- Title: Increasing transmission of dengue virus across ecologically diverse regions of Ecuador and
 associated risk factors
- 3 Short title: Increasing transmission of dengue virus across Ecuador and associated risk factors
- 4 Authors: Leah C. Katzelnick^{1*}, Emmanuelle Quentin², Savannah Colston³, Thien-An Ha⁴, Paulina
- 5 Andrade⁴, Joseph N.S. Eisenberg³, Patricio Ponce⁵, Josefina Coloma^{4*}, Varsovia Cevallos^{5*}

6 Affiliations:

- 7 ¹Viral Epidemiology and Immunity Unit, Laboratory of Infectious Diseases, National Institute of
- 8 Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892-3203, USA
- 9 ²Centro de Investigación en Salud Pública y Epidemiología Clínica, Universidad Tecnológica
- 10 Equinoccial, Quito, 170129, Ecuador
- ³Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI,
 48109-2029, USA
- 13 ⁴Division of Infectious Diseases and Vaccinology, School of Public Health, University of
- 14 California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA, 94720-3370, USA
- 15 ⁵Centro de Investigación en Enfermedades Infeciosas y Vectoriales (CIREV), Instituto Nacional
- 16 de Investigación en Salud Pública (INSPI), Quito, 170136, Ecuador
- 17 *Corresponding authors: Leah Katzelnick (leah.katzelnick@nih.gov), Josefina Coloma
- 18 (colomaj@berkeley.edu), and Varsovia Cevallos (vcevallos@inspi.gob.ec).

19 ABSTRACT

20

21 The distribution and intensity of viral diseases transmitted by *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes, including 22 dengue, have rapidly increased over the last century. Ecuador is an interesting country to study drivers of dengue virus (DENV) transmission given it has multiple ecologically and 23 24 demographically distinct regions. Here, we analyze province-level age-stratified dengue prevalence data from 2000-2019 using catalytic models to estimate the force of infection of DENV 25 over eight decades and across provinces in Ecuador. We found that provinces established endemic 26 27 DENV transmission at different time periods. Coastal provinces with the largest and most connected cities had the earliest and highest increase in DENV transmission, starting around 1980 28 29 and continuing to the present. In contrast, remote and rural areas with reduced access, like the 30 northern coast and the Amazon regions, experienced a rise in DENV transmission and endemicity only in the last 10 to 20 years. The newly introduced chikungunya and Zika viruses have distinct 31 32 age-specific prevalence distributions consistent with recent emergence across all provinces. We evaluated factors to the resolution of 1 hectare associated with geographic differences in vector 33 34 suitability and arbovirus disease in the last 10 years by modeling 11,693 A aegypti presence points 35 and 73,550 arbovirus cases. In total, 56% of the population of Ecuador lives in areas with high risk 36 of Aedes aegypti. Most suitable provinces had hotspots for arbovirus disease risk, with population 37 size, elevation, sewage connection, trash collection, and access to water as important determinants. 38 Our investigation serves as a case study of the changes driving the expansion of DENV and other 39 arboviruses globally and suggest that control efforts should be expanded to semi-urban and rural areas and to historically isolated regions to counteract increasing dengue outbreaks. 40

41 AUTHOR SUMMARY

42

43 The factors driving the increasing burden of arboviruses like dengue virus are not fully understood. 44 In this study, we measured changes in dengue virus transmission intensity and arbovirus disease risk across Ecuador, an ecologically and demographically diverse South American country. We 45 46 found that differences in the distribution of dengue cases could be explained by changes in transmission of dengue virus over time: transmission was limited to coastal provinces with large 47 cities between 1980-2000, expanding thereafter to higher elevation areas and ecologically suitable 48 49 but previously geographically and socially isolated provinces. We also used species and disease 50 distribution mapping to show that both urban and rural areas in Ecuador are at medium to high risk 51 for Aedes aegypti presence and arbovirus disease risk, with population size, precipitation, 52 elevation, sewage connection, trash removal, and access to water as strong predictors. Our investigation reveals changes driving the expansion of dengue and other arboviruses globally and 53 54 provides an approach for identifying areas at early stages of establishing endemic transmission that should be targeted for intense preventative efforts to avert future epidemics. 55

56 INTRODUCTION

57

58 Arboviruses transmitted by the Aedes aegypti mosquito (Culicidae), including the flaviviruses 59 yellow fever virus, dengue viruses 1-4 (DENV1-4), and Zika virus (ZIKV) and alphavirus chikungunya virus (CHIKV), infect millions of people globally each year and cause a spectrum of 60 61 life-threatening diseases with long-term sequelae, including hemorrhagic fevers, arthritis, and severe congenital abnormalities [1, 2]. In the 19th and 20th centuries, explosive epidemics of 62 arboviral disease primarily affected large population centers in tropical regions but in the 20th into 63 the 21st century, arboviruses transmission worsened in previously affected areas and expanded into 64 new regions [3-5]. As a result, dengue is one of the few tropical diseases with an increasing global 65 66 burden over the last 20 years [6]. The distribution of other arboviruses has also increased over the 67 last ten years as ZIKV and CHIKV were introduced to the Americas and caused back-to-back continent-wide pandemics [7, 8]. 68

69

Tracking factors driving the global expansion of arboviruses like DENV is limited by the quality 70 71 of disease surveillance. In many regions, dengue prevalence remains low but whether this is 72 attributable to low reporting rates as opposed to low disease burden is difficult to determine. Recent and historical work suggests that the age distribution of incident cases is a more accurate measure 73 74 of transmission intensity and is more robust to common variations in surveillance quality than 75 aggregate case count data [9-11]. Specifically, the force of infection (FoI), defined as the per-capita 76 rate at which susceptible individuals become infected in a population within a given period, can 77 be directly estimated from age-stratified prevalence data and provides a useful measure of

transmission intensity. Vector surveillance combined with statistical prediction methods of climate
and other factors can also serve as an early warning system for human dengue outbreaks [12, 13].

The ecological and demographic drivers of arboviral disease can be studied within a single diverse country like Ecuador, a South American country with multiple distinct regions capable of sustained arbovirus transmission. Both the tropical coastal lowlands to the east and the Amazon to the west have suitable conditions for *Ades aegypti* but are divided geographically by the Andean mountains and have limited transportation connectivity. Further, each region is bisected by the equator, resulting in distinct seasonality between north and south and thus offset arbovirus transmission periods.

88

89 Ecuador has a long history of arbovirus transmission, with the first case of yellow fever recorded in 1740 and the first outbreak in 1842 [14, 15]. Between 1946 and 1970, eradication campaigns 90 91 targeted the vectors for yellow fever and malaria, resulting in a major reduction in Aedes populations. Aedes aegypti soon returned, followed by the re-introduction of dengue [3]. National 92 93 dengue outbreaks occurred in Ecuador in 1996, 2000, 2005, 2010, 2012, and 2014 and since 2000, 94 the four DENV serotypes have been detected in all regions [16, 17]. A recent phylogenetic analysis 95 showed both recent and historical introductions of DENV1 and DENV2 in Ecuador that originated 96 from Venezuela and Colombia, while chikungunya was introduced through the Caribbean [18, 19] 97

Here, we modeled province-level age-stratified dengue prevalence data from 2000-2019 to
estimate changes in the DENV transmission intensity for nearly 80 years and across provinces in
Ecuador. We then evaluated factors associated with geographic differences in vector suitability

- 101 and arbovirus disease in the last ten years by modeling 11,693 *Aedes aegypti* presence points and
- 102 73,550 arbovirus cases. We identify provinces at different stages of establishing endemic DENV
- 103 transmission as well as hotspots for vector and disease risk.

104 MATERIALS AND METHODS

105

106 Ethics statement.

107 Human subjects data sets were from anonymized public health surveillance data collected by the Ecuadorian Ministry of Health as part of routine care. The Instituto Nacional de Estadística y 108 109 Censos (INEC) data used in this study is freely available from an institutional website (https://www.ecuadorencifras.gob.ec/camas-y-egresos-hospitalarios/) 110 and completely is anonymous. According to the international good clinical and research practice and in accordance 111 with Ecuadorian legislation on clinical investigation, ethical approval by an institutional review 112 board was not required. The public surveillance data collected by the Ecuador Ministry of Health 113 114 (Sistema Nacional de Vigilancia en Salud Pública Ecuador, ViEpi data) was made available for 115 research purposes without confidential information (anonymous), under the "Organic Law of Transparency and Access to Public Information" (Article 4 of Ley Orgánica de Transparencia y 116 117 Acceso a la Información Pública, LOTAIP, Law 24 of Article 81 of the Ecuadorian Constitution).

118

119 Temperature and precipitation data.

Annual province-level temperature and precipitation data from 2000-2019 were derived from the Universidad Tecnológica Equinoccial (UTE)/Centro de Investigación en Salud Pública y Epidemiología Clínica (CISPEC) database. Trend lines were fitted using local weighted regression (LOESS) models. Province level monthly arbovirus case distributions are for dengue, Zika, and chikungunya cases from 2014 and 2017 in the ViEpi database (n=41,777 total cases, described below).

127 Age-stratified dengue prevalence data and catalytic models.

Dengue prevalence was estimated from national surveillance data collected by INEC. The INEC 128 129 dataset included all discharge data for hospitalized cases classified as vector-borne viral diseases 130 and/or hemorrhagic fevers by International Statistical Classification of Diseases (ICD). Data were 131 available from 2000 to 2019 and were grouped by age, sex, and province. For analysis, ICD codes 132 A90 (Classic Dengue Fever) and A91 (Dengue Hemorrhagic Fever) were grouped as dengue cases 133 (1997 WHO criteria for severe dengue disease). Ages were binned into 18 age groups (<1, 1-4, 5-9, 10-14, 15-19, 20-24, 25-29, 30-34, 35-39, 40-44, 45-49, 50-54, 54-59, 60-64, 65-69, 70-74, 75-134 78, and \geq 80 years of age) for analysis. 135

136

137 To estimate prevalence, we used provincial demographic data as our denominator. National census 138 data from 1991, 2000, and 2010 were available for each province and age group; we used interpolation to estimate the age-specific population size for each year from 2000 to 2019. There 139 140 were sufficient case counts in all provinces in the coastal region (Esmeraldas, Manabí, 141 Guayas/Santa Elena, El Oro, Pichincha/Santo Domingo de los Tsáchilas, Los Ríos) to enable 142 province-level DENV FoI estimation. Although Santa Elena was separated from Guayas province 143 and Santo Domingo de los Tsáchilas was separated from the Pichincha province in 2007, we leave 144 them as combined provinces in our analyses to maintain consistency across years. Due to lower 145 absolute case counts, we combined the case data for all Amazon provinces: Sucumbíos, Napo, 146 Orellana, Pastaza, Morona Santiago, and Zamora Chinchipe. To evaluate the relative contribution 147 of each age group to the total yearly prevalence, we plotted the age-stratified dengue prevalence 148 normalized by the total prevalence in each year to account for differences in absolute epidemic 149 magnitude. Data were grouped into two periods (2000-2009 and 2010-2019) to visualize temporal

changes in transmission. To compare distributions, data were fit with a LOESS curve with 95%
confidence intervals. We used the age-adjusted prevalence data to estimate medians and
interquartile range of ages by province for each 10-year period.
We used catalytic models to estimate historical and current FoI of DENV for each province based

on age-stratified prevalence using the method described by Rodriguez-Barraquer et al. [9], asfollows.

157

158 The fraction susceptible to all four DENV serotypes at age *a* and time *t* is:

159
$$x(a,t) = e^{\int_0^a -4\lambda(t-\tau)d\tau}$$

160

161 Where, $\lambda(t)$ is the average serotype-specific FoI and τ is the time since birth. The fraction that 162 has been infected with one serotype and remain susceptible to the other four serotypes (monotypic 163 immune) is:

164
$$z_1(a,t) = 4\left(e^{-\int_0^a 3\lambda(t-\tau)d\tau}\right)\left(1 - e^{-\int_0^a \lambda(t-\tau)d\tau}\right)$$

165 Consistent with prior analyses, we assume that the age-specific prevalence of dengue is driven by 166 those experiencing their second DENV infection [20-22]. The at-risk population thus consists of 167 individuals with primary DENV immunity, i.e. individuals infected with one DENV serotype but 168 still at risk of infection with any of the other three serotypes. Thus, the age-specific prevalence for 169 dengue is assumed to reflect the monotypic group experiencing their second infection with any of 170 the other serotypes:

$$I(a,t) = 3\lambda(t)z_1(a,t)$$

172 The expected number of reported cases at age a and time t, $\Lambda(a, t)$, is a function of prevalence, as

173 well as the population size P(a,t) and reporting rate $\phi(t)$:

174
$$\Lambda(a,t) = I(a,t)P(a,t)\phi(a,t)$$

175 We assume the observed number of reported cases at age a and time t, C(a,t), follows a Poisson

176 distribution with the likelihood:

$$\mathcal{L}(C|\lambda,\phi) = \prod_{t} \prod_{a} \frac{\Lambda(a,t)^{C(a,t)} e^{-\Lambda(a,t)}}{C(a,t)!}$$

Models were fit with Rstan [23] using the Bayesian Markov Chain Monte Carlo framework in R [24]. We ran four independent Markov chains with 10,000 iterations of warmup followed by 20,000 iterations for estimating parameters. Parameters were evaluated for convergence using the split \hat{R} statistic (values of 1 were assumed to indicate convergence) as well as visual inspection. All FoI estimates are shown as means with 95% credible intervals. The original authors of this code [9] note that given the large numbers for prevalence, credible intervals may be systematically underestimated.

185

177

186 Comparison of dengue, chikungunya, and Zika age-stratified prevalence.

187 We measured differences in arbovirus age-stratified disease prevalence using the ViEpi dataset. 188 The ViEpi dataset included de-identified individual case information for 2014 (only November to 189 December), 2015 (full year), 2016 (full year), and 2017 (only January to April) meeting the 190 following case definitions: (1) Dengue with Warning Signs or Severe Dengue, (2) chikungunya, 191 and (3) Zika. The dataset included the following information for each case: age, sex, nationality, 192 home district, province, canton, parish, date of illness, whether and how the case was confirmed 193 (laboratory confirmation, clinical, contact case), hospitalization status, and outcome of case. Cases 194 were grouped by the same age categories as indicated above for the INEC dataset. We use

suspected, and not just laboratory-confirmed cases, as there is an age-specific bias in the cases that were confirmed. Notably, the prevalence of chikungunya and Zika cases in infants and for Zika, reproductive age individuals, is higher than would be expected, possibly due to greater confirmation in these age groups.

199

For our didactic example of CHIKV and ZIKV FoI, we assumed the age-specific prevalencereflects the fully susceptible group experiencing a first infection:

$$I(a,t) = \lambda(t)x(a,t)$$

203

204 Entomological and disease data.

Aedes aegypti presence was measured as part of the INSPI-SENESCYT Project "National 205 206 Vigilance and Early Warning System for Vector Control of Dengue-Yellow Fever" (SATVEC 207 PIC-12-INH-002). Aedes aegypti mosquito samples were collected from 2013 to 2018, at 1785 208 collection sites in Ecuador. In total 946 records correspond to collections during the rainy season 209 and 839 during the dry season. Coordinates for all collection records were obtained. Samples were 210 collected and transported to the laboratory for specimen identification. For arbovirus disease 211 mapping, dengue and chikungunya cases reported in the Ministry of Public Health surveillance 212 data in 2015 in the ViEpi dataset were used as a measure of disease presence across Ecuador.

213

214 Species and dengue case distribution mapping using maximum entropy models.

215 We used the confirmed vector and disease presence data in maximum entropy models to evaluate

the species distributions for *Aedes aegypti* and dengue and Chikungunya across Ecuador using

217 the MaxEnt software (version 3.3.3), available at:

218 https://biodiversityinformatics.amnh.org/open_source/maxent/ [25, 26]. The maximum entropy 219 model uses environmental and social layers to estimate the probability of presence of a disease or 220 species within each raster unit to predict the distribution of a disease or species across the map. 221 MaxEnt was selected because it is widely used, has been proven to be one of the best models for 222 species distributions, and has a GIS interface within the TerrSet GIS software (Clark Labs). We 223 used a similar method to that described previously for characterizing the distribution of *Aedes* 224 Albopictus in Ecuador [27]. We trained the model on 75% of presence points, with 25% for 225 validation, and 10 bootstrap repetitions. Model goodness-of-fit was estimated as Area Under the 226 Curve (AUC). Variables previously identified as predictors of arbovirus transmission were 227 included as layers in the model: two physiographic variables (elevation, slope), eight 228 demographic and social variables derived from available census data (literacy, employment, 229 overcrowding, population density, housing condition, water access, sewerage, garbage 230 collection), and three environmental/climatic variables (precipitation, Daytime Land Surface 231 Temperature, and the Enhanced Vegetation Index). All variables were downscaled at a 232 resolution of 1 hectare: regional data disaggregation was based on the density population map for 233 arboviral case points and a uniform indicator at the census tract level for the INEC census 234 database. The input environmental geodatabase was obtained by processing EarthData images 235 (NASA: https://www.earthdata.nasa.gov/), with the spatiotemporal series of monthly images 236 from 2010 to 2018 imported and downscaled to Ecuador at 1 hectare resolution. As the series of 237 environmental variables was too large to include in the MaxEnt model, we applied an orthogonal 238 transformation of n-dimensional image data using principal component analysis (PCA) to 239 produces a new set of images (components) that are uncorrelated with one another and ordered 240 with respect to the amount of variation (information) they represent from the original image set.

- 241 These techniques were implemented in TerrSet. As we were working with a cube X-Y-T, the
- 242 PCA could be adapted to the various dimensions. For the three climatic parameters (temperature,
- 243 precipitation, and vegetation) we used two PCA components in T mode and 2 PCA loadings in
- S-mode. Using this approach, the model was not affected by layers that would not be
- explicative; indeed, it can occur that a variable is useful in the coast and not in the highlands, a
- benefit of working spatially.

247 **RESULTS**

248

249 Geography, climate, and demographic characteristics of Ecuador.

250 Ecuador is a country in South America of 283,561 square kilometers and a total population size of 251 just over 17 million people. Ecuador is divided down the center by the Andes mountains; 252 provinces in this region are at an altitude too high to support Aedes populations and DENV 253 transmission (Fig. 1). To the west of the Andes are the coastal lowlands, which border the Pacific 254 Ocean, are densely populated, have high temperatures and humidity, and distinct wet and dry 255 seasons. The provinces of Esmeraldas, Manabí, Santa Elena/Guayas (we combine these two 256 provinces in our analysis), Los Ríos and El Oro consist primarily of lowland regions while Santo 257 Domingo de los Tsáchilas/Pichincha (we combine these two provinces in our analysis) contain 258 both lower and higher elevation areas. Esmeraldas is the only province north of the equator, and both Esmeraldas and higher-elevation Tsáchilas/Pichincha have lower and more stable year-round 259 260 temperatures than other provinces in this region (Fig. 1). In all coastal provinces, the rainy season 261 extends from November through May, with the highest number of arboviral disease cases 262 occurring between March and July. Six of the eight cities in Ecuador with populations larger than 263 200,000 are on the coast, including the major port cities Guayaquil (Guayas) and Manta (Manabí). 264 The six eastern provinces of Ecuador are part of the Amazon, with a tropical climate but lower 265 population density and no cities with more than 120,000 people. Precipitation is high year-round, 266 with slightly more rainfall from March to July and in the northern compared to the southern 267 Amazonian provinces. Consistently, there is less seasonality of arbovirus transmission in the 268 Amazon than the coastal provinces. The Galapagos Islands constitute the fourth region of Ecuador 269 and while cases are sporadically reported, there is little evidence of sustained transmission.





Fig. 1. Geography and arbovirus seasonality of Ecuador. (Left) Map of Ecuador, colored to
indicate geographic and climate regions (north vs. south; coast, mountains, vs. Amazon). (Right)
Temperature (grey dots and dotted lines), precipitation (dots colored by region, solid black line),
and monthly distribution of arbovirus cases (black histograms). Temperature and precipitation
data are shown for 2005-2019, while monthly arbovirus case distributions are dengue, Zika, and
chikungunya cases from 2014 and 2017 in the ViEpi dataset.

277

278 Age-distributions of dengue prevalence vary by province and over time.

We first measured temporal and geographic differences in DENV transmission intensity by estimating age-stratified dengue prevalence for each province between 2000-2019. In general, the age-distribution of disease reflects the average age at which individuals experience their first infection. However, because primary DENV infections are less likely to cause symptoms or disease severe enough to elicit a hospital visit, most cases captured by surveillance represent

secondary DENV infections. We estimated dengue prevalence using national surveillance of
Dengue Fever and Dengue Hemorrhagic Fever cases and interpolated age-specific province-level
population size data. For data visualization, data were grouped into two 10-year periods, 2000-

287 2009 and 2010-2019 (**Fig. 2**).



Fig. 2. Age-specific prevalence of dengue, normalized by year, for each province and period.
Data are fitted using LOESS regression with 95% confidence intervals. Data are from the INEC
database.

292

288

The median age of the population in each province ranged from 20-26 throughout the 20-year 293 period, with the lowest median age in the Amazon and highest age in Guayas/Santa Elena and 294 Pichincha/Santo Domingo (Table 1). However, the first decade of data had different features 295 296 compared to the second decade of data. For example, from 2000 to 2009, the population-dense coastal provinces of Guayas/Santa Elena, El Oro, and Manabí had the lowest median age of dengue 297 298 cases (28-36 years) whereas in provinces with higher elevation or that were more rural and 299 inaccessible (Esmeraldas and the Amazon), the median dengue prevalence occurred at older ages (41-43 years). All provinces except Guayas/Santa Elena experienced a drop in median dengue 300

301 prevalence between 2000-2009 and 2010-2019, with the largest change in Manabí (by 17 years),

302 as well as large changes in Esmeraldas, the Amazon, and Pichincha/Santo Domingo (6-9 years).

303

304 Table 1. Median and interquartile range (IQR) of population-adjusted dengue prevalence by

305 province, from 2000-2009 and 2010-2019. Median and IQR of the population during the same

306 period are shown for reference.

	Prevalenc	e: median	Population: median	
	(IQ	QR)	(IQR)	
Province	2000-2009	2010-2019	2000-2009	2010-2019
Manabí	36 (13-58)	19 (8-48)	24 (12-41)	25 (12-43)
Pichincha/Santo Domingo	43 (25-60)	35 (14-59)	26 (13-42)	26 (13-42)
Esmeraldas	41 (25-59)	32 (14-56)	22 (11-39)	22 (11-40)
Amazonia	43 (27-58)	37 (22-57)	20 (9-36)	21 (10-37)
Guayas/Santa Elena	28 (11-51)	28 (13-58)	26 (13-42)	26 (12-43)
El Oro	32 (16-51)	28 (13-57)	25 (12-42)	26 (13-44)
Los Ríos	41 (22-60)	39 (18-62)	24 (11-41)	25 (12-42)

307

308

309 Models of age-stratified dengue prevalence reveal historical and geographic changes in

310 DENV transmission.

We assumed that the age distributions of dengue prevalence by province were due to both current 311 312 and historical transmission of DENV in each region. We estimated the FoI of DENV for 10-year 313 increments back to 1941, covering the earliest period when individuals in the dataset were alive. 314 We modeled annual age-stratified dengue prevalence directly using Bayesian models based on the 315 method described by Rodriguez-Barraquer et al. [9]. We assumed the surveillance data reflect 316 prevalence of second DENV infections, as has been assumed previously [20-22]. We observed 317 that all provinces and regions had minimal DENV transmission for the period of 1941 to 1979 318 (Fig. 3). Consistent with this observation, from 1946 to 1970, continent-wide Aedes eradication 319 campaigns were underway. A program was implemented in 1958 to specifically monitor Aedes

320 aegypti [3]. No dengue cases were recorded in Ecuador during this time, but Aedes successfully 321 reinfested the cities in Guayas and Manabí between 1977 and 1985. Our FoI models estimated that 322 between 1980 to 1989, DENV transmission intensity began to rise in Guayas/Santa Elena and El 323 Oro (Fig. 3). Then from 1990-1999, high DENV transmission was observed in Guayas/Santa 324 Elena, El Oro, and Manabí. These results are consistent with the fact that dengue was first 325 documented in Ecuador in 1985, and major outbreaks were observed in Guayas in 1988, 1990, and 1993. At this time, dengue was re-emerging across the Americas, and the introduction of multiple 326 serotypes resulted in the first observed cases of Dengue Hemorrhagic Fever/Dengue Shock 327 328 Syndrome [3, 4, 28]. The largest and earliest dengue outbreaks were concentrated in the large 329 coastal cities in Guayas and Manabí, consistent with our estimated timeline for the re-introduction 330 of dengue to Ecuador.

331





336 Other regions with suitable ecological conditions for DENV transmission in Ecuador have not 337 recorded major epidemics. Consistent with this epidemiological data, we found that the early rise 338 of DENV in the southern coastal provinces was not observed in the northern coastal province of 339 Esmeraldas, the higher elevation coastal provinces of Los Ríos and Pichincha/Santo Domingo de 340 los Tsáchilas, or the Amazon provinces, which had very low levels of DENV transmission from 341 1990-1999 (FoI: ≤ 10 per 1000 people). These provinces had fewer dense urban settings and were more isolated before the year 2000, with limited road infrastructure. Pichincha/Santo Domingo, 342 343 Los Ríos, and Esmeraldas had high DENV transmission intensity in 2000-2009 and 2010-2019 (FoI: 40-50 per 1000 people). The Amazon provinces were most delayed, experiencing a rise in 344 345 DENV transmission only in the last 10 years (40 per 1000 people). Thus, we observe the provinces 346 of Ecuador at different stages of endemicity, with some only recently experiencing increases in 347 transmission intensity.

348

349 Age-distributions of recently emerged arboviral diseases reflect risk across ages.

350 CHIKV was introduced to Ecuador in 2014 and caused a major outbreak in 2015, soon followed 351 by a national Zika epidemic in 2016 [8, 18]. We expected that the age distributions of these new 352 arboviral diseases would be similar across provinces, consistent with their recent introduction. We 353 measured the age and disease-specific prevalence using data from a separate surveillance system, 354 ViEpi, consisting of laboratory-confirmed and suspected cases of chikungunya, Zika, and Dengue 355 with Warning Signs/Severe Dengue observed between 2015 and 2016. Across provinces, Zika and 356 chikungunya prevalence was mid-aged centered, consistent with recent emergence, although in 357 some regions, Zika prevalence was more concentrated in reproductive-age individuals, likely due to a bias in laboratory confirmation of cases (Fig. 4). Notably, the age-distributions of chikungunya 358

and Zika prevalence more closely resembled the age-distributions of dengue prevalence in Esmeraldas, Los Ríos, Pichincha/Santo Domingo, and the Amazon, but were starkly different in Guayas/Santa Elena, El Oro, and Manabí. These findings further support the hypothesis that heterogeneity in the age distribution of dengue prevalence across Ecuador reflects historical differences in the time of DENV emergence and the transition to endemicity.

364



366 Fig. 4. Age-specific prevalence of laboratory-confirmed and suspected Dengue with Warning

Signs/Severe Dengue, chikungunya and Zika for each province in 2015-2016. Data are fitted

368 using LOESS regression with 95% confidence intervals. In some provinces, unusual distributions

- 369 for Zika suggest case-confirmation focused on specific age groups.
- 370

365

It is important to note that the age-specific prevalence distribution for arboviruses such as 372 373 chikungunya and Zika, where a first infection causes disease, is expected to differ from dengue, 374 where a second infection is most likely to cause disease as illustrated in Figure 5 using a 375 theoretical example (Fig. 5). For all three diseases, as years pass since emergence, the age 376 distribution shifts from mid-age distributed to younger ages as older individuals become immune 377 and disease only affects young, naïve individuals. While for chikungunya and Zika, explosive epidemics are observed immediately, for dengue, it takes multiple years before high prevalence 378 is observed across age groups as individuals experience second infections. Further, the shape of 379 380 the age distribution of cases at endemicity is distinct for dengue compared with chikungunya and 381 Zika, reflecting these differences in the susceptible population.

382



Fig. 5. Age-stratified prevalence of different arboviral diseases by time since emergence.
Expected changes in age-specific prevalence for a viral disease that emerged 20 years ago with an
annual FoI of 50/1000, assuming the first infection causes disease (e.g. like chikungunya or Zika)

vs. when there are four circulating viruses that emerged 20 years ago each with an annual FoI of
50/1000 and the second infection causes disease (e.g. like dengue).

389

390 Determinants of *Aedes* and arbovirus risk help explain geographic variation in DENV 391 transmission intensity.

392 To evaluate which environmental, geologic, and demographic factors were associated with the observed geographic differences in DENV transmission intensity, we used maximum entropy 393 models to identify predictors of *Aedes aegypti* and arboviral disease presence data. We sampled 394 395 immature and adult stages of Aedes aegypti mosquitoes in 1785 distinct collection sites, 396 encompassing all 24 provinces in Ecuador, elevation ranging from 0 to 1650 meters above sea 397 level, and during rainy and dry seasons over five years (2013-2018) for a total of n=11,693 distinct 398 samples (Fig. 6). The percentage of sites with presence of *Aedes aegypti* in the coastal provinces was higher (35.9%) than in the Amazonia provinces (13.4%) but Aedes aegypti was present at 399 400 higher altitudes in the eastern Amazon basin (1650 meters) than the coastal region (1000 meters). 401 In our maximum entropy models, 55.5% (123,087 km²) of the territory was suitable (medium or 402 high risk) for *Aedes aegypti*. Most of the coastal lowlands had medium risk of for *Aedes aegypti*, 403 with high-risk zones in more populated areas. Almost all of Guayas and El Oro were at high risk, 404 while large sections of Manabí, Esmeraldas, Pichincha/Santo Domingo, and Los Ríos were also at 405 high risk. Much of the Amazon was predicted to be at low risk, although the northern Amazon and 406 Amazon regions closer to the Andes were also at medium to high risk for Aedes aegypti.

407

For our map of arbovirus disease prevalence, we used dengue and chikungunya cases in 2015 (n=73,550) as a measure of disease presence across Ecuador and maximum entropy models with

the same predictor variables (Fig. 6). The regions identified as being suitable for arbovirus disease matched those identified for *Aedes aegypti* risk: most of the coastal region was at high risk for arbovirus transmission, while similar, although not fully overlapping areas across the Amazon were at medium to high risk. Interestingly, an area in the southern Amazon province of Morona Santiago had higher risk for arbovirus transmission risk than predicted by *Aedes aegypti* maps.



Fig. 6. Maximum entropy geographic models using environmental, geologic, and
demographic variables to predict *Aedes aegypti* (left) and arboviral disease (right) presence
data. Mosquito data: immature and adult stages of *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes at 1785 distinct
collection sites, encompassing all 24 provinces, elevation ranging from 0 to 1650 meters above
sea level, and during rainy and dry seasons over five years (2013-2018) for a total of n=11,693
distinct samples. Arbovirus disease prevalence: national dengue and chikungunya cases in 2015
(n=73,550).

424	The principal variables that predicted Aedes aegypti presence also predicted arbovirus disease,
425	including population density (63.3% contribution for Aedes risk, 52.8% for arbovirus risk),
426	garbage collection (14.3% and 7.7%), elevation (11.2% and 19.9%) (Table 2). However,
427	precipitation was a much stronger factor for arbovirus risk (12.8%) than for Aedes risk (1.0%).
428	Both Aedes and arbovirus risk were associated to a lesser extent with water access (3.6% and 1.1%)
429	and sewage connection (1.6% and 2.8%), while only Aedes was affected by vegetation (1.8%) and
430	house quality (0.7%) and arbovirus disease with house crowding (0.7%) .

432 Table 2. Variable importance of risk factors in the *Aedes aegypti* presence data and dengue

433 and chikungunya case presence.

Aedes model AUC full model: 0.977		Dengue and chikungur AUC full model: (nya model 0.782
Variable	Percent contribution	Variable	Percent contribution
Population	63.3	Population	52.8
Garbage collection	14.3	Elevation	19.9
Elevation	11.2	Precipitation	12.8
Water access	3.6	Garbage collection	7.7
Vegetation	1.8	Sewage connection	2.8
Sewage connection	1.6	Water access	1.1
Precipitation	1.0	House crowding	0.7
Housing quality	0.7	Other variables	2.2
Other variables	2.5		

436 **DISCUSSION**

437

438 In this study, we described changes in the transmission intensity of DENV across ecologically 439 diverse provinces of Ecuador over nearly 80 years and identified multiple environmental, 440 demographic, and social factors associated with the distribution of the vector and arbovirus 441 disease. We found that the highest DENV FoI was observed in the southern coastal provinces over 20-30 years ago, whereas regions that were historically more rural and isolated experienced their 442 highest FoI in the last 10 years. Our geographic models show that the coastal provinces and 443 Amazon have high vector and disease risk areas, and that population density, garbage collection, 444 elevation, and precipitation explain the most variation (>10%) in vector and arbovirus 445 446 distributions. Our estimates of the FoI of DENV complement and enrich the documented record 447 of DENV emergence across Ecuador and serve as a case study of the changes driving the expansion of arboviruses globally. 448

449

450 We found that DENV transmission in Ecuador increased as dengue resurged across the Americas, 451 first in the southern coastal provinces with major port cities, then to other connected regions in the 452 coastal lowlands. DENV only spread to more isolated regions of Ecuador in the 21st century, possibly driven by increased human movement. Starting near the turn of the 21st century, the 453 454 government of Ecuador began to heavily invest in roads and infrastructure. The new roads 455 increased connectivity to previously isolated regions, including major cities in Esmeraldas and the 456 Amazon, as well as to more rural areas in all provinces [29, 30]. These changes may have driven 457 the uptick in DENV FoI in Esmeraldas and the Amazon, which were not previously considered 458 high risk areas. Further, higher elevation regions like Pichincha/Santo Domingo and Los Ríos

experienced an increase in dengue, possibly due to changes in global temperature expanding the
range of *Aedes aegypti* [13]. In total, 55.5% of Ecuador currently has favorable conditions for *Aedes aegypti*, including the provinces we identify here as experiencing increases in DENV
transmission intensity in the last 10 years.

463

As previously low-population rural areas become increasingly urbanized and connected to other rural areas and to major cities, their role in maintaining transmission and helping reseed epidemics is expected to increase [19, 31]. In Esmeraldas, connectivity first increased with Colombia, consistent with the observation that the DENV serotypes circulating in Esmeraldas between 2010-2014 more closely matched those circulating in Colombia than the rest of Ecuador [17, 19, 29]. Lack of infrastructure often tracks with rapid urbanization, and often results in limited water access, garbage collection, and sewage, which are all arboviral disease risk factors.

471

472 The ecological conditions in regions where DENV has recently emerged may further increase 473 DENV intensity. For instance, while in Guayas and Manabí, entomological surveys reveal low 474 vector density during the dry season and larvae proliferation during the wet season [16], a 475 household survey in Esmeraldas found a non-linear relationship between mosquito density and 476 rainfall (unpublished data). Similarly, in the Amazon, there is not as strong a distinction between 477 the wet and dry seasons, potentially enabling sustained arbovirus transmission year-round. The 478 combined effects of Amazonian ecological conditions, urbanization, and population growth are 479 evident in the Amazonian cities of Iquitos, Perú and Manaus, Brazil, where once Aedes aegypti 480 and DENV were introduced and the cities were large enough, the conditions were primed for 481 severe epidemics and sustained transmission [32]. In Iquitos, Perú which is mainly accessible by

river barge, invasion of the *Aedes aegypti* species was facilitated by these river boats travelling from urban to peri-urban to rural areas [33]. For this reason, similar attention should be paid to the changes caused by the rapidly urbanizing Amazon provinces of Ecuador, which are increasingly affected by population growth, urbanization, and migration due to the expansion of the oil industry [34].

487

Our study has several limitations. We were unable to test whether changes in Aedes risk were 488 temporally associated with changes in DENV transmission intensity, as data on ecological and 489 490 mosquito density data were not available before 2013. Further, we were unable to determine 491 changes in the serotype-specific intensity of DENV transmission due to lack of serotype-level case 492 data. We were also unable to quantitatively measure the effect of changes in connectivity between 493 regions and DENV transmission intensity due to lack of available data. Finally, due to low 494 numbers of cases, we were unable to measure transmission changes for each separate province in 495 the Amazon.

496

497 Here, we tracked the changes and drivers of DENV transmission across Ecuador using over 20 498 years of longitudinal case data, detailed entomological measurements, catalytic models of DENV 499 FoI, and maximum entropy models for species distribution modeling. We show that coastal 500 provinces with large, connected cities experiencing the highest and earliest increase in DENV 501 transmission intensity. Areas that remained isolated like the northern coast and the Amazon 502 regions more recently experienced a rise in DENV transmission and endemicity. However, all 503 provinces with suitable ecological conditions were affected by the chikungunya and Zika 504 pandemics and had hotspots for Aedes and arbovirus disease risk. Future local and national control

strategies should consider the emergence and re-emergence of multiple arboviruses transmitted by 505 506 Aedes aegypti as well as differences in the natural and built environments, including the rapid 507 expansion of vector-borne diseases into areas that previously were minimally affected. As human movement, population growth, urbanization, and climate change intensify, more areas within 508 diverse countries will be affected simultaneously. Thus, surveillance and vector control efforts 509 510 should learn from the historical dynamics for dengue, Zika, chikungunya, recognizing the potential 511 for novel emerging diseases to follow similar patterns and strategically develop control measures 512 which consider interactions and shared risk factors for these diseases.

513	Acknowledgment: We thank the Ecuador Ministry of Health for providing the published dengue,
514	Zika, and chikungunya prevalence data needed for this analysis.
515	
516	Funding: This research was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the National
517	Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (to LCK) and the National Institutes of Health Grant
518	R01AI323721 (to JE and JC), and the Secretary of Higher Education, Science, Technology and
519	Innovation (SENESCYT) Grant PIC-12-INH-002 (to PP and VC). LCK was supported in part by
520	the Global Health Equity Scholars Program NIH Fogarty International Center training grant
521	D43TW010540. The funders had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision
522	to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.
523	
524	Author contributions: Conceptualization: LCK, JC, VC, EQ, Formal analysis: LCK, EQ, VC,
525	Data collection and curation: VC, EQ, PP, Methodology: LCK, VC, EQ, PA, PP, JC,
526	Writing-original draft: LCK, SB, T-AH, Supervision/project administration: JC, JE, VC, PP, EQ,
527	Writing-review & editing: all authors.
528	
529	Data availability: The data sources and programs used for analyses are detailed in the Methods
530	section. The code and data for all force of infection models will be made available on Zenodo at
531	the time of publication.
532	
533	Competing interests: The authors declare no conflict of interest.
534	

535 <u>REFERENCES</u>

536

537 1. Bhatt S, Gething PW, Brady OJ, Messina JP, Farlow AW, Moyes CL, et al. The global 538 distribution and burden of dengue. Nature. 2013;496(7446):504-7. Epub 2013/04/09. doi: 10.1038/nature12060. PubMed PMID: 23563266; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3651993. 539 540 Morens DM, Fauci AS. Emerging infectious diseases: threats to human health and global 2. stability. PLoS Pathog. 2013;9(7):e1003467. Epub 2013/07/16. doi: 541 542 10.1371/journal.ppat.1003467. PubMed PMID: 23853589; PubMed Central PMCID: 543 PMCPMC3701702. 544 Brathwaite Dick O, San Martin JL, Montoya RH, del Diego J, Zambrano B, Dayan GH. 3. 545 The history of dengue outbreaks in the Americas. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2012;87(4):584-93. 546 Epub 2012/10/09. doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.2012.11-0770. PubMed PMID: 23042846; PubMed 547 Central PMCID: PMCPMC3516305. 548 Gubler DJ. Dengue and dengue hemorrhagic fever. Clin Microbiol Rev. 1998;11(3):480-4. 549 96. Epub 1998/07/17. doi: 10.1128/CMR.11.3.480. PubMed PMID: 9665979; PubMed Central 550 PMCID: PMCPMC88892. 551 World Health Organization. Global strategy for dengue prevention and control 2012-5. 2020. World Health Organization; 2012. 552 553 DALYs GBD, Collaborators H, Murray CJ, Barber RM, Foreman KJ, Abbasoglu 6. 554 Ozgoren A, et al. Global, regional, and national disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) for 306 diseases and injuries and healthy life expectancy (HALE) for 188 countries, 1990-2013: 555 556 quantifying the epidemiological transition. Lancet. 2015;386(10009):2145-91. Epub 2015/09/01. 557 doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(15)61340-X. PubMed PMID: 26321261; PubMed Central PMCID: 558 PMCPMC4673910. 559 7. Yactayo S, Staples JE, Millot V, Cibrelus L, Ramon-Pardo P. Epidemiology of 560 Chikungunya in the Americas. The Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2016;214(suppl 5):S441-S5. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiw390. 561 Zhang Q, Sun K, Chinazzi M, Pastore y Piontti A, Dean NE, Rojas DP, et al. Spread of 562 8. Zika virus in the Americas. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 563 564 2017;114(22):E4334-E43. doi: doi:10.1073/pnas.1620161114. 565 9. Rodriguez-Barraquer I, Salje H, Cummings DA. Opportunities for improved surveillance and control of dengue from age-specific case data. Elife. 2019;8. Epub 2019/05/24. doi: 566 567 10.7554/eLife.45474. PubMed PMID: 31120419; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6579519. 568 10. Schenzle D, Dietz K, Frosner GG. Antibody against hepatitis A in seven European 569 countries. II. Statistical analysis of cross-sectional surveys. Am J Epidemiol. 1979;110(1):70-6. 570 Epub 1979/07/01. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a112790. PubMed PMID: 313700. 571 11. Cattarino L, Rodriguez-Barraquer I, Imai N, Cummings DAT, Ferguson NM. Mapping 572 global variation in dengue transmission intensity. Sci Transl Med. 2020;12(528). Epub 573 2020/01/31. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aax4144. PubMed PMID: 31996463. 574 Cevallos V, Ponce P, Waggoner JJ, Pinsky BA, Coloma J, Quiroga C, et al. Zika and 12. 575 Chikungunya virus detection in naturally infected Aedes aegypti in Ecuador. Acta Tropica. 576 2018;177:74-80. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2017.09.029. 577 13. Stewart-Ibarra AM, Lowe R. Climate and non-climate drivers of dengue epidemics in southern coastal ecuador. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2013;88(5):971-81. Epub 2013/03/13. doi: 578

- 579 10.4269/ajtmh.12-0478. PubMed PMID: 23478584; PubMed Central PMCID:
- 580 PMCPMC3752767.
- 581 14. CONNOR ME. YELLOW FEVER CONTROL IN ECUADOR: PRELIMINARY
- 582 REPORT. Journal of the American Medical Association. 1920;74(10):650-1. doi:
- 583 10.1001/jama.1920.02620100010004.
- 15. Izurieta RO, Macaluso M, Watts DM, Tesh RB, Guerra B, Cruz LM, et al. Assessing
- yellow Fever risk in the ecuadorian Amazon. J Glob Infect Dis. 2009;1(1):7-13. doi:
- 586 10.4103/0974-777x.49188. PubMed PMID: 20300380; PubMed Central PMCID:
- 587 PMCPMC2840941.
- 16. Real-Cotto JJ, Regato Arrata ME, Burgos Yépez VE, Jurado Cobeña ET. Evolución del virus dengue en el Ecuador: Período 2000 a 2015. Anales de la Facultad de Medicina.
 2017;78:29-35.
- 591 17. Màrquez S, Carrera J, Espín E, Cifuentes SG, Trueba G, Coloma J, et al. Dengue
- Serotype Differences in Urban and Semi-Rural Communities in Ecuador. Avances en Ciencias e
 Ingeniería. 2018;10. doi: 10.18272/aci.v10i1.959.
- 18. Maljkovic Berry I, Rutvisuttinunt W, Sippy R, Beltran-Ayala E, Figueroa K, Ryan S, et
- al. The origins of dengue and chikungunya viruses in Ecuador following increased migration
- from Venezuela and Colombia. BMC Evolutionary Biology. 2020;20(1):31. doi:
- 597 10.1186/s12862-020-1596-8.
- Márquez S, Lee G, Gutiérrez B, Bennett S, Coloma J, Eisenberg JNS, et al. Phylogenetic
 Analysis of Transmission Dynamics of Dengue in Large and Small Population Centers, Northern
- 600 Ecuador. Emerging Infectious Disease journal. 2023;29(5):888. doi: 10.3201/eid2905.221226.
- 601 20. Olkowski S, Forshey BM, Morrison AC, Rocha C, Vilcarromero S, Halsey ES, et al.
 602 Reduced risk of disease during postsecondary dengue virus infections. J Infect Dis.
- 603 2013;208(6):1026-33. Epub 2013/06/19. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jit273. PubMed PMID: 23776195;
 604 PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3749012.
- 605 21. Cummings DA, Iamsirithaworn S, Lessler JT, McDermott A, Prasanthong R, Nisalak A,
- 606 et al. The impact of the demographic transition on dengue in Thailand: insights from a statistical
- analysis and mathematical modeling. PLoS Med. 2009;6(9):e1000139. Epub 2009/09/02. doi:
- 608 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000139. PubMed PMID: 19721696; PubMed Central PMCID:
 609 PMCPMC2726436.
- 610 22. Ferguson NM, Donnelly CA, Anderson RM. Transmission dynamics and epidemiology
- of dengue: insights from age-stratified sero-prevalence surveys. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol
- 612 Sci. 1999;354(1384):757-68. Epub 1999/06/12. doi: 10.1098/rstb.1999.0428. PubMed PMID:
- 613 10365401; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC1692557.
- 614 23. Stan Development Team. RStan: the R interface to Stan. 2020.
- 615 24. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation
- 616 for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria: 2022.
- 617 25. Phillips SJ, Anderson RP, Schapire RE. Maximum entropy modeling of species
- 618 geographic distributions. Ecol Model. 2006;190(3-4):231-59. doi:
- 619 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2005.03.026. PubMed PMID: WOS:000233859600001.
- 620 26. Phillips SJ, Dudik M. Modeling of species distributions with Maxent: new extensions and
- 621 a comprehensive evaluation. Ecography. 2008;31(2):161-75. doi: 10.1111/j.0906-
- 622 7590.2008.5203.x. PubMed PMID: WOS:000254499200001.

623 27. Carrazco-Montalvo A, Ponce P, Villota SD, Quentin E, Muñoz-Tobar S, Coloma J, et al.
624 Establishment, Genetic Diversity, and Habitat Suitability of Aedes albopictus Populations from

625 Ecuador. Insects. 2022;13(3):305. PubMed PMID: doi:10.3390/insects13030305.

626 28. Messina JP, Brady OJ, Scott TW, Zou C, Pigott DM, Duda KA, et al. Global spread of

dengue virus types: mapping the 70 year history. Trends Microbiol. 2014;22(3):138-46. Epub

628 2014/01/29. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2013.12.011. PubMed PMID: 24468533; PubMed Central
629 PMCID: PMCPMC3946041.

630 29. Eisenberg JNS, Cevallos W, Ponce K, Levy K, Bates SJ, Scott JC, et al. Environmental

change and infectious disease: How new roads affect the transmission of diarrheal pathogens in
 rural Ecuador. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2006;103(51):19460-5. doi:

633 doi:10.1073/pnas.0609431104.

634 30. Zelner JL, Trostle J, Goldstick JE, Cevallos W, House JS, Eisenberg JN. Social

635 connectedness and disease transmission: social organization, cohesion, village context, and

636 infection risk in rural Ecuador. Am J Public Health. 2012;102(12):2233-9. Epub 20121018. doi:

637 10.2105/ajph.2012.300795. PubMed PMID: 23078481; PubMed Central PMCID:

638 PMCPMC3519324.

639 31. Lana RM, Gomes MFdC, Lima TFMd, Honório NA, Codeço CT. The introduction of

640 dengue follows transportation infrastructure changes in the state of Acre, Brazil: A network-

based analysis. PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases. 2017;11(11):e0006070. doi:

642 10.1371/journal.pntd.0006070.

643 32. de Castro DB, Sampaio VS, de Albuquerque BC, Pinto RC, Sadahiro M, Dos Passos RA,

644 et al. Dengue epidemic typology and risk factors for extensive epidemic in Amazonas state,

Brazil, 2010-2011. BMC Public Health. 2018;18(1):356. Epub 20180315. doi: 10.1186/s12889018-5251-x. PubMed PMID: 29544456; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5855995.

647 33. Guagliardo SA, Morrison AC, Barboza JL, Requena E, Astete H, Vazquez-Prokopec G,

et al. River Boats Contribute to the Regional Spread of the Dengue Vector Aedes aegypti in the

649 Peruvian Amazon. PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases. 2015;9(4):e0003648. doi:

650 10.1371/journal.pntd.0003648.

651 34. Rights Violations in the Ecuadorian Amazon: The Human Consequences of Oil

Development. Health Hum Rights. 1994;1(1):82-100. PubMed PMID: 10395712.