Does the Wim Hof Method have a beneficial impact on physiological and psychological outcomes in healthy and non-healthy participants? A systematic review

Omar Almahayni¹, Lucy Hammond¹

¹ Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom

Abstract

Introduction: Wim Hof, also known as the iceman, developed a method called Wim Hof Method which he claims to have several benefits on physical and mental health. The aim of this systematic review is to identify and synthesise the results of the studies conducted on Wim Hof Method on physiological and psychological health-related outcomes.

Materials and Methods: Medline and Web of Science were searched. Studies were included if they met the predetermined inclusion/exclusion criteria. Data extraction and quality assessment were performed on the included studies. The effects of Wim Hof Method were categorised into physiological or psychological related outcomes and narrative synthesis was conducted.

Results: Nine papers were included in this review which consisted of eight individual trials. The findings of this systematic review suggest that the Wim Hof Method may affect the reduction of inflammation in healthy and non-healthy participants as it increases epinephrine Additionally, Wim Hof breathing method was suggested to not enhance the performance of an exercise as minute ventilation, tidal volume, and breathing frequency were statistically insignificant.

Conclusion: Taken together, the findings of this review show promising use of Wim Hof Method in the inflammatory response category. The focus of future studies should move away from investigating the use of Wim Hof breathing method to enhance exercise performance and towards exploring the benefits of Wim Hof Method in non-healthy participants with inflammatory disorders.

Introduction

Wim Hof, also known as the iceman, is recognized for his ability to resist extreme cold temperatures. He holds 21 Guinness World Records for some of his remarkable human endurance achievements, including climbing Mount Kilimanjaro while wearing shorts, swimming 66 metres beneath ice, standing for two hours in a container filled with ice cubes, and running a half marathon over the Arctic Circle, only wearing shorts and barefoot [1]. He credits his achievements to the Wim Hof Method (WHM) which consists of three different pillars: Wim Hof breathing method (WHBM), cold therapy, and commitment. According to Wim Hof, a combination of these three pillars enhances a person's autonomic nervous and immune systems, thus strengthening a person's health and mentality. WHBM consists of hyperventilation 30-40 times then holding the breath voluntarily at low lung volume [2]. Cold therapy considers taking daily cold showers or sitting in an ice bath [3]. The final pillar, which is commitment, is the foundation of the other two pillars; mastering both mindful breathing

and cold exposure takes patience and persistence [4]. To support his claims, Wim Hof has submitted his method and himself for research. Many studies, including case studies, randomised control trials (RCTs), and observational trials have been conducted on him and his method [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16].

To identify some of the benefits people are experiencing, Wim Hof collaborated with RMIT University by conducting a survey inquiring about the impact WHM had on an individual's health and well-being [17]. Over 3,200 people answered the survey, and the majority of the answers were positive. Respondents reported a good mix of physical and mental benefits such as an increase in their tolerance to resist cold, as well as an increase in energy, mood, mental focus, and general health. The survey findings also claimed that the WHM had benefits for specific conditions such as stress, tiredness and fatigue, anxiety, depression, back pain, insomnia, arthritis, and chronic pain [17].

Collectively, these findings appear to suggest_promising evidence that the WHM may be of importance to healthy and non-healthy individuals and that the WHM approach may be given consideration in the public health and lifestyle medicine fields. The notion of lifestyle medicine refers to the study of how actions and habits affect illness prevention and treatment [18]. However, caution should be applied insofar as the aforementioned survey [17] has not been peer-reviewed or published, and Wim Hof's own involvement in this study and others [5, 9] may give rise to conflicts of interest in the pursuit of both an evidence base and a commercial opportunity. Wim Hof has attained a level of celebrity as a result of his achievements, method and associated media career. Due to all his remarkable achievements, his charisma, and authenticity, Wim Hof might be considered to be both micro and achieved

celebrity [19], where achieved celebrity refers to the attainment of fame based on recognized talent, achievement, or ability and micro celebrity describes an individual that achieves status and social media presence by self-broadcasting about niche subjects to a small community of followers. Wim Hof is an example of a celebrity that embodies the para-social relationship, exchanging the allure of intimacy, integrity, and authenticity. The growing number of celebrities giving lifestyle and health advice and scientific knowledge to the public indicates the need to critically examine such advice [20]. Nunan *et al.*, 2021 urge caution for the potential of "the unintended consequences of uncritical endorsement and application of lifestyle medicine including the infiltration of pseudoscience, profiteering, and the potential for widening health inequalities by a continued focus on the 'individual'" (p229) [21]. Therefore, an independent, systematic synthesis of this evidence is warranted to evaluate the positive health claims of the WHM.

A systematic review has not yet been conducted on the WMH body of evidence. Therefore, an independent, systematic synthesis of this evidence is warranted to evaluate the positive health claims of the WHM. Hence, this review aims to identify and synthesise the results of the studies conducted on WHM on physiological and psychological health-related outcomes.

Materials and Methods

This systematic review followed the PRISMA guidelines for systematic reviews [22]. The protocol was registered in the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO), registration number CRD42022333209.

Literature search

MEDLINE and Web of Science databases were used to search for published studies for potential inclusion in this systematic review. The following search phrases were used in combination: Wim Hof; breathing exercise OR breathing technique; meditation OR commitment; cold temperature OR cold exposure OR ice bath OR cold shower Table 1. The filters English language, year="2014 - July 4, 2022", and journal articles or observational studies or randomized controlled trials (RCTs) were applied. A filter for the year was applied because the first RCT study performed on WHM was in 2014 [10].

Database	Search strategy
Medline	1. Wim Hof
	2. Breathing exercise OR Breathing
	technique
	3. 1 OR 2
	4. Meditation OR Commitment
	5. Cold temperature OR Cold exposure
	OR Ice bath OR Cold shower
	6. 3 AND 4 AND 5
	7. Limit 1 and 6 to (English Language
	and Year = "2014 – July 4, 2022" and
	Journal Article or Observational

Table 1. Search strategy of Medline and Web of Science.

	Study or Randomized Controlled
	Trial)
Web of Science	1. Wim Hof
	2. Breathing exercise OR Breathing
	technique
	3. 1 OR 2
	4. Meditation OR Commitment
	5. Cold temperature OR Cold exposure
	OR Ice bath OR Cold shower
	6. 3 AND 4 AND 5
	7. Limit 1 and 6 to (English Language
	and Year = "2014 – July 4, 2022" and
	Articles)
	Note: all searches are all fields

Study selection

Duplicates were deleted before abstracts were screened. The screening of titles and abstracts was conducted by two independent reviewers. Afterwards, the full texts were screened by the two reviewers independently. Any disagreements were resolved by consensus. Screening was performed on Covidence. Studies were included according to the predetermined inclusion/exclusion criteria. Inclusion criteria included RCTs and cohort studies published in peer-reviewed journals, studies conducted on healthy individuals and people with preexisting medical conditions (adolescents and adults over the age of 14), studies that included all three pillars (breathing, cold exposure, and commitment) of the WHM as defined above, and studies that only focused on WHBM. Articles just covering WHBM were permitted as it has been deemed more important and studied more frequently than other pillars. Furthermore, exclusion criteria included studies that discussed WHM but are not original experimental research or are not peer-reviewed, studies that included children under the age of 14, and studies that used methods similar to WHM, but not actually WHM, such as tummo meditation. Both methods allow for the controlment of body temperature, and both have similar breathing techniques. Tummo meditation consists of breathing and visualisation techniques which are intended to summon spiritual insight, whereas WHM is not religious and is acquired by exposing oneself to difficult natural circumstances [23]. After deciding on the included studies, the reference lists were hand-searched for other relevant articles.

Data extraction comprised the name of the study, place where the study was conducted, research design, participant demographics, study context, description of intervention and control, and key findings. One reviewer completed the extraction while a second reviewer checked it for accuracy. Furthermore, study quality was assessed using the RoB 2.0 risk bias tool [24] for RCTs and the Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN) risk bias tool [25] for cohort studies. Two reviewers independently evaluated the possibility of bias. The answers were then compared, and any disagreement was discussed between the reviewers.

Data synthesis

A narrative synthesis of the findings from the studies was included by providing a description and comparison between the different studies. This comprised a discussion of the contexts in which the studies have been undertaken, and the effects of WHM thematically grouped into physiological or psychological related outcomes. In each thematic grouping, different sets of outcomes were included. The data were not suitable for meta-analysis as there were various unrelated outcome measures resulting in heterogeneity across studies.

Results

Study characteristics

Nine papers, seen in Fig 1, published between 2014 and July 4, 2022, were identified that examined the WHM. One of these articles was identified from hand-searching the included studies' reference lists [6]. Two of these articles [13, 16] were further analyses of a study [10]. Table 2 summarises the characteristics of the listed studies. Of the nine papers included, there were eight individual trials. Four of the trials performed the full WHM [7, 10, 12, 15] and four did only WHBM [6, 8, 11, 15]. The application of WHBM differed between studies as some studies had one breathing exercise [6, 8, 11, 12] while others had two breathing exercises [7, 10, 13, 15, 16]. The first breathing exercise was common in all studies. Cold exposure was conducted according to what is accessible to each study. However, all studies agreed on daily exposure to the cold through having cold showers, but the duration of exposure under the shower differed across studies. The meditation technique was the least focused component of the WHM as it is just a foundation for the other two pillars. All studies had the same

description of the meditation technique except for Petraskova Touskova *et al.* (2022). All the authors described it as visualisations meant to promote complete relaxation, while Petraskova Touskova *et al.*, 2022 described it as a form of focusing to obtain self-awareness and will-power. Out of the nine papers, five conducted a randomised controlled trial (RCT) design [10, 11, 13, 15, 16], three conducted a crossover RCT design [6, 7, 8], and one conducted a prospective cohort design [12].

Figure 1. PRISMA flow diagram for study selection processes.

Table 2. Characteristics of the listed studies.

Study	Country	Study Design	Population Description	Endotoxemia	WHM Intervention	Other Intervention
				Intervention		
Bahenský <i>et</i>	Czech	Crossover	- n=16	N/A	Intervention	Intervention and
al., 2020	Republic	RCT				control
			- Sex: males and females.		- Breathing exercises were conducted	
					while lying down.	- Incremental testing
			- Age range: 16-19 years.			session: warm-up (2
					Control	min at 25 W), followed
			- Trained: medium and long-			by four two-minute
			distance runners		- Rest quietly while sitting down.	stages of increasing
						intensity (1, 2, 3, 4
			- Running six times every week			W/kg) based on the
			for at least three years.			body mass of each
						participant.

Кох	et	al.,	Netherlands	RCT	- n=24	Intervention and	Intervention	N/A
2014						control		
					- 30 were recruited, but only 24		- The study was performed in two	
					completed the full protocol.	- iv administration	identical blocks, consisting of nine	
						of 2 ng/kg	participants in the WHM-trained	
					- Sex: male.	Escherichia coli	group in each block.	
						endotoxin.		
					- Age range: 19-27 years.		- Six participated in the endotoxemia	
							experiments and six subjects in the	
					- Status: healthy (normal		control group.	
					electrocardiography, physical			
					examination, and routine		- The WHM-trained group was	
					laboratory values). Non-		trained by three trainers and Wim	
					smoking.		Hof.	

					- The day before the endotoxemia	
					, experiment, Wim Hof held a last	
					training session in which the chosen	
					participants practised WHM.	
					Control	
					- No WHM training.	
Zwaag et al.,	Netherlands	RCT	- Same as Kox <i>et al.</i> , 2014.	- Same as Kox <i>et</i>	- Same as Kox <i>et al.</i> , 2014.	N/A
2020				al., 2014.		
Middendorp	Netherlands	RCT	Same as Kox et al., 2014	- Same as Kox <i>et</i>	- Same as Kox <i>et al.,</i> 2014.	N/A
et al., 2016				al., 2014.		

Buijze <i>et al.,</i>	Netherlands	Open	label	- n=19	N/A	Early intervention	N/A
2019		random	ised				
		one-wa	У	- 24 were recruited, but only 19		- Participants were trained by four	
		cross	over	completed the full protocol.		trainers and Wim Hof.	
		design					
				- Sex: males and females.		Late intervention	
				- Age range: 18-55 years.		- The participants in the control	
						group also received exposure to the	
				- Medical status: clinical		intervention after the 8-week control	
				diagnosis of axSpA.		phase had ended.	
				- Medication use: NSAIDs,		- Patients were trained in the same	
				corticosteroids, or DMARDs.		way as the early intervention.	

					Control	
					control	
					Queaks of control pariod during the	
					- 8 weeks of control period during the	
					early intervention.	
					No WHM training	
Zwaag et al	Netherlands	RCT	- n=40 for breathing exercises	Intervention and	Endotoxemia study	Breathing exercises
, ,			5			
2022			study.	control		study
						-
					Intervention	
			- n=48 for endotoxemia study.	- Purified LPS was		Intervention
				reconstituted in 5	- Cold exposure alone (CEX).	
			- Sex: males.	mL of saline 0.9%		- Extensive or short
				for injection,	- Breathing exercise without	training by Wim Hof.
				vortexed for 20	retention alone (BRT).	

			- Age range: 19-24 years for	minutes, and then		- Extensive or short
			breathing exercises study.	infused as an iv	- Cold exposure and the breathing	training by an
				bolus at a dose of	exercise without retention (CBR).	independent trainer.
			- Age range: 20-24 years for	2 ng/kg body		
			endotoxemia study.	weight for 1	Control	Control
				minute at time t =		
			- Medical status: healthy.	0 hours at	- no WHM training.	- No control group.
				9:30AM.		
Petraskova	Czech	Prospective	- n=13	N/A	Intervention	N/A
Touskova <i>et</i>	Republic	observational				
al., 2022		study	- Sex: females and males.		- Practised WHM.	
			- Age range: 27-60 years.			
					Control	
1	1					

			- Status: all had American Society			
			of Anesthesiology health scale		- No WHM training.	
			scores of 1 to 2.			
			- One male participant was a mild smoker.			
			- The other participants were			
			non-smokers.			
Citherlet <i>et</i>	Switzerland	Randomised,	- n=15	N/A	Intervention	Intervention
al., 2021		controlled				
		three-way	- 16 participants enrolled, but 1		- Performed WHBM.	- Hyperventilation.
		crossover	was excluded during the trial.			
		trial			Control	Control

			- Sex: male.			
					- Spontaneous breathing for 12 min.	- N/A
			- Age range: \geq 18 years.			
			- Status: healthy regular runner.			
Marka at al	Grach	DCT	n-10	NI/A	Intervention	Intervention
IVIAI KO <i>et ul.</i> ,	Czech	RCI	- 11-19	N/A	intervention	Intervention
2022	Republic					
			- Sex: males and females.		- Performed WHBM for 17-22 mins.	- The test and follow-up
						test involved a breath-
			- Age range: 14-19 years.		Control	by-breath metabolic
						study after a graded
			- Status: healthy (free from		- Breathe naturally while in supine	exercise test (GXT) on a
			known metabolic,		position.	bicycle ergometer.

	cardiovascular, and/or renal		- Participants finished
	disease).		four, two-minute stages
			at 1, 2, 3, and 4 W/kg
	- Trained: middle and long-		after a preliminary
	distance runners.		warm-up at 25 W and a
			cadence of 80 rev/min.
			- Throughout the test,
			participants were told
			to keep their cadence at
			around 100 revs/min.
			- A three-minute
			relaxation with a
			cadence of 60 rev/min

and resistance of 25 W
took place after the
final workload phase (4
W/kg).
Control
- N/A

Study quality

For the RCT studies, the RoB 2.0 overall risk of bias judgement was 'high concerns' for all studies except one study which was judged as 'some concerns' [8]. Moreover, the cohort study, using the SIGN tool, was scored 'unacceptable' as it was not able to reduce the possibility of bias or confounding.

Outcomes

Table 3 summarises the WHM description, key findings, and quality assessment. The outcomes were separated into physiological or psychological outcomes. The physiological outcome has six categories: stress response, pro-inflammatory/anti-inflammatory response, metabolites response, respiratory parameters, blood gas measurements, and reporting of symptoms, while psychological outcome has one category: psychological response. The stress response included outcomes such as epinephrine, norepinephrine, dopamine, cortisol, melatonin, and heart rate (HR) whereas pro-inflammatory/anti-inflammatory response included tumour necrosis factor (TNF- α), interleukin-6, interleukin-8, interleukin-10, erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score C-reactive protein (ASDAS-CRP), calprotectin, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP), and Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index (BASDAI). Additionally, metabolites response contained lactate and pyruvate while respiratory parameters looked at minute ventilation (V_E) , tidal volume (V_T) , and breathing frequency (BF). The blood gas measurements category included carbon dioxide partial pressure (pCO₂), pH, and oxygen saturation outcomes and the psychological response category contained expectancy, optimism, neuroticism, short-form 36 (SF-36), EQ-5D, Borg Rating of Perceived Exertion (RPE) scale, and customised questionnaire.

Finally, the reporting of symptoms investigated flu-like, self-reported, and depressive symptoms, Trauma Symptom Checklist-40 (TSC-40), and adverse events (AEs).

Study	Description	Description of breathing	Description of cold	Description of time	Key findings	Quality
	of	technique of WHM	exposure of WHM	over which WHM		assessment
	meditation			method practised		tool (RoB 2.0
	component					or SIGN)
	of WHM					
Bahenský <i>et</i>	N/A	- Participants were instructed	N/A	- Single WHBM	- During the testing stages, preceding	High
al., 2020		to take 30–50 complete		session.	the breathing techniques, O ₂	concerns
		breaths at a pace of 20			consumption was significantly higher	
		breaths/min.		- The first group	(2.4-4.9%; p<0.05).	
				completed the cycle		
		- Exhale completely when		ergometer testing	- Following breathing exercises,	
		tingling in the fingers is noticed.		sessions without and	perceived effort was reduced	
				then with WHBM,	throughout the training period	
				whereas the second	(18.5±1.2 vs. 17.4±1.1; p<0.01).	

	- The participants were told to	group	reversed the		
	hold their breath until they felt	order.		- Prior to an acute exercise bout,	
	a strong urge to breathe or			breathing techniques including deep	
	until the diaphragm			breathing and breath holds appear to	
	spontaneously contracted.			enhance VO2 kinetics and minimise	
				subjective strain in participants.	
	- Each breath hold was				
	followed immediately by a				
	complete breath then a				
	secondary 15-sec breath hold.				
	- Three similar rounds were				
	performed without rest, lasting				
	between 17-22 min.				

Kox et al.,	- Third eye	- Two breathing exercises.	- Standing in the	- 10 days of training.	- Intermittent hypoxia and respiratory	High
2014	meditation is		snow barefoot for		alkalosis brought on by WHM markedly	concerns
	a type of	- The first exercise is the same	up to 30 minutes.	- The first 4 days took	raised epinephrine levels.	
	meditation	as Bahenský <i>et al.,</i> 2020.		place in Poland and		
	that includes		- Lying bare	were most intensive.	- After endotoxin treatment, IL-10 levels	
	visualisations	- The second exercise consisted	chested in the		increased more quickly and were higher.	
	aimed at	of deep inhalations and	snow for 20	- The individuals	They also showed a substantial	
	complete	exhalations.	minutes.	performed the	correlation with baseline epinephrine	
	relaxation.			methods every day by	levels.	
		- After each inhalation and	- Daily swimming or	themselves at home		
		exhalation breath was held for	dipping in ice-cold	(2–3 hours each day)	- TNF- α , IL-6, and IL-8 levels were lower	
		10s, during which the individual	water for several	after returning from	in WHM-trained group and correlated	
		tightened all the muscles.	minutes.	Poland until the	negatively with IL-10 levels.	
				endotoxemia		
	1		1			

	- The brea	athing techniques	- Hiking a	a snowy	experime	nt day	(5-9	- Flu-like symptoms were lower in the	
	were also im	plemented during	mountain	(5-12°C)	days later	·).		WHM-trained group.	
	endotoxemia	э.	bare	chested,					
			wearing	nothing	- Cold sł	nowers	were		
	- Strength w	orkouts were also	but shoe	es and	used	for	cold		
	included in	n the training	shorts.		exposure				
	programme	(e.g., yoga balance							
	techniques a	nd push-ups).			- The day	y befor	e the		
					endotoxe	mia	trial,		
					Wim Hof	held a	final		
					training s	ession.			
Zwaag et - Sa	ame as Kox - Same as Ko	x et al., 2014.	- Same as k	(ox et al.,	- Same a	s Kox e	et al.,	- The training program's effects were	High
al., 2020 et a	al., 2014		2014.		2014.			most noticeable soon after WHBM	concerns
								started but before LPS was	

1			
		administered, and they point to greater	
		activation of the Cori cycle.	
		- Increased levels of IL-10 were	
		associated with elevated lactate and	
		pyruvate concentrations in trained	
		individuals.	
		- Co-incubation with lactate and	
		pyruvate increases IL-10 production	
		while decreasing the release of pro-	
		inflammatory IL-1 and IL-6 by LPS-	
		stimulated leukocytes, according to in	
		vitro validation trials.	
1	1	1	

Middendor	- Same as Kox	- Same as Kox <i>et al.</i> , 2014.	- Same as Kox et al.,	- Same as Kox et al.,	- Generalised outcome expectancy	High
p et al.,	et al., 2014.		2014.	2014.	optimism is a potential predictor of the	concerns
2016					immune and autonomic response to	
					induced inflammation following	
					training, while more specific	
					expectations regarding the training's	
					effects might be especially relevant for	
					the clinical symptom report.	
Buijze <i>et al.,</i>	- Same as Kox	- Same as Kox <i>et al.,</i> 2014.	- Patients	- 8-weeks add-on	- No significant differences in adverse	High
2019	et al., 2014.		submerged their	training program (4	events between groups, with one	concerns
			entire body for	weeks of training	serious adverse event occurring 8	
			several minutes in	twice weekly then 4	weeks after finishing the intervention	
			ice-cold water (0-	weeks of training	and judged 'unrelated'.	
			1°C) incrementally	once weekly).		

		up to a maximum		- During the 8-week intervention	
		of 5 minutes.		period, there was a significant decline	
				of ESR, ASDAS-CRP, and serum	
		- Cold showers		calprotectin, whereas no effect was	
		were taken		found in the control group.	
		incrementally up to			
		5 minutes (10-		- No significant decline was found for	
		14°C) daily at		hs-CRP.	
		home.			
Zwaag et N/A	- The first exercise is the same	- Same as Kox <i>et al.,</i>	Breathing exercises	- Epinephrine levels increased after	High
al., 2022	as Bahenský et al., 2020.	2014.	study	performing both breathing exercises,	concerns
				and this effect was independent of	
	- In the exercise without	- Participants were	- Participants who	training duration or instructor.	
	retention of breath,	told to take a 1	received the extensive		

	participants hyperventilated 30	minute cold water	training by the	- The breathing exercise raised	
	times using powerful and deep	rinse at the end of	independent trainer	epinephrine levels in the endotoxemia	
	breaths.	each day's shower.	or Wim Hof, were	trial.	
			trained for 4 days		
	- participants held their breath		(every morning for 2	- The LPS-induced inflammatory	
	for only 10 seconds, during		hours).	response was not modulated by cold	
	which all muscles were			exposure training alone.	
	tightened.		- Participants were		
			then told to practise	- The breathing exercise resulted in	
	- Afterwards, a new cycle of		the learned exercises	significantly increased levels of anti-	
	hyperventilation was started.		at home, after	inflammatory and decreased levels of	
			finishing the 4 days.	pro-inflammatory cytokines.	
			- Participants who		
			received the short		

		training by the	- Training in cold exposure considerably	
		independent trainer	improved the breathing exercise's	
		or Wim Hof, were	immunomodulatory effects.	
		trained only on the		
		fourth day (2 hours on	- In healthy young males, the in vivo	
		the morning of the	inflammatory response is most	
		fourth day).	effectively attenuated by the	
			combination of cold exposure training	
		- Participants were	and breathing exercise.	
		told not to practise at		
		home.		
		Endotoxemia study		

		- The CEX group	
		followed an intensive	
		4-day cold exposure	
		training programme.	
		- Participants were	
		told to take a 1 minute	
		cold water rinse at the	
		end of each day's	
		shower.	
		- The BRT group had 2	
		hours of training with	
		an independent	
		trainer.	

				- Participants were told not to practise at home.		
Petraskova	- Participants	- Same as Bahenský <i>et al.,</i> 2020.	- Cold exposures	- The intervention	- Depressive symptoms decreased over	Unacceptable
Touskova <i>et</i>	were told to		were carried out on	group attended WHM	an 8-week training programme, which	- reject 0
al., 2022	focus on,		an individual basis	training led by a	showed a significant reduction in stress	
	body		by submersion in	certified instructor	response.	
	awareness,		the water of Prince	about a month before		
	comprising		Gustav Channel or	the excursion.	- Cortisol reduction was also observed to	
	enhancemen		in the shower.		be non-significant.	
	t of self-			- The intervention		
	awareness		- Prior to entering	consisted of 8 weeks		
			the cold water,	of WHM training.		

and will-	participants took		
power.	five to ten long,		
	deep breaths while		
	contracting		
	particular		
	abdominal and		
	pelvic muscles.		
	- Participants were		
	asked to carry out		
	the exercises once		
	per day on their		
	own after the first		
	three supervised		
	sessions.		

			- One session was around 20 minutes.			
Citherlet <i>et</i>	N/A	- Same as Bahenský <i>et al.,</i> 2020.	N/A	- Only once by each	- No significant negative or positive	Some
al., 2021				participant.	condition effects were discovered on	concerns
					RAST peak power, average power, or	
					fatigue index despite considerable	
					physiological effects on the SpO2 and	
					expired CO2 levels of both WHBM and	
					HV.	
					- Finger SpO $_2$ was reduced at the end of	
					the BHs.	

		- End-tidal CO2 partial pressure	
		measurements during the final HV in the	
		WHBM and HV conditions were	
		suggestive of respiratory alkalosis with	
		an elevation in arterial pH.	
		- After RAST, the 8min cumulated	
		expired CO_2 volumes in the HV and	
		WHBM were higher than in the SB,	
		indicating the depletion of residual CO_2	
		stores.	
		- In repeated sprinting exercises,	
		anaerobic performance is not improved	
		by a single WHBM session.	

		- 33% of individuals in the WHBM	
		reported having problems with	
		deafness and heaviness.	
		- For the HV condition, 73% of the	
		individuals reported benefits including	
		decreased fatigue and better breathing.	
		- For the WHBM condition, 87% of the	
		participants reported benefits like less	
		fatigue, better breathing, and more	
		energy.	

		- In contrast to 53% who said they would	
		not, 47% said they intended to employ	
		the WHBM in their future personal	
		training and competition practise.	
		- Participants conducting the trial in the	
		WHBM condition felt the most	
		advantaged, followed by the HV	
		condition.	
		- WHBM condition resulted in feeling	
		significantly more advantaged than SB	
		condition.	

Marko	et	N/A	- Same as Bahenský <i>et al.,</i> 2020.	N/A	- 4 week	- There were no significant variations in	High
al., 2022					interventional	minute ventilation, tidal volume, or	concerns
					program.	breathing frequency before or after the	
						intervention between the experimental	
					- Conducting	and control groups.	
					breathing technique		
					with an empty	- Following the 4 week intervention	
					stomach on daily	period, there was a non-significant	
					basis.	small-to-large effect for an increase in	
						BF and V_E in both groups, probably as a	
						result of a decrease in training volume	
						and intensity brought on by the break	
						between competing seasons.	

Stress response

Two trials investigated epinephrine levels during the endotoxemia experiment [10, 15] and one trial studied epinephrine levels during WHBM [15]. Baseline epinephrine levels in WHMtrained participants were significantly higher compared to non-trained participants (p=0.007). After starting WHBM, epinephrine levels increased further in this group and peaked just before endotoxemia administration and remained elevated until WHBM was stopped [10]. Additionally, in Zwaag et al., 2022 endotoxemia study, participants had no variations in epinephrine levels throughout the experiment (p=0.48) in both participants exposed to cold (CEX) and participants not performing the WHM (CON). However, an increase in epinephrine levels began much earlier and was significantly greater in both the cold exposure with breathing exercise without retention (CBR) (p=0.01) and breathing exercise without retention (BRT) (p=0.04) compared to the CEX and CON groups [15]. Furthermore, in Zwaag et al., 2022 breathing exercises study, both breathing exercises (WHBM with/without retention) raised epinephrine levels, which were unaffected by training duration (short vs extensive training: p=0.71) or the trainer (independent trainer vs Wim Hof: p=0.46). However, epinephrine was slightly more sustained in participants performing WHBM with retention compared to without retention (p=0.003) [15]. Moreover, Kox et al., 2014 explored norepinephrine and dopamine levels during the endotoxemia trial and found them to be within the reference range throughout the experiment [10].

The associations of cortisol and melatonin with WHM were also explored. In Petraskova Touskova *et al.*, 2022 study, the group not practising WHM had higher, but statistically non-significant cortisol levels at the end of the expedition (p=0.327) compared to WHM-trained

participants [12]. Whereas Kox *et al.*, 2014 found no variations in serum cortisol levels between the WHM-trained and non-trained groups before or throughout the period of WHM training; however, levels normalised faster in WHM-trained participants [10]. The difference in the results could be due to the different collection procedures for cortisol. Kox *et al.*, 2014 collected cortisol from blood while Petraskova Touskova *et al.*, 2022 collected hair cortisol. Furthermore, significantly lower levels of melatonin were found in the WHM compared to the non-trained group (p=0.03) [12].

Four trials examined HR recordings [6, 8, 10, 15]. Kox *et al.*, 2014 showed an increase in HR after starting WHBM which normalised faster in WHM-trained group compared to non-trained group [10]. Furthermore, Bahenský *et al.*, 2020 reported that HR tended to be lower after WHBM compared to no WHBM [6]. Citherlet *et al.*, 2021 also found HR significantly increased during hyperventilation (HV) and significantly decreased during breath hold in participants practising WHBM when compared to resting values [8]. Similarly, Zwaag *et al.*, 2022 observed a significant increase in HR in both CBR (p<0.001) and BRT (p<0.001) groups, during the first hyperventilation cycle, compared to the CON group. No changes in HR responses between CEX and CON groups (p=0.89) were found during the endotoxemia experiment. The HR measurements of BRT and CBR groups were comparable to those of CEX and CON groups when WHBM was stopped [15].

Pro-inflammatory/anti-inflammatory responses

Two trials investigated cytokines levels during endotoxemia experiment [10, 15]. In Kox *et al.*, 2014 study, TNF- α , interleukin-6, and interleukin-8 levels were significantly lower in WHM-

trained participants, whilst interleukin-10 levels were significantly higher (TNF- α , interleukin-6 and interleukin-8 levels were 53%, 57%, and 51% lower respectively; interleukin-10 levels were 194% higher) compared to non-trained group [10]. Similarly, Zwaag *et al.*, 2022 endotoxemia study, found TNF- α (p=0.03), interleukin-6 (p=0.03), and interleukin-8 (p<0.001) levels significantly lower, whereas interleukin-10 levels significantly higher (p=0.02) in CBR group than CON group. However, similar but less pronounced effects on cytokine levels were found when comparing BRT group to CON group for interleukin-6 (p=0.04) and interleukin-8 (p=0.02), but not for interleukin-10 (p=0.17). Additionally, CEX group did not show any significant changes in TNF- α (p=0.93), interleukin-6 (p=0.73), interleukin-8 (p=0.99), and interleukin-10 (p=0.44) levels compared to CON [15]. The differences seen might be due to the differences in WHBM. Kox *et al.*, 2014 performed WHBM with retention, while Zwaag *et al.*, 2022 endotoxemia study performed WHBM without retention.

Inflammation outcomes were also measured in participants with axial spondyloarthritis. During the 8-week WHM training, ESR, median BASDAI, ASDAS-CRP, and serum calprotectin decreased significantly (p=0.040, p=0.012, p=0.044, p=0.064 respectively) compared to participants not receiving WHM training. However, no statistical significance was found in hs-CRP between both groups (p=0.103) [7].

Metabolites response

Zwaag *et al.*, 2020 showed that lactate and pyruvate play an important role in the antiinflammatory response in WHM-trained participants. High pyruvate concentration, but not lactate concentration, increased endotoxemia-induced interleukin-10 production, and the combination of both metabolites resulted in an even more prominent and statistically robust increase. Although these two metabolites were highly intercorrelated (p<0.0001), they were not associated with the highly elevated epinephrine levels at any of the examined time points (p-values>0.15). Additionally, pyruvate reduced the production of interleukin-6. Lactate, pyruvate, and the two metabolites combined also tended to reduce endotoxemia-induced TNF- α production; however, significance was not achieved [16]. In Kox *et al.*, 2014 study, lactate levels were significantly higher but not to a clinically relevant level in WHM-trained compared to non-trained participants [10].

Respiratory parameters

Three papers measured V_E [6, 8, 11] and two of them also measured V_T and BF [6, 11]. All three papers were investigating whether performing WHBM before an exercise will improve performance. Bahenský *et al.*, 2020 found the difference in mean values at each load to be significant for V_E [6] and Citherlet *et al.*, 2021 found a significant difference (p=0.039) between participants performing spontaneous breathing (SB) and WHBM at rest, but the mean difference was not statistically significant after performing an exercise (p>0.05) [8]. Additionally, Marko *et al.*, 2022 showed that at each load stage, V_E depicted statistical insignificance between WHBM and normal breathing (p=0.138, p=0.825, p=0.479, p=0.489) [11]. Furthermore, for V_T and BF measurements, Bahenský *et al.*, 2020 found the difference in mean values at each load to be significant (p<0.001, p<0.001) [6]; however, Marko *et al.*, 2022 showed no statistical significance between WHBM participants and normal breathing at any load stage (V_T: p=0.630, p=0.377, p=0.688, p=0.087; BF: p=0.794, p=0.917, p=0.956, p=0.296) [11].

Blood gas measurements

Two trials examined blood gas measurements. In Kox *et al.*, 2014 study, starting the WHBM resulted in an immediate and profound decrease in pCO₂ and an increase in pH, but it was not stated if these changes were statistically significant. Furthermore, during WHBM training, the trained group's oxygen saturation decreased significantly [10]. In contrast, Zwaag *et al.*, 2022 endotoxemia study, found pH and oxygen saturation levels significantly higher in the groups performing WHBM (BRT: p<0.01, p<0.001; CBR: p<0.01, p<0.001 respectively) when compared to CON. Whereas, pCO₂ was significantly lower in the same groups (BRT: p<0.001; CBR: p<0.001) when compared to CON [15]. In Zwaag *et al.*, 2022 breathing exercises study, oxygen saturation significantly decreased at the end of each retention phase, only when WHBM is performed with retention (p<0.001) compared to without retention. While pH and pCO₂ were similar in both WHBM exercises, except for a small but statistically significant difference at the final measurement time point (pH: p<0.001; pCO₂: p<0.001) [15].

Psychological response

One paper investigated the effect of optimism and neuroticism on WHM. It found that a higher level of expectancy and optimism helped significantly potentiate the effect of WHM while a decrease in neuroticism level was not found to significantly help. Participants in the training group were overall relatively optimistic and low in neuroticism. A higher level of optimism was associated with higher interleukin-10 levels (p<0.05) and epinephrine levels (p<0.01). Neuroticism was not found to be a significant predictor of endotoxin response.

Participants' expectations to overcome the endotoxemia experiment significantly increased from before WHM training to after the endotoxemia experiment (p=0.003) [13].

Another paper explored the quality of life of participants and found that SF-36 physical and mental component scores significantly increased over the WHM-training period (p=0.004, p=0.004 respectively) compared to the non-trained group. While EQ-5D and EQ-5D visual analogue scale did not experience a significant change between the WHM-trained and non-trained groups (EQ-5D: p=0.933, p=0.102; EQ-5D visual analogue scale: p=0.090, p=0.674) [7].

The last paper reported the results of the questionnaire and Borg RPE scale. Positive effects of increased energy, less fatigue, and improved breathing were reported by 87% of the participants. Almost half of the participants (47%) also said they would think about incorporating the WHBM into their own practices in the future. Additionally, when the experiment was performed using the WHBM, 66.7% of participants rated it the best method in terms of perceived performance compared to 13.3% for SB and 20% for HV. Likewise, 73.3% of participants judged the WHBM to be the best method to perform, while 53.3% judged SB as the worst. However, 33% of the participants using WHBM reported negative effects of deafness and heaviness [8]. Moreover, Borg RPE scale was found statistically significant in Bahenský *et al.*, 2020 (p<0.001) and Citherlet *et al.*, 2021 (WHBM compared to HV (p=0.008) and SB (p=0.017)), meaning that the intensity of a training session is less when WHM is being used [6, 8].

Reporting of symptoms

Three papers reported experience of flu-like symptoms following endotoxemia administration. Kox *et al.*, 2014 reported lower flu-like and self-reported symptoms and faster recovery from fever in participants performing WHM [10]. Similarly, Middendorp *et al.*, 2016 showed that a higher expectation of the training's effects was associated with lower flu-like clinical symptoms (p<0.01) compared to non-trained group [13]. Likewise, Zwaag *et al.*, 2022 endotoxemia study results showed that only CEX group had significantly lower flu-like symptoms (p=0.017) compared to CON group. All other groups had comparable peak symptom scores to CON group (BRT: (p=0.70); CBR: (p=0.21)). Additionally, when compared to the CON group, symptoms disappeared considerably faster in all three intervention groups (BRT: p<0.001; CEX: p=0.01; CBR: p=0.002) [15].

Two papers also reported depressive symptoms. Petraskova Touskova *et al.*, 2022 found that at the end of the expedition, depressive symptoms were significantly lower in the WHMtrained group compared to non-trained group (p=0.03), while TSC-40 scores, which measures stess-related symptoms, were higher in the non-trained group, but not significantly [12]. Additionally, Buijze *et al.*, 2019 found no significant effect on depressive symptoms between WHM-trained and non-trained groups [7].

The final paper reported AEs in axial spondyloarthritis participants [7]. Buijze *et al.*, 2019 found no significant differences in AEs between WHM-trained and non-trained participants, with just one serious AE (hypertensive crisis) occurring 8 weeks after the completion of the intervention and was judged unrelated [7].

Discussion

This is the first systematic review conducted on WHM. The findings of this review suggest that WHM may affect the reduction of inflammation in healthy and non-healthy participants and that the WHBM does not enhance the performance of an exercise. However despite the statistical significance observed in some studies, it must be noted that the quality of the studies is very low, meaning that all the results must be interpreted with caution. Additionally, the low sample size (15-48 individuals per study) and large proportion of males in the studies (86.4%) make the results non-generalizable to the public. Consideration should also be given that participants might experience the placebo effect, where improvements in patients' symptoms are due to their participation in the therapeutic encounter, with its rituals, symbols, and interactions [26]. For example, *Middendorp et al.*, (2016) found that a higher level of expectancy and optimism helped significantly potentiate the effect of WHM [13]. However, Zwaag et al., (2022), who gueried the so-called 'guru effect' [27] and whether it is necessary to be trained by the creator of the intervention to influences symptomatology [15], found that observed physiological and immunological effects are independent from the individual who provides the WHM treatment intervention.

Out of all the categories, WHM appears to have the most benefit in the stress and proinflammatory/anti-inflammatory response categories. Inflammation, especially when chronic, can cause severe complications such as cardiovascular diseases, cancer, diabetes, rheumatoid arthritis, asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, alzheimer, chronic kidney disease, and inflammatory bowel disease, therefore, reducing inflammation is beneficial [28]. The WHM reduces inflammation using a different mechanism of action (MOA)

than other anti-inflammatory interventions. The closest MOA to that of WHM is corticosteroids' MOA as they both increase interleukin-10 production. WHM increases epinephrine, causing an increase in interleukin-10 which leads to a reduction in pro-inflammatory cytokines [10, 15]. Whereas corticosteroids activate anti-inflammatory genes, including interleukin-10 gene, and inactivate multiple inflammatory genes by inhibiting histone acetyltransferase and recruiting histone deacetylase-2 activity to the inflammatory gene transcriptional complex [29]. The findings of this review suggest that WHM may provide some benefits in healthy and non-healthy people as it was suggested to be safe and might decrease inflammation, unlike corticosteroids, which are only given to non-healthy people due to having many side effects.

The least benefited category of the WHM was the respiratory parameters. Although WHBM was found to not enhance exercise performance, it could have other potential applications. For example, a published letter suggests that WHBM may be helpful in preventing and reversing symptoms of acute mountain sickness (AMS) [30]. Wim Hof and a combination of healthy and non-healthy participants climbed a mountain using the WHBM in 2 days instead of the usual 4-7 days and none of the participants suffered from severe AMS. These findings suggest that a trial on this topic may be of importance, especially for the rescue teams that must ascend quickly [30]. However, although this letter was published in a journal, it was not peer-reviewed hence the results should be interpreted with caution.

There are three pillars to WHM: cold exposure, WHBM, and meditation. The latter is a foundation of the other two pillars, thus there were no studies testing it by itself. In this review, cold exposure alone was suggested to have an insignificant effect on epinephrine and

cytokine levels [15]. Similarly, the wider literature agrees with this finding. For example, cold water immersion (CWI) did activate the immune system and alter systematic inflammation but not significantly [31, 32, 33, 34]. Only one study found CWI helpful in reducing inflammation in rock climbers by reducing vessel permeability toward the site of inflammation [35]. Furthermore, in this review, WHBM alone was claimed to significantly increase epinephrine levels, regardless of the duration of training or trainer, and that increase was more prolonged in participants performing WHBM with retention [15]. On the other hand, cytokine levels were only measured in participants performing WHBM without retention. There were no trials comparing WHBM with retention alone on cytokine levels. When WHBM was performed without retention, pro-inflammatory cytokines significantly decreased, but interleukin-10 levels were not increased significantly [15]. This might be because hypoxia improves interleukin-10 release and reduces the pro-inflammatory response via improved adenosine release [36]. Thus, it would be interesting for future trials to investigate the effects of WHBM with retention alone on cytokine levels. Nevertheless, when cold exposure was combined with WHBM, regardless of whether it was with retention or without, statistical significance was achieved on epinephrine and cytokine levels [10, 15]. Therefore, it is probably best to perform all the pillars of WHM to achieve a significant improvement in immunomodulatory effects. Future trials should investigate whether there is a significant difference between performing WHBM with retention and cold exposure compared to WHBM without retention and cold exposure on epinephrine and cytokine levels.

This review suffers from several limitations. Firstly, the outcomes were very heterogeneous as many unrelated outcomes are included. This review could have focused on synthesising specific outcomes such as only focusing on outcomes related to stress and inflammation.

Secondly, all the trials had a very high risk of bias. This was due to the lack of a prior published protocol, small sample size, and complexity of blinding the participants and outcome assessors to the intervention. Thirdly, some outcomes such as psychological outcomes were difficult to measure as they are subjective measures usually assessed using a questionnaire. Since the participants were not blinded, it was very difficult to ensure that the answers were honest and valid to the experience. Lastly, the sample size was very small, sometimes affecting the results as any error in measurement or a loss of follow-up can significantly shift the trial results. More evidence needs to be synthesised about WHM before being recommended to the public. Even though it is very difficult to prove it scientifically as conducting a double-blinded RCT, which is the gold standard, is impossible because participants cannot be blinded to the WHM. It does appear to offer benefits in attenuating inflammation with minimal serious adverse events and good positive effects of increased energy, less fatigue, and improved breathing [7, 8, 10, 15]. Thus, WHM can probably be used by lifestyle medicine to decrease inflammation in people suffering from inflammatory disorders. However, to be able to recommend WHM in an unwell population, future trials should publish a protocol outlining their experiment before starting the trial, increase the sample size, and make sure to account for loss to follow-up. Additionally, researchers should make sure to blind outcome assessors, and ensure that the outcomes assessed are objective and not subjective.

Conclusion

Considering all the studies, the WHM may produce promising immunomodulatory effects but more research of higher quality is needed to substantiate this finding. The combination of

cold exposure and WHBM appeared to most effectively reduce the inflammatory response. Hence, all the pillars of the WHM are important to extract the benefits. The focus of future studies should move away from investigating the use of WHBM to enhance exercise performance and towards exploring the benefits of WHM in non-healthy participants. WHM could be further studied in preventing or treating diseases, such as inflammatory disorders. Studies about WHM have not yet investigated all the beneficial claims the WHM states to have. Future studies may provide valuable insights about WHM as there is still much to explore.

Acknowledgements

None

References

1. Hof W. Who is 'The Iceman' Wim Hof? 2022 [Available from: <u>https://www.wimhofmethod.com/iceman-wim-hof</u>.

2. Hof W. Wim Hof Method breathing 2022 [Available from: <u>https://www.wimhofmethod.com/breathing-exercises</u>.

3. Hof W. Cold therapy 2022 [Available from: <u>https://www.wimhofmethod.com/cold-therapy</u>.

4. Hof W. How to increase willpower 2022 [Available from: <u>https://www.wimhofmethod.com/how-to-increase-willpower</u>.

5. Muzik O, Reilly KT, Diwadkar VA. "Brain over body"–A study on the willful regulation of autonomic function during cold exposure. NeuroImage. 2018;172:632-41.

6. Bahenský P, Marko D, Grosicki GJ, Malátová R. Warm-up breathing exercises accelerate VO2 kinetics and reduce subjective strain during incremental cycling exercise in adolescents. Journal of Physical Education and Sport. 2020;20(online ISSN: 2247 - 806X; p-ISSN: 2247 - 8051; ISSN - L = 2247 - 8051).

7. Buijze GA, De Jong HMY, Kox M, van de Sande MG, Van Schaardenburg D, Van Vugt RM, et al. An add-on training program involving breathing exercises, cold exposure, and meditation attenuates inflammation and disease activity in axial spondyloarthritis - A proof of concept trial. PloS one. 2019;14(12):e0225749.

8. Citherlet T, Crettaz von Roten F, Kayser B, Guex K. Acute Effects of the Wim Hof Breathing Method on Repeated Sprint Ability: A Pilot Study. Frontiers in sports and active living. 2021;3:700757.

9. Kox M, Stoffels M, Smeekens SP, van Alfen N, Gomes M, Eijsvogels TM, et al. The influence of concentration/meditation on autonomic nervous system activity and the innate immune response: a case study. Psychosom Med. 2012;74(5):489-94.

10. Kox M, van Eijk LT, Zwaag J, van den Wildenberg J, Sweep F, van der Hoeven JG, et al. Voluntary activation of the sympathetic nervous system and attenuation of the innate immune response in humans. PROCEEDINGS OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. 2014;111(20):7379-84.

11. Marko D, Bahensky P, Bunc V, Grosicki GJ, Vondrasek JD. Does Wim Hof Method Improve Breathing Economy during Exercise? Journal of clinical medicine. 2022;11(8).

12. Petraskova Touskova T, Bob P, Bares Z, Vanickova Z, Nyvlt D, Raboch J. A novel Wim Hof psychophysiological training program to reduce stress responses during an Antarctic expedition. The Journal of international medical research. 2022;50(4):3000605221089883.

13. Middendorp Hv, Kox M, Pickkers P, Evers AWM. The role of outcome expectancies for a training program consisting of meditation, breathing exercises, and cold exposure on the

response to endotoxin administration: a proof-of-principle study. CLINICAL RHEUMATOLOGY. 2016;35(4):1081-5.

14. Vosselman MJ, Vijgen GHEJ, Kingma BRM, Brans B, van Marken Lichtenbelt WD. Frequent Extreme Cold Exposure and Brown Fat and Cold-Induced Thermogenesis: A Study in a Monozygotic Twin. PLOS ONE. 2014;9(7):e101653.

15. Zwaag J, Naaktgeboren R, van Herwaarden AE, Pickkers P, Kox M. The Effects of Cold Exposure Training and a Breathing Exercise on the Inflammatory Response in Humans: A Pilot Study. Psychosomatic medicine. 2022;84(4):457-67.

16. Zwaag J, ter Horst R, Blazenovic I, Stoessel D, Ratter J, Worseck JM, et al. Involvement of Lactate and Pyruvate in the Anti-Inflammatory Effects Exerted by Voluntary Activation of the Sympathetic Nervous System. METABOLITES. 2020;10(4).

17. Hof W. WIm Hof Method benefits 2022 [Available from: <u>https://www.wimhofmethod.com/benefits</u>.

18. Rippe JM. Lifestyle Medicine: The Health Promoting Power of Daily Habits and Practices. Am J Lifestyle Med. 2018;12(6):499-512.

19. Rojek C. Celebrity and religion. Stardom and celebrity: A reader. 2007:171-80.

20. Baker SA, Rojek C. The Belle Gibson scandal: The rise of lifestyle gurus as microcelebrities in low-trust societies. Journal of Sociology. 2020;56(3):388-404.

21. Nunan D, Blane DN, McCartney M. Exemplary medical care or Trojan horse? An analysis of the 'lifestyle medicine' movement. British Journal of General Practice. 2021;71(706):229.

22. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ. 2021;372:n71.

23. Kozhevnikov M, Elliott J, Shephard J, Gramann K. Neurocognitive and Somatic Components of Temperature Increases during g-Tummo Meditation: Legend and Reality. PLOS ONE. 2013;8(3):e58244.

24. Higgins JP, Savović J, Page MJ, Elbers RG, Sterne JA. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Chapter 8: Assessing risk of bias in a randomized trial2022.

25. Healthcare Improvement Scotland. Checklists 2021 [Available from: <u>https://www.sign.ac.uk/what-we-do/methodology/checklists/</u>.

26. Kaptchuk TJ, Miller FG. Placebo Effects in Medicine. New England Journal of Medicine. 2015;373(1):8-9.

27. Sperber D. The guru effect. Review of Philosophy and Psychology. 2010;1:583-92.

28. Pahwa R, Goyal A, Jialal I. Chronic Inflammation StatPearls2022 [Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK493173/?report=classic.

29. Barnes PJ. How corticosteroids control inflammation: Quintiles Prize Lecture 2005. Br J Pharmacol. 2006;148(3):245-54.

30. Buijze GA, Hopman MT. Controlled Hyperventilation After Training May Accelerate Altitude Acclimatization. Wilderness & Environmental Medicine. 2014;25(4):484-6.

31. Peake JM, Roberts LA, Figueiredo VC, Egner I, Krog S, Aas SN, et al. The effects of cold water immersion and active recovery on inflammation and cell stress responses in human skeletal muscle after resistance exercise. J Physiol. 2017;595(3):695-711.

32. Siqueira AF, Vieira A, Bottaro M, Ferreira-Júnior JB, Nóbrega OdT, de Souza VC, et al. Multiple Cold-Water Immersions Attenuate Muscle Damage but not Alter Systemic Inflammation and Muscle Function Recovery: A Parallel Randomized Controlled Trial. Scientific Reports. 2018;8(1):10961. 33. Janský L, Pospísilová D, Honzová S, Ulicný B, Srámek P, Zeman V, et al. Immune system of cold-exposed and cold-adapted humans. Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol. 1996;72(5-6):445-50.

34. Allan R, Mawhinney C. Is the ice bath finally melting? Cold water immersion is no greater than active recovery upon local and systemic inflammatory cellular stress in humans. J Physiol. 2017;595(6):1857-8.

35. Heyman E, De Geus BAS, Mertens I, Meeusen R. Effects of Four Recovery Methods on Repeated Maximal Rock Climbing Performance. Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise. 2009;41(6).

36. Kiers D, Wielockx B, Peters E, van Eijk LT, Gerretsen J, John A, et al. Short-Term Hypoxia Dampens Inflammation in vivo via Enhanced Adenosine Release and Adenosine 2B Receptor Stimulation. EBioMedicine. 2018;33:144-56.

Identification of studies via databases and registers



PRISMA flow diagram