1 2 2	Evaluating the Association of Depressive Disorder Symptoms and Moral Injuries in Healthcare Workers during COVID-19 Pandemic					
4 5	Running title: Moral Injuries and Mental Health during Healthcare Crises					
6 7	Authors: Amirhossein Behnampour ¹ , Sedigheh Ebrahimi ^{1*} , Amir Bazrafshan ² , Amirhossein Kamyab ³ , Majid Pakdin ⁴ , Alireza Ebrahimi ⁴					
8						
9	1. Department of Medical Ethics, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran					
10	2. Department of Psychiatry, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran					
11	3. Faculty of Medicine, Fasa University of Medical Sciences, Fasa, Iran					
12	4. Student Research Committee, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran					
13						
14	*Corresponding author: Sedigheh Ebrahimi, MD					
15 16	Address: Department of Medical Ethics, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Karimkhan Zand St. Shiraz Iran					
17	E-mail: medres2022@outlook.com					
18						
10						
19						
20	Competing interest					
21	The authors confirm that there is no conflict of interest to declare.					
22	Funding					
23	The authors received no financial support for the investigation and authorship.					
24						
25 26						
∠ט 27						
28						
	1					

1 Abstract

2

Background: Moral injury occurs when negative distressing emotions appear and are
suppressed. This could lead to several mental health problems such as depression and posttraumatic stress disorder, and result in long-lasting emotional, behavioral, and social problems.
Moral injury, a term more commonly used in war contexts, has come into the spotlight during
COVID-19 pandemic. We aimed to evaluate the rate of moral injury and its association with

8 psychological injuries during this healthcare crisis.

Methods: We assessed the rates of depression, anxiety, stress, and their association with moral
 injury among 333 nurses, medical interns, and residents between December 2020 and January
 2021. This study was done using validated versions of Depression Anxiety Stress Scales (DASS 21) and Moral Injury Symptom Scale-Healthcare Professionals (MISS-HP) scores.

13 **Results:** Totally 333 healthcare professionals participated in this study, mostly aged between 26 to 30 years old. Nearly half of the participants had a clinically significant moral injury. The 14 average scores of anxiety and stress were significantly higher in women. The participants who 15 were single showed higher rates of depression and moral injury than married ones. Moreover, 16 anxiety, stress, depression, and moral injury were higher in nurses than other healthcare 17 professionals. The scarcity of personal protective equipment at the workplace and giving care to 18 patients with end-stage COVID-19 diagnosis were among the factors associated with a higher 19 risk of developing mental health problems. 20

Conclusion: The results of this study showed that anxiety, stress, depression, and moral injury were prevalent among healthcare professionals during COVID-19 pandemic. Also, the rates of anxiety, stress, and depression were associated with moral injuries.

24

Keywords: Healthcare Worker, Depression, Anxiety, Stress, Moral Injury, COVID-19,
Pandemic

27

- 20
- 29
- 30
- 31

1

2 **1. Background**

Moral injury occurs when negative emotions such as anger, guilt, shame, and disgust about the 3 4 world, self, and others appear and are suppressed [1]. This term originated in the war contexts 5 and is considered to result from activities, or lack of them, which could violate one's ethical or 6 moral code [2]. Commonly, moral injury is not categorized as a psychological disorder; however, 7 it can lead to depression, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), inability to function well, and 8 even suicidal ideation [3]. The impacts of moral injury on individuals are not always 9 recognizable, but the psychological damages caused by it may result in long-lasting emotional, behavioral, and social problems such as anger, depression, anxiety, burnout, and compassion 10 11 fatigue [4].

12 Even after about four years since the first case of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) was diagnosed, the disease still leads to morbidity and mortality around the 13 world [5, 6]. The social, political, and economic crises caused by coronavirus disease (COVID-14 19) could be compared to the 1918 influenza pandemic, as it threatened and impacted millions of 15 16 lives globally [7, 8]. In addition to the socio-economic impacts, COVID-19 pandemic devastatingly affected the mental health of the general population; humans were shocked by the 17 images that once had seemed like a horror tale, such as mass graves that occasionally happened 18 19 in some countries [9].

During the pandemic, healthcare professionals (HCPs) were relatively more at risk of developing mental health problems because they had to execute medical interventions in complex circumstances, for example, when the appropriate resources were limited [3, 10]. In some cases, they had to isolate themselves and live far away from their families in order to decrease the risk of spreading the contagion to their relatives. Furthermore, HCPs were required to work long hours under extreme pressure, which, in turn, increased the chance of mental problems and moral injuries [11].

HCPs were at the frontlines, facing various stressors such as giving care to end-stage patients, being forced to wear masks and face shields for long hours, and fearing to spread the virus to their relatives. These stressors might result in psychological injuries, which subsequently could lead to lower quality of care for patients and decrease health status of general population [12]. In this study, we aimed to assess the prevalence of moral injuries and depressive disorders during COVID-19 pandemic. We also aimed to examine the association of depressive disorder symptoms and moral injury during this healthcare crisis.

1 **2.** Methods

2 2.1.Study Design and Population

This study was a cross-sectional survey-based study conducted on 333 HCPs between December 2020 and January 2021 in educational hospitals affiliated with Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran. The sampling method used was chain-referral sampling, which began from a group of nurses, medical interns, and residents that were invited to fill in the questionnaire. Afterwards, the initial group of participants were asked to distribute the online questionnaire among their colleagues.

9 2.2.Questionnaires

The HCPs were invited to participate in the study via social media platforms, and an online platform was used to gather the surveys. The questionnaire had three main components designed to gather the demographic characteristics, Depression Anxiety Stress Scales (DASS-21) and

13 Moral Injury Symptom Scale-Healthcare Professionals version (MISS-HP) scores (**Suppl. 1-5**).

14 2.3.DASS-21 Questionnaire

DASS-21 is a self-reported screening instrument used for general population and patients, 15 providing measures of depression, anxiety, and stress, independently [13]. The depression 16 subscale investigates dysphoria, hopelessness, devaluation of life, self-depreciation, lack of 17 18 interest, anhedonia, and inertia. The anxiety subscale measures autonomic arousal, musculoskeletal effects, situational anxiety, and subjective experience of anxious effect. Stress 19 20 subscale evaluates difficulty relaxing, nervous arousal, being easily upset or agitated, and irritability. Each subscale has seven items rated on a 4-point Likert scale (0-3), total score 21 22 averaging from 0 to 63, and a higher score indicates higher depression, anxiety, and stress levels. The severity levels of symptoms could be indicated by the score in each domain [14]. The cross-23 cultural validity of the DASS-21-Persian as a measure of related symptoms among the general 24 population in Iran has been evaluated in previous studies [15]. DASS-21-Persian internal 25 consistency was calculated using Cronbach's alpha (α) which was an acceptable rate of 0.94 26 27 [16].

28 2.4.MISS-HP Questionnaire

MISS-HP is a 10-item questionnaire that covers various dimensions of moral injury. Each item has response choices ranging on a 10-point Likert scale from 1 ("absolutely disagree") to 10 ("absolutely agree"). A total score ranges from 10 to 100, and higher scores are an indicator of

1 more significant moral injury [17]. In order to use MISS-HP in our study, it was translated into

2 the Persian version, and the subsequent questionnaire was validated by a pilot study.

Receiver operator curve (ROC) was conducted to identify the proper cutoff on the MISS-HP-3 Persian, which could be used to diagnose healthcare professionals with symptoms of clinically 4 5 significant moral injuries (Suppl. 6). The optimum score for a cutoff point on the MISS-HP-Persian to identify healthcare professionals with clinically significant moral injury symptoms 6 was 42 or higher, according to Youden's index from the ROC. With this cutoff point 7 determination, the sensitivity and specificity for detecting moral injury symptoms accompanied 8 9 by significant functional impairment were 70% and 63%, respectively (positive predictive value: 10 53%, negative predictive value: 79%).

Content validity assessment of the MISS-HP-Persian was evaluated qualitatively and 11 quantitatively. To assess the qualitative content validity, the MISS-HP-Persian was given to 12 13 thirty-five experts who were asked to leave a comment on the scale items regarding item allocation, wording, scaling, and grammar. The MISS-HP-Persian final version was created after 14 analyzing and applying their remarks. For quantitative content validity, we used Content Validity 15 Ratio (CVR) defining the necessity of items and Content Validity Index (CVI) defining the 16 relevance of items (Suppl. 7.). The experts were asked to rate the need of the MISS-HP items 17 18 based on a 3-point Likert-type scale consisting of "necessary", "useful but not necessary", and "not necessary". Additionally, they were asked to rate the relevance of items on a 4-point Likert-19 type scale. The method of content validation is based on previous publications [18]. The 20 questionnaire's internal consistency was also calculated using Cronbach's alpha (α) as an 21 22 acceptable rate of 0.73 [16].

23 2.5.Statistical analysis

All statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 24.0. Mean and standard deviation (SD) was used to present continuous variables, while categorical variables were shown as numbers and percentage. Univariate analysis was done using independent *t*-test, and Pearson and Spearman correlation coefficient test. Multivariable analysis was also done after checking variance inflation factor (VIF) and collinearity using One-Way ANOVA (Analysis of Variance). P values < 0.05 was considered as the significance level.

30 2.6.*Ethical Considerations*

This study protocol was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences (Reg. no. 21417). The participants were asked to fill out an informed consent

that was provided at the beginning of the questionnaire. The anonymity and secrecy of the

34 participants were guaranteed.

1 **3.** Results

A total number of 333 healthcare professionals participated in this study, mostly in the 26 to 30 years age group (n=147, 44.1%). The study population consisted of 107 nurses (32.1%), 125 medical interns (37.5%), and 101 medical residents (30.3%). Among participants, 195 were female (58.6%), and 138 were male (41.1%). More than half of the responders were single (61.6%). **Table 1** represents the occupational and demographic characteristics of the respondents.

Table 2 demonstrates the results of DASS-21 Persian questionnaire distributed among the participants. Moderate to extremely severe anxiety was detected in 23 (16.7%) of male participants. The rate was 52 (37.7%) and 35 (25.4%) for stress and depression in male participants, respectively. Meanwhile, anxiety, stress, and depression were diagnosed in 45 (23.1%), 78 (40%), and 56 (28.7%) female participants, respectively.

As presented in **Table 3**, the average scores of anxiety and stress were significantly higher in 13 women (p-value<0.05). However, the mean value of depression score in the two gender groups 14 was not significantly different (p-value=0.063). The average score of depression had a significant 15 16 difference between single and married participants (p-value=0.007); while, anxiety and stress had no significant relationship with marital status (p-value>0.05). The average score of 17 18 depression, anxiety, and stress among the participants had a significant difference according to the type of profession, and nurses experienced more depression, anxiety, and stress than other 19 20 professionals (p-value<0.05). Our findings also indicated that the lack of personal protective equipment (PPE) at the workplace increases depression, anxiety, and stress (p-value<0.05). 21 Moreover, the average score of depression and stress has a significant relationship with the work 22 experience with the death of COVID-19 patients (p-value<0.05) (Table 3). 23

24 According to the results of this study, almost half of the participants had clinically significant moral injury (Table 4). Based on our findings, female participants had higher rates of moral 25 injury than their male counterparts (p-value=0.018) (Table 5). As shown in Table 5, single 26 participants suffered more moral injury than married ones (p-value=0.044). Our results also 27 28 demonstrated that moral injury was significantly higher in nurses compared to other health care 29 professionals (p-value=0.007). Furthermore, moral injury was significantly correlated with the 30 inadequacy of PPE at the workplace as well (p-value=0.0001) (Table 5). We have also observed that moral injury outcomes were positively correlated with depression, anxiety, and stress scores 31 32 (p-value<0.05) (**Table 6**).

33 **4. Discussion**

1 This study assessed the prevalence of moral injuries, stress, anxiety, and depression among HCPs 2 during the COVID-19 pandemic. The prevalence of anxiety in the study population was 20.4%. 3 consistent with previous studies' findings in Italy, China, and India [19-21]. In our study, the 4 prevalence of depression was 27.3%, comparable to the findings of Rossi et al. study [19]. Other 5 studies also observed increased level of anxiety and depression among HCPs at the frontlines of outbreaks, for example, among volunteers treating patients with Ebola in West Africa [22]. In 6 7 our study, 39.1% of participants had moderate to highly severe stress symptoms, which is significantly higher than the corresponding rate found by Lenzo et al. using the same assessing 8 tool [23]. These variations could be explained by the phase of the outbreak when studies were 9 10 conducted. As we conducted our study between the third and fourth peaks of the pandemic in Iran, higher rates might be due to increased burden on healthcare systems during the peak phase 11 of the outbreak. The duration and degree of exposure to COVID-19 patients, type of study, 12 13 characteristics of the population participating in the study, assessing tool, and sociocultural 14 differences are among other factors which could lead to variation in the findings of different 15 studies.

16 Our results showed that about one out of two HCPs was suffering from moral injury during 17 COVID-19 pandemic, and also 49.1 percent of all the participants had clinically significant

18 moral injury. These findings are consistent with previous evidence showing a major proportion 19 of HCPs are at risk of moral injury during the pandemic [24].

In this study, although female participants were more at risk of developing anxiety, stress, and 20 21 moral injury, no significant difference was found in the levels of depression in different genders. Previously, Wang et al. reported that female HCPs were more vulnerable to moral damage 22 during COVID-19 pandemic [24]. Additionally, previous investigations showed a higher 23 prevalence of anxiety, stress and depression in female HCPs, during the pandemic [25, 26]. The 24 higher levels of these psychological distresses among women were also seen in general 25 population, and it is assumed that women are more vulnerable to develop anxiety, stress, and 26 depression than men [27-29]. 27

The results of our study showed that the level of depression and moral injury was significantly lower in the married participants. In line with our results, in Wang *et. al* reported lower rates of in married healthcare workers [24]. Similarly, married individuals showed higher levels of resilience against anxiety, stress, and depression during COVID-19 pandemic [25]. Previous studies also considered this factor as a risk for developing depression, caused due to several social and psychological reasons [30-32].

The results obtained from the present study showed that there was a significant relationship 1 2 between the type of profession and the level of anxiety, stress, depression and moral injury. In consistent with previous published evidence, our study indicated that nurses suffered from 3 4 anxiety, stress, depression and moral injury more than the medical interns and residents [24]. 5 This difference might be because they spend more hours providing medical services for patients with COVID-19 than medical interns and residents. Xiao et al. also observed higher prevalence 6 of anxiety and depression among nurses, however, the difference was not significant between 7 different groups of HCPs [33]. 8

9 The lack of PPE in the workplace increased the participants' level of anxiety, stress, depression, 10 and moral damage. This finding is consistent with previous reports that indicated the inadequacy 11 of PPE significantly increased the level of anxiety and depression among HCPs [33]. Adequate 12 provide of PPE against infection was also related to mitigated anxiety and depression in HCPs, in 13 other studies [34]. The fear of contracting the virus and spreading it due to the lack of protective 14 equipment might be the cause of this heightened rates of anxiety and depression.

We also observed that HCPs who experienced working with end-stage patients fighting COVID-16 19 had higher levels of stress and depression. In this regard, previous studies have found a strong 17 correlation between death anxiety and mental disorders such as depression [35]. Unsurprisingly, 18 the physicians might sympathize with these patients, subsequently leading to feelings of fear, 19 grieve, and depression.

20 Our findings showed a significant correlation between self-reported depressive symptoms and moral injury in the study population. This finding was consistent with a recent study evaluating 21 22 an association between psychiatric symptoms and moral injury among HCPs during COVID-19 23 pandemic [36]. Previous studies also demonstrated an association between psychopathology and 24 moral injury among military veterans [2, 37-41]. Furthermore, trauma-related stress has been 25 highlighted in a recent review evaluating traumatic responses among HCPs during the COVID-19 outbreak [42]. Consistent with the findings of Asalem et al., we found that the effects of 26 27 COVID-19 pandemic on HCPs simulate the impacts following a traumatic event [36]. This 28 concern was also evaluated by Ahmed *et al.* regarding Ebola and Swine flu, and noticed a rising apprehension towards these outbreaks [43]. Other publications have also highlighted the 29 importance of moral injury among HCPs during the pandemic [44-47]. 30

We found that the prevalence of anxiety, stress, depression, and moral injury was considerably high during COVID-19 pandemic. Also, the rates of anxiety, stress, and depression were associated with moral injury scores. Several factors might be related to this increased rates such as inadequacy of PPE. However, more studies need to be done to find the reasons that might lead

to increased risk of developing these responses. Healthcare policymakers and other stakeholders also need to implement strategies to mitigate this concern. This might be achieved by providing adequate sufficient resources (e.g. PPE) and support (e.g. mental health screening) for the healthcare providers during healthcare crises. Providing a supportive environment is of paramount importance [48, 49].

6 There are several limitations to our study: While the participants were from all section of the 7 hospitals, there is a possibility of selection bias, as our study was a web-based survey. Findings 8 are limited to the population that sample size was derived from, who may not represent the 9 whole HCPs in other regions of the world. Furthermore, our assessment was based on self-report 10 questionnaires which do not meet the accuracy of a formal diagnostic interview.

11 **5.** Conclusion

The results of this study showed that anxiety, stress, depression and moral injury are prevalent 12 among HCPs during COVID-19 pandemic, and moral injuries associated with the level of 13 anxiety, stress and depression among the participants. Female HCPs showed higher prevalence 14 15 of anxiety and stress during the pandemic than their male counterparts. Additionally, being 16 married could be a protective factor against depression and moral injury. Different levels of 17 anxiety, stress, depression, and moral injuries were observed in participants with different 18 positions, and nurses were more vulnerable to developing these problems. Having encountered with end-stage patients and inadequacy of resources such as PPE were other factors leading to 19 20 increased anxiety, stress, depression, and moral injury rates during the crisis.

21 A growing body of evidence suggests that the prevalence of mental health disorders, including anxiety, depression, and stress has increased among HCPs since COVID-19 eruption. The 22 23 present study has also highlighted the increased prevalence and significance of moral injuries in 24 this population. These problems could lead to decreased quality of care received by the general public, subsequently resulting in lowered public health [50]. Further research is needed to find 25 proper policies that could be implemented to reduce the consequences of this high amount of 26 moral injuries among HCPs. Researchers and policymakers should take reasonable steps to 27 28 determine the severity and scope of the problem and try to prevent and resolve this problem in 29 future pandemics, which can be even more widespread and fatal. Regular therapy visits, giving 30 reassurance to HCPs by expert psychologists, and mindfulness training are some examples that have been successful in reducing psychological complications in other pandemics [46, 51, 52]. 31

32 **6. References**

1 1. Browne, T., M. Evangeli and N. Greenberg. "Trauma related guilt and posttraumatic stress 2 among journalists." Journal of Traumatic Stress 25 (2012): 207-10. 3 2. Litz, B. T., N. Stein, E. Delaney, L. Lebowitz, W. P. Nash, C. Silva and S. Maguen. "Moral 4 injury and moral repair in war veterans: A preliminary model and intervention strategy." Clinical 5 psychology review 29 (2009): 695-706. 6 Williamson, V., D. Murphy and N. Greenberg. Covid-19 and experiences of moral injury in front-3. 7 line key workers. 70. Oxford University Press UK, 2020, 317-19. 8 4. Drescher, K. D., J. A. Nieuwsma and P. J. Swales. "Morality and moral injury: Insights from 9 theology and health science." Reflective Practice: Formation and Supervision in Ministry 33 10 (2013): 50-61. 5. 2022. https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/. 2022. June 24 11 12 6. WHO. Who coronavirus (covid-19) dashboard. World Health Organization, 2022, 13 7. Liang, S. T., L. T. Liang and J. M. Rosen. Covid-19: A comparison to the 1918 influenza and how 14 we can defeat it. 97. The Fellowship of Postgraduate Medicine, 2021, 273-74. 15 8. Ebrahimi, A., S. Ebrahimi and S. A. Esfahani. "How covid-19 pandemic can lead to promotion of 16 remote medical education and democratization of education?" Journal of Advances in Medical 17 Education & Professionalism 8 (2020): 144. Entress, R. M., J. Tyler and A. A. Sadiq. "Managing mass fatalities during covid 19: Lessons for 18 9. 19 promoting community resilience during global pandemics." Public Administration Review 80 20 (2020): 856-61. Čartolovni, A., M. Stolt, P. A. Scott and R. Suhonen. "Moral injury in healthcare professionals: A 21 10. 22 scoping review and discussion." Nursing ethics 28 (2021): 590-602. 23 11. Greenberg, N., M. Docherty, S. Gnanapragasam and S. Wessely. "Managing mental health 24 challenges faced by healthcare workers during covid-19 pandemic." bmj 368 (2020): 25 Stuijfzand, S., C. Deforges, V. Sandoz, C.-T. Sajin, C. Jaques, J. Elmers and A. Horsch. 12. 26 "Psychological impact of an epidemic/pandemic on the mental health of healthcare professionals: 27 A rapid review." BMC public health 20 (2020): 1-18. 28 13. Lovibond, S. H. and P. F. Lovibond. *Manual for the depression anxiety stress scales*, Psychology 29 Foundation of Australia, 1996. 30 Alfonsson, S., E. Wallin and P. Maathz. "Factor structure and validity of the depression, anxiety 14. 31 and stress scale 21 in swedish translation." Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing 32 24 (2017): 154-62. 33 15. Sahebi, A., M. J. Asghari and R. S. Salari. "Validation of depression anxiety and stress scale (dass-21) for an iranian population." (2005): 34 35 Cronbach, L. J. "Coefficient alpha and the internal structure of tests." psychometrika 16 (1951): 16. 36 297-334. Mantri, S., J. M. Lawson, Z. Wang and H. G. Koenig. "Identifying moral injury in healthcare 37 17. 38 professionals: The moral injury symptom scale-hp." Journal of Religion and Health 59 (2020): 39 2323-40. 40 18. Yaghmaie, F. "Content validity and its estimation." Journal of medical education 3 (2003): Rossi, R., V. Socci, F. Pacitti, G. Di Lorenzo, A. Di Marco, A. Siracusano and A. Rossi. "Mental 41 19. 42 health outcomes among frontline and second-line health care workers during the coronavirus 43 disease 2019 (covid-19) pandemic in italy." JAMA network open 3 (2020): e2010185-e85. 44 20. Liu, Z., B. Han, R. Jiang, Y. Huang, C. Ma, J. Wen, T. Zhang, Y. Wang, H. Chen and Y. Ma. 45 "Mental health status of doctors and nurses during covid-19 epidemic in china." Available at 46 SSRN 3551329 (2020):

- 1 21. Sharma, G., P. Sharma, B. Mohan, A. Agarwal, S. Lama, M. Jat, K. Biju, P. Upadhyay, A. Gupta 2 and S. Mohanty. "Prevalence of psychological outcomes and its associated factors in healthcare 3 personnel working during covid-19 outbreak in india." Indian Journal of Psychiatry 64 (2022): 4 151-58. 5 22. Gershon, R., L. A. Dernehl, E. Nwankwo, O. Zhi and K. Oureshi. "Experiences and psychosocial 6 impact of west africa ebola deployment on us health care volunteers." PLoS currents 8 (2016): 7 23. Lenzo, V., M. C. Quattropani, A. Sardella, G. Martino and G. A. Bonanno. "Depression, anxiety, 8 and stress among healthcare workers during the covid-19 outbreak and relationships with 9 expressive flexibility and context sensitivity." Frontiers in Psychology 12 (2021): 348. 10 24. Wang, Z., K. G. Harold, Y. Tong, J. Wen, M. Sui, H. Liu, F. A. Zaben and G. Liu. "Moral injury in chinese health professionals during the covid-19 pandemic." Psychological Trauma: Theory, 11 12 Research, Practice, and Policy 14 (2022): 250. 13 25. Elbay, R. Y., A. Kurtulmuş, S. Arpacioğlu and E. Karadere. "Depression, anxiety, stress levels of 14 physicians and associated factors in covid-19 pandemics." Psychiatry Research 290 (2020): 15 113130. Özdin, S. and S. Bayrak Özdin. "Levels and predictors of anxiety, depression and health anxiety 16 26. 17 during covid-19 pandemic in turkish society: The importance of gender." International Journal of 18 Social Psychiatry 66 (2020): 504-11. Albert, P. R. "Why is depression more prevalent in women?" Journal of psychiatry & 19 27. 20 neuroscience: JPN 40 (2015): 219. McLean, C. P., A. Asnaani, B. T. Litz and S. G. Hofmann. "Gender differences in anxiety 21 28. 22 disorders: Prevalence, course of illness, comorbidity and burden of illness." Journal of 23 psychiatric research 45 (2011): 1027-35. 24 29. Costa, C., G. Briguglio, S. Mondello, M. Teodoro, M. Pollicino, A. Canalella, F. Verduci, S. 25 Italia and C. Fenga. "Perceived stress in a gender perspective: A survey in a population of 26 unemployed subjects of southern italy." Frontiers in Public Health 9 (2021): 640454. 27 30. Kessler, R. C. and M. Essex. "Marital status and depression: The importance of coping 28 resources." Social forces 61 (1982): 484-507. 29 Yan, X. Y., S. Huang, C.-O. Huang, W.-H. Wu and Y. Oin. "Marital status and risk for late life 31. 30 depression: A meta-analysis of the published literature." Journal of International Medical 31 Research 39 (2011): 1142-54. Buckman, J. E., R. Saunders, J. Stott, L.-L. Arundell, C. O'Driscoll, M. R. Davies, T. C. Eley, S. 32 32. 33 D. Hollon, T. Kendrick and G. Ambler. "Role of age, gender and marital status in prognosis for 34 adults with depression: An individual patient data meta-analysis." Epidemiology and psychiatric 35 sciences 30 (2021): 36 33. Xiao, X., X. Zhu, S. Fu, Y. Hu, X. Li and J. Xiao. "Psychological impact of healthcare workers in 37 china during covid-19 pneumonia epidemic: A multi-center cross-sectional survey investigation." 38 Journal of affective disorders 274 (2020): 405-10. 39 34. Maciaszek, J., M. Ciulkowicz, B. Misiak, D. Szczesniak, D. Luc, T. Wieczorek, K. Fila-Witecka, 40 P. Gawlowski and J. Rymaszewska. "Mental health of medical and non-medical professionals during the peak of the covid-19 pandemic: A cross-sectional nationwide study." Journal of 41 42 Clinical Medicine 9 (2020): 2527. 43 35. Menzies, R. E., L. Sharpe and I. Dar Nimrod. "The relationship between death anxiety and
- 44 severity of mental illnesses." *British Journal of Clinical Psychology* 58 (2019): 452-67.

- Amsalem, D., A. Lazarov, J. C. Markowitz, A. Naiman, T. E. Smith, L. B. Dixon and Y. Neria.
 "Psychiatric symptoms and moral injury among us healthcare workers in the covid-19 era." *BMC psychiatry* 21 (2021): 1-8.
- 37. Neria, Y. and A. Pickover. "Commentary on the special issue on moral injury: Advances, gaps in
 literature, and future directions." *Journal of Traumatic Stress* 32 (2019): 459-64.
- 6 38. Currier, J. M., J. M. Holland and J. Malott. "Moral injury, meaning making, and mental health in returning veterans." *Journal of clinical psychology* 71 (2015): 229-40.
- 8 39. Frankfurt, S. and P. Frazier. "A review of research on moral injury in combat veterans." *Military* 9 *psychology* 28 (2016): 318-30.
- 40. Bryan, C. J., A. O. Bryan, M. D. Anestis, J. C. Anestis, B. A. Green, N. Etienne, C. E. Morrow
 and B. Ray-Sannerud. "Measuring moral injury: Psychometric properties of the moral injury
 events scale in two military samples." *Assessment* 23 (2016): 557-70.
- 41. Lancaster, S. L. and J. I. Harris. "Measures of morally injurious experiences: A quantitative comparison." *Psychiatry research* 264 (2018): 15-19.
- 42. Benfante, A., M. Di Tella, A. Romeo and L. Castelli. "Traumatic stress in healthcare workers during covid-19 pandemic: A review of the immediate impact." *Frontiers in Psychology* 11 (2020): 2816.
- 43. Ahmed, W., P. A. Bath, L. Sbaffi and G. Demartini. "Moral panic through the lens of twitter: An analysis of infectious disease outbreaks." Presented at Proceedings of the 9th International
 20 Conference on Social Media and Society, 2018. 217-21.
- 44. Lesley, M. "Psychoanalytic perspectives on moral injury in nurses on the frontlines of the covid19 pandemic." *Journal of the American Psychiatric Nurses Association* 27 (2021): 72-76.
- 45. Borges, L. M., S. M. Barnes, J. K. Farnsworth, N. H. Bahraini and L. A. Brenner. "A commentary on moral injury among health care providers during the covid-19 pandemic." *Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice, and Policy* 12 (2020): \$138.
- 46. Williams, R. D., J. A. Brundage and E. B. Williams. "Moral injury in times of covid-19." *Journal of health service psychology* 46 (2020): 65-69.
- 47. Roycroft, M., D. Wilkes, S. Pattani, S. Fleming and A. Olsson-Brown. *Limiting moral injury in healthcare professionals during the covid-19 pandemic*. 70. Oxford University Press UK, 2020, 312-14.
- 48. Clement, S., O. Schauman, T. Graham, F. Maggioni, S. Evans-Lacko, N. Bezborodovs, C.
 Morgan, N. Rüsch, J. S. Brown and G. Thornicroft. "What is the impact of mental health-related
 stigma on help-seeking? A systematic review of quantitative and qualitative studies." *Psychological medicine* 45 (2015): 11-27.
- 49. Center, C., M. Davis, T. Detre, D. E. Ford, W. Hansbrough, H. Hendin, J. Laszlo, D. A. Litts, J.
 Mann and P. A. Mansky. "Confronting depression and suicide in physicians: A consensus
 statement." *Jama* 289 (2003): 3161-66.
- 50. Landrigan, C. P., J. M. Rothschild, J. W. Cronin, R. Kaushal, E. Burdick, J. T. Katz, C. M. Lilly,
 P. H. Stone, S. W. Lockley and D. W. Bates. "Effect of reducing interns' work hours on serious
 medical errors in intensive care units." *New England Journal of Medicine* 351 (2004): 1838-48.
- 41 51. Epstein, E. G. and A. B. Hamric. "Moral distress, moral residue, and the crescendo effect." *The Journal of clinical ethics* 20 (2009): 330-42.
- 43 52. Hempel, S., S. L. Taylor, N. J. Marshall, I. M. Miake-Lye, J. M. Beroes, R. Shanman, M. R.
 44 Solloway and P. G. Shekelle. "Evidence map of mindfulness." (2015):

7. Tables

Table 1. Occupational and demographic characteristics of participants (n=333)			
Variable	N (%)		
Sex, n (%)			
Female	195 (58.6)		
Male	138 (41.4)		
Age group			
21-25 years old	106 (31.8)		
25-30 years old	147 (44.1)		
>30 years old	80 (24.0)		
Marital status			
Single	205 (61.6)		
Married	128 (38.4)		
Professional category			
Medical Interns	125 (37.5)		
Medical Residents	101 (30.3)		
Nurses	107 (32.1)		
Years in profession			
1-3 year	200 (60.1)		
4-7 year	94 (28.2)		
>7 year	39 (11.7)		

Table 2. The severity of depression, anxiety, and stress symptoms in participants						
Severity	Males (N=138)	Females (N=195)	Overall (N=333)			
Depression						
Normal	87 (63%)	117 (60%)	204 (61.3%)			
Mild	16 (11.6%)	22 (11.3%)	38 (11.4%)			
Moderate	19 (13.6%)	36 (18.5%)	55 (16.5%)			
Severe	7 (5.1%)	17 (8.7%)	24 (7.2%)			
Extremely Severe	9 (6.5%)	3 (1.5%)	12 (3.6%)			
Anxiety						
Normal	92 (66.7%)	135 (69.2%)	227 (68.2%)			
Mild	23 (16.7%)	15 (7.7%)	38 (11.4%)			
Moderate	15 (10.9%)	23 (11.8%)	38 (11.4%)			
Severe	4 (2.9%)	14 (7.2%)	18 (5.4%)			
Extremely Severe	4 (2.9%)	8 (4.1%)	12 (3.6%)			
Stress						
Normal	67 (48.6%)	85 (43.6%)	152 (45.6%)			
Mild	19 (13.8%)	32 (16.4%)	51 (15.3%)			
Moderate	31 (22.5%)	39 (20%)	70 (21.0%)			
Severe	13 (9.4%)	27 (13.8%)	40 (12.0%)			
Extremely Severe	8 (5.8%)	12 (6.2%)	20 (6.0%)			

1	1)	
4	2		

Table 3. The relationship between demographic variables and depression, anxiety, and stress							
Variable		Depression (mean ± SD)	P-value	Anxiety (mean ± SD)	P-value	Stress (mean ± SD)	P-value
Gender	Male	6.61 ± 5.33	*0.063	4.47 ± 4.11	*0.001	8.35 ± 5.06	*0.001
	Female	7.72 ± 5.38		6.21 ± 4.76		10.35 ± 4.97	
Marital	Single	7.89 ± 5.54	*0.007	5.51 ± 4.65	*0.902	9.81 ± 5.09	*0.203
Status	Married	6.26 ± 4.97		5.45 ± 4.47		9.07 ± 5.11	
Type of	Nurses	8.81 ± 5.79	**0.001	7.64 ± 5.51	**0.001	10.81 ± 5.18	**0.006
Profession	Medical Interns	6.80 ± 5.34		4.32 ± 3.56		9.00 ± 5.12	
	Medical Residents	6.20 ± 4.60		4.66 ± 3.77		8.83 ± 4.78	
Adequacy	Yes	6.54 ± 5.02	*0.004	4.97 ± 4.27	*0.014	8.66 ± 4.86	*0.0001
of Personal Protective Equipment	No	8.28 ± 5.71		6.21 ± 4.89		10.74 ± 5.21	
Work	Yes	7.69 ± 5.48	*0.047	5.74 ± 4.73	*0.169	9.98 ± 5.10	*0.028
with the Death of COVID-19 Patients	No	6.46 ± 5.11		5.01 ± 4.24		8.68 ± 5.02	

4 **One-Way ANOVA

Table 4. Moral injury outcome				
Moral injury outcomes	n (%) or Mean (SD)			
Score obtained in MISS-HP	41.51 (12.96)			
Clinically significant moral injury	165 (49.6)			
Non-clinically significant moral injury	168 (50.4)			

Table 5. The relationship between demographic variables and moral injury			
Variable		Moral Injury (mean ± SD)	P-value
Gender	Male	39.51 ± 11.81	*0.018
	Female	42.92 ± 13.56	
Marital Status	Single	42.63 ± 12.86	*0.044
	Married	39.70 ± 12.95	
Type of Profession	Nurses	44.01 ± 13.33	**0.007
	Medical Interns	41.88 ± 11.86	
	Medical Residents	38.39 ± 13.34	
Adequacy of Personal Protective Equipment	Yes	39.26 ± 12.75	*0.0001
	No	44.64 ± 12.63	
Work Experience with the Death of COVID-19 Patients	Yes	42.37 ± 13.18	*0.095
	No	39.87 ± 12.42	

5 *Independent t-test

6 **One-Way ANOVA

Table 6. Correlation of depression, anxiety symptoms and stress with moral injury				
Disorder	Correlation with moral injury	*P value		
Depression	0.471	0.001		
Anxiety	0.430	0.001		
Stress	0.464	0.001		
* Pearson correlation coefficient test				

1

2 3

4 5

8. Supplementary

8.1.Suppl 1. Demographic Information Questionnaire

- 6 1. Age:
- 7 2. Gender:
- 8 3. Marital Status:
- 9 4. Type of profession:
 □Nurse □ Medical Intern □ Medical Resident
- 10 5. Duration of service as a health care professional:
- 11 6. Are you provided with sufficient personal protective equipment at workplace?
- 12 7. Have you ever worked in the wards where patients with COVID-19 were hospitalized?
- 13 8. How long have you been working in the wards where patients with COVID-19 were14 hospitalized?
- 15 9. Have you or your relatives been infected with COVID-19?
- 16 10. If you or your relatives have ever been infected or been hospitalized because of COVID-19?
- 17 11. Have you ever had a close work experience with the COVID-19 patients?
- 18
- 19 8.2. Suppl 2. DASS-21
- 20 8.3. Suppl 3. DASS Severity Ratings
- 21 8.4. Suppl 4. DASS-21 Domain Score
- 22 **8.5. Suppl 5. MISS** HF
- 23 8.6. Suppl 6. ROC for MISS-HP-Persian

