Troponin Level at Presentation as a Prognostic Factor Among Patients Presenting with non-ST Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction

For the Jerusalem platelets thrombosis and intervention in cardiology (JUPITER-18) study group

Ranel Loutati^{1*}; Nimrod Perel MD^{1*}; Sharon Bruoha, MD²; Louay Taha MD¹; Meir Tabi MD¹;

David Marmor MD¹; Itshak Amsalem MD¹; Rafael Hitter MD¹, Mohammed Manassra MD¹;

Kamal Hamayel MD¹; Hani Karameh MD, MRCP¹; Tommer Maller MD¹; Yoed Steinmetz

MD¹; Mohammad Karmi MD¹; Mony Shuvy MD¹; Michael Glikson MD¹ and Elad Asher MD,

 MHA^1

¹ Department of Cardiology, Jesselson Integrated Heart Center, Shaare Zedek Medical Center

and Faculty of Medicine, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel

² Department of Cardiology, Barzilai Medical Center, The Ben-Gurion University of

the Negev, Israel.

*Mr. Loutati and Dr. Perel contributed equally to this article

Word count: 2036

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal

relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Corresponding author:

Ranel Loutati

Jesselson Integrated Heart Center; Shaare Zedek Medical Center

Jerusalem Israel

M: +972-54-3-291-789

ranel.loutati@mail.huji.ac.il

Abstract

Introduction: Timely reperfusion within 120 minutes is strongly recommended in patients

presenting with non-ST segment myocardial infarction (NSTEMI) with very high-risk features.

Evidence regarding the use of high sensitivity cardiac troponin (hs-cTn) concentration upon

admission for the risk-stratification of patients presenting with NSTEMI in order to expedite

percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) and thus potentially improve outcomes is limited.

Methods: All patients admitted to a tertiary care center intensive cardiac care unit (ICCU)

between July 2019 – July 2022 were included and were followed for up to 3 years. Hs-cTnI level

on presentation was recorded and patients were divided into four quartiles according to their hs-

cTnI level on admission. Association between the initial hs-cTnI level and all-cause mortality

during the follow-up period was examined.

Results: A total of 544 NSTEMI patients with a median age of 67 were included. There was no

difference between the initial hs-cTnI level groups regarding age and comorbidities. A higher

mortality rate was observed in the highest hs-cTnI quartile as compared with the lowest hs-cTnI

quartile (16.2% vs. 7.35%, p=0.03) with Hazard ratio (HR) for mortality of 2.6 (CI: 1.23-5.4;

p=0.012).

Conclusions: Patients presenting with NSTEMI and higher Hs-cTnI levels on admission were at

higher risk for mortality during follow-up. This finding supports further prospective studies to

examine the impact of early reperfusion strategy on mortality in patients presenting with

2

NSTEMI according to degree of troponin elevation on admission.

Introduction

Although substantial progress has been made in the invasive and pharmacologic therapies of acute coronary syndromes (ACS), the burden of ischemic heart disease is growing with more than 7 million people diagnosed annually with ACS worldwide ¹. Timely invasive reperfusion is the mainstay of treatment and is associated with a mortality reduction of up to 9% in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) and up to 6.5% in non ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI) 1,2. However, while immediate revascularization is strongly recommended for patients presenting with STEMI, patients presenting with NSTEMI undergo risk stratification to determine the timing for coronary angiography and reperfusion ^{3,4}. Urgent revascularization (< 2 hours) is recommended in the setting of NSTEMI with very high-risk features (i.e. hemodynamic instability, recurrent chest pain, malignant arrythmia, pulmonary congestion related to the MI, and cardiogenic shock). Otherwise, coronary angiography within 24 hours is advised. Cardiac biomarkers, in particular high-sensitivity cardiac troponin (hs-cTn), have shown promise in risk assessment of ACS patients. Hs-cTn has predictable kinetics in the setting of acute MI, and peak levels correlate with both ischemic time and quantity of jeopardized myocardium ⁵. Studies have consistently shown that elevated hs-cTn has high and incremental prognostic value in NSTEMI ⁶⁻¹⁰. In contrast, outcome data is inconsistent when hscTn levels are mildly elevated and/or non-dynamic ⁹. Current guidelines assign a class I level of recommendation for immediate and serial hs-cTn measurements for risk and prognostic stratification in NSTEMI ⁴, and urgent reperfusion is recommended in very high risk NSTEMI patients ^{11,12}. However these guidelines do not rely on hs-cTn levels to determine whether the urgent invasive approach is indicated. Hence, the aim of the current study was to evaluate whether high level of hs-cTn I (hs-cTnI) on admission correlates with outcomes.

Methods

Study population

All patients diagnosed with NSTEMI who were admitted to a tertiary care intensive cardiac care

unit (ICCU) at Shaare Zedek Medical Center between July 2019 – July 2022 were prospectively

recruited.

The diagnosis of NSTEMI was based on symptoms of myocardial ischemia, new ECG ischemic

changes, and a rising and/or falling pattern of high-sensitivity troponin with at least one value

above the 99th percentile URL, according to the ESC guidelines for ACS ⁴

Data collection

Data were anonymously documented in the ICCU by the local coordinator and prospectively

submitted into an electronic case report form (eCRF). Data were checked for accuracy and out-

of-range values by the coordinating unit. Demographic data, presenting symptoms, comorbid

conditions, and physical examination were systematically recorded. Laboratory, imaging,

angiographic results, and clinical course data were collected as well.

Patients were followed for up to three years after presentation. Patients were divided into four

quartiles according to their hs-cTnI level on admission. The first quartile served as the reference

group. Hs-cTnI assay was determined in a central laboratory (ARCHITECT STAT hs-cTnI

immunoassay) with a 99th percentile reference level of 17 ng/L for female, 35 ng/L for male and

28 ng/L for overall ¹³. Invasive and pharmacologic treatments were in accordance with the

European society of cardiology (ESC) guidelines for ACS¹³.

The Institutional review board approved the study based on strict maintenance of participants

anonymity by de-identifying during database analysis. No individual consent was obtained.

Moreover, the authors have no conflicts of interest to declare. No funding was applied to the

study. All methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations.

Study Outcomes

The primary outcome was all-cause mortality with a follow-up time of up to 3 years. Overall

mortality rate was determined from the Israeli Ministry of Internal Affairs.

Statistical analysis:

Continuous variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation if normally distributed or

median with interquartile range if skewed. Categorical variables were presented as frequency

(%). Continuous data were compared with the Student's t-test and Mann-Whitney test for

comparison of normally and non-normally distributed continuous variables, respectively.

Categorical data were compared with the use of the chi-square test or Fisher exact test. For

survival analysis patients were censored in the case of death or diagnosis of malignant cancer

during follow-up.

The probability of death according to the study groups was graphically displayed according to

the method of Kaplan-Meier, with a comparison of cumulative survival across strata by the log-

rank test. Univariate Cox proportional hazards regression modeling was used to determine the

unadjusted Hazard Ratio (HR) for all-cause mortality of patients with high presenting troponin

levels. All analyses were performed R software version 3.4.4 (R Foundation for Statistical

Computing). An association was considered statistically significant for a two-sided P value of

5

less than 0.05.

Results:

Baseline characteristics:

A total of 665 patients with NSTEMI were screened, of them 121 were excluded due to missing data or loss of follow-up, hence, the final study population included 544 patients. Mean age was 68 (±11) years old and 122 (22%) were female. Patients' characteristics are present in table 1. Three hundred and fifty (64%) patients suffered from hypertension, 233 (43%) from diabetes mellitus (DM), 342 (63%) from dyslipidemia, 184 (34%) patients were active smokers, and 39 (7%) patients had prior ischemic heart disease.

Hs-cTnI levels at presentation:

Hs-cTnI levels in each quartile were: a) ≤122 ng/L; b) 123-680 ng/L; c) 681-2877 ng/L and d) ≥ 2878 ng/L. Median initial hs-cTnI level was 680 ng/L (range 0-150,008 ng/L). There were no differences regarding age or comorbidities between the 4 initial hs-cTnI level quartiles. Prevalence of male gender, higher peak level of hs-cTnI during admission, C reactive protein (CRP), and fibrinogen were greater in the 4th quartile as compared with the 1st quartile (table 1).

Interventions and Complications during Admission:

Specific procedures performed during the ICCU admission course are reported in Table 2. PCI was performed in 369 (68%) patients, coronary angiography without intervention was performed in 119 (22%), coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) was performed in 27 (4.9%) patients, and medication therapy alone was assigned to only 29 (5.1%) of the patients. There were no differences in the treatments between the patients in all quartiles as shown in Table 2. Overall

complication rate during admission was 15% with no significant differences between the

quartiles, as presented in Table 3. Acute renal failure (ARF) and shock were more common in

the 4th quartile as compared with the 1st quartile (7.4% vs. 2.9%, p=0.07 and 9.5% vs. 5.1%,

p=0.062, respectively).

Mortality rate during follow-up:

Death rate was 10 (7.3%), 24 (17.6%), 14 (10.3%) and 22 (16.2%) for each quartile, respectively

as shown in Figure 1. Age, left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), ischemic heart disease,

heart failure, hypertension, and DM were all predictors of poor survival (Table 4).

The cumulative probability of death at 1 year was 6.5±2.4%, 5±3.8%, 10±2.5%, and 22±4% for

each quartile respectively (Figure 2; Log Rank p = 0.026 for overall difference during follow-

up). Patients who presented with initial hs-cTnI in the 4th quartile were 2.6 times more likely to

die at 1 year follow-up, as compared with patients in the 1st quartile (HR 2.6 95% CI 1.23 – 5.4,

p=0.012). A multivariate Cox model adjusted for age, gender, and serum creatinine showed

consistent results (HR 2.26 95% CI 1.04 – 4.89, p=0.04) as was further adjustment of the model

for revascularization (PCI and CABG) during admission (HR 2.15 95% CI 0.95-4.65, p=0.06).

Dose response effect of initial hs-cTnI levels on mortality:

To investigate the potential dose response relationship between initial hs-cTnI and mortality, we

plotted the risk of death at 1 year of follow-up using Kaplan-Meier survival analysis across

various levels of initial hs-cTnI, as depicted in Figure 3. The plot exhibits a sigmoidal curve,

characterized by a gradual increase in mortality with rising levels of initial hs-cTnI, until

reaching a plateau at hs-cTnI = 1000 ng/L. Notably, a second peak in mortality risk emerges at

hs-cTnI = 2878 ng/L.

Discussion:

The main findings of our study are a) we have demonstrated that any increase in hs-cTnI levels

upon admission for patients with NSTEMI carries prognostic implications, b) we have identified

a subgroup of patients with initial high hs-cTnI levels representing the 4th quartile of our study

(hs-cTnI ≥ 2878 ng/L), who are at higher risk of mortality, and c) even after adjusting the

multivariate analysis, initial high hs-cTnI levels remained an independent predictor for 1-year

mortality.

To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to examine the association between hs-cTnI

levels upon hospital admission and long-term overall survival in patients diagnosed with

NSTEMI. Prior studies that have examined the association between initial troponin levels and

mortality have included patients with a broader range of diagnoses, encompassing all types of

acute myocardial infraction ¹⁴ or patients presenting with chest pain ¹⁵. Another significant

distinction between our study and previous ones is our focus on examining troponin levels at

presentation, as opposed to peak troponin levels or changes in troponin during admission course,

which has been linked to adverse clinical outcomes and mortality ¹⁵⁻¹⁷.

Our first finding further supports the conclusions of Antman et al. regarding the role of troponin

level upon hospital admission and short-term mortality in ACS patients¹⁸. The identification of a

higher risk subgroup with hs-cTnI levels greater or equal to 2878 ng/L is very intriguing, as this

subgroup did not exhibit any distinctive baseline characteristics or complications. We

hypothesized that the heightened risk of mortality in those patients is likely a result of later

changes that occur in the myocardium of NSTEMI patients, such as remodeling, which is related

to elevated risk of death¹⁹. Hence there were no between-group differences in the short-term

period. In a large observational study comprising 4,123 patients with non-ST-segment elevation

ACS, Kontos et al. demonstrated a gradual rise in cardiac mortality as peak cTnI levels increased

at 30-day and 6-month follow-up intervals¹⁵. Our results are consistent with these findings.

However, our aim was to investigate specifically the association between initial cTnI levels and

mortality over a longer follow-up period. From a clinical perspective, our results might have

greater clinical implications due to the dynamic nature of ACS patients.

Bagai et al. showed that there is a differential relationship between the magnitude of peak

troponin elevation and long-term mortality in ACS patients treated with and without

revascularization¹⁶. Our findings also suggest better prognosis after revascularization regarding

the association between initial hs-cTnI levels and mortality, although this was not the aim of our

study and it was not powered for that. he association between initial hs-cTnI levels and mortality,

and the lower mortality rate in revascularized patients, imply that initial hs-cTnI levels may be

useful in determining the need for urgent revascularization in NSTEMI patients. Current

NSTEMI guidelines advise revascularization within 120 minutes in patients with very high-risk

characteristics⁴, regardless of the level of cardiac biomarkers. However, based on our results and

previous critical studies, initial hs-cTnI can significantly add to the decision-making process for

9

urgent revascularization among NSTEMI patients.

Study limitations:

Our study has several limitations: 1) The study was conducted in a single tertiary-care ICCU, resulting in a relatively small study population of 544 patients. Nevertheless, we included all-comers in a real-life setting. 2) Our analysis was based on overall mortality rather than cardiovascular mortality. Though mortality statistics in Israel closely resemble those of the European Union, where cardiovascular death is the second most prevalent cause of death following cancer²⁰. 3) There may be unmeasured clinical variables that could explain our observations, including the time elapsed between symptom onset to hs-cTnI testing, details regarding coronary angiography beyond the culprit vessel, including lesion description and the exact type of stents employed in the PCI group.

In conclusion:

Despite the prognostic implications of troponin levels in ACS, current guidelines for urgent revascularization in NSTEMI patients do not incorporate this biomarker in their decision-making process. In our study, we have demonstrated an association between hs-cTnI levels upon admission and overall survival in patients diagnosed with NSTEMI. Furthermore, we identified a subgroup that is at a heightened risk of mortality according to their initial hs-cTnI level. Importantly, this association becomes less significant when early reperfusion strategy is taken into account. These findings suggest that initial hs-cTnI levels could serve as an important decision-making tool for determining reperfusion strategies in NSTEMI patients. Further prospective studies are warranted to investigate the impact of early reperfusion strategies on mortality in NSTEMI patients categorized by their initial presenting troponin levels.

References

- 1. Bhatt DL, Lopes RD, Harrington RA. Diagnosis and Treatment of Acute Coronary Syndromes: A Review. *JAMA*. Feb 15 2022;327(7):662-675. doi:10.1001/jama.2022.0358
- 2. Case BC, Yerasi C, Wang Y, et al. Admissions Rate and Timing of Revascularization in the United States in Patients With Non-ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction. *Am J Cardiol*. 11 01 2020;134:24-31. doi:10.1016/j.amjcard.2020.08.010
- 3. Ibanez B, James S, Agewall S, et al. 2017 ESC Guidelines for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation: The Task Force for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur Heart J*. 01 07 2018;39(2):119-177. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehx393
- 4. Collet JP, Thiele H, Barbato E, et al. 2020 ESC Guidelines for the management of acute coronary syndromes in patients presenting without persistent ST-segment elevation. *Eur Heart J*. 04 07 2021;42(14):1289-1367. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa575
- 5. Wanamaker BL, Seth MM, Sukul D, et al. Relationship Between Troponin on Presentation and In-Hospital Mortality in Patients With ST-Segment-Elevation Myocardial Infarction Undergoing Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 10 2019;8(19):e013551. doi:10.1161/JAHA.119.013551
- 6. Neumann JT, Twerenbold R, Ojeda F, et al. Application of High-Sensitivity Troponin in Suspected Myocardial Infarction. *N Engl J Med*. 06 27 2019;380(26):2529-2540. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1803377
- 7. Nguyen TL, Phan JA, Hee L, et al. High-sensitivity troponin T predicts infarct scar characteristics and adverse left ventricular function by cardiac magnetic resonance imaging early after reperfused acute myocardial infarction. *Am Heart J.* Oct 2015;170(4):715-725.e2. doi:10.1016/j.ahj.2015.06.022
- 8. Blankenberg S, Salomaa V, Makarova N, et al. Troponin I and cardiovascular risk prediction in the general population: the BiomarCaRE consortium. *Eur Heart J*. Aug 07 2016;37(30):2428-37. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehw172
- 9. Roos A, Edgren G, Holzmann MJ. Unstable Angina Pectoris With Myocardial Injury Versus Myocardial Infarction in the Era of High-Sensitivity Cardiac Troponin. *Am J Cardiol*. 04 15 2022;169:32-41. doi:10.1016/j.amjcard.2021.12.044

- 10. López-Fernández S, Cequier A, Iràculis E, et al. [Elevated troponin I levels in patients with acute coronary syndrome without ST elevation are associated with increased complexity of the culprit lesion]. *Rev Esp Cardiol*. Apr 2004;57(4):291-8.
- 11. Mehta SR, Granger CB, Boden WE, et al. Early versus delayed invasive intervention in acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med*. May 21 2009;360(21):2165-75. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa0807986
- 12. Kofoed KF, Kelbæk H, Hansen PR, et al. Early Versus Standard Care Invasive Examination and Treatment of Patients With Non-ST-Segment Elevation Acute Coronary Syndrome. *Circulation*. 12 11 2018;138(24):2741-2750. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.118.037152
- 13. Koerbin, Gus, et al. "Effect of Population Selection on 99th Percentile Values for a High Sensitivity Cardiac Troponin I and T Assays." Clinical Biochemistry, vol. 46, no. 16, Nov. 2013, pp. 1636–43, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2013.08.004.
- 14. Ohman, E. Magnus, et al. "Cardiac Troponin T Levels for Risk Stratification in Acute Myocardial Ischemia." New England Journal of Medicine, vol. 335, no. 18, Oct. 1996, pp. 1333–42, https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199610313351801.
- 15. Kontos, Michael C., et al. "Implication of Different Cardiac Troponin I Levels for Clinical Outcomes and Prognosis of Acute Chest Pain Patients." Journal of the American College of Cardiology, vol. 43, no. 6, Mar. 2004, pp. 958–65, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2003.10.036.
- 16. Bagai, Akshay, et al. "Magnitude of Troponin Elevation and Long-Term Clinical Outcomes in Acute Coronary Syndrome Patients Treated With and Without Revascularization." Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions, vol. 8, no. 6, June 2015, p. e002314, https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.115.002314.
- 17. Bjurman, Christian, et al. "Small Changes in Troponin T Levels Are Common in Patients With Non–ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction and Are Linked to Higher Mortality." Journal of the American College of Cardiology, vol. 62, no. 14, Oct. 2013, pp. 1231–38, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2013.06.050.
- 18. Antman, Elliott M., et al. "Cardiac-Specific Troponin I Levels to Predict the Risk of Mortality in Patients with Acute Coronary Syndromes." New England Journal of Medicine, vol. 335, no. 18, Oct. 1996, pp. 1342–49, https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199610313351802.
- 19. Sutton, Martin G. St. John, and Norman Sharpe. "Left Ventricular Remodeling After Myocardial Infarction." Circulation, vol. 101, no. 25, June 2000, pp. 2981–88, https://doi.org/10.1161/01.CIR.101.25.2981.
- 20. Goldberger N, Aburbeh M, Haklai Z. Leading causes of death in Israel 2000–2019. Tel Aviv, Isr Heal Inf Div Minist Heal. 2022;

Tables and Figures

Table 1 – Baseline characteristics

Chrive apvariables:// (which was not certified b	y peer review) is the < 122 ng/All right	Initial hs-cTnl author/funder, who s betweenNb22 us	th is yersion sosted A has granted medRx e betwee nt 680 pe	wnittal∠Hs⊋. The iv a license to disp roTspica.2878	lay the preprint in (n=544)	perpetuity.
	(25 th percentile) (n=136) (25%)	ng/L – 680 ng/L (50 th percentile) (n=136) (25%)	ng/L – 2878 ng/L (75 th percentile) (n=136) (25%)	ng/L (75 th percentile) (n=136) (25%)	,	
Age in years (median[IQR])	66 (58 – 75)	65 (58-78)	67 (59-76)	69 (60 – 79)	67 (58-77)	0.803
Female sex – no. (%)	22 (16.2%)	41 (30.1%)	36 (26.5%)	23 (16.9%)	122 (22.4%)	0.0227
BMI mean (SD)	28 (4.49)	28 (5.16)	28.5 (5.15)	28.3 (5)	28.2 (4.95)	0.909
Hypertension – no. (%)	93 (68.4%)	93 (68.4%)	80 (58.8%)	84 (61.8%)	350 (64.3%)	0.388
DM – no. (%)	53 (39%)	65 (47.8%)	56 (41.2%)	59 (43.4%)	233 (42.8%)	0.669
Hyperlipidemia – no. (%)	94 (69.1%)	89 (65.4%)	82 (60.3%)	77 (56.6%)	342 (62.9%)	0.256
Smoking – no. (%)	38 (27.9%)	47 (34.6%)	46 (33.8%)	53 (39%)	184 (33.8%)	0.442
Prior CAD – no. (%)	63 (46.3%)	60 (44.1%)	47 (34.6%)	46 (33.8%)	216 (39.7%)	0.133
Prior CABG – no. (%)	10 (7.43%)	13 (9.6%)	7 (5.1%)	12 (8.8%)	42 (7.7%)	0.705
CVA – no. (%)	7 (5.1%)	10 (7.4%)	12 (8.8%)	12 (8.8%)	41 (7.5%)	0.778
PAD – no. (%)	4 (2.9%)	5 (3.7%)	11 (8.1%)	12 (8.8%)	32 (5.9%)	0.156
CHF or CMP – no. (%)	8 (5.9%)	11 (8.1%)	6 (4.4%)	17 (12.5%)	42 (7.7%)	0.13
COPD – no. (%)	6 (4.4%)	13 (9.6%)	9 (6.6%)	7 (5.1%)	35 (6.4%)	0.476
Atrial fibrillation – no. (%)	12 (8.8%)	10 (7.4%)	14 (10.3%)	9 (6.6%)	45 (8.3%)	0.839
CKD – no. (%)	15 (11%)	17 (12.5%)	8 (5.9%)	21 (15.4%)	61 (11.2%)	0.161
S/P Malignancy – no. (%)	5 (3.7%)	9 (6.6%)	12 (8.8%)	14 (10.3%)	40 (7.4%)	0.291
EF % mean (SD)	49.5 (11)	49.1 (10.5)	48.7 (10.7)	48.3 (11.1)	48.9 (10.8)	0.917
Serum creatinine mg/dl mean (SD)	1.1 (0.2)	1.25 (0.6)	1.2 (0.4)	1.28 (0.4)	1.23 (0.4)	0.586
Albumin g\dL mean (SD)	3.45 (0.536)	3.88 (0.464)	3.73 (0.38)	3.72 (1.217)	3.75 (0.435)	0.593
Peak hs-cTnI ng/L mean (SD)	10,000 (23,200)	9690 (27,100)	9430 (19,400)	36,100 (63,100)	16,300 (39,100)	<0.001
HDL mg/dl mean (SD)	41.1 (11.5)	39.8 (12.3)	40.3 (11.6)	39 (12.1)	40.1 (11.9)	0.718
LDL mg/dl mean (SD)	97.6 (40.8)	92.6 (38)	99 (39.6)	99.2 (38.8)	97.6 (40)	0.535
CRP mg/L mean (SD)	0.753 (1.21)	1.43 (1.3)	2.2 (1.7)	3.6 (2.1)	2 (2.3)	<0.001
HbA1c % mean (SD)	6.41 (1.38)	6.35 (1.32)	6.46 (1.63)	6.53 (1.63)	6.44 (1.49)	0.926

TSH mIU/ml mean (SD)	1.77 (1.33)	1.99 (1.56)	2.12 (1.47)	1.92 (1.55)	1.95 (1.48)	0.426
IPF % mean (SD)	5.09 (2.81)	5.55 (2.38)	5.16 (2.97)	5.35 (2.86)	5.28 (2.76)	0.77
Culprit vessel – no.					254 (46.7%)	
(%)	70 (51.5%)	58 (42.6%)	60 (44.1%)	66 (48.6%)	107 (19.7%)	0.452
LAD	22 (16.1%)	29 (21.3%)	27 (19.8%)	29 (21.3%)	96 (17.6%)	0.728
RCA	20 (14.7%)	24 (17.7%)	25 (18.4%)	27 (19.8%)	87 (16%)	0.138
Сх	24 (17.7%)	25 (18.4%)	24 (17.7%)	14 (10.3%)		
Other/Unknown						

BMI=Body mass index; DM=Diabetes mellitus; CAD=coronary artery disease; CABG=Coronary artery bypass graft surgery; CVA=Cerebrovascular accident; PAD=Peripheral artery disease; CHF=Congestive heart failure; CMP=Cardiomyopathy; COPD=Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CKD=chronic kidney disease; EF=Ejection fraction; hs-cTnI = high sensitivity cardiac troponin I; HDL=high density lipoprotein; LDL=low density lipoprotein; CRP=C reactive protein; HbA1c=hemoglobin A1C; TSH=thyroid stimulating hormone; IPF=immature platelet fraction; LAD=left anterior descending; RCA=right coronary artery; Cx=circumflex artery.

Table 2 – Interventions during admission

Type of procedure	Initial hs- cTnI < 122 ng/L (25 th percentile) (n=136) (25%)	Initial hs- cTnI between 122 ng/L – 680 ng/L (50 th percentile) (n=136) (25%)	ng/L-2878	Initial hs- cTnI ≥ 2878 ng/L (75 th percentile) (n=136) (25%)	Overall (n=544)	P-Value
PPCI – no. (%)	17 (12.5%)	9 (6.6%)	16 (11.8%)	8 (5.9%)	50 (9.2%)	0.221

PCI – no. (%)	72 (52.9%)	86 (63.2%)	80 (58.8%)	81 (59.6%)	319 (58.6%)	0.549
Cath. – no. (%)	31 (22.8%)	29 (21.3%)	31 (22.8%)	28 (20.6%)	119 (21.9%)	0.99
CABG – no. (%)	8 (5.9%)	4 (3%)	8 (5.9%)	7 (5.1%)	27 (5%)	0.681
Medications alone – no. (%)	8 (5.9%)	8 (5.9%)	1 (0.7%)	12 (8.8%)	29 (5.1%)	0.669
Pacemaker/ICD – no. (%)	3 (2.2%)	0 (0%)	2 (1.5%)	1 (0.7%)	6 (1.1%)	0.498
CPR - no. (%)	4 (2.9%)	1 (0.7%)	2 (1.5%)	2 (1.5%)	9 (1.7%)	0.709
Mechanical Ventilation – no. (%)	8 (5.9%)	5 (3.7%)	4 (2.9%)	5 (3.7%)	22 (4%)	0.79
RRT – no. (%)	4 (3%)	9 (6.6%)	7 (5.1%)	7 (5.1%)	27 (5%)	0.432
IABP – no. (%)	2 (1.5%)	2 (1.5%)	2 (1.5%)	5 (3.7%)	11 (2%)	0.654

PPCI=Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention; PCI=Percutaneous Coronary Intervention; Cath.=Coronary angiography without intervention; CABG=Coronary Artery Bypass Graft; ICD=Implantable Cardioverter Defibrilator; CPR=Cardio-Pulmonary Resuscitation; RRT=Renal replacement therapy; IABP=Intra-Aortic baloon pump

Table 3 – Complications during admission

	ng/L (25 th percentile)	122 ng/L -	680 ng/L –	Initial hs- cTnI \geq 2878 ng/L (75 th percentile) (n=136) (25%)	Overall (n=544)	P-Value
Malignant Arrhythmia – no. (%)	2 (1.5%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.7%)	2 (1.5%)	5 (0.9%)	0.695

Shock* – no. (%)	7 (5.1%)	6 (4.4%)	6 (4.4%)	13 (9.5%)	32 (5.9%)	0.062
Stroke/TIA. – no. (%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.7%)	3 (2.2%)	1 (0.7%)	5 (0.9%)	0.695
ARF – no. (%)	4 (2.9%)	2 (1.5%)	3 (2.2%)	10 (7.4%)	19 (3.5%)	0.07
Significant bleed – no. (%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.7%)	3 (2.2%)	5 (3.7%)	9 (1.7%)	0.155

ARF=Acute Renal Failure *any type of shock

Table 4 – Univariate cox model

Clinical variables	Hazard Ratio (HR)	95% CI	P value
Age	1.05	1.02-1.07	<0.001
Sex (Male)	0.65	0.39-1.08	0.1
BMI (continuous)	0.99	0.94-1.04	0.7
Obesity (BMI>30)	0.9	0.54-1.50	0.7
LVEF	0.94	0.91-0.97	<0.001
Low-LVEF (<41%)	2.87	1.75-4.70	<0.001
Initial Troponin >= Q1 (122)	2.05	1.05-4	0.003
PCI	0.48	0.29-0.81	<0.001

Ischemic heart disease	2.90	1.56-5.40	<0.001
Heart failure	2.78	1.49-5.20	0.001
Arterial hypertension	2.71	1.42-5.17	0.002
Diabetes mellitus	1.68	1.04-2.70	0.032
COPD	1.40	0.66-2.94	0.4
Dyslipidemia	1.30	0.79-2.14	0.3
Cerebrovascular accident	1.33	0.61-2.91	0.5
Peripheral vascular disease	2.41	1.23-4.71	0.01
Smoking	0.46	0.26-0.83	0.01
Malignancy	1.23	0.56-2.68	0.6

BMI=Body Mass Index; LVEF=Left ventricle ejection fraction; PCI=Percutaneous Coronary Intervention; COPD = Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease

Figure 1 – Bar plot for the four groups of patients with initial hs-cTnl at various quartiles:




Fig.1: This bar plot shows the relative portion of deceased patients in each of the four initial hs-cTnI groups of patients. Hazard ratios (HR) for mortality between the $\mathbf{1}^{\text{st}}$ quartile and the 3 others are also depicted, indicating greater risk of mortality as initial hs-cTnI rises.

<u>Figure 2 – Kaplan-Meier curves for the four mutually exclusive groups based on initial hs-cTnl quartiles:</u>




Fig.2: Kaplan-Meier survival curves demonstrating lower survival probability in patients with higher initial hs-cTnI levels. Log Rank p < 0.001

<u>Figure 3 – Interpolated Death Risk (%) based on survival probability at various hs-cTnl values, demonstrating later peak in mortality at hs-cTnl = 2878 ng/L:</u>






Fig.3: This graph represented the interpolated risk for mortality at 1 year of presentation, based on initial hs-cTnI levels. As initial hs-cTnI levels increased, so is the risk for mortality. A second, later peak, in the death risk is seen when initial hs-cTnI levels reached the 4th quartile.

Figure 1 – Bar plot for the four groups of patients with initial hs-cTnI at various quartiles:



<u>Figure 2 – Kaplan-Meier curves for the four mutually exclusive groups based on initial hs-cTnI quartiles:</u>

Initial hs-cTnl by quartiles



<u>Figure 3 – Interpolated Death Risk (%) based on survival probability at various hs-cTnl values, demonstrating later peak in mortality at hs-cTnl = 2878 ng/L:</u>

