

1 **Examining the implementation of Facility-Based Integrated Management of Childhood Illness**
2 **and Insecticide Treated Nets in Bangladesh: lessons learned through implementation**
3 **research**

4
5 **Authors:** Fauzia Akhter Huda,¹ Kedest Mathewos,² Hassan Rushekh Mahmood,¹ Omar Faruk,¹
6 Lisa R Hirschhorn,^{2,3} Agnes Binagwaho²

7
8 **Author Affiliations:** ¹International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh, Dhaka,
9 Bangladesh; ²University of Global Health Equity, Kigali, Rwanda; ³Northwestern University
10 Feinberg School of Medicine

11
12 **Corresponding author:** Kedest Mathewos, kmathewos@ughe.org

13
14 **Author contact emails:**

15 Fauzia Akhter Huda, fauzia@icddrb.org

16 Kedest Mathewos, kmathewos@ughe.org

17 Hassan Rushekh Mahmood, dr.rossi37@hotmail.com

18 Omar Faruk, md.faruk@icddrb.org

19 Lisa R Hirschhorn, lisa.hirschhorn@northwestern.edu

20 Agnes Binagwaho, abinagwaho@ughe.org

21
22 Abstract: 314

23 Manuscript: 5606

24 Tables: 5

25 Figures: 1

26
27 **Trial Registration: N/A**

28

1 **Abstract**

2

3 **Background:** Bangladesh significantly reduced under-5 mortality (U5M) between 2000 and
4 2015, despite its low economic development and projected high mortality rates in children
5 aged under 5 years. A portion of this success was due to implementation of health systems-
6 delivered evidence-based interventions (EBIs) known to reduce U5M. This study aims to
7 understand how Bangladesh was able to achieve this success between 2000 and 2015.
8 Implementation science studies such as this one provides insights on the implementation
9 process that are not sufficiently documented in existing literature.

10

11 **Methods:** Between 2017 and 2020, we conducted mixed methods implementation research
12 case studies to examine how six countries including Bangladesh outperformed their regional
13 and economic peers in reducing U5M. Using existing data and reports supplemented by key
14 informant interviews, we studied key implementation strategies and associated
15 implementation outcomes for selected EBIs and contextual factors which facilitated or hindered
16 this work. We used two EBIs – facility-based integrated management of childhood illnesses and
17 insecticide treated nets – as examples of two EBIs that were implemented successfully and with
18 wide reach across the country to understand the strategies put in place as well as the
19 facilitating and challenging contextual factors.

20

21 **Results:** We identified strategies which contributed to the successful implementation and wide
22 coverage of the selected EBIs. These included community engagement, data use, and small-
23 scale testing, important to achieving implementation outcomes such as effectiveness, reach
24 and fidelity, although gaps persisted including in quality of care. Key contextual factors
25 including a strong community-based health system, accountable leadership, and female
26 empowerment facilitated implementation of these EBIs. Challenges included human resources
27 for health, dependence on donor funding and poor service quality in the private sector.

28

29 **Conclusion:** As countries work to reduce U5M, they should build strong community health
30 systems, follow global guidance, adapt their implementation using local evidence as well as
31 build sustainability into their programs. Strategies need to leverage facilitating contextual
32 factors while addressing challenging ones.

33

34 **Keywords:** under-5 mortality; child health; implementation research; Bangladesh; FB-IMCI; ITN

35

1 Introduction

2
3 The Millennium Development Goals identified under-5 mortality (U5M) as a key target area,
4 with the goal of reducing it by two-thirds between 2000 and 2015.[1] Countries have seen
5 varying levels of success with this goal within the past two decades. In Bangladesh, the trend in
6 U5M reduction substantially exceeded the projected high estimations based on gross domestic
7 product (GDP) growth and U5M reduction rates regionally and globally. In 2015, Bangladesh's
8 GDP per capita of US\$1,249 remained below that of its Southeast Asian neighbors (Table 1).[2]
9 Bangladesh succeeded in dropping U5M by 56%, decreasing from 86 per 1000 live births in
10 2000 to 38 per 1000 live births in 2015, and outperforming or performing as well as many of its
11 regional neighbors and economic peers despite its lower economic performance. The
12 comparison of GDP per capita, as well as percentage reduction in neo-natal, infant and under-
13 five mortality rate is presented in table 1.[2] This success was achieved across wealth quintiles,
14 narrowing the health equity gap between income groups. The reduction in U5M was also
15 observed across all regions of Bangladesh, although some regions lagged behind the rest of the
16 country.[3]

17
18 Bangladesh's health system became increasingly pluralistic during the case study period,
19 between 2000-2015, with two main actors, the public sector/government and the private
20 sector including NGOs, and care delivery in the community and through facilities.[4] The
21 pluralistic health system was both of benefit but also a challenge to ensuring equitable, quality
22 care for all. Within the public sector, the community-based healthcare delivery system is an
23 integral part of primary health care (PHC) system of Bangladesh consisting of domiciliary
24 services, community clinic, union sub-centers, union health and family welfare center, and
25 Upazila Health Complex. Before 2000 and during the study period, the formal private sector
26 grew rapidly to fill the gaps in service delivery which the public sector was unable to fill initially
27 in urban settings (35% of formal private facilities were in Dhaka in 2003) and later on, nationally
28 in rural and urban settings.[5]

29
30 During the 1990s and the early 21st century, researchers around the globe have generated a
31 wealth of evidence-based interventions (EBIs) effective in addressing many of the common
32 cases of death among children.[6] However, the literature on how these interventions are
33 implemented in countries including the preparation, adaptation, and sustainment is much less
34 robust.[7] Implementation science, defined as the scientific study of methods that help
35 translate existing evidence into practice,[8] can help decision makers and implementers
36 understand the strategies used, implementation outcomes including fidelity, reach, adoption,
37 acceptability and sustainability, as well as the contextual factors which contributed to or
38 hindered implementation of the EBIs. Therefore, applying the implementation science methods
39 can expand understanding of what worked in executing EBIs and where change is needed for
40 local improvement, as well as generate knowledge transferrable to other settings with
41 comparable context.

42
43 Between 2017 and 2020 we conducted six country case studies as part of the U5M Exemplars
44 project using a mixed methods implementation research (IR) approach to understand how

1 selected countries outperformed their geographic neighbors and economic peers in reducing
2 amenable U5M between 2000-2015.[9] Bangladesh implemented many health systems-
3 delivered EBIs (table 2) to reduce amenable U5M, including facility-based integrated
4 management of childhood illnesses (FB-IMCI) and insecticide treated nets (ITNs). IMCI is a
5 holistic approach to child health, recommended by WHO, that focuses on improving case
6 management skills of healthcare providers, improving health systems to provide quality care
7 and improving family and community health practices for health, growth, and
8 development.[10] ITNs are nets treated with insecticides that have proven critical to preventing
9 and controlling malaria.[11]

10
11 As KIs noted, the implementation of these EBIs were two examples of Bangladesh’s success
12 with great progress and sustainment observed in their coverage across the country and in their
13 contribution to improved health outcomes during the study period. These also represented one
14 which was a single intervention (ITN) versus one which relied on a functional health system as
15 well as access and acceptability (FB-IMCI). By 2015, FB-IMCI was successfully implemented in
16 483 of 490 sub-districts (98%) across all 64 districts. Similarly, ITN utilization rate reached 90%
17 among children under 5 and 85% among women in malaria endemic regions (13 of 64 districts
18 of Bangladesh).[12] This implementation along with indoor residual spraying (IRS) and FB-IMCI
19 which delivered malaria treatment was also associated with a drop in prevalence of malaria
20 between 2008 and 2012 in all age groups, with highest (77%) drop in children aged 0–4
21 years.[13] Some of the EBIs did not achieve high rates of coverage and national scale-up, and
22 were therefore not chosen. This paper provides insights into the strategies that Bangladesh
23 used to successfully implement these two EBIs with wide reach across the country and the
24 contextual factors that either facilitated or hindered this progress. This exploration of the
25 process is designed to provide transferable lessons to help other countries in work to
26 strengthen implementation of EBIs for reducing U5M.

27 28 **Methods**

29 *Case study*

30 We used an implementation research mixed-methods case study approach to generate new
31 and actionable insights into the reduction of U5M. We developed a new hybrid implementation
32 science framework (Figure 1) to inform the design and analysis of the case studies building on
33 three existing models. We used Aarons et al’s Exploration, Preparation, Implementation, and
34 Sustainment (EPIS) to understand the implementation process,[14] the Consolidated
35 Framework for Implementation Research (CFIR) to identify contextual factors that facilitated or
36 hindered implementation,[15] and Proctor et al’s implementation outcomes of Feasibility,
37 Fidelity, Acceptability, Reach, and Effectiveness to analyze the implementation outcomes.[16]
38 We used the framework to identify implementation strategies as well as explore the facilitating
39 and challenging contextual factors at the global, national, health systems, and community
40 levels. A detailed description of the methods of the larger case study have been published
41 here.[17]

42 43 *Desk review and primary research*

1 We carried out a desk review of peer-reviewed articles and grey literature on Bangladesh’s
2 health, economic, cultural, and political context, as well as the EBIs implemented to reduce U5M
3 in the country during the study period. We searched PubMed and Google using relevant
4 keywords including “child mortality” or “under-five mortality”, “Bangladesh”, “insecticide-
5 treated nets,” “malaria,” and “community health workers”. Throughout the study, additional
6 resources were identified and were used to complement the existing literature reviewed. The
7 desk review focused on EBIs targeting amenable causes of death, contextual factors known to
8 contribute to or hinder U5M reduction as well as the strategies used to implement the EBIs. We
9 also reviewed publicly available data on EBI coverage from Bangladesh Demographic Health
10 Surveys (BDHS).

11
12 We purposively sampled 18 key informant interviews (KIIs) in Bangladesh to cover selected EBIs
13 such as FB-IMCI but not to reach saturation and was limited by time and resources. KIs included
14 current and former MOHFW employees responsible for high-level strategic direction of the
15 ministry or specific disease or intervention areas related to the EBIs; implementing partners; and
16 other multilateral organizations or donor organizations who had managed partner-supported or
17 partner-led activities. Some informants represented more than one area or role based on their
18 experience over the 15 years and were interviewed for each of their multiple viewpoints. We
19 prioritized individuals active in the study period but were able to also capture some experiences
20 from before 2000 and after 2015.

21
22 The interview guide was designed from the hybrid framework and informed by the desk review
23 to explore implementation strategies, policies, and contextual factors most relevant to the
24 success in reducing U5M in Bangladesh.[18] [The interviews also identified additional sources of
25 data and information which could be added to the knowledge base and understanding already
26 developed from the desk review. Interview guides were adapted from the core tools based on
27 Bangladesh’s context and translated to Bangla and interviews were conducted in Bangla or
28 English depending on the linguistic comfort of the KIs. All interviews were conducted jointly by
29 the icddr,b and UGHE researchers. Following the close of the interviews, notes were combined
30 and the tape recordings \(if allowed\) were used to clarify areas as needed. Recorded interviews
31 were translated as needed, transcribed, and reviewed for quality and consistency by both icddr,b
32 and UGHE teams.](#)

33
34 *Analysis and synthesis*

35 We used an explanatory mixed methods approach to analyze how and why there were
36 improvements in EBI coverage in Bangladesh and where contextual factors served as barriers or
37 facilitators to the work to contribute to the drop in amenable U5M. We used an explanatory
38 mixed methods approach starting with the coverage data that was also used to inform and put
39 into context the qualitative questions. The interview guides were developed from the
40 frameworks and to explore the coverage results.[14–16] We used the frameworks discussed
41 above to develop an initial set of codes for implementation strategies, outcomes and
42 contextual factors and used directed content analysis of KI interviews based on the frameworks.
43 Additional explanatory qualitative insights were extracted from available literature using the
44 same framework. Desk review materials were extracted to identify strategies, contextual

1 factors, and implementation outcomes. Results presented below integrate findings from the
2 desk review and interviews and are pulled from the larger case study.[19]

3 4 *Ethical approval*

5 The work was approved by the Research Review Committee (RRC) and Ethical Review
6 Committee (ERC) of icddr,b, Bangladesh (IRB number of the protocol at icddr,b: PR-18074). The
7 ethics review committees of UGHE and Northwestern University exempted the study. All
8 interviewees gave written informed consent for participating in the study and for recording of
9 the interview.

10 11 **Results**

12 13 **Facility-Based Integrated Management of Childhood Illness (FB-IMCI)**

14 15 *Exploration*

16 To address the high contribution of diarrhea (14%) and Acute Respiratory Infections (ARIs)
17 (21%) to under-5 deaths in Bangladesh, the Control for Diarrheal Diseases (CDD) and ARI
18 program was formed in the late 1980s and 1990s through facility- and community-based care
19 for children.[20,21] In 1995, WHO and UNICEF developed the IMCI strategy to guide the
20 prevention and treatment of various common childhood illnesses including diarrhea and ARI,
21 which was adopted by the Bangladesh government.[22] One of the key informants explained
22 the government's rationale for adopting IMCI saying, "*IMCI is a holistic approach, because the*
23 *same patient may be suffering from diarrhea or pneumonia or both or malnutrition. So, [the]*
24 *government thought we don't need a vertical program, it should be the combination and so*
25 *they took the IMCI.*"

26 27 *Preparation*

28 Preparations for implementing FB-IMCI began in 1998 and included engagement with local and
29 global stakeholders, with the set-up of a national steering committee which included
30 professional bodies such as the Bangladesh Pediatric Association (BPA) and Bangladesh
31 Neonatal Forum (BNF), as well as donors and partners such as WHO and UNICEF.[23] A KI
32 explained the importance of engaging stakeholders, for ensuring acceptability and
33 sustainability, saying, "*For the IMCI, we said: yes, you the experts, you the pediatricians, you the*
34 *professional bodies please help us. Meanwhile, along with them we continuously started sitting*
35 *together... They are coming in contact with the parents and children...so, their participation is*
36 *very important because unless the professional bodies are coming (together) to bring this*
37 *program forward... without their help, you cannot sustain.*" To tailor this program to the
38 country context, Bangladesh evaluated existing data on disease burden. FB-IMCI focused on the
39 three main causes of death – respiratory infections, diarrhea, and malnutrition – which jointly
40 contributed to 39% of U5 deaths in Bangladesh in 1990,[20] and set the lower age limit for FB-
41 IMCI to 24 hours to account for the high neonatal mortality.[24] Other preparation steps
42 included development of training materials and creation of an IMCI department in the Ministry
43 of Health & Family Welfare (MoH&FW).

1 *Implementation*

2 In 2001, with financial and technical support from various partners, the testing phase for FB-IMCI
3 was implemented in first level government facilities - in 20 union sub-centers in Matlab (a sub-
4 district in the Chittagong division) and by 2002, it was expanded to include two other sub-
5 districts: Dhamrai, a sub-district with high U5M in the Dhaka division, and Kahaloo, a hard-to-
6 reach sub-district with high U5M in the Rajshahi division, for comparison to Dhaka. Evaluation
7 surveys at six-month intervals between 2002 and 2004 in three sub-districts indicated the
8 training of 94% of healthcare workers in FB-IMCI-implementing facilities, an improvement in the
9 quality of care and facility use in FB-IMCI-implementing facilities.[25] A cost-effectiveness study
10 showed the potential to save US\$7 million on costs of IMCI-recommended drug treatments with
11 a national scale-up.[26] Based on these results, the steering committee phased a national scale-
12 up of the FB-IMCI program using an equity focus to initially implement in districts with the
13 highest U5M rates (114 to 163 per 1,000 live births).[27] KIs noted this phased scale-up was
14 necessary due to limited availability of resources. IMCI was integrated into the Health, Nutrition
15 and Population Sector Programme (HNPS),[28] and according to KIs, all healthcare providers
16 were trained through WHO- and icddr, b-conducted cascade trainings. To ensure feasibility of
17 reaching all targeted health facility personnel, FB-IMCI training centers were also established in
18 divisional medical colleges. In describing the process of expansion, a KI explained, *“first we*
19 *brought the Professors and Associate Professors and other staff from the divisional medical*
20 *colleges to [Dhaka] and they got 11 days training on the IMCI protocol. After that, they came for*
21 *five days TOT, and returned back to their place.....started training facility in their respective*
22 *division – Rajshahi, Rangpur, Barisal, Chittagong, Mymensingh [the divisions with medical*
23 *colleges at the time]. This way, we scaled up the training centers.”*

24 25 *Adaptation*

26 Throughout the implementation process, FB-IMCI was adapted to account for new international
27 recommendations, data on resistance to chloroquine, and technological advances such as rapid
28 diagnostic tests (RDTs) for malaria.[28] RDTs were introduced in 2008,[29] two years before
29 WHO recommendations in 2010 [30] – evidence of Bangladesh’s ability to balance global data
30 with local data and need. Preparations for introducing RDTs began with community
31 engagement to integrate these new adaptations into IMCI. When faced with increased
32 resistance to Chloroquine, artemisinin-based combination therapy (ACT) was adopted as the
33 standard treatment in 2004 based on WHO recommendations.[31] Similarly, as global data
34 emerged on resistance to cotrimoxazole as the first line of treatment for pneumonia, WHO
35 recommended amoxicillin in 2012,[32] and Bangladesh adopted this into its guidelines in 2014.
36 A surveillance on invasive *streptococcus pneumoniae* disease among Hospitalized Children in
37 Bangladesh showed high levels of resistance to cotrimoxazole, but high susceptibility to
38 penicillin.[33]

39 Finally, when Bangladesh identified the need to implement IMCI at the community level and
40 started preparing for implementation in 2002, the WHO had not yet developed community-
41 based IMCI (CB-IMCI) which it later adopted in 2004. As a KI explained, *“When the multi-country*
42 *evaluation was started, community IMCI was totally missing at that time, WHO [did not have]*

1 *such strategy... community IMCI strategy document was developed by Bangladesh which later*
2 *contributed in developing the globally strategy.”* Similar to FB-IMCI, the CB-IMCI program
3 focused on high burden diseases for children under 5 – diarrhea, respiratory infections, and
4 malnutrition, as well as ear problems and malaria in endemic regions. However, the CB-IMCI
5 program’s lower age limit was set at 1 month – excluding the neonatal period – because,
6 according to KIs, neonatal conditions required higher levels of care which were not possible at
7 community level. In 2016, MOHFW data showed that CB-IMCI had been rolled out in only about
8 half of all sub-districts (249/490). KIs explained that this did not include NGO coverage, and
9 noted that CB-IMCI was implemented nationwide as of 2019.

10 *Sustainment*

11 In order to ensure sustainability, the government integrated the IMCI strategy into the
12 undergraduate medical curriculum in 2009, and increased its budget for this program in
13 2015.[34] To ensure long-term data availability, monitoring, and evaluation, components of
14 IMCI were integrated into the Health Management and Information Systems (HMIS) under the
15 MoH&FW. In 2017, after the case study period ended, the IMCI program was renamed the
16 National Newborn Health Programme (NNHP)-IMCI, reflecting a new focus on the first 24 hours
17 of the neonatal period in the FB-IMCI component. According to a KI, this decision was made
18 because *“we found out that more of the under 5 deaths were due to newborn, mostly in the first*
19 *24 hours of life...and although we were focusing on newborns, perhaps it was not adequate. We*
20 *thought, what is our success story? One of the best success stories is the immunization*
21 *program.... which is successful because it is a program. If EPI would not be there, it would just be*
22 *a routine immunization system in the government and would not draw that much attention of*
23 *the policymakers and others. So, we thought we should also make a kind of program like that.”*
24

25 Implementation strategies for FB-IMCI

26 Bangladesh used a number of strategies to implement FB-IMCI (Table 3). The implementation
27 strategies used across the five steps of the implementation pathway often either leveraged the
28 facilitating contextual factors or addressed the challenging ones in order to successfully
29 implement the EBIs across the country. For instance, Bangladesh leveraged the country’s long-
30 standing history of data collection to implement FB-IMCI and adapt the program to the country
31 context. To account for the country’s high neonatal mortality, the age limit for FB-IMCI was
32 changed from the WHO’s recommendation of 7 days to 24 hours. This strategy of data use,
33 building on Bangladesh’s history of data collection, allowed the country to address a significant
34 burden. Additionally, given the shortage of resources, Bangladesh adopted a phased scale-up
35 approach, focusing on areas with the highest burden. By tailoring the strategy to the existing
36 contextual factors, Bangladesh was able to effectively implement FB-IMCI.
37

38 Implementation outcomes for FB-IMCI

39 Through the implementation strategies, Bangladesh was able to achieve various
40 implementation outcomes (Table 3), primarily appropriateness and sustainability as FB-IMCI
41 addressed three main causes of death for U5 and as an IMCI program unit was established in
42 the MOH&FW. In the last two years of a cluster randomized trial in a rural area of Bangladesh,
43 there were 4.2 fewer child deaths per 1000 live births in IMCI areas than in comparison areas,

1 but this different in mortality rate was not statistically significant.[35] The reach for FB-IMCI
2 was especially high, with 483 of the 490 sub-districts (98%) covered by 2016, including
3 community clinics which represent the lowest tier of the government health facilities and exist
4 even in hard-to-reach areas. KIs explained that the seven districts which appeared to be missed
5 was because some sub-districts did not have these health facilities.

6
7 Facility surveys in 2000 found that 70% of health workers at the facilities were trained in ARI
8 management, 71% of facilities had ORS for diarrhea, and 88% had antibiotics for pneumonia
9 treatment. Moreover, likely after introduction of CB-IMCI in 2005 and consequent care-seeking
10 from community-health workers (CHWs), care-seeking in facilities declined over time. The
11 introduction of CB-IMCI was a complement to FB-IMCI and helped increase the reach of this
12 program into communities. For instance, home care for illnesses, timely care seeking, and the
13 use of services improved overall with the introduction of CB-IMCI.[24] This suggests that the
14 adoption of CB-IMCI increased acceptability of the services being provided. While the rates for
15 ARI dropped over the 15 years, fever and malaria remained relatively constant and facility level
16 care-seeking for these conditions remained generally low.[36]

17 **Insecticide-Treated Nets**

18 *Exploration*

19
20 In the 1980s and 1990s, a country-led distribution of ITNs was not feasible due to lack of
21 funding and high turnover of staff involved with the malaria program. Between 1998 and 2004,
22 as part of the Roll Back Malaria Initiative and with technical support from WHO, the Bangladesh
23 Rural Advancement Committee (BRAC) used data to identify three endemic districts,
24 Bandarban, Khagrachari, and Rangamati, and implemented an ITN program in these areas to
25 reduce malaria burden.[36] In 2002, the Country Coordinating Mechanism (CCM), a
26 coordinating committee mandated and funded by the Global Fund that included local and
27 global stakeholders such as MoH&FW, NGOs, and civil society members, was formed to oversee
28 malaria, TB, and HIV/AIDS interventions.[37] The CCM expressed its commitment to improve
29 malaria control efforts through expanding the malaria program following WHO guidelines,
30 which included ITN.

31 *Preparation*

32
33 In 2006, the Global Fund grant was approved to provide ITNs to all households in the three
34 endemic districts with the highest malaria burden, and to 80% of households in the other 10
35 malaria-endemic districts in the southeast and northeast,[13] consistent with the country's
36 strategy to use data and focus on areas with the most need through an equity approach. A KI
37 noted that through consistent community engagement efforts, especially with community
38 leaders and women, the government was able to create awareness of malaria symptoms and the
39 importance of ITN use. A KI from an implementing partner noted, "*First, the leaders were*
40 *engaged, because leaders have to be convinced first. They are the ones local community people*
41 *will trust. So we convinced them on what we were going to do and subsequently we focused on*
42 *the community people. We also did lots of dramas, even in the local language, especially for the*
43

1 *tribes in the hilly areas who have different languages.”* This strategy was important to address
2 the lack of knowledge and experience of ITNs in the districts.

3
4 Training guidelines and net distribution protocols were developed reflecting WHO
5 recommendations. Another critical preparation strategy was micro-planning by sub-district,
6 district, and central-level NGO supervisors with oversight from the Revised Malaria Control
7 Strategies Program to determine the number of eligible households and the cost. Consistent with
8 Bangladesh’s success in leveraging partner support and capacity for implementation, a
9 consortium of 21 NGOs with prior experience in the malaria-endemic districts, including BRAC,
10 was formed to lead the implementation of the LLIN program.[38]

11 12 *Implementation*

13 Starting 2007, the government distributed nets for free through outreach activities conducted
14 every three years at community spaces including schools.[39] These outreach activities were
15 coupled with the training of BRAC and other NGO community workers on community
16 engagement techniques and the importance of ITN use following the WHO guidelines.[38] This
17 led to the achievement of high coverage and the narrowing of geographic equity gaps.[39] By
18 2015, the number of deaths attributable to malaria had decreased by 93% from its 2000
19 levels.[40]

20 21 *Adaptation*

22 At the beginning of program implementation, the ITNs were distributed only by the NGO CHWs.
23 However, there was an impression among the community that the ITNs were supplied by the
24 NGOs, while in reality the government was paying for the expenses. A KI explained that in 2011,
25 with the increase of staff numbers in the malaria programs, in order to ensure government
26 ownership, the government adapted the strategy for government CHWs to implement the ITN
27 program in collaboration with NGOs. Another KI from the Ministry of Health stated, “*we*
28 *arranged at the national level regarding the distribution plan and decided that there should be a*
29 *banner made to say that [ITNs distribution] was jointly done, because there was an impression*
30 *that these nets were given by NGOs. While we were paying the price, they were claiming the*
31 *credit just for distribution. So we began to do them jointly.”*

32 33 *Sustainment*

34 In addition to distributing the nets along with the NGOs, the government also included the cost
35 of the distribution in the national budget.

36 37 Implementation Strategies for ITNs

38 Among others, the key implementation strategies for this EBI included data use for decision-
39 making, leveraging donor support and collaboration, community engagement and focus on
40 equity (Table 4). Some of these strategies were cross-cutting across several of the EPIAS steps.
41 For instance, collaboration with donors and other stakeholders was a critical component of all
42 the five steps. It was in collaboration Roll Back Malaria Initiative and technical support from
43 WHO that BRAC used data to identify three high-endemicity districts where the ITN distributed
44 would be piloted. In the preparation phase, a consortium of 21 NGOs with experience in the

1 malaria-endemic districts was formed to lead the implementation of the ITN program. Donors
2 such as Global Fund were instrumental in providing the financial resources to roll out of the
3 program successfully. In the implementation phase, NGO CHWs initially supported the
4 distribution process. These implementation strategies allowed Bangladesh to achieve various
5 implementation outcomes such as coverage and equity described below.

6 Implementation outcomes for ITNs

7 The strategies used to implement ITNs have resulted in the achievement of various outcomes
8 identified in our framework, including appropriateness, acceptability, feasibility, effectiveness
9 and coverage, fidelity, sustainability and equity (Table 4). Here we highlight how two of these
10 outcomes – equity and fidelity – were achieved using targeted strategies. For instance, to
11 increase coverage of ITN and ensure equitable distribution, the nets were distributed for free.
12 This equity approach is also evident from the initial selection of 13 endemic districts for the
13 distribution of ITNs. Additionally, to ensure fidelity, guidelines based on WHO
14 recommendations were accompanied by trainings such as training on community engagement
15 techniques. The insecticide-treated net (ITN) utilization rate among children under 5 years was
16 90% and among pregnant women was 85% in malaria endemic regions.[12] The national
17 disease-specific report showed a substantial decline in overall malaria cases from the baseline
18 year 2008 (84,690 cases) to 2012 (26,891 cases), a 68% reduction of cases (Table 5).

19 **Cross-cutting contextual factors affecting success of FB-IMCI and ITN implementation**

20
21 We identified a number of contextual factors at the global, national, subnational, community,
22 and implementing partner levels. For both FB-IMCI and ITN, Bangladesh used existing data on
23 disease burden to select the focus of the program and the regions to implement the programs
24 in for small scale testing. Bangladesh has a long history of data collection through the DHS
25 system starting in 1993.[41] Throughout the process of implementation, data were used to
26 understand the impact of FB-IMCI and scale the programs to the national level. In the case of
27 FB-IMCI, treatment regimens for pneumonia were adapted based on global data on resistance
28 and recommendations from the WHO.

29
30 The existence of a strong community health system contributed significantly to the
31 implementation of ITN as it allowed the implementing partners to deliver services within
32 communities in need. This was evident especially for ITN implementation, as CHWs were
33 instrumental in distributing ITNs as well as addressing the lack of knowledge and health-seeking
34 behavior within communities. The community health system also helped increase the reach of
35 IMCI into communities with the introduction of CB-IMCI. In addition, Bangladesh's leadership
36 and governance and culture of accountability allowed it to implement the most effective EBIs
37 that target the major causes of death in the U5 population. For instance, FB-IMCI was adopted
38 by the government following WHO recommendations to improve and integrate the existing
39 CDD and ARI programs and address the needs of children through a more holistic approach.
40 Moreover, a KI noted that the existence of international commitments such as the 2000-2015
41 MDGs "*made the government have the political will to think about the child, infant, and*
42 *newborn health,*" and informed many of the EBIs implemented.
43
44

1
2 The government also leveraged the presence of donors globally to pool resources as well as
3 share knowledge and expertise. For example, a consortium of 21 NGOs, each working on
4 malaria intervention, was formed to facilitate the implementation of the EBIs targeted at
5 reducing malaria. The strategy of donor collaboration building on this facilitating factor
6 contributed to the transition from a vertical to a horizontal approach in support of the health
7 sector. However, dependence on donor funding was also identified as a challenge to rapid
8 implementation. For instance, ACT was put into guidelines in 2004 but was not implemented
9 until 2007 when the Global Fund provided funding to scale up malaria interventions.[42] A KI
10 from an implementing partner explained this, stating that, *“at that time, cost and things were*
11 *high, so we couldn’t afford to do it. 2004 we put it in the paper, in the documents but...it was*
12 *very expensive. In a real sense, it started much later.”*

13
14 Bangladesh relied on the knowledge and expertise of professional associations and
15 pediatricians within the country. A KI explained the role that the BPA and BNF played in
16 ensuring acceptability and sustainability of IMCI. *“For the IMCI, we said: yes, you the experts,*
17 *you the pediatricians, you the professional bodies please help us. Meanwhile, along with them*
18 *we continuously started sitting together... They are coming in contact with the parents and*
19 *children so their participation is very important because unless the professional bodies are*
20 *coming (together) to bring this program forward... without their help, you cannot sustain.”*

21 22 **Discussion**

23
24 Bangladesh made significant strides in reducing U5M between the years 2000 and 2015 using a
25 number of EBIs, including FB-IMCI and ITN. The purpose of this study was to examine how
26 Bangladesh selected, implemented, adapted and sustained the implementation of these two
27 EBIs. The added value of such implementation research is the understanding of the process of
28 implementation, including contextual factors, strategies chosen and implementation outcomes
29 which are important in understanding effectiveness.

30
31 The study found that these EBIs were implemented through different strategies with the
32 ownership of the national health system and through partnerships with donors and
33 international organizations. Both EBIs achieved large coverage over the country, with FB-IMCI
34 implemented in 483 out of 490 sub-districts. Similarly, the ITN utilization rate reached 90%.
35 Several implementation strategies such as the integration of key stakeholders, holistic training
36 and supervision, and adaptation of interventions to the local setting were used to implement
37 EBIs. Facilitating contextual factors such as the strong community health system, effective
38 leadership, and donor and implementing partner collaboration were leveraged to contribute to
39 the rapid decline in U5M. However, donor dependency and lack of quality and oversight over
40 the private sector presented as obstacles to the successful implementation of the EBIs with
41 quality and coverage.

42
43 A number of transferable lessons from Bangladesh have the potential to be adopted by other
44 countries looking to accelerate a decline in U5M through stronger implementation of EBIs

1 targeted at amenable causes of mortality. Governments need to build strong community health
2 systems as per the recommendation of the WHO to help bring medicines and basic health
3 services into communities at their doorstep, and increase community participation. This lesson
4 aligns with findings from existing literature on factors that contribute to improved health
5 service delivery.[43–45] Community health systems are especially critical to providing child and
6 maternal health services, ensuring uptake of these EBIs, and educating and engaging with the
7 community on various health services.[46]

8
9 Bangladesh implemented various interventions based on local evidence and aligned strongly
10 with international public health recommendations. This balance allows countries to design
11 locally relevant programs while referring to global knowledge. Inability to collect quality, timely
12 data has been identified as a barrier to effective decision and policy making in many countries.
13 While following WHO guidelines is critical, developing the capacity to collect data locally and
14 use these data to inform EBI implementation nationally is as important.[47,48] Bangladesh’s
15 decision to include RDTs into its guidelines two years before WHO recommendations to address
16 local challenges in malaria diagnostics is evidence of this ability to implement and adapt
17 interventions based on local need.

18
19 These locally specific yet globally informed programs were facilitated by the strong
20 collaboration between local, national, and global partners that were invested in the reduction
21 of U5M in Bangladesh. Our findings are supported by evidence on the importance of forming
22 partnerships in determining country priorities, avoiding duplication of efforts and maximizing
23 outcomes.[49–52] For example, professionals associations such as Bangladesh Pediatric
24 Association and Bangladesh Neonatal Forum were critical in the preparation for and
25 implementation of FB-IMCI and supported the acceptability and sustainability of the program.
26 Beyond professional associations, relevant stakeholders included government, community
27 members, donors, and implementing partners.

28 29 **Limitations**

30
31 The inability to evaluate the quality of FB-IMCI and ITN implementation and their relative
32 contribution to the reduction of U5M present as limitations to the study. We were not able to
33 address this limitation because of the relative lack of data on quality. Additionally, the overlap
34 of the coverage of FB-IMCI and CB-IMCI limited the ability to measure population coverage
35 associated with facility-based and community-based care. Limitations in resource and time
36 prevented a more in-depth study of the implementation of these two EBIs and interviews of KIs
37 including community members. This research lacked some in-depth details on strategies,
38 contextual factors and implementation outcomes. Notably, fidelity and acceptability were
39 largely missing from the literature and routinely available data. We explored these areas
40 through the KII, but resources limited the range geographically and informant type (such as
41 community). In addition, these data solely relied on recall, which may have introduced recall
42 bias. Lastly, after analysis and initial drafting of the manuscript, a number of the KI interview
43 transcripts and the descriptor list were deleted due to staff turnover. As a result, we were not

1 able to indicate the type of KII for all quotes (if the respondent was an implementer or a policy
2 maker), which would have provided additional context.

3

4 **Conclusion**

5

6 Existing literature details the interventions implemented to reduce U5M but does not
7 investigate in detail the origin, the process of implementation and the sustainment of these
8 EBIs. Using the implementation science framework, we have identified the transferable
9 knowledge through an in-depth insight into the design, implementation, evaluation,
10 adaptation, and sustainment of the EBIs targeted at reducing U5M. We found that Bangladesh
11 was able to implement FB-IMCI and ITNs using a number of strategies that included data use for
12 decision-making, donor and implementing partner collaboration, small-scale testing as well as
13 focus on equity. Contextual factors that facilitated this work include national leadership and
14 accountability, community health worker system, existence of in-country NGOs and availability
15 of donors. However, donor dependency and lack of quality and oversight over the private
16 sector presented as obstacles to the successful implementation of the EBIs with quality and
17 coverage. Given that these challenging contextual factors are likely common in other
18 comparable countries, these lessons could provide helpful insights to policymakers seeking to
19 reduce disease burden among their U5 populations.

1 **List of abbreviations**

2

3	ACT	Artemisinin-based combination therapy
4	ARI	Acute Respiratory Infection
5	BNF	Bangladesh Neonatal Forum
6	BPA	Pediatric Association
7	BRAC	Bangladesh Rural Advancement Committee
8	CB-IMCI	Community-based integrated management of childhood illnesses
9	CCM	Country Coordinating Mechanism
10	CDD	Control for Diarrheal Diseases
11	CFIR	Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research
12	CHW	Community health worker
13	EBI	Evidence-based intervention
14	EPIAS	Exploration, Preparation, Implementation, Adaptation, and Sustainment
15	ERC	Ethic review committee
16	FB-IMCI	Facility-based integrated management of childhood illnesses
17	GDP	Gross domestic product
18	HMIS	Health Management and Information Systems
19	HNPSP	Health, Nutrition and Population Sector Programme
20	IR	Implementation research
21	ITN	Insecticide-treated nets
22	KII	Key informant interview
23	MoH&FW	Ministry of Health & Family Welfare
24	RDT	Rapid diagnostic test
25	RRC	Research review committee
26	U5M	Under-5 mortality
27		

1 **Declarations**

2

3 **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

4 The work was approved by the Research Review Committee (RRC) and Ethical Review
5 Committee (ERC) of icddr,b, Bangladesh (IRB number of the protocol at icddr,b: PR-18074). The
6 ethics review committees of UGHE and Northwestern University exempted the study.

7

8 **Consent for publication**

9 Not applicable

10

11 **Availability of data and materials**

12 Quantitate data used in this study can be found on the Exemplars website linked [here](#).

13

14 Qualitative data access is restricted to users with appropriate ethics approval from the
15 committees listed in the Ethical Considerations section. A reader or reviewer may apply to the
16 authors for access by providing a written description of background, reasons, and intended use.
17 If the methodology does not violate the condition of informed consent under which the
18 interview was conducted, and the proposal approved by UGHE and other relevant ethics
19 boards, the user can obtain the data from the corresponding author, and include one of the
20 authors in the project and analysis.

21

22 **Competing interests**

23 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

24

25 **Funding**

26 This project was funded by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, which also covered the
27 publication fees, and Gates Ventures. These funding bodies were not directly involved in the
28 development of this manuscript.

29

30 **Author's contributions**

31 FAH, AB and LRH made substantial contributions to the design of this work. FAH, KM, HRM, OF,
32 LRH, and AB contributed to the data collection and analysis. FAH, KM, HRM, OF, LRH, and AB
33 interpreted the results to draft and revise the manuscript. All authors have read and approved of
34 the final manuscript.

35

36 **Acknowledgements**

37 The authors would like to acknowledge Gates Ventures for assistance in the background review.
38 We thank the key informants and other stakeholders in this work who provided information,

1 historical perspective, and feedback on our findings. Lastly, we thank Dr. Shams El Arifeen for
2 his guidance and support throughout the research and write up of this research.

3

4 **Author's information:**

5 Corresponding author: Kedest Mathewos, kmathewos@ughe.org

References

1. The World Bank. Millennium Development Goals - Reduce Child Mortality by 2015 [Internet]. [cited 2022 Feb 15]. Available from: https://www5.worldbank.org/mdgs/child_mortality.html
2. The World Bank. Data for Bangladesh, India, Nepal, Thailand, Vietnam, Pakistan | Data [Internet]. [cited 2022 Nov 2]. Available from: <https://data.worldbank.org/?locations=BD-IN-NP-TH-VN-PK>
3. Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME). Global Burden of Disease Study 2016 (GBD 2016) Data Resources. [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2022 Feb 15]. Available from: <http://ghdx.healthdata.org/gbd-2016>
4. Masud Ahmed S, Bushra Binte Alam J, Bank Iqbal Anwar W, Begum T, Bank Rumana Huque W, Khan JA, et al. Bangladesh Health System Review. Bangladesh Heal Syst Rev Heal Syst Transit [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2022 Oct 7];5(3). Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/208214/9789290617051_eng.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y
5. Rahman R. The State, the Private Health Care Sector and Regulation in Bangladesh. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/23276665200710779334> [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2022 Feb 15];29(2):191–206. Available from: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/23276665.2007.10779334>
6. The Partnership for Maternal Newborn & Child Health. A Global Review of the Key Interventions Related to Reproductive, Maternal, Newborn and Child Health (RMNCH) [Internet]. Geneva; 2011 [cited 2021 May 24]. Available from: https://www.who.int/pmnch/topics/part_publications/essential_interventions_18_01_2012.pdf?ua=1
7. Bryce J, El Arifeen S, Pariyo G, Lanata CF, Gwatkin D, Habicht JP. Reducing child mortality: can public health deliver? *Lancet* (London, England) [Internet]. 2003 Jul 12 [cited 2022 Feb 15];362(9378):159–64. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12867119/>
8. Bauer MS, Damschroder L, Hagedorn H, Smith J, Kilbourne AM. An introduction to implementation science for the non-specialist. *BMC Psychol* [Internet]. 2015 Sep 16 [cited 2021 May 24];3(1). Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4573926/>
9. Hirschhorn LR, Frisch M, Ntawukuriryayo JT, VanderZanden A, Donahoe K, Mathewos K, et al. Development and application of a hybrid implementation research framework to understand success in reducing under-5 mortality in Rwanda [version 1; peer review: awaiting peer review] . *Gates Open Res* [Internet]. 2021 Mar 29 [cited 2021 May 24];5(72). Available from: <https://doi.org/10.12688/gatesopenres.13214.1>
10. World Health Organization. Integrated management of childhood illness [Internet]. WHO Child Health and Development. [cited 2022 Feb 15]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/teams/maternal-newborn-child-adolescent-health-and-ageing/child-health/integrated-management-of-childhood-illness>
11. Njumkeng C, Apinjoh TO, Anchang-Kimbi JK, Amin ET, Tanue EA, Njua-Yafi C, et al. Coverage and usage of insecticide treated nets (ITNs) within households: Associated factors and effect on the prevalence of malaria parasitemia in the Mount Cameroon

- 1 area. BMC Public Health [Internet]. 2019 Sep 3 [cited 2022 Feb 15];19(1):1–11. Available
2 from: <https://bmcpublikealth.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12889-019-7555-x>
- 3 12. Kabir MM, Naher S, Islam A, Karim A, Rasid MH-O, Laskar SI. Vector control using
4 LLIN/ITN: reduction of malaria morbidity in Bangladesh. Malar J 2014 131 [Internet].
5 2014 Sep 22 [cited 2021 Jul 21];13(1):1–1. Available from:
6 <https://malariajournal.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1475-2875-13-S1-P47>
- 7 13. Haque U, Overgaard HJ, Clements ACA, Norris DE, Islam N, Karim J, et al. Malaria burden
8 and control in Bangladesh and prospects for elimination: An epidemiological and
9 economic assessment. Lancet Glob Heal [Internet]. 2014 Feb 1 [cited 2022 Feb
10 15];2(2):e98–105. Available from:
11 [https://www.thelancet.com/journals/langlo/article/PIIS2214-109X\(13\)70176-1/fulltext](https://www.thelancet.com/journals/langlo/article/PIIS2214-109X(13)70176-1/fulltext)
- 12 14. Aarons GA, Hurlburt M, Horwitz SM. Advancing a conceptual model of evidence-based
13 practice implementation in public service sectors. Adm Policy Ment Heal Ment Heal Serv
14 Res [Internet]. 2011 Jan [cited 2021 May 24];38(1):4–23. Available from:
15 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21197565/>
- 16 15. Damschroder LJ, Aron DC, Keith RE, Kirsh SR, Alexander JA, Lowery JC. Fostering
17 implementation of health services research findings into practice: A consolidated
18 framework for advancing implementation science. Implement Sci [Internet]. 2009 Aug 7
19 [cited 2021 May 24];4(1):1–15. Available from:
20 <http://www.implementationscience.com/content/4/1/50>
- 21 16. Proctor E, Silmere H, Raghavan R, Hovmand P, Aarons G, Bunker A, et al. Outcomes for
22 implementation research: conceptual distinctions, measurement challenges, and
23 research agenda. Adm Policy Ment Health [Internet]. 2011 Mar [cited 2022 Feb
24 15];38(2):65–76. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20957426/>
- 25 17. Hirschhorn LR, Frisch M, Ntawukuriryayo JT, VanderZanden A, Donahoe K, Mathewos K,
26 et al. Development and application of a hybrid implementation research framework to
27 understand success in reducing under-5 mortality in Rwanda. Gates Open Res [Internet].
28 2021 Nov 24 [cited 2021 Nov 26];5(72). Available from:
29 <https://gatesopenresearch.org/articles/5-72>
- 30 18. Hirschhorn L, Frisch M, Ntawukuriryayo JT, VanderZanden A, Donahoe K, Mathewos K, et
31 al. Development and application of a hybrid implementation research framework to
32 understand success in reducing under-5 mortality in Rwanda. Dryad [Internet]. 2021 Nov
33 15 [cited 2022 Oct 10]; Available from:
34 <https://datadryad.org/stash/dataset/doi:10.5061/dryad.kh189324x>
- 35 19. Binagwaho A, Udoh K, Ntawukuriryayo T, Faruk O, Mahmood HR, Huda FA, et al.
36 Exemplars in U5M: Bangladesh Case Study [Internet]. 2019 Dec [cited 2022 Oct 10].
37 Available from: [https://www.exemplars.health/-/media/files/egh/resources/underfive-](https://www.exemplars.health/-/media/files/egh/resources/underfive-mortality/bangladesh/bangladesh-case-study-_-final-28082020.pdf)
38 [mortality/bangladesh/bangladesh-case-study-_-final-28082020.pdf](https://www.exemplars.health/-/media/files/egh/resources/underfive-mortality/bangladesh/bangladesh-case-study-_-final-28082020.pdf)
- 39 20. Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation. GBD Results Tool [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2022
40 Feb 15]. Available from: <http://ghdx.healthdata.org/gbd-results-tool>
- 41 21. USAID. STATcompiler [Internet]. [cited 2022 Feb 15]. Available from:
42 <https://www.statcompiler.com/en/>
- 43 22. Gera T, Shah D, Garner P, Richardson M, Sachdev HS. Integrated management of
44 childhood illness (IMCI) strategy for children under five. Cochrane Database Syst Rev

- 1 [Internet]. 2016 Jun 22 [cited 2022 Feb 15];2016(6):1. Available from:
2 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4943011/#:~:text=In 1995%2C WHO>
3 technical programs,measles%2C malaria%2C and malnutrition.
- 4 23. World Health Organization. Integrated Management of Childhood Illness (IMCI)
5 [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.who.org/imci.html>.
- 6 24. Ketsela T, Habimana P, Martines J, Mbewe A, Williams A, Sabiiti JN, et al. Integrated
7 Management of Childhood Illness (IMCI). In: Opportunities for Africa's Newborns
8 [Internet]. Geneva; 2006 Nov [cited 2022 Feb 15]. Available from:
9 https://www.who.int/pmnch/media/publications/aonsectionIII_5.pdf
- 10 25. Arifeen S El, Blum LS, Emdadul Hoque DM, Chowdhury EK, Khan R, Black PRE, et al.
11 Integrated Management of Childhood Illness (IMCI) in Bangladesh: early findings from a
12 cluster-randomised study. *Lancet* (London, England) [Internet]. 2004 Oct 30 [cited 2022
13 Feb 15];364(9445):1595–602. Available from:
14 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15519629/>
- 15 26. Mahmud Khan M, Kumar Saha K, Ahmed S. Adopting integrated management of
16 childhood illness module at local level in Bangladesh: implications for recurrent costs. *J*
17 *Heal Popul Nutr*. 2002;20(1):42–50.
- 18 27. National Institute of Population Research and Training (NIPORT), ORC Macro, John
19 Hopkins University, ICDDR B. Bangladesh Maternal Health Services and Maternal
20 Mortality Survey 2001 [Internet]. Dhaka, Bangladesh and Calverton, Maryland (USA);
21 2003 [cited 2022 Feb 15]. Available from:
22 <https://www.dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/FR142/FR142.pdf>
- 23 28. WHO. Improving Maternal, Newborn and Child Health in the South-East Asia Region.
24 Geneva, Switzerland; 2005.
- 25 29. WHO. Bangladesh. In: World Malaria Report [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2022 Feb 15].
26 Available from: [https://www.who.int/malaria/publications/country-](https://www.who.int/malaria/publications/country-profiles/profile_bgd_en.pdf?ua=1)
27 [profiles/profile_bgd_en.pdf?ua=1](https://www.who.int/malaria/publications/country-profiles/profile_bgd_en.pdf?ua=1)
- 28 30. Cunningham J, Jones S, Gatton ML, Barnwell JW, Cheng Q, Chiodini PL, et al. A review of
29 the WHO malaria rapid diagnostic test product testing programme (2008-2018):
30 Performance, procurement and policy. *Malar J* [Internet]. 2019 Dec 2 [cited 2022 Feb
31 15];18(1):1–15. Available from:
32 <https://malariajournal.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12936-019-3028-z>
- 33 31. van den Broek I V., Maung UA, Peters A, Liem L, Kamal M, Rahman M, et al. Efficacy of
34 chloroquine + sulfadoxine--pyrimethamine, mefloquine + artesunate and artemether +
35 lumefantrine combination therapies to treat Plasmodium falciparum malaria in the
36 Chittagong Hill Tracts, Bangladesh. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* [Internet]. 2005 Oct [cited
37 2022 Feb 15];99(10):727–35. Available from:
38 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16095643/>
- 39 32. WHO. Revised WHO classification and treatment of childhood pneumonia at health
40 facilities - Evidence Summaries [Internet]. Geneva, Switzerland; 2014 [cited 2022 Feb 15].
41 Available from:
42 https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/137319/9789241507813_eng.pdf
- 43 33. Saha SK, Naheed A, El Arifeen S, Islam M, Al-Emran H, Amin R, et al. Surveillance for
44 Invasive Streptococcus pneumoniae Disease among Hospitalized Children in Bangladesh:

- 1 Antimicrobial Susceptibility and Serotype Distribution. Clin Infect Dis [Internet]. 2009
2 Mar 1 [cited 2022 Nov 2];48(Supplement_2):S75–81. Available from:
3 https://academic.oup.com/cid/article/48/Supplement_2/S75/451726
- 4 34. DGHS. IMCI Newsletter: Performance Report for January to December 2016 [Internet].
5 Dhaka, Bangladesh; 2017. Available from:
6 http://www.dghs.gov.bd/images/docs/IMCI/IMCI_12_2017.pdf
- 7 35. Arifeen SE, Hoque DE, Akter T, Rahman M, Hoque ME, Begum K, et al. Effect of the
8 Integrated Management of Childhood Illness strategy on childhood mortality and
9 nutrition in a rural area in Bangladesh: a cluster randomised trial. Lancet (London,
10 England) [Internet]. 2009 Aug 7 [cited 2022 Feb 15];374(9687):393–403. Available from:
11 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19647607/>
- 12 36. Haque U, Ahmed SM, Hossain S, Huda M, Hossain A, Alam MS, et al. Malaria Prevalence
13 in Endemic Districts of Bangladesh. PLoS One [Internet]. 2009 Aug 25 [cited 2022 Feb
14 15];4(8). Available from:
15 [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2726938/#:~:text=The weighted
16 average malaria prevalence,rate was \(0.40%25\).](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2726938/#:~:text=The weighted average malaria prevalence,rate was (0.40%25).)
- 17 37. BCCM. Bangladesh Country Coordinating Mechanism (BCCM).
- 18 38. BRAC. Tuberculosis and malaria control [Internet]. [cited 2022 Feb 15]. Available from:
19 [http://www.brac.net/program/health-nutrition-and-population/tuberculosis-and-
20 malaria-control/](http://www.brac.net/program/health-nutrition-and-population/tuberculosis-and-malaria-control/)
- 21 39. Ahmed SM, Hossain S, Kabir MM, Roy S. Free distribution of insecticidal bed nets
22 improves possession and preferential use by households and is equitable: findings from
23 two cross-sectional surveys in thirteen malaria endemic districts of Bangladesh. Malar J
24 [Internet]. 2011 [cited 2022 Feb 15];10:357. Available from:
25 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3266224/>
- 26 40. Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation. GBD Compare [Internet]. IHME Viz Hub.
27 [cited 2022 Feb 15]. Available from: <http://ihmeuw.org/5olz>
- 28 41. The World Bank. Demographic and Health Survey 1993-1994 [Internet]. The World Bank
29 Microdata Library. 2017 [cited 2022 Feb 15]. Available from:
30 <https://microdata.worldbank.org/index.php/catalog/1334>
- 31 42. Starzengruber P, Fuehrer HP, Ley B, Thriemer K, Swoboda P, Habler VE, et al. High
32 prevalence of asymptomatic malaria in south-eastern Bangladesh. Malar J [Internet].
33 2014 Jan 9 [cited 2022 Feb 15];13(1):1–10. Available from:
34 <https://malariajournal.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1475-2875-13-16>
- 35 43. Sayinzoga F, Bijlmakers L. Drivers of improved health sector performance in Rwanda: a
36 qualitative view from within. BMC Heal Serv Res 2016 161 [Internet]. 2016 Apr 8 [cited
37 2021 Jul 14];16(1):1–10. Available from:
38 <https://bmchealthservres.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12913-016-1351-4>
- 39 44. PMNCH, WHO, World Bank, AHPSR. Success Factors for Women’s and Children’s Health:
40 Policy and programme highlights from 10 fast-track countries [Internet]. Geneva,
41 Switzerland; 2014 [cited 2022 Feb 15]. Available from:
42 www.who.int/about/licensing/copyright_form/en/index.html
- 43 45. Assefa Y, Gelaw YA, Hill PS, Taye BW, Van Damme W. Community health extension
44 program of Ethiopia, 2003-2018: Successes and challenges toward universal coverage for

- 1 primary healthcare services. *Global Health* [Internet]. 2019 Mar 26 [cited 2022 Feb
2 15];15(1):1–11. Available from:
3 <https://globalizationandhealth.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12992-019-0470-1>
- 4 46. Lehmann U, Sanders D. Community health workers: What do we know about them?
5 [Internet]. Geneva; 2007 Jan [cited 2022 Feb 15]. Available from:
6 https://www.who.int/hrh/documents/community_health_workers.pdf
- 7 47. Waiswa P, Mpanga F, Bagenda D, Kananura RM, O’Connell T, Henriksson DK, et al. Child
8 health and the implementation of Community and District-management Empowerment
9 for Scale-up (CODES) in Uganda: a randomised controlled trial. *BMJ Glob Heal* [Internet].
10 2021 Jun 8 [cited 2022 Feb 15];6(6). Available from:
11 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8189926/>
- 12 48. Mutale W, Chintu N, Amoroso C, Awoonor-Williams K, Phillips J, Baynes C, et al.
13 Improving health information systems for decision making across five sub-Saharan
14 African countries: Implementation strategies from the African Health Initiative. *BMC*
15 *Health Serv Res* [Internet]. 2013 May 31 [cited 2022 Feb 15];13(SUPPL.2):1–12. Available
16 from: [https://bmchealthservres.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1472-6963-13-S2-](https://bmchealthservres.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1472-6963-13-S2-S9)
17 [S9](https://bmchealthservres.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1472-6963-13-S2-S9)
- 18 49. Binagwaho A, Kyamanywa P, Farmer PE, Nuthulaganti T, Umubyeyi B, Nyemazi JP, et al.
19 The Human Resources for Health Program in Rwanda — A New Partnership.
20 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMSr1302176> [Internet]. 2013 Nov 20 [cited 2021 Aug
21 1];369(21):2054–9. Available from:
22 <https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMSr1302176>
- 23 50. Laird Y, Manner J, Baldwin L, Hunter R, McAteer J, Rodgers S, et al. Stakeholders’
24 experiences of the public health research process: time to change the system? *Heal Res*
25 *Policy Syst* [Internet]. 2020 Jul 18 [cited 2022 Feb 15];18(1). Available from:
26 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7368787/>
- 27 51. Griffiths J, Maggs H, George E. “Stakeholder Involvement”: Background paper prepared
28 for the WHO/WEF Joint Event on Preventing Noncommunicable Diseases in the
29 Workplace [Internet]. Geneva, Switzerland; 2007 [cited 2022 Feb 15]. Available from:
30 <https://www.who.int/dietphysicalactivity/griffiths-stakeholder-involvement.pdf>
- 31 52. Mugo C, Njuguna I, Nduati M, Omondi V, Otieno V, Nyapara F, et al. From research to
32 international scale-up: stakeholder engagement essential in successful design, evaluation
33 and implementation of paediatric HIV testing intervention. *Health Policy Plan* [Internet].
34 2020 Nov 20 [cited 2022 Feb 15];35(9):1180–7. Available from:
35 <https://academic.oup.com/heapol/article/35/9/1180/5908025>

36
37

1 **Tables**

2

3 **Table 1: Comparison of GDP per capita and percentage reduction in neonatal, infant and**
 4 **under-five mortality rate [2]**

	Country	GDP per capita - 2015 (current USD)	Neonatal mortality rate reduction*	Infant mortality rate reduction	Under-five mortality rate reduction
1	Bangladesh	1248.5	45%	51%	56%
2	India	1605.6	42%	48%	52%
3	Nepal	901.7	46%	51%	52%
4	Pakistan	1356.7	21%	27%	30%
5	Thailand	5840.1	50%	53%	50%
6	Vietnam	2581.6	27%	29%	27%

5 *Percentage reduction from 2000 to 2015

6

7

8 **Table 2: Infant and Child Under-5 Mortality Evidence-Based Interventions**

9

Cause of Death	EBIs
Lower respiratory infections	Antibiotic treatment
	Vaccination: PCV
	Vaccination: Hib
	Community-based management
	Facility-based management
Diarrheal diseases	Oral rehydration therapy
	Zinc supplementation
	Vaccination: Rotavirus
	Community-based management
	Facility-based management
Malaria	Antimalarial combination therapy
	Rapid diagnostic testing
	Insecticide-treated nets
	Indoor residual spray
	Intermittent preventative therapy for high-risk groups
	Community-based management
	Facility-based management
Measles	Vaccination: Measles
	Vitamin A supplementation (prior to vaccination)
Malnutrition	Exclusive breastfeeding for six months
	Continued breastfeeding and complementary feeding after six months
	Vitamin A supplementation

	Management of severe acute malnutrition (ready-to-use food, rehydration, antibiotics)	
HIV	ARV treatment for infants and children	
	HIV testing of children born to HIV+ mothers	
	Prevention of mother-to-child transmission (PMTCT)	Early diagnosis of pregnant women (or pre-pregnancy)
		PMTCT treatment for mothers* and post-partum to exposed infants
		Elective Caesarean section for untreated HIV+ mothers**; replacement feeding**
		ARV treatment for mother for life as prevention (started in 2012)
Exclusive breast feeding		
Meningitis	Vaccination: PCV meningococcal	
	Vaccination: Hib	
	Vaccination: Meningococcal	
	Antibiotic treatment	
	Chemoprophylaxis during acute outbreaks	
Other vaccine preventable diseases	Vaccination: Tetanus	
	Vaccination: Diphtheria	
	Vaccination: Pertussis	
	Vaccination: Polio	

1
2
3
4
5

Table 3: Facility-Based Integrated Management of Childhood Illnesses (FB-IMCI) Implementation Strategies and Outcomes

Implementation Outcomes	Broader Implementation Strategies	Specific Examples of the Strategy for FB-IMCI Implementation
Appropriateness	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Data use to understand disease burden 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Setting the lower age limit for FB-IMCI at 24 hours as opposed to 7 days as recommended by - WHO and UNICEF and FB-IMCI focus on diarrhea, malnutrition, acute respiratory infections
Acceptability	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Stakeholder engagement - Adaptation of global guidelines for local context - Community engagement 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Development of a steering committee (ARI and CDD program, donors, partners, Bangladesh Pediatric Association, Bangladesh Neonatal Forum)
Feasibility	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - National leadership and accountability - Leveraging donor support: Global Fund, WHO and UNICEF - Leveraging in-country and global partner support - Phased scale-up and pilot testing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Development of steering committee - Leveraging existing systems: acute respiratory infections, control for diarrheal diseases, malaria, and malnutrition program protocols and guidelines - Leveraging donor support included Global Fund, WHO, icddr,b and UNICEF for training

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Small-scale testing including selection of sub-districts for assessing feasibility - Data use for decision-making 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Establishing divisional training centers, decrease in duration of training for doctors to 8 days
Effectiveness and coverage (reach)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Small-scale testing - Data use for decision-making and adaptation - Phased scale-up - Rapid scale-up of RDTs - Data use for adaptation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Initial introduction of Plasmodium falciparum-specific rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs) - Switch to ACT; first line pneumonia treatment switch from cotrimoxazole to amoxicillin - IMCI program renamed NNHP-IMCI reflecting a new focus on the first 24 hours of the neonatal period; national IMCI guidelines revised to include zinc treatment for diarrhea; introduction of Pan-RDT.
Fidelity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Development of protocols and guidelines - Training (TOT) and supervision - Data audit and feedback 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Guidelines for IMCI used to direct health workers' activities - WHO and icddr,b conducted cascade trainings - Training on IMCI embedded in the medical curriculum
Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Using data 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Limited use of testing with RDTs in low endemic areas
Sustainability	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Integration into systems 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Guidelines integrated into standards
Equity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Focus on equity 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Small-scale testing in hard-to-reach sub-districts and sub-districts with high U5M, prioritizing high U5M sub-districts. - Free test and treatment with RDTs and ACTs focused initially on endemic areas

1
2
3
4
5

ACT: Artemisinin-based combination therapy; NNHP-IMCI: National Newborn Health Program - IMCO

Table 4: Insecticide-treated Nets (ITN) Implementation Strategies and Outcomes

Implementation Outcomes	Broader Implementation Strategies	Specific Examples of the Strategy for ITN Implementation
Appropriateness	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Data use for decision-making (prioritization) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Bangladesh Rural Advancement Committee (BRAC) and Government of Bangladesh rolled out ITNs program in high-endemic districts
Acceptability	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Community engagement: 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Community leaders and women
Feasibility	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Leveraging donor support - Leveraging partner support - Leveraging existing systems: government CHWs - National leadership and accountability - Micro-planning 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Resources from Global Fund used in the ITN distribution program - Country Coordinating Mechanism, implementing NGOs - To target ITN distribution to the most endemic regions
Effectiveness and coverage	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Leveraging partner support - Free distribution 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - WHO recommendations for distribution protocols

	- Micro-planning - Fixed-site CBD	- Training of CHWs supported by NGOs
Fidelity	- Trainings	- Training on community engagement techniques
Sustainability	- Ensuring budget	- Cost of ITN distribution included in the national budget
Equity	- Focus on equity - Free distribution of nets	- Implementation in 13 endemic areas

1
2
3


Table 5: Improvements in Malaria Burden

	Northeast		Southeast	
	2008	2011	2008	2011
Percentage of households with at least one LLIN/ITN in the 13 malaria endemic districts [39]	21.9%	74.4%	64.3%	76.0%
	Across the country			
	2008		2012	
Malaria incidence rate [13]	6.2 cases per 1000		2.1 cases per 1000	

4
5
6
7
8
9
10

Figures

Figure 1: New Hybrid Implementation Science Framework



Copyright UGHE