- Reasons for mosquito net non-use in malaria-endemic countries: A review of qualitative
- research published between 2011 and 2021.
- Hadiza Isa Ladu^{1,2}, Umar Shuaibu³ and Justin Pulford¹.
- ¹Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Pembroke Place, Liverpool. L3 5QA, United Kingdom.
- ²University of Maiduguri Teaching Hospital, Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria
- ³Aminu Kano Teaching Hospital, Kano, Kano State, Nigeria.
- Corresponding author: Justin.Pulford@lstmed.ac.uk.

22 ABSTRACT

23

24 Mosquito nets, particularly insecticide-treated nets [ITNs], are the most recommended method of 25 malaria control in endemic countries. However, many individuals do not use them as advised. The 26 current paper expands on a previous review published in 2011 which highlighted a need for more 27 gualitative research on the reasons for mosquito net non-use. We present a systematic review of

- 28 gualitative research published in the past decade to assess the growth and guality of gualitative 29 papers about net non-use and examine and update the current understanding.
- 30 A comprehensive literature search was carried out in MEDLINE, CINAHL, and Global Health, in
- 31 addition to a citation search of the initial review. Relevant papers were screened and discussed.
- 32 The critical appraisal assessment tool was used to ensure quality. Thematic synthesis was used
- 33 to extract, synthesise, and analyse study findings.
- 34 Compared to the initial review, the results showed a ten-fold increase in gualitative research on
- 35 the reasons for mosquito net non-use between 2011 and 2021. In addition, the quality of the
- 36 research has improved, with more than 90% of the papers receiving high scores, using the critical 37 appraisal assessment tool. The reported reasons for non-use were categorised into four themes
- 38 Human factors, Net factors, Environmental/Lifestyle factors, and Administrative/Economic factors.
- 39 More than two-thirds of the studies were carried out in Africa, with lead African researchers in
- 40 African institutions.
- 41 Despite the distribution of free mosquito nets in malaria-endemic countries, new challenges to
- 42 their use continue to emerge. The most common reasons for net non-use across all regions of
- 43 Malaria endemic countries were discomfort and perceived ineffectiveness of nets. Technical
- 44 challenges and improper net use dominated East and South African regions, signifying the need 45
- for dedicated and region-specific measures and strategies to ensure the continued usage of
- 46 mosquito nets, particularly ITNs. 47
- 48 **Keywords**: Malaria, Mosquito nets, ITN, Endemic countries, Non-use, Review.

50 INTRODUCTION

51

52 There were an estimated 247 million malaria cases across 84 malaria-endemic countries [MECs] 53 in 2021, with more than 90% of the cases recorded in Sub-Saharan Africa. The mortality rate 54 ranges between 0.3 - 2.2% and can be as high as 11-30% for severe malaria (1). Malaria infection 55 may further affect an individual's social and economic life. Many individuals in MEC are poor; 56 thus, infection with the disease increases their financial burden through drug procurement and 57 travel expenses to health centers or clinics. Other economic costs from the illness include loss of 58 wages due to absence from work, and frequent infection can also impact the learning of children 59 who miss school (2).

60

61 Malaria can be prevented and controlled in MEC through the routine use of mosquito nets (2, 3). 62 There are two kinds of mosquito nets: insecticide-treated nets [ITNs], an "umbrella" term 63 encompassing "all nets treated with an insecticide, insect growth regulator and or synergist" (4), 64 and untreated mosquito nets. An untreated net protects the individual/s resting within against mosquito and other pest/insect bites (5, 6). While ITNs offer personal protection to those under 65 66 the net and kill mosquitoes conferring a more comprehensive household/community benefit (7). 67 An estimated 1.2 billion cases of malaria and 7.1 million deaths were averted between 2004 and 2019 in sub-Saharan Africa following antimalaria campaigns, with ITNs making the single most 68 69 significant contribution accounting for an estimated 68% of these figures (8).

70

71 The cumulative shipments of all forms of mosquito nets to MEC increased from 436 million in 72 2010 to approximately 2.6 billion in 2021 (9). While access to ITNs may have improved in MEC 73 over this time, this does not imply increased use, defined as "the proportion of the population that 74 does sleep under a net" (8). For example, at least 72% of Sub-Saharan African households had 75 at least one ITN in 2018; however, only 50% of the population at risk slept under an ITN the night 76 before (10) despite WHO guidelines recommending continuous use of ITN nightly in Malaria 77 endemic areas irrespective of climatic conditions (4). Nevertheless, ITN remains a cost-effective 78 strategy for controlling and preventing malaria (11) and global support remains to increase ITN 79 access and use in MEC, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa (2).

80

81 A previous review by Pulford et al. (12) examined reasons for net non-use as reported in the 82 published literature. This review identified discomfort due to heat and perceived low density of 83 mosquitoes as the primary reasons for net non-use (12), although Pulford et al. (12) concluded 84 that more and better quality research examining reasons for ITN non-use was needed and 85 especially qualitative studies. In the decade that has passed since the publication of this review, 86 a wide range of research [inclusive of qualitative research] has been completed, and a more 87 complex understanding of reasons for ITN non-use appears to be emerging. For instance, Perkins 88 et al.(13) noted that people frequently imitate other people's bed-net usage behaviour and 89 Guglielmo et al.(14) draw on qualitative findings to challenge how 'use' and 'compliance' are even 90 evaluated in the context of ITN use for malaria control. However, the more recent research effort's 91 scope, guality, and findings have yet to be reviewed.

92

In this paper, we present an up to date review of published qualitative research exploring
mosquito net non-use conducted in the decade since the original review by Pulford et al. (12).
Our specific objectives were to assess the extent and quality of qualitative research published
between 2011-2021 and, drawing on the findings from this literature, update current
understanding of reasons for mosquito net non-use in MEC. It is hoped the results may inform
the design and selection of net-use promoting interventions.

100 **METHODOLOGY**

101

104

We conducted a structured literature review of qualitative studies published between 2011 – 2021
 which examined reasons for mosquito net non-use in MEC.

105 Search strategy

A structured electronic search of peer-reviewed articles was conducted using the following three databases: MEDLINE, CINAHL, and Global health. An additional citation search of the original Pulford et al. review (12) was conducted to identify and retrieve relevant papers. The search terms used in the original Pulford et al. review (12) were adapted and applied as a baseline for the current study: [1] Malaria AND [2] Mosquito Bed Net AND [3] Non-use AND [4] Qualitative, with variants of the terms [Table1].

112 113

114 Table 1: Original reviews search terms, adjusted for year and study type.

Search ID#	MeSH terms.		
S8	S7 AND S6		
S7	"qualitative*" OR "focus*group" OR "interview*" OR "mixed method"		
S6	S4 AND S5		
S5	"non-use*" OR "obstacle*" OR "misuse*" OR "disuse*" OR "challenge*" OR "neglect*" OR "abandon*" OR "barrier*" OR "use*"		
S4	S2 AND S3		
S3	"Mosquito Bed Net*" OR "Mosquito Net*"		
	OR "Mosquito Bednet*" OR "Insecticid*treated" OR "ITN*" OR "LLIN*"		
	OR "Insecticide-treated Bednets" OR "Bednet*"		
S2	"Malaria*"[201-2021]		
S1	"Malaria*"		

115

116

117 Study selection

118 All retrieved publications were imported into Endnote, and duplicates removed. Publications were 119 initially screened by title and abstract against specified inclusion criteria, with the remaining 120 publications subject to full-text review. All publications were independently reviewed for inclusion at both stages by two authors [HIL, US], with any disagreements resolved by discussion until 121 122 consensus was reached. The inclusion criteria included studies: conducted in MEC, research 123 focused on mosquito net non-use [as either primary or secondary objective], published in English 124 between 2011 and 2021, employed qualitative methods or a mixed method study design with 125 transparent reporting on qualitative methods and results.

127 **Quality appraisal**

128 The Critical appraisal skill programme [CASP] checklist was utilised to assess the quality of the 129 retrieved papers. CASP consists of ten structured questions that cover the following topics: study 130 purpose, appropriateness of qualitative design, suitability of study design, sampling strategy, data 131 collection method, ethical consideration, data analysis, findings, and study relevance [see Table 132 3]. Each set of questions has three scoring options: Yes (1), No (0), or Can't tell (0). Each set of 133 questions has hints to guide the researcher in evaluating papers. Studies with a cumulative score 134 of eight and above were regarded as high quality, five to seven as medium quality, and below five 135 as low-quality studies (15, 16). Two authors independently assessed all publications against the 136 CASP criteria [HIL, US]. An agreement was reached between both authors on the CASP scoring 137 for each paper.

138

139 Data extraction and analysis

The lead researcher extracted the following information into an Excel file from each paper; Research title, authors [1st and last], institution of lead author, year of publication, study objectives, study settings, country of focus, study participants, study type [qualitative alone or mixed study] and Information on data collection tools, analysis, and sampling methods. Finally, a summarised version of the key findings reported within each paper concerning reasons for ITN non-use was extracted.

146

Summarised versions of the key findings were analysed thematically. The first step was to become acquainted with the data. This entailed reading the extracted data repeatedly, identifying common themes pertinent to our study objectives, and highlighting related quotes that emphasise and elaborate on the themes. Subsequently, a coding framework was developed. The key findings data were then systematically coded against this framework and a final set of themes and associated sub-themes identified. All other extracted data were analysed using descriptive statistics as presented in the results section below.

154

155 **RESULTS**

156

157 Study selection

As depicted in Fig. 1(Attached file) the initial search yielded 892 articles, of which 81 met the inclusion criteria for a full-text review. Following full-text review, 39 articles were included in the final sample

161

162 Characteristics of included papers.

163 Cumulatively, the 39 papers pertained to 19 MEC, with most [n=34] studies conducted in Africa 164 [Table 2]. The remaining five studies were from Southeast Asia. Most publications (19/39) were 165 led by authors affiliated with institutions in MEC, including nineteen from African institutions.

- 166
- 167
- 168
- 169 170
- 171
- 172
- 173
- 174
- 175

176 Table 2: Geographical distribution of selected studies.

Region	Country	No. of studies	Total No. of studies	
Asia	Bangladesh	1	5	
	Myanmar	2		
	Papua New Guinea	1		
	Thailand	1		
Central Africa	DRC	1	1	
East Africa	Ethiopia	6	18	
	Kenya	1		
	Madagascar	1		
	Rwanda	1		
	Tanzania	4		
	Uganda	5		
West Africa	Benin	1	11	
	Burkina Faso	1		
	Ghana	4		
	Nigeria	4		
	Senegal	1		
South Africa	Malawi	1	2	
	Zimbabwe	1		
Multi-country	Ghana/Malawi/Keny a	1	2	
	Mali/Kenya	1		

177

178

179 A full description of the study characteristics of publications included in this review can be found 180 in supplementary file one. As shown, over two-thirds [34] of the study populations were drawn from rural settings. Most studies reviewed [25/39] employed a gualitative study design alone, 181 182 compared to a third [14/39] that used a mixed methods study design. Data collection methods 183 variously included focus group discussions, key informant interviews, in-depth interviews, 184 observation, and participatory activities. Sampling methods included snowballing, and convenient 185 selection, although the majority used purposive sampling [20/39] to recruit study participants. 186 More than two-thirds of studies [30] utilised thematic analysis.

187

188 **Quality assessment**.

189 Table 3 provides a summary of the quality assessment outcome. A CASP score of at least eight, considered to be high, was present in about 90% [35/39] of reviewed studies. The remaining 10% 190 191 [4/39] received a score of six and seven, considered medium guality. No studies scored below 192 five, and no publications were disregarded for this review based on the CASP score. As shown in 193 Table 3, all studies [39/39] made clear their aims and objectives, and the majority clearly stated 194 the suitability of their choice of a qualitative study design [38/39]. The recruitment strategy and 195 data collection methods were appropriate in almost all reviewed papers [38/39 and 37/39, 196 respectively]. Regarding reflexivity, nine studies provided details on how they evaluated their role. 197 potential bias, influence during data collection, and how they reacted to events and any changes 198 during the study. Even though 28 of the studies did not provide information on reflexivity, they did

provide information on how they established relationships with study participants before the start of each survey. Almost all studies [38/39] indicated how ethical approval was obtained. In addition, most of the studies' data analyses [28/39] were sufficiently thorough, and their findings [37/39] were clear and concise.

Table 3: Quality assessment results.

Criteria	[Yes]	[Can't tell]
Was there a clear statement of the aims of the research?	39	0
Is a qualitative methodology appropriate?	39	0
Was the research design appropriate to address the aim of the research?	38	0
Was the recruitment strategy appropriate to the aim of the research?	38	1
Was the data collected in a way that addresses the research issues?	37	0
Has the relationship between researcher and participants been adequately considered?	9	2
Have ethical issues been taken into consideration?	38	0
Was the data analysis sufficiently rigorous?	28	0
Is there a clear statement of findings?	37	1
How valuable is the research?	39	0

Thematic analysis of key findings.

Reported reasons for net non-use across the 39 publications included in the review were subsequently categorised under four main themes, each with two or more sub-themes. Each theme and sub-theme is presented in turn below, alongside relevant guotes taken from reviewed publications to illustrate key points. Supplementary file 2 lists the respective publications coded against each sub-theme.

249 Table 4: Summary of emerging themes.

Analytical/ final theme	Sub-theme	Descriptive theme	
	Perceived effectiveness	In-effectiveness of nets Attitudes to net use Preference for other preventive methods Net hygiene	
Human factor	Discomfort	Adverse effect of insecticides Hot climate	
	Seasonality of Mosquito	Perceived low density of mosquito	
	Prioritizing nets	Sleeping arrangement	
	Alternate net use	Domestic use of net	
	Socio-cultural practices	Social gatherings	
Net factor	Net preference	Characteristics of nets Improper use of net	
	Net set up	Challenges with Net placement	
Environmental/	Architecture of home	Home structure	
lifestyle factor	Travel or nocturnal activities	Net use away from home	
Administrative/	Access to nets	Net distribution	
economic	Economic implications	New net purchase	
factor		Net exchange for money	

250

251

252 Human factors

This theme refers to reasons for non-use that pertain to human perceptions, preferences or experiences. More than two-thirds of study findings were categorised under this theme, across the following six sub-themes:

- 256
- 257 Perceived effectiveness

Results suggest that participants do not always consider ITNs to be effective or considered other preventive methods to be more effective. For example, the absence of dead insects at the side of the mosquito nets gave some participants the impression that treated nets had become ineffective (17-21). Net cleanliness was also a factor in some cases(22). Fourteen studies had findings categorised under this sub-theme (23-29).

263

"This net [an ITN] is more or less similar to an ordinary mosquito net because we found a mosquito
with it even after hanging before sleeping" (17).

"If the area is fumigated even once a month by the government, then we will be free day and nightfrom mosquito bite and not only in night" (28).

"Because of cleanliness, some husbands do not like dirty bed nets. They want nets to be washed every few days. So, if he gets home and finds that the bed net has not been washed, he will put it away. This may result in the family or couples sleeping without a bed net" (22).

274 Discomfort

In nine studies, discomfort was presented as a reason for non-use, including the feeling of
suffocation while sleeping under the nets, especially in a hot climate, or the fear of experiencing
some reaction, such as skin irritation (26, 28, 30-35).

278

273

279 "There's many insecticides. Sometimes it's too strong and difficult to sleep under the net
280 for the first time. The insecticide prevents me from breathing well and it's very difficult to
281 breathe around this product" (34).

282

283 "I heard that one man slept inside the net and vomited blood," and I heard that it causes
284 skin irritation because the chemical is too much" (32).

- 285
 286 "I am still afraid of malaria, but I could not sleep. It was too hot to sleep under the nets in
 287 the summer" (31).
- 288
- 289 Seasonality of Mosquitoes
- Reported in three studies, many participants felt that using nets during seasons when mosquitoes were less frequent, such as hot seasons, was unnecessary. At the same time, net use was more prevalent during rainy seasons when mosquitoes were perceived to be predominant (30, 34, 36):
- 293

"We were given these nets during the rainy season when there were a lot of mosquitoes. I hung
the nets, and the children slept in them that time. When the rainy season was over, we removed
the nets because during that time, there was no mosquitoes" (36).

298 Prioritising nets

In four studies, certain family members, such as infants, children under five, and pregnant women, were given priority access to available nets, with youth and older adults generally receiving less attention and prioritisation. The reason for prioritising mosquito nets could be associated with a lack of insecticide-treated nets in homes (22, 32, 37). In one study, the head of the house was prioritized over other family members(38).

- "I would not give priority to the youth because they are strong and their bodies can resist malaria...
 I would only consider those that are more vulnerable and leave those that are strong enough to
 fight" (38).
- 308
- "We had in mind that the man, as the head of the family, should be the one to get it first; [he] has
 to sleep on the bed. If God provides more, then I and the kids shall get later" (38).
- 311
- 312 Alternate net use
- In six studies, alternate uses of mosquito nets such as covering farm animals, farm produce, processing milk from butter, catching fish, or rearing chickens were reported as a reason for not sleeping in them (19, 23, 35, 39-41)
- 316
- 317 "The one I had following the distribution, frankly speaking, I didn't sleep in it because it was hard.
- So, I used it for my windows. I fixed them at the back of my windows and even my trap door. That is what I used. I have used it as a net for all my windows so that mosquitoes do not enter my
- 320 room" (35).

321

³²² "We are in fear that what will happen in the future if we tell you everything... We use bed nets to ³²³ cover the toilet, separating seeds from the stem; after thoroughly washing, we use them for ³²⁴ filtering kinetic, coffee and milk during the separation process of milk from the butter. Those who ³²⁵ cannot purchase clothes can use them as night clothes, as bed sheets, and it gives many more ³²⁶ purposes" (19).

- 327
- 328 Socio-cultural beliefs and practices

Social norms and practices can negatively influence the use of mosquito nets as highlighted in four studies. Outdoor nocturnal activities can make net use inpractical and, in two studies, individuals at a funeral were reportedly not allowed a mosquito net to sleep in as it was considered taboo or seen as disrespectful to the deceased and family (14, 25, 42, 43):

333

"We don't sleep under the net when it's burial time... You cannot decide to put your net, who are you? How important are you? How arrogant are you? So, most of us in Teso don't even sleep when at a funeral. We sit out around the fire or even within a house, and in big numbers, so one cannot use a mosquito net" (25).

338339 Net factors

This theme refers to reasons for non-use that relate to the physical properties of mosquito nets. Findings from 11 studies pertained to net factors, across two sub-themes.

342343 Net preference

Seven studies reported that participants preference for certain characteristics of nets such as color, texture and size, infleunces net use (22, 26, 32, 35, 37, 40, 44). In two other studies, participants expressed how some features of the nets made them better suited for other domestic use, such as hanging over doors and windows or covering of livestock and farm produce (26, 35): 348

"I have not yet installed the new net; it is still stored in its packaging with clothing. I do not like it
because it has large meshes; I still use the old ones because they are smaller. In addition, the
product on the new net gives the cold. The fabric is stiff and uncomfortable, my hair hangs in
there"(37).

- 353
 354 "I got a carpenter to fix the ITN over my bed, but he said it was too big and advised that I use it
 355 on my doors and windows. So he cut and fixed the net on my doors and windows. I also see
 356 people [using] the ITN as [a] fence around their farms and gardens to protect from chickens and
 357 some insects" (26).
- 358 359 Net setup
- 360 In four studies, participants had difficulties with the initial or ongoing setup of the mosquito nets, 361 which impacted on the use, including difficulty in getting into the nets (20, 27, 31, 35):
- 362

"Once they fix the net and find entering the net uncomfortable, they will not sleep in it again
because it did not serve their purpose. In the night, if they want to go and urinate and the net ties
them up and they have to remove it, go out and come back to fix it, they feel like they are in prison
and will not sleep under it."(35).

368 Environmental/lifestyle factors

This theme refers to factors in the immediate environment or participants' lifestyle that contributed towards net non-use among study participants.Findings from seven studies pertained to environmental/lifestyle factors, across two sub-themes.

- 372
- 373 Travel or nocturnal activity

As reported in five studies, mosquito net use away from one's primary residence can be challenging, particularly for individuals active at night or who frequently travel for businesses or social engagements. As a result, participants in such situations find it difficult to use bed nets and are often at risk for malaria (14, 22, 25, 40, 42):

378

379 "Sometimes when we go farming in the valleys [protection from mosquitoes is not possible]. You
380 might go with the intention of coming back but find that it gets dark, and so you decide to sleep
381 there"(42).

382

383 "Sometimes we travel, and some homes that host us may have no bed nets, so when you stay
384 for some days in these home, s you are likely to get malaria"(22).
385

386 Architecture of homes

In two studies, the structures and style of homes/houses played an important role in determining
 participants continuos use of mosquito nets. Participants living in houses built on stilts, for
 example were unable to use mosquito nets properly due to the gaps in the floorboards that allow
 mosquitoes to enter, regardless of the number of nets distributed to them (27, 45):

"Because our houses are built with wood on stilts, there is space between the planks. Even if the
bed net is well tied to the ceiling, there is always a space beneath the planks that mosquito
harnesses to get in. But I don't think there is a way to avoid mosquitoes unless we change our
houses. That would require a lot of money. The best way to help us is to change our houses" (42).

397 <u>Administrative/Economic Factors</u>

This theme refers to a participant's ability to access or purchase mosquito nets. Findings from nine studies pertained to administaryive/economic factors, across two sub-themes.

400 401 Accessing nets

Five studies highlited participants dependence on free net distribution, especially in health facilities, with reports of bias in such distributions resulting in adequate numbers of nets available within the household (11, 17, 24, 46, 47).

405

396

"It is segregation, favouritism, and all kind of things. For example, if they received 40 Bednets,
doctors give them to 5-6 people who did not visit the health centre. If we get there, they said that
is it finished" (48).

409

410 "These days, people get bed nets at the health centre from antenatal consultation or child
411 vaccination at nine months. However, those who don't attend consultation or vaccination services
412 have a problem with getting bed nets" (24).

- 413
- 414 Economic implications
- Participants in four studies who could not obtain nets via free or subsidised distribution campaigns
 either had to raise money to procure new nets, or stay without them (22, 36, 45, 49).
- 417

"ITNs are sold at the health facility at a lower price. If you don't go early, you might not get [sic] it
to buy because a lot of people go there to get them. If you miss this, then you have to buy it from
the open market at a relatively higher price. So, if you don't have the money, you cannot get the

421 *net to use" (49).*

- 423 Nets received via free distribution campaigns were exchanged for money in some cases. One 424 reason given for such gestures was poverty (36, 45).
- 425

426 *"We ensure free bed net distribution to pregnant women and young children, but the issue is that* 427 *many of these women sell their nets" (45).*

428 429 **DISCUSSION**

430

431 In this review, we sought to assess the extent and quality of qualitative research published 432 between 2011 and 2021 pertaining to the non-use of all types of mosquito nets and, drawing on 433 the findings from this literature, update current understanding of reasons for mosquito net non-434 use in MEC. The focus on qualitative research was informed by an earlier review published in 435 2011 which identified a dearth of qualitative investigation on this topic. Our review identified 436 substantial growth in gualitative research on the topic of mosquito net non-use with 39 gualitative 437 or mixed methods studies published between 2011 and 2021 as compared to four between 1999 438 and 2010 (12). Significantly, this growth in qualitative research was primarily driven by 439 researchers working at institutions located in MEC.

440

441 Not only did we find growth in published, gualitative research output, but our findings also indicate 442 the increased research output was of a generally high standard. A CASP score of at least eight 443 out of ten, indicative of high quality, was awarded to more than 90% of the reviewed publications. 444 As MEC-based researchers primarily drove the growth in gualitative research publication, this 445 finding is especially pleasing concerning research capacity and equity issues in global health 446 research (50). Nevertheless, two-thirds of the reviewed papers [28/39] were rated poorly on the 447 CASP measure of reflexivity [Table 4], suggesting this may be an aspect that needs greater 448 attention when preparing qualitative research for publication, potentially through effective 449 strategies such as reflexive writing or collaborative reflection (51).

450

451 With regards to updating current understanding of reasons for mosquito net non-use in MEC, our 452 study re-affirms many of the findings presented in the original review by Pulford et al. (12). The 453 two most frequently cited reasons for mosquito net non-use determined by Pulford et al: 454 discomfort due to heat and perceived low mosquito density were also prominent in many of the 455 qualitative studies included in our review. The continued reporting of these factors over an 456 extended period via quantitative, and now a more significant number of qualitative studies. 457 strongly suggests that they are persistent and common barriers to mosquito net use. However, 458 our findings also extend upon those presented in the original Pulford et al. review, primarily 459 through the identification of four overarching factors that contribute towards net non-use; namely, 460 human factors, net factors, environmental/lifestyle factors and administrative/economic factors. 461 The human and the net factor were the two most commonly reported factors. Interventions that 462 might address these issues include continuous education aimed at changing human behaviour 463 and interventions aimed at designing mosquito nets that are less harmful and more user-friendly 464 in terms of style, size, and texture.

465

466 The present study is the first literature review that exclusively focuses on gualitative research on the reported reasons for the non-use of all forms of mosquito nets. The included papers are 467 468 proven to be of high quality. Two independent researchers validated the article screening, final 469 theme selection, and quality assessment, which we believe reduced selection bias. The synthesis 470 findings were supported by multiple quotes from the various studies, representing a complete 471 description of the themes, which increased trustworthiness. Despite these efforts to ensure 472 quality, limitations remained. Only English language publications were included, and grey 473 literature was excluded. Thus, we may have left out qualitative data related to the causes of

474 mosquito net non-use that could have increased the value of our research results. The similarity
475 in geographical distribution and economic status of the MEC in the review enhances the
476 transferability of findings. However, the debate over decontextualizing qualitative synthesis
477 methods may limit the transferability of the results (52). A further review of quantitative research
478 may be required to generalise the findings.

479

480 Conclusion

481 Over the last decade, there has been a significant increase in high-quality qualitative research, 482 contributing to a consolidated and more in-depth understanding of the reasons for mosquito net 483 non-use. The review findings highlight the wide range of factors that influence net use. Yet, some 484 factors have been consistently reported at high frequency over an extended time period indicating 485 these are priority concerns to address. The research focus should shift toward intervention studies 486 to address these issues.

- 487
- 488 Acknowledgments:
- 489 The Mamco Selab scholarship at the Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine for part tuition fee in 490 support of a Masters's degree programme for which this literature review was completed.
- 491
- 492 Contributors:
- HL, and JP were involved in designing the review. HL was the lead researcher, actively engaged
 in searching, screening, quality assessment, and data analysis. JP conceptualised the study. US
 participated in the screening and quality assessment of the relevant papers.
- 496
- 497 Competing interests:
- 498 None declared.
- 499
- 500 Patient consent for publication:
- 501 Not required
- 502
- 503 Data sharing statement:
- 504 All data will be made available upon request
- 505
- 506

507 Supporting information : 508

- 509 S5 Table: Summary of study's characteristics.
- 510 S6 Table: Lead Authors Instituiton of affliation.
- 511 S7 Table: Characteristics of included studies.
- 512 S8 Table: Result of synthesis.
- 513

References

1. White NJ, Pukrittayakamee S, Hien TT, Faiz MA, Mokuolu OA, Dondorp AM. Malaria. Lancet. 2014;383(9918):723-35.

2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Malaria. CDC. 2021.

3. World Health Organization. WHO Guidelines for malaria. 2022.

4. World Health Organization. Guidelines for malaria vector control. 2019.

5. Mwangi TW, Ross A, Marsh K, Snow RW. The effects of untreated bednets on malaria infection and morbidity on the Kenyan coast. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 2003;97(4):369-72.

6. Vijayasekar.R, Karthick. T, Karthikeyan.S, Kota Vinesh Kumar Reddy. Mosquito Net Properties and Applications. International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology[IRJET]. 2020;07(07 July 2020).

7. Cuervo-Parra JA, Cortés TR, Ramirez-Lepe M. Mosquito-borne diseases, pesticides used for mosquito control, and development of resistance to insecticides. Insecticides resistance Rijeka: InTechOpen. 2016:111-34.

8. Lindsay SW, Thomas MB, Kleinschmidt I. Threats to the effectiveness of insecticidetreated bednets for malaria control: thinking beyond insecticide resistance. The Lancet Global Health. 2021;9(9):e1325-e31.

9. Alliance for Malaria Prevention. Tracking the number and location of LLINs in malaria endemic countries Manta Ray Media: Alliance for Malaria Prevention; 2021 [cited 2022 16 August]. Available from: <u>https://netmappingproject.allianceformalariaprevention.com/</u>.

10. Scott J, Kanyangarara M, Nhama A, Macete E, Moss WJ, Saute F. Factors associated with use of insecticide-treated net for malaria prevention in Manica District, Mozambique: a community-based cross-sectional survey. Malar J. 2021;20(1):200.

11. Hill J, Lines J, Rowland M. Insecticide-treated nets. Advances in parasitology. 2006;61:77-128.

12. Pulford J, Hetzel MW, Bryant M, Siba PM, Mueller I. Reported reasons for not using a mosquito net when one is available: a review of the published literature. Malaria journal. 2011;10(1):1-10.

13. Perkins JM, Krezanoski P, Takada S, Kakuhikire B, Batwala V, Tsai AC, et al. Social norms, misperceptions, and mosquito net use: a population-based, cross-sectional study in rural Uganda. Malaria Journal. 2019;18(1):189.

14. Guglielmo F, Ranson H, Sagnon Nf, Jones C. The issue is not 'compliance': exploring exposure to malaria vector bites through social dynamics in Burkina Faso. Anthropology & medicine. 2021;28(4):508-25.

15. Harrison JK, Reid J, Quinn TJ, Shenkin SD. Using quality assessment tools to critically appraise ageing research: a guide for clinicians. Age Ageing. 2017;46(3):359-65.

16. Critical Appraisal Skills Programme. CASP Qualitative Checklist. 2018.

17. Fouzia K, Hossain MB, Chowdhury TR, Rahman MS, Moktadir K, Shamsun N, et al. Exploring the gap between coverage, access, and utilization of long-lasting insecticide-treated nets (LLINs) among the households of malaria endemic districts in Bangladesh. Malaria Journal. 2018;17(455):(6 December 2018).

18. Asmamaw M, Mulugeta A, Gari SR, Helmut K, Kassahun A. Barriers of persistent longlasting insecticidal nets utilization in villages around Lake Tana, Northwest Ethiopia: a qualitative study. BMC Public Health. 2019;19(1303):(16 October 2019).

19. Zerdo Z, Van Geertruyden J-P, Massebo F, Biresaw G, Shewangizawu M, Tunje A, et al. Parents' perception on cause of malaria and their malaria prevention experience among school-aged children in Kutcha district, Southern Ethiopia; qualitative study. PloS one. 2020;15(10):e0239728.

20. Galvin KT, Petford N, Ajose F, Davies D. An exploratory qualitative study on perceptions about mosquito bed nets in the Niger Delta: what are the barriers to sustained use? Journal of multidisciplinary healthcare. 2011;4:73-83.

21. Bauch JA, Gu JJ, Msellem M, Mårtensson A, Ali AS, Gosling R, et al. Perception of malaria risk in a setting of reduced malaria transmission: a qualitative study in Zanzibar. Malaria journal. 2013;12:75.

22. Msellemu D, Shemdoe A, Makungu C, Mlacha Y, Kannady K, Dongus S, et al. The underlying reasons for very high levels of bed net use, and higher malaria infection prevalence among bed net users than non-users in the Tanzanian city of Dar es Salaam: a qualitative study. Malaria journal. 2017;16(1):423.

23. Aberese-Ako M, Magnussen P, Ampofo GD, Tagbor H. Health system, socio-cultural, economic, environmental and individual factors influencing bed net use in the prevention of malaria in pregnancy in two Ghanaian regions. Malaria Journal. 2019;18(363).

24. Asingizwe D, Poortvliet PM, Koenraadt CJ, Van Vliet AJ, Ingabire CM, Mutesa L, et al. Role of individual perceptions in the consistent use of malaria preventive measures: mixed methods evidence from rural Rwanda. Malaria Journal. 2019;18(1):1-19.

25. Ekusai-Sebatta D, Arinaitwe E, Mpimbaza A, Nankabirwa JI, Drakeley C, Rosenthal PJ, et al. Challenges and opportunities for use of long-lasting insecticidal nets to prevent malaria during overnight travel in Uganda: a qualitative study. Malaria Journal. 2021;20(283).

26. Esienumoh E, Mboho M, Ndiok A. Use of insecticide-treated nets by pregnant and childbearing-age women: action research in Southern Nigeria. African Journal of Midwifery and Women's Health. 2016;10(1):19-26.

27. Danhoundo G, Wiktorowicz ME, Premji SS, Nasiri K. Determinants of bed net policy implementation: A case study of Southern Benin. The International journal of health planning and management. 2018;33(1):e279-e92.

28. Asuquo EF, Edet OB, Sampson-Akpan PE, Duke E, Nsemo AD, Ajah CI. Utilization of insecticide treated nets among pregnant women and mothers with under five children in Ikot Omin Community, Calabar, Nigeria. Global Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences. 2017;23(1):167-75.

29. Pulford J, Oakiva T, Angwin A, Bryant M, Mueller I, Hetzel MW. Indifferent to disease: A qualitative investigation of the reasons why some Papua New Guineans who own mosquito nets choose not to use them. Social Science & Medicine. 2012;75(12):2283-90.

30. Jaeger MS, Briët OJT, Keating J, Ahorlu CK, Yukich JO, Oppong S, et al. Perceptions on the effect of small electric fans on comfort inside bed nets in southern Ghana: a qualitative study. Malaria Journal. 2016;15(580):(1 December 2016).

31. Pooseesod K, Parker DM, Meemon N, Lawpoolsri S, Singhasivanon P, Sattabongkot J, et al. Ownership and utilization of bed nets and reasons for use or non-use of bed nets among community members at risk of malaria along the Thai-Myanmar border. Malaria Journal. 2021;20(305).

32. Onyeneho NG. Sleeping under insecticide-treated nets to prevent malaria in Nigeria: what do we know? Journal of Health, Population & Nutrition. 2013;31(2):243-51.

33. Nnko SE, Whyte SR, Geissler WP, Aagaard-Hansen J. Scepticism towards insecticide treated mosquito nets for malaria control in rural community in north-western Tanzania. Tanzania journal of health research. 2012;14(2):96-103.

34. Berthe S, Loll D, Faye SL, Wone I, Koenker H, Arnold B, et al. "When I sleep under the net, nothing bothers me; I sleep well and I'm happy": Senegal's culture of net use and how inconveniences to net use do not translate to net abandonment. Malaria Journal. 2014;13(1):1-8.

35. Ahorlu CS, Adongo P, Koenker H, Zigirumugabe S, Sika-Bright S, Koka E, et al. Understanding the gap between access and use: a qualitative study on barriers and facilitators to insecticide-treated net use in Ghana. Malaria journal. 2019;18(1):1-13.

36. Strachan CE, Nuwa A, Muhangi D, Okui AP, Helinski ME, Tibenderana JK. What drives the consistent use of long-lasting insecticidal nets over time? A multi-method qualitative study in mid-western Uganda. Malaria journal. 2016;15(1):1-12.

37. Mattern C, Pourette D, Raboanary E, Kesteman T, Piola P, Randrianarivelojosia M, et al. "Tazomoka is not a problem". Local perspectives on malaria, fever case management and bed net use in Madagascar. PLoS ONE. 2016;11(3):e0151068.

38. Lam Y, Harvey SA, Monroe A, Muhangi D, Loll D, Kabali AT, et al. Decision-making on intra-household allocation of bed nets in Uganda: do households prioritize the most vulnerable members? Malaria journal. 2014;13:183.

39. Birhanu Z, Abebe L, Sudhakar M, Dissanayake G, Yihdego Y, Alemayehu G, et al. Access to and use gaps of insecticide-treated nets among communities in Jimma Zone, southwestern Ethiopia: baseline results from malaria education interventions. BMC Public Health. 2015;15(1):1-11.

40. Nyunt MH, Aye KM, Kyaw MP, Kyaw TT, Hlaing T, Oo K, et al. Challenges in universal coverage and utilization of insecticide-treated bed nets in migrant plantation workers in Myanmar. Malaria journal. 2014;13:211.

41. Taremwa IM, Ashaba S, Adrama HO, Ayebazibwe C, Omoding D, Kemeza I, et al. Knowledge, attitude and behaviour towards the use of insecticide treated mosquito nets among pregnant women and children in rural Southwestern Uganda. BMC Public Health. 2017;17(794):(10 October 2017).

42. Dunn CE, Le Mare A, Makungu C. Malaria risk behaviours, socio-cultural practices and rural livelihoods in southern Tanzania: Implications for bednet usage. Social Science & Medicine. 2011;72(3):408-17.

43. Monroe A, Harvey SA, Lam Y, Muhangi D, Loll D, Kabali AT, et al. "People will say that I am proud": a qualitative study of barriers to bed net use away from home in four Ugandan districts. Malaria journal. 2014;13(1):1-8.

44. Sande S, Jagals P, Mupeta B, Chadambuka A. An investigation of the use of rectangular insecticide-treated nets for malaria control in Chipinge District, Zimbabwe: a descriptive study. Pan African Medical Journal. 2012;13:5.

45. Brooks HM, Jean Paul MK, Claude KM, Mocanu V, Hawkes MT. Use and disuse of malaria bed nets in an internally displaced persons camp in the Democratic Republic of the Congo: A mixed-methods study. PloS one. 2017;12(9):e0185290.

46. Shwe Yi L, Thae Maung M, Tripathy JP, Shewade HD, Swai Mon O, Zaw L, et al. Barriers in distribution, ownership and utilization of insecticide-treated mosquito nets among migrant population in Myanmar, 2016: a mixed methods study. Malaria Journal. 2019;18(172):(14 May 2019).

47. Yirsaw AN, Gebremariam RB, Getnet WA, Mihret MS. Insecticide-treated net utilization and associated factors among pregnant women and under-five children in east Belessa district, Northwest Ethiopia: using the health belief model. Malaria Journal. 2021;20(130).

48. Hill J, Kayentao K, Achieng F, Diarra S, Dellicour S, Diawara SI, et al. Access and use of interventions to prevent and treat malaria among pregnant women in Kenya and Mali: a qualitative study. PloS one. 2015;10(3):e0119848.

49. Manu G, Boamah-Kaali EA, Febir LG, Ayipah E, Owusu-Agyei S, Asante KP. Low utilization of insecticide-treated bed net among pregnant women in the middle belt of Ghana. Malaria research and treatment. 2017;2017.

50. Beaudry C, Mouton J, Prozesky H. The Next Generation of Scientists in Africa. C. Beaudry JM, H.Prozesky, editor. Cape town, South Africa: African Minds; 2018.

51. Olmos-Vega FM, Stalmeijer RE, Varpio L, Kahlke R. A practical guide to reflexivity in qualitative research: AMEE Guide No. 149. Medical Teacher. 2022:1-11.

52. Leung L. Validity, reliability, and generalizability in qualitative research. J Family Med Prim Care. 2015;4(3):324-7.



Figure1: PRISMA flowchart showing the process of searching and selecting the relevant studies.

