Title page

Title

1

2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

13

Impact of intensive care-related factors on outcome in stroke patients, results from the population-based Brest Stroke Registry: an observational study.

Short title

Impact of intensive care-related factors on outcome in stroke patients.

Authors names and affiliations

- Philippe Ariès^{1,2}, Pierre Bailly³, Thibaut Baudic⁴, Fanny Le Garrec⁵, Maëlys Consigny⁶, Erwan L'Her³, Serge Timsit⁷, Olivier Huet^{1*} on behalf of the Brest Stroke Registry collaborators[^]
- 14 1 Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Brest University Hospital, Brest Cedex, France.
- 16 2 Intensive Care Unit, Military Teaching Hospital Clermont Tonnerre, Brest, France.
- 17 3 Médecine Intensive et Réanimation, CHRU de La Cavale Blanche, Brest, France.
- 18 4 Centre de traitement des brulés, Hôpital d'Instruction des Armées Percy, Clamart, France.
- 19 5 Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Hôpital Fondation Adolphe de
- 20 Rothschild. Paris, France.
- 21 6 Centre d'Investigation Clinique CIC INSERM 1412, CHRU Brest La Cavale Blanche, Brest,
- 22 France.

252627

29

7 Brest University Hospital, Inserm, EFS, UMR 1078, GGB, Neurology and Stroke unit Department, CHRU de Brest, Inserm 1078, France.

*Corresponding author

28 E-mail: Olivier.huet9@orange.fr (OH)

30 ^Membership of the Brest Stroke Registry is provided in the Acknowledgments.

Conclusion

- Our study showed the very poor prognosis of acute stroke patients admitted to ICU. These
- 57 results also suggest that the clinical evolution of these patients during ICU hospitalization may
- provide important information for prognostication.

61 62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

Background Prognosis of stroke patients admitted into the intensive care unit (ICU) remains very poor despite stroke unit's care and recent advances in acute reperfusion treatment for ischemic strokes (1). The reported short-term mortality rate for stroke patients requiring ICU management ranged from 40 to 70% (2-6) and the likelihood of survival without disabilities was very low in a recent study, since only a fifth of patients had a good neurological outcome at 6 months after stroke (2). For these reasons, a time-limited-trial (TLT) of intensive care treatment-i.e., agreement between physicians and family to use certain medical therapies over a defined period (7)- may be proposed (8). Yet, despite TLT, decision of invasive therapy and treatment of complications might be considered as futile by the care-team and could lead to a self-fulfilling prophecy in patients who could have, if treated aggressively, a reasonable outcome (9). Therefore, ICU management plays a key role in the prognosis of critically ill stroke patients but little is known about how intensive care-related factors may impact prognosis contrary to pre-hospital anamnesis (8,10-12). The need for mechanical ventilation is associated with poor outcomes but data concerning other life-supporting interventions such as the need of vasopressor support or renal replacement therapy are scarce (5). Moreover, the impact of stroke-related complications such as intracranial hypertension, status epilepticus and neurosurgery on ICU stroke patients' outcomes remains poorly investigated. In addition, the negative effect of systemic secondary brain insults (SBIs): hypoxaemia, hypercapnia, hypotension, fever, anemia, hyperglycemia and abnormal blood sodium levels,

on cerebral blood flow, as well as oxygen and glucose supply have been less well characterized

in critically ill stroke patients contrary to other acute brain injuries such as traumatic brain injury (13,14).

Thus, the primary objective of this study was to determine critical care-related factors associated with ICU mortality in patients enrolled in the French Brest Stroke Registry (BSR), a stroke population-based registry set up in 2008 in western France, an area that includes about 336 000 individuals (15–17). We also aimed to determine factors associated with functional outcome at hospital discharge.

Methods

Study population

Our study concerned all strokes from the BSR which occurred between January 2008 and December 2017. All cases of stroke in patients aged above 15 years occurring in a defined area known as the "Pays de Brest", in Brittany, western France, were recorded in the BSR. The total population (above 15 years) of this area was 365 564 at the 2009 census. Data collection was performed prospectively and retrospectively ("hot and cold pursuit"). Multiple information sources were used: emergency wards, brain imaging records, neurologists and general practitioners, death certificates and hospital database. The World Health Organization (WHO) criteria (18) were used to make the clinical diagnosis of stroke, confirmed by brain CT or MRI. Stroke was defined as a new focal neurological deficit with symptoms and signs persisting for more than 24 hours (patients who died within the first 24 hours were also included), or a focal neurological deficit lasting at least one hour, or resolving in less than one hour but presenting with brain imaging (CT or MRI) suggestive of stroke.

Criteria of inclusion

All patients admitted in the ICU with a diagnosis of ischemic or hemorrhagic stroke and registered in the BSR with at least one organ failure among circulatory failure, respiratory failure and neurological failure were included.

Acute circulatory failure was defined as a persistent hypotension (mean arterial pressure (MAP) below 65 mmHg) requiring vasopressors or inotropes associated or not with hyperlactatemia (> 2mmol/l), respiratory failure as the need for high flow nasal cannula oxygen therapy (HFNC) and/or non-invasive ventilation (NIV) and/or IMV for respiratory

reasons, and neurological failure as coma i.e., a Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) of 7 or less.

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

7

Patients could be hospitalized in one of the three ICUs (one neurosurgical, two general ICUs) of the corresponding geographic area of the BSR. We excluded patients without hospitalization reports or in case of patient's refusal to participate. **Ethics & specific authorizations** BSR is accredited by the French National Agency of Health surveillance (Santé Publique France) and complies with the French regulation on patient's consent, ethics, and data confidentiality. Specific authorizations were obtained from the national "Comité consultatif sur le traitement de l'information en matière de recherche" under the reference CCTIRS MG/CP°07.693 and from the "Commission Nationale Informatique et Liberté" (CNIL) under the agreement N° 908085. The local ethic committee also approved the registry. The study protocol was submitted to the Institutional Review Board of the Brest University Hospital (B2020CE.17) and was registered on ClinicalTrials.gov public website (NCT04434287). Patients or relatives were individually informed by postal mail and had the opportunity to decline participation, but no written informed consent was required, in line with the directives of the Ethics Committee. **Data collection** Data prospectively collected in the BSR included risk factors of stroke and the initial clinical examination (modified Rankin score (mRS) before stroke, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) and GCS at hospital admission). Specific variables according to the type of stroke were also collected, i.e., for ischemic strokes: the trial of ORG 10172 in acute stroke treatment (TOAST) classification, the Oxfordshire Community Stroke Project (OSCP) classification, radiological findings (stroke localization) and management specificities (thrombolysis, thrombectomy for e.g.); for hemorrhagic strokes: specific etiologies and radiological findings (stroke localization, imaging progression) (19–21).

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

- Data from admission: the Simplified Acute Physiology Score II (SAPS II), the type of

8

organ failure and the presence of aspiration pneumonia was also collected;

Systemic secondary brain insults (SBIs) during the first 24 hours: hypoxemia (oxygen

saturation (SatO2) <92% or partial arterial pressure of oxygen (PaO2) <8 kPa without

oxygen-therapy or mechanical ventilation with FIO2 > 30% for respiratory reason),

hypercapnia (partial pressure of carbon dioxide (PaCO2) > 6 kPa), hypotension (systolic

blood pressure (SBP) below 90 mmHg and/or use of vasopressors and/or inotropes to

maintain SBP above 90 mmHg), hyperglycemia (glucose ≥ 8 mmol/l without insulin

therapy), hyperthermia (temperature ≥ 38° Celsius), anemia (serum hemoglobin <

10g/dl before transfusion), hyponatremia (natremia <135 mmol/l), hypernatremia

(natremia >155 mmol/l). The physiologic values were measured every 4 hours in the

ICU. Blood samples were usually performed every morning. One deviation during the

24 hours was sufficient to be recorded;

- Stroke-related complications during the ICU stay: intracranial hypertension (ICH)

defined either by intracranial pressure (ICP) above 20 mmHg during more than 30

minutes in case of ICP monitoring, or by an abnormal trans-cranial doppler (TCD)

measures i.e., pulsatility index above 1.4 or diastolic flow velocity under 20 cm.s-1

without hypocapnia, or clinically by unilateral or bilateral mydriasis requiring medical

or surgical intervention (such as sedation, osmotherapy or neurosurgical intervention),

status epilepticus and neurosurgery (i.e., craniectomy, hematoma evacuation,

external ventricular drain);

- Organ support during the ICU stay: need for IMV, and/or for NIV and/or for HFNC

oxygen; the use of vasopressor support (i.e., noradrenalin, adrenalin or dobutamine)

- Other complications during the ICU stay: Ventilator Acquired Pneumonia (VAP) were defined as a pneumonia occurring >48 hours after endotracheal intubation with clinical and radiological signs associated with bacterial documentation. Early-onset and late-onset VAP were respectively defined as VAP occurring between day 2 and 6 and after day 7 of ICU admission (22). Radiological proven deep venous thrombosis or pulmonary embolism, duration of invasive ventilation, ICU length of stay, withholding and withdrawal of life-sustaining treatments (LST) were also collected;
- Specific monitoring: invasive intracranial pressure (ICP) monitoring, TCD, and cardiac
 output (CO) monitoring (invasive or not monitoring).

Outcomes

We assessed the ICU mortality, the primary outcome, and the functional status (mRS) at hospital discharge. According to previous studies, we defined a good hospital discharge functional outcome as a mRS score of 0 to 3 (0, no disability; 1, no significant disability; 2, slight disability; and 3,moderate disability) (4,12) and bad outcome (mRS >3). In order to analyze temporal trends in these outcomes, we divided the study period into two

periods: 2008-2013 and 2014-2017 corresponding to the arrival of endovascular mechanical

reperfusion technique in Brest University Hospital, which may have an impact on outcomes.

Statistical Analysis

Characteristics of patients were described as frequencies and percentages for categorical variables, as means and standard deviations (SD) or medians and interquartile ranges (IQR) for continuous variables. Categorical variables were analyzed by chi-square test or Fisher's

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209210211

Results **Patients enrolled** Out of 8910 patients included in the Brest Stroke Registry during the study period, 338 patients were hospitalized in ICU and 215 were finally enrolled (Figure 1). Fig.1 Flowchart ICU: Intensive Care Unit One hundred and twenty-eight patients were enrolled from 2008 to 2013 and 87 from 2014 to 2017. Ischemic stroke was diagnosed in 109/215 (50.7 %) patients and ICH occurred in 106/215 (49.3 %) patients. Patients were predominantly males (61.4%), median age was 66.0 (57.0-76.0) years, median SAPS II score was 55.0 (43.0-67.0) and median NIHSS was 20.0 (9.0-40.0). The most frequent organ failure at admission was coma (41.2%), followed by acute circulatory failure (41%) and respiratory failure (27.4%). One hundred and twelve (52%) patients died in ICU and median mRS at hospital discharge was 4.0 (3.0-6.0). Only 20 patients (11.2%) had a good functional outcome (mRS≤3) (Figure 2). Fig.2 Modified Rankin Scale at Hospital discharge mRS: modified Rankin Scale Univariate and multivariable analysis for ICU mortality In univariate analysis, admission factors associated with ICU-mortality were age, SAPS II, NIHSS, GCS score, TOAST and OCSP classifications (Table 1).

Table 1 Admission characteristics and their univariate association with ICU mortality

Alive N=103
Sex—no.(%) 0.44 Female 37 (35.9%) 46 (41.1%) Male 66 (64.1%) 66 (58.9%) Age, years 63.0 (55.0;75.0) 68.0 (61.0;76.5) 0.02 Diabetes mellitus 9 (8.7%) 16 (14.3%) 0.20 Hypertension 53 (51.5%) 52 (46.4%) 0.46 SAPS II 48.5 (35.0;62.0) 59.5 (52.0;71.0) <0.0001 Period of admission 1.00 2008-2013 12 (11.2%) 95 (88.8%) 2014-2017 8 (11.3%) 63 (88.7%) 57 (50.9%) Stroke type 0.95 58.86mic 0.95 Ischemic 52 (50.5%) 57 (50.9%) 57 (50.9%) Hemorrhagic 51 (49.5%) 55 (49.1%) 56 (49.1%) MRS before stroke 0.0 (0.0;1.0) 0.0 (0.0;1.0) 0.0 (0.0;1.0) 0.01 NIHSS 15.0 (6.0;39.0) 25.0 (12.0;40.0) 0.02 Ischemic stroke characteristics TOAST classification 0.04 Large Artery Atherosclerosis* 5 (9.6%) 3 (5.3%) Cardio embolism* 15 (28.8%) 12 (21.1%)
Female 37 (35.9%) 46 (41.1%) Male 66 (64.1%) 66 (58.9%) Age, years 63.0 (55.0;75.0) 68.0 (61.0;76.5) 0.02 Diabetes mellitus 9 (8.7%) 16 (14.3%) 0.20 Hypertension 53 (51.5%) 52 (46.4%) 0.46 SAPS II 48.5 (35.0;62.0) 59.5 (52.0;71.0) <0.0001 Period of admission 1.00 2008-2013 12 (11.2%) 95 (88.8%) 2014-2017 8 (11.3%) 63 (88.7%) 5 Stroke type 0.95 (88.8%) 0.95 Ischemic 52 (50.5%) 57 (50.9%) 5 Hemorrhagic 51 (49.5%) 55 (49.1%) 55 (49.1%) MRS before stroke 0.0 (0.0;1.0) 0.0 (0.0;1.0) 0.98 GCS score at admission 11.0 (6.0;15.0) 8.0 (4.0;14.0) 0.01 NIHSS 15.0 (6.0;39.0) 25.0 (12.0;40.0) 0.02 Ischemic stroke characteristics 0.04 0.04 0.04 Large Artery Atherosclerosis° 5 (9.6%) 3 (5.3%) 0.04 Large Artery Atherosclerosis° 5 (9.6%) 3 (5.3
Male 66 (64.1%) 66 (58.9%) Age, years 63.0 (55.0;75.0) 68.0 (61.0;76.5) 0.02 Diabetes mellitus 9 (8.7%) 16 (14.3%) 0.20 Hypertension 53 (51.5%) 52 (46.4%) 0.46 SAPS II 48.5 (35.0;62.0) 59.5 (52.0;71.0) <0.0001
Age, years 63.0 (55.0;75.0) 68.0 (61.0;76.5) 0.02 Diabetes mellitus 9 (8.7%) 16 (14.3%) 0.20 Hypertension 53 (51.5%) 52 (46.4%) 0.46 SAPS II 48.5 (35.0;62.0) 59.5 (52.0;71.0) <0.0001
Diabetes mellitus 9 (8.7%) 16 (14.3%) 0.20 Hypertension 53 (51.5%) 52 (46.4%) 0.46 SAPS II 48.5 (35.0;62.0) 59.5 (52.0;71.0) <0.0001
Hypertension 53 (51.5%) 52 (46.4%) 0.46 SAPS II 48.5 (35.0;62.0) 59.5 (52.0;71.0) <0.0001
SAPS II 48.5 (35.0;62.0) 59.5 (52.0;71.0) <0.00001
Period of admission 2008-2013 2014-2017 8 (11.3%) 51 (88.8%) 2014-2017 8 (11.3%) 52 (50.5%) 57 (50.9%) Hemorrhagic 51 (49.5%) 55 (49.1%) mRS before stroke 60.0 (0.0;1.0) NIHSS 60.0 (0.0;1.0) 10.0 (0.0;1.0)
2008-2013 12 (11.2%) 95 (88.8%) 2014-2017 8 (11.3%) 63 (88.7%) Stroke type 0.95 Ischemic 52 (50.5%) 57 (50.9%) Hemorrhagic 51 (49.5%) 55 (49.1%) mRS before stroke 0.0 (0.0;1.0) 0.0 (0.0;1.0) 0.98 GCS score at admission 11.0 (6.0;15.0) 8.0 (4.0;14.0) 0.01 NIHSS 15.0 (6.0;39.0) 25.0 (12.0;40.0) 0.02 Ischemic stroke characteristics TOAST classification 0.04 Large Artery Atherosclerosis ^a 5 (9.6%) 3 (5.3%) Cardio embolism ^b 15 (28.8%) 12 (21.1%) Stroke Of Other Determined Etiology 9 (17.3%) 5 (8.8%) Undetermined Etiology (Negative Assessment) 7 (13.5%) 3 (5.3%) Undetermined Etiology (Incomplete Assessment) 16 (30.8%) 34 (59.6%) OCSP classification 0.007 Total Anterior Circulation Infarction 6 (11.8%) 21 (38.2%) Partial Anterior Circulation Infarction 23 (45.1%) 18 (32.7%) Lacunar Infarction 2 (3.9%) 0 (0.0%)
2014-2017 8 (11.3%) 63 (88.7%) Stroke type 0.95 Ischemic 52 (50.5%) 57 (50.9%) Hemorrhagic 51 (49.5%) 55 (49.1%) mRS before stroke 0.0 (0.0;1.0) 0.0 (0.0;1.0) 0.98 GCS score at admission 11.0 (6.0;15.0) 8.0 (4.0;14.0) 0.01 NIHSS 15.0 (6.0;39.0) 25.0 (12.0;40.0) 0.02 Ischemic stroke characteristics TOAST classification 0.04 Large Artery Atherosclerosis ^a 5 (9.6%) 3 (5.3%) 0.04 Cardio embolism ^b 15 (28.8%) 12 (21.1%) 0.04 Stroke Of Other Determined Etiology 9 (17.3%) 5 (8.8%) 0.00 Undetermined Etiology (Negative Assessment) 7 (13.5%) 3 (5.3%) 0.00 OCSP classification 0.007 0.007 0.007 Total Anterior Circulation Infarction 6 (11.8%) 21 (38.2%) 0.007 Partial Anterior Circulation Infarction 23 (45.1%) 18 (32.7%) 18 (32.7%) Lacunar Infarction 2 (3.9%) 0 (0.0%) 0.00%)
Stroke type 0.95 Ischemic 52 (50.5%) 57 (50.9%) Hemorrhagic 51 (49.5%) 55 (49.1%) mRS before stroke 0.0 (0.0;1.0) 0.0 (0.0;1.0) 0.98 GCS score at admission 11.0 (6.0;15.0) 8.0 (4.0;14.0) 0.01 NIHSS 15.0 (6.0;39.0) 25.0 (12.0;40.0) 0.02 Ischemic stroke characteristics TOAST classification 0.04 Large Artery Atherosclerosisa 5 (9.6%) 3 (5.3%) Cardio embolismb 15 (28.8%) 12 (21.1%) Stroke Of Other Determined Etiology 9 (17.3%) 5 (8.8%) Undetermined Etiology (Negative Assessment) 7 (13.5%) 3 (5.3%) Undetermined Etiology (Incomplete Assessment) 16 (30.8%) 34 (59.6%) OCSP classification 0.007 Total Anterior Circulation Infarction 6 (11.8%) 21 (38.2%) Partial Anterior Circulation Infarction 23 (45.1%) 18 (32.7%) Lacunar Infarction 2 (3.9%) 0 (0.0%)
Ischemic 52 (50.5%) 57 (50.9%) Hemorrhagic 51 (49.5%) 55 (49.1%) mRS before stroke 0.0 (0.0;1.0) 0.0 (0.0;1.0) 0.98 GCS score at admission 11.0 (6.0;15.0) 8.0 (4.0;14.0) 0.01 NIHSS 15.0 (6.0;39.0) 25.0 (12.0;40.0) 0.02 Ischemic stroke characteristics TOAST classification 0.04 Large Artery Atherosclerosis ^a 5 (9.6%) 3 (5.3%) Cardio embolism ^b 15 (28.8%) 12 (21.1%) Stroke Of Other Determined Etiology 9 (17.3%) 5 (8.8%) Undetermined Etiology (Negative Assessment) 7 (13.5%) 3 (5.3%) Undetermined Etiology (Incomplete Assessment) 16 (30.8%) 34 (59.6%) OCSP classification 0.007 Total Anterior Circulation Infarction 6 (11.8%) 21 (38.2%) Partial Anterior Circulation Infarction 23 (45.1%) 18 (32.7%) Lacunar Infarction 2 (3.9%) 0 (0.0%)
Hemorrhagic 51 (49.5%) 55 (49.1%) mRS before stroke 0.0 (0.0;1.0) 0.0 (0.0;1.0) 0.98 GCS score at admission 11.0 (6.0;15.0) 8.0 (4.0;14.0) 0.01 NIHSS 15.0 (6.0;39.0) 25.0 (12.0;40.0) 0.02 Ischemic stroke characteristics TOAST classification 0.04 Large Artery Atherosclerosisa 5 (9.6%) 3 (5.3%) Cardio embolismb 15 (28.8%) 12 (21.1%) Stroke Of Other Determined Etiology 9 (17.3%) 5 (8.8%) Undetermined Etiology (Negative Assessment) 7 (13.5%) 3 (5.3%) Undetermined Etiology (Incomplete Assessment) 16 (30.8%) 34 (59.6%) OCSP classification 0.007 Total Anterior Circulation Infarction 6 (11.8%) 21 (38.2%) Partial Anterior Circulation Infarction 23 (45.1%) 18 (32.7%) Lacunar Infarction 2 (3.9%) 0 (0.0%)
mRS before stroke 0.0 (0.0;1.0) 0.0 (0.0;1.0) 0.98 GCS score at admission 11.0 (6.0;15.0) 8.0 (4.0;14.0) 0.01 NIHSS 15.0 (6.0;39.0) 25.0 (12.0;40.0) 0.02 Ischemic stroke characteristics TOAST classification 0.04 Large Artery Atherosclerosisa 5 (9.6%) 3 (5.3%) Cardio embolismb 15 (28.8%) 12 (21.1%) Stroke Of Other Determined Etiology 9 (17.3%) 5 (8.8%) Undetermined Etiology (Negative Assessment) 7 (13.5%) 3 (5.3%) Undetermined Etiology (Incomplete Assessment) 16 (30.8%) 34 (59.6%) OCSP classification 0.007 Total Anterior Circulation Infarction 6 (11.8%) 21 (38.2%) Partial Anterior Circulation Infarction 23 (45.1%) 18 (32.7%) Lacunar Infarction 2 (3.9%) 0 (0.0%)
GCS score at admission 11.0 (6.0;15.0) 8.0 (4.0;14.0) 0.01 NIHSS 15.0 (6.0;39.0) 25.0 (12.0;40.0) 0.02 Ischemic stroke characteristics TOAST classification 0.04 Large Artery Atherosclerosisa 5 (9.6%) 3 (5.3%) Cardio embolismb 15 (28.8%) 12 (21.1%) Stroke Of Other Determined Etiology 9 (17.3%) 5 (8.8%) Undetermined Etiology (Negative Assessment) 7 (13.5%) 3 (5.3%) Undetermined Etiology (Incomplete Assessment) 16 (30.8%) 34 (59.6%) OCSP classification 0.007 Total Anterior Circulation Infarction 6 (11.8%) 21 (38.2%) Partial Anterior Circulation Infarction 23 (45.1%) 18 (32.7%) Lacunar Infarction 2 (3.9%) 0 (0.0%)
NIHSS 15.0 (6.0;39.0) 25.0 (12.0;40.0) 0.02 Ischemic stroke characteristics TOAST classification 0.04 Large Artery Atherosclerosisa 5 (9.6%) 3 (5.3%) Cardio embolismb 15 (28.8%) 12 (21.1%) Stroke Of Other Determined Etiology 9 (17.3%) 5 (8.8%) Undetermined Etiology (Negative Assessment) 7 (13.5%) 3 (5.3%) Undetermined Etiology (Incomplete Assessment) 16 (30.8%) 34 (59.6%) OCSP classification 0.007 Total Anterior Circulation Infarction 6 (11.8%) 21 (38.2%) Partial Anterior Circulation Infarction 23 (45.1%) 18 (32.7%) Lacunar Infarction 2 (3.9%) 0 (0.0%)
Ischemic stroke characteristics TOAST classification Large Artery Atherosclerosisa 5 (9.6%) 3 (5.3%) Cardio embolismb 15 (28.8%) Stroke Of Other Determined Etiology 9 (17.3%) Undetermined Etiology (Negative Assessment) Undetermined Etiology (Incomplete Assessment) Undetermined Etiology (Incomplete Assessment) OCSP classification Total Anterior Circulation Infarction Partial Anterior Circulation Infarction 2 (3.9%) Lacunar Infarction 2 (3.9%) 0.004 12 (21.1%) 3 (5.3%) 12 (21.1%) 5 (8.8%) 12 (21.1%) 5 (8.8%) 14 (59.6%) 0.007
TOAST classification Large Artery Atherosclerosis ^a Cardio embolism ^b Stroke Of Other Determined Etiology Undetermined Etiology (Negative Assessment) Undetermined Etiology (Incomplete Assessment) Undetermined Etiology (Incomplete Assessment) Total Anterior Circulation Infarction Partial Anterior Circulation Infarction Lacunar Infarction 2 (3.9%) 0.004 3 (5.3%) 12 (21.1%) 5 (8.8%) 12 (21.1%) 5 (8.8%) 13 (5.3%) 14 (59.6%) 0.007 16 (30.8%) 21 (38.2%) 18 (32.7%) 10 (0.0%)
Large Artery Atherosclerosis ^a 5 (9.6%) 3 (5.3%) Cardio embolism ^b 15 (28.8%) 12 (21.1%) Stroke Of Other Determined Etiology 9 (17.3%) 5 (8.8%) Undetermined Etiology (Negative Assessment) 7 (13.5%) 3 (5.3%) Undetermined Etiology (Incomplete Assessment) 16 (30.8%) 34 (59.6%) OCSP classification 0.007 Total Anterior Circulation Infarction 6 (11.8%) 21 (38.2%) Partial Anterior Circulation Infarction 23 (45.1%) 18 (32.7%) Lacunar Infarction 2 (3.9%) 0 (0.0%)
Cardio embolism ^b 15 (28.8%) 12 (21.1%) Stroke Of Other Determined Etiology 9 (17.3%) 5 (8.8%) Undetermined Etiology (Negative Assessment) 7 (13.5%) 3 (5.3%) Undetermined Etiology (Incomplete Assessment) 16 (30.8%) 34 (59.6%) OCSP classification 0.007 Total Anterior Circulation Infarction 6 (11.8%) 21 (38.2%) Partial Anterior Circulation Infarction 23 (45.1%) 18 (32.7%) Lacunar Infarction 2 (3.9%) 0 (0.0%)
Stroke Of Other Determined Etiology 9 (17.3%) 5 (8.8%) Undetermined Etiology (Negative Assessment) 7 (13.5%) 3 (5.3%) Undetermined Etiology (Incomplete Assessment) 16 (30.8%) 34 (59.6%) OCSP classification 0.007 Total Anterior Circulation Infarction 6 (11.8%) 21 (38.2%) Partial Anterior Circulation Infarction 23 (45.1%) 18 (32.7%) Lacunar Infarction 2 (3.9%) 0 (0.0%)
Undetermined Etiology (Negative Assessment) 7 (13.5%) 3 (5.3%) Undetermined Etiology (Incomplete Assessment) 16 (30.8%) 34 (59.6%) OCSP classification 0.007 Total Anterior Circulation Infarction 6 (11.8%) 21 (38.2%) Partial Anterior Circulation Infarction 23 (45.1%) 18 (32.7%) Lacunar Infarction 2 (3.9%) 0 (0.0%)
Undetermined Etiology (Incomplete Assessment) 16 (30.8%) 34 (59.6%) OCSP classification 0.007 Total Anterior Circulation Infarction 6 (11.8%) 21 (38.2%) Partial Anterior Circulation Infarction 23 (45.1%) 18 (32.7%) Lacunar Infarction 2 (3.9%) 0 (0.0%)
OCSP classification 0.007 Total Anterior Circulation Infarction 6 (11.8%) 21 (38.2%) Partial Anterior Circulation Infarction 23 (45.1%) 18 (32.7%) Lacunar Infarction 2 (3.9%) 0 (0.0%)
Total Anterior Circulation Infarction6 (11.8%)21 (38.2%)Partial Anterior Circulation Infarction23 (45.1%)18 (32.7%)Lacunar Infarction2 (3.9%)0 (0.0%)
Partial Anterior Circulation Infarction 23 (45.1%) 18 (32.7%) Lacunar Infarction 2 (3.9%) 0 (0.0%)
Lacunar Infarction 2 (3.9%) 0 (0.0%)
20 (20 20)
20 (20 20/) 46 (20 40/)
Posterior Circulation Infarction 20 (39.2%) 16 (29.1%)
Thrombolysis 3 (5.8%) 7 (12.3%) 0.32
Thrombectomy 4 (7.7%) 7 (12.3%) 0.43
Hemorrhagic conversion 10 (19.2%) 16 (28.1%) 0.28
Hemorrhagic stroke characteristics
Etiology
Hypertension 26 (51.0%) 20 (36.4%) 0.13
Antiplatelet/anticoagulant 20 (39.2%) 25 (45.5%) 0.51
<i>Vasculitis</i> 5 (9.8%) 2 (3.6%) 0.26
Anticoagulant reversal 3 (5.9%) 6 (10.9%) 0.49
Localization and volume 0.73
Supratentorial 43 (84.3%) 45 (81.8%)
Infratentorial 8 (15.7%) 10 (18.2%)
Associated Cerebral venous thrombosis 2 (3.9%) 1 (1.8%) 0.60
Organ failure and complications at admission
Coma ^c 34 (34.3%) 50 (47.6%) 0.05
Acute Circulatory failure ^d 34 (34.3%) 50 (47.2%) 0.06
Respiratory failure ^e 39 (37.9%) 20 (17.9%) 0.001

Aspiration pneumonia 36 (35.3%) 37 (33.3%) 0.76

GCS: Glasgow Coma Scale; ICH: Intracerebral Hemorrhage; ICU: Intensive Care Unit; mRS: modified Rankin Scale; NIHSS: National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; SAPS: Simplified Acute Physiology score; TOAST: Trial of Org 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment; OCSP: Oxfordshire Community Stroke Project.

Among critical care-related factors, respiratory failure, invasive mechanical ventilation, vasopressor use and the occurrence of intracranial hypertension were associated with ICU mortality. During the ICU stay, hyperglycemia and hypernatremia were the only systemic SBIs associated with mortality. ICU length of stay was significantly longer in the survivor group compared to those who died in ICU (8 days (4.0;20.0) vs 3 days (2.0;6.0), p<0.001) (Table 2).

Table 2 Critical care-related factors and their univariate association with ICU mortality

Variables	ICU mortality			
N (%) or median [Q1; Q3]	Alive	Dead	р	
	<i>N</i> =103	N=112		
Systemic secondary brain insults during	<u> </u>			
the first 24 hours				
Hypoxemia ^a	33 (34.4%)	30 (28.8%)	0.40	
Hypercapnia ^b	29 (30.9%)	40 (40.4%)	0.17	
Hypotension ^c	34 (34.3%)	50 (47.2%)	0.06	
Hyperglycemia ^d	19 (20.4%)	35 (35.0%)	0.02	
Hyperthermia ^e	47 (46.1%)	36 (34.3%)	0.08	
Anemia ^f	26 (27.1%)	18 (16.7%)	0.07	
Hyponatremia ^g	34 (34.3%)	23 (21.7%)	0.04	
Hypernatremia ^h	2 (2.0%)	15 (14.2%)	0.0016	
Complications during ICU stay				
Intracranial hypertension	21 (20.4%)	73 (65.8%)	< 0.0001	
Status epilepticus	23 (22.3%)	7 (6.4%)	0.0008	
Neurosurgery ⁱ	32 (31.1%)	21 (18.9%)	0.04	
Imaging progression ^j	10 (20.8%)	14 (53.8%)	0.004	
VAP	16 (15.7%)	11 (9.9%)	0.21	
Timing of VAP	, ,	. ,	0.82	
Early onset pneumonia	8 (50.0%)	6 (54.5%)		
Late onset pneumonia	8 (50.0%)	5 (45.5%)		
Thrombosis ^k	8 (7.8%)	5 (4.5%)	0.32	
Organ support during ICU stay		· ,		

^a Embolus/thrombosis; ^b High-Risk/Medium-Risk; ^c Glasgow Coma Scale ≤ 8 ; ^dpersistent hypotension requiring vasopressors or inotropes or associated to hyperlactatemia (> 2mmol/I); ^e need of non-invasive, high flow oxygen therapy or invasive mechanical ventilation for respiratory raisons.

< 0.0001

< 0.0001

< 0.0001

Invasive mechanical ventilation Reasons for mechanical ventilation	69 (67.0%)	107 (95.5%)	<0.0001 0.91
Coma	54 (79.4%)	90 (84.1%)	
Respiratory failure	12 (17.6%)	14 (13%)	
Elective procedure	2 (2.9%)	3 (2.8%)	
Oxygen trough NIV or High flow nasal	31 (30.4%)	8 (7.2%)	< 0.0001
cannula			
Use of vasopressors	43 (42.6%)	78 (70.9%)	< 0.0001
Use of antihypertensive treatments	54 (52.9%)	27 (25.2%)	< 0.0001
Use of renal replacement therapy	5 (4.9%)	8 (7.3%)	0.46
Specific monitoring			
Cardiac monitoring	23 (22.3%)	30 (26.8%)	0.45
TCD monitoring ^l	32 (31%)	25 (22%)	0.32
Invasive ICP monitoring	6 (5%)	5 (4%)	0.51
Outcomes			
Duration of invasive ventilation, days	4.0 (0.0;11.0)	3.0 (2.0;6.0)	0.99
GCS at discharge	15.0 (13.0;15.0)	3.0 (3.0;3.0)	< 0.0001
mRS at discharge	4.0 (3.0;6.0)	6.0 (6.0;6.0)	< 0.0001

GCS: Glasgow Coma Scale; ICP: Intracranial Pressure; ICU: Intensive Care Unit; LST: Life- Sustaining Treatment; mRS: modified Rankin Scale; NIV: Non-Invasive Ventilation; TCD: Transcranial doppler; VAP: Ventilation Acquired Pneumonia. a SatO2 <92% or partial arterial pressure of oxygen (PaO2) <8 or FIO2 > 30% kPa; b PaCO2> 6 kPa; c vasopressors or inotropes to maintain MAP>60mmHg; d glucose \geq 8 mmol/l; e temperature \geq 38° Celsius; f serum haemoglobin<10g/dl; g Na+<135 mmol/l; h Na+>155 mmol/l, i craniectomy, hematoma evacuation, external ventricular drain; f increase in hemorrhage volume from baseline CT scan; k proven venous thrombosis or pulmonary embolism; f At least one TCD measure during the ICU stay

8.0 (4.0;20.0)

9 (8.7%)

3 (2.9%)

3.0 (2.0;6.0)

70 (63.1%)

56 (50.5%)

ICU length of stay, days

Withholding LST

Withdrawal LST

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

The multivariate analysis for ICU mortality is presented in **Table 3**. The clinical model showed that age and intracranial hypertension were independent clinical risk factors associated with ICU mortality. The intervention model showed that IMV, use of vasopressors and withholding of LST were independently associated with ICU mortality. In contrast, hyponatremia, status epilepticus and oxygen trough NIV or HFNC were the only variables associated with survival.

Table 3 Multivariable Logistic Regression of clinical factors interventions associated with ICU mortality

(A) Clinical model

278 279

280 281

Variable	Full model		Final model		
	OR [95%CI]	р	OR [95%CI]	р	
Age	1.02 [0.99 ; 1.06]	0.22	1.03 [1.00 ; 1.06]	0.04	
NIHSS score at admission	1.02 [0.98 ; 1.07]	0.34			
GCS score at admission	1.04 [0.89 ; 1.20]	0.65			
Hyperglycemia ^a	2.08 [0.78 ; 5.55]	0.14			
Hyponatremia ^b	0.45 [0.17 ; 1.18]	0.10	0.48 [0.23 ; 0.98]	0.04	
Intracranial hypertension	5.94 [2.48 ; 14.21]	<0.0001	6.89 [3.55 ; 13.38]	<0.0001	
Status epilepticus	0.22 [0.04 ; 1.28]	0.09	0.34 [0.13 ; 0.92]	0.03	
Respiratory failure ^c	0.25 [0.08; 0.81]	0.02			
282 283 (B) Intervention model					

Variable	riable Full model		Final model		
	OR [95%CI]	р	OR [95%CI]	р	
Invasive mechanical ventilation	6.68 [1.79 ; 24.96]	0.005	7.39 [1.93 ; 28.23]	0.004	
Use of vasopressors	3.49 [1.52 ; 8.01]	0.003	3.36 [1.50 ; 7.53]	0.003	
Use of antihypertensive treatments	0.53 [0.23 ; 1.24]	0.16			
Oxygen trough NIV or High flow nasal cannula	0.25 [0.08 ; 0.82]	0.02	0.20 [0.06 ; 0.60]	0.004	
Neurosurgery ^d	0.52 [0.20 ;1.33]	0.17			
Withholding LST	20.01 [7.70 ; 52.03]	<0.0001	19.24 [7.60 ; 48.65]	<0.0001	

²⁸⁵ CI: Confidence Interval; LST: Life- Sustaining Treatment; NIV: Non-Invasive Ventilation; OR: Odds Ratio.

²⁸⁶ ^aglucose≥8 mmol/l; ^b Na+<135 mmol/l; ^c SatO2 <92% or partial arterial pressure of oxygen (PaO2) <8 or FIO2 >

²⁸⁷ 30% kPa; , ^d craniectomy, hematoma evacuation, external ventricular drain

Variance Inflation Factors were small (below 1.5) suggesting very low correlation between regression variables (Table 4).

Table 4 Multicollinearity analysis using Variance Inflation Factor (VIF)

Variables	VIF	
ICU mortality		
Clinical model		
Age	1.04	
Hyponatremia	1.01	
Intracranial hypertension	1.03	
Status epilepticus	1.07	
Intervention model		
Invasive mechanical ventilation	1.19	
Use of vasopressors	1.14	
Oxygen trough NIV or High flow nasal cannula	1.05	
Withholding LST	1.08	

ICU: Intensive Care Uni; LST: Life- Sustaining Treatment; NIV: Non-Invasive Ventilation, VIF: Variance Inflation Factor.

Univariate and multivariable analysis for functional outcome at hospital discharge

In univariate analysis, variables associated with a poor functional outcome at hospital discharge (mRS \geq 4) were age, SAPS II score, ischemic stroke, NIHSS score, coma at admission, the need of IMV and of vasopressors. Occurrence of hyperglycemia and intracranial hypertension were also associated with a poor functional outcome (Table 5).

Table 5 Baseline characteristics, ICU management, outcomes and their univariate association with functional prognosis at hospital discharge

	mRS at hospital		
Variable	≤3	≥ 4	р
	N=20	N=158	
Patient's characteristics			
Sex—no.(%)			0.77
Female	8 (40.0%)	58 (36.7%)	
Male	12 (60.0%)	100 (63.3%)	
Age, years	58.5 (54.0;71.5)	67.0 (58.0;76.0)	0.03
Chronic kidney disease	1 (5.0%)	13 (8.2%)	1.00
Diabetes mellitus	0 (0.0%)	21 (13.3%)	0.14
Chronic respiratory disease	1 (5.0%)	21 (13.3%)	0.48
Hypertension			0.67
Coronaropathy	0 (0.0%)	29 (18.5%)	0.05
Hematological malignancies, active solid tumor	3 (15.0%)	32 (20.3%)	0.77
Active smokers	3 (15.0%)	50 (32.1%)	0.12
SAPS II	32.5 (21.0;52.0)	56.0 (45.0;69.0)	<0.0001
mRS before stroke	0.5 (0.0;1.0)	0.0 (0.0;1.0)	0.53
GCS score at admission	15.0 (10.0;15.0)	9.5 (5.0;15.0)	0.02
Period of admission	(1010,1010)	(212,1212)	1.00
2008-2013	12 (11.2%)	95 (88.8%)	
2014-2017	8 (11.3%)	63 (88.7%)	
Stroke characteristics	- (,	(
Stroke type			0.02
Ischemic	4 (20.0%)	76 (48.1%)	
Hemorrhagic	16 (80.0%)	82 (51.9%)	
NIHSS	10.40 +/- 12.91	23.92 +/- 13.75	0.0004
Organ failure and complications at admission	·	,	
Coma ^a	3 (15.0%)	63 (42.0%)	0.02
Acute Circulatory failure ^b	6 (30.0%)	59 (39.6%)	0.41
Respiratory failure ^c	8 (40.0%)	39 (24.7%)	0.14
Aspiration pneumonia	5 (25.0%)	53 (34.0%)	0.42
Systemic secondary brain insults during the first 24	,	,	
hours			
Hypoxemia ^d	7 (38.9%)	47 (32.0%)	0.56
Hypercapnia ^e	6 (35.3%)	52 (36.6%)	0.91
Hypotension ^f	6 (30.0%)	59 (39.6%)	0.41
Hyperglycemia ^g	1 (5.6%)	42 (29.6%)	0.04
Hyperthermia ^h	8 (40.0%)	64 (42.4%)	0.84
Anemia ⁱ	4 (22.2%)	34 (22.5%)	1.00
Hyponatremia ^j	4 (21.1%)	45 (29.8%)	0.43
Hypernatremia ^k	0 (0.0%)	17 (11.3%)	0.22

GCS: Glasgow Coma Scale; ICU: Intensive Care Unit; LST: Life- Sustaining Treatment; mRS: modified Rankin Scale; NIHSS: National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; NIV: Non-Invasive Ventilation; SAPS: Simplified Acute Physiology score; VAP: Ventilation Acquired Pneumonia.

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320321

322

^a Glasgow Coma Scale ≤ 8, ^bpersistent hypotension requiring vasopressors or inotropes or associated to hyperlactatemia (> 2mmol/l); ^c need of non-invasive, high flow oxygen therapy or invasive mechanical ventilation for respiratory raisons; ^dSatO2 <92% or partial arterial pressure of oxygen (PaO2) <8 or FIO2 > 30% kPa; ^ePaCO2 > 6 kPa; ^fvasopressors or inotropes to maintain MAP>60mmHg; ^gglucose≥8 mmol/l; ^htemperature ≥ 38° Celsius; ⁱserum haemoglobin<10g/dl; ^jNa+<135 mmol/l; ^kNa+>155 mmol/l, ^lcraniectomy, hematoma evacuation, external ventricular drain, mproven venous thrombosis or pulmonary. embolism.

Multivariate analysis of functional outcome at hospital discharge is presented in **Table 6**. The clinical model showed that high NIHSS at admission was associated with poor outcomes contrary to high GCS score and status epilepticus that were associated with a good functional outcome. Invasive mechanical ventilation was the only variable of the intervention model associated with poor functional outcome at hospital discharge.

Table 6 Multivariate Logistic Regression of clinical factors and intervention associated with functional prognosis at hospital discharge.

Variables	OR	95%CI	p
Clinical model			
NIHSS at admission (per point)	1.07	[1.00; 1.14]	0.05
GCS at discharge (per point)	0.53	[0.29 ; 0.96]	0.04
Status epilepticus	0.10	[0.01; 0.83]	0.03
Intervention model			
Invasive mechanical ventilation	6.52	[2.43 ; 17.55]	0.0002

CI: Confidence Interval; GCS: Glasgow Coma Scale; NIHSS: National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; OR: Odds Ratio.

Discussion

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

neurologic failure (23).

Our study showed that the prognosis of the 215 stroke patients admitted to ICU with organ failures was poor with an ICU mortality of 52% and only 11% of the patients surviving had a good functional outcome at hospital discharge. We identified strong associations between critical-care-related factors and outcomes. Indeed, the occurrence of intracranial hypertension and the need for organ support therapy (IMV and vasopressor therapy) were independent predictors of ICU mortality. Among these parameters, only the need for IMV was independently associated with a poor functional outcome at hospital discharge. The strongest independent clinical predictor for ICU death was the occurrence of ICH during the ICU stay. Using a standardized definition, we observed that this complication was frequent as it occurred in 43.4% of patients. This finding is in line with previous reports and suggests that critically ill stroke patients died primarily from stroke-related complications occurring during the ICU stay (2,11,23). However, the use of invasive ICP monitoring was very low as only 5% of patients were monitored. Compared to TBI patients, the monitoring of ICP in stroke patients remains poorly investigated and is not routinely recommended in patients with coma after hemorrhagic or ischemic stroke (24,25). There is evidence that ICP can be elevated even following decompressive hemicraniectomy or after hematoma removing (26,27). In contrast, status epilepticus during the ICU stay, another stroke-related complication, was associated with a better outcome. It has been proposed that this protective effect was related to the reversibility of the initial coma when status epilepticus was the etiology of the

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

However, it remains unclear whether systemic SBIs impact outcomes for ICU stroke patients.

Our results suggest that contrary to TBI or SHA patients (29,32), systemic SBI may not be

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

deleterious. Recently, Fontaine et al. found similar results for critically ill patients with convulsive status epilepticus (CSE) (33). The ongoing multicenter study SPICE that aims to identify the effect of major systemic complications will help to precise the impact of SBIs on outcome (12). In contrast, the association between hyponatremia and ICU survival could be explain by the higher probability to develop hyponatremia during the ICU stay for survivors compared to patients who died. Indeed, the ICU length of stay was significantly longer in the survivor group compared to those who died in ICU. Except for age, all independent predictors of the ICU mortality for critically ill stroke patients were related to the ICU stay (i.e., life support therapies and complications), contrary to the neurologic severity scores at admission as NIHSS score or Glasgow coma scale. These results suggest that ICU management strategy (i.e.: resources used) could impact on survival. Despite a global poor prognosis, some patients may benefit of an ICU hospitalization and have a good functional recovery. Therefore, it has been proposed to consider long enough TLT for these patients (34) as a too short TLT may expose to inappropriate limitation of organ supports and to a self-fulfilling prophecy. In contrast, a too long TLT may expose to a potential loss of compassion and decreased quality of care (7). Our study did not evaluate the good duration of TLT, but we consider that it should be long enough to evaluate specific indicators, as it has been proposed for elderly patients admitted to ICU (35). For ICU stroke patients these indicators could be the need or not of IMV and vasopressor support and the occurrence or not of intracranial hypertension.

Our study has some limitations. First, the BSR registry has not been designed for ICU studies and contrary to stroke specific data, all ICU data have been retrospectively recorded.

Second, we used the "ten events per variable (EPV) rule" to determine the number of subjects required although current evidence supporting for binary logistic regression is weak (36). Third, although the BSR could provide long-term mortality, we couldn't study long-term functional status. Fourth, the observational design of the study did not allow us to explore causality. Finally, due to the high rate of withholding LST, we could not avoid the bias of self-fulfilling prophecy.

Conclusion

Despite a poor global prognosis, a part of stroke patients with organ failures may benefit from ICU admission and life-sustaining interventions. Therefore, prognostication is key but still remains challenging. We found that occurrence of intracranial hypertension and the need of IMV or vasopressor support were strong predictors of ICU mortality. Our study suggests that critical care-related factors could provide valuable information for prognostication in addition to the initial neurological severity. Our findings warrant further studies to evaluate the impact of ICU care (notably SBI prevention and treatment) on patient's prognostication.

Acknowledgements
We would like to thank the Brest Stroke Registry collaborators:
Philippe Goas*, Irina Viakhireva-Dovganyuk*, François Mathias Merrien*, Aurore Jourdain*,
François Rouhart*, Amélie Leblanc*, Marie Bruguet*, Denis Marechal*, Jordan Coris*
*CHRU Brest, Department of Neurology & Stroke Unit, CHRU de Brest, Université de Bretagne
Occidentale, Brest, 29200, France.

- 450 References
- 1. Widimsky P, Snyder K, Sulzenko J, Hopkins LN, Stetkarova I. Acute ischaemic stroke:
- recent advances in reperfusion treatment. European Heart Journal. 2022 Dec 7;ehac684.
- 2. Carval T, Garret C, Guillon B, Lascarrou JB, Martin M, Lemarié J, et al. Outcomes of
- patients admitted to the ICU for acute stroke: a retrospective cohort. BMC
- 455 Anesthesiology. 2022 Jul 25;22(1):235.
- 456 3. van Valburg MK, Arbous MS, Georgieva M, Brealey DA, Singer M, Geerts BF. Clinical
- 457 Predictors of Survival and Functional Outcome of Stroke Patients Admitted to Critical
- 458 Care. Crit Care Med. 2018;46(7):1085–92.
- 459 4. A A, Ad E, R K, S R, Mg H, M F. Outcome Predictors of Acute Stroke Patients in Need of
- Intensive Care Treatment. Cerebrovascular diseases (Basel, Switzerland) [Internet].
- 461 **2015** [cited **2022** Jan **4**];**40(1–2)**. Available from:
- https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26022716/
- 463 5. de Montmollin E, Terzi N, Dupuis C, Garrouste-Orgeas M, da Silva D, Darmon M, et al.
- One-year survival in acute stroke patients requiring mechanical ventilation: a
- 465 multicenter cohort study. Ann Intensive Care. 2020 May 7;10(1):53.
- 6. Sonneville R, Gimenez L, Labreuche J, Smonig R, Magalhaes E, Bouadma L, et al. What is
- 467 the prognosis of acute stroke patients requiring ICU admission? Intensive Care Medicine.
- 468 **2017** Feb;43(2):271–2.
- 7. Vink EE, Azoulay E, Caplan A, Kompanje EJO, Bakker J. Time-limited trial of intensive care
- 470 treatment: an overview of current literature. Intensive Care Med. 2018 Sep;44(9):1369–
- **471 77.**
- 472 8. de Montmollin E, Schwebel C, Dupuis C, Garrouste-Orgeas M, da Silva D, Azoulay E, et
- 473 al. Life Support Limitations in Mechanically Ventilated Stroke Patients. Crit Care Explor.
- 474 **2021** Feb 22;3(2):e0341.
- 9. McCracken DJ, Lovasik BP, McCracken CE, Frerich JM, McDougal ME, Ratcliff JJ, et al. The
- 476 Intracerebral Hemorrhage Score: A Self-Fulfilling Prophecy? Neurosurgery. 2019 Mar
- 477 **1;84(3):741–8.**
- 478 10. van Valburg MK, Arbous MS, Georgieva M, Brealey DA, Singer M, Geerts BF. Clinical
- 479 Predictors of Survival and Functional Outcome of Stroke Patients Admitted to Critical
- 480 Care. Crit Care Med. 2018 Jul;46(7):1085–92.
- 481 11. Alonso A, Ebert AD, Kern R, Rapp S, Hennerici MG, Fatar M. Outcome Predictors of Acute
- 482 Stroke Patients in Need of Intensive Care Treatment. Cerebrovascular Diseases.
- 483 **2015;40(1–2):10–7.**
- 484 12. Sonneville R, Mazighi M, Bresson D, Crassard I, Crozier S, de Montmollin E, et al.
- 485 Outcomes of Acute Stroke Patients Requiring Mechanical Ventilation: Study Protocol for
- 486 the SPICE Multicenter Prospective Observational Study. Neurocrit Care. 2020
- 487 **Apr;32(2):624–9.**

- 488 13. Chesnut RM, Marshall LF, Klauber MR, Blunt BA, Baldwin N, Eisenberg HM, et al. The 489 role of secondary brain injury in determining outcome from severe head injury. J 490 Trauma. 1993 Feb;34(2):216–22.
- 491 **14.** Carney N, Totten AM, O'Reilly C, Ullman JS, Hawryluk GWJ, Bell MJ, et al. Guidelines for the Management of Severe Traumatic Brain Injury, Fourth Edition. Neurosurgery. **2017** 493 **Jan 1;80(1):6–15.**
- 494 15. Timsit S, Nowak E, Rouhart F, Goas P, Merrien FM, Tirel-Badet A, et al. High 495 completeness of the brest stroke registry evidenced by analysis of sources and capture-496 recapture method. Neuroepidemiology. 2014;42(3):186–95.
- 497 16. Jannou V, Timsit S, Nowak E, Rouhart F, Goas P, Merrien FM, et al. Stroke with atrial fibrillation or atrial flutter: a descriptive population-based study from the Brest stroke registry. BMC Geriatr. 2015 Jun 11;15:63.
- 500 17. Timsit S, Bailly P, Nowak E, Merrien FM, Hervé D, Viakhireva-Dovganyuk I, et al. 501 Cryptogenic mechanism in ischaemic stroke patients is a predictor of 5-year survival: A population-based study. Eur Stroke J. 2016 Dec;1(4):279–87.
- 18. Warlow CP. Epidemiology of stroke. Lancet. 1998 Oct;352 Suppl 3:SIII1-4.
- 19. Adams HP, Bendixen BH, Kappelle LJ, Biller J, Love BB, Gordon DL, et al. Classification of subtype of acute ischemic stroke. Definitions for use in a multicenter clinical trial.

 TOAST. Trial of Org 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment. Stroke. 1993 Jan;24(1):35–41.
- 20. Hemphill JC, Bonovich DC, Besmertis L, Manley GT, Johnston SC. The ICH Score: A Simple, Reliable Grading Scale for Intracerebral Hemorrhage. Stroke. 2001 Apr;32(4):891–7.
- 21. Incidence of stroke in Oxfordshire: first year's experience of a community stroke register. Br Med J (Clin Res Ed). 1983 Sep 10;287(6394):713-7.
- 22. Kalil AC, Metersky ML, Klompas M, Muscedere J, Sweeney DA, Palmer LB, et al.
- Management of Adults With Hospital-acquired and Ventilator-associated Pneumonia: 2016 Clinical Practice Guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America and the
- American Thoracic Society. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2016 Sep 1;63(5):e61–111.
- 23. OUTCOMEREA Study Group, de Montmollin E, Terzi N, Dupuis C, Garrouste-Orgeas M, da Silva D, et al. One-year survival in acute stroke patients requiring mechanical ventilation: a multicenter cohort study. Annals of Intensive Care [Internet]. 2020 Dec
- 518 [cited 2020 Oct 27];10(1). Available from:
- 519 https://annalsofintensivecare.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/s13613-020-00669-

- 24. van der Worp HB, Hofmeijer J, Jüttler E, Lal A, Michel P, Santalucia P, et al. European
- 522 Stroke Organisation (ESO) guidelines on the management of space-occupying brain
- 523 infarction. Eur Stroke J. 2021 Jun;6(2):XC-CX.

- 524 **25.** Chen CJ, Ding D, Ironside N, Buell TJ, Southerland AM, Testai FD, et al. Intracranial pressure monitoring in patients with spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage. J
- 526 Neurosurg. 2019 May 31;132(6):1854–64.
- 26. Huh J, Yang SY, Huh HY, Ahn JK, Cho KW, Kim YW, et al. Compare the Intracranial
- 528 Pressure Trend after the Decompressive Craniectomy between Massive Intracerebral
- 529 Hemorrhagic and Major Ischemic Stroke Patients. J Korean Neurosurg Soc. 2018
- 530 **Jan;61(1):42–50.**
- 27. Paldor I, Rosenthal G, Cohen JE, Leker R, Harnof S, Shoshan Y, et al. Intracranial pressure
- 532 monitoring following decompressive hemicraniectomy for malignant cerebral
- 533 infarction. J Clin Neurosci. 2015 Jan;22(1):79–82.
- 28. Forster AJ, Kyeremanteng K, Hooper J, Shojania KG, van Walraven C. The impact of
- adverse events in the intensive care unit on hospital mortality and length of stay. BMC
- 536 Health Services Research. 2008 Dec 17;8(1):259.
- 29. Kinoshita K, Kushi H, Hayashi N. Characteristics of parietal-parasagittal hemorrhage
- after mild or moderate traumatic brain injury. Acta Neurochir Suppl. 2003;86:343–6.
- 30. Bramlett HM, Dietrich WD. Pathophysiology of cerebral ischemia and brain trauma:
- similarities and differences. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab. 2004 Feb;24(2):133–50.
- 31. Castillo J, Leira R, García MM, Serena J, Blanco M, Dávalos A. Blood pressure decrease
- during the acute phase of ischemic stroke is associated with brain injury and poor stroke
- 543 outcome. Stroke. 2004 Feb;35(2):520-6.
- 32. Doerfler S, Faerber J, McKhann GM, Elliott JP, Winn HR, Kumar M, et al. The Incidence
- and Impact of Secondary Cerebral Insults on Outcome After Aneurysmal Subarachnoid
- 546 Hemorrhage. World Neurosurgery. 2018 Jun 1;114:e483–94.
- 33. Fontaine C, Lemiale V, Resche-Rigon M, Schenck M, Chelly J, Geeraerts T, et al.
- 548 Association of systemic secondary brain insults and outcome in patients with convulsive
- status epilepticus: A post hoc study of a randomized controlled trial. Neurology. 2020
- 550 Nov 3;95(18):e2529-41.
- 34. Alkhachroum A, Bustillo AJ, Asdaghi N, Marulanda-Londono E, Gutierrez CM, Samano D,
- et al. Withdrawal of Life-Sustaining Treatment Mediates Mortality in Patients With
- 553 Intracerebral Hemorrhage With Impaired Consciousness. Stroke. 2021 Dec;52(12):3891–
- 554 **8.**
- 35. Loyrion E, Agier L, Trouve-Buisson T, Gavazzi G, Schwebel C, Bosson JL, et al. Dynamic
- SOFA score assessments to predict outcomes after acute admission of octogenarians to
- the intensive care unit. PLOS ONE. 2021 Aug 2;16(8):e0253077.
- 36. van Smeden M, de Groot JAH, Moons KGM, Collins GS, Altman DG, Eijkemans MJC, et al.
- No rationale for 1 variable per 10 events criterion for binary logistic regression analysis.
- 560 BMC Medical Research Methodology. 2016 Nov 24;16(1):163.

Fig.1 Flowchart





Fig.2 Modified Rankin Scale at Hospital discharge



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.05.17.23290128; this version posted May 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.