1 Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes

2 International Classification of Diseases (ICD) Codes for Congenital Heart Defects (CHD) Have

3 Variable and Limited Accuracy for Detecting CHD Cases

- 4 Authors: Lindsey C. Ivey, MPH¹, Fred H. Rodriguez III, MD^{1,2}, Haoming Shi, BS³, Cohen Chong, BS⁴, Joy
- 5 Chen, MPH⁵, Cheryl Raskind-Hood, MS, MPH⁴, Karrie F. Downing, MPH⁶, Sherry L. Farr, PhD⁶, Wendy M.
- 6 Book, $MD^{1,4}$

7 Affiliations:

- 8 ¹Division of Cardiology, Emory University School of Medicine, Division of Cardiology, Atlanta, GA
- 9 ²Children's Healthcare of Atlanta Cardiology, Atlanta, GA
- 10 ³Department of Biomedical Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology and Emory University, Atlanta, GA
- 11 ⁴Emory University Rollins School of Public Health, Atlanta, GA
- 12 ⁵PicnicHealth, San Francisco, CA
- ⁶ National Center on Birth Defects and Developmental Disabilities, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta,
 GA
- 15
- 16 Corresponding Author:
- 17 Wendy M. Book
- 18 1365 Clifton Rd. NE, 2nd floor Cardiology
- 19 Atlanta, GA 30322
- 20 Email: <u>wbook@emory.edu</u>
- 21 Author email addresses: Lindsey C. Ivey, <u>lindsey.carrie.ivey@emory.edu</u>; Fred H. Rodriguez III,
- 22 fred.rodriguez@emory.edu; Haoming Shi, haoming.shi@emory.edu; Cohen Chong,
- 23 cohenchong3712@outlook.com; Joy Chen, joywchen@berkeley.edu; Cheryl Raskind-Hood,
- 24 craskin@emory.edu; Karrie F. Downing, yyx9@cdc.gov; Sherry L. Farr, bwa0@cdc.gov

25

26 Keywords: Congenital heart defects, outcomes research, epidemiology

28

- 29 Disclaimer: The findings and conclusion in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily
- 30 represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention(CDC). The analysis was
- 31 replicated at CDC by co-author Karrie Downing.

32 Author CRediT statement

- 33 Lindsey C. Ivey: conceptualization, methodology, investigation, resources, data curation, writing original
- 34 draft and review and editing, analysis, supervision, project administration
- 35 Fred H. Rodriguez III: conceptualization, methodology, investigation, data curation, review and editing,
- 36 supervision, project administration
- 37 Haoming Shi: data curation, analysis, writing review and editing
- 38 Cohen Chong: data curation
- 39 Joy Chen: data curation
- 40 Cheryl Raskind-Hood: conceptualization, methodology, analysis, writing review and editing
- 41 Karrie Downing: conceptualization, methodology, review and editing, project administration
- 42 Sherry Farr: conceptualization, methodology, review and editing, project administration
- 43 Wendy M. Book: conceptualization, methodology, investigation, data curation, writing original draft and
- 44 review and editing, preparation, supervision, project administration, funding acquisition
- 45
- 46
- 47
- 48
- 49
- 50

51 ABSTRACT (word count 300)

- 52 Background: Administrative data permits analysis of large cohorts but relies on International
- 53 Classification of Diseases, Ninth and Tenth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD) codes that may
- 54 not reflect true congenital heart defects (CHD).
- 55 Methods: 1497 cases with at least one encounter between 1/1/2010 12/31/2019 in two healthcare
- 56 systems (one adult, one pediatric) identified by at least one of 87 ICD CHD codes were validated
- 57 through chart review for the presence of CHD and CHD anatomic group.
- 58 **Results**: Inter- and intra-observer reliability averaged > 95%. Positive predictive value (PPV) of ICD
- codes for CHD was 68.1% (1020/1497) overall, 94.6% (123/130) for cases identified in both
- 60 healthcare systems, 95.8% (249/260) for severe codes, 52.6% (370/703) for shunt codes, 75.9%
- 61 (243/320) for valve codes, 73.5% (119/162) for shunt and valve codes, and 75.0% (39/52) for "Other
- 62 CHD" (7 ICD codes). PPV for cases with >1 unique CHD code was 85.4% (503/589) vs. 56.3%
- 63 (498/884) for one CHD code. Of cases with secundum atrial septal defect ICD codes 745.5/Q21.1 in
- 64 isolation, 30.9% (123/398) had a confirmed CHD. Patent foramen ovale was present in 66.2%
- 65 (316/477) of false positives (FP). The median number of unique CHD-coded encounters was higher
- 66 for true positives (TP) than FP (2.0; interquartile range [IQR]: 1.0-3.0 vs 1.0; IQR:1.0-1.0,
- ⁶⁷ respectively, p<0.0001). TP had younger mean age at first encounter with a CHD code than FP (22.4
- 68 years vs 26.3 years, p=0.0017).

69 Conclusion: PPV of CHD ICD codes varies by characteristics for detection of CHD by ICD code 70 and anatomic grouping. While an ICD code for severe CHD and/or the presence of a case in more 71 than one data source, regardless of anatomic group, is associated with higher PPV for CHD, most TP 72 cases did not have these characteristics. The development of algorithms to improve accuracy may 73 improve administrative data for CHD surveillance.

75 INTRODUCTION

The prevalence of congenital heart defects (CHD) beyond the first year of life varies across 76 the United States depending on the data source, case definition, and methodology used by 77 investigators¹⁻³. Public health surveillance to determine disease prevalence and long-term outcomes 78 79 for this population often relies on large administrative and clinical datasets from various sources including electronic medical records (eMR) and billing data from hospital systems and government 80 agencies⁴⁻⁶. The reliability of CHD case detection in eMR and administrative data depends on the 81 82 accuracy of International Classification of Diseases, Ninth and Tenth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM & ICD-10-CM) diagnosis code documentation in patient records⁷⁻⁹. 83 Identifying CHD cases by ICD codes may be prone to errors from misspecification, upcoding, rule-84 out codes, and other issues that contribute to false positive (FP) and false negative classifications⁷. 85 86 CHD studies often use ICD-9-CM and ICD-10-CM code groups, 745.xx - 747.xx (63 codes) and Q20.x – Q26.x (73 codes) respectively, to define a CHD cohort^{2, 10}. Some of the codes in this group, 87 88 however, represent gastrointestinal, renal, spinal, extremity, umbilical artery, and other non-cardiac 89 vascular anomalies. ICD-10-CM relocated many non-cardiac vascular anomalies into groups Q27.x 90 and Q28.x, but non-specific CHD codes remain within Q20.x – Q26.x. Additionally, some codes 91 intended to represent CHD lack diagnostic specificity and have resulted in poor positive predictive values (PPV) for true CHD identification^{2, 9, 11-15}. For example, ICD-9-CM code 745.5, which 92 represents both secundum atrial septal defect (ASD) and patent foramen ovale (PFO) had a PPV of 93 only 23.7% in an adolescent and adult population^{2, 11}. Exclusion of code 745.5 and CHD codes 94 95 categorized as "other" increased PPV of CHD codes over 10 percentage points to 86.5%,¹⁶ but at the expense of losing an estimated 24% of true CHD cases with code 745.5 in an administrative data set. 96 Differences in coding practices between administrative and clinical databases can lead to 97 differences in case ascertainment, and subsequently, prevalence and outcome estimations^{17, 18}. A 98

99	hierarchal algorithm of 17 CHD subgroups found that the c-statistic for the code hierarchy alone was
100	0.79 (0.77–0.80) and improved to 0.89 (0.88–0.90) after age, encounter type, and provider type were
101	included in the algorithm ^{9, 19} . Therefore, algorithms and hierarchies ^{2, 19-21} accounting for limitations
102	of administrative coding are often applied to restrict a cohort to those individuals most likely to have
103	the condition of interest, at the expense of unintentional exclusion of true cases from the dataset.
104	Due to limitations in administrative data, restrictive case definitions may underestimate
105	patients with CHD; however, unrestrictive case definitions that include the broader code groups
106	745.xx – 747.xx and Q20.x – Q26.x may overestimate patients with CHD. To understand limitations
107	of and improve the quality of administrative data for CHD surveillance, we evaluated the PPV of
108	select ICD-9-CM and ICD-10-CM CHD codes in two data sources and compared features associated
109	with both true positive (TP) and FP cases.
110	METHODS
111	This study utilized data from two Georgia-based statewide multi-hospital and outpatient
112	tertiary healthcare systems — a pediatric healthcare system (PHS), and an adult healthcare system
113	(AHS). Data were collected under a Cooperative Agreement between Emory University and Centers
114	for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC-RFA-DD19-1202B). Study approval by the Emory
115	University Institutional Review Board (IRB) was granted on August 26, 2020 (IRB#
116	STUDY00001030) and included a complete waiver of HIPAA authorization as well as a waiver of
117	informed consent.
118	Case Selection for Chart Abstraction
119	The cohort identified for case validation consisted of individuals born between 1/1/1955 and
120	12/31/2019, who were identified in at least one of the two clinical data sources with a healthcare
121	encounter between 1/1/2010–12/31/2019 and with at least one of 87 selected CHD ICD-9-CM and

ICD-10-CM codes noted in Table 1. Forty-nine ICD codes in the CHD code groups 745.xx – 747.xx 122 and Q20.x – Q26.x (25 ICD-9-CM and 24 ICD-10-CM) were excluded due to lack of specificity for 123 CHD. We hereafter referred to those meeting the case definition as "cases" even if determined not to 124 have a CHD after validation. Because patent ductus arteriosus (PDA) can naturally resolve in 125 infancy, cases with a PDA code associated only with encounters in the first three months of life were 126 excluded from selection, unless they had other included CHD codes. From a cohort of 15,504 127 individuals identified in the AHS meeting inclusion criteria, 750 cases at least 18 years of age as of 128 1/1/2010 were randomly selected. From a cohort of 36,399 in the PHS who met inclusion criteria, 129 another 750 cases were randomly selected for chart abstraction. Encounter level CHD codes were 130 grouped based on native anatomy into severe, shunt and valve, only shunt, only valve, and other 131 CHD^2 (Table 1). 132

133 Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap) Database Development

134 Study data were collected and managed using Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap), 135 a secure, web-based application designed to support data capture for research studies.^{22, 23} Only 136 demographics were entered into the REDCap database to enable abstractors to locate the eMRs for 137 each case, with abstractors blinded to ICD codes. The REDCap database was developed, tested, and 138 revised using an existing dataset from the same facilities, with clinician input.

139 Abstractor Training, Process, and Quality Review

140 Two board certified CHD clinicians (WMB and FHR) trained the abstractors through an 141 iterative process to identify the correct CHD diagnosis for a case. The clinicians reviewed cases 142 weekly for accuracy. Inter- and intra-observer reliability between chart abstractors and CHD 143 clinicians were measured in three formal training rounds for 102 test cases, in an iterative process 144 using the 2010–2019 dataset. The same cases were randomly assigned to each abstractor and

clinician. Clinician determination based on chart abstraction was considered the gold standard. For 145 the training cases, inter-observer and intra-observer reliability averaged 95%. For each case, 146 abstractors determined CHD Yes/No/Unknown and the anatomic group based on review of text 147 clinical documents of any available records, including healthcare system-associated health network 148 records and scanned outside records. Unknown CHD was defined as the absence of clinical records 149 sufficient to determine if a case has a CHD, such as no encounter notes in the eMR. Not CHD was 150 defined in the medical record documentation as the absence of heart defects recorded in imaging or 151 provider notes. PFO, and other non-CHD cardiac diagnoses were noted for all Not CHD and 152 reviewed by clinicians. During the validation process, a PDA in isolation in an infant less than 3 153 months of age was not considered a CHD unless the PDA persisted after this age. Similarly, 154 155 peripheral branch pulmonary stenosis was noted in pediatric cases, but not considered a CHD in infancy in the absence of other associated heart defects. All Not CHD and Unknown CHD cases 156 were reviewed by at least three abstractors and two board certified CHD clinicians, to make a final 157 158 determination. For cases with Unknown CHD status that remained unknown after review, the cases were replaced with other randomly selected cases. 159

160 Chart Abstraction and Case Validation

Abstractors and clinicians reviewed 1500 cases meeting criteria for case selection, not including the training cases and 19 *Unknown CHD* cases that were replaced (three cases had to be excluded due to being pulled using a code that should have been excluded in isolation, ICD-9-CM 747.3 and ICD-10-CM Q25.6), creating a final data set of 1497 cases. We categorized cases into six anatomic groups and developed rules to best determine when multiple conflicting groups were possible. The CHD diagnosis was based on review of healthcare clinical notes, imaging notes, operative reports, and scanned clinical notes from outside each institution. Billing ICD codes that are

sometimes found in the eMR were not used to confirm a diagnosis. As cases could potentially have 168 records in both PHS and AHS, chart abstractors reviewed medical records from both healthcare 169 systems during the case validation process. Cases flagged to review with the clinicians included all 170 cases with atrioventricular canal defects (partial, complete, or transitional), transposition of the great 171 arteries (TGA) (either dextro-TGA or levo-TGA), cases classified as shunt + valve, Unknown CHD, 172 Not CHD, or those with conflicting diagnoses. Initially, clinicians reviewed all Not CHD, Yes PFO 173 cases. Once consistency and accuracy were assured, the clinicians no longer reviewed cases clearly 174 understood to be PFOs by the abstractor. Unknown CHD cases with no medical information were 175 linked back to the data source to verify the presence of a CHD ICD code in the medical record as a 176 quality check. Forty percent of cases (n=593) underwent adjudication by two clinicians. 177 178 Additionally, female cases between 11–50 years-old at any time in the surveillance window were checked for the presence of a pregnancy in the eMR and recorded in REDCap due to the possibility 179 that a CHD code associated with a pregnant women's chart might reflect CHD in the fetus. 180 181 **Intra- and Inter-observer Reliability** To monitor intra-observer reliability, 5% of each abstractor's cases were randomly duplicated 182 and reviewed. To monitor inter-observer reliability throughout the study, 10% of cases were 183 184 randomly duplicated and assigned to the clinicians, regardless of initial abstractor assignment. Intra-185 observer reliability ranged from 95–100% and inter-observer reliability ranged from 88–100% (Table 2). 186 **Statistical Analysis** 187

In addition to data source, sex, and anatomic severity groups, we assessed encounter
 characteristics including the number of unique CHD ICD codes documented per case, number of
 unique encounters with a CHD ICD code documented per case, number of cardiac encounters per

191	case, number of imaging encounters apart from a cardiac encounter per case, and number of non-
192	cardiac encounters per case. Cardiac encounters were defined as either cardiac encounter type, an
193	encounter with a cardiologist provider type, or an encounter with a National Provider Identifier
194	(NPI) number with a cardiac specialty. Imaging encounters were defined as Current Procedural
195	Terminology (CPT) codes for cardiac imaging (echocardiograms, cardiac CT scans, and cardiac
196	MRIs; eAppendix A) and did not include those that were also a cardiac encounter. Non-cardiac
197	encounters were those that did not fall within the cardiac or imaging encounter definition.
198	Additionally, age in years at first qualifying encounter (FQE, defined as the first encounter with a
199	CHD code in the surveillance period) was collected for each case.
200	Percentages by data source, sex, anatomic severity groups, and median encounter
201	characteristics were compared for patients with true CHD and patients without CHD (FP, i.e., cases
202	determined not to have a CHD in chart abstraction) as well as for FP with PFO and without PFO
203	using Pearson's chi-square test of independence for the categorical characteristics, and the non-
204	parametric two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for encounter characteristics (chosen due to
205	heavily skewed distributions). In addition, group differences in median age in years at the FQE were
206	analyzed via t-test. PPV was calculated as percent of TP: (TP) / [TP + FP]. Statistical significance
207	was defined as p-value < 0.05 .
208	RESULTS
209	Of the 1497 included validated cases with at least one of the 87 codes in ICD-9-CM code
210	group 745.xx – 747.xx and ICD-10-CM code group Q20.x – Q26.x (Table 1), 68.1% (n=1020) were

211 TP and 31.9% (n=477) were FP. Of the 1020 TP, 24.4% (n=249) had severe CHD, 11.7% (n=119)

had shunt and valve CHD, 36.3% (n=370) had shunt CHD, 23.8% (n=243) had valve CHD, and

213 3.8% (n=39) had "other CHD" or "other vascular" CHD. Among FP, 66.2% (316/477) were

24.4	$f_{\rm end}$ is a provide the large state of the large state of the provide th
214	confirmed to have a PFO. Of FP confirmed to have neither a CHD nor a PFO, 42.2% (68/161) had
215	other cardiac diagnoses, consisting of heritable and metabolic cardiomyopathies (n= 20), coronary
216	artery disease (n=14), non-congenital valvular heart disease (n=13), arrythmias (n=11), heritable
217	aortopathies (n=5), pericardial disease (n=2), dextrocardia (n=1) and other cardiac diagnoses (n=2).
218	In Table 3, PPV of CHD ICD-9-CM and ICD-10-CM codes was 64.8% (481/742) in AHS
219	data, 66.6% (416/625) in PHS data, and 94.6% (123/130) for those individuals who had clinical
220	notes in both the AHS and PHS data. Males were as likely to be TP as females (p=0.2525).
221	Overall, the PPV for CHD among cases was 95.8% for severe codes (249/260), 73.5%
222	(119/162) for shunt and valve codes, 52.6% (370/703) for shunt codes, 75.9% (243/320) for valve
223	codes, and 75.0% (39/52) for "Other CHD" or "Other vascular" codes (Table 3). Just over a quarter
224	of the cohort, 26.9% (402/1497), had only 745.5 and/or Q21.1 (secundum ASD) shunt codes without
225	other CHD-related codes. Of these, 30.6% (123/402) were TP and 69.4% (279/402) were FP.
226	Overall, TP with only secundum ASD codes represented 12.1% (123/1020) of all TP.
227	The distribution of the number of unique CHD codes was significantly different for TP than
228	FP (Median: 2.0; interquartile range [IQR]: 1.0–3.0 vs. Median: 1.0; IQR:1.0–1.0, p<0.0001) (Table
229	3). The distribution of unique encounters with CHD codes was also significantly higher (p<0.0001)
230	for TP (3.0; IQR: 1.0–7.0) than FP (1.0; IQR:1.0–2.0). There was a significant difference between
231	the distribution of cardiac encounters for TP (2.0; IQR:1.0-5.0) and FP (1.0; IQR: 1.0-2.0),
232	p<0.0001. TP cases had a younger mean age at FQE than FP (22.4 years vs 26.3 years, p=0.0017).
233	Among FP, males were more likely to have a PFO than females (72.4% vs 60.7%,
234	p=0.0068). FP cases with codes in the shunt group, and specifically isolated 745.5 and/or Q21.1
235	codes, were more likely to have a PFO, whereas FP with codes in the valve group were less likely to
236	have a PFO (all p<0.0001) (Table 3). The median number of unique CHD ICD codes was similar

237	between FP cases with PFO and FP cases without PFO (both medians=1.0, p=0.2662). FP cases with
238	PFO did not have any differences in the distributions of unique encounters or non-cardiac
239	encounters. The mean age at FQE of FP cases with a PFO was lower than for FP cases without a
240	PFO (23.4 years vs 31.9 years, p<0.0001).
241	Table 4 shows PPV of the CHD-related ICD codes for individuals identified in AHS only
242	(n=742), PHS only (n=602), in both AHS and PHS (n=129), and among all cases with CHD coded
243	encounters in the surveillance period (i.e., AHS and/or PHS combined; n=1473). The presence of
244	more than one unique CHD ICD code resulted in higher PPV compared to those with only one
245	unique CHD code (85.4% vs. 56.3%, respectively; p<0.0001). When assessing PPV stratified by
246	date range, overall PPV differed slightly between 1/1/2010 to 9/30/2015 (ICD-9-CM coding era)
247	(75.3%; 579/769) and 10/1/2015 to 12/31/2019 (ICD-10-CM coding era) (70.9%; 742/1047; p =
248	0.0365). There was no statistically significant difference between cases that had CHD codes at
249	cardiac encounters (72.0%, 882/1225) and cases with codes at non-cardiac encounters (71.2%,
250	511/718) (p=0.7056). Cases with inpatient CHD codes had higher PPV (77.3%, 420/543) than cases
251	with only outpatient CHD codes (62.5%, 581/930) (p<0.0001); however, PPV improved to 80.4%
252	(333/414) when requiring at least 2 outpatient encounters with a CHD code separated by at least 30
253	days.
254	Of the 1497 cases, 71.2% (185/260) of cases with severe codes had severe CHD, 26.5%

(43/162) of cases with shunt and valve codes had shunt and valve CHD, 46.7% (328/703) of cases
with shunt codes had shunt CHD, 71.2% (230/320) of cases with valve codes had valve CHD, and
71.2% (37/52) with "Other CHD and Other vascular" codes had other CHD/other vascular CHD. For
those with shunt and valve codes, 32 of the 162 overall cases were confirmed to be shunt only, 40
were confirmed to be valve only, and 4 cases were neither shunt nor valve. Lastly, of the 46 women

with documented pregnancies during 2010–2019, 33 had a confirmed CHD resulting in a PPV of
71.7% for CHD ICD codes documented during pregnancy.

262 **DISCUSSION**

263 CHD represents a multitude of heart defects across a broad severity spectrum, so case 264 ascertainment presents a challenge in efforts to assess prevalence and outcomes of those living with 265 CHD. Administrative data can benefit CHD surveillance and research because it captures 266 information on a large scale from many patients across multiple care settings; however, the data have 267 variable and limited accuracy in detecting true CHD cases and may capture those with CHD-related

268 diagnosis codes who do not actually have CHD.

Study results demonstrated overall modest, but variable, PPV of the group of ICD-9-CM and 269 270 ICD-10-CM codes shown in Table 1 for detecting CHD cases after the exclusion of non-specific and 271 non-cardiovascular ICD codes from the case definition. Features associated with higher PPV include identification of a case in more than one data source (PPV 94.6%), having CHD codes for severe 272 273 CHD anatomy (PPV 95.8%), and having CHD codes associated with an inpatient encounter (77.3%) or 2 or more outpatient encounters (80.4%). However, some of these characteristics had relatively 274 275 low prevalence in the data; fewer than 10% of cases were found in both data sources, and those with 276 severe ICD codes made up only 17.4% of the dataset. Based on differences in distributions, TPs had 277 more unique CHD ICD codes, unique CHD-related encounters, CHD coded cardiac encounters, and 278 CHD coded non-cardiac encounters than FPs. A statistical difference in PPV was observed for cases coded using ICD-9-CM vs. ICD-10-CM, suggesting a small loss in accuracy with the transition to 279 280 ICD-10-CM coding.

281 While PPV for CHD identified by ICD codes in administrative datasets could be increased by 282 constraining the dataset to inpatient encounters, multiple unique CHD codes, multiple encounters

with the CHD code, identification in more than one data source and/or to severe CHD codes, doing 283 so could lead to the exclusion of many cases with true CHD and the resulting sample would not be 284 representative of the larger CHD population. For example, requiring either an inpatient encounter 285 with a CHD code or at least two outpatient encounters with a CHD code separated by 30 days for 286 those without an inpatient encounter increased PPV from 68.0% to 80.4% in our sample, but resulted 287 in a loss of 33.1% of TP cases from the dataset. Furthermore, such restrictions can bias the sample 288 towards individuals with more severe CHD and/or more complicated clinical courses. 289 Similar to Rodriguez et al¹¹, the current analysis confirmed a low PPV of 30.9% for ICD-9-290 CM and ICD-10-CM codes used for secundum ASD when occurring in the absence of other CHD 291 codes, and 84.6% of FP were likely assigned this code because they had a PFO. Therefore, CHD 292 293 studies may opt to exclude cases with isolated secundum ASD codes (745.5 or Q21.1) due to low PPV²⁴, but they could be sacrificing more than 10% of true CHD cases from their sample. As of 294 10/01/2022, ASD and PFO have unique ICD-10-CM codes²⁵, making future analyses of 295 296 administrative data better able to identify true CHD cases and exclude those with PFO. However, as PFO did not have a unique ICD-10-CM code until recently, this issue cannot be resolved by code 297 298 selection alone in studies including data prior to 10/01/2022, and thus will likely require algorithms 299 or clinician review to differentiate cases with secundum ASD from those with PFO. Understanding 300 features associated with TP cases captured with the secundum ASD codes and features associated 301 with FP cases captured with secundum ASD codes would aid in improving data quality of CHD 302 administrative datasets prior to 10/01/2022.

For the 33.8% of FP cases who did not have a PFO, we identified additional sources of
miscoding, which included the use of CHD codes for other cardiac conditions that may be heritable,
such Marfan or Brugada syndromes, heritable and metabolic cardiomyopathies, or non-congenital

valvular heart disease, but that are not considered structural congenital heart defects. FP cases in
women who are pregnant may result from CHD codes applied to the fetus rather than the pregnant
women, creating another potential source of miscoding.

We further identified variable and limited accuracy in detecting correct anatomic severity 309 group using ICD codes. Understanding features associated with TP versus FP cases and potential 310 sources of miscoding is an initial step in developing algorithms to detect CHD more accurately in 311 administrative records, prioritizing both PPV and sensitivity. Awareness of strengths and limitations 312 of administrative data in characterizing the CHD population will help interpret study results. Several 313 studies have found machine learning (ML) and natural language processing (NLP) to be promising 314 for detecting heart failure cases, lupus cases, and symptoms in hemodialysis patients²⁶⁻²⁹. ML and 315 316 NLP could potentially be applied to identify CHD cases more accurately in administrative datasets.³⁰

317 Limitations and Strengths

Conclusion

328

This ICD code validation study was strengthened by choosing specific ICD codes for the 318 319 case definition of CHD rather than entire code groups, the use of ICD-9-CM and ICD-10-CM codes, high inter- and intra-observer reliability, and analysis of encounter-based features that are associated 320 321 with TP and FP. Conversely, this study was limited by the fact that administrative data from tertiary 322 referral center eMR are likely skewed towards more severe CHD given that individuals with severe 323 CHD are most likely to seek care and, as such, any of our estimates not stratified by severity may be 324 biased towards codes with a higher PPV. Tertiary referral centers may also code rare diseases with 325 greater accuracy regardless of case severity. Therefore, the applicability of findings to other data 326 sources, such as Medicaid data, may be limited. Additionally, ICD codes for CHD reflect native anatomy and thus we were unable to validate use of these codes for post-operative anatomy. 327

- 329 Overall, ICD codes for CHD have modest PPV, which can be improved by requiring more
- than one unique CHD code, multiple encounters and/or data sources, or excluding codes with low
- 331 PPV, but at the expense of lower sensitivity. Understanding the strengths and limitations of ICD
- 332 CHD codes will help interpret research findings derived from administrative sources. Development
- of algorithms to detect CHD in administrative data may improve data quality.

334 SOURCES OF FUNDING

This work was funded by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), RFA DD-1902B.

336 AKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- 337 This study was supported in part by National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences of the
- National Institutes of Health under Award Number UL1TR002378 and the Medical Imaging,
- 339 Informatics, and Artificial Intelligence Core (MIIAI), which is supported by the Department of
- 340 Biomedical Informatics, Emory University School of Medicine. The content is solely the
- 341 responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National
- 342 Institutes of Health.

343 **DISCLOSURES**

- 344 The authors have no competing interests to disclose.
- 345

347 **REFERENCES**

- Botto LD, Correa A, Erickson JD. Racial and temporal variations in the prevalence of heart defects.
 Pediatrics. 2001;107:e32-e32
 Clidowell L Back W, Pasking Lload C, Llogue C, Dunn JE, Cupita M, et al. Papulation based
- Glidewell J, Book W, Raskind-Hood C, Hogue C, Dunn JE, Gurvitz M, et al. Population-based
 surveillance of congenital heart defects among adolescents and adults: Surveillance methodology.
 2018;110:1395-1403
- Hoffman Julien IE, Kaplan S. The incidence of congenital heart disease. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2002;39:1890-1900
- Virnig BA, McBean M. Administrative data for public health surveillance and planning. *Annual Review of Public Health*. 2001;22:213-230
- Egger JR, Lowe B, Johnson E, Durbin R, Griffin E, Dhakal S, et al. Evaluation of clinical and
 administrative data to augment public health surveillance. *Online J Public Health Inform*. 2013;5:e30
- Tomines A, Readhead H, Readhead A, Teutsch S. Applications of electronic health information in
 public health: Uses, opportunities & barriers. *EGEMS (Wash DC)*. 2013;1:1019-1019
- 361 7. O'Malley KJ, Cook KF, Price MD, Wildes KR, Hurdle JF, Ashton CM. Measuring diagnoses: Icd code
 362 accuracy. *Health Services Research*. 2005;40:1620-1639
- Stausberg J, Lehmann N, Kaczmarek D, Stein M. Reliability of diagnoses coding with icd-10.
 International Journal of Medical Informatics. 2008;77:50-57
- Broberg C, McLarry J, Mitchell J, Winter C, Doberne J, Woods P, et al. Accuracy of administrative
 data for detection and categorization of adult congenital heart disease patients from an electronic
 medical record. *Pediatric Cardiology*. 2015;36:719-725
- Duca LM, Pyle L, Khanna AD, Ong T, Kahn MG, DiGuiseppi C, et al. Estimating the prevalence of
 congenital heart disease among adolescents and adults in colorado adjusted for incomplete case
 ascertainment. *American Heart Journal*. 2020;221:95-105
- Rodriguez III FH, Ephrem G, Gerardin JF, Raskind-Hood C, Hogue C, Book W. The 745.5 issue in code based, adult congenital heart disease population studies: Relevance to current and future icd-9-cm
 and icd-10-cm studies. 2018;13:59-64
- Strickland MJ, Riehle-Colarusso TJ, Jacobs JP, Reller MD, Mahle WT, Botto LD, et al. The importance
 of nomenclature for congenital cardiac disease: Implications for research and evaluation. *Cardiology in the young*. 2008;18 Suppl 2:92-100
- Frohnert BK, Lussky RC, Alms MA, Mendelsohn NJ, Symonik DM, Falken MC. Validity of hospital
 discharge data for identifying infants with cardiac defects. *Journal of Perinatology*. 2005;25:737-742
- Cronk CE, Malloy ME, Pelech AN, Miller RE, Meyer SA, Cowell M, et al. Completeness of state
 administrative databases for surveillance of congenital heart disease. *Birth Defects Research Part A: Clinical and Molecular Teratology*. 2003;67:597-603
- Steiner JM, Kirkpatrick JN, Heckbert SR, Habib A, Sibley J, Lober W, et al. Identification of adults with
 congenital heart disease of moderate or great complexity from administrative data. *Congenital heart disease*. 2018;13:65-71
- Rodriguez FH, 3rd, Raskind-Hood CL, Hoffman T, Farr SL, Glidewell J, Li JS, et al. How well do icd-9 cm codes predict true congenital heart defects? A centers for disease control and prevention-based
 multisite validation project. *Journal of the American Heart Association*. 2022;11:e024911
- Pasquali SK, Peterson ED, Jacobs JP, He X, Li JS, Jacobs ML, et al. Differential case ascertainment in
 clinical registry versus administrative data and impact on outcomes assessment for pediatric cardiac
 operations. *The Annals of Thoracic Surgery*. 2013;95:197-203
- Jantzen DW, He X, Jacobs JP, Jacobs ML, Gaies MG, Hall M, et al. The impact of differential case
 ascertainment in clinical registry versus administrative data on assessment of resource utilization in
 pediatric heart surgery. *World Journal for Pediatric and Congenital Heart Surgery*. 2014;5:398-405

394 19. Khan A, Ramsey K, Ballard C, Armstrong E, Burchill LJ, Menashe V, et al. Limited accuracy of 395 administrative data for the identification and classification of adult congenital heart disease. 396 2018;7:e007378 397 20. Downing KF, Oster ME, Olivari BS, Farr SL. Early-onset dementia among privately-insured adults with 398 and without congenital heart defects in the united states, 2015-2017. International journal of 399 cardiology. 2022;358:34-38 400 21. Downing KF, Tepper NK, Simeone RM, Ailes EC, Gurvitz M, Boulet SL, et al. Adverse pregnancy 401 conditions among privately insured women with and without congenital heart defects. Circulation. 402 Cardiovascular quality and outcomes. 2020;13:e006311 403 22. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture 404 (redcap)--a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research 405 informatics support. Journal of biomedical informatics. 2009;42:377-381 406 23. Harris PA, Taylor R, Minor BL, Elliott V, Fernandez M, O'Neal L, et al. The redcap consortium: Building 407 an international community of software platform partners. Journal of biomedical informatics. 408 2019;95:103208 409 24. Gurvitz M, Dunn Julie E, Bhatt A, Book Wendy M, Glidewell J, Hogue C, et al. Characteristics of adults 410 with congenital heart defects in the united states. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 411 2020;76:175-182 412 25. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Comprehensive Listing ICD-10-CM Files [Internet].2022 413 [cited 2023 Jan 4]; Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/icd/Comprehensive-Listing-of-ICD-10-414 CM-Files.htm 415 26. Chan L, Beers K, Yau AA, Chauhan K, Duffy Á, Chaudhary K, et al. Natural language processing of 416 electronic health records is superior to billing codes to identify symptom burden in hemodialysis 417 patients. Kidney International. 2020;97:383-392 418 27. Xu Y, Lee S, Martin E, D'Souza AG, Doktorchik CTA, Jiang J, et al. Enhancing icd-code-based case 419 definition for heart failure using electronic medical record data. Journal of Cardiac Failure. 420 2020;26:610-617 421 28. Jorge A, Castro VM, Barnado A, Gainer V, Hong C, Cai T, et al. Identifying lupus patients in electronic 422 health records: Development and validation of machine learning algorithms and application of rule-423 based algorithms. Seminars in Arthritis and Rheumatism. 2019;49:84-90 424 29. Zhao Y, Fu S, Bielinski SJ, Decker PA, Chamberlain AM, Roger VL, et al. Natural language processing 425 and machine learning for identifying incident stroke from electronic health records: Algorithm 426 development and validation. J Med Internet Res. 2021;23:e22951 427 30. Diller G-P, Arvanitaki A, Opotowsky AR, Jenkins K, Moons P, Kempny A, et al. Lifespan perspective on 428 congenital heart disease research: Jacc state-of-the-art review. Journal of the American College of 429 Cardiology. 2021;77:2219-2235 430

- 431

433 TABLES

434 Table 1: ICD-9-CM and ICD-10-CM CHD Code Inclusion Criteria for the Cohort from which

ICD Diagnosis Code Label	ICD-9-CM	ICD-10-CM					
Severe (15 ICD-9-CM codes and 14 ICD-10-CM codes)							
Hypoplastic Left Heart Syndrome (HLHS)	746.7	Q23.4					
Tricuspid Atresia, stenosis, or absence	746.1	Q22.4					
Hypoplastic right heart syndrome		Q22.6					
Single ventricle, cor triloculare, double inlet left ventricle	745.3	Q20.4					
Cor biloculare	745.7						
Pulmonary valve atresia or absence	746.01	Q22.0					
Truncus Arteriosus, Common Truncus	745.0	Q20.0					
Double outlet Right ventricle (DORV)	745.11	Q20.1					
Double outlet Left ventricle (DOLV)		Q20.2					
Tetralogy of Fallot	745.2	Q21.3					
Transposition of the Great arteries (TGA), Complete TGA, dextro-TGA, TGA not otherwise specified, classical TGA ¹	745.1	Q20.3					
	745.10						
	745.19						
Congenital Corrected transposition of great arteries (CCTGA), levo-TGA	745.12	Q20.5					
Endocardial cushion defect; Atrioventricular septal defect; Complete atrioventricular canal defect (CAVCD) Endocardial cushion defect unspecified, Endocardial cushion defect, other ¹	745.6	Q21.2					
	745.60						
	745.69						
Interrupted aortic arch	747.11	Q25.21					
Total anomalous pulmonary venous return (TAPVR)	747.41	Q26.2					
SHUNT AND VALVE ²							
SHUNT (7 ICD-9-CM codes and 8 ICD-10-CM codes) ³							
Ventricular septal defect (VSD)	745.4	Q21.0					
Secundum atrial septal defect (ASD)	745.5	Q21.1					
Primum atrial septal defect	745.61						
Other specified defects of septal closure, sinus venosus ASD, inferior sinus venosus ASD, superior sinus venosus ASD	745.8	Q21.8					
Congenital malformation of cardiac septum, unspecified		Q21.9					
Unspecified defect of septal closure	745.9						
Patent ductus arteriosus (PDA)	747.0	Q25.0					
Aortopulmonary septal defect (AP window)		Q21.4					
Partial anomalous pulmonary venous return (PAPVR)	747.42	Q26.3					
Anomalous pulmonary venous connection, unspecified		Q26.4					
VALVE (14 ICD-9-CM codes and 22 ICD-10-CM codes) ⁴							
Anomalies of the pulmonary valve;	746.0	0000					
Pulmonary valve anomaly unspecified ¹	746.0	Q22.3					

	746.00	
Pulmonary valve stenosis (PS)	746.02	Q22.1
Pulmonary valve anomaly, other, pulmonary valve regurgitation	746.09	Q22.2
Ebstein anomaly of the tricuspid valve	746.2	Q22.5
Congenital malformations of tricuspid valve		Q22.8
Congenital malformation of tricuspid valve, unspecified		Q22.9
Aortic valve stenosis (AS)	746.3	Q23.0
Aortic insufficiency (congenital), bicuspid or unicuspid aortic valve	746.4	Q23.1
Other congenital malformations of aortic and mitral valves		Q23.8
Congenital malformation of aortic and mitral valves, and specified		Q23.9
Mitral stenosis or mitral valve abnormalities	746.5	Q23.2
Mitral insufficiency, cleft mitral valve	746.6	Q23.3
Subaortic stenosis, subaortic membrane	746.81	Q24.4
Infundibular or subvalvular pulmonary stenosis	746.83	Q24.3
Coarctation of the aorta ¹	747.1	Q25.1
	747.10	
Supravalvular aortic stenosis		Q25.3
Hypoplasia of the aorta		Q25.42
Atresia or stenosis of aorta	747.22	Q25.29
Absence and aplasia of aorta		Q25.41
Atresia of pulmonary artery		Q25.5
Pulmonary artery atresia, coarctation, or hypoplasia of the branch pulmonary arteries	747.31	Q25.71
Anomalies of the pulmonary artery, other	747.39	Q25.79
OTHER CHD (2 ICD-9-CM codes and 2 ICD-10-CM codes)⁵		
Cor triatriatum	746.82	Q24.2
Coronary artery anomaly, anomalous coronary artery, anomalous left coronary artery off the pulmonary artery (ALCAPA), anomalous right coronary artery off the pulmonary artery (ARCAPA)	746.85	Q24.5
OTHER VASCULAR (3 ICD-10-CM codes)6	·	
Double aortic arch		Q25.45
Right aortic arch ⁶ (component of vascular ring)		Q25.47
Anomalous origin of the subclavian artery ⁶ (component of vascular ring)		Q25.48

436 ¹ Some ICD-9-CM codes were adjusted over time to include an additional decimal place, but are considered the same code

437 ²Cases with no Severe codes and has both Shunt AND Valve codes.

438 ³Cases with Shunt codes with or without any other group codes, but no Severe or Valve codes.

439 ⁴Case with Valve codes with or without any other group codes, but no Severe or Shunt codes.

440 ⁵Cases with only 'Other CHD' type group codes, AND no Severe, Shunt or Valve codes.

441 ⁶Cases with 'Other Vascular' codes required either code Q25.45 or the combination of Q25.47 and Q25.48 to be included.

442 Notes. The following 25 ICD-9-CM codes were excluded from the case definition: 746.84 obstructive heart anomalies NEC, 746.86

443 congenital heart block, 746.87 malposition of heart, 746.89 congenital heart anomaly NEC, 746.9 congenital heart anomaly NOS,

444 747.20 congenital anomaly of aorta NOS, 747.21 anomalies of aortic arch, 747.29 congenital anomalies of aorta NEC, 747.3

445 pulmonary artery anomaly, 747.32 pulmonary AV malformation, 747.39 other anomalies of the pulmonary artery and circulation,

446 747.40 Great vein anomaly NOS, 747.49 great vein anomaly NEC, 747.5 and umbilical artery absence, 747.6 (end 1993) peripheral

447 vascular anomaly NEC, 747.60 (begin 1993) unspecified peripheral vascular anomaly, 747.61 GI vessel anomaly, 747.62 renal vessel

448 anomaly, 747.63 upper limb vessel anomaly, 747.64 lower limb vessel anomaly, 747.69 other specified peripheral vascular anomaly,

- 449 747.81 cerebrovascular anomaly, 747.82 spinal vessel anomaly, 747.83 persistent fetal circulation, 747.89 circulatory anomaly NEC,
- 450 *747.9 circulatory anomaly NOS.*
- 451 The following 24 ICD-10-CM codes were excluded from the case definition: Q20.6 isomerism of atrial appendages, Q20.8 other
- 452 congenitally malformations of cardiac chambers and connections, Q20.9 congenital malformation of cardiac chambers and
- 453 connections unspecified, Q24.0 malposition of heart or Apex, dextrocardia, Q24.1 levocardia, Q24.8 other specified congenital
- 454 malformations of the heart, Q24.9 unspecified defect of the heart, Q25.40 anomalies of aorta, unspecified, Q25.43 congenital
- 455 aneurysm of aorta, Q25.4 for congenital dilation of aorta, Q25.46 torturous aortic arch, Q25.47 right aortic arch, Q25.49 other
- 456 congenital malformations of aorta, Q25.6 branch pulmonary artery stenosis, Q25.72 congenital pulmonary arteriovenous
- 457 malformation, Q25.79 other congenital malformations of the pulmonary artery, Q25.8 other congenital malformations of other great
- 458 arteries, Q25.9 congenital malformation of great arteries unspecified, Q26.0 congenital stenosis vena cava, Q26.1 left superior vena
- 459 cava, Q26.5 anomalous portal venous connection, Q26.6 portal vein hepatic artery fistula, Q26.8 other congenital malformations of
- 460 great veins, Q26.9 anomalies of great veins unspecified.
- 461 *Abbreviations:* CHD = congenital heart defect; ICD-9-CM = International Classification of Diseases 9th revision, Clinical Modification;
 462 ICD-10-CM = International Classification of Diseases 10th revision, Clinical Modification.
- 463
- 464
- 465
- 466
- 467

468 Table 2. Intra-observer and Inter-observer Reliability Results

469 for Randomly Selected Cases from the 1500 Validated Dataset

Observer	CHD Yes or No Agreement	Percent Agreement						
Intra-Observer Reliability ¹								
Abstractor1	25/25	100%						
Abstractor2	20/21	95%						
Abstractor3	21/22	95%						
Abstractor4 ²	7/7	100%						
Inter-Observer Reliability ³								
Clinician1 ⁴ vs Abstractor1	23/25	92%						
Clinician1 vs Abstractor2	27/27	100%						
Clinician1 vs Abstractor3	21/24	88%						
Clinician2 ⁵ vs Abstractor1	25/27	93%						
Clinician2 vs Abstractor2	20/21	95%						
Clinician2 vs Abstractor3	15/15	100%						
Clinician2 vs Abstractor4	12/12	100%						

470 ¹5% of each abstractor's cases were randomly duplicated and validated again by

471 the same abstractor to assess intra-observer reliability.

472 ²Abstractor 4 only abstracted cases from PHS

473 ³10% of validated cases were randomly duplicated and validated by two different

474 clinicians to assess inter-observer reliability.

475 ⁴Clinician 1 abstracted cases from AHS

476 ⁵Clinician 2 abstracted cases from PHS

477 AHS= Adult Healthcare System, PHS=Pediatric Healthcare System

- 478
- 479

480

481

482

483

485 Table 3. Characteristics of 1497 Validated Cases with at least one CHD ICD-9-CM and/or

ICD-10-CM code(s)¹ and with at least one encounter between 1/1/2010 -12/31/2019 in an Adult Healthcare System and a Pediatric Healthcare System

488

	Validated Cases (N=1497)			Validated Cases without CHD (N=477)		
CHARACTERISTICS	Cases with CHD (Yes) n= 1020 (68.1%)	Cases without CHD (No) n= 477 (31.9%)	CHD Yes vs. CHD No	Cases without CHD, but with PFO n= 316 (66.2%)	Cases without CHD and PFO n= 161 (33.8%)	PFO vs. No PFO
CATEGORICAL VARIABLES [^]						
# Cases per Data Source ¹						
Adult Healthcare System (AHS)	481	261	X ² = 7.43	152	109	X ² =16.54
	(64.8%)	(35.2%)	p=0.0064	(58.2%)	(41.8%)	P<0.0001
Pediatric healthcare System (PHS)	416	209	X ² =1.23	160	49	X ² =17.64
	(66.6%)	(33.4%)	p=0.2678	(76.6%)	(23.4%)	P<0.0001
Both AHS and PHS	123 (94.6%)	<10 (%)		<10 (%)	<10 (%)	
Sex ²		0.07				
Male	513	225	X ² =1.31	163	62	X ² =7.31
	(69.5%)	(30.5%)	p=0.2525	(72.4%)	(27.6%)	P=0.0068
Female	506	252	X ² =1.31	153	99	X ² =7.31
	(66.8%)	(33.2%)	p=0.2525	(60.7%)	(39.3%)	P=0.0068
CHD Anatomic Severity Groups ³ as identifie	ed by ICD code	es				
Severe group codes ³	249 (95.8%)	11 (4.2%)	X ² = 110.66 P<0.0001	<10 (%)	10 (90.9%)	
Shunt and Valve codes ³	119 (73.5%)	43 (26.5%)	X ² =2.37 p=0.1238	39 (90.7%)	<10 (%)	
Shunt codes ³	370	333	X ² =146.76	266	67	X ² =91.68
	(52.6%)	(47.4%)	P<0.0001	(79.9%)	(20.1%)	P<0.0001
# (%) cases with only 745.5 and/or Q21.1 ⁴	123	279	X ² = 356.72	236	43	X ² =101.11
	(30.6%)	(69.4%)	p=<0.0001	(84.6%)	(15.4%)	P<0.0001
Valve codes ³	243	77	X ² =11.41	10	67	X ² =116.49
	(75.9%)	(24.1%)	P=0.0007	(13.0%)	(87.0%)	P<0.0001
Other CHD or Other Vascular codes ³	39 (75.0%)	13 (25.0%)	X ² =1.17 p=0.2796	<10 (%)	13 (100.0%)	
CONTINUOUS VARIABLES^^						
Median (IQR) of unique CHD ICD codes ⁵	2.0	1.0	D-stat =0.339	1.0	1.0	D-stat =0.097
	(1.0-3.0)	(1.0-1.0)	P<0.0001	(1.0-1.0)	(1.0-1.0)	p=0.2662
Median (IQR) of unique encounters ⁶ with any CHD ICD code	3.0	1.0	D-stat=0.369,	1.0	1.0	D-stat=0.094
	(1.0-7.0)	(1.0-2.0)	p<0.0001	(1.0-2.0)	(1.0-2.0)	p=0.3255
Median (IQR) of cardiac ⁷ encounters per	2.0	1.0	D-stat =0.348	1.0	1.0	D-stat =0.164
case with any CHD ICD code	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-2.0)	p<0.0001	(1.0-2.0)	(0.0-2.0)	P=0.0113
Median (IQR) of imaging encounters ⁸ per	0.0	0.0	D-stat=0.028,	0.0	0.0	D-stat=0.186
case, but with no cardiac encounters	(0.0-1.0)	(0.0-1.0)	P=0.9677	(0.0-0.0)	(0.0-1.0)	p=0.0026
Median (IQR) of non-cardiac encounters ⁹	0.0	0.0	D-stat =0.160	0.0	0.0	D-stat =0.081
per case with any CHD ICD codes	(0.0-1.0)	(0.0-1.0)	p<0.0001	(0.0-1.0)	(0.0-1.0)	p=0.5468
Mean (SD) age ^ in years at FQE ¹⁰	22.4	26.3	t= 3.140	23.4	31.9	t= 3.791
	(20.27)	(23.35)	p=0.0017	(23.50)	(22.08)	p=0.0002

¹ Data sources are not mutually exclusive, and cases may be captured at either of the two healthcare systems or at both over the 10-year surveillance period.

490 period.
491 ² One case had unknown sex.

- 492 ³ CHD ICD-9-CM and ICD-10-CM codes and anatomic groups are defined in Appendix A.
- 493 ⁴ Includes only patients with ICD-9-CM code 745.5 and/or ICD-10-CM code Q21.2 without any other CHD ICD code in Appendix A, noted at any 494 encounter.
- 495 ⁵ Unique ICD-9-CM and ICD-10-CM codes defined as codes for a single CHD, as noted in Appendix A. Where two or more ICD-9-CM or ICD-10-CM
- 496 codes are assigned to a single CHD, codes are counted as one occurrence. Where both ICD codes are assigned to a single CHD, codes are counted as 497 a single occurrence.
- 498 ⁶ Unique encounters are defined as a unique encounter ID separated in time by a minimum of 24 hours.
- 499 ⁷ A cardiac encounter is an encounter that took place in pediatric cardiology, Sibley Heart Center, cardiothoracic surgery, cardiology, adult congenital 500 heart, heart failure, electrophysiology, interventional cardiology, structural cardiology, transplant cardiology, heart transplant, cardiac 501 catheterization, or had a provider NPI with a cardiac specialty.
- ⁸ Imaging encounters are defined by Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) codes.
- 502 503 ⁹ Non-cardiac encounters are defined as encounters that do not have an encounter type noted in footnotes 7-8.
- 504 ¹⁰Age at FQE is the age at first qualifying encounter, calculated at time from date of birth to first encounter w CHD ICD code.

505 Notes.

- 506 Statistically significant tests at p<0.05 are indicated in **bold**.
- 507 [^] For the categorical variables of data source, gender, and CHD anatomic severity groups, Pearson X² analyses were conducted; this test assessed 508 whether there was a statistically significant difference, at p<0.05, between expected and observed frequencies for 'Yes' CHD and 'No' CHD validated 509 cases, and for cases with CHD, but no PFO and those with neither CHD nor PFO.
- 510 ^{^^} For the continuous variables involving encounters as well as for # of unique ICD codes, the non-parametric two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests 511 were conducted; this test determined whether the distributions of encounters for 'Yes' CHD and 'No' CHD validated cases, and between cases with 512 CHD, but no PFO and cases with neither CHD nor PFO were statistically identical at the p<0.05.
- 513 ^{^^} A t-test analysis was conducted to determine if there was a statistical mean difference in age in years at FQE, at p<0.05, between 'Yes' CHD and 514 'No' CHD validated cases, and for cases with CHD, but no PFO and those with neither CHD nor PFO.
- 516 Abbreviations: ICD-9-CM = International classification of diseases, 9th edition, clinical modification; ICD-10-CM = ICD 10th edition; CHD = congenital 517 heart defect; PFO = patent foramen ovale; CPT = Current Procedural Terminology; FQE = first qualifying encounter with an ICD-related CHD code.
- 518 519

- 520
- 521
- 522
- 523
- 524
- 525

526 Table 4. Positive Predictive Value (PPV) of CHD ICD-9-CM and ICD-10-CM Codes by Defect

527 Characteristics for Identifying CHD Cases, Validated through Chart Abstraction in Electronic 528 Health Records in Two Tertiary Healthcare Systems, and Overall

	Individual s with CHD ICD Codes in AHS only (N=742)	Individuals with CHD ICD Codes in PHS only (N=602)	Individuals with CHD ICD Codes in both AHS & PHS (N=129)	Individuals with CHD ICD Codes in AHS &/or PHS combined (N=1473) ¹
PPV FOR ANY CHD				
Any CHD codes ² at any encounter ³	64.8%	66.1%	94.6%	68.0%
	(481/742)	(398/602)	(122/129)	(1001/1473)
Severe group codes ⁴	93.6%	97.9%	98.5%	95.7%
	(131/140)	(47/48)	(64/65)	(242/253)
Shunt AND valve group codes ⁴	97.5%	58.5%	94.7%	73.2%
	(39/40)	(55/94)	(18/19)	(112/153)
Only shunt group codes ⁴	36.1%	64.7%	83.9%	52.3%
	(115/319)	(220/340)	(26/31)	(361/690)
Cases with only 745.5 and/or Q21.1 (subgroup of shunt)	25.9%	36.0%	100.0%	30.9%
	(65/251)	(50/139)	(8/8)	(123/398)
Only valve group codes ⁴	83.1%	60.0%	100.0%	76.0%
	(167/201)	(66/110)	(14/14)	(247/325)
Only Other CHD or Other vascular group codes ⁴	69.0%	100.0%	0%	75.0%
	(29/42)	(10/10)	(0/0)	(39/52)
More than one unique CHD code ⁵	95.2%	72.9%	96.0%	85.4%
	(217/228)	(191/262)	(95/99)	(503/589)
Only one unique CHD ICD code ⁵	51.4%	60.9%	90.0%	56.3%
	(264/514)	(207/340)	(27/30)	(498/884)
Any CHD codes associated with encounters between 1/1/2010 and 9/30/2015 for a CHD	71.6%	72.8%	96.1%	75.3%
	(303/423)	(177/243)	(99/103)	(579/769)
Any CHD codes associated with encounters between 10/1/2015 and 12/31/2019 for a CHD	67.4%	68.8%	95.3%	70.9%
	(317/470)	(324/471)	(101/106)	(742/1047)
Any CHD codes ² associated with <i>cardiac</i> encounters ⁶	72.5%	66.7%	95.2%	72.0%
	(371/512)	(392/588)	(119/125)	(882/1225)
Any CHD codes ³ associated with only	66.3%	72.9%	94.6%	71.2%
non-cardiac encounters	(327/493)	(97/133)	(87/92)	(511/718)
Any CHD codes at <u>any</u> inpatient encounter ³	73.3%	74.9%	94.4%	77.3%
(Regardless of number)	(187/255)	(149/199)	(84/89)	(420/543)
Any CHD code at <u>any</u> outpatient encounter ³	60.4%	61.8%	95.0%	62.5%
(<i>Regardless of number</i>), no inpatient	(294/487)	(249/403)	(38/40)	(581/930)
Any CHD code in at least 2 outpatient encounters ³	77.1%	82.7%	93.1%	80.4%
separate for at least 30 days (subset of previous row)	(172/223)	(134/162)	(27/29)	(333/414)

- 529 ¹24 cases did not have an encounter with a CHD code within the surveillance period.
- 530 ² ICD-9-CM and ICD-10-CM CHD codes, defined in Table 1.
- 531 ³ All included encounters occurred between 1/1/2010 and 12/31/2019.
- 532 ⁴ Anatomic CHD group by ICD codes, defined in Table 1.
- 533 ⁵ Unique ICD-9-CM and ICD-10-CM codes defined as codes for a single CHD, as noted in Table 1. Where two or more ICD-9-CM or ICD-

10-CM codes are assigned to a single CHD diagnosis, the codes are counted as one occurrence. Where both an ICD-9-CM code and
 ICD-10-CM code are assigned to a single CHD diagnosis, the codes are counted as a single occurrence.

- 536 ⁶ Cardiac encounter = an encounter with a provider or encounter type listed as pediatric cardiology, Sibley Heart Center,
- 537 cardiothoracic surgery, cardiology, adult congenital heart, heart failure, electrophysiology, interventional cardiology, structural

538 *cardiology, transplant cardiology, heart transplant, cardiac catheterization,* or had a provider NPI with a cardiac specialty.

- 539 **Abbreviations:** CHD = congenital heart defect; AHS= Adult Healthcare System; PHS = Pediatric Healthcare System; PPV = positive
- 540 predictive value; ICD-9-CM = International classification of diseases, 9th edition, clinical modification; ICD-10-CM = International
- 541 classification of disease, 10th edition; ICD = International Classification of Diseases, and refers to both 9-CM and 10-CM.
- 542
- 543

544 eAppendix A

545

546 Cardiac Imaging CPT Codes

547

557

548 Congenital Echocardiography codes:

- 549 **93303** Transthoracic echocardiography for congenital cardiac anomalies; complete
- 550 93304 Transthoracic echocardiography for congenital cardiac anomalies; follow-up or limited study
- **93315** Transesophageal echocardiography for congenital cardiac anomalies; incl probe placement, image acquisition, interpretation, and report
- **93316** Transesophageal echocardiography for congenital cardiac anomalies; placement of transesophageal probe
 only
- 555 93317 Transesophageal echocardiography for congenital cardiac anomalies; image acquisition, interpretation & report only

558 Non-Congenital Echocardiography codes:

- 559 Echocardiography, transthoracic, real-time with image documentation (2D), includes M-mode recording, when 93306 560 performed, complete, with spectral Doppler echocardiography, & with color flow Doppler echocardiography 561 93307 Echocardiography, transthoracic, real-time with image documentation (2D), includes M-mode recording, when 562 performed, complete, without spectral or color Doppler echocardiography 563 93308 Echocardiography, transthoracic, real-time with image documentation (2D), includes M-mode recording, when 564 performed, follow-up or limited study 565 93312 Echocardiography, transesophageal, real-time with image documentation (2d) (with/without m-mode 566 recording); incl probe placement, image acquisition, interpretation & report
- 567 93313 Echocardiography, transesophageal, real-time with image documentation (2d) (with/without m-mode
 568 recording); placement of transesophageal probe only
- 569 93314 Echocardiography, transesophageal, real-time with image documentation (2d) (with/without m-mode recording); image acquisition, interpretation & report only
- 571 93318 Echocardiography, transesophageal (tee) for monitoring purposes, incl probe placement, real time 2 572 dimensional image acquisition & interpretation leading to ongoing (continuous) assessment of (dynamically
 573 changing) cardiac pumping function & to therapeutic measures on immediate time basis
- 574 93320 Doppler echocardiography, pulsed wave and/or continuous wave with spectral display (list separately in addition to codes for echocardiographic imaging); complete
- 576 93321 Doppler echocardiography, pulsed wave and/or continuous wave with spectral display (list separately in addition to codes for echocardiographic imaging); follow-up or limited study (list separately in addition to codes for echocardiographic imaging)
 578 codes for echocardiographic imaging)

Doppler echocardiography color flow velocity mapping (list separately in addition to codes for

579

580

93325

echocardiography)

581 C8925 Transesophageal echocardiography (tee) with/without contrast followed by with contrast, real time with 582 image documentation (2d) (with/without m-mode recording); incl probe placement, image acquisition, 583 interpretation & report 584 C8926 Transesophageal echocardiography (tee) with/without contrast followed by with contrast, for congenital 585 cardiac anomalies; incl probe placement, image acquisition, interpretation & report 586 C8927 Transesophageal echocardiography (tee) with/without contrast followed by with contrast, for monitoring 587 purposes, incl probe placement, real time 2-dimensional image acquisition & interpretation leading to ongoing 588 (continuous) assessment of (dynamically changing) cardiac pumping function & to therapeutic measures on an 589 immediate time basis 590 591 Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) codes: 592 71555 Magnetic Resonance Angiography (MRA) of chest 593 Cardiac MRI without gadolinium contrast 594 75557 Cardiac MRI for morphology & function without contrast 595 75558 Cardiac MRI for morphology & function without contrast; with flow/velocity quantification 596 75559 Cardiac MRI for morphology & function without contrast; with stress imaging 597 75560 Cardiac MRI for morphology & function without contrast; with flow/velocity quantification & stress 598 599 Cardiac MRI with gadolinium contrast 600 Cardiac MRI for morphology & function without contrast followed by contrast and further sequences 75561 601 Cardiac MRI for morphology & function without contrast followed by contrast and further sequences; with 75562 602 flow/velocity quantification 603 75563 Cardiac MRI for morphology & function without contrast followed by contrast and further sequences; with 604 stress imaging 605 75564 Cardiac MRI for morphology & function without contrast followed by contrast and further sequences; with 606 flow/velocity quantification and stress 607 Cardiac MRI Add-on Flow Code 608 **75565** Cardiac MRI for velocity flow mapping 609 610 Cardiac Computed Tomography (CT) codes: 611 CT angiography of the chest (used for looking for extracardiac anatomy such as pulmonary arteries, 71725 612 pulmonary veins, aortic arch, vascular rings) 613 75571 Computed tomography, heart, without contrast, with quantitative evaluation of coronary calcium 614 Computed tomography, heart, with contrast, for evaluation of cardiac structure & morphology (incl 3D image 75572 615 postprocessing, assessment of cardiac function & evaluation of venous structures, if performed) 616 Computed tomography, heart, with contrast, for evaluation of cardiac structure & morphology in setting of 75573 617 congenital heart disease (incl 3D image postprocessing, assessment of LV cardiac function, RV structure & 618 function & evaluation of venous structures, if performed) 619 75574 Computed tomographic angiography, heart, coronary arteries & bypass grafts (when present), with contrast, 620 incl 3D image postprocessing (incl evaluation of cardiac structure & morphology, assessment of cardiac function, & 621 evaluation of venous structures, if performed)