- 1 Insufficient serological evidence of the association between chronic kidney disease and leptospirosis
- 2 in Badulla and Kandy districts, Sri Lanka

- 4 Regina Amanda Fonseka: Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of
- 5 Peradeniya, 34, Galaha Road, Peradeniya 20400, Sri Lanka. E-mail: gramandafonseka@gmail.com
- 6 Pavani Senarathne: Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Peradeniya, 34,
- 7 Galaha Road, Peradeniya 20400, Sri Lanka. E-mail: pavanisenarathne@gmail.com
- 8 Devinda Shameera Muthusinghe: Graduate School of Infectious Diseases, Hokkaido University, Kita
- 9 18, Nishi 9, Kita-ku, Sapporo, Hokkaido 060-0818, Japan. E-mail: devindasm@nagasaki-u.ac.jp
- 10 Nishantha Nanayakkara: Nephrology and Transplantation Unit Kandy Teaching Hospital, William
- 11 Gopallawa Mawatha, Kandy 20000, Sri Lanka. E-mail: nishantha4313@gmail.com
- 12 Lishantha Gunaratne: Renal Unit, District Hospital, Girandurukotte, Girandurukotte 90750, Sri Lanka.
- 13 E-mail: lishanthe@yahoo.co.uk
- 14 Kumiko Yoshimatsu: Graduate School of Infectious Diseases, Hokkaido University, Kita 18, Nishi 9,
- 15 Kita-ku, Sapporo, Hokkaido 060-0818, Japan. Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty
- 16 of Medicine, Hokkaido University, 7 Kita 7, Nishi 15, Kita-ku, Sapporo, Hokkaido 060-0815, Japan. E-
- 17 mail: yosimatu@igm.hokudai.ac.jp
- 18 Nobuo Koizumi: Department of Bacteriology I, National Institute of Infectious Diseases, 1-23-1
- 19 Toyama, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162-8640, Japan. E-mail: nkoizumi@niid.go.jp
- 20 Chandika Damesh Gamage: Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of
- 21 Peradeniya, 34, Galaha Road, Peradeniya 20400, Sri Lanka. E-mail: chandika.gamage@med.pdn.ac.lk NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

22

23 Corresponding author: Chandika Damesh Gamage

24 Abstract

- 25 Objective
- 26 Chronic kidney disease (CKD) and chronic kidney disease of uncertain etiology (CKDu) are chronic
- 27 kidney diseases that pose a significant health burden in Sri Lanka. Leptospirosis is a bacterial
- 28 zoonosis that primarily damages renal tissues by colonization of *Leptospira* spp. in the renal tubules
- 29 and is a suspected etiological agent of CKDu. Since Sri Lanka is an endemic for leptospirosis and
- 30 outbreaks of the disease have been reported, this study aimed to determine the association
- 31 between leptospirosis and chronic kidney disease in two geographically distinct regions of Sri Lanka,
- 32 Badulla (CKDu endemic) and Kandy (CKDu non-endemic) districts.
- 33 Results
- 34 Eighty-five patients with CKDu and 149 controls from Badulla and 49 patients with CKD and 135
- 35 controls from Kandy were serologically tested by microscopic agglutination test with a panel of 11
- 36 *Leptospira* serogroups. The seroprevalence rates for leptospirosis were 7.1% and 13.4% in the CKDu
- and control groups, respectively, in Badulla and 2.1% and 18.5% in the CKD and control groups,
- 38 respectively, in Kandy. There were no statistically significant differences between demographic
- 39 characteristics and leptospirosis seropositivity in the CKD and control groups in either Badulla or
- 40 Kandy.
- 41

42 Keywords

43 chronic kidney disease, chronic kidney disease of uncertain etiology, leptospirosis, Sri Lanka

44

45 Introduction

46	Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a global public health problem primarily caused by due to diabetes
47	and hypertension. CKD is defined as any condition that damages kidney tissue and results in a
48	decrease in renal function with a glomerular filtration rate (GFR) of <60 mL/min/1.73 m ² for \ge 3
49	months [1]. CKD that occurs in the absence of diabetes, hypertension, and other known risk factors
50	is referred to as chronic kidney disease of uncertain etiology (CKDu) [2]. CKDu has been reported in
51	Central America, Egypt, India, and Sri Lanka [3–6]. While several hypotheses are being explored to
52	determine the causative agents/factors responsible for CKDu, one hypothesis that has been
53	overlooked in Sri Lanka is an infectious etiology, such as hantavirus infection and leptospirosis [7].
54	Previous studies have implicated leptospirosis as a possible etiological agent or risk factor for CKDu
55	[7–10]. Leptospirosis is one of the most prevalent bacterial zoonoses worldwide and is caused by
56	spirochetes of the genus <i>Leptospira</i> [7]. The kidney is the primary target organ of <i>Leptospira</i> spp. If
57	left untreated, the bacteria can colonize the renal tubules of the kidney tissue [10]. Renal
58	colonization by Leptospira spp. can lead to interstitial tubular nephritis and acute kidney injury (AKI),
59	which carry a risk for CKD [10]. Long-term mild subclinical AKI may lead to the development of CKD
60	[11]. In 2015, an association between CKD and leptospirosis was first suggested in Taiwan:
61	participants exposed to Leptospira spp. showed a 2.5% decrease in estimated GFR and a higher
62	percentage of CKD compared to those not exposed to the bacteria [12]. In the same study, 88
63	individuals with high anti-leptospiral antibody titers were followed up for two years, and individuals
64	with microscopic agglutination test (MAT) titers > 400 showed a positive correlation with the kidney
65	injury marker KIM-1/Cr [12]. In 2018, Yang presented two hypothetical pathways by which
66	leptospirosis could progress to CKD: acute Leptospira infection could progress to chronic
67	leptospirosis if left untreated, leading to the development of CKD, while subclinical Leptospira
68	infection could lead to chronic leptospirosis that may progress to CKD [10]. Heat stress and
69	dehydration have been postulated to have synergistic effects on these pathways [10]. Furthermore,
70	there are several similarities between leptospirosis kidney disease and CKDu, such as

71	tubulointerstitial nephritis, interstitial fibrosis, non-proteinuria, proximal tubule dysfunction, and
72	hypokalemia, and both diseases affect middle-aged men living in hot climates [10].
73	Although leptospirosis is an endemic and notifiable disease in Sri Lanka, it is still largely
74	underdiagnosed and therefore most likely untreated, which can lead to a chronic state of infection
75	without symptoms. The highest burden of CKDu occurs in the North Central Province (NCP), where
76	leptospirosis outbreaks are also common [13, 14]. Since most of the CKDu affected population in the
77	NCP are middle-aged men working in agriculture, it is possible that the renal tissue damage caused
78	by Leptospira infection may progress to a chronic state due to incomplete recovery from mild AKI,
79	recurrent exposure to Leptospira spp., and heat stress and dehydration. Therefore, this study
80	investigated the involvement of leptospirosis as a causative agent or risk factor for CKD by
81	comparing the seroprevalence of leptospirosis between chronic kidney disease patients and healthy
82	controls in a CKDu endemic district, Badulla, and a CKDu non-endemic district, Kandy, Sri Lanka.
83	
84	Main Text
85	Methods
86	Study design
87	This is a hospital and community-based cross-sectional study with unmatched cases and controls.
88	The study areas were Mahiyangana Divisional Secretariat, Badulla district, an endemic region of
89	CKDu, and Yatinuwara Divisional Secretariat, Kandy district, a non-endemic region of CKDu, Sri
90	Lanka.
91	

92 Sample collection

93	Sample collection was conducted between January 2017 and February 2018. Clinically diagnosed
94	CKDu patients aged ≥18 years residing in Badulla (n=85) and CKD patients aged ≥18 years in Kandy
95	(n=49) districts and attending the renal clinics of the Nephrology and Transplantation Unit,
96	Girandurukotte District Hospital (Badulla) and Teaching Hospital Kandy (Kandy), respectively, were
97	recruited as cases for the study. Individuals with a history of alcoholism were excluded from the
98	study. For the control groups, the Medical Office of Health areas within the Badulla and Kandy
99	districts were randomly selected. Individuals aged ≥18 years, residing in Badulla (n=149) and Kandy
100	(n=135) districts, with no clinically diagnosed renal disease and normal serum creatinine levels (0.5–
101	1.2 mg/dL) volunteered to participate in this study. Individuals with a history of either alcoholism or
102	kidney disease were excluded from the study.
103	Five milliliters of blood were collected in sterile tubes without anticoagulant. The tubes were left
104	undisturbed at room temperature for 30 min and centrifuged at $2000 \times g$ for serum separation.
105	Serum separation was performed at the sample collection site and immediately transported in
106	insulated coolers to the Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Peradeniya,
107	and serum samples were stored at -20°C prior to analysis.
108	At the time of sample collection, demographic information was collected using a structured
109	questionnaire. The questionnaire covered the following information: basic demographic data (age
110	and sex), family and past medical history, occupational information, agricultural activity, and
111	exposure to rodents.
112	

113 Detection of anti-leptospiral antibodies by MAT

114 The MAT panel antigens used in this study were obtained from the Veterinary Research Institute and

115 contained 12 serovars belonging to 11 serogroups: serogroup Autumnalis (serovar Autumnalis),

116 Bataviae (Bataviae), Canicola (Canicola), Grippotyphosa (Grippotyphosa), Hebdomadis

117	(Hebdomadis), Javanica (Javanica), Panama (Panama), Sejroe (Hardjo and Wolffi), Semaranga
118	(Patoc), Shermani (Shermani), and Tarassovi (Tarassovi). The strains were maintained in a liquid
119	Ellinghausen McCullough Johnson and Harris medium supplemented with Leptospira enrichment (BD
120	Difco, USA) and 5-flurouracil (final concentration of 200 μ g/mL). Four- to seven-day-old cultures with
121	growth equivalent to 0.5 McFarland (approximately 1-2×10 ⁸ organisms/mL) were used for MAT as
122	previously described [15, 16]. A MAT titer of ≥1:400 was considered as positive for acute
123	leptospirosis, as a titer of \geq 1:320, is considered clinically significant in Sri Lanka [17].
124	
125	Statistical analysis
126	All statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 25
127	(IBM, USA) and the online tool MedCalc (https://www.medcalc.org/). Exposure to Leptospira spp.
128	and possible risk factors were evaluated by 2×2 Yate's corrected chi-square test or Fisher's exact
129	test. A P value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The odds ratio and associated
130	95% confidence interval (CI) were calculated in the exposed group when compared with the non-
131	exposed group for <i>Leptospira</i> spp.
132	
133	Results
134	Leptospirosis seroprevalence in Badulla and Kandy

- 135 The seroprevalence rates in the CKDu and control groups in Badulla were 7.1% and 13.4%,
- 136 respectively (Table 1). There was no statistically significant difference between the disease and
- 137 control groups (p=0.14). Seroprevalences of 2.0% and 18.5% for leptospirosis were observed in the
- 138 CKD and control groups, respectively, in Kandy (Table 1). The seroprevalence in the CKD group was
- 139 significantly lower than that in the control group (p=0.02).

140 Table 1. Leptospirosis seropositivity between CKD patients and healthy individuals in Badulla and Kandy.

Group	No. of tested	No. of MAT positives (%)	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	P value
CKDu patients	85	6 (7.1)	0.49 (0.19–1.27)	0.14
Control	149	20 (13.4)		
CKD patients	49	1 (2.0)	0.09 (0.01–0.70)	0.02
Control	135	25 (18.5)		
	Control CKD patients	Control 149 CKD patients 49	Control 149 20 (13.4) CKD patients 49 1 (2.0)	Control 149 20 (13.4) CKD patients 49 1 (2.0) 0.09 (0.01–0.70)

142

143 Comparison of demographic characteristics and seropositivity in Badulla and Kandy

- 144 There were no statistically significant differences in demographic characteristics and leptospirosis
- seropositivity between the CKD and control groups in Badulla and Kandy (Table 2).

	Badulla							Kandy									
	CKDu	patien	its (n=8	35)	Controls (n=149)			CKD patients (n=49)				Сс	Controls (n=135)				
		No	No. of							No. of							
	No. of	M/	MAT		Р	No. of			Р	No. of	MAT		Ρ	No. of	No. of MAT		Р
	individua Is	(+)v	(-)v	value	individua Is	(+)v	(-)ve	valu e	individua Is	(+)v	(-)v	value	individua Is	(+)v	(-)ve	valu	
		е	е			e e				е	е			е	(-)ve		
Age																	
>=50	62	4	58	0.72	51	5	46	0.50	26	1	25	0.34	53	11	42	0.7	
<50	23	2	21		98	15	83		23	0	23		82	14	68		
Gender																	
Male	57	4	53	0.98	62	10	52	0.57	27	0	27	0.92	73	10	63	0.1	
Female	28	2	26		87	10	77		22	1	21		62	15	47		

146 Table 2. Comparison of demographic characteristics and seropositivity in CKD patients and controls in Badulla and Kandy

Occupation

Farming	75	6	69	0.79	72	13	59	0.17	3	0	3	0.80	10	1	9	0.77
Non-farming	10	0	10		77	7	70		46	1	45		125	24	101	
Risk factors for CKD																
+	53	5	48	0.51	33	5	28	0.97	42	1	41	0.68	27	5	22	1.00
-	32	1	31		116	15	101		7	0	7		108	20	88	
Exposure to																
agriculture																
Yes	80	6	74	0.53	113	15	98	0.93	13	0	13	0.54	26	4	22	0.86
No	5	0	5		36	5	31		36	1	35		109	21	88	
Storing crop at																
house																
Yes	79	5	74	0.90	100	16	84	0.29	6	0	6	0.71	15	4	11	0.48

No	6	1	5		49	4	45		43	1	42		120	21	99	
Rats seen at home																
or																
surroundings																
Yes	66	5	61	0.73	123	19	104	0.21	32	1	31	0.46	103	20	83	0.82
No	19	1	18		26	1	25		17	0	17		32	5	27	
Dried rodent feces																
seen at home or																
surrounding																
Yes	57	6	51	0.18	88	16	72	0.07	17	0	17	0.46	50	7	43	0.42
Νο	28	0	28		61	4	57		32	1	31		85	18	67	

148

149 Infecting Leptospira serogroups in Badulla and Kandy

- 150 Serogroup Sejroe was the predominant infecting serogroup in both Badulla and Kandy (Table 3).
- 151 Although a MAT titer ≥1:400 was considered positive for leptospiral antibody indicating acute
- 152 infection in this study, individuals with lower antibody titers were also observed (Supplementary
- 153 Table 1).

154 Table 3. Results of MAT in Badulla and Kandy

	Badulla		Kandy	
Serogroup	CKDu Patients (n=85)	Controls (n=149)	CKD Patients (n=49)	Controls (n=135)
	No. of positives (%)			
Autumnalis	1 (1.2)	1 (0.7)	0 (0)	1 (0.7)
Bataviae	0 (0)	1 (0.7)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Canicola	1 (1.2)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Javanica	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	4 (3.0)
Sejroe	4 (4.7)	18 (12.1)	1 (2)	17 (12.6)
Semaranga	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	3 (2.2)
Total	6 (7.1)	20 (13.4)	1 (2.0)	25 (18.5)

156

157 Discussion

158 This study suggests that there is no association between CKD and leptospirosis seroprevalence. This 159 finding is consistent with that of a recent study conducted in the NCP of Sri Lanka in 2020, where the 160 difference in leptospirosis seroprevalence between the CKDu and control groups was not statistically 161 significant [18]. On the other hand, these results contradict the findings of a study conducted in 162 Taiwan, which showed an association between Leptospira seroprevalence and CKD and lower eGFR 163 [12]. The cause of CKDu may vary regionally and be geographically specific. Epidemics of kidney 164 disease of unknown etiology have previously been reported: Itai-itai disease and Balkan endemic 165 nephropathy (BEN) are nephropathic epidemics whose etiology was identified much later. Itai-itai 166 disease, which occurred in Japan in 1912, was found to be caused by cadmium poisoning in 1968, 167 while BEN, which occurred in the 1950s, was found to be caused by aristocholic acid in 1993 [19]. 168 This study showed that the predominant infecting *Leptospira* serogroup was Sejroe, of which serovar 169 Hardjo was the most reactive serovar in both Badulla and Kandy (Table 3 and Supplementary Table 170 1). Serovar Hardjo is known to be maintained by cattle and the transmission of this strain to humans 171 often occurs through contact with cattle [20]. The high seroprevalence for serogroup Sejroe (serovar 172 Hardjo) can be explained by the exposure of the population to agricultural activities with 173 direct/indirect contact with cattle in Badulla (Table 2). However, a high seroprevalence for serogroup 174 Sejroe (serovar Hardjo) was also observed in the Kandy population, although most of them were not 175 involved in agriculture (Table 2). Hardjo infections in dogs and cats have been reported previously in 176 Brazil, Croatia, Italy, Scotland, and the USA [21–27]. In addition, a previous serological study of 177 companion dogs in Kandy district showed that Sejroe was the most prevalent serogroup [16].

178

179 Limitations

180	The CKD cohort in this study consisted of CKD and CKDu patients, whereas it would have been ideal
181	to recruit only CKDu patients. Differentiation between CKDu and CKD patients is possible only by
182	renal biopsy, an invasive procedure that is rarely performed in Sri Lanka. The antigen panel used for
183	MAT was not composed of locally isolated strains. It has been shown that renal colonization with
184	Leptospira spp. can occur asymptomatically and sometimes in the absence of serological evidence
185	[12, 28, 29]. To conclude that leptospirosis is not associated with CKD in Sri Lanka, renal colonization
186	needs to be investigated.
187	

- 189 AKI, acute kidney injury; CI, confidence interval; CKD, chronic kidney disease; CKDu, chronic kidney
- disease of uncertain etiology; GFR, glomerular filtration rate; MAT, microscopic agglutination test;
- 191 NCP, North Central Province
- 192

193 Declarations

- Ethics approval and consent to participate
- 195 Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the institutional ethical review committee of the
- 196 Faculty of Medicine, University of Peradeniya (2016/EC/64). The collection of blood samples and
- 197 demographic data was approved by the Regional Director of Health Services, Kandy, and informed
- 198 consent was obtained from all study participants via signature or thumbprints.

199

- Consent for publication
- 201 Not applicable

203	 Availability 	of data	and	matorials
205		y UI Udld	anu	materials

- 204 All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article and its
- 205 supplementary information file.

206

- 207 Competing interests
- 208 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

209

- Funding
- 211 This study was partly supported by a research grant from University of Peradeniya (URG/2018/28M),
- 212 National Science Foundation of Sri Lanka (RPHS/2016/CKDu/06), and the Research Program on
- 213 Emerging and Re-emerging Infectious Diseases (JP23fk0108683) from the Japan Agency for Medical
- 214 Research and Development (AMED).

215

- Authors' contributions
- 217 Conceptualization: CDG; Investigation: RAF, PS; Formal analysis: RAF, CDG; Data curation: RAF, PS;
- 218 Project administration: RAF, PS, NN, LG; Methodology: NK, CDG; Resources: KY, NK, CDG;
- 219 Supervision: NK, CDG; Funding acquisition: NK, CDG; Writing Original Draft: RAF; Writing Review &
- 220 Editing: RAF, PS, DSM, NN, LG, YK, NK, CDG

221

• Acknowledgments

- 223 We thank Athula Kumara and all the staff members of the Department of Microbiology, Faculty of
- 224 Medicine, and Nishanthi Weerakoon and all the staff members of the Microbiology Division of the

- 225 Veterinary Research Institute, for their technical support. We also thank Yomani Sarathkumara for
- 226 collecting samples and data.
- 227

228 References

- 1. Levey AS, Eckardt KU, Tsukamoto Y, Levin A, Coresh J, Rossert J, et al. Definition and
- 230 classification of chronic kidney disease: A position statement from Kidney Disease: Improving
- 231 Global Outcomes (KDIGO). Kidney Int. 2005;67:2089–100.
- 232 2. Weaver VM, Fadrowski JJ, Jaar BG. Global dimensions of chronic kidney disease of unknown
- etiology (CKDu): a modern era environmental and/or occupational nephropathy? BMC Nephrol.

234 2015;16:145.

- González-Quiroz M, Pearce N, Caplin B, Nitsch D. What do epidemiological studies tell us about
 chronic kidney disease of undetermined cause in Meso-America? A systematic review and
- 237 meta-analysis. Clin Kidney J. 2018;11:496–506.
- 4. O'Callaghan-Gordo C, Shivashankar R, Anand S, Ghosh S, Glaser J, Gupta R, et al. Prevalence of

and risk factors for chronic kidney disease of unknown aetiology in India: Secondary data

analysis of three population-based cross-sectional studies. BMJ Open. 2019;9: e023353.

5. Ruwanpathirana T, Senanayake S, Gunawardana N, Munasinghe A, Ginige S, Gamage D, et al.

- 242 Prevalence and risk factors for impaired kidney function in the district of Anuradhapura, Sri
- 243 Lanka: a cross-sectional population-representative survey in those at risk of chronic kidney
- disease of unknown aetiology. BMC Public Health. 2019;19:763.
- El Minshawy O. End-stage renal disease in the El-Minia Governorate, upper Egypt: an
 epidemiological study. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl. 2011;22:1048–54.
- 247 7. Gamage CD, Sarathkumara YD. Chronic kidney disease of uncertain etiology in Sri Lanka: Are
- 248 leptospirosis and Hantaviral infection likely causes? Med Hypotheses. 2016;91:16–9.

- 249 8. Carrillo-Larco RM, Altez-Fernandez C, Acevedo-Rodriguez JG, Ortiz-Acha K, Ugarte-Gil C.
- 250 Leptospirosis as a risk factor for chronic kidney disease: A systematic review of observational
- 251 studies. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2019;13: e0007458.
- 252 9. Correa-Rotter R, Wesseling C, Johnson RJ. CKD of unknown origin in Central America: the case
- for a Mesoamerican nephropathy. Am J Kidney Dis. 2014;63:506–20.
- 10. Yang CW. Leptospirosis renal disease: emerging culprit of chronic kidney disease unknown
- etiology. Nephron. 2018;138:129–36.
- 256 11. Kupferman J, Ramírez-Rubio O, Amador JJ, López-Pilarte D, Wilker EH, Laws RL, et al. Acute
- 257 kidney injury in sugarcane workers at risk for Mesoamerican nephropathy. Am J Kidney Dis.
- 258 2018;72:475–82.
- 12. Yang HY, Hung CC, Liu SH, Guo YG, Chen YC, Ko YC, et al. Overlooked risk for chronic kidney
- 260 disease after leptospiral infection: a population-based survey and epidemiological cohort
- 261 evidence. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2015;9: e0004105.
- 262 13. Wanigasuriya K. Update on uncertain etiology of chronic kidney disease in Sri Lanka's north-
- central dry zone. MEDICC Rev. 2014;16:61–5.
- 14. Agampodi SB, Dahanayaka NJ, Bandaranayaka AK, Perera M, Priyankara S, Weerawansa P, et al.
- Regional differences of leptospirosis in Sri Lanka: observations from a flood-associated outbreak
 in 2011. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2014;8: e2626.
- 15. Brandão AP, Camargo ED, da Silva ED, Silva MV, Abrão. Macroscopic agglutination test for rapid
 diagnosis of human leptospirosis. J Clin Microbiol. 1998;36; 3138–42.
- 269 16. Athapattu T, Fernando R, Abayawansha R, Fernando P, Fuward M, Samarakoon N, et al. Carrier
- 270 status of *Leptospira* spp. in healthy companion dogs in Sri Lanka. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis.
- 271 2022;22:93–100.

272	17. Epidemiology Unit E, N	inistry of Health N and IMSL. National guidelines on management of
273	leptospirosis. Epidemic	logy Unit, Epidemiology Ministry of Health, Nutrition and Indigenous

274 Medicine Sri Lanka. 2016.

- 275 18. Sunil-Chandra NP, Jayaweera JAAS, Kumbukgolla W, Jayasundara MVML. Association of
- 276 hantavirus infections and leptospirosis with the occurrence of chronic kidney disease of
- 277 uncertain etiology in the North Central Province of Sri Lanka: a prospective study with patients
- and healthy persons. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2020;10: 556737.
- 279 19. Gifford FJ, Gifford RM, Eddleston M, Dhaun N. Endemic nephropathy around the world. Kidney
 280 Int Rep. 2017;2:282–92.
- 281 20. White FH, Sutherland GE, Raynor LE, Cottrell CR, Sulzer KR. Leptospira interrogans serovars
- 282 *hardjo* and *pomona*: causes of infections in dairy cows and humans in Florida. Public Health
 283 Rep. 1981;96:250-4.
- 284 21. Adin CA, Cowgill LD. Treatment and outcome of dogs with leptospirosis: 38 cases (1990-1098). J
 285 Am Vet Med Assoc. 2000;216:371–5.
- 22. Agunloye CA, Nash AS. Investigation of possible leptospiral infection in cats in Scotland. J Small
 Anim Pract. 1996;37:126–9.
- 288 23. Kikuti M, Langoni H, Nobrega DN, Corrêa APFL, Ullmann LS. Occurrence and risk factors

associated with canine leptospirosis. J Venom Anim Toxins Incl Trop Dis. 2012;18:124–7.

- 290 24. Majetić ZŠ, Habuš J, Milas Z, Perko VM, Starešina V, Turk N. Serological survey of canine
- leptospirosis in Croatia the changing epizootiology of the disease. Vet Arh. 2012;82:183–91.
- 292 25. Piredda I, Ponti MN, Piras A, Palmas B, Pintore P, Pedditzi A, et al. New insights on Leptospira
- infections in a canine population from north Sardinia, Italy: a sero-epidemiological study.
- Biology. 2021;10:507.

295	26.	Rubanick J V., Fries RC, Waugh CE, Pashmakova MB. Severe hyperkalemia presenting with wide-
296		complex tachycardia in a puppy with acute kidney injury secondary to leptospirosis. J Vet Emerg
297		Crit Care. 2016;26:858–63.
298	27.	Shropshire SB, Veir JK, Morris AK, Lappin MR. Evaluation of the Leptospira species microscopic
299		agglutination test in experimentally vaccinated cats and Leptospira species seropositivity in
300		aged azotemic client-owned cats. J Feline Med Surg. 2016;18:768–72.
301	28.	Ganoza CA, Matthias MA, Saito M, Cespedes M, Gotuzzo E, Vinetz JM. Asymptomatic renal
302		colonization of humans in the Peruvian Amazon by <i>Leptospira</i> . PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2010;4:e612.
303	29.	Sivasankari K, Shanmughapriya S, Natarajaseenivasan K. Leptospiral renal colonization status in
304		asymptomatic rural population of Tiruchirapalli district, Tamilnadu, India. Pathog Glob Health.

305 2016;110:209–15.