

1 Insufficient serological evidence of the association between chronic kidney disease and leptospirosis
2 in Badulla and Kandy districts, Sri Lanka

3

4 Regina Amanda Fonseka: Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of
5 Peradeniya, 34, Galaha Road, Peradeniya 20400, Sri Lanka. E-mail: gramandafonseka@gmail.com

6 Pavani Senarathne: Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Peradeniya, 34,
7 Galaha Road, Peradeniya 20400, Sri Lanka. E-mail: pavanisenarathne@gmail.com

8 Devinda Shameera Muthusinghe: Graduate School of Infectious Diseases, Hokkaido University, Kita
9 18, Nishi 9, Kita-ku, Sapporo, Hokkaido 060-0818, Japan. E-mail: devindasm@nagasaki-u.ac.jp

10 Nishantha Nanayakkara: Nephrology and Transplantation Unit Kandy Teaching Hospital, William
11 Gopallawa Mawatha, Kandy 20000, Sri Lanka. E-mail: nishantha4313@gmail.com

12 Lishantha Gunaratne: Renal Unit, District Hospital, Girandurukotte, Girandurukotte 90750, Sri Lanka.
13 E-mail: lishanthe@yahoo.co.uk

14 Kumiko Yoshimatsu: Graduate School of Infectious Diseases, Hokkaido University, Kita 18, Nishi 9,
15 Kita-ku, Sapporo, Hokkaido 060-0818, Japan. Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty
16 of Medicine, Hokkaido University, 7 Kita 7, Nishi 15, Kita-ku, Sapporo, Hokkaido 060-0815, Japan. E-
17 mail: yosimatu@igm.hokudai.ac.jp

18 Nobuo Koizumi: Department of Bacteriology I, National Institute of Infectious Diseases, 1-23-1
19 Toyama, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162-8640, Japan. E-mail: nkoizumi@niid.go.jp

20 Chandika Damesh Gamage: Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of
21 Peradeniya, 34, Galaha Road, Peradeniya 20400, Sri Lanka. E-mail: chandika.gamage@med.pdn.ac.lk

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

22

23 Corresponding author: Chandika Damesh Gamage

24 **Abstract**

25 Objective

26 Chronic kidney disease (CKD) and chronic kidney disease of uncertain etiology (CKDu) are chronic
27 kidney diseases that pose a significant health burden in Sri Lanka. Leptospirosis is a bacterial
28 zoonosis that primarily damages renal tissues by colonization of *Leptospira* spp. in the renal tubules
29 and is a suspected etiological agent of CKDu. Since Sri Lanka is an endemic for leptospirosis and
30 outbreaks of the disease have been reported, this study aimed to determine the association
31 between leptospirosis and chronic kidney disease in two geographically distinct regions of Sri Lanka,
32 Badulla (CKDu endemic) and Kandy (CKDu non-endemic) districts.

33 Results

34 Eighty-five patients with CKDu and 149 controls from Badulla and 49 patients with CKD and 135
35 controls from Kandy were serologically tested by microscopic agglutination test with a panel of 11
36 *Leptospira* serogroups. The seroprevalence rates for leptospirosis were 7.1% and 13.4% in the CKDu
37 and control groups, respectively, in Badulla and 2.1% and 18.5% in the CKD and control groups,
38 respectively, in Kandy. There were no statistically significant differences between demographic
39 characteristics and leptospirosis seropositivity in the CKD and control groups in either Badulla or
40 Kandy.

41

42 **Keywords**

43 chronic kidney disease, chronic kidney disease of uncertain etiology, leptospirosis, Sri Lanka

44

45 **Introduction**

46 Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a global public health problem primarily caused by due to diabetes
47 and hypertension. CKD is defined as any condition that damages kidney tissue and results in a
48 decrease in renal function with a glomerular filtration rate (GFR) of $<60 \text{ mL/min/1.73 m}^2$ for ≥ 3
49 months [1]. CKD that occurs in the absence of diabetes, hypertension, and other known risk factors
50 is referred to as chronic kidney disease of uncertain etiology (CKDu) [2]. CKDu has been reported in
51 Central America, Egypt, India, and Sri Lanka [3–6]. While several hypotheses are being explored to
52 determine the causative agents/factors responsible for CKDu, one hypothesis that has been
53 overlooked in Sri Lanka is an infectious etiology, such as hantavirus infection and leptospirosis [7].
54 Previous studies have implicated leptospirosis as a possible etiological agent or risk factor for CKDu
55 [7–10]. Leptospirosis is one of the most prevalent bacterial zoonoses worldwide and is caused by
56 spirochetes of the genus *Leptospira* [7]. The kidney is the primary target organ of *Leptospira* spp. If
57 left untreated, the bacteria can colonize the renal tubules of the kidney tissue [10]. Renal
58 colonization by *Leptospira* spp. can lead to interstitial tubular nephritis and acute kidney injury (AKI),
59 which carry a risk for CKD [10]. Long-term mild subclinical AKI may lead to the development of CKD
60 [11]. In 2015, an association between CKD and leptospirosis was first suggested in Taiwan:
61 participants exposed to *Leptospira* spp. showed a 2.5% decrease in estimated GFR and a higher
62 percentage of CKD compared to those not exposed to the bacteria [12]. In the same study, 88
63 individuals with high anti-leptospiral antibody titers were followed up for two years, and individuals
64 with microscopic agglutination test (MAT) titers > 400 showed a positive correlation with the kidney
65 injury marker KIM-1/Cr [12]. In 2018, Yang presented two hypothetical pathways by which
66 leptospirosis could progress to CKD: acute *Leptospira* infection could progress to chronic
67 leptospirosis if left untreated, leading to the development of CKD, while subclinical *Leptospira*
68 infection could lead to chronic leptospirosis that may progress to CKD [10]. Heat stress and
69 dehydration have been postulated to have synergistic effects on these pathways [10]. Furthermore,
70 there are several similarities between leptospirosis kidney disease and CKDu, such as

71 tubulointerstitial nephritis, interstitial fibrosis, non-proteinuria, proximal tubule dysfunction, and
72 hypokalemia, and both diseases affect middle-aged men living in hot climates [10].

73 Although leptospirosis is an endemic and notifiable disease in Sri Lanka, it is still largely
74 underdiagnosed and therefore most likely untreated, which can lead to a chronic state of infection
75 without symptoms. The highest burden of CKDu occurs in the North Central Province (NCP), where
76 leptospirosis outbreaks are also common [13, 14]. Since most of the CKDu affected population in the
77 NCP are middle-aged men working in agriculture, it is possible that the renal tissue damage caused
78 by *Leptospira* infection may progress to a chronic state due to incomplete recovery from mild AKI,
79 recurrent exposure to *Leptospira* spp., and heat stress and dehydration. Therefore, this study
80 investigated the involvement of leptospirosis as a causative agent or risk factor for CKD by
81 comparing the seroprevalence of leptospirosis between chronic kidney disease patients and healthy
82 controls in a CKDu endemic district, Badulla, and a CKDu non-endemic district, Kandy, Sri Lanka.

83

84 **Main Text**

85 **Methods**

86 **Study design**

87 This is a hospital and community-based cross-sectional study with unmatched cases and controls.

88 The study areas were Mahiyangana Divisional Secretariat, Badulla district, an endemic region of
89 CKDu, and Yatinuwara Divisional Secretariat, Kandy district, a non-endemic region of CKDu, Sri
90 Lanka.

91

92 **Sample collection**

93 Sample collection was conducted between January 2017 and February 2018. Clinically diagnosed
94 CKDu patients aged ≥ 18 years residing in Badulla (n=85) and CKD patients aged ≥ 18 years in Kandy
95 (n=49) districts and attending the renal clinics of the Nephrology and Transplantation Unit,
96 Girandurukotte District Hospital (Badulla) and Teaching Hospital Kandy (Kandy), respectively, were
97 recruited as cases for the study. Individuals with a history of alcoholism were excluded from the
98 study. For the control groups, the Medical Office of Health areas within the Badulla and Kandy
99 districts were randomly selected. Individuals aged ≥ 18 years, residing in Badulla (n=149) and Kandy
100 (n=135) districts, with no clinically diagnosed renal disease and normal serum creatinine levels (0.5–
101 1.2 mg/dL) volunteered to participate in this study. Individuals with a history of either alcoholism or
102 kidney disease were excluded from the study.

103 Five milliliters of blood were collected in sterile tubes without anticoagulant. The tubes were left
104 undisturbed at room temperature for 30 min and centrifuged at $2000\times g$ for serum separation.
105 Serum separation was performed at the sample collection site and immediately transported in
106 insulated coolers to the Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Peradeniya,
107 and serum samples were stored at -20°C prior to analysis.

108 At the time of sample collection, demographic information was collected using a structured
109 questionnaire. The questionnaire covered the following information: basic demographic data (age
110 and sex), family and past medical history, occupational information, agricultural activity, and
111 exposure to rodents.

112

113 **Detection of anti-leptospiral antibodies by MAT**

114 The MAT panel antigens used in this study were obtained from the Veterinary Research Institute and
115 contained 12 serovars belonging to 11 serogroups: serogroup Autumnalis (serovar Autumnalis),
116 Bataviae (Bataviae), Canicola (Canicola), Grippotyphosa (Grippotyphosa), Hebdomadis

117 (Hebdomadis), Javanica (Javanica), Panama (Panama), Sejroe (Hardjo and Wolffi), Semarang
118 (Patoc), Shermani (Shermani), and Tarassovi (Tarassovi). The strains were maintained in a liquid
119 Ellinghausen McCullough Johnson and Harris medium supplemented with *Leptospira* enrichment (BD
120 Difco, USA) and 5-fluorouracil (final concentration of 200 µg/mL). Four- to seven-day-old cultures with
121 growth equivalent to 0.5 McFarland (approximately $1-2 \times 10^8$ organisms/mL) were used for MAT as
122 previously described [15, 16]. A MAT titer of $\geq 1:400$ was considered as positive for acute
123 leptospirosis, as a titer of $\geq 1:320$, is considered clinically significant in Sri Lanka [17].

124

125 **Statistical analysis**

126 All statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 25
127 (IBM, USA) and the online tool MedCalc (<https://www.medcalc.org/>). Exposure to *Leptospira* spp.
128 and possible risk factors were evaluated by 2x2 Yate's corrected chi-square test or Fisher's exact
129 test. A P value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The odds ratio and associated
130 95% confidence interval (CI) were calculated in the exposed group when compared with the non-
131 exposed group for *Leptospira* spp.

132

133 **Results**

134 **Leptospirosis seroprevalence in Badulla and Kandy**

135 The seroprevalence rates in the CKDu and control groups in Badulla were 7.1% and 13.4%,
136 respectively (Table 1). There was no statistically significant difference between the disease and
137 control groups ($p=0.14$). Seroprevalences of 2.0% and 18.5% for leptospirosis were observed in the
138 CKD and control groups, respectively, in Kandy (Table 1). The seroprevalence in the CKD group was
139 significantly lower than that in the control group ($p=0.02$).

140 Table 1. Leptospirosis seropositivity between CKD patients and healthy individuals in Badulla and Kandy.

District	Group	No. of tested	No. of MAT positives (%)	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	P value
Badulla	CKDu patients	85	6 (7.1)	0.49 (0.19–1.27)	0.14
	Control	149	20 (13.4)		
Kandy	CKD patients	49	1 (2.0)	0.09 (0.01–0.70)	0.02
	Control	135	25 (18.5)		

142

143 **Comparison of demographic characteristics and seropositivity in Badulla and Kandy**

144 There were no statistically significant differences in demographic characteristics and leptospirosis

145 seropositivity between the CKD and control groups in Badulla and Kandy (Table 2).

146 Table 2. Comparison of demographic characteristics and seropositivity in CKD patients and controls in Badulla and Kandy

	Badulla								Kandy							
	CKDu patients (n=85)				Controls (n=149)				CKD patients (n=49)				Controls (n=135)			
	No. of individuals	No. of MAT		<i>P</i> value	No. of individuals	No. of MAT		<i>P</i> value	No. of individuals	No. of MAT		<i>P</i> value	No. of individuals	No. of MAT		<i>P</i> value
	(+)ve	(-)ve		ls	(+)ve	(-)ve		ls	(+)ve	(-)ve		ls	(+)ve	(-)ve		
Age																
>=50	62	4	58	0.72	51	5	46	0.50	26	1	25	0.34	53	11	42	0.76
<50	23	2	21		98	15	83		23	0	23		82	14	68	
Gender																
Male	57	4	53	0.98	62	10	52	0.57	27	0	27	0.92	73	10	63	0.18
Female	28	2	26		87	10	77		22	1	21		62	15	47	

Occupation

Farming	75	6	69	0.79	72	13	59	0.17	3	0	3	0.80	10	1	9	0.77
Non-farming	10	0	10		77	7	70		46	1	45		125	24	101	

Risk factors for CKD

+	53	5	48	0.51	33	5	28	0.97	42	1	41	0.68	27	5	22	1.00
-	32	1	31		116	15	101		7	0	7		108	20	88	

Exposure to
agriculture

Yes	80	6	74	0.53	113	15	98	0.93	13	0	13	0.54	26	4	22	0.86
No	5	0	5		36	5	31		36	1	35		109	21	88	

Storing crop at
house

Yes	79	5	74	0.90	100	16	84	0.29	6	0	6	0.71	15	4	11	0.48
-----	----	---	----	------	-----	----	----	------	---	---	---	------	----	---	----	------

No	6	1	5		49	4	45		43	1	42		120	21	99
----	---	---	---	--	----	---	----	--	----	---	----	--	-----	----	----

Rats seen at home
or
surroundings

Yes	66	5	61	0.73	123	19	104	0.21	32	1	31	0.46	103	20	83	0.82
-----	----	---	----	------	-----	----	-----	------	----	---	----	------	-----	----	----	------

No	19	1	18		26	1	25		17	0	17		32	5	27
----	----	---	----	--	----	---	----	--	----	---	----	--	----	---	----

Dried rodent feces
seen at home or
surrounding

Yes	57	6	51	0.18	88	16	72	0.07	17	0	17	0.46	50	7	43	0.42
-----	----	---	----	------	----	----	----	------	----	---	----	------	----	---	----	------

No	28	0	28		61	4	57		32	1	31		85	18	67
----	----	---	----	--	----	---	----	--	----	---	----	--	----	----	----

148

149 **Infecting *Leptospira* serogroups in Badulla and Kandy**

150 Serogroup Sejroe was the predominant infecting serogroup in both Badulla and Kandy (Table 3).

151 Although a MAT titer $\geq 1:400$ was considered positive for leptospiral antibody indicating acute

152 infection in this study, individuals with lower antibody titers were also observed (Supplementary

153 Table 1).

154 Table 3. Results of MAT in Badulla and Kandy

Serogroup	Badulla		Kandy	
	CKDu Patients (n=85)	Controls (n=149)	CKD Patients (n=49)	Controls (n=135)
	No. of positives (%)	No. of positives (%)	No. of positives (%)	No. of positives (%)
Autumnalis	1 (1.2)	1 (0.7)	0 (0)	1 (0.7)
Bataviae	0 (0)	1 (0.7)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Canicola	1 (1.2)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Javanica	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	4 (3.0)
Sejroe	4 (4.7)	18 (12.1)	1 (2)	17 (12.6)
Semarang	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	3 (2.2)
Total	6 (7.1)	20 (13.4)	1 (2.0)	25 (18.5)

156

157 **Discussion**

158 This study suggests that there is no association between CKD and leptospirosis seroprevalence. This
159 finding is consistent with that of a recent study conducted in the NCP of Sri Lanka in 2020, where the
160 difference in leptospirosis seroprevalence between the CKDu and control groups was not statistically
161 significant [18]. On the other hand, these results contradict the findings of a study conducted in
162 Taiwan, which showed an association between *Leptospira* seroprevalence and CKD and lower eGFR
163 [12]. The cause of CKDu may vary regionally and be geographically specific. Epidemics of kidney
164 disease of unknown etiology have previously been reported: Itai-itai disease and Balkan endemic
165 nephropathy (BEN) are nephropathic epidemics whose etiology was identified much later. Itai-itai
166 disease, which occurred in Japan in 1912, was found to be caused by cadmium poisoning in 1968,
167 while BEN, which occurred in the 1950s, was found to be caused by aristocholic acid in 1993 [19].

168 This study showed that the predominant infecting *Leptospira* serogroup was Sejroe, of which serovar
169 Hardjo was the most reactive serovar in both Badulla and Kandy (Table 3 and Supplementary Table
170 1). Serovar Hardjo is known to be maintained by cattle and the transmission of this strain to humans
171 often occurs through contact with cattle [20]. The high seroprevalence for serogroup Sejroe (serovar
172 Hardjo) can be explained by the exposure of the population to agricultural activities with
173 direct/indirect contact with cattle in Badulla (Table 2). However, a high seroprevalence for serogroup
174 Sejroe (serovar Hardjo) was also observed in the Kandy population, although most of them were not
175 involved in agriculture (Table 2). Hardjo infections in dogs and cats have been reported previously in
176 Brazil, Croatia, Italy, Scotland, and the USA [21–27]. In addition, a previous serological study of
177 companion dogs in Kandy district showed that Sejroe was the most prevalent serogroup [16].

178

179 **Limitations**

180 The CKD cohort in this study consisted of CKD and CKDu patients, whereas it would have been ideal
181 to recruit only CKDu patients. Differentiation between CKDu and CKD patients is possible only by
182 renal biopsy, an invasive procedure that is rarely performed in Sri Lanka. The antigen panel used for
183 MAT was not composed of locally isolated strains. It has been shown that renal colonization with
184 *Leptospira* spp. can occur asymptotically and sometimes in the absence of serological evidence
185 [12, 28, 29]. To conclude that leptospirosis is not associated with CKD in Sri Lanka, renal colonization
186 needs to be investigated.

187

188 **List of abbreviations**

189 AKI, acute kidney injury; CI, confidence interval; CKD, chronic kidney disease; CKDu, chronic kidney
190 disease of uncertain etiology; GFR, glomerular filtration rate; MAT, microscopic agglutination test;
191 NCP, North Central Province

192

193 **Declarations**

- 194 • Ethics approval and consent to participate

195 Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the institutional ethical review committee of the
196 Faculty of Medicine, University of Peradeniya (2016/EC/64). The collection of blood samples and
197 demographic data was approved by the Regional Director of Health Services, Kandy, and informed
198 consent was obtained from all study participants via signature or thumbprints.

199

- 200 • Consent for publication

201 Not applicable

202

203 • Availability of data and materials

204 All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article and its
205 supplementary information file.

206

207 • Competing interests

208 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

209

210 • Funding

211 This study was partly supported by a research grant from University of Peradeniya (URG/2018/28M),
212 National Science Foundation of Sri Lanka (RPHS/2016/CKDu/06), and the Research Program on
213 Emerging and Re-emerging Infectious Diseases (JP23fk0108683) from the Japan Agency for Medical
214 Research and Development (AMED).

215

216 • Authors' contributions

217 Conceptualization: CDG; Investigation: RAF, PS; Formal analysis: RAF, CDG; Data curation: RAF, PS;
218 Project administration: RAF, PS, NN, LG; Methodology: NK, CDG; Resources: KY, NK, CDG;
219 Supervision: NK, CDG; Funding acquisition: NK, CDG; Writing - Original Draft: RAF; Writing - Review &
220 Editing: RAF, PS, DSM, NN, LG, YK, NK, CDG

221

222 • Acknowledgments

223 We thank Athula Kumara and all the staff members of the Department of Microbiology, Faculty of
224 Medicine, and Nishanthi Weerakoon and all the staff members of the Microbiology Division of the

225 Veterinary Research Institute, for their technical support. We also thank Yomani Sarathkumara for
226 collecting samples and data.

227

228 **References**

- 229 1. Levey AS, Eckardt KU, Tsukamoto Y, Levin A, Coresh J, Rossert J, et al. Definition and
230 classification of chronic kidney disease: A position statement from Kidney Disease: Improving
231 Global Outcomes (KDIGO). *Kidney Int.* 2005;67:2089–100.
- 232 2. Weaver VM, Fadrowski JJ, Jaar BG. Global dimensions of chronic kidney disease of unknown
233 etiology (CKDu): a modern era environmental and/or occupational nephropathy? *BMC Nephrol.*
234 2015;16:145.
- 235 3. González-Quiroz M, Pearce N, Caplin B, Nitsch D. What do epidemiological studies tell us about
236 chronic kidney disease of undetermined cause in Meso-America? A systematic review and
237 meta-analysis. *Clin Kidney J.* 2018;11:496–506.
- 238 4. O’Callaghan-Gordo C, Shivashankar R, Anand S, Ghosh S, Glaser J, Gupta R, et al. Prevalence of
239 and risk factors for chronic kidney disease of unknown aetiology in India: Secondary data
240 analysis of three population-based cross-sectional studies. *BMJ Open.* 2019;9: e023353.
- 241 5. Ruwanpathirana T, Senanayake S, Gunawardana N, Munasinghe A, Ginige S, Gamage D, et al.
242 Prevalence and risk factors for impaired kidney function in the district of Anuradhapura, Sri
243 Lanka: a cross-sectional population-representative survey in those at risk of chronic kidney
244 disease of unknown aetiology. *BMC Public Health.* 2019;19:763.
- 245 6. El Minshawy O. End-stage renal disease in the El-Minia Governorate, upper Egypt: an
246 epidemiological study. *Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl.* 2011;22:1048–54.
- 247 7. Gamage CD, Sarathkumara YD. Chronic kidney disease of uncertain etiology in Sri Lanka: Are
248 leptospirosis and Hantaviral infection likely causes? *Med Hypotheses.* 2016;91:16–9.

- 249 8. Carrillo-Larco RM, Altez-Fernandez C, Acevedo-Rodriguez JG, Ortiz-Acha K, Ugarte-Gil C.
250 Leptospirosis as a risk factor for chronic kidney disease: A systematic review of observational
251 studies. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2019;13: e0007458.
- 252 9. Correa-Rotter R, Wesseling C, Johnson RJ. CKD of unknown origin in Central America: the case
253 for a Mesoamerican nephropathy. Am J Kidney Dis. 2014;63:506–20.
- 254 10. Yang CW. Leptospirosis renal disease: emerging culprit of chronic kidney disease unknown
255 etiology. Nephron. 2018;138:129–36.
- 256 11. Kupferman J, Ramírez-Rubio O, Amador JJ, López-Pilarte D, Wilker EH, Laws RL, et al. Acute
257 kidney injury in sugarcane workers at risk for Mesoamerican nephropathy. Am J Kidney Dis.
258 2018;72:475–82.
- 259 12. Yang HY, Hung CC, Liu SH, Guo YG, Chen YC, Ko YC, et al. Overlooked risk for chronic kidney
260 disease after leptospiral infection: a population-based survey and epidemiological cohort
261 evidence. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2015;9: e0004105.
- 262 13. Wanigasuriya K. Update on uncertain etiology of chronic kidney disease in Sri Lanka’s north-
263 central dry zone. MEDICC Rev. 2014;16:61–5.
- 264 14. Agampodi SB, Dahanayaka NJ, Bandaranayaka AK, Perera M, Priyankara S, Weerawansa P, et al.
265 Regional differences of leptospirosis in Sri Lanka: observations from a flood-associated outbreak
266 in 2011. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2014;8: e2626.
- 267 15. Brandão AP, Camargo ED, da Silva ED, Silva MV, Abrão. Macroscopic agglutination test for rapid
268 diagnosis of human leptospirosis. J Clin Microbiol. 1998;36: 3138–42.
- 269 16. Athapattu T, Fernando R, Abayawansa R, Fernando P, Fuward M, Samarakoon N, et al. Carrier
270 status of *Leptospira* spp. in healthy companion dogs in Sri Lanka. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis.
271 2022;22:93–100.

- 272 17. Epidemiology Unit E, Ministry of Health N and IMSL. National guidelines on management of
273 leptospirosis. Epidemiology Unit, Epidemiology Ministry of Health, Nutrition and Indigenous
274 Medicine Sri Lanka. 2016.
- 275 18. Sunil-Chandra NP, Jayaweera JAAS, Kumbukgolla W, Jayasundara MVML. Association of
276 hantavirus infections and leptospirosis with the occurrence of chronic kidney disease of
277 uncertain etiology in the North Central Province of Sri Lanka: a prospective study with patients
278 and healthy persons. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol.* 2020;10: 556737.
- 279 19. Gifford FJ, Gifford RM, Eddleston M, Dhaun N. Endemic nephropathy around the world. *Kidney*
280 *Int Rep.* 2017;2:282–92.
- 281 20. White FH, Sutherland GE, Raynor LE, Cottrell CR, Sulzer KR. *Leptospira interrogans serovars*
282 *hardjo* and *pomona*: causes of infections in dairy cows and humans in Florida. *Public Health*
283 *Rep.* 1981;96:250-4.
- 284 21. Adin CA, Cowgill LD. Treatment and outcome of dogs with leptospirosis: 38 cases (1990-1098). *J*
285 *Am Vet Med Assoc.* 2000;216:371–5.
- 286 22. Agunloye CA, Nash AS. Investigation of possible leptospiral infection in cats in Scotland. *J Small*
287 *Anim Pract.* 1996;37:126–9.
- 288 23. Kikuti M, Langoni H, Nobrega DN, Corrêa APFL, Ullmann LS. Occurrence and risk factors
289 associated with canine leptospirosis. *J Venom Anim Toxins Incl Trop Dis.* 2012;18:124–7.
- 290 24. Majetić ZŠ, Habuš J, Milas Z, Perko VM, Starešina V, Turk N. Serological survey of canine
291 leptospirosis in Croatia - the changing epizootiology of the disease. *Vet Arh.* 2012;82:183–91.
- 292 25. Piredda I, Ponti MN, Piras A, Palmas B, Pintore P, Pedditzi A, et al. New insights on *Leptospira*
293 infections in a canine population from north Sardinia, Italy: a sero-epidemiological study.
294 *Biology.* 2021;10:507.

- 295 26. Rubanick J V., Fries RC, Waugh CE, Pashmakova MB. Severe hyperkalemia presenting with wide-
296 complex tachycardia in a puppy with acute kidney injury secondary to leptospirosis. J Vet Emerg
297 Crit Care. 2016;26:858–63.
- 298 27. Shropshire SB, Veir JK, Morris AK, Lappin MR. Evaluation of the *Leptospira* species microscopic
299 agglutination test in experimentally vaccinated cats and *Leptospira* species seropositivity in
300 aged azotemic client-owned cats. J Feline Med Surg. 2016;18:768–72.
- 301 28. Ganoza CA, Matthias MA, Saito M, Cespedes M, Gotuzzo E, Vinetz JM. Asymptomatic renal
302 colonization of humans in the Peruvian Amazon by *Leptospira*. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2010;4:e612.
- 303 29. Sivasankari K, Shanmughapriya S, Natarajaseenivasan K. Leptospiral renal colonization status in
304 asymptomatic rural population of Tiruchirapalli district, Tamilnadu, India. Pathog Glob Health.
305 2016;110:209–15.