Diagnostic performance of plasma Aβ1-42, Aβ1-40 and $pTau₁₈₁$ in the LUMIPULSE automated platform for the detection of Alzheimer disease

Javier Arranz^{1,2,3}, Nuole Zhu^{1,3,4}, Sara Rubio-Guerra^{1,3}, Íñigo Rodríguez-Baz^{1,2}, María Carmona-Iragui^{1,2,4}, Isabel Barroeta^{1,2,4}, Ignacio Illán-Gala^{1,4}, Miguel Santos-Santos^{1,4}, Juan Fortea^{1,2,4}, Alberto Lleó^{1,4}, Mireia Tondo^{5,6,7*}, Daniel Alcolea^{1,4*}.

- 1. Unidad de Memoria del Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau, Neurología, Institut d'Investigacions Biomèdiques Sant Pau (IIB Sant Pau)- Hospital de Sant Pau, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, España.
- 2. Unidad Alzheimer-Down del Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau, Neurología; Institut d'Investigacions Biomè diques Sant Pau (IIB Sant Pau)- Hospital de Sant Pau; Barcelona Down Medical Center, Fundació Catalana Síndrome de Down, Barcelona, Spain; Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, España.
- 3. Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, España.
- 4. Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red en Enfermedades Neurodegenerativas, CIBERNED, España.
- 5. Servei de Bioquímica, Institut d'Investigacions Biomèdiques Sant Pau (IIB Sant Pau) Hospital de Sant Pau, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, España.
- 6. Servei de Bioquímica i Biologia Molecular, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, España.
- 7. Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red en Diabetes y Enfermedades Metabólicas, CIBERDEM,España.

*Corresponding authors

Daniel Alcolea, MD, PhD

Sant Pau Memory Unit, Department of Neurology, Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau, C/Sant Quintí 89, 08041 Barcelona, Spain. Tel: +34935565986 / Fax: +34935568604 / Email: dalcolea@santpau.cat

Mireia Tondo, PhD

Servei de Bioquímica i Biologia Molecular, Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau, C/Sant Quintí 89, 08041 Barcelona, Spain. Tel.: +34935537358 /Fax: +3493553787 / Email: mtondo@santpau.cat

Keywords: Plasma; Biomarkers; Alzheimer; Blood; Amyloid; Tau

Abstract word count: 248; Manuscript word count: 3129; Tables: 2; Figures: 4; References: 29

Abstract

BACKGROUND: Recently-developed blood markers for Alzheimer's (AD) detection have high accuracy but usually require ultra-sensitive analytic tools not commonly available in clinical laboratories.

METHODS: We analyzed plasma samples from 367 consecutive participants in the SPIN cohort, comprising 302 euploid participants (67 cognitively unimpaired, 136 participants with mild cognitive impairment, and 99 with dementia) and 65 with Down Syndrome (46 non-demented and 19 with AD dementia). Participants were classified according to CSF biomarkers status using the AT(N) system. Plasma AB_{1-42} , AB_{1-40} and pTau₁₈₁ were measured in the fully-automated LUMIPULSE platform. We used ANOVA to compare plasma biomarkers concentrations between AT(N) groups, evaluated Spearman's correlation between plasma and CSF and performed ROC analyses to
assess their diagnostic accuracy to detect AD. assess their diagnostic accuracy to detect AD.

RESULTS: Plasma $pTau_{181}$ concentration was higher in A+T+ than A+T- and A-T-, and in A+T- and A-T+ than A-T-. The plasma AB_{1-42}/AB_{1-40} ratio was lower in A+T+ and A+T- compared to A-T-. pTau₁₈₁ and the AB_{1-42}/AB_{1-40} ratio showed moderate correlation between plasma and CSF (Rho=0.66 and 0.65, respectively). The areas under the ROC curve (AUC) to discriminate A+T+ from A-T- participants were 0.91 for pTau₁₈₁ and 0.86 for Aβ_{1–42}/Aβ_{1–40}. The combination of both measures yielded an AUC=0.94. Chronic kidney disease (CKD) was related to increased plasma biomarker concentrations, but ratios were not significantly affected.

CONCLUSION: The feasibility and performance of plasma-based biomarker measurements on an automated platform showed high diagnostic accuracy and hold great promise for the diagnostic process of AD.

What is already known on this topic: Blood biomarkers have shown high accuracy to detect AD pathophysiology. The feasibility of those biomarkers in different platforms and the influence of comorbidities in their concentrations needs to be studied.

What this study adds: We analyze the feasibility and diagnostic performance of AD biomarkers measured in a fully-automated platform and assess how comorbidities affect their concentrations.

How this study might affect research, practice, or policy: The measurement of plasma AD biomarkers in an automated platform yields high accuracy to detect AD pathophysiology and would be easy to implement. Plasma AD biomarker concentrations are increased in chronic kidney disease, and in this context, the use of ratios would be more reliable.

Introduction

Early and accurate diagnosis is becoming an increasing priority with the recent developments of disease-modifying therapies for Alzheimer's Disease (AD). 's Disease (AD).
positron emission
nsively proven to be Pathophysiological biomarkers in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and positron emission tomography (PET) imaging with amyloid and tau tracers have extensively proven to be useful to detect the presence of the disease but are either expensive and/or invasive [1], which can delay the diagnosis and access to a treatment.

The measure of AD biomarkers in blood through reliable high-throughput platforms would simplify the diagnostic process. This is now technically possible thanks to the development of sensitive technologies that can consistently quantify brain-derived molecules that are present in blood in very low concentrations[2–4]. Amyloid-β (Aβ) peptides and different isoforms of phosphorylated tau (pTau) in blood have shown high accuracy to detect AD pathophysiology in previous research studies [5–11]. How these plasma markers are affected by different comorbidities is also starting to be understood thanks to large well-characterized cohorts [12–14]. Thus, blood-based markers have the potential to be of great use in the screening, early diagnosis, tracking progression, and ultimately, monitoring the efficacy of treatment [15–17]. However, most of the existing studies have assessed the value of these markers individually or through techniques not widely available in laboratories, limiting their potential to be widely applied in the clinical routine. Their implementation of blood AD markers in a fullyautomated platform would facilitate their reproducibility and accessibility in clinical laboratories [18].

The fully-automated platform LUMIPULSE G, extensively used to measure CSF AD biomarkers, has recently launched specific assays to measure $\mathsf{AB}_{1\text{-}42}$, $\mathsf{AB}_{1\text{-}40}$ and $pTau₁₈₁$ in plasma. In this study, our aim was to assess the feasibility and diagnostic performance of $\mathsf{AB}_{1\text{-}42}/\mathsf{AB}_{1\text{-}40}$ ratio and pTau₁₈₁ in plasma in the LUMIPULSE fullyautomated platform in a retrospectively well characterized cohort of individuals.

Methods

Study participants and clinical classification

We included consecutive individuals who underwent lumbar puncture for the analysis of AD CSF biomarkers assessed at the Sant Pau Memory Unit (Barcelona, Spain) as part of the SPIN cohort [19] between January 2021 and December 2021. The study was approved by the Sant Pau Ethics Committee (Protocol code: EC/22/202/6880) following the standards for medical research in humans recommended by the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants or their legally authorized representative gave written informed consent to participate in biomarkers research studies.

Participants had a diagnosis of dementia, mild cognitive impairment (MCI), or were cognitively unimpaired (CU). The clinical diagnosis was established after a thorough neurological and neuropsychological evaluation[19]. A subset of participants had Down syndrome and were evaluated in the context of the Down Alzheimer Barcelona Neuroimaging Initiative (DABNI) linked to a population-based health plan in Catalonia, Spain, run at the Barcelona Down Medical Center[20]. For Down syndrome participants, diagnosis of dementia was based on a neurological and neuropsychological examination that included semistructured health questionnaires and a neuropsychological battery adapted for intellectual disabilities [21]. The subset of participants with Down syndrome were classified clinically into 2 groups in a consensus meeting between the neurologist and neuropsychologist after independent visits: without dementia, that included asymptomatic and prodromal AD, and AD with dementia. Participants were classified according to the estimated glomerular filtrate rate (eGFR) in different stages of chronic kidney disease (CKD).

After a full evaluation that included analysis of AD CSF biomarkers, participants were classified according their etiologic diagnosis, as AD with pathophysiological evidence (AD), other neurodegenerative dementias (OtherDem) or CU. A proportion of participants' diagnosis was classified as "uncertain" as they had an unclear etiological
diagnosis after a full initial evaluation and required clinical follow-up.
. diagnosis after a full initial evaluation and required clinical follow-up.

Sample collection and analysis

Blood samples were collected in EDTA-K2 tubes and subsequently centrifuged (2000rpm x 10 mins, 4ºC) within 2 hours after extraction. Plasma was aliquoted and stored at -80ºC until analysis. CSF samples were obtained through lumbar puncture, and were also centrifuged, aliquoted and stored at -80ºC until analysis. Full protocol for CSF sample collection in our center has been previously reported[19].

All samples were measured in the Lumipulse fully-automated platform G600II using commercially available kits (Fujirebio Europe, Ghent, Belgium) for AB_{1-42} , AB_{1-40} , and pTau₁₈₁. Plasma samples were analyzed between July and August 2022 with the same lot of reagents. On the day of the analysis, plasma samples were brought to room temperature, mixed thoroughly, and centrifuged for 5 minutes at 2000g, and subsequently transferred to specific cuvettes for analysis in the Lumipulse platform.

CSF markers AB_{1-42} , AB_{1-40} , pTau₁₈₁ and tTau were used in the diagnostic assessment of patients and measured in routine runs scheduled twice a month throughout 2021 following previously reported methods[22]. According to CSF markers, all participants were classified as amyloid positive (A+, CSF $\mathsf{AS}_{1-42}/\mathsf{AS}_{1-40}$ <0.062) or negative (A-), and as tau positive $(T+$, $pTau_{181} > 63pq/mL)$ or negative $(T-)$. Validation of these cutoff values has been described elsewhere[22].

DNA was extracted from full blood using standard procedures, and *APOE* was genotyped following previously reported methods[19]. Briefly, direct DNA sequencing of exon 4 was performed routinely for all participants in the SPIN cohort, followed by visual analysis of the resulting electropherogram to identify the two coding polymorphisms that encode the three possible apoE isoforms.

Statistical analysis

Data normality was assessed with the Shapiro-Wilk test. Non-normally distributed variables were log-transformed when necessary. ANCOVA test adjusted by age and sex followed by Tukey's post hoc correction test was performed. To assess differences 's post hoc correction test was performed. To assess differences
s, Chi Square test was used. To assess the correlation between
ers, Spearman test was used. in categorical variables, Chi Square test was used. To assess the correlation between plasma and CSF markers, Spearman test was used.

Diagnostic accuracy of plasma biomarkers was assessed through receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis. The areas under the curve (AUC) of individual markers were calculated and logistic regression models that combined them with each other together with clinical variables were performed. Model 0 included Age, Sex and *APOE4* status. Model 1 included pTau₁₈₁ and A β_{1-42} / A β_{1-40} . Model 2 included pTau₁₈₁ and A β_{1-} 42, and model 3 included Age, Sex, *APOE4,* and pTau181, Aβ1–42 and Aβ1–40.We compared their accuracy using DeLong's test. We calculated a range of plasma cutoffs and their sensitivity, specificity and Youden's J index to discriminate A+T+ from A-T-. S J index to discriminate A+T+ from A-T-.

inized the Youden's J index and those that

ing purposes) All tests were performed in We also provide plasma cut-offs that maximized the Youden's J index and those that
Il tests were performed in
: 0.05 for all analysis. yielded 95% sensitivity (optimized for screening purposes). All tests were performed in R statistical software version 4.2.1. Alpha threshold was set at 0.05 for all analysis.

Results

Study participants and clinical classification

We included 302 euploid participants previously classified as cognitively unimpaired (CU, n=67), with mild cognitive impairment (MCI, n=136) or with dementia (n=99). We also included 65 participants with Down syndrome (46 without dementia and 19 with dementia). Table 1 shows the main demographic characteristics and biomarker measures in each group. CU participants were younger than those with MCI (p<0.001) and those with dementia (p<0.001) groups. As expected, Down Syndrome participants without dementia were younger than those with dementia (p=0.008). There were more female participants in the euploid group (61.6%) and more male participants in the Down syndrome group (61.5%). The proportion of A+T+ and *APOE4* positive increased according to the clinical stage.

Table 1 : Demographics and biomarker concentrations in CSF and plasma

Unless otherwise specified, values are presented as mean (SD).

CU: Cognitively Unimpaired. AD: Alzheimer disease. OtherNotDeg: Other not neurodegenerative. OtherDem: Other dementias. MCI: Mild cognitive impairment. DS.NotDem: Down Syndrome without dementia. DS.Dementia: Down Syndrome with dementia.

Measures of $A\beta_{1-42}$, $A\beta_{1-40}$ and pTau₁₈₁ in plasma

All plasma measures for AB_{1-42} , AB_{1-40} and pTau₁₈₁ were above their lower limit of quantification. The plasma concentrations in the study ranged from 14.06 to 65.65 pg/mL for Aβ_{1–42}, 180.41 to 780.44 pg/mL for Aβ_{1–40} and 0.92 to 10.32 pg/mL for pTau₁₈₁. Inter-assay coefficients of variation were assessed at two levels (low and high concentrations) for each analyte and were 5.7% (21 pg/mL) and 6.6% (209 pg/mL) for AB_{1-42} , 5.5% (214 pg/mL) and 6.7% (2243 pg/mL) for AB_{1-40} , and 4.6% (5 pg/mL) and 4.1% (45 pg/mL) for $pTau_{181}$.

Correlation between plasma and CSF biomarkers

As per inclusion criteria, all participants had CSF biomarkers measures. We explored the correlation between both matrices. The correlation between plasma and CSF was moderate for pTau₁₈₁ (Rho = 0.66, p<0.001) and low for β_{1-42} (Rho = 0.14, p= 0.007), and AB_{1-40} (Rho 0.1, p= 0.048). When using ratios, the correlation was high for pTau₁₈₁/Aβ_{1–42} (Rho 0.79, p< 0.001), and moderate for $A\beta_{1-42}/A\beta_{1-40}$ (Rho= 0.65, p<0.001). Detailed correlations within clinical subgroups are shown in Supplementary Material.

Association between plasma biomarkers and AT status in CSF

We assessed the differences between distinct AT status considering other variables in a multivariate model. We studied the effect of age, sex, *APOE* status (*APOE4*+), renal function measured by the estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), vascular risk factors (presence of at least one of the following: high blood pressure, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, history of stroke, obstructive sleep apnea with CPAP) and clinical status (CU, MCI and Dementia, and Down Syndrome with and without dementia). As shown in Figure 1, the multivariate model confirmed that the A+T+ group had higher plasma concentrations of $DTau₁₈₁$ compared to A+T- (p=0.0014), A-T+ $(p=0.0008)$ and A-T- $(p<0.0001)$ groups. The A+T- group also had higher concentrations of pTau181 compared to A-T- group (p<0.0001). In turn, the A+T+ group had lower levels of AB_{1-42} compared to the A-T+ (p=0.034) and the A-T-(p<0.001) groups. Similar results were seen using the ratios $pTau_{181}/A\beta_{1-42}$ and $A\beta_{1-4}$ $_{42}/A\beta_{1-40}$. The plasma pTau₁₈₁/A β_{1-42} ratio was higher in A+T+ compared to A-T- $(p<0.001)$, A-T+ $(p<0.001)$ and A+T- $(p=0.001)$ groups. It was also higher in A+Tcompared to A-T- (p<0.001) and A-T+ (p= 0.04) groups. The plasma AB_{1-42}/AB_{1-40} ratio was lower in A+T+ and A+T- compared to A-T- (both p<0.001).

Effect of other variables on plasma biomarkers

We assessed whether plasma pTau₁₈₁ and AB_{1-42}/AB_{1-40} were affected by other variables in the multivariate model. Figure 2 shows the effect of each variable represented by the standardized beta coefficient. We observed that the amyloid positivity (A+T- and A+T+) and Down syndrome were associated to higher plasma concentration of pTau₁₈₁ and lower AB_{1-42}/AB_{1-40} ratio. Decreased renal function was associated with higher concentrations of $pTau_{181}$ and higher AB_{1-42}/AB_{1-40} ratio. Male sex was associated with higher pTau₁₈₁. The A $\beta_{1-42}/\beta_{1-40}$ ratio was lower in the dementia group. Our model had and adjusted R^2 value of 0.6 for pTau₁₈₁ and 0.41 for $A\beta_{1-42}/A\beta_{1-40}$.

As impairment of renal function had a significant effect on plasma markers, we performed a subanalysis after stratifying by eGFR. We found that $pTau_{181}$ concentration in plasma was higher as renal function decreased (<60 vs. >60 mL/min/1.73m² and 60-90 vs. >90 mL/min/1.73m², p<0.001), Aβ_{1–42} and Aβ_{1–40} concentrations in plasma were also higher as renal function decreased (p<0.001), but those differences were lost when using the AB_{1-42}/AB_{1-40} or the pTau₁₈₁/ A β_{1-42} ratios.

Diagnostic accuracy of plasma biomarkers and their combinations for the discrimination of A+T+ from A-T-

When we compared accuracies with DeLong test, we adjusted p-value by multiple comparisons. The AUC to discriminate A+T+ from A-T- participants 0.91 (CI 0.87-0.94) for pTau₁₈₁ and 0.86 (CI 0.82-0.91) for $\mathsf{AB}_{1-42}/\mathsf{AB}_{1-40}$ (Figure 3). These accuracies were significantly higher than those from a basic model that included age, sex and *APOE4* status (p<0.001). $A\beta_{1-42}$ and $A\beta_{1-40}$ individually had poor diagnostic accuracy, yielding AUCs below 0.70.

Diagnostic accuracies of $pTau_{181}/A\beta_{1-42}$, $A\beta_{1-42}/A\beta_{1-40}$ and a logistic regression model combining pTau₁₈₁ and A β_{1-42} (model 2) were not significantly higher than that of $pTau₁₈₁$ alone (p= 0.18, p=0.076 and p=0.007, respectively, not significant adjusted by multiple comparisons). The combination of $pTau_{181}$ and $A\beta_{1-42}/A\beta_{1-40}$ (model 1) yielded an AUC of 0.94 (CI 0.92-0.97), which was higher than that of $\frac{\text{A}}{\text{B}}_{1-42}$ ($\frac{\text{B}}{4-40}$ (p < 0.0001) but not compared to $pTau_{181}$ ($p=0.0025$, not significant adjusted by multiple comparisons). The addition of other variables (age, sex, *APOE4* status) to pTau₁₈₁ did not significantly increase its accuracy. Detailed two-by-two comparisons can be found as Supplementary Material. We obtained similar results when the outcome was restricted to discriminate A+T+ patients with a clinical diagnosis of AD from A-Tpatients with "Other dementias", A-T- patients with "Other not neurodegenerative" patients with "Other dementias", A-T- patients with "Other not neurodegenerative"
cognitive impairment, and from A-T- CU participants. We also assessed the
performance of the biomarkers in intermediate AT states (A-T+ and cognitive impairment, and from A-T- CU participants. We also assessed the (Supplementary Material).

Cutoffs application

Table 2 shows the accuracy of different thresholds for $pTau_{181}$ and for AB_{1-42}/AB_{1-40} to detect A+T+ participants. For $pTau₁₈₁$, a cutoff value of 2.4 pg/mL yielded the highest Youden J index with a sensitivity of 89% and a specificity of 84%. Considering an intended use for screening, we also calculated the cutoff value that maximized sensitivity to 95%. A cutoff value of 2.01 pg/mL, with a sensitivity of 95%, yielded a specificity of 65%. The use of this cutoff value in our cohort resulted in 78% correctly classified individuals (135 true positive, 112 true negative), and 22% misclassified individuals (7 false negative, 61 false positive). However, the sequential application of the plasma AB_{1-42}/AB_{1-40} ratio (cutoff 0.083) in participants that were pTau₁₈₁ negative reduced the number of false negative to 0 without increasing the number of false positive in the whole cohort and in all the subsets analyzed (Table 2). These findings suggest that an algorithm that considers the sequential application of plasma markers could be valuable for a more accurate detection of the AD pathology (Figure 4).

Table 2. Thresholds for plasma pTau₁₈₁, $A\beta_{1-42}/A\beta_{1-40}$ and pTau₁₈₁/ $A\beta_{1-42}$ ratios to detect A+T+ participants.

Shaded cells indicate cutoffs that yielded the maximum Youden J indices and those with 95% sensitivity.

Change in diagnostic accuracy of plasma biomarkers in specific clinical situations

We also tested the diagnostic performance of a cutoff value of 2.01pg/mL for plasma $pTau₁₈₁$ discriminating A+T+ from A-T- in different subsets of our cohort considering the variables that were associated with plasma biomarkers. We tested the accuracy of this cutoff separately in Down syndrome and without Down syndrome, in the participants with dementia and without dementia, and in different stages of chronic kidney disease. We found that the accuracy was similar in all subgroups except for participants with chronic kidney disease (CKD) (Supplementary Table).

In the group with CKD 3a (eGFR <60mL/min/1.72m²), this cutoff had the lowest sensitivity (90%). While the false negative rate was still low (10%), a high false positive rate was observed (91%), suggesting that this cutoff would not be useful in this specific population. As we found that ratios did not differ significantly between CKD stages 1 to 3a, we tested whether the use of ratios could improve the accuracy in the group with CKD 3a. Using eGFR adapted cutoffs for $\beta_{1-42}/\beta_{1-40}$ or pTau₁₈₁/ β_{1-42} in the subset of participants with CKD stage 3a reduced the false positive rate compared to $pTau_{181}$ alone (27% to 9% of false positive, respectively) with the same 10% false negative rate (Supplementary Material).

Discussion

In this study, we found that the concentration of plasma pTau₁₈₁, and the ratios AB_{1-} $_{42}/A\beta_{1-40}$ and pTau₁₈₁/A β_{1-42} measured in an automated platform, yielded good accuracy to detect the AD pathophysiology. These plasma biomarkers also showed moderate to high correlation with their CSF counterparts.

In our study the highest diagnostic yield was obtained by analyzing $pTau_{181}$ first, followed by the AB_{1-42}/AB_{1-40} ratio maximizing the number of A+T+ patients, while maintaining an acceptable false positive rate. Participants with positive plasma biomarkers would require confirmation with the current diagnostic gold standard (CSF or amyloid PET). In patients with advanced chronic kidney disease, the use of ratios could reduce the impact of having higher plasma concentrations associated to low renal function, thus minimizing the false positive rates in this population.

The performance of plasma markers to discriminate patients with AD from cognitively unimpaired participants, patients with other dementias and with not degenerative dementias has been assessed in previous studies using other analytical platforms, with AUCs ranging from 0.70 to 0.96 for pTau₁₈₁[7,17,23–27], and from 0.64 to 0.86 for Aβ_{1–} $_{42}/A\beta_{1-40}$ [5,8,10]. Most of the studies reported better accuracies with the use of composite measures that combined two or more markers and/or clinical or genetic information. In our study, plasma pTau₁₈₁ and the AB_{1-42}/AB_{1-40} ratio measured with a fully automated platform showed high diagnostic performance to detect patients with AD CSF pathophysiology, and composite measures did not perform significantly better than $pTau_{181}$ alone.

The accuracy of $pTau_{181}$ in plasma has been previously studied in the Lumipulse and different accuracies have been reported to differentiate CU from AD. While Janelidze et al. reported an AUC of 0.7 [17] Wilson et al. found an accuracy of 0.96[24]. In our study, we found a global accuracy of 0.91 for $pTau₁₈₁$. Different reasons could explain the differences between/across studies, including preanalytical conditions[28], characteristics of the sample and cohort, and the design of the study.

The effect of comorbidities as CKD on plasma biomarker concentrations points in the same direction as recently published studies[29] in which the use of ratios, in this case different isoforms of pTau with their corresponding unphosphorylated peptides, even analyzed on different platforms, could attenuate the effect of CKD.

The implications of implementing plasma biomarkers in primary care centers remain to be defined. While it has the potential to enhance the identification of patients at risk of neurodegenerative diseases, the possible consequences of positive results in asymptomatic individuals, as well as the risk of false positives, must be considered[4,18].

The strengths of our study are that we included all consecutive participants assessed throughout a year in our memory clinic with a variety of clinical diagnoses. This approach reduces the possibility of biases and ensures a reliable representation of the population assessed in the setting of a specialized memory clinic. In addition, the fact that all participants had CSF biomarkers, allowed us to compare plasma measures with their counterparts in CSF measured in the same analytical platform. Other strengths in our study are the fact that all markers were measured using the same batch of reagents and that the clinical information available allowed us to analyze the potential impact of comorbidities. Our study also has some limitations. Although we analyzed samples from non-selected consecutive participants, the criteria required that all participants had CSF. Therefore, the extrapolation to other contexts of use different than specialized memory units, such as primary care or population screening programs, should be made cautiously. Another limitation is the lack of Amyloid/Tau PET or neuropathological confirmation in our participants.

In summary, our study provides evidence that plasma markers can reliably be measured in an automated platform, showing great potential for the detection of AD pathophysiology in the context of a memory clinic. With the upcoming arrival of disease-modifying treatments into clinical practice, it is urgent to have screening methods to efficiently identify patients candidates to treatment. Although the results of the study need to be validated in multicenter studies, our findings suggest that plasma biomarkers measured with a fully automated platform could be integrated in specialized centers as a tool to screen patients with cognitive complaints at risk of having AD, and the diagnosis then confirmed using CSF. This could help to accelerate diagnosis and access to disease modifying therapies.

References

-
-
-
-
- ドメジコでくれて メージドレス くくくく ドくじょく せくさくしょく 2016;12:1113-5. doi:10.1016/j.jalz.2016.10.01

Reunissen CE, Verbert IMW, Thijssen EH, et al. Blood-based biomarkers for Alzheimer

distanse to twarts clinical implementation. Lancet Neurol. 2022;21:66-77.

doi:10.1015/514 Teunissen CE, Verberk IMW, Thijssen EH, *et al.* Blaiseae: towards clinical implementation. Lancet
doi:10.1016/51474-4422(21)00361-6
doi:10.1016/51474-4422(21)00361-6
doi:10.1136/j.papy C, Muñoz L, *et al.* Use of plasm
n doi:10.1016/51474-4422(21)00361-6
helicolea D, Delaby C, Muhoz L, et al. Use of plasma biomarkers for AT(N) cl
neurodegenerative dementias. J. Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2021;92:12
helicolea D, Delaby C, Muhoz L, et al. U Alcolea D, Delaby C, Muñoz L, et al. Uneurodegenerative dementias. J Neurodoi:10.1136/jnnp-2021-326603
Alcolea D, Delaby C, Muñoz L, et al. Uneurodegenerative dementias. J Neurodoi:10.1136/jnnp-2021-326603
Alcolea D, Beeri neurodegenerative when the state of the state in Neurolegenerative Discussions for the Clinical Neurolegenerative Discussion Sheet MS, Regis JC, et al. Blood Biomarkers i Alcolea D, Beeri MS, Rojas JC, et Implications for the Clinical Neu
2023;:10.1212/WNL.0000000000
2023;:10.1212/WNL.0000000000
Nakamura A, Kaneko N, Villema,
biomarkers for Alzheimer's disea
doi:10.1038/nature25456
Janelidz mpleatents for the Clinical Reading Caternal Neurology
2023;:10.1212/WNL.000000000000207193. doi:10.12
2023;:10.1212/WNL.00000000000207193. doi:10.12
Nakamura A, Kaneko N, Villemagne VL, *et al.* High p
biomarkers for Alzh Nakamura A, Kaneko N, Villemagne VL, et al. High performance plasma amyloid-filomarlers for Alzheimer's disease. Notive 2018;554-1249–54.
doi:10.1038/nature25456
doi:10.1038/nature25456
alai-10.1038/nature25456
alai-10.103
-
-
- bomarkers for Kulterner 3 strease. Twitter 2018;354:21-25-54.
doi:10.1038/nature25456
Janelidze S, Stomrud E, Palmqvist S, et al. Plasma β-amyloid in
vascular disease. Sci Rep 2016;66. doi:10.1038/srep26801
Ashton NJ, Puig Janelidze S, Stomrud E, Pal
vascular disease. *Sci Rep* 2
vascular disease. *Sci Rep* 2
Ashton NJ, Puig-Pijoan A, N
clinic: Head-to-head comp
and Dementia Published O
Schindler SE, Bollinger JG,
predicts current and futur vascular disease. Sci Rep 2016;6. doi:10.1038/srep26801 and Dementia Published Online First: 2022. doi:10.1002/alz.12841
-
- 1 Fago Maximura A, Karel Motivity Let, the crisis interest and demential and and the crisis including (2016,121113-5, doi:10.1016/5)[aik.2016.10.001]
20 Taukisear C. Kyehek IMW, Thijasea CH, at at al. Rio d-based biomarke Shammar Machine The Machine The Machine The Machine The Machine The Internal Cola Shamura A, Machine The Jamellite S, Stormuti E, Pallmqvist S, et al. Plasma β-amyloid in Alzheimer's disease.

Yadura Line S, Screen 2016, clinic: Head-to-head comparison of phosphorylated discussions and minimal comparison of phosphorylated Comparison of phosphorylated tau immunosis Schindler SE, Bollinger 16, Ovod V, et al. High-precision plasma β-amyloid 4 8 Schindler SE, Bollinger JG, Ovod V, et al. High-precision plasma β-amyloid 42/40 predicts current and ristic. The Hamilton Care Care The Magnification (doi:10.1212/WNL.0000000000008081
Montoliu-Gaya L, Strydom A, Blennow K, *et al.* Blood biomarkers for alzheime
disease in down syndrome. J Clin Med. 20 Montoliu-Gaya L, Strydom A, Blennow
disease in down syndrome. J Clin Med.
Janelidze S, Teunissen CE, Zetterberg H,
Amyloid-β 42/40 Assays in Alzheimer D
doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2021.3180
Bayoumy S, Verberk IMW, den Dulk B, 9 Montology 2, 2021, 202 Jamelidze S, Teunissen CE, Zetterberg H, *et al.* Head-to-Head Comparison of Amyloid-β 42/40 Assays in Alzheimer Disease. JAMA Neurol 2021;78:1375-8
doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2021.3180
doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2021.3180
doi:10.
-
- Amyloid-B 42/40 Assays in Alzheimer Disease. JAMA Neuro¹2021,78:1375-82.

Amyloid-B 42/40 Assays in Alzheimer Disease. JAMA Neuro¹2021,78:1375-82.

doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2021.3180

11 Bayoumy S, Verberk IMW, den Dulk Amylou-y-12/40 Assays in Michael Balance in Disease. JAMA Neurol 2021,78:13775–82.

doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2021.3180

Bayoumy S, Verberk IMW, den Dulk B, *et al.* Clinical and analytical comparison of

Simoa assays for pl Bayoumy S, Verberk IMW, den Dulk I
Simoa assays for plasma P-tau isofor
Res Ther 2021;13. doi:10.1186/s131
Mielke MM, Dage JL, Frank RD, *et al.*
and 217 in the community. *Nat Med*
01822-2
Pichet Binette A, Janelidze S, C Simoa assays for plasma P-tau isoforms P-tau 211, P-tau217, and P-tau231. Alzheime

Res Ther 2021;13. doi:10.1186/s13195-021-00939-9

Mielke MM, Dage JL, Frank RD, et al. Performance of plasma phosphorylated tau 18:

and ner 2021;13. doi:10.11807313133-021-00333-3
Mielke MM, Dage JL, Frank RD, *et al.* Performance of
and 217 in the community. Nat Med 2022;**28**:1398-4
01822-2
Pichet Binette A, Janelidze S, Cullen N, *et al.* Confoun
plasma
-
- 2 Cointerior and Bernetta Published Cointe Frist: 2012, doi 10.1002/211.124 (111) and 2012 (111) and 2 2 Alcolea D, Bernston C, Muñoz L, 2021, 222 Alcolea D, Bernston C, Muñoz L, 2023, 13. 4 Alcolea D, Mila Alcolea D, Mila Alexander and the method of the alternative business.

1972-1974-1022/22 Mila Alexander S, Standard 2013-22/2 Mila 6 Janelidze S, Stomrud E, Palmqvist S, et al. Plasma β-amyloid in Alzheimer's disease and 7 Associated the matter of the matter of the matter of the matter of the contract and Demetric Published Online Fist: 2022, doi:0.0.024b: 12841

3 Schindler SE, Bollinger IG, Ovod V, et al. Hgh-precision plasma B-amyloid 4 Simos assays for plasma P-tau isoforms (Neikle MM, Dage JL, Frank RD, *et al.* Performance of plasma phosphorylated tau 181 and 217 12 Microsoftation and 217 in the community. *Not Med* 2022;28:1398-405. doi:10.1038/s41591-022-0182-2

201822-2

Pichet Binette A, Janelidze S, Cullen N, *et al.* Confounding factors of Alzheimer's disear

plasma biomarke and 217 in the community. Nat Med 2022,28:1338 405. doi:10.1038/s41591-022
01822-2
Pichet Binette A, Janelidze S, Cullen N, *et al.* Confounding factors of Alzheimer's displasma biomarkers and their impact on clinical per Pichet Bi
plasma b
*Dementi*k
Syrjanen
neurode_{
2022;**18**:
Ashton N
tau231 a
2022;**28**: 13 Pichet Binette A, Janelidze S, Culter W, et al. Confounding factors of Alzheimer's disease
plasma biomarkers and their impact on clinical performance. Alzheimer's and
Dementia Published Online First: 2022. doi:10.1002/a Dementia Published Online First: 2022. doi:10.1002/alz.12787
Syrjanen JA, Campbell MR, Algeciras-Schimnich A, *et al.* Associations of amylo
neurodegeneration plasma biomarkers with comorbidities. Alzheimer's and De
2022; Bernenta Tublished Online First: 2022. doi:10.1002/al2.12767
Syrjanen JA, Campbell MR, Algeciras-Schimnich A, *et al.* Associa
neurodegeneration plasma biomarkers with comorbidities. Alz
2022;18:1128–40. doi:10.1002/alz.12
- 14 Syrjanen JA, campbell MR, Algeciras-Schimmen A, et al. Associations of amyloid and
neurodegeneration plasma biomarkers with comorbidities. Alzheimer's and Dementi
2022;18:1128-40. doi:10.1002/alz.12466
Ashton NJ, Janel neurodegeneration plasma biomarkers with comorbidities. Alzheimer's and Dementia
2022;18:1128-40. doi:10.1002/alz.12466
Ashton NJ, Janelidze S, Mattsson-Carlgren N, *et al.* Differential roles of Aβ42/40, p-
tau231 and p-2022;28:2555–62. doi:10.1038/s41591-02
Ashton NJ, Janelidze S, Mattsson-Carlgren
tau231 and p-tau217 for Alzheimer's trial
2022;**28**:2555–62. doi:10.1038/s41591-02 15 Ashton NJ, Janelidze 3, Mattsson-Carlgren N, et al. Differential roles of Aβ42/40, p-
tau 231 and p-tau 217 for Alzheimer's trial selection and disease monitoring. Nat M
2022;28:2555–62. doi:10.1038/s41591-022-02074 t au231 and p-tau217 for Alzheimer's trial selection and disease momtoring. Nat Med
2022;**28**:2555–62. doi:10.1038/s41591-022-02074-w 2022;28:2555–62. doi:10.1038/s41591-022-02074-w

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
- Farlest Michainer School (1911), the distance and the state of the state in prodomal Althelmer's dissac. Brahm Publish Earliest Antilham Franchogical Changes. Animeteric Sculpture and Changes Alail D.10.1002/ana.25334

Ian Lib.10.1002/ana.25334

Ian Lib.10.1002/ana.25334

Ian Lib.10.1002/ana.25334

Ian Libs Sign D. Ashton NJ, et d. Head -t Janelidze S, Bali D, Ashta
Janelidze S, Bali D, Ashta
tau assays in prodromal
September 2022. doi:10
Hansson O, Edelmayer R
use recommendations from the recommendations of
Dementia. 2022;18:266!
Alcolea D, Clarimón J, Ca
N 27 January 1, the U. State Comparison of the National Adventure 1, and the Head-to-head comparison of the National Adventure of the Altheliner's Association appropriate states on Recommendations for blood biennalise S, Hea usays in protonomic and the method on the react to the relation of September 2022; 10.1103/brain Published Constrainers in Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimer's disease. O. Edelmayer RM, Boxer AL, et al. The Alzheimer's disease Hansson O, Edelmayer RM, Boxer AL, et al. The
use recommendations for blood biomarkers in
Dementia. 2022;18:2669–86. doi:10.1002/alz.
Alcolea D, Clarimón J, Carmona-Iragui M, et al.
Neurodegeneration (SPIN) cohort: A data 18 Hansson Cyclical Cycl Dementia. 2022;18:2669–86. doi:10.1002/ar.12756
Alchdea D. Clarimón J. Carmona-iragui M, et al. The Sant Pau Initiative on
Neurodagenerative fisorders. Athleiner's and Dementia: Transfational Research and
neurodagenerative Alcolea D, Clarimón J, Carmona-Iragui M, et al. The S.
Neurodegeneration (SPIN) cohort: A data set for bioneurodegenerative disorders. Alzheimer's and Dementom
Clinical Interventions 2019,5:597–609. doi:10.1016/j
Clinical 26 Macrostock Chinamista Carmos Sant Paul Macrostock Chinamista Chin neurodegenerative disorders. Atheimer's and Dementia: Translational Research and
Clinter Interventions 2013:5.539-609. doi:10.1016/j.htcl.2019.09.005
Christ al. Carmona-Iragul M, Benejam B, et al. Plasma and CSF biomates f neurous generative and the method in a method of the method in the state of the method interventions 2019.5.597–609. doi:10.1016/j.trci.2019.09.05.
Glinical Interventions 2019.5.597–609. doi:10.1016/j.trci.2019.09.05.
dois Entra metric and the state of the state in the state of the state of the state of the state in the state in the state in addits with Down syndome: a crostudy, Loneet Neurol 2018;17:860–9. doi:10.1016/s1474-4422(18)302.
For 20 Fortes V, cuncer New Mathematics (10, 101 Fortes J, Carmon and C and the method of Blass and Althematics (America) 2018;17:860-9. doi:10.1016/S1147-4422(18)30285-0
121 Fortes J, Vilaplana E, Carmona-Iragui M, et al. Cli study, *Lancet Neurol 2018;17:860-9. doi:10.1016/*51474 4422(18)30285 0
6Fortea J, Vilapiana E, Germona-Iragui M, et al. Clinical and biomater changes of
Alzheimer's disease in adults with Down syndrome: a cross-sectional study. Lancet Neurol 2018;17:860–9. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(18)30285-0 21 Fortea J, Vilaplana E, Carmona-Iragui M, et al. Clinical and biomarker changes of Alzheimer's disease is made the employeed in a cross-sectional study. The Euri-
Alzheimer, 2012, 035-31, 086-97, 061:01,010,650140-6736(20)30683-9
Alzheimer's disease on Lumipluse. Ano Clin Tronsl Neurol 2019,6:1315-2-1.
G Alcolea D, Pegueroles J, Muñoz L, et al. Regement of amy

for Alzheimer's disease on Lumipulse. Ann Clin Transl Neu

for Alzheimer's disease on Lumipulse. Ann Clin Transl Neu

doi:10.1002/acna 3.0873

Baiardi S, Quadalti C 22 Alcolea D, Pegueroles J, Muñoz Capitalista, And D, Pegueroles J, Havan Capital Biomatic Capital Biomatic David D, Capital Biomatic D, D, Biomatic P, Capital Biomatic P, Capital Biomatic P, Capital Biomatic P. Agreement for Aziemite is susses on Lumipulae. Ann Clin Transl Neurol 2013;6:1813–4.
Total dol:10.1002/acn3.50873
Baiardi S, Quadalti C, Mammana A, et al. Diagnostic value of plasma p-tau181,
GFAP in a clinical setting cohot of prev Baiardi S, Quadalti C, Mai
Baiardi S, Quadalti C, Mai
GFAP in a clinical setting (
Res Ther 2022;**14**. doi:10.
Wilson EN, Young CB, Rar
Lumipulse plasma phospl
2022;**14**. doi:10.1186/s1:
Thijssen EH, La Joie R, Str
phospho 23 Barara C, Journal Martin C, Martin C, Martin C, Martin C, Martin C, Martin S, Altheimers (FR 2022, 21, 201:10.1156/s):3155-02:2-01003-6

28 Baiar Martin C, Mamman A, et al. Diagnostic value of plasma phosphare of a full GFAP in a clinical setting Control of previous and the prevalent neurodegenerative dementias. Alaheimers
Res Ther 2022;14. dol:10.1186/s13195-022-01093-6
Wilson EN, Young CB, Ramos Benitez J, et al. Performance of a fully-Res Ther 2022;11. 001:11001311100131110021212-0025-0103-01
Wilson EN, Young CB, Ramos Benitez J, et al. Perform
Lumipulse plasma phospho-tau181 assay for Alzheim
2022;14. doi:10.1186/s13195-022-01116-2
Thijssen EH, La Joie 24 Wison En, Labie (2, tal. 2022), 201116.

222 Wildow Disconsition of a fully-state of a fully-state and phosphorylated tau 217 and

phisson EH, La Joie R, Strom A, et al. Plasma phosphorylated tau 217 and

phisson EH, La Lumipulse plasma phospho-taunta assay for Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers has her
2022;14. doi:10.1186/s13195-022-01116-2
Thijssen EH, La Joie R, Strom A, et al. Plasma phosphorylated tau 217 and
phosphorylated tau 181 as Thijssen EH, La Joie R, Strom A, *et al.* Plasma
phosphorylated tau 181 as biomarkers in Al
degeneration: a retrospective diagnostic pe
2021;**20**:739–52. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(:
Karikari TK, Pascoal TA, Ashton NJ, *et al.*
-
-
-
- 25 Thissen En, Exter, Strom A, et al. Alterian phosphorylated tau 181 as biomarkers in Alzheimer's disease and frontott degeneration: a retrospective diagnostic performance study. Lancet Neura 2021;20:739-52. doi:10.1016/S reprenetion: a retrospective diagnostic performance study. *Lancet Neurol*
2021;20:739-52. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(21)00214-3
Karikari TK, Pascoal TA, Ashton NJ, *et d.* Blood phosphorylated tau 181 as a biomarker
for Alzhe degeneration: a retrospective diagnostic performance study. Lancet Neurol 2021;20:739-52. doi:10.1016/51474-4422(21)00214-3

Karikari TK, Pascoal TA, Ashton NJ, *et al.* Blood phosphorylated tau 181 as a

for Alzheimer's d Karikari TK, Pascoal TA, Ashton NJ, *et al.* Blood phospho
Karikari TK, Pascoal TA, Ashton NJ, *et al.* Blood phospho
for Alzheimer's disease: a diagnostic performance and data from four prospective cohorts. *Lancet Neurol* 26 Karikari The Tashton Tay, Fur. Disource and prediction modelling study usin
for Alzheimer's disease: a diagnostic performance and prediction modelling study usin
data from four prospective cohorts. *Lancet Neurol* 2020; data from four prospective cohorts. *Lancet Neurol* 2020;19:422–33.
doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(20)30071-5
Sarto J, Ruiz-García R, Guillén N, *et al.* Diagnostic Performance and Clinical Applicability
of Blood-Based Biomarkers data from Front Prospective Contrast. Lancet Neurol 2020, 13.422–35.
doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(20)30071-5
Sarto J, Ruiz-García R, Guillén N, *et al.* Diagnostic Performance and C
of Blood-Based Biomarkers in a Prospective Mem Sarto J, Ruiz-García R, Guillén N, *et al.*
Sarto J, Ruiz-García R, Guillén N, *et al.*
of Blood-Based Biomarkers in a Prospe
2022;:10.1212/WNL.0000000000201!
Musso G, Cosma C, Zaninotto M, *et al*
immunoassay for plasma b 27 Sarto 3, Nate-Sarto R, Samern W, et al. Diagnostic Ferominance and Clinical Applicability of Blood-Based Biomarkers in a Prospective Memory Clinic Cohort. *Neurology*
2022;:10.1212/WNL.0000000000201597. doi:10.1212/wnl. of Blood-Based Blomathers in a Trosspective Wellington (2022;10.1212/Whil.0000000000201597. doi:10.1212/whil.000000000020159
Musso G, Cosma C, Zaninotto M, *et al.* Pre-analytical variability of the Lumipuli
mmunoassay for Musso G, Cosma C, Zaninotto M, *et al.* Pre-analytical variability of the Lumipulse
immunoassay for plasma biomarkers of Alzheimer's disease. Clin Chem Lab Med
2023;61:E53–6. doi:10.1515/cclm-2022-0770
Janelidze S, Barthél 28 Musso G, Cosma C, Zaninotto M, et al. Fre-analytical variability of the Lumpase
immunoassay for plasma biomarkers of Alzheimer's disease. Clin Chem Lab Med.
2023;61:E53-6. doi:10.1515/cclm-2022-0770
Janelidze S, Barthél 2023;**61**:E53–6. doi:10.1515/cclm-2022-0770
Janelidze S, Barthélemy NR, He Y, *et al.* Mitigating the Associations of Kidney
Dysfunction With Blood Biomarkers of Alzheimer Disease by Using Phosphorylate
to Total Tau Ratios 2020, 2020, 2020, 2020, 2020, 2020, 2020, 2020, 2020, 2030, 2030, 2030, 2030, 2031, 2031, 2031, 2031, 2031, 20
2022-0770, 2022-0770, 2023, 2023, 2023, 2023, 2023, 2023, 2023, 2029, 2031, 2031, 2032, 2039, 2031, 2031, 2032
 29 Janelidze 3, Barthélemy MN, He Y, et al. Minigating the Associations of Kidney
Dysfunction With Blood Biomarkers of Alzheimer Disease by Using Phosphory
to Total Tau Ratios. JAMA Neurol Published Online First: 29 March Dystant Blood Biomarch With Blood Biomarch Disease by Using Phosphorylated Tau Ratios. JAMA Neurol Published Online First: 29 March 2023.
doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2023.0199
Disease by USING Phosphorylated University Phosphor to Total Tau Ratios. JAMA Neurol Rollshed Online First: 29 March 2023.
doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2023.0199 doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2023.0199

Figures

Figure 1. Levels of plasma biomarkers and their ratios according to the AT status in CSF

All p-values are derived from multivariate linear model, adjusted for the effects of age, sex, *APOE4* status, chronic kidney disease stage, vascular risk factors and clinical stage.

pTau₁₈₁: phosphorylated tau 181. Aβ_{1–42}: Amyloid β_{1–42}. Aβ_{1–40}: Amyloid β_{1–40}. MCI: Mild cognitive impairment. DS.NotDem: Down Syndrome without dementia. DS.Dementia: Down Syndrome with dementia.

 \bullet Cognitively Normal ▲ MCI ■ Dementia DS.NotDem **8** DS.Dementia $\overline{+}$

Figure 2. Effect of different variables on plasma pTau₁₈₁ and Aβ_{1–42}/Aβ_{1–40} (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. medRxiv preprint doi: [https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.04.20.23288852;](https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.04.20.23288852) this version posted April 26, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint

Dots and bars represent the standardized beta coefficients of each variable in a multivariate regression model. Lines represent the 95% confidence interval for each standardized beta coefficient. Red vertical dashed lines indicate a null effect. In red the negative standardized beta coefficients and in blue the positive standardized beta coefficients.

pTau₁₈₁: phosphorylated tau 181. MCI: mild cognitive impairment. DS: Down Syndrome. VRF: vascular risk factors. eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate.

Figure 3. Diagnostic accuracy of plasma biomarkers for the discrimination of A+T+ All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission. **from A-T- categories**

pTau₁₈₁: phosphorylated tau 181. Aβ_{1–42}: Amyloid β_{1–42}. Aβ_{1–40}: Amyloid β_{1–40}. Model 0: Age+Sex+APOE4. Model 1: pTau₁₈₁ + Aβ_{1-42/} Aβ ₁₋₄₀. Model 2: pTau₁₈₁ + Aβ₁₋₄₂, Model 3: Age+Sex+*APOE4*+pTau₁₈₁+ Aβ₁₋₄₂+ Aβ₁₋₄₀.

Figure 4. Algorithm of possible implementation of plasma markers in the evaluation All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission. **of patients with cognitive complaints.**

pTau₁₈₁: phosphorylated tau 181. Aβ₁₋₄₂: Amyloid β₁₋₄₂. Aβ₁₋₄₀: Amyloid β₁₋₄₀. eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate.

