

1 Study protocol for a systems evaluation of an infant mental health service: integration of
2 'Little Minds Matter' into the early years system

3

4

5 Sarah Masefield¹, Alison Ellwood^{2*}, Sarah Blower¹, Josie Dickerson², Rachael H. Moss², Sara
6 Ahern²

7

8 ¹ Department of Health Sciences, University of York, York, North Yorkshire, UK

9 ² Born in Bradford, Bradford Teaching Hospitals National Health Service Foundation Trust,
10 Bradford, West Yorkshire, UK

11

12 *Corresponding author

13 e.mail: alison.ellwood@bthft.nhs.uk

15 **Abstract:**

16 Infant Mental Health relates to how well a child develops socially and emotionally from birth
17 to age three. There is a well-established link between parent-infant relationship quality,
18 Infant Mental Health, and longer-term social and emotional development, however, there is
19 a lack of evidence-based interventions that support the parent-infant relationship and/or
20 protect against poor Infant Mental Health. Little Minds Matter is a specialist Infant Mental
21 Health service developed in Bradford (UK) to support parent-infant relationships by
22 providing training and consultation for professionals and direct clinical work to families. The
23 efficacy of this intervention will depend upon how well it becomes embedded within the
24 early years system. This study aims to apply a systems approach to evaluate this service and
25 provide a perspective on the challenges to embedding a complex service within a healthcare
26 system. Multiple methods will be used to investigate embeddedness within the wider early
27 years system. Routine collected quantitative data about the service will be used to develop
28 a system map showing interaction with related services. Qualitative data will be collected
29 through interviews with individuals involved in the design and provision of the Little Minds
30 Matter service, and professionals working within the early years system. Framework
31 analysis will be used to analyse the data inductively and deductively within a systems
32 approach. The findings from this study will provide evidence for the ongoing
33 implementation of the Little Minds Matter Service for providers and commissioning bodies.
34 Exploring this methodological approach to evaluation will have application more broadly for
35 researchers evaluating complex interventions and services within a wider system.

36 **Introduction:**

37 Infant mental health (IMH) relates to how well a child develops socially and emotionally
38 from birth to age three [1]. Secure parent-infant relationships are the foundation for
39 protecting IMH [2]. If an infant feels safe and secure, and experiences the world as a
40 consistent, loving place, they are more likely to build a healthy brain and grow into a
41 confident person who enjoys happy relationships [3]. The association between parent-infant
42 relationship quality, IMH, and children's longer term social and emotional development is
43 now well evidenced [2,4-6. Despite this, there is a lack of evidence-based interventions that
44 support the parent-infant relationship [3, 7] and/or protect against poor IMH [8].

45 In their recent evidence report, the Parent-Infant Foundation identified a 'baby blind-spot'
46 within current Child and Adolescent Mental Health Service provision in England, with many
47 services not accepting referrals for children under 2 years, thereby missing opportunities to
48 intervene at the earliest opportunity [9]. The Parent-Infant Foundation consensus statement
49 recommends improving outcomes in the first 1,001 days of a child's life, establish specialist
50 IMH services at a local level and provide care continuity with a skilled workforce [10]. There
51 are currently 45 such specialist parent-infant relationship or infant mental health teams in
52 the UK offering theoretically grounded interventions in their therapeutic provision [11]. In
53 order to provide support to those families in need, these services need appropriate referrals
54 from professionals working across the early years services who come into contact with
55 families. However, identification of issues in the parent-infant relationships by these
56 professionals may be challenging. Whilst NICE guidance highlights the importance of
57 assessing the relationship parents have with their children, there are no assessment tools

58 recommended for use in the critical first 12 months after birth, and those recommended for
59 pre-school children require clinical expertise to complete [12]. As a consequence, healthcare
60 professionals working in universal settings with families with young children may lack the
61 confidence and/or ability to assess this relationship [13-14].

62 To address these challenges, one such specialist IMH service, Little Minds Matter (LMM),
63 has been designed to provide direct therapeutic support for the parent-infant relationship
64 at any time from pregnancy up to 24 months post birth. The aim of the service is to
65 influence the wider early years system to raise awareness of IMH in the community and
66 empower professionals to confidently identify issues and support parents within their usual
67 practice. Success of the intervention depends on becoming embedded within the early years
68 system, so that relevant services have an awareness of IMH and are able to utilise the
69 services offered by LMM.

70 The aim of this study protocol is to describe the evaluation of the Little Minds Matter
71 intervention using a systems evaluation approach.

72 **Methods**

73 **Design**

74 This is a systems evaluation approach using multi-methods including quantitative and
75 qualitative data and systems mapping.

76 **Study setting**

77 This study is being conducted in Bradford, a large district in the North of England with a
78 young, ethnically diverse population, many of whom live in areas of high deprivation. The
79 early years system is defined as all the services that work with families with young children
80 to promote children's health and development from conception to primary school entry at
81 age 5. This includes universal care (e.g., midwifery and health visiting), targeted services
82 (e.g., mental health services and social care) and a wide range of voluntary and charitable
83 sector (VCS) organisations delivering support and interventions. Significant to the early
84 years services in Bradford are two large programmes of work providing additional support
85 to the early years system in inner-city areas: Better Start Bradford, a National Lottery
86 Community Fund programme that commissions a number of preventative interventions to
87 improve socio-emotional development, language and communication development and
88 nutrition in children aged 0-3 [15]; and the Reducing Inequalities in Communities programme
89 that funds interventions to reduce inequalities across the life course including the early
90 years [16].

91 **The intervention**

92 Little Minds Matter (LMM) is a specialist IMH service developed to support the parent-infant
93 relationship during pregnancy until the infant is 24 months old. We acknowledge that not all
94 caregivers are parents in a biological or legal sense, for clarity we also include within the
95 scope of this paper parents as those who provide daily care to a child, and act as a 'parent'
96 whether they are biological parents or not [17]. The service is commissioned by both the

97 Better Start Bradford and Reducing Inequalities in Communities programmes and is
98 delivered by Bradford District Care NHS Foundation Trust. According to the theory of
99 change for LMM, a key mechanism for an improved parent-infant relationship is *mind-*
100 *mindedness* which is defined as the ability of parents to tune in to their children’s thoughts
101 and feelings [18]. The service acts on this mechanism in a number of ways, firstly by raising
102 awareness across the early years workforce, and wider community, of the importance of the
103 parent-infant relationship to later child outcomes. Secondly by training and supporting the
104 early years workforce who work directly with families to identify, and support, families with
105 ‘concerning’ relationships, and finally by providing direct clinical work with families in need.
106 The service defines a ‘concerning’ relationship as one where a parent does not appear to be
107 aware of their infant’s feelings, and are unable to exhibit mind-mindedness. The service is
108 delivered through four strands of activity described in Figure 1. Strand one is delivered by a
109 VCS partner and strands two, three and four are delivered by a team of approximately 10
110 professionals. This includes Clinical Psychologists, Family Therapists and those with a
111 background in Health Visiting, Midwifery and Social Care settings. The service has
112 undergone a thorough service design, including a theory of change, logic model and agreed
113 implementation targets for each strand for which routine monitoring data is gathered.
114 Further information relating to this design process can be requested from the corresponding
115 author.

116 [Insert] Figure 1: Overview of Little Minds Matter service

117 **A Systems approach to evaluation**

118 The Medical Research Council updated their guidance for evaluating complex interventions
119 in 2021, advocating for consideration of the context within which an intervention operates
120 including the conditions needed to realise the mechanisms for change [19]. In a systems
121 approach to evaluating health interventions, interventions are conceptualised as ‘events in
122 systems that either leave a lasting footprint or wash out depending on how well the
123 dynamic properties of the system are harnessed’ [19]. An essential initial component of a
124 systems approach is exploring and understanding the context into which the intervention is
125 introduced and with which it interacts, essentially the pre-intervention context.
126 Additionally, mapping of the system of interest is often a preliminary but integral part of a
127 systems evaluation. The pre-intervention context and system map are then used as key
128 tools in the systems approach to enable four avenues of inquiry: 1) how the intervention
129 couples with the context; 2) tracking changes in relationships within the system; 3) the
130 distribution and transformation of existing resources; and 4) the displacement of pre-
131 intervention activities [20]. Using this approach the study aims to look at how the
132 intervention and the early years system have changed over time in relation to IMH, and the
133 dynamic relationship of these changes.

134 Using implementation data and qualitative interviews with stakeholders, the objectives of
135 the study are to explore and describe:

- 136 • What the early years system looked like before LMM was implemented (i.e., the pre-
137 intervention context).

- 138 • Changes that have occurred in the early years system since LMM was first
139 introduced, to include the displacement of any pre-intervention activities and
140 transformation of existing resources.
- 141 • The ways in which LMM has become embedded within (i.e., coupled with) the early
142 years system.
- 143 • Factors that have facilitated or hindered the implementation of LMM.
- 144 • Whether LMM has succeeded in increasing the knowledge and understanding of
145 IMH in professionals working within the early years system.

146 **Development of a system map**

147 To describe the early years system in Bradford and identify key stakeholders to participate
148 in interviews we will conduct a scoping exercise to identify early years services and projects
149 in Bradford. This scoping exercise will involve exploring existing pathways within the early
150 years system and conversations with stakeholders including health and social care
151 professionals, researchers and those involved in the LMM service design process. From this
152 we will produce a list of services and projects that work with families in the early years
153 system to promote children’s health and development. These services and projects will then
154 be placed into a systems map, with services and projects placed in concentric circles, based
155 upon the degree to which supporting the parent-infant relationship is a core objective of
156 that service’s remit (see Figure 2). Implementation data is routinely collected about which
157 organisations and professional groups have interacted with LMM via the training,
158 consultation and clinical work strands since the service’s launch. This quantitative data will

159 then be plotted onto the system map to provide a visual description of the way in which
160 services and professionals have engaged with LMM.

161 [Insert] Fig 2: Example template map

162 **Qualitative Data collection:**

163 The systems map will then be used within qualitative interviews to help elucidate the pre-
164 intervention context, the fit of LMM within the system and the extent of interaction
165 between services and LMM and facilitate other lines of questioning. Qualitative data
166 collection will be undertaken with three different groups of stakeholders: group one,
167 individuals involved in the development of LMM; group two, individuals employed by LMM
168 but not involved in service design; group three, individuals employed in roles supporting
169 families with young children (see Figure 3). Data will be obtained using interviews and focus
170 groups as appropriate. For groups two and three, interviews will be undertaken at two time
171 points to observe change in the system over time. Interviews will take place face-to-face or
172 through video conferencing depending on the participant's choice. To report our qualitative
173 findings, we will adhere to the Standards for Reporting Qualitative Research (SRQR) and the
174 COnsolidated criteria for REporting Qualitative research (COREQ) [21].

175 [Insert] Fig 3: Data collection process

176 **Participants:**

177 Study participants are eligible for interview if they are an individual working within the early
178 years system, who is either:

179 • Employed by the National Health Service (NHS), social care, Better Start Bradford
180 and related organisations/projects, local authority, and VCS in a service which
181 supports the parent-infant relationship.

182 OR

183 • Were involved in the design and development of the LMM service.

184 We aim to recruit between 25-35 participants at each time point: four in group one;
185 approximately seven in group two and 25 in group three. Group one participants are
186 identified by liaising with the Better Start Bradford team; group two participants will be
187 identified by the clinical lead of LMM and recruitment to group three will be dependent on
188 the services identified in the systems map and interviews with participants in group one and
189 group two. Sampling will be purposive, targeting staff working at the team lead or
190 managerial level in organisations that are considered most appropriate in order to gain
191 organisational oversight and a wider view of service integration and training within
192 the team. In most cases only one person per service will be interviewed and interviews with
193 participants from services with a remit to support the parent-infant relationship will be
194 prioritised (i.e., those in the inner circles of the systems map, see Figure Two). This approach
195 will support data saturation given the range of participation from varied projects.

196 The study participants will be approached by email from the primary Researchers (SM and
197 AE). Email addresses will be accessed through contacts with the Better Start Bradford and
198 Little Minds Matters teams as well as contacts within the Better Start Bradford Innovation
199 Hub following agreement by the relevant research and development offices. Emails will

200 include the consent form and study information sheet. The information sheet includes
201 details of the full study, indicating which participant groups we intend to approach for a
202 second interview. Written or verbal consent will be taken prior to interview. Participation
203 can be face to face or through video call therefore verbal consent will be recorded
204 separately from the interview and stored in a different location on the Bradford Teaching
205 Hospital Foundation Trust's online secure archive in password protected folders.

206 **Data collection**

207 Data collection will be scheduled to ensure that those involved in the design and
208 commissioning of LMM and LMM staff are interviewed first. This will allow for review of the
209 system map (with any significant omissions added or clarifications made prior to the other
210 interviews) and review of the list of organisations that the researchers intend to invite to
211 interview in group three. Additionally information will be captured and review of the topic
212 guides for the subsequent interviews with staff from other services in the early years system
213 will be undertaken. At times it may be more viable to collect data through focus groups
214 rather than one-to-one interviews. This decision will be taken pragmatically on a case-by-
215 case basis according to the preference of the participants. At time point one, all three
216 groups will be questioned on a range of subjects as described in figure 3, including their role
217 in the service, understanding of the LMM service, the reach of LMM across the early years
218 system and interaction between LMM, other services and professional groups. For groups
219 one and two, a copy of the draft map will be shared with participants prior to their data
220 collection session. This will enable these participants to review the map in preparation for
221 questions in the interview about which services have existing relationships with LMM and

222 which additional services it would be desirable to establish relationships with. Group three
223 will not be asked to review the map as we are only interested in their relationship with LMM
224 and not with other services in the early years system.

225 At time point two, 9-12 months later, participants from groups two and three will be invited
226 to attend a second interview or focus group. Wherever possible this will be the same
227 individuals, agreement to approach participants again will be discussed at the end of their
228 time one interview, but where these people have moved on an alternative appropriate
229 individual will be approached. Again they will be asked questions about their service,
230 understanding of the LMM service, the reach of LMM into and interaction between LMM,
231 their/other services and professional groups. At this time point group two will also review
232 the map again, which will now include additional data from the time between qualitative
233 data collection periods. The review of the map and interview questions will facilitate
234 exploration of change in the early years system over the intervening period. Separate topic
235 guides have been created for the different groups and will be used by the member of the
236 research team conducting each interview. The topic guides may be amended following
237 piloting. With this approach we will achieve a whole-system perspective, including an
238 understanding of the pre-intervention context.

239 **Ethical Approval and Study Governance**

240 Health Research Authority (HRA) approval was granted on 25th August 2022 (IRAS project ID:
241 311645 REC reference: 22/HRA/3477) for research undertaken within the NHS Trusts and
242 Sponsorship approval received for Bradford Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust on 3rd
243 October 2022 and for Bradford District Care NHS Foundation Trust on 18th October 2022 .

244 University of York Department of Health Sciences Research Governance Committee
245 approval was granted for research undertaken with non-NHS trusts via a Chair's Action
246 letter (30 September 2022).

247 **Data management**

248 Data will be managed confidentially in line with ethical approval. Interviews will be
249 transcribed and anonymised then the recordings deleted. All data related to the map
250 development, transcripts and consent information will be stored in the Bradford Teaching
251 Hospital Foundation Trust online secure archive in password protected folders. Transcripts
252 will be stored separately from identifiable and consent information. Transcripts will be
253 managed on Computer Assisted Qualitative Data Analysis Software (CAQDAS) software,
254 NVivo version 14 (Lumivero, 2023). Transcript data and consents will be stored for ten years
255 after which time they will be destroyed.

256 **Data Analysis**

257 **Quantitative Data**

258 Descriptive statistics will provide counts of the number of professionals and organisations
259 within the early years system who have engaged with each of the strands of the LMM
260 service.

261 **Qualitative Data**

262 Framework analysis will be used to analyse the data using Computer Assisted Qualitative
263 Data Analysis Software (CAQDAS) software, NVivo. Framework Analysis [22] will be used to

264 organise and analyse the data. This approach is well suited to this study because it is
265 anticipated that a large amount of data will be generated, requiring a structure to manage
266 and reduce the data to respond to the research questions. It uses a matrix format to
267 organise the data into rows or cases (representing participants) and columns (representing
268 codes) to support comparison of data across, as well as within cases [22].

269 Verbatim transcription will be completed by a transcription service approved by Bradford
270 Institute for Health Research. Where focus groups are undertaken, we will approach the
271 data as a group interview transcript analysed in the same way as the individual interview
272 transcripts. Once transcribed, familiarisation with the data will be increased by reading of
273 the transcripts while listening to the recorded interview. Once familiarised with the data
274 three transcripts will be selected, one from each participant group. Using these three
275 transcripts a coding framework will be developed. Initially codes will be deductively
276 informed from the existing literature, in addition, two researchers will engage in open
277 coding to enable themes to emerge from these three interview transcripts. Therefore, the
278 coding will be both inductively and deductively informed, limiting the extent to which key
279 findings might be missed. Once developed and agreed the framework will be utilised to
280 code the remaining transcripts. As with the coding process, themes will be both inductively
281 and deductively derived through discussion with the wider research team. We will not
282 employ restrictions on coding, such as stopping analysis in response to data saturation
283 because of the variety of professionals and services interviewed, new themes and relevant
284 information may arise in each interview. This process will reduce the potential for bias and
285 enhance the quality of the analysis.

286 Discussion

287 This study will use a multi-methods systems approach, integrating implementation data,
288 systems mapping and qualitative data to evaluate the extent and ways in which the LMM
289 service has become embedded within the early years system in Bradford (UK) to improve
290 IMH.

291 Strengths of the outlined study are numerous, systems approaches, a defining feature of
292 this study, are relatively new to health research and in keeping with the most recent
293 guidance from the Medical Research Council [19]. The integration of a service into a wider
294 system is often a key factor in the success of the service and the impact it has across the
295 system. The approach outlined in this protocol offers a way to evaluate whether a service
296 has become embedded and is able to influence a system. Such approaches may offer a
297 pragmatic solution to evaluation, given there are often fluctuations in service provision and
298 time limitations upon service providers and researchers. Furthermore, this study will
299 provide future researchers with a valuable example of conducting such an evaluation of a
300 service. The study findings will provide learning for the LMM service and early years
301 commissioners in Bradford to enable them to adapt their service as required. Moreover,
302 findings will provide a comprehensive understanding of the barriers and successes to system
303 change for other similar services to review their practice.

304 This study may be limited as it will only describe the impact of one service on one system in
305 one location, however the knowledge and understanding gained about IMH services will
306 provide an evidence base for similar service development elsewhere. In particular, this
307 study has particular relevance to the current government initiative, the best start for life [4],

308 given the focus this policy has on the first two years of life and developing strong parent-
309 infant relationships. A further limitation is that the understanding of the pre-intervention
310 context is being accessed retrospectively by asking participants to reflect on provision
311 before the introduction of LMM. This may impact on the understanding that can be gained
312 about how much change has been brought about by the LMM service into the early years
313 system in Bradford.

314 **Conclusion**

315 IMH services appear to be a valuable early years service, but their efficacy depends upon
316 becoming embedded within the wider early years system. Evaluation of this with a system
317 approach will provide learning for these services on the challenges and successes of
318 embedding a complex service within a healthcare system.

319 **References**

- 320 1. Zeanah CH, editor. Handbook of infant mental health. Guilford Publications; 2018
321 Oct 4.
- 322 2. Fonagy P, Gergely G, Jurist EL, editors. Affect regulation, mentalization and the
323 development of the self. Routledge; 2018 Apr 24.
- 324 3. Barlow J, Schrader-McMillan A, Axford N, Wrigley Z, Sonthalia S, Wilkinson T, et al.
325 Attachment and attachment-related outcomes in preschool children—a review of
326 recent evidence. Child and Adolescent Mental Health. 2016 Feb;21(1):11-20.

- 327 4. HM Government The best start for life: a vision for the 1,001 critical days: The early
328 years healthy development review report [internet] 2021 [cited 2023 May 16].
329 Available from: [The best start for life: a vision for the 1,001 critical days - GOV.UK](#)
330 www.gov.uk
- 331 5. Van Ijzendoorn MH, Schuengel C, Bakermans–Kranenburg MJ. Disorganized
332 attachment in early childhood: Meta-analysis of precursors, concomitants, and
333 sequelae. *Development and psychopathology*. 1999 Jun;11(2):225-50.
- 334 6. Geddes H. Attachment and learning—the links between early experiences and
335 responses in the classroom. *International Journal of Nurture in Education*.
336 2018;4(1):15-21.
- 337 7. Mascheroni E, Ionio C. The efficacy of interventions aimed at improving post-partum
338 bonding: a review of interventions addressing parent-infant bonding in healthy and
339 at risk populations. *Journal of Neonatal Nursing*. 2019 Apr 1;25(2):61-8.
- 340 8. Zeanah CH, Zeanah PD. ‘Infant Mental Health: The science of Early Experience’ In:
341 Zeanah CH, editor. *Handbook of infant mental health*. Guilford Publications; 2018
342 Oct 4. P5-24
- 343 9. Reed J, Parish N. Working for babies. *Lockdown Lessons from Local Systems*. First.
344 2021 Sep;1001.
- 345 10. Parent-Infant Foundation. First 1001 Days Movement: Consensus Statement.
346 [Internet] 2020 [cited 2023 May 16]. Available from: [1001 Days - Parent-Infant](#)
347 [Foundation \(parentinfantfoundation.org.uk\)](http://parentinfantfoundation.org.uk)

- 348 11. Parent-Infant Foundation. Parent-Infant Foundation network-locations. [Internet].
349 London :Parent-Infant Foundation LTD; [updated 2023; cited 2023 May 16]. Available
350 from: [Locations - Parent-Infant Foundation \(parentinfantfoundation.org.uk\)](https://parentinfantfoundation.org.uk/locations)
- 351 12. Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. Postnatal Care, NG194. London:
352 National Institute for Health and Care Excellence; 2021
- 353 13. Wittkowski A, Vatter S, Muhinyi A, Garrett C, Henderson M. Measuring bonding or
354 attachment in the parent-infant-relationship: A systematic review of parent-report
355 assessment measures, their psychometric properties and clinical utility. Clinical
356 Psychology Review. 2020 Dec 1;82:101906.
- 357 14. Bird PK, Hindson Z, Dunn A, de Chavez AC, Dickerson J, Howes J, et al. Implementing
358 the Maternal Postnatal Attachment Scale (MPAS) in universal services: Qualitative
359 interviews with health visitors. medRxiv. 2021 Nov 30:2021-11.
- 360 15. Better Start Bradford Giving children the best start in life [Internet]. Bradford: Better
361 Start Bradford.org; [updated 2023; cited 2023 May 16] Available from: [Home |](#)
362 [Better Start Bradford](#)
- 363 16. Abbas S. Reducing inequalities in communities: closing the health gap in central
364 Bradford. 2022 May 25 [cited 2023 May 25]. In: NHS England Website. Blogs
365 [Internet]. London: NHS England. Available from: [NHS England » Reducing](#)
366 [inequalities in communities: closing the health gap in central Bradford](#)

- 367 17. Better Care Network. Practitioner Library: Human Resources [Internet]. Better Care
368 Network.org: [updated 2022; cited 2023 May 16]. Available from: [Parents and](#)
369 [Caregivers \(bettercarenetwork.org\)](#)
- 370 18. Meins E. Security of attachment and the social development of cognition. Hove, UK:
371 Lawrence Erlbaum Associates: 1997.
- 372 19. Skivington K, Matthews L, Simpson SA, Craig P, Baird J, Blazeby JM, et al. A new
373 framework for developing and evaluating complex interventions: update of Medical
374 Research Council guidance. *bmj*. 2021 Sep 30;374.
- 375 20. Hawe P, Shiell A, Riley T. Theorising interventions as events in systems. *American*
376 *journal of community psychology*. 2009 Jun;43:267-76.
- 377 21. Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research
378 (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *Int J Qual Health Care*.
379 *2007;19(6):349-357*.
- 380 22. Gale NK, Heath G, Cameron E, Rashid S, Redwood S. Using the framework method
381 for the analysis of qualitative data in multi-disciplinary health research. *BMC medical*
382 *research methodology*. 2013 Dec;13(1):1-8.




Fig 1. Overview of Little Minds Matter service

VCS Voluntary and Charitable Sector service
 P Private service
 S Statutory service
 BSB Better Start Bradford service




Fig 2. Example template map




Fig 3. Data collection process