the major issue in 5 populations. The prevalence of ‘diarrhea’ generally is lower
3 but reaches 0.29 in KHM_01_I where classifications for ‘diarrhea’ are slightly more common
4 than for ‘possible bacterial infection’ and ‘feeding problem’.

5 The most prevalent issues in the child populations are ‘cough and difficult breathing’ and
6 ‘fever’ (Table 1.2). The prevalence of ‘cough and difficult breathing’ ranges from 0.33 in
7 IND_08_C to 0.89 in BGD_04_C. It is the major issue in 13 populations. The prevalence of ‘fever’
8 ranges from 0.04 in ETH_01_C to 0.93 in GMB_01_C. It is the major issue in 12 populations. The
9 prevalence of ‘diarrhea’ ranges from 0.06 in ETH_01_C to 0.52 in AFG_01_C, where it is the
10 second most frequent concern. The prevalence of ‘ear problem’ ranges from 0.00 in CHN_01_C
11 to 0.25 in AFG_01_C. The prevalence of ‘malnutrition’ is usually quite low, but is ≥ 0.40 in
12 KEN_01_C, TZN_01_C, and ZAF_01_C. The prevalence of ‘anemia’ ranges from 0.00 in
13 ZWE_01_C to 0.81 in BRA_01_C, where it is the most frequent issue.

14 **IMCI Tasks and their Triggers**

15 The applicable IMCI algorithms furnish health care providers with 50 or 53 tasks for use
16 with infants <2 months old and 95-132 tasks for use with children 2-59 months old (Tables 2.1
17 and 2.2). These tasks fall into three groups. Mandatory tasks are to be performed for all
18 patients. Most conditional assessments are triggered by the results of mandatory assessments.
19 In addition, 1 conditional assessment is triggered by the observation that a child is not awake.
20 Another is to be performed by the provider if it has not previously been performed by intake
21 staff. Treatment and counseling tasks are triggered by the results of mandatory or conditional

1 assessments and in most cases are performed only when the assessment and classification
2 process has been completed.

3 Mandatory Tasks. The original generic version of the infant algorithm includes 24
4 mandatory tasks: a greeting and 4 opening questions, 12 assessments for possible bacterial
5 infection, 1 check for diarrhea, 4 assessments for nutritional status, and checks for
6 immunization status and ‘other problems’. The India adaptations provide for 25 mandatory
7 tasks, adding 1 assessment for jaundice, a condition treated in the generic algorithm as an
8 ‘other problem’.

9 The original generic algorithm for children 2-59 months old provides 19 mandatory
10 tasks: the 5 routine opening tasks, 3 assessments for the presence of danger signs, checks for
11 each of the 4 ‘main symptoms’, 4 assessments for nutritional status, 1 assessment of
12 immunization status, and a check for other problems. The number of mandatory tasks in
13 subsequent algorithms ranges from a low of 18 in the India version to a high of 28 in the
14 Burkina Faso version. Most of the tasks added in subsequent adaptations have to do with
15 regional or historical differences in health issues. Throat problems are a concern in Afghanistan,
16 Egypt, and Morocco (4 tasks). HIV is a concern in Botswana, KwaZulu-Natal, and Zimbabwe (4
17 tasks). Dengue fever is a concern in Cambodia and Vietnam. To shorten wait times for very sick
18 children, KwaZulu-Natal requires providers to ‘do rapid appraisal on all waiting children’ before
19 each new consultation.

20 In principle, intake staff should weigh children 2-59 months old and take their
21 temperatures with a thermometer before they are seen by the examining health worker [25]. In

22 **Table 2.1** IMCI clinical tasks for infants by algorithm

TASK TYPE	TRIGGERING CONDITION(S)	ALGORITHM	
		GENERIC (1997-2005)	INDIA (2001-2009)
Mandatory	None	24	25
Conditional Assessments (Conditions Listed in Red Are Never Reported)	Initial Finding of Fast Breathing	1	1
	Diarrhea	5	5
	Urgent Referral to Hospital Not Required and at Least One of the Following: (1) Any Difficulty Feeding. (2) Breastfeeds <8 Times in 24 Hours. (3) Takes Any Other Food or Drinks. (4) Low	1	1
Treatment and Counseling	See WORKBOOK S7	19	19
TOTAL		50	53

1
2
3
4
5

Source: Workbook S7_IMCI Clinical Tasks (Infant Worksheet)

6 most cases, however, performance of these tasks by intake staff is not reported. In these
7 circumstances, weighing the child and checking its temperature checks are treated as
8 mandatory tasks for the health care provider and a thermometer is not required.

9 Conditional Assessments. The original generic infant algorithms and the Indian
10 adaptations provide 3 sets of conditional assessments. An infant found to have fast breathing
11 should have the breaths in one minute counted a second time. A history of diarrhea triggers five
12 assessments. Providers should perform a 4-minute assessment of breastfeeding for an infant
13 who does not require urgent referral to hospital, but does have difficulty feeding, is breastfed
14 less than 8 times in 24 hours, receives other foods or drinks, and/or is low weight.

15 The original generic algorithm for children 2-59 months old includes 23 conditional

1 assessments: 4 for ‘cough or difficult breathing’, 6 for ‘diarrhea’, 6 for ‘fever’, 3 for ‘measles’, and
2 4 for ‘ear problem’. The number of conditional assessments for these issues in subsequent
3 algorithms ranges from a low of 25 to a high of 32.

4 Except for the original generic version, all versions of the IMCI algorithm referenced here
5 include a check for lethargy or unconscious, but only in children who are not visibly awake.

6 Health facility surveys for Egypt, Morocco, and Sudan report how many children are
7 weighed and have their temperature taken with a thermometer by intake staff [36-38]. In these
8 circumstances, weighings and temperature checks become conditional assessments.

9 KHM_01_C and VNM_01_C include classifications for dengue fever, but the applicable
10 1997-2005 generic algorithm provides no assessments related to this concern. Here it is
11 assumed that the 7 conditional assessments for dengue included in the Timor-Leste algorithm
12 are used in these settings.

13 The KwaZulu-Natal adaptation calls for 3 assessments to determine the HIV status of
14 children who are not known to be HIV positive and who have at least 1 of the following: (1) a
15 classification today of ‘pneumonia’, ‘persistent diarrhea’ or ‘not growing well’; or (2) an episode
16 of ‘persistent diarrhea’ in last 3 months; or (3) discharge from ear at any time, or (4) ‘mother is
17 HIV positive’.

18 Treatment and Counseling. Several of the treatment and counseling tasks provided in
19 the relevant algorithms had to be modified before they could be used in this analysis. Three
20 treatment options are provided for infants and children with ‘severe dehydration’. If a provider
21 is adequately trained and if the clinic in which she works has the necessary supplies, the
22 preferred Plan C option is to administer Ringer’s Lactate Solution or normal saline solution for 6

1 hours, with reassessment and adjustment every 1 or 2 hours [25]. If IV treatment cannot be
2 provided locally or nearby, a second option is to administer ORS solution by mouth, if the infant
3 can drink, or, if the infant is unable to drink and the provider is properly trained, by naso-gastric
4 tube, again for 6 hours with regular reassessment. If none of these conditions can be met, the
5 patient should be referred urgently to hospital for IV or naso-gastric rehydration. Given the
6 constraints of training, equipment, and supplies, it is likely that the last option is the
7 predominant first choice. In any case, it is impossible to know how, in fact, such patients are
8 treated.

9 In the child algorithms that include measles, children with the classification ‘measles
10 with eye or mouth complications’ have ‘pus draining from the eye’ and/or ‘mouth ulcers’. The
11 algorithms provide treatments for each condition, but the observational studies report only the
12 less specific classification. This problem is dealt with by replacing the specific treatments with
13 ‘treat the complications of measles’.

14 In addition, a few treatment and counseling tasks provided for infants are triggered by
15 feeding problems the occurrence of which is not fully reported. In this study, those tasks are
16 replaced by ‘counsel mother about feeding issues, thrush, and/or keeping low weight infant
17 warm’.

18 With these modifications, the relevant IMCI algorithms provide 19 or 22 treatment and
19 counseling tasks for infants and 30-42 for children. Most of the differences relate to the
20 presence or absence of health issues. The KwaZulu-Natal adaptation includes 6 tasks related
21 HIV. The Egypt and Morocco adaptations exclude tasks related to malaria. Treatment for dengue
22 fever may be required in KHM_01_C and VNM_01_C. Morocco also adds two counseling tasks:

1 'counsel mother on how to stimulate the psychosocial development of her child' and 'counsel
2 the mother on hygiene'.

3 **Tasks per Patient**

4 The number of expected tasks per patient for each population is obtained by linking its
5 classification profile and any ancillary information to the task triggers found in the applicable
6 version of the IMCI algorithm. In the infant populations, the number of expected tasks per
7 patient ranges from a low of 28.1 in ZAF_01_I to a high of 31.1 in IND_02_I with a mean of 29.9
8 (Table 3.1). In the child populations, the range is much greater, reaching from a low of 26.6 in
9 IND_09_C to a high of 46.2 in BFA_01_C (Table 3.2). The mean is 37.2.

10 **Minutes per Task**

11 Tasks with Prescribed Minimum Durations. Three IMCI tasks expected have prescribed minimum
12 durations. To assess an infant's breastfeeding, a provider must "ask the mother to put her infant
13 to the breast" and "observe the breastfeed for" a minimum of "4 minutes" [25]. Taking a
14 temperature with a thermometer requires at least 2 minutes [36]. Checking for fast breathing
15 requires at least 1 minute [25].

16 Tasks without Prescribed Minimum Durations. Table 4 provides an estimate of the time
17 needed to perform tasks with no minimum duration. Two of the patient populations on which
18 this estimate is based require no correction for the presence of an observer. In the Benin
19 simulated client survey, the observer was disguised as the patient's caretaker [26]. In BRA_01_C,
20 providers were followed, where possible, for a 5-day working week and no difference was found
21 in the duration of consultations between the first and subsequent days [39]. In the other cases,
22 the minutes required to perform a task with no minimum duration are corrected for the effects

1 **Table 3.1** Number of expected tasks in infant populations

POPULATION	TASK CATEGORY					TOTAL
	Mandatory No Minimum Duration	Mandatory Check for Fast Breathing (1 Min)	Conditional Assessment No Minimum Duration	Conditional Assessment Breastfeeding (4 min)	Treatment and Counseling	
BDG 01 I	23	1	0.3	0.1	3.9	28.4
BOL 01 I	23	1	0.2	0.8	8.1	30.9
GHN 01 I	23	1	0.2	0.0	5.0	29.2
IND 02 I	23	1	1.4	0.4	5.3	31.1
IND 04 I	24	1	0.3	0.0	4.6	30.0
IND 05 I	24	1	0.2	0.8	4.9	30.0
IND 06 I	24	1	0.8	0.3	4.6	30.5
IND 07 I	24	1	0.4	0.8	4.7	30.7
IND 09 I	24	1	0.4	0.1	4.1	29.6
KEN 02 I	23	1	0.2	0.0	4.1	28.4
KHM 01 I	23	1	1.5	0.2	5.1	30.0
ZAF 01 I	23	1	0.9	0.2	3.9	28.1

2
3

4 Source: WORKBOOK S2_CLASSIFICATION PROFILES FOR INFANT POPULATIONS

5

6 of an observer on task performance rates and on the pace of task performance, i.e. minutes

7 used per task. The coefficients used to correct for observer effects are derived from a

8 comparison of BENIN_CO and BENIN_SC (see columns P-R in the first worksheet in WORKBOOK

9 S8.)

10 The mean time required by IMCI-trained providers to perform tasks with no minimum

11 duration ranges from ranges from 0.2 minutes in TZN_01_C to 1.4 minutes in BENIN_CO and

12 BENIN_SC. In none of these cases are providers doing satisfactory work. Performance rates for

13 mandatory tasks, corrected for observer effects where necessary, range from 0.5 in SDN_01_C

14 to 0.7 in TZN_01_C. Performance rates for conditional tasks are consistently worse, ranging

1 Table 3.2 Number of expected tasks in child populations

POPULATION	TASK CATEGORY					TOTAL
	Mandatory No Minimum Duration	Conditional Assessments No Minimum Duration	Conditional Assessment Take Temperature with Thermometer (2 min)	Conditional Assessment Check for Fast Breathing (1 Min)	Treatment and Counseling	
AFG #1 G	23	0.0	NA	0.5	0.5	39.7
AFG #2 G	23	0.2	NA	0.9	7.7	40.9
BFN #1 G	79	79	NA	0.5	0.4	31.7
BFA #1 G	29	1.2	NA	0.4	0.6	48.2
BGD #2 G	39	0.0	NA	0.7	0.9	37.4
BGD #3 G	39	0.1	NA	0.8	0.5	37.4
BGD #4 G	39	0.0	NA	0.9	0.2	37.1
BRA #1 G	39	1.4	NA	0.5	10.3	15.2
BWA #1 G	23	0.3	NA	0.5	7.3	37.1
CHN #1 G	39	1.2	NA	0.5	7.0	31.7
EGY #1 G	23	0.1	0.0	0.8	0.0	40.9
ETH #1 G	39	1.0	NA	0.6	7.2	29.0
GMB #1 G	39	10.0	NA	0.9	0.9	17.8
IND #1 G	39	0.1	NA	0.7	5.6	34.4
IND #3 G	39	0.0	NA	0.4	0.1	32.5
IND #9 G	39	1.4	NA	0.3	4.9	20.6
KEN #1 G	39	10.7	NA	0.9	0.2	10.8
KEN #3 G	39	0.0	NA	0.7	0.0	37.4
KHM #1 G	39	0.4	NA	0.8	0.7	37.7
MLI #1 G	23	0.9	0.4	0.6	3.2	43.0
SDN #1 G	39	10.1	1.0	0.6	0.4	40.1
TZN #1 G	39	7.2	NA	0.8	0.0	35.0
TZN #2 G	39	7.1	NA	0.8	0.5	39.2
USA #1 G	39	0.4	NA	0.7	0.1	39.2
VRM #1 G	39	12.0	NA	0.6	0.4	30.0
ZAF #2 G	25	10.1	NA	0.8	0.1	45.1
ZWE #1 G	25	10.0	NA	0.7	5.9	42.5

2
3
4 Sources: WORKBOOK S3_CLASSIFICATIONS PROFILES FOR CHILD POPULATIONS IN UMICs,
5 WORKBOOK S4_CLASSIFICATIONS PROFILES FOR CHILD POPULATIONS IN LMICs (PART 1),
6 WORKBOOK S5_CLASSIFICATION PROFILES FOR CHILD POPULATIONS IN LMICs (PART 2),
7 WORKBOOK S6_CLASSIFICATION PROFILES FOR CHILD POPULATIONS IN LICs
8

1 from 0.3 in BRA_01_C to 0.5 in KEN_01_C. Substantial numbers of children are incorrectly
2 and/or incompletely classified. In the populations for which data are available, between 7.3 and
3 37.4 percent of children are prescribed an unnecessary antibiotic.

4 Lacking a larger sample or a model case, 0.58 minutes, the geometric average of the 7
5 cases weighted by the number of performance occasions observed, may be taken as a
6 reasonable first approximation of the time required to perform the average IMCI task with no
7 specified duration. No great store should be set by this figure. However, it probably is not so
8 high that program managers using it would be expecting too little of providers nor so low that
9 they would be expecting providers to perform miracles of speed and efficiency.

10 **Expected Duration of Consultations**

11 IMCI consultations require substantial amounts of time (Tables 5.1 and 5.2). For the
12 infant populations, the mean expected duration ranges from 17.1 minutes in KEN_02_I to 20.5
13 minutes in IND_05_I. The average over all the infant populations is 18.7 minutes. For
14 populations of children 2-59 months old, the range is from a low of 15.5 minutes in IND_09_C to
15 a high of 26.4 minutes in BFA_01_C, with an average of 21.7.

16 The shortest IMCI consultations take 16.1 or 16.7 minutes in the infant populations and
17 11.0 to 16.2 minutes in the child populations. This floor is determined in large part by the
18 provision of mandatory tasks. In the original generic algorithm for infants and in the India
19 version, these tasks are expected to take 13.9 and 14.5 minutes respectively. In the child
20 populations, the mandatory tasks included in the India version of algorithm are expected to take
21 10.4 minutes. This figure rises to 11.0 in the generic versions, 12.2 in the Sudan version, 13.3 in
22 **Table 4** Mean minutes per task with no minimum duration weighed by task occasions observed

PANEL 1: MINUTES PER TASK WITH NO MINIMUM DURATION					
PATIENT POPULATION	CONSULTATIONS OBSERVED	NUMBER OF TASKS WITH NO MINIMUM DURATION INCLUDED IN STUDY	PERFORMANCES OF TASKS WITH NO MINIMUM DURATION OBSERVED PER CHILD	TOTAL TASK OCCASIONS OBSERVED (B*D)	MINUTES PER TASK WITH NO MINIMUM DURATION (CORRECTED FOR RATE AND PACE, IF NECESSARY)
BENIN (CO)	87	19	14.6	1274	1.4
BENIN (SC)	23	19	9.6	222	1.4
BRA_01_C	295	14	9.9	2907	0.5
KEN_03_C	553	32	27.5	15220	0.7
MAR_01_C	397	38	23.7	9400	0.5
SDN_01_C	282	28	16.4	4636	0.8
TZN_01_C	231	21	18.1	4186	0.3
GEOMETRIC MEAN					0.6

PANEL 2: QUALITY OF PROVIDER PERFORMANCE					
PATIENT POPULATION	PERCENT OF PROVIDER CLASSIFICATIONS THAT MATCH THOSE OF SURVEYOR	PERCENT OF CHILDREN CORRECTLY CLASSIFIED	PERCENT OF CHILDREN GIVEN CORRECT SEVERE OR MODERATE CLASSIFICATIONS FOR 3 MAIN SYMPTOMS	PERCENT OF CHILDREN PRESCRIBED UNNECESSARY ANTIBIOTIC	
BENIN (CO)	69.5			NA	
BENIN (SC)	43.0			NA	
BRA_01_C	NA	65.3		7.3	
KEN_03_C	68.9			NA	
MAR_01_C	NA		76.6	23.6	
SDN_01_C	49.1			37.4	
TZN_01_C	NA	63.5		14.0	

1

2 Source: WORKBOOK S8 MINUTES PER TASK WITH NO MINIMUM DURATION

3

4 the Afghanistan and Egypt versions, 14.2 in the Morocco version, 14.5 in the Kwa Zulu-Natal

5 version, and 16.2 in the Burkina Faso version. The floor also includes a few treatment and

6 counseling tasks. For example, providers are to advise all mothers when to return and to

7 counsel them about their own health issues unless the patient requires urgent referral.

8 Depending on the case mix presented by the patient populations and the details of the relevant

9 algorithm, such tasks increase the minimum expected duration of consultations by 2.2 to 2.7

10 minutes for the infant populations and 0.6 to 2.9 minutes for the child populations.

1 Table 5.1 Expected duration of initial IMCI consultations in infant populations

PATENT POPULATION	MANDATORY	MINIMUM	WEIGHTED AVERAGE	98TH PERCENTILE
KEN 02 I	13.9	13.7	17.1	21.5
ZAF 01 I	13.9	13.1	17.4	21.6
BGD 01 I	13.9	13.1	17.4	21.5
GHN 01 I	13.8	13.7	17.5	22.2
IND 08 I	14.5	13.7	17.8	22.6
IND 04 I	14.5	13.7	17.9	22.5
KHM 01 I	13.9	13.7	18.9	24.9
IND 06 I	14.5	13.7	19.0	24.1
IND 02 I	13.9	13.7	19.9	25.7
IND 07 I	14.5	13.7	20.3	24.3
BDL 01 I	13.0	13.7	20.4	25.8
IND 05 I	14.5	13.7	20.5	25.3
MINIMUM			17.1	
MAXIMUM			20.5	
WEIGHTED AVERAGE			18.7	

2
3
4
5

Source: WORKBOOK S2_CLASSIFICATION PROFILES FOR INFANT POPULATIONS

6 Finally, consultations with much longer expected durations are not uncommon. In the
7 infant populations, the 98th percentile of expected durations ranges from 21.5 minutes in
8 BGD_01_I and KEN_02_I, where it is 4.1 or 4.4 minutes longer than the mean, to 25.7 minutes
9 in IND_02_C, where it is 5.8 minutes longer than the mean. In the child populations, the 98th
10 percentile of expected durations ranges from 22.5 minutes in IND_08_C, where it is 7.0 minutes
11 longer than the mean, to 35.2 minutes in BFA_01_C, where it is 8.8 minutes longer than the
12 mean. In other words, 1 in 50 consultations is likely to require substantially more time than the
13 average.

14

1 Table 5.2 Expected duration of initial IMCI consultations in child populations

PATIENT POPULATION	MANDATORY	MINIMUM	WEIGHTED AVERAGE	98TH PERCENTILE
IND 09 C	10.4	11.0	15.5	22.5
ETH 01 C	11.0	12.2	17.2	23.0
CHN 01 C	11.0	12.2	18.2	26.0
IND 03 C	10.4	11.0	18.8	26.0
BEN 01 C	11.0	12.2	19.6	26.3
BRA 01 C	11.0	17.7	20.1	27.0
IND 01 C	11.0	12.2	20.2	28.0
TZN 01 C	11.0	12.2	20.6	27.0
TZN 02 C	11.0	12.2	20.8	29.0
USA 01 C	11.0	12.2	21.0	27.0
BWA 01 C	13.3	14.5	21.3	29.3
BGD 02 C	11.0	12.2	21.6	27.6
KEN 03 C	11.0	12.2	21.6	27.4
BGD 03 C	11.0	12.2	21.7	27.1
KHM 01 C	11.0	12.2	21.7	27.1
BGD 04 C	11.0	12.2	21.8	27.3
VNM 01 C	11.0	12.2	21.9	29.0
GHR 01 C	11.0	17.7	22.0	27.6
KIN 01 C	11.0	12.2	22.4	27.8
AFG 01 C	13.3	14.5	23.2	31.5
AFG 02 C	13.3	14.5	23.7	31.2
EGY 01 C	13.3	14.0	24.1	31.2
SEN 01 C	12.2	15.0	24.3	32.3
MAR 01 C	14.2	10.3	24.0	33.2
ZAF 02 C	14.5	15.1	25.2	32.6
ZWE 01 C	15.1	16.2	25.6	33.8
BFA 01 C	16.2	17.4	26.4	35.2
MINIMUM			15.5	
MAXIMUM			26.4	
WEIGHTED AVERAGE			21.7	

2
3
4 Sources: WORKBOOK S3_CLASSIFICATIONS PROFILES FOR CHILD POPULATIONS IN UMICs,
5 WORKBOOK S4_CLASSIFICATIONS PROFILES FOR CHILD POPULATIONS IN LMICs (PART 1),
6 WORKBOOK S5_CLASSIFICATION PROFILES FOR CHILD POPULATIONS IN LMICs (PART 2),
7 WORKBOOK S6_CLASSIFICATION PROFILES FOR CHILD POPULATIONS IN LICs

1 **DISCUSSION**

2 The expected duration of initial sick child visits in LMICs using the IMCI algorithm
3 exceeds both our preconceptions and our experience. The PHCP anticipated that for some
4 patients in resource-poor settings satisfactory consultations may take as little as 10 minutes [4].
5 However, in the infant populations examined here, the shortest expected consultations exceed
6 this figure by 6.1 or 6.7 minutes. In the child populations the shortest expected consultations
7 exceed the PHCP floor by 1.0 to 7.4 minutes. The WHO suggested that the mean duration of
8 initial consultations with sick children in primary care facilities using the IMCI algorithm would
9 be 15 minutes [17]. However, in the infant populations examined here, the mean expected
10 duration of consultations exceeds this figure by 2.1 to 5.5 minutes. In the child populations, the
11 mean expected duration of consultations exceeds the WHO figure by 0.5 to 11.4 minutes.

12 With 1 exception, the mean expected durations of initial IMCI consultations also are
13 greater than the mean observed durations. The exception is KEN_03_C where the observed
14 duration is 24.8 minutes (see WORKBOOK S5) and the expected duration is 21.6 minutes (Table
15 5.2). In this case, researchers judged the observer effect to be substantial. Providers “were
16 clearly motivated to follow the IMCI guidelines while being observed ... Thirty-seven percent of
17 health workers acknowledged spending more time than usual with patients because of the
18 presence of the survey team” [40]. In the other studies for which both observed and expected
19 durations are available, the expected duration exceeds the observed duration by 9.1 minutes
20 (81.8%) in BRA_01_C, 12.9 minutes (107.3%) in MAR_01_C, 4.3 minutes (21.6%) in SDN_01_C,
21 and 12.4 minutes (150.9%) in TZN_01_C (WORKBOOKS S3, S5 and S6 and Table 5.2).

22 **Limitations**

1 Missing Information. Research on IMCI services is a rich source for the investigation of the time
2 needed to perform primary care consultations, but it is by no means perfect. A portion of the
3 information needed to calculate the number of tasks required is missing. This information falls
4 under 7 headings: classifications, 'other problems', vaccination status, age, clinical signs, the
5 organization of work, and miscellaneous.

6 As regards classifications, in the infant populations information is missing for all
7 classifications related to dehydration, persistent diarrhea, and dysentery in ZAF_01_I and for all
8 classifications related to persistent diarrhea and dysentery in KEN_02_I. Information is missing
9 on 'low body temperature' for all the populations in which it is relevant: IND_04_I, IND_05_I,
10 IND_06_I, IND_07_I, IND_08_I, and IND_09_I. Nothing is reported for 'local bacterial infection'
11 in KEN_02_I and ZAF_01_I or for 'some dehydration' in KEN_02_I.

12 In the child populations, no information is reported for any classifications related to the
13 following: wheeze in MAR_01_C and ZAF_01_C; persistent diarrhea in BEN_01_C and
14 TZN_01_C; dysentery in BEN_01_C; measles in BEN_01_C, TZN_02_C, and ZAF_01_C; ear
15 problem in BFA_01_C, and anemia in ZAF_01_C. In addition, information is missing for the
16 following individual classifications: 'severe pneumonia', 'severe dehydration' 'severe febrile
17 disease', 'severe complicated measles', and 'severe anemia' in TZN_01_C, 'mastoiditis' in
18 IND_08_C and TZN_01_C; 'chronic ear infection' in IND_08_C; 'severe anemia' in TZN_01_C;
19 'symptomatic HIV unlikely' in BWA_01_C and ZWE_01_C, and '≠ symptomatic HIV assessment'
20 in BWA_01_C.

21 Information on the prevalence of 'other problems' is reported for all infant populations
22 but is almost certainly incomplete (for details, see TEXT S2_NOTES ON THE CONSTRUCTION OF

1 CLASSIFICATION PROFILES). The only child populations for which information on ‘other
2 problems’ appears to be complete and fully specified are CHN_01_C (3 conditions), ETH_01_C
3 (7), GMB_01_C (8), IND_01_C (16), and IND_03_C (10). No information on the prevalence of
4 ‘other problems’ is available for 10 of the 27 child populations. The figures for the remaining 12
5 populations appear to be incomplete.

6 Information on vaccination status is missing for 10 of the 12 infant populations and for
7 14 of the 27 child populations.

8 The incidence of children <2 years old is missing for 10 of the 27 child populations.

9 As regards clinical signs in infant populations, if a first, mandatory check of fasting
10 breathing finds ≥ 60 breaths in 1 minutes, a second, conditional check for fast breathing is
11 required. In the populations using the India infant algorithm, finding that a mother has pain
12 while breastfeeding triggers checks for flat, inverted or sore nipples and for engorged breasts or
13 breast abscesses. Neither of these findings is reported.

14 In the child populations, information is missing on the following clinical signs.

- 15 • In the Morocco algorithm as many as 7 conditional assessments are triggered by ‘wheeze’,
16 ‘fast breathing’, and ‘chest indrawing’, but the prevalence of these signs is not reported.
- 17 • If a child has a very high fever, the provider should give paracetamol. However, the
18 prevalence of very high fever is reported only for EGY_01_C, MAR_01_C, and SDN_01_C.
- 19 • If a child has fever lasting more than 7 days, the provider should ask if it has been present
20 every day. Where dengue is a concern, a fever lasting ≥ 2 days but < 7 triggers 7 conditional
21 assessments for that disease. However, the duration of fever is never reported. It is

1 assumed that children with a classification for dengue had a fever lasting ≥ 2 days but < 7 .

2 Nothing is known about the duration of fever in children without such classifications.

3 • In all the populations except SDN_01_C and ZDN_01_C, providers who find ‘ear discharge’
4 should ask long it has lasted, but the prevalence of ‘ear discharge’ is not reported.

5 • In Burkina Faso, a child is to be offered RUTF to assess feeding if it is ≥ 6 months old, it has
6 no severe classifications, and its WHF/L z-score is < -3 or it has a MUAC < 115 mm. A child
7 with the same classifications and the same nutritional findings but who is < 6 months should
8 have an assessment of breastfeeding. Neither the nutritional findings nor the ages are
9 reported.

10 • The triggers for an assessment of HIV status include ‘HIV status unknown’, ‘episode of
11 diarrhea in last 3 months’, ‘discharge from ear at any time’, and ‘mother is HIV positive’. The
12 first 2 of these items are never reported for the populations in which HIV is a concern. The
13 third is reported only for ZAF_01_C.

14 • The presence of a feeding problem triggers the task ‘counsel the mother on feeding
15 according to the “food box” on the “counsel the mother” chart’. The prevalence of feeding
16 problems is reported only for EGY_01_C, MAR_01_C, and SDN_01_C.

17 • A prolonged cough is among the signs that trigger the task ‘refer to hospital/specialist for
18 assessment’. However, the duration of a cough is never reported.

19 • Signs of shock trigger 2 tasks for patients with dengue fever, but such signs are never
20 reported.

21 The organization of work refers to how tasks are shared by different categories of clinic

22 staff. The only studies that examine this topic are the health facility surveys carried out in Egypt,

1 Morocco, and Sudan under the auspices of the WHO's Eastern Mediterranean Region. All
2 provide information on who weighs the child and takes her temperature with a thermometer.
3 MAR_01_C also reports 'check weight against growth chart', 'check breastfeeding status', 'assess
4 use of other foods and fluids' and 'assess feeding practices during this illness'. EGY_02_C reports
5 on assessments of feeding practices of children less than 2 years old without very low weight,
6 'counsel the mother on feeding according to the "food box"', and 'advise mother when to return
7 immediately'. It also reports on how different kinds of staff share the counseling component of
8 'give course of an appropriate oral antibiotic' and 'rehydrate – Plan A'.

9 Finally, the following miscellaneous information is missing.

- 10 • In all child algorithms except for the generic original, a child who is not visibly awake is to be
11 checked for the danger sign 'lethargy'. However, the triggering condition is reported only
12 for EGY_01_C, MAR_01_C, and SDN_01_C.
- 13 • In South Africa, a child with a fever should have a rapid malaria test if the test is available.
14 The availability of such tests is not reported.
- 15 • The performance of a handful of tasks is conditional on the child being a certain age, e.g. <6
16 months old, but such ages are never reported.
- 17 • The tasks 'give vitamin A' and 'give mebendazole' are conditional on no dose having been
18 given in the last N months, but information on previous treatments is never available.
- 19 • The Afghanistan, Burkina Faso, Egypt, and Morocco versions of the IMCI algorithm require
20 an oral antibiotic to be given to children ≥ 2 years old and with 'some dehydration' if and
21 only if there is cholera in the area. However, the presence of cholera is never reported.

- 1 • The Morocco algorithm provides ‘assess for TB’ in a child has ‘cough or difficult breathing’
2 or a fever and has been exposed to TB. TB exposures are not reported.
- 3 • The KwaZulu-Natal and Timor-Leste algorithms provides ‘treat cause of fever if found’ for
4 children with the classification ‘fever – other cause’. The Timor-Leste algorithm also
5 provides for treatment of other causes of fever, if found, in children with ‘fever-DHF
6 unlikely’. Such causes are not reported.
- 7 • In the generic algorithms and in the Afghanistan, India, KwaZulu-Natal, and Sudan
8 adaptations, the procedures for classifying fever vary with the risk for malaria. Malaria risk
9 is infrequently reported.

10 Co-Morbidities. Though the synergistic relationships among nutrition, immunity, and
11 infection are well established [21, 41] and though co-morbidities are a focal concern of IMCI
12 [16], only 1 of the studies on which this paper is based reports patterns of association among
13 IMCI classifications and it excludes classifications for malnutrition and anemia [42]. As a result,
14 this study assumes that classifications and other findings co-occur randomly. This may well not
15 be the case.

16 The Time Required to Perform Tasks with no Minimum Duration. Estimates of the time
17 required to perform tasks with no minimum duration use information available for just 7
18 populations. The coefficients used to adjust these estimates for the observer effect are derived
19 from a comparison of conspicuous observation and simulated client surveys in Benin [26]. The
20 samples in these surveys are very small.

21 Consequences. Missing information regarding the prevalence of classifications and other
22 findings tends to reduce estimates of the number of tasks required and thus the expected mean

1 duration of consultations. Missing information regarding the organization of work has the
2 opposite effect. The effects of the second and third weaknesses are unknown.

3 **Implications**

4 Personnel Costs. The creators of the IMCI algorithm recognized that it was likely to increase the
5 duration of consultations and, therefore, that primary care facilities might need to employ more
6 health care providers. However, it was hoped that the increases would be modest and that they
7 might be offset by reductions in providers' unused capacity [16, 43].

8 Studies in Bangladesh [44], Brazil [39] and Tanzania [45,46] address this issue. The
9 Bangladesh study was carried out in Matlab, the same rural subdistrict as the 3 Bangladesh IMCI
10 evaluations used here and just a year before BGD_02_C, the first in the series. The Brazil and
11 Tanzania studies are the sources of the data used for BRA_01_C and TZN_01_C.

12 The Brazil and Tanzania studies claim to provide support for the hope that adopting the
13 IMCI program would not require hiring additional health care providers. In Brazil, observed
14 consultations with IMCI providers initially appeared to be 71.5 percent longer than those with
15 non-IMCI providers, 11.08 versus 6.46 minutes. However, after controlling for other
16 determinants of consultation length such as time of day, IMCI visits were just 29.2 percent
17 longer [39]. In Tanzania, the average consultation with an IMCI-trained provider was 30.2
18 percent longer than those in comparison facilities, 8.2 minutes as opposed to 6.3 [45].

19 As we have seen, however, observed consultations generally are significantly shorter
20 than expected consultations. This is a consequence of the fact that observed task performance
21 rates are low. In Brazil, where the results do not have to be adjusted for the presence of an
22 observer, IMCI-trained providers performed, on average, 84 percent of 10 mandatory tasks and

1 just 48 percent of 5 conditional tasks [39]. In Tanzania, where the results do have to be adjusted
2 for observer effects, IMCI-trained providers performed, on average, 91 percent of 7 mandatory
3 tasks and 72 percent of 6 conditional tasks [45]. After adjustment, these figures fall to 69
4 percent for mandatory tasks and 45 percent for conditional tasks. As we have seen, the mean
5 expected duration of consultations is 21.2 minutes in BRA_01_C and 21.3 minutes in TZN_01_C
6 (Table 5.2).

7 In the Bangladesh study, visits with paramedics and community health workers using a
8 questionnaire based on the IMCI protocol were, on average, well over twice as long as those in
9 which providers used their pre-IMCI practices, 18.8 minutes versus roughly 8 minutes. As the
10 authors note, this suggests that adoption of the IMCI clinical algorithm is likely to result in a
11 “significant increase” in personnel costs [44].

12 The estimates of the mean expected duration of initial IMCI consultations offered here
13 provide strong support for the Bangladesh study. The estimates for BGD_02_C, BGD_03_C, and
14 BGD_04_C exceed that for the original Bangladesh study by 2.8 to 3.0 minutes (Table 5.2). Just 2
15 of the 27 estimates for populations 2-59 months old fall below 18.8 minutes: IND_09_C, by 2.7
16 minutes and ETH_01_C, by just 1.6 minutes (Table 5.2).

17 In short, the personnel costs associated with adopting the IMCI algorithm likely are
18 substantial. In the absence of adjustments for task performance rates, the observed duration of
19 consultations should be understood not as an indicator of the time required for an initial sick
20 child visit but, rather, as a crude measure of provider effort [47]. Observed consultations
21 performed by IMCI-trained providers are longer than those performed by providers without
22 such training but fall far short of the expected duration. Patients get the short end of the stick.

1 Time and the quality of care. Estimates of the expected duration of IMCI consultations
2 confirm, yet again, that the quality of primary care for under-five infants and children in
3 resource-poor settings is unsatisfactory [30, 48].

4 It is well established that first visits with IMCI-trained providers are “brief” [30]. A
5 review of Service Provision Assessments (SPAs) in Haiti, Kenya, Malawi, Namibia, Nepal,
6 Rwanda, Senegal, Tanzania, and Uganda found that, excluding “each provider’s first observed
7 consultation to minimize the Hawthorne effect” as well as “the longest 1 percent of visits”, the
8 duration of visits ranged from 6 minutes in Malawi, Nepal, Rwanda, and Uganda to 12 minutes
9 in Tanzania. Across the SPA studies, “82 percent of visits were shorter than 15 minutes” [30]. It
10 should be noted, however, that SPA reports do not distinguish between initial and follow-up
11 visits. Nor do they provide gold standard patient classifications [49,50]. As a result, though SPA
12 surveys provide adequate information on what tasks were performed, they have little to say
13 about what tasks were required. Nevertheless, the SPA survey results are consistent with a
14 recent study of rural IMCI services in Cambodia, Guatemala, Kenya, and Zambia. This study
15 found that the mean observed duration of consultations ranged from 8.2 minutes in Zambia to
16 12.6 minutes in Guatemala [51].

17 Measured against the estimates of the expected duration of IMCI consultations
18 presented here, visits in these populations are, in fact, brutally brief. The bulk of consultations
19 do not last long enough for health workers to perform even the minimum of mandatory tasks,
20 let alone the full range of conditional assessments, treatments, and counseling messages.

21

22

1 **DECLARATIONS**

2 **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

3 Neither was required. This study did not involve human subjects, human specimens/tissue,
4 animals of any kind, vertebrate embryos/tissues, or field research. The information used in the
5 study was obtained from published papers and or public online sources (e.g. WHO Health
6 Facility Survey reports). All the studies were approved by the relevant Institutional Review
7 Boards. No additional clinical studies, surveys, interviews, or field research were conducted.

8 **Consent for publication**

9 Not required. All figures and tables are original.

10 **Availability of data and materials**

11 All supporting information is in the following files available at DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.13915575.
12 WORKBOOK S1 provides references for all the studies used. DATA S1 and DATA S2 contain pdfs
13 of health facility surveys found on the internet that have since been taken down. DATA S3 the
14 2015 IMCI Chart Booklet produced by the Ministry of Health in Burkina Faso copies of which
15 were given to me by researchers.

16 DATA S1 REPORT OF THE IMCI HEALTH FACILITY SURVEY IN BOTSWANA, 2007-2008

17 DATA S2 REPORT OF THE IMCI HEALTH FACILITY SURVEY IN TANZANIA, 2003

18 DATA S3 BURKINA FASO IMCI CHART BOOKLET, 2015

19 TEST S1 NOTES ON ESTIMATING THE EXPECTED DURATION OF IMCI CONSULTATIONS

20 TEXT S2 NOTES ON THE CONSTRUCTION OF CLASSIFICATION PROFILES

21 TEXT S3 NOTES ON THE IDENTIFICATION OF IMCI TASKS

1	TEXT S4	NOTES ON THE ESTIMATION OF THE TIME REQUIRED TO PERFORM TASKS
2		WITH NO MINIMUM DURATION
3	WORKBOOK S1	STUDIES USED
4	WORKBOOK S2	CLASSIFICATION PROFILES FOR INFANTS
5	WORKBOOK S3	CLASSIFICATION PROFILES FOR CHILDREN IN UPPER MIDDLE-INCOME
6		COUNTRIES
7	WORKBOOK S4	CLASSIFICATION PROFILES FOR CHILDREN IN LOWER MIDDLE-INCOME
8		COUNTRIES, PART I
9	WORKBOOK S5	CLASSIFICATION PROFILES FOR CHILDREN IN LOWER MIDDLE-INCOME
10		COUNTRIES, PART II
11	WORKBOOK S6	CLASSIFICATION PROFILES FOR CHILDREN IN LOW INCOME COUNTRIES
12	WORKBOOK S7	IMCI CLINICAL TASKS
13	WORKBOOK S8	MINUTES PER TASKS WITH NO MINIMUM DURATION

14 **Competing Interests**

15 None

16 **Funding**

17 None

18 **Authors' contributions**

19 AC is responsible for all the work that went into this paper. He designed the study, collected and
20 analyzed the data, wrote the paper, and wrote any required revisions.

21 **REFERENCES**

- 1 1. Byrne P, Long B. Doctors Talking to Patients: A Study of the Verbal Behavior of General
2 Practitioners Consulting in their Surgeries. London: H. M. Stationary Office; 1976.
- 3 2. Fiscella K, Epstein R. So much to do, so little time: care for the socially disadvantage and
4 the 15-minute visit. Archives of Internal Medicine. 2008;168(17):1843-1852.
- 5 3. Royal College of General Practitioners. The 2022 GP: A vision for General Practice in the
6 future NHS 2013 [24 June 2022]. Available from:
7 [https://www.pulsetoday.co.uk/news/uncategorised/in-full-the-2022-gp-a-vision-for-general-
9 practice-in-the-future-nhs/](https://www.pulsetoday.co.uk/news/uncategorised/in-full-the-2022-gp-a-vision-for-general-
8 practice-in-the-future-nhs/).
- 10 4. Primary Health Care Performance Initiative. Primary Health Care Vital Signs Profiles:
11 Detailed Methodology Note: Primary Health Care Performance Initiative; 2018 [24 July 2021].
12 Available from:
13 [https://improvingphc.org/sites/default/files/2018.10.22%20VSP%20Methodology%20Note_0.p
15 df.](https://improvingphc.org/sites/default/files/2018.10.22%20VSP%20Methodology%20Note_0.p
14 df.)
- 16 5. Hughes D. Consultation length and outcome in two group general practices. British
17 Journal of General Practice. 1983;33(248):143-147.
- 18 6. Roland M, Bartholomew J, Courtenay M, Morris R, Morrell D. The “five minute”
19 consultation: effect of time constraint on clinical content and patient satisfaction. British
20 Medical Journal. 1986;292:870-873.
- 21 7. Howie J, Porter A, Forbes J. Quality and the use of time in general practice: widening the
22 discussion. British Medical Journal. 1989;298:1008-1010.
- 23 8. Howie J, Porter A, Porter D, Hopton J. Long to short consultation ratio: a proxy measure
24 of quality of care for general practice. British Journal of General Practice. 1991;41:48-54.

- 1 9. Wilson A. Consultation length in general practice: a review. *British Journal of General*
2 *Practice*. 1991;41:119-122.
- 3 10. Freeman G, Horder J, Howie J, Pali Hungin A, Hill A, Shah N, et al. Evolving general
4 *practice consultation in Britain: issues of length and context*. *British Medical Journal*.
5 2002;324:880-882.
- 6 11. Wilson A, Childs S. The relationship between consultation length, process and outcomes
7 *in general practice: a systematic review*. *British Journal of General Practice*. 2002;52(485):1012-
8 1020.
- 9 12. Deveugele M, Derese A, van den Brink-Muinen A, Bensing J, De Maeseneer J.
10 *Consultation length in general practice: cross sectional study in six European countries*. *British*
11 *Medical Journal*. 2002;235:7362.
- 12 13. Reyburn, Mwakasungula E, Chonya S, Mtei F, Bygbjerg I, Poulsen A, et al. Clinical
13 *assessment and treatment in paediatric wards in the north-east of the United Republic of*
14 *Tanzania*. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*. 2008;86:132-139.
- 15 14. Dugdale D, Epstein E, Pantilat S. Time and the patient–physician relationship. *Journal of*
16 *General Internal Medicine*. 1999;14:S34-S40.
- 17 15. Outomuro D, Actis A. Estimación del tiempo de consulta ambulatoria en clínica médic.
18 *Revista Médica de Chile*. 2013;141:361-6.
- 19 16. Tulloch J. The integrated approach to child health in developing countries. *The Lancet*.
20 1999;354:16-20.

- 1 17. World Health Organization. Health Facility Survey: Tool to Evaluate the Quality of Care
2 Delivered to Sick Children Attending Outpatient Facilities Geneva, Switzerland: World Health
3 Organization; 2003. Available from: <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/42643>.
- 4 18. Druss B, Mechanic D. Should visit length be used as a quality indicator in primary care.
5 The Lancet. 2003;361(9364):1148.
- 6 19. Gove S. Integrated management of childhood illness by outpatient health workers:
7 technical basis and overview. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 1997;75:7-24.
- 8 20. Boschi-Pinto C, Labadie G, Dilip T, Oliphant N, Dalglish S, Aboubaer S, et al. Global
9 implementation survey of Integrated Management of Childhood Illness (IMCI): 20 years on. BMJ
10 Open [Internet]. 2018; 8:e019079.
- 11 21. Scrimshaw NS, SanGiovanni JP. Synergism of nutrition, infection, and immunity: an
12 overview. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 1997;66(2):464S-477S.
- 13 22. Black R, Morris S, Bryce J. Where and why are 10 million children dying every year. The
14 Lancet. 2003;361(9376):2226-2234.
- 15 23. Victora C, Adam T, Bryce T, Evans D. Integrated management of the sick child. In: Jamison
16 DT, J G Breman, A R Measham, G Alleyne, M Claeson, D B Evans, P Jha, A Mills and P Musgrove,
17 editors. Disease Control Priorities in Developing Countries. 2nd ed. Washington, DC: World Bank
18 and Oxford University Press; 2006.
- 19 24. Rowe AK, Hirnschall G, Lambrechts T, Bryce J. Linking the Integrated Management of
20 Childhood Illness (IMCI) and health information system (HIS) classifications: issues and options.
21 Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 1999;77(12):988-995.

- 1 25. World Health Organization. Handbook: IMCI Integrated Management of Childhood
2 Illness Geneva: World Health Organization; 2005. Available from:
3 <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/42939>.
- 4 26. Rowe AK, Onikpo F, Lama M, Deming MS. Evaluating health worker performance in Benin
5 using the simulated client method with real children. *Implementation Science*. 2012;7(1):1-11.
- 6 27. Bernasconi A, Crabbé F, Adedeji AM, Bello A, Schmitz T, Landi M, et al. Results from one-
7 year use of an electronic clinical decision support system in a post-conflict context: an
8 implementation research. *PloS One*. 2019;14:e0225634.
- 9 28. Mustafa L. A hospital based prospective observational cross sectional study from India to
10 evaluate the role of integrated management of childhood illness (IMCI) in under five childhood
11 illnesses (6 months to 5 years). *Journal of Pediatrics and Neonatal Care*. 2016;4(6):151-159.
- 12 29. Mæstad O, Torsvik G, Aakvik A. Overworked? On the relationship between workload and
13 health worker performance. *Journal of Health Economics*. 2010;29(5):686-698.
- 14 30. Kruk ME, Gage AD, Mbaruku GM, Leslie HH. Content of care in 15,000 sick child
15 consultations in nine lower-income countries. *Health Services Research*. 2018;53(4):2084-2098.
- 16 31. Boulanger LL, Lee LA, Odhacha A. Treatment in Kenyan rural health facilities: projected
17 drug costs using the WHO-UNICEF integrated management of childhood illness (IMCI)
18 guidelines. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*. 1999;77(10):852-858.
- 19 32. Mitchell M, Msellem D, Lesh N. Using electronic technology to improve clinical care:
20 results from a before-after cluster trial to evaluate assessment and classification of of sick
21 children according to Integrated Management of Childhood Illness (IMCI) protocol in Tanzania.
22 *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making* [Internet]. 2013; 13:1-8.

- 1 33. Simoes E, Desta T, Tessema T, Gerbresellassie T, Dagne M, Gove S. Performance of
2 health workers after training in integrated management of childhood illness in Gondar, Ethiopia.
3 Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 1997;75(Suppl 1):43.
- 4 34. Ministry of Health-Zambia. Zambia 2018 National Integrated Management of Newborn
5 and Childhood Illnesses Health Facility Survey Report Lusaka, Zambia: Ministry of Health-
6 Zambia; 2018. Available from: [https://www.afro.who.int/publications/zambia-2018-national-](https://www.afro.who.int/publications/zambia-2018-national-integrated-management-newborn-and-childhood-illnesses-health)
7 [integrated-management-newborn-and-childhood-illnesses-health](https://www.afro.who.int/publications/zambia-2018-national-integrated-management-newborn-and-childhood-illnesses-health).
- 8 35. Jibo AM. Assessment of the Effect of Implementation of the Integrated Management of
9 Childhood Illnesses (IMCI) Approach on Childcare in Kano State Nigeria Zaria, Nigeria: Ahmadu
10 Bello University Teaching Hospital; 2010. Available from: Available at
11 <https://dissertation.npmcn.edu.ng/index.php/FMCPH/article/Aview/2475>.
- 12 36. Ministry of Health and Population-Egypt. Health Facility Survey on Outpatient Child Care
13 (IMCI) Services, Egypt, March 2002 Cairo: World Health Organization; 2003. Available from:
14 http://applications.emro.who.int/dsaf/EMRPUB_2002_EN_1370.pdf.
- 15 37. Ministry of Health-Morocco. IMCI Health Facility Survey, Morocco, October-December
16 2007 Cairo: World Health Organization; 2009. Available from:
17 <http://applications.emro.who.int/dsaf/dsa973.pdf>.
- 18 38. Ministry of Health-Sudan. IMCI Health Facility Survey, Sudan, March-April 2003 Cairo:
19 World Health Organization; 2004. Available from:
20 http://applications.emro.who.int/dsaf/EMRPUB_2003_EN_1369.pdf.

- 1 39. Adam T, Amorim DG, Edwards SJ, Amaral J, Evans DB. Capacity constraints to the
2 adoption of new interventions: consultation time and the Integrated Management of Childhood
3 Illness in Brazil. *Health policy and planning*. 2005;20(suppl 1):i49-i57.
- 4 40. Herman EJ. Assessment of the quality of outpatient management of childhood illness in
5 government and non-government health facilities, Bungoma District, Kenya, April 2002. Atlanta,
6 GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2002.
- 7 41. Caulfield LE, Richard SA, Black RE. Undernutrition as an underlying cause of malaria
8 morbidity and mortality in children less than five years old. *American Journal of Tropical
9 Medicine and Hygiene*. 2004;71:55-63.
- 10 42. Kolstad PR, Burnham G, Kalter HD, Kenya-Mugisha N, Black RE. Potential implications of
11 the integrated management of childhood illness (IMCI) for hospital referral and pharmaceutical
12 usage in western Uganda. *Tropical Medicine & International Health*. 1998;3(9):691-699.
- 13 43. Adam T, Bishai D, Khan M, Evans D. Methods for the costing component of the Multi-
14 Country Evaluation of IMCI Geneva: World Health Organization; 2004. Available from:
15 <http://www.who.int/imci-mce>.
- 16 44. Khan MM, Ahmed S, Saha K. Implementing IMCI in a developing country: estimating the
17 need for additional health workers. *Human Resources for Health Development*. 2000;4:73-82.
- 18 45. Schellenberg JA, Bryce J, de Savigny D, Lambrechts T, Mbuya C, Mgalula L, et al. The
19 effect of Integrated Management of Childhood Illness on observed quality of care of under-fives
20 in rural Tanzania. *Health policy and planning*. 2004;19(1):1-10

- 1 46. Adam T, Manzi F, Schellenberg JA, Mgalula L, de Savigny D, Evans DB. Does the Integrated
2 Management of Childhood Illness cost more than routine care? Results from the United
3 Republic of Tanzania. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*. 2005;83(5):369-377.
- 4 47. Das, J, Gertler, PJ. Variations in practice quality in five low-income countries: a
5 conceptual overview. *Health Affairs*. 2007;26(3):w296-w309.
- 6 48. Rowe AK, de Savigny D, Lanata C, Victora CG. How can we achieve and maintain high-
7 quality performance of health workers in low-resource settings. *The Lancet*. 2005;366:1026-
8 1035.
- 9 49. USAID. Service Provision Assessment [29 September 2022]. Available from:
10 <https://dhsprogram.com/methodology/Survey-Types/SPA.cfm>.
- 11 50. Program TD. SPA Questionnaires 2022 English [30 September 2022]. Available from:
12 [https://dhsprogram.com/publications/publication-SPAQ8-SPA-Questionnaires-and-](https://dhsprogram.com/publications/publication-SPAQ8-SPA-Questionnaires-and-Manuals.cfm)
13 [Manuals.cfm](https://dhsprogram.com/publications/publication-SPAQ8-SPA-Questionnaires-and-Manuals.cfm).
- 14 51. Edward A, Dam K, Chege J, Ghee AE, Zare H, Chhorvann C. Measuring pediatric quality of
15 care in rural clinics — a multi-country assessment — Cambodia, Guatemala, Zambia, and Kenya.
16 *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*. 2016;24:586-593..

17