Integrated NMR and MS analysis of plasma metabolome reveals
 major changes in inflammatory markers, one-carbon, lipid, and
 amino acid metabolism in severe and fatal COVID-19 subjects

- 4
- 5 Marcos C. Gama-Almeida¹, Lívia Teixeira², Eugenio D. Hottz³, Paula Ivens⁴, Hygor Ribeiro^{4,5},
- 6 Gabriela D. A. Pinto¹, Rafael Garrett⁴, Alexandre G. Torres^{1,5}, Talita I. A. Carneiro¹, Bianca de
- 7 O. Barbalho¹, Christian Ludwig⁶, Claudio J. Struchiner^{7,8}, Iranaia Assunção-Miranda⁹, Ana
- 8 Paula C. Valente¹⁰, Fernando A. Bozza^{11,12}, Patrícia T. Bozza², Gilson C. dos Santos Jr^{13*}, Tatiana
- 9 El-Bacha^{1,5*}
- ¹⁰ LeBioME-Bioactives, Mitochondrial and Placental Metabolism Core, Institute of Nutrition
- 11 Josué de Castro, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, RJ-Brazil;
- ² Laboratory of Immunopharmacology, Oswaldo Cruz Institute, Oswaldo Cruz Foundation, RJ,
 Brazil;
- ³ Laboratory of Immunothrombosis, Department of Biochemistry, Federal University of Juiz de
 Fora, Juiz de Fora, Brazil;
- ⁴ LabMeta, Metabolomics Laboratory, Institute of Chemistry, Universidade Federal do Rio de
 Janeiro, RJ, Brazil;
- ⁵ Lipid Biochemistry and Lipidomics Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, Universidade
 Federal do Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil;
- ⁶ Institute of Metabolism and Systems research, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United
 Kingdom;
- ⁷ School of Applied Mathematics, Fundação Getúlio Vargas, RJ, Brazil;
- ⁸ Institute of Social Medicine, Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil;
- ⁹ LaRIV, Instituto de Microbiologia Paulo de Goes, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, RJ,
 Brazil;
- ¹⁰ National Center for Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Jiri Jonas, Institute of Medical
- 27 Biochemistry, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil;

- ¹¹ National Institute of infectious disease Evandro Chagas, Oswaldo Cruz Foundation, RJ, Brazil;
- 29 ¹²D'Or institute for Research and Education, RJ, Brazil.
- 30 ¹³LabMet- Laboratory of Metabolomics, IBRAG, Department of Genetics, State University of
- 31 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil.
- 32
- 33 Short title: one-carbon, lipid and amino acid metabolism dysregulation in severe and fatal
- 34 COVID-19
- 35 KEYWORDS: SARS-CoV-2, metabolomics, ¹H-NMR, high-resolution mass spectrometry, fatal
- 36 COVID-19, virus-host interactions, metabolic alterations, sex differences.
- 37
- 38 ***Corresponding authors:** gilson.junior@uerj.br; tatiana@nutricao.ufrj.br
- 39

41 Abstract

42 Brazil has the second highest COVID-19 death rate while Rio de Janeiro is among the states with 43 the highest rate in the country. Although effective vaccines have been developed, it is anticipated 44 that the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic will transition into an endemic state. Under this scenario, it 45 is worrisome that the underlying molecular mechanisms associated with the disease clinical 46 evolution from mild to severe, as well as the mechanisms leading to long COVID are not yet fully 47 understood. In this study, ¹H Nuclear Magnetic Resonance spectroscopy and Liquid 48 Chromatography-Mass spectrometry-based metabolomics were used to identify potential 49 pathways and metabolites involved in COVID-19 pathophysiology and disease outcome. We 50 prospectively enrolled 35 severe RT-PCR confirmed COVID-19 cases within 72 hours from 51 intensive care unit admission, between April and July 2020 from two reference centers in Rio de 52 Janeiro, and 12 samples from non-infected control subjects. Of the 35 samples from COVID-19 53 patients, 18 were from survivors and 17 from non-survivors. We observed that patients with severe 54 COVID-19 had their plasma metabolome significantly changed if compared to control subjects. 55 We observed lower levels of glycerophosphocholine and other choline-related metabolites, serine, 56 glycine, and betaine, indicating a dysregulation in methyl donors and one-carbon metabolism. 57 Importantly, non-survivors had higher levels of creatine/creatinine, 4-hydroxyproline, gluconic 58 acid and N-acetylserine compared to survivors and controls, reflecting uncontrolled inflammation, 59 liver and kidney dysfunction, and insulin resistance in these patients. Lipoprotein dynamics and 60 amino acid metabolism were also altered in severe COVID-19 subjects. Several changes were 61 greater in women, thus patient's sex should be considered in pandemic surveillance to achieve 62 better disease stratification and improve outcomes. The incidence of severe outcome after hospital

discharge is very high in Brazil, thus these metabolic alterations may be used to monitor patients'
organs and tissues and to understand the pathophysiology of long-post COVID-19.

65

66 Introduction

The existing vaccines for SARS-CoV-2 infection resulted in a significant reduction in the number 67 68 of severe cases of the disease. However, it is anticipated that the ongoing coronavirus disease 2019 69 (COVID-19) pandemic will transition into an endemic state [1]. By the time this article is being 70 written, COVID-19 has exceeded 762 million cases with almost 6.9 million deaths worldwide [2]. 71 Brazil has recorded more than 700,000 deaths, making it the country with the second highest 72 number of deaths worldwide [2]. Rio de Janeiro, where this study took place, had 2.79 million 73 confirmed cases with approximately 77,000 deaths. The state is among the ones with the highest 74 mortality rate [3].

The replication of SARS-CoV-2 triggers a systemic immune response that leads to tissue damage and reprogramming of whole-body metabolism [4,5]. Additionally, around 20 % of infected subjects may experience long-term symptoms after recovery from the initial illness [6], a condition that is associated with neurological, gastrointestinal, pulmonary, and cardiovascular alterations and which can be highly debilitating [7]. Even patients who present mild symptoms in the acute phase of the disease may later develop post-COVID symptoms [8].

These observations reveal the complexity of COVID-19 pathophysiology and its profound impact on different tissues and cells. However, the underlying molecular mechanisms associated with the disease clinical evolution from mild to severe, as well as the mechanisms leading to long COVID-19 symptoms are not yet known. The complex multisystemic nature of SARS-CoV-2 infection

calls for a system-level approach to help us better understand the underlying molecular
mechanisms associated with the condition.

87 Metabolomics is the central omics in information translation [9] by providing the metabolic 88 signature of organs and biological fluids in different conditions and may help elucidate the 89 metabolic pathways associated with SARS-CoV-2 infectious process. We and others have shown 90 that metabolomics was essential in the development of new approaches that improved the 91 understanding and treatment of emerging viral diseases such as Dengue [10,11], Chikungunya [10], SARS [12], and Zika [13,14]. Alterations in host energy, amino acid and lipid metabolism 92 93 are frequently observed in viral infections, as the virus disturbs and exploits host metabolic 94 pathways for its own benefit [15,16]. These metabolic alterations have a critical role in disease 95 outcome and in modulating the host immune response.

96 The modulation of host lipid metabolism is a feature shared by coronaviruses and is essential for 97 viral RNA replication [17], as it enables the viral envelope membrane, as well as double-membrane 98 vesicles and lipid compartments to be synthetized. Indeed, our group has shown that lipid droplets 99 accumulate in monocytes isolated from COVID-19 subjects and serve as an assembly platform for 100 SARS-CoV-2 particles [18]. The orchestrate of lipid flow within different cell compartments by 101 the SARS-CoV-2 non-structural protein 6 (NSP6) ensures the proper organization of double-102 membrane vesicles, as well as their effective communication with lipid droplets [19]. All these 103 events are essential for SARS-CoV-2 replication.

One of the first studies to use a multi-omics approach to gain insight into the pathophysiology of COVID-19 was done by Shen *et al.* with a small cohort of patients. In the study, proteomics and metabolomics approaches revealed that mild and severe COVID-19 patients presented metabolic and immune dysregulation [20]. More than 100 lipid species, including glycerophospholipids, fatty

108 acids, and lipoproteins, and several amino acids, were downregulated in sera from subjects infected 109 with SARS-CoV-2, if compared to controls [20]. Alterations in lipoproteins using NMR-110 metabolomics were also confirmed in larger cohorts [21] and single-cell metabolomics of 111 monocytes reinforced the idea that modulation of intermediary metabolism, in particular organic 112 acids, plays crucial roles in COVID-19 severity [22]. Regarding the modulation of amino acid 113 metabolism, several studies have reported that patients have low levels of plasma tryptophan, being 114 now considered a marker for the extent of inflammation and COVID-19 severity [20, 23-25]. 115 Additionally, it has been reported that COVID-19 in-patients show dysregulation in the 116 metabolism of methyl donors, including higher levels of S-adenosyl-homocysteine and lower 117 levels of homocysteine, regardless of IL-6 levels [26]. Indeed, SARS-CoV-2 genome replication 118 seems to depend on folate and methionine cycles modulation [27]. Therefore, SARS-CoV-2 119 infection is thought to affect various aspects of host metabolism and the extent of these changes is 120 believed to be linked to the severity of the disease. On the other hand, the specific metabolic 121 differences that may distinguish the severe cases of COVID-19 from those that are fatal have not 122 yet been fully addressed.

In this study, we used ¹H Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectroscopy and Liquid Chromatography-High-Resolution-Mass spectrometry (LC-HRMS)-based metabolomics to perform a thorough investigation of the metabolic disturbances induced by SARS-CoV-2 infection in a well characterized prospective cohort of subjects with severe COVID-19, including survivors and non-survivors, and healthy subjects. Patients' samples were collected in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, between April and July 2020. We were particularly interested in investigating metabolites associated with one-carbon metabolism and with lipid and amino acid metabolism.

130 The incidence of severe outcome after hospital discharge is very high in Brazil, thus these 131 metabolic alterations may be used to monitor patients' organs and tissues and to understand the 132 pathophysiology of long-post COVID-19.

Materials and Methods

134 Study design and Participants

135 We prospectively enrolled severe RT-PCR confirmed cases within 72 hours from intensive care 136 unit (ICU) admission in two reference centers at the Instituto Estadual do Cérebro Paulo Niemever 137 and Hospital Copa Star, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil between April and July 2020. Adults (≥18 years of 138 age) with severe COVID-19 (n=35) were enrolled in this study. Patients' clinical status was 139 confirmed by presence of chest infiltrates on computed tomography scan and by the need of 140 respiratory support with either non-invasive oxygen supplementation or mechanical ventilation. 141 The complete clinical information was collected prospectively using a standardized form: 142 International Severe Acute Respiratory and Emerging Infection Consortium (ISARIC)/World 143 Health Organization (WHO) Clinical Characterization Protocol for Severe Emerging Infections (CCP-BR). Upon admission, clinical and laboratory data were recorded for all severe patients 144 145 included in the study. The primary outcome analyzed was 28-day mortality, and patients were 146 classified as survivors (n=18) or non-survivors (n=17).

147 All ICU-admitted patients received the usual supportive care for severe COVID-19. Patients with 148 acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) were managed with neuromuscular blockade and a 149 protective ventilation strategy that included low tidal volume (6 mL/kg predicted body weight) 150 and limited driving pressure (<16 cmH₂O) as well as optimal positive end-expiratory pressure 151 calculated based on the best lung compliance and PaO₂/fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO₂) ratio.
152 Antithrombotic prophylaxis was performed with 40 to 60 mg of enoxaparin per day. Patients did

153 not receive antivirals, steroids, or other anti-inflammatory or antiplatelet drugs.

154 Peripheral blood was also collected from SARS-CoV-2 negative participants (control group; n=12)

155 confirmed by RT-PCR of swabs on the day of blood sampling. The control group included subjects

156 of matching age and sex distribution compared to infected subjects. These participants were not

under anti-inflammatory or antiplatelet drugs for at least 2 weeks prior the study.

The National Review Board of Brazil approved the study protocol (Comissão Nacional de Ética em Pesquisa [CONEP] 30650420.4.1001.0008), and informed consent was obtained from all subjects or their caregivers.

161 Chemicals and solvents

All solvents used were of HPLC analytical grade. Acetonitrile and methanol were obtained from TEDIA® (Fairfield, USA) and isopropanol from Sigma Aldrich (São Paulo, Brazil). Water was purified in the Milli-Q device, Millipore Purification System (Billerica, MA, USA). Mobile phase additives formic acid and ammonium hydroxide were purchased from TEDIA® and ammonium acetate was obtained from J. T. Baker® (Brazil). Isotopically labelled internal standards U¹³C Dglucose and U¹³C L-glutamine and deuterium oxide were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc. (MA, USA). All other standards were obtained from Sigma Aldrich.

169

171 Sample processing

| 172 | Blood samples were drawn into acid-citrate-dextrose and centrifuged ($200 \times g$, 20 minutes, room |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 173 | temperature). Plasma was collected and stored at -80°C until analysis. Citrate-dextrose buffer was |
| 174 | chosen to preserve platelets. This choice of buffer prevented us from comparing citrate and sugars |
| 175 | among groups and limited the identification of metabolites that present chemical shifts in the ¹ H |
| 176 | NMR spectrum, which is in the proximity of citrate. |

177 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance-based metabolomics

178 Sample preparation

Frozen plasma samples were quickly thawed and diluted 3-fold in sodium phosphate buffer and
deuterium oxide (final concentration 50 mM phosphate buffer and 10 % deuterium oxide, pH 7.4).

181 A total of 600 µL of diluted samples were transferred to a 5 mm NMR tube.

182 NMR acquisition and spectra pre-processing and metabolite assignment

NMR spectra were acquired on a Bruker Advance III 500.13 MHZ at 300 K, coupled with a cooled automatic sample case at 280 K. 1D-¹H NMR spectra were acquired using excitation sculpting to suppress the solvent signal [28] as well as a CPMG (Carr-Purcell-Meiboom-Gill) T2 filter [29] with 32 loop counters and delay of 0.001 s. 32768 complex data points were acquired per transient with a total of 1024 transients. The spectral width was set to 19.99 ppm, resulting in an acquisition time of 3.27 s per FID. The relaxation delay was set to 1.74 s.

189 Spectra data were pre-processed in the MetaboLab [30] software. Prior to Fourier transform, the 190 FIDs were apodised using an exponential window-function with 0.3 Hz line-broadening and then 191 zero-filled to 65536 data points. After Fourier transform, each spectrum was manually phase 192 corrected, followed by a spline-baseline correction. Finally, all spectra were referenced to the 193 signal of the ¹H linked to the anomeric carbon of glucose. Baseline noise and regions 194 corresponding to water and citrate signals were deleted. Spectra data were binned with 0.005 ppm 195 interval and transformed by the generalized logarithm function [31]. The resulting table presented 196 81,498 data points, corresponding to metabolites' intensities.

197 Following 2D spectra, HSQC ¹H-¹³C and TOCSY ¹H-¹H, acquisition, data was uploaded on the

198 COLMAR [32,33] for the assignments. We also overlaid spectra from the BMRB [34] and HMDB

199 4.0 [35] databank. The software ICON NMR (Bruker) was used for automatic acquisition.

200 Mass spectrometry-based metabolomics

201 Standards

202 A stock of internal standard (IS) solution was prepared with a final concentration of 0.15 mg mL⁻

¹ for U-¹³C D-glucose and 0.13 mg mL⁻¹ for U-¹³C L-glutamine in acetonitrile/isopropanol/water

204 (3:3:2, % v/v/v).

205 Stock solutions of targeted analytes (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2) were prepared at 1.0 mg mL⁻¹

206 in methanol or in different proportions of acetonitrile/water. A standard working solution was

- 207 prepared by mixing appropriate volumes of each stock solution to reach the final concentration of
- 208 $2.0 50.0 \,\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ in acetonitrile/water (1:1, %/v).

210 Sample preparation

211 A total of 30 µL of plasma (in duplicate) were mixed with the same volume of the IS mixture and 212 500 μ L of a degassed mixture of pre-chilled acetonitrile/isopropanol/water (3:3:2, v/v/v). After 213 vortexing for 20 s and incubating in ice in ultrasonic water bath for 5 min, samples were 214 centrifuged at 12,000 \times g at 4°C for 5 min and the supernatant (480 µL) was dried under Nitrogen 215 gas. Samples were reconstituted with 60 μ L of acetonitrile/water (1:1, v/v) containing 2 μ g mL⁻¹ 216 of the IS *p*-fluoro-L-phenylalanine, vortexed for 15 s, and centrifuged as above. The resulting 217 supernatant was used for LC-MS analysis. 218 A pooled quality control (QC) sample was prepared combining 5 µL of each plasma before 219 extraction and processed in the same way as the specimen samples. QC samples were injected every 10th biological sample to monitor the stability of the analytical system as well as the 220 221 reproducibility of the procedure for sample treatment [36].

Subgroups of pooled QC samples (control, survivors, or non-survivors) were created to collect fragmentation spectra via data-dependent acquisition (DDA) mode on the mass spectrometer. The analysts running MS-based experiments were blinded on the sample grouping until the end of data analysis to limit biased peak annotation.

226 LC-MS conditions

Liquid chromatography (LC) analysis was performed on a Dionex UltiMate 3000 UHPLC (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany) system using a Waters[®] ACQUITY UPLC[®] BEH amide column (150 x 2.1 mm x 1.7 μ m) by gradient elution at a constant flow rate of 350 μ L min⁻¹. The column oven temperature and injection volume were set to 40°C and 5.0 μ L, respectively.

Two different mobile phase compositions, with different pH values, were used. One consisted of (A) water:acetonitrile (95:5, v/v) and (B) acetonitrile:water (95:5, v/v) both with 0.1 % formic acid (pH 3) and the other consisted of (A) water:acetonitrile (95:5, v/v) and (B) acetonitrile:water (95:5, v/v) both with 0.05 % ammonium hydroxide and 10 mM ammonium acetate (pH 8). The gradient elution was 0-0.5 min 100 % B; 0.5-5.0 min 45 % B; 5.0-9.0 min 45 % B; 9.0-10.0 min 100 % B; 10.0-15.0 min 100 % B.

237 The LC was coupled to a hybrid Quadrupole-Orbitrap high resolution and accurate mass 238 spectrometer (QExactive Plus, Thermo Scientific) equipped with a heated electrospray ion source 239 operating in both negative (ESI-) and positive (ESI+) ionization modes. Source ionization 240 parameters were: spray voltage -3.6 kV/+3.9 kV; capillary temperature 270°C; probe heater 241 temperature 380°C; S-Lens RF level 50, sheath and auxiliary gases 50 and 10 (arbitrary units), 242 respectively. Samples were analyzed in Full MS mode in the scan range of m/z 50-710 at the 243 resolution of 70,000 FWHM (full width at half maximum). The automatic gain control (AGC) 244 target was set at 1.0e6 with a maximum injection time (IT) of 150 ms.

The solution of target analytes and the subgroups pooled QC samples were analyzed in Full MS followed by data-dependent acquisition (dd-MS² top5 experiment) in the same scan range as above. For the full MS scan, the mass resolution was set to 17,500 FWHM with the following settings: AGC target 1.0e6 and maximum IT of 80 ms. For the dd-MS² scan, the mass resolution was set to 17,500 FWHM with following settings: AGC target at 1.0e5, maximum IT of 50 ms, isolation window at *m/z* 1.2, normalized collision energy (NCE) 15, 35 (ESI+) and 10, 30 (ESI-), intensity threshold at 1.0e6, exclude isotopes "on", and dynamic exclusion of 10.0 s.

253 Non-targeted and targeted LC-HRMS-based metabolomics

For the non-targeted analysis, the LC-HRMS data files were submitted to a metabolomics workflow using MS-DIAL software (RIKEN, version 4.80) [37] for data processing, including peak matching against an MS/MS library. The parameters used for both pH 8 and pH 3 analyses are described in Supplementary table 3. Features were selected assuming coefficient variation (CV) % values less than 30 % in QC samples and Gaussian-like peak shape according to the protocols for quality control used in untargeted metabolomics [36, 38].

Compound annotation was done i) based on the MS/MS fragment comparison with the standard compounds, ii) by comparing the aligned m/z ions with a mass error below 6 ppm to those available at the HMDB [34] and METLIN website, and iii) by comparing the investigated MS/MS spectra with a similarity score \geq 80% to those in the NIST 20 Tandem Mass Spectral Library and MassBank of North America using a customized MSP file in MS-DIAL. Furthermore, molecular formulas were determined using MS-FINDER (RIKEN, version 3.52) [39].

Targeted data analysis was performed as a confirmation step for the non-targeted approach, in TraceFinder software v3.1 (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, EUA). An inhouse library that includes retention time, exact mass and fragments of the target compounds was used. As identification criteria, mass errors less than 5 ppm and retention time variation of <1 % compared to the defined retention time were accepted [40]. Supplementary Tables 1 and 2 present the target compounds monitored via the method at pH 8 and pH 3, respectively.

272

274 Statistical Analysis

| 275 | Sample size was | determined by t | the feasibility | of recruitment | and eligibility criteria |
|-----|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| 210 | Sumple Size was | acterimica by t | ine reasionity | or reer artificite | and englotticy effectia. |

- 276 Data distribution was analyzed using the Shapiro-Wilk test and median and interquartile intervals,
- and the Mann–Whitney or Kruskal-Wallis tests were used for data with asymmetrical distribution.
- 278 Categorical variables were compared using the Fisher's exact test with absolute (n) and relative
- 279 (%) frequencies.

280 Data derived from processed NMR spectra was subjected to the multivariate statistics Principal 281 Component Analysis using the MetaboAnalyst 4.0 [41] platform. For the univariate statistics, 282 Kruskal-Wallis and Dunn's post-hoc tests were used for variables' comparison of non-transformed 283 NMR or MS data. Interaction between sex and disease was analyzed using two-way ANOVA and 284 Tukey's multiple comparison tests. GraphPad Prism version 8.4.3 was used for all analysis. P <285 0.05 was considered for rejection of the null hypothesis.

Classification and regression tree (CART) models [42] were fitted to assess which metabolites best predict the observed morbidity class of study participants. The model fitting algorithms have been implemented in library "rpart" [43] for the R programming language. We set "method = "class" and the remaining parameters were kept at the default values for all models. For CART models, the results of NMR-based metabolomics were used, in addition to the subjects' sex and age.

291

293 **Results**

294 Subjects' demographics and clinical parameters

- A total of 47 plasma samples were included in this study; 12 samples were from non-infected control subjects and 35 samples from individuals with severe cases of COVID-19, grouped into survivors (n= 18) and non-survivors (n= 17), according to the 28-day mortality outcome. The demographics and clinical characteristics of all subjects included in the study are shown in Table 1. Briefly, age, sex distribution and co-morbidities were similar among groups. The non-invasive oxygen supplementation was used in 44 % of subjects in the survivors group whereas 100 % of the subjects in the non-survivors group received mechanical ventilation.
- 302 Laboratory findings revealed that patients in the survivors group presented ~ 50 % more monocyte
- 303 counts (*p*=0.009) if compared to non-survivors. At admission in the ICU, leukocytes and platelet
- 304 counts were similar between the two groups of infected patients.

| 306 | Table 1: Demographics and clinical characteristics of control, COVID-19 survivors and non- |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 307 | survivors. |

| | control | ontrol survivors non-survivors | | |
|------------------------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|---------|
| | (n=12) | (n=18) | (n=17) | P value |
| Age, years | 50 (36–59) | 56 (39–63) | 58 (51–73) | 0.344 |
| Sex, male; <i>n</i> (%) | 5 (41 %) | 7 (38 %) | 10 (58 %) | 0.727 |
| Respiratory support; n (%) | | | | |
| Noninvasive O2 supplementation | 0 (0 %) | 8 (44 %) | 0 (0 %) | 0.003 |
| Mechanical ventilation | 0 (0 %) | 10 (66 %) | 17 (100 %) | |
| SAPS II | n.a. | 55 (37–64) | 68 (59 - 78.5) | 0.001 |
| PaO ₂ /FiO ₂ ratio | n.a. | 196 (154–429) | 139 (177–178) | 0.099 |
| Time from symptom onset to blood sample (days) | n.a. | 10 (7–14) | 10 (3–14) | 0.975 |
| Comorbidities; n (%) | | | | |
| Obesity | 1 (8.3 %) | 5 (27.7 %) | 2 (11.7 %) | 0.650 |
| Hypertension | 2 (16%) | 4 (22%) | 5 (29 %) | 0.855 |
| Diabetes | 0 (0 %) | 6 (33 %) | 6 (35 %) | 0.990 |
| Cancer | 0 (0 %) | 2 (11 %) | 2 (11 %) | 0.990 |
| Heart disease ¹ | 0 (0 %) | 2 (11 %) | 2 (11 %) | 0.990 |
| Laboratory findings at admission | | | | |
| Leukocytes, x 1000/µL | n.a. | 12.4 (9.1–14.5) | 14.8 (11.5–21.7) | 0.097 |
| Lymphocytes, cells/µL | n.a. | 1,288 (939–1.579) | 1,035 (284–1.706) | 0.521 |
| Monocytes, cells/µL | n.a. | 495 (448–742) | 738 (599–1.005) | 0.009 |
| Platelet count, x 1000/µL | n.a. | 198 (154–324) | 187 (131–240) | 0.125 |

308 Continuous variables are represented as median and interquartile range. Categorical variables are 309 represented as n (frequency %).

310 n.a. – not applicable

311 ¹Coronary artery disease or congestive heart failure.

312 Categorical variables were compared using the two tailed Fisher exact test, and the continuous

313 variables using Student's t or ANOVA tests for parametric and the Mann Whitney U or Kruskal-

314 Wallis tests for nonparametric distributions. Significant p values are in bold.

315

316

³¹⁸ ¹H NMR- and MS-based metabolomics

319 Representative spectra of aliphatic (Figs 1A and 1B), amidic and aromatic (Fig 1C) regions 320 indicate important differences in the metabolite profile among the three groups. Discriminating 321 metabolites as (CH₃)₃ choline-related metabolites, creatine/creatinine, amino acids, organic acids, 322 and broad residual signals of lipids are depicted followed by arrows indicating higher/lower 323 contents in severe COVID-19 cases. A discriminating metabolite profile was confirmed with 324 Principal Component Analysis (PCA) scores plot (Fig 1D) where principal components 1, 2, and 325 3 accounted for approximately 70 % of the variation among groups. PCA loading factors plot 326 highlights (CH3)₃ choline, creatine/creatinine, lactate, acetate, and broad signals of CH₃ and CH₂ 327 lipoproteins as discriminating variables (Supplementary Fig 1).

328

Figure 1. ¹H NMR-based metabolomics shows different plasma metabolite profiling in severe COVID-19 patients compared to control subjects. ¹H NMR representative spectra of control (red), COVID-19 survivors (green) and non-survivors (blue). Metabolites that differ significantly among groups are indicated as higher (\uparrow) or lower (\downarrow) contents compared to controls; (A) and (B) aliphatic region (3 × magnified); (C) amidic and aromatic regions (21 × magnified); (D) Principal Component Analysis 3D scores plot shows discriminating profiling among groups.

334

335 To gain meaningful insight into the changes associated with disease severity and outcome, 336 metabolites that exhibited significant differences in content among groups, according to the PCA 337 results, were selected. NMR-based metabolomics revealed that the levels of (CH₃)₃-choline 338 metabolites, including glycerophosphocholine, phosphocholine, and choline, as well as glycine, 339 which is associated with one-carbon metabolism, were significantly lower in both survivors and 340 non-survivors, if compared to controls (Figs 2A and 2B). Additionally, ¹H NMR-based 341 metabolomics identified several metabolites related to glucose, insulin sensitivity, and 342 inflammation that were significantly higher in infected subjects (Figs 2C-G), including

| 343 | creatine/creatinine (considered together due to signal overlap), N-acetyl 1H of glycoproteins, and |
|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 344 | lactate. Importantly, creatine/creatinine set apart non-survivors from survivors and control |
| 345 | subjects, as the levels were higher in the former. Lower levels of acetate and higher levels of |
| 346 | formate, a byproduct of bacteria metabolism in the gut, were observed in infected subjects but not |
| 347 | in controls (Figs 2F and G). Additionally, residual signals of (CH ₂) of VLDL-lipoproteins, lipids |
| 348 | (CH=CH olefinic protons of triacylglycerols) and acetoacetate, a ketone body, were significantly |
| 349 | higher in both groups of infected subjects if compared to controls (Figs 2H-J). |
| | |
| 350 | ¹ H NMR-metabolomics findings also suggest that the dysregulation in amino acid metabolism is |
| 351 | a function of severe COVID-19, as survivors and non-survivors had plasma levels of glutamine, |
| 352 | alanine, branched-chain amino acids (valine, leucine, isoleucine) and tyrosine lower than those |
| 353 | observed in controls (Figs 2K-P) Supplementary Tables 4 and 5 present the ¹ H NMR assignment |
| | |
| 354 | information for the metabolites and broad signals of lipids and proteins that distinguished the |

356

366

To further investigate the changes in plasma metabolome associated with severe COVID-19, LChigh-resolution mass spectrometry (LC-HRMS)-based metabolomics was used. In the current study, LC-HRMS allowed us to confirm the alterations detected by the ¹H NMR-based

Figure 2. Metabolites that were significantly altered in severe COVID-19, according to ¹H NMR-based metabolomics. Most discriminating metabolites according to PCA loading factors, presenting significant differences among groups. Control, n= 12 (red circles); Survivors, n=18 (green circles); Non-survivors, n=17 (blue circles). Metabolites related to one-carbon metabolism (A,B): (CH₃)₃ choline-related metabolites and glycine; metabolites related to glucose metabolism, insulin sensitivity and inflammation (C-G): creatine/creatinine, *N*-Acetyl of glycoproteins, lactate, acetate and formate; metabolites related to lipid metabolism (H-J): CH₂ lipoproteins (mainly VLDL), lipids-TAG (CH=CH olefinic protons of triacylglycerols) and acetoacetate; metabolites related to amino acids and protein metabolism (K-P): glutamine, alanine, valine, isoleucine, leucine, tyrosine; metabolites' contents were determined according to their respective peak intensity. Data were presented as median with interquartile range and only significant *P* values are shown, according to Kruskal-Wallis and Dunn's post-hoc tests.

370 metabolomics in amino acids and protein metabolism and in ketogenesis. Importantly, it allowed 371 us to discriminate the metabolic abnormalities associated with fatal COVID-19 and to complement 372 the NMR data, providing insightful information regarding the alterations in one-carbon 373 metabolism and in metabolites associated with insulin sensitivity and inflammation. Metabolites 374 related to one-carbon metabolism glycerophosphocholine, serine, betaine, and histidine were 375 lower (Figs 3A-D) whereas xanthine and hypoxanthine were higher in infected subjects, if 376 compared to controls (Figs 3E and 3F). The lower levels of glycerophosphocholine in the survivors and non-survivors groups, when compared to control subjects, support the ¹H NMR-based 377 378 metabolomics results, which detected lower (CH₃)₃ choline-related metabolites in the two groups 379 of infected patients. Metabolites associated with inflammation were significantly higher in infected 380 subjects, if compared to controls. Importantly, creatinine, 4-hydroxyproline, gluconic acid and N-381 acetylserine were significantly higher in the non-survivors group, when compared to both 382 survivors and control groups (Figs 3G-J). The higher levels of creatinine in non-survivors 383 confirmed the results of the NMR analysis (Fig 2C). Moreover, asymmetric dimethylarginine and 384 methylmalonic acid were significantly higher in survivors and non-survivors, when compared to 385 control subjects (Figs 3K and 3L). MS-based metabolomics revealed significantly higher content 386 of β -hydroxybutyrate – a ketone body (Fig 3M) and lower content of the amino acid tryptophan 387 (Fig 3N) in infected subjects, if compared to controls. Supplementary Fig 2 shows the essential 388 stability of the QC samples throughout the run, which was within SD limit. Additionally, the IS p-389 fluoro-DL-phenylalanine and U-¹³C D-glucose, which were added to all samples, showed that the 390 CV was less than 10%, indicating that the differences observed in metabolites among groups could 391 be attributed to biological variation and not to the variability of the analytical system. 392 Supplementary Tables 6 and 7 show the parameters of the assigned metabolites with significant

393 differences among groups according to the non-targeted MS-metabolomics in the negative and

394 positive modes, respectively.

395

396 Figure 3. High-resolution mass spectrometry-based metabolomics shows altered plasma metabolic profile in severe COVID-397 **19.** Assigned metabolites in the untargeted and confirmed in the targeted approach, presenting significant differences among 398 groups. Control, n=12 (red circles); Survivors, n=18 (green circles); Non-survivors, n=17 (blue circles). Metabolites related to one-399 carbon metabolism (A-D): glycerophosphocholine, serine, betaine, histidine and to nucleic acid metabolism (E-F): xanthine, 400 hypoxanthine; metabolites related to glucose metabolism, insulin sensitivity and inflammation (G-L): creatinine, 4-hydroxyproline, 401 asymmetric dimethylarginine, gluconic acid, N-acetyl serine, methylmalonic acid. Metabolite related to lipid metabolism (M): β-402 hydroxybutyrate; metabolite related to amino acid metabolism (N): tryptophan. Metabolites' contents were determined according 403 to their respective peak intensity. Data were presented as median with interquartile range and only significant P values are shown, 404 according to Kruskal-Wallis and Dunn's post-hoc tests.

405

406 CART models were built to assess the predictive power of metabolites levels in classifying study 407 participants into control, survivors and non-survivors. This model was built using the data from 408 the NMR-metabolomics and considered only the metabolites that showed discriminatory power 409 among the groups identified by the multivariate PCA analysis (Fig 2). Additionally, the subjects' 410 sex and age were included in the model. Supplementary Figure 3 shows a CART model fitted to 411 data indicating that choline-related metabolites had the highest predictive power with a first 412 partition point at 2.064. The classification tree shows that over 92 % of control subjects followed the classification of \geq 2.064, and most survivors (95 %) and non-survivors (89%) were classified 413 414 as < 2.064. In addition to the first partition point at choline-related metabolites, we also observed 415 a second partition criteria at creatine/creatinine < 1.473. For this second partition, most survivors 416 were included (~70 %). Conversely, for the non-survivors, the majority were classified at ≥ 1.473 417 (64 %). Supplementary Fig 3 presents the final allocation contingent among the morbidity classes 418 achieved by applying the two classification criteria. These results clearly suggest that choline-419 related metabolites have a protective effect. As opposed to creatine/creatinine, where higher 420 contents predicted fatal outcomes.

421 Lipoproteins dynamics

A complete characterization of lipoproteins was performed (Fig 4) and significant changes were
observed as a function of severe COVID-19. The results show that survivors and non-survivors
presented lower concentrations of total cholesterol, LDL, and HDL, if compared to controls (Figs
4A-C). VLDL and triacylglycerol concentrations were significantly higher (Figs 4D-E) and nonHDL cholesterol (Fig 4E) was significantly lower in the non-survivors, if compared to controls.

427

Figure 4. Lipoprotein dynamics changed significantly in severe COVID-19. (A) Total Cholesterol, (B) Low Density Lipoprotein - LDL, (C) High Density Lipoprotein - HDL, (D) Very Low-Density Protein - VLDL, (E) Triacylglycerol, (F) non-HDL cholesterol, (G) Cholesterol-to-HDL ratio and (H) LDL-to-HDL ratio. Control, n= 12 (red circle); Survivors, n=18 (green circle); Non-survivors, n=17 (blue circle). Data were presented as median with interquartile range and only significant *P* values are shown, according to Kruskal-Wallis and Dunn's post-hoc tests.

433

434 Sex-based differences in lipoproteins and metabolites

435 Lastly, we investigated whether changes in lipoproteins and in metabolites associated with severe 436 COVID-19 differed according to sex, by two-factor analysis (Fig 5). In general, the changes 437 observed in female subjects mirrored the changes described above, when considering all subjects. Our findings indicate that the strongest effect was seen in severe COVID-19 cases and no 438 439 differences between men and women within group were observed. However, for HDL, total 440 cholesterol, non-HDL cholesterol, LDL to HDL and HDL to cholesterol ratios, significant 441 interactions between disease and sex were observed. Additionally, lower contents of HDL (Fig 442 5C) and higher contents of VLDL (Fig 5D) and triacylglycerols (Fig 5E) were observed in female 443 but not in male subjects in the non-survivors group, when compared to controls.

| 444 | The same sex-related pattern changes were observed for selected metabolites analyzed by ¹ H |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 445 | NMR-based metabolomics, where the strongest effect was seen in severe COVID-19 cases (Figs |
| 446 | 5I-L). Lower content of (CH ₃) ₃ choline-related metabolites (Fig 5I) and higher content of N-acetyl |
| 447 | of glycoproteins (Fig 5K) were observed among females in both survivors and non-survivors, if |
| 448 | compared to controls, whereas among men these differences were observed only between |
| 449 | survivors and controls. Additionally, higher contents of acetoacetate (Fig 5J) and |
| 450 | creatine/creatinine (Fig 5L), as a function of severe COVID-19, were only observed in women but |
| 451 | not in men. Indeed, for acetoacetate and creatine/creatinine, significant interactions between |
| 452 | disease and sex were observed. There were no significant differences in amino acids and one- |
| 453 | carbon metabolism-related compounds when sex was considered as a variable. |
| 454 | The metabolic alterations observed in severe COVID-19 are shown in Fig 6. |

455

463

<sup>Figure 5. Severe COVID-19 induced changes in lipoproteins and metabolites that are greater in women than in men. (A)
Total Cholesterol, (B) Low Density Lipoprotein - LDL, (C) High Density Lipoprotein - HDL, (D) Very Low-Density Protein VLDL, (E) Triacylglycerol, (F) non-HDL cholesterol, (G) Cholesterol-to-HDL ratio and (H) LDL-to-HDL ratio, (I) (CH₃)₃ Choline,
(J) Acetoacetate, (K) N-acetyl of glycoprotein, (L) Creatine/creatinine. Female subjects: control, n=7 (red triangles); survivors, n=11 (green triangles); non-survivors, n=7 (blue triangles). Male subjects: control, n= 5 (red squares); survivors, n=7 (green squares); non-survivors, n=10 (blue squares). Data were presented as median with interquartile range and</sup> *P* values are shown, according to two-factor ANOVA and Tukey's multiple comparison tests.

Figure 6. Metabolic alterations in severe COVID-19. Summary of changes in plasma metabolites in survivors and non-survivors subjects. Metabolites that differ significantly among groups are indicated as higher (\uparrow) or lower (\downarrow) contents compared to controls. Metabolites in bold were higher in the fatal cases of the disease compared to survivors and controls. * indicates metabolites with changes greater in women than in men. This figure was created with BioRender.com.

471 **Discussion**

The present study aimed to investigate plasma metabolic changes in samples from survivors and non-survivors of severe COVID-19 infection. Our goal was to search for potential metabolic pathways that could be involved in COVID-19 pathophysiology and disease outcome. We identified significant changes in a plethora of metabolites, indicating that severe COVID-19 dysregulates one-carbon, lipid, and amino acid metabolism and lipoprotein dynamics.

477 Higher contents of creatine/creatinine, 4-hydroxyproline, gluconic acid and N-acetylserine in non-478 survivors, if compared with survivors and control groups, indicate that these metabolites are 479 associated with uncontrolled inflammation, multi-organ dysfunction, particularly liver and 480 kidneys, and some degree of insulin resistance in fatal COVID-19. They may be considered as 481 biomarkers for prognostic purposes and to monitor how different organs and tissues respond to the 482 infection. For instance, gluconic acid has been previously linked to hyperglycemia and brain injury 483 in ischemic stroke [44], and it may be considered a marker of oxidative stress. Additionally, N-484 acetylation of amino acids, including the formation of N-acetylserine, has been associated with 485 SARS-CoV-2 infection and COVID-19 pathogenesis [45] whereas higher levels of N-acetylserine 486 have been recently considered a marker of progression of chronic kidney disease [46].

Higher plasma contents of creatine/creatinine can be an indication of lower sensitivity to insulin, as we observed in the fatal cases of COVID-19. Although higher creatine levels in severe COVID-19 have been associated with kidney dysfunction [26], and are important for viral replication [47], recent findings place creatine as a key metabolite involved in the regulation of adipocyte thermogenesis and whole-body energy metabolism and immunity [48,49]. Therefore, higher levels

492 of creatine/creatinine in infected subjects can be regarded as a biomarker of detrimental effects on
493 metabolic health and immune responses imposed by SARS-CoV-2 infection.

494 Higher levels of 4-hydroxyproline found in non-survivors is a strong indication of disturbed amino 495 acid metabolism induced by SARS-CoV-2 infection, as already suggested [20, 23-25]. In humans, 496 4-hydroxyproline in blood is a product of protein degradation, mainly collagen. Most 4-497 hydroxyproline is recycled back to synthesize glycine by the liver and kidneys and this seems to 498 be an important source of glycine for cells and tissues [50]. Therefore, the significantly higher 499 levels of 4-hydroxyproline in non-survivors can be regarded as a feature of severe COVID-19, 500 suggestive of liver dysfunction and lower availability of glycine. This result reveals a potential 501 disruption in the one-carbon metabolism pathway, which is significant as glycine serves as a 502 crucial methyl donor in this pathway. This disruption has already been described previously [27]. 503 In addition to glycine, significant lower contents of serine, betaine and histidine, which are metabolites also involved in the one-carbon metabolism, were found in infected subjects. 504 505 Importantly, the significant drop in choline-related metabolites (as observed in the NMR-based 506 metabolomics) which agrees with a drop in glycerophosphocholine (by MS-based metabolomics), 507 strengths the idea that severe COVID-19 alters one-carbon metabolism and affects the availability 508 of methyl donors. Indeed, a comprehensive characterization of the alterations in one-carbon 509 metabolism would help integrating the metabolic changes in glucose, amino acid, nucleotide, and 510 lipid metabolism [51], as well as provide us with a better understanding of the alterations in 511 epigenetic regulation and redox homeostasis associated with severe COVID-19.

512 Phosphatidylcholine is the most abundant phospholipid and in humans its synthesis is probably 513 the main point of deviation for one-carbon donors [52]. Our results show that severe COVID-19 514 alters lipoprotein dynamics, as indicated by lower contents of total cholesterol and HDL- and LDL-

515 cholesterol and higher contents of VLDL and triacylglycerols, particularly in fatal COVID-19 (Fig. 516 4). Therefore, one may speculate that the alterations in one-carbon metabolism observed in 517 infected subjects caused the drop in choline-related metabolites which significantly disrupted 518 lipoproteins dynamics, as indicated by other studies [52,53]. Conversely, lower choline availability 519 may have contributed to reduced phospholipid synthesis by the liver and disturbed lipoproteins 520 dynamics, as choline deficiency is known to alter lipid metabolism and to induce liver fibrosis 521 [53]. Another indication of the effect of SARS-CoV-2 infection on host lipid metabolism and 522 lipoproteins dynamics was recently shown by our group, where simvastatin, by disrupting lipid 523 rafts in human epithelial lung cells, prevented SARS-CoV-2 entry and replication [54]. 524 Importantly, NMR-based metabolomics has also shown that alterations in lipoprotein dynamics 525 may be associated with systemic effects of COVID-19, even in subjects in the recovery phase of 526 the disease. Particularly, the HDL subfraction negatively correlates with inflammatory cytokines 527 [55]. Additionally, apolipoproteins alterations are more pronounced in the fatal cases of COVID-528 19 [56].

529 This scenario is compatible with the CART model fitted to data (Supplementary Fig 3), which 530 indicates that choline-related metabolites had the highest predictive power with a first partition 531 point at 2.064, demonstrating that most survivors (95%) and non-survivors (89%) were classified 532 as < 2.064; and that higher content of creatine/creatinine is a strong predictor of disease fatality. 533 Recent evidence links choline metabolism in the liver and the gut microbiota to endothelial 534 function and thrombosis [57,58], which is one of the clinical manifestations associated with disease 535 progression and severe COVID-19 [59]. Indeed, choline seems to be essential to sustain 536 mitochondrial energetics and maximal platelet activation, and, therefore, to regulate thrombosis 537 [60].

We also found increased levels of asymmetric dimethylarginine in infected subjects, which is produced by arginine methylation. Therefore, asymmetric dimethylarginine synthesis is directly related to one-carbon metabolism for methyl donor availability. Additionally, asymmetric dimethylarginine has been strongly associated with fibrosis in the liver, kidney, and heart [61,62], tissues that are compromised in COVID-19. Therefore, these data strongly support that alterations in one-carbon and amino acid metabolism are linked to liver damage in most severe outcomes of COVID-19.

545 Our study confirmed that severe COVID-19 induced important changes in amino acid metabolism, 546 where significantly lower contents of alanine, BCAA (valine, isoleucine, leucine), glutamine, 547 tryptophan, glycine, and tyrosine were found in both survivors and non-survivors, if compared to 548 control subjects. Lower amino acid levels may indicate an increase in amino acid catabolism to 549 provide the necessary supply of carbons and ATP to support viral replication [16, 63, 64]. For 550 instance, isoleucine [65] and glutamine [66] seem to be essential for SARS-CoV-2 replication. In 551 the case of glutamine, it has been shown that inhibition of glutaminolysis halted SARS-CoV-2 in 552 primary astrocytes in a rodent model; authors suggested that lower availability of glutamine is a 553 contributing factor for the neurological impairments observed in long post-COVID. Additionally, 554 a decrease in plasma glutamine [67] and lower salivary levels of tyrosine and BCAA [68] seem to 555 be associated with COVID-19 severity, which supports our findings.

Lower levels of glutamine in the plasma may indicate an increased use of this amino acid, especially by the host's liver and immune cells. This increased use may be needed to support the synthesis of proteins and inflammatory mediators during the acute phase of infection. Indeed, the liver is actively involved in the synthesis of acute-phase proteins. In this context, the assigned broad signals of *N*-Acetyl of glycoproteins, which were higher in severe COVID-19 subjects (Fig

2D), may include signals from the acute-phase proteins, such as α_1 -acid glycoprotein, α_1 antitrypsin, and haptoglobin and to the ¹H from sidechains of N-acetyl-glucosamine and Nacetylneuraminic acid [69]. ¹H signals of *N*-acetyl of glycoproteins have been suggested to be markers of SARS-CoV-2 infection and inflammation and to be implicated in long post-COVID symptoms as well [70]. Indeed, enrichment analysis of genes associated with cases of severe COVID-19 indicates acute phase response and inflammation among the most significant biological functions altered, accordingly to a recently multi-omic analysis of COVID-19 datasets [71].

568 Other authors have shown that liver infection by SARS-CoV-2 directly contributes to hepatic 569 dysfunction and that deceased subjects often presented abnormal liver enzymes, microvesicular 570 steatosis and mild inflammatory infiltrates in the hepatic lobule and portal tract [72,73]. The 571 increase in purine metabolites (xanthine and hypoxanthine) may also be regarded as a direct effect 572 of SARS-CoV-2 infection in the liver that enables virus replication. Higher levels of 573 deoxycytidine, associated with increased viral load, have already been associated with severe and 574 fatal outcomes [74]. Accordingly, the liver of patients with severe COVID-19 shares many 575 similarities with the non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), a common manifestation of the 576 metabolic syndrome that can progress to hepatocyte injury, inflammation, and fibrosis [75,76].

Lastly, the higher levels of acetoacetate and β-hydroxybutyric acid observed in infected subjects also reflect the impact of severe COVID-19 on liver function. Dysregulation in ketogenesis is also associated with the pathogenesis of NAFLD and decreased insulin sensitivity, an important manifestation of metabolic syndrome. In this scenario, enhanced ketogenesis seems to be a consequence of increased influx and accumulation of lipids in the liver (e.g., triacylglycerols), which in turn results in an increased flux of Acetyl-CoA [77].

This is the first study to demonstrate host metabolic disturbances associated with severe COVID-19 and to investigate the responses in the fatal cases of the disease in hospitalized subjects in Rio de Janeiro, during the early months of the COVID-19 pandemic in Brazil. Whilst our study provides new insights into the metabolic disturbances associated with fatal outcomes of COVID-19, one limitation is its reduced sample size. Nonetheless, since the incidence of severe outcomes after hospital discharge can be very high in Brazil [73], these metabolic alterations may be considered to improve our understanding of the pathophysiology of long-post COVID.

590 Our results show that alterations in key metabolites, such as choline-metabolites, 591 creatinine/creatinine, ¹H signals of N-acetyl of glycoproteins, and acetoacetate, as well as changes 592 in lipoproteins are greater in women. Even though current evidence indicates that men are more 593 vulnerable to severe COVID-19 and higher mortality rates have been observed in this category 594 [78], women seem to be more susceptible to developing long COVID syndrome [79]. Our study 595 highlights the importance of considering the sex-based differences here described, when 596 monitoring and treating COVID-19 patients. These differences should also be considered when 597 designing strategies for pandemic surveillance. Doing so may lead to better disease stratification 598 and improved patient outcomes.

599

600 Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the contribution of the subjects who participated in this study, withoutreceiving any direct compensation.

604 **References**

Cohen LE, Spiro DJ, Viboud C. Projecting the SARS-CoV-2 transition from pandemicity to
endemicity: Epidemiological and immunological considerations. PLoS Pathog. 2022;
30;18(6):e1010591. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1010591.

608

609 2. WHO. COVID-19 Weekly Epidemiological Update 35. World Health Organization. 2021
610 Dec:1–3.

611

612 3. Brasil. Secretarias Estaduais de Saúde. Painel Coronavirus. 2023. Available from:
613 https://covid.saude.gov.br/2023

614

4. Raoult D, Zumla A, Locatelli F, Ippolito G, Kroemer G. Coronavirus infections:
Epidemiological, clinical and immunological features and hypotheses. Cell Stress. 2020, 4: 66–
75. doi: 10.15698/cst2020.04.216

618

5. Xiao N, Nie M, Pang H, Wang B, Hu J, Meng, X. et al. Integrated cytokine and metabolite
analysis reveals immunometabolic reprogramming in COVID-19 patients with therapeutic
implications. Nat Commun. 2021; 12: 1618. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-21907-9

622

623 6. WHO. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19): Post COVID-19 condition. World Health 624 Organization. 2021. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/questions-and-625 answers/item/coronavirus-disease-(covid-19)-post-covid-19-condition

626

7.Carfi A, Bernabei R, Landi F, Gemelli Against COVID-19 Post-Acute Care Study Group.
Persistent Symptoms in Patients After Acute COVID-19. *JAMA*. 2020, 324: 603–605. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.12603.

630

8 Fernández-de-Las-Peñas C, Rodríguez-Jiménez J, Cancela-Cilleruelo I, Guerrero-Peral A,
Martín-Guerrero JD, García-Azorín D, et al. Post-COVID-19 Symptoms 2 Years After SARSCoV-2 Infection Among Hospitalized vs Nonhospitalized Patients. JAMA Netw Open. 2022;
5(11):e2242106. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.42106.]

635

636 9. dos Santos GC, Renovato-Martins M, de Brito NM. The remodel of the "central dogma": a
637 metabolomics interaction perspective. Metabolomics. 2021; 17 (5):48. doi: 10.1007/s11306-021638 01800-8.

639

10. Byers NM, Fleshman AC, Perera R, Molins CR. Metabolomic insights into human arboviral
infections: Dengue, chikungunya, and zika viruses. Viruses. 2019; 11 (3): 225.
doi:10.3390/v11030225

- 644 11. El-Bacha T, Struchiner CJ, Cordeiro MT, Almeida FCL, Marques ET, Da Poian AT. ¹H
 645 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Metabolomics of Plasma Unveils Liver Dysfunction in Dengue
 646 Patients. J Virol. 2016; 90(16): 7429–7443. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00187-16
- 647

Wu Q, Zhou L, Sun X, Yan Z, Hu C, Wu J, et al. Altered Lipid Metabolism in Recovered
SARS Patients Twelve Years after Infection. Sci Rep. 2017; 7(1):9110. doi: 10.1038/s41598-01709536-z.

651

Melo CFOR, Delafiori J, de Oliveira DN, Guerreiro TM, Esteves CZ, Lima E de O. et al.
Serum metabolic alterations upon ZIKA infection. Front Microbiol. 2017; 8: 1954. doi:
10.3389/fmicb.2017.01954

655

14. Diop F, Vial T, Ferraris P, Wichit S, Bengue M, Hamel R, et al. Zika virus infection modulates
the metabolomic profile of microglial cells. PLoS One. 2018; 13: e0206093.
doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0206093

659

671

675

660 15. Girdhar K, Powis A, Raisingani A, Chrudinová M, Huang R, Tran T, et al. Viruses and
661 Metabolism: The Effects of Viral Infections and Viral Insulins on Host Metabolism. Annu Rev
662 Virol. 2021; 8(1): 373–391. doi: 10.1146/annurev-virology-091919-102416.
663

16. El-Bacha, T.; Da Poian, A.T. Virus-induced changes in mitochondrial bioenergetics as
potential targets for therapy. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2013; 45 (1): 41–46. doi:
10.1016/j.biocel.2012.09.021. Epub 2012 Oct 2.

17. Wolff G, Limpens RWAL, Zevenhoven-Dobbe JC, Laugks U, Zheng S, de Jong AWM. et al.
A molecular pore spans the double membrane of the coronavirus replication organelle. Science.
2020; 369 (6509): 1395–1398. doi: 10.1126/science.abd3629.

18. Dias SSG, Soares VC, Ferreira AC, Sacramento CQ, Fintelman-Rodrigues N, Temerozo JR,
et al. Lipid droplets fuel SARS-CoV-2 replication and production of inflammatory mediators.
PLoS Pathog. 2020; 16 (12): e1009127. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1009127

19. Ricciardi S, Guarino AM, Giaquinto L, Polishchuk EV, Santoro M, Di Tullio G, et al. The role
of NSP6 in the biogenesis of the SARS-CoV-2 replication organelle. Nature. 2022; 606: 761-768.
Doi:10.1038/s41586-022-04835-6.

680 20. Shen B, Yi X, Sun Y, Bi X, Du J, Zhang C, et al. Proteomic and Metabolomic
681 Characterization of COVID-19 Patient Sera. Cell. 2020; 182 (1): 59-72.e15. doi:
682 10.1016/j.cell.2020.05.032

683

21. Rössler T, Berezhnoy G, Singh Y, Cannet C, Reinsperger T, Schäfer H, Spraul M, Kneilling
M, Merle U, Trautwein C. Quantitative Serum NMR Spectroscopy Stratifies COVID-19 Patients
and Sheds Light on Interfaces of Host Metabolism and the Immune Response with Cytokines and
Clinical Parameters. Metabolites. 2022; 12(12):1277. doi: 10.3390/metabo12121277

688

Ambikan AT, Yang H, Krishnan S, Svensson AS, Gupta S, Lourda M, et al. Multi-omics
personalized network analyses highlight progressive disruption of central metabolism associated
with COVID-19 severity. Cell Syst. 2022;13(8):665-681.e4. doi: 10.1016/j.cels.2022.06.006.

693 23. Lionetto L, Ulivieri M, Capi M, De Bernardini D, Fazio F, Petrucca, A; et al. Increased
694 kynurenine-to-tryptophan ratio in the serum of patients infected with SARS-CoV2: An
695 observational cohort study. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Basis Dis. 2021; 1867(3): 166042. Doi:
696 10.1016/j.bbadis.2020.166042

697

698 24. Occelli C, Guigonis JM, Lindenthal S, Cagnard A, Graslin F, Brglez V, et al. Untargeted plasma 699 metabolomic fingerprinting highlights several biomarkers for the diagnosis and prognosis of 700 coronavirus disease 19. Front Med (Lausanne). 2022; 29(9):995069. doi: 701 10.3389/fmed.2022.995069.

702

25. Herrera Oostdam AS, Castañeda-Delgado JE, Oropeza-Valdez JJ, Borrego JC, MonárrezEspino J, Zheng J, et al. Immunometabolic signatures predict risk of progression to sepsis in
COVID-19. PLoS One. 2021;16(8):e0256784. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0256784.

- 707 26. Thomas T, Stefanoni D, Reisz JA, Nemkov T, Bertolone L, Francis RO, et al. COVID-19
 708 infection alters kynurenine and fatty acid metabolism, correlating with IL-6 levels and renal status.
 709 JCI Insight. 2020; 23, 5(14):e140327. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.140327.
- 710

27. Zhang Y, Guo R, Kim SH, Shah H, Zhang S, Liang JH, et al. SARS-CoV-2 hijacks folate and
one-carbon metabolism for viral replication. Nat Commun. 2021; 12, 1676. doi:
doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-21903-z

- 714
- 28. Hwang TL, Shaka AJ. Water suppression that works. Excitation sculpting using arbitrary
 wave-forms and pulsed-field gradients. J Magn Reson. 1995; 112 (2): 275-279. doi:
 10.1006/jmra.1995.1047
- 718

29. Carr HY, Purcell EM. Effects of Diffusion on Free Precession in Nuclear Magnetic Resonance
Experiments. Phys Rev. 1954; 94: 630–638. doi: 10.1103/PhysRev.94.630

721

30. Ludwig C, Günther UL. MetaboLab - advanced NMR data processing and analysis for
metabolomics. BMC Bioinformatics. 2011; 12, 366. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-12-366

- 31. Parsons HM, Ludwig C, Günther UL, Viant MR. Improved classification accuracy in 1- and
 2-dimensional NMR metabolomics data using the variance stabilising generalised logarithm
 transformation. BMC Bioinformatics. 2007; 8, 234. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-8-234
- 728

32. Bingol K, Li DW, Bruschweiler-Li L, Cabrera AO, Megraw T, Zhang F, et al. Unified and
Isomer-Specific NMR Metabolomics Database for the Accurate Analysis of 13 C- 1 H HSQC
Spectra. ACS Chem Biol. 2015; 10 (2):452–459. doi: 10.1021/cb5006382

- 732
- 33. Robinette SL, Zhang F, Brüschweiler-Li L, Brüschweiler R. Web Server Based Complex
 Mixture Analysis by NMR. Anal Chem. 2008; 80 (10):3606–3611. doi: 10.1021/ac702530t
- 735736 34. Ulrich EL, Akutsu H, Doreleijers JF, Harano Y, Ioannidis YE, Lin J, et al. BioMagResBank.
- 737 Nucleic Acids Res. 2007; 36 (1):D402–408. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkm957
- 738

35. Wishart, D.S.; Feunang, Y.D.; Marcu, A.; Guo, A.C.; Liang, K.; Vázquez-Fresno, R. et al.
HMDB 4.0: the human metabolome database for 2018. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018; 46 (D1): D608–
D617.

742

36. Kirwan JA, Gika H, Beger RD, Bearden D, Dunn WB, Goodacre R, et al. Quality assurance
and quality control reporting in untargeted metabolic phenotyping: mQACC recommendations for
analytical quality management. Metabolomics. 2022;18, 70. doi: 10.1007/s11306-022-01926-3.

746

37. Tsugawa H, Cajka T, Kind T, Ma Y, Higgins B, Ikeda K, et al. MS-DIAL: data-independent
MS/MS deconvolution for comprehensive metabolome analysis. Nat. Methods. 2015; 12: 523–
526. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3393

750

38. Dunn WB, Broadhurst D, Begley P, et al. Procedures for large-scale metabolic profiling of
serum and plasma using gas chromatography and liquid chromatography coupled to mass
spectrometry. Nat. Protoc. 2011; 6 (7):1060–1083. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2011.335.

754

763

769

39. Tsugawa H, Kind T, Nakabayashi R, Yukihira D, Tanaka W, Cajka T, et al. Hydrogen
Rearrangement Rules: Computational MS/MS Fragmentation and Structure Elucidation Using
MS-FINDER Software. Anal. Chem. 2016; 88 (16): 7946–7958.

- 40. Broadhurst D, Goodacre R, Reinke SN, Kuligowski J, Wilson ID, Lewis MR, Dunn WB.
 Guidelines and considerations for the use of system suitability and quality control samples in mass
 spectrometry assays applied in untargeted clinical metabolomic studies. Metabolomics.
 2018;14(6):72. doi: 10.1007/s11306-018-1367-3.
- 41. Chong J, Wishart DS, Xia J. Using MetaboAnalyst 4.0 for Comprehensive and Integrative
 Metabolomics Data Analysis. Cur Protoc Bioinformatics. 2019; 68(1):e86.doi: 10.1002/cpbi.86.
- 42. Breiman L, Friedman JH, Olshen RA, Stone CJ. Classification and Regression Trees;
 Routledge: New York, NY, 2017. 368 p.
- 43. Therneau T, Atkinson B, port BR (producer of the initial R, maintainer 1999-2017). rpart:
 Recursive Partitioning and Regression Trees 2022.
- 44. Ament Z, Bevers MB, Wolcott Z, Kimberly WT, Acharjee A. Uric Acid and Gluconic Acid as
 Predictors of Hyperglycemia and Cytotoxic Injury after Stroke. Transl Stroke Res. 2021; 12 (2):
 293–302. doi: 10.1007/s12975-020-00862-5
- 776
- 45. Li T, Ning N, Li B, Luo D, Qin E, Yu W, Wang J, Yang G, Nan N, He Z, Yang N, Gong S, Li
 J, Liu A, Sun Y, Li Z, Jia T, Gao J, Zhang W, Huang Y, Hou J, Xue Y, Li D, Wei Z, Zhang L, Li
 B, Wang H. Longitudinal Metabolomics Reveals Ornithine Cycle Dysregulation Correlates With
 Inflammation and Coagulation in COVID-19 Severe Patients. Front Microbiol. 2021 Dec
 3;12:723818. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.723818.
- 782

46. Wen D, Zheng Z, Surapaneni A, Yu B, Zhou L, Zhou W, et al. Metabolite profiling of CKD
progression in the chronic renal insufficiency cohort study. JCI Insight. 2022; 24,7(20):e161696.
doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.161696.

786

47. Correia BSB, Ferreira VG, Piagge PMFD, Almeida MB, Assunção NA, Raimundo JRS, et
al. ¹H qNMR-Based Metabolomics Discrimination of Covid-19 Severity. J Proteome Res. 2022;
21, (7):1640-1653. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jproteome.1c00977

- 48. Kazak L, Rahbani JF, Samborska B, Lu GZ, Jedrychowski MP, Lajoie M, et al. Ablation of
 adipocyte creatine transport impairs thermogenesis and causes diet-induced obesity. Nat Metab.
 2019, 1, 360-370. doi: 10.1021/acs.jproteome.1c00977
- 794

790

- 49. Kazak L, Cohen P. Creatine metabolism: energy homeostasis, immunity and cancer biology.
 Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2020; 16(8):421-436. doi: 10.1038/s41574-020-0365-5
- 50. Hu, S.; He, W.; Wu, G. Hydroxyproline in animal metabolism, nutrition, and cell signalling.
 Amino Acids. 2022; 54(4): 513–528. doi: 10.1007/s00726-021-03056-x
- 51. Ducker GS, Rabinowitz JD. One-Carbon Metabolism in Health and Disease. Cell Metab. 2017;
 25(1): 27–42. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2016.08.009
- 52. Van der Veen JN, Kennelly JP, Wan S, Vance JE, Vance DE, Jacobs RL. The critical role of
 phosphatidylcholine and phosphatidylethanolamine metabolism in health and disease. Biochim
 Biophys Acta Biomembr. 2017, 1859, 1558–1572.
- 53. Silva RP, Eudy BJ, Deminice R. One-Carbon Metabolism in Fatty Liver Disease and Fibrosis:
 One-Carbon to Rule Them All. J Nutr. 2020; 150 (5): 994–1003. doi:10.1093/jn/nxaa032
- 54. Teixeira L, Temerozo JR, Pereira-Dutra FS, Ferreira AC, Mattos M, Gonçalves BS et al.
 Simvastatin Downregulates the SARS-CoV-2-Induced Inflammatory Response and Impairs Viral
 Infection Through Disruption of Lipid Rafts. Front Immunol. 2022, 13, 820131.
- 814

- 55. Lodge S, Nitschke P, Kimhofer T, Coudert JD, Begum S, Bong SH, et al. NMR Spectroscopic
 Windows on the Systemic Effects of SARS-CoV-2 Infection on Plasma Lipoproteins and
 Metabolites in Relation to Circulating Cytokines. J Proteome Res. 2021;20(2):1382-1396. doi:
 10.1021/acs.jproteome.0c00876.
- 819
- 56. Wu D, Shu T, Yang X, Song JX, Zhang M, Yao C. et al. Plasma metabolomic and lipidomic
 alterations associated with COVID-19. Natl Sci Rev. 2020; 7(7): 1157–1168. doi:
 10.1093/nsr/nwaa086
- 823
- 57. Roberts AB, Gu X, Buffa JA, Hurd AG, Wang Z, Zhu, W. et al. Development of a gut microbetargeted nonlethal therapeutic to inhibit thrombosis potential. Nat Med. 2018; 24(9):1407–1417.
 doi: 10.1038/s41591-018-0128-1
- 827

828 58. Yang S, Li X, Yang F, Zhao R, Pan X, Liang J, et al. Gut Microbiota-Dependent Marker 829 TMAO in Promoting Cardiovascular Disease: Inflammation Mechanism, Clinical Prognostic, and 830 Potential as а Therapeutic Target. Front Pharmacol. 2019: 10:1360. doi: 831 10.3389/fphar.2019.01360 832 833 59. Manolis AS, Manolis TA, Manolis AA, Papatheou D, Melita H. COVID-19 Infection: Viral 834 Macro- and Micro-Vascular Coagulopathy and Thromboembolism/Prophylactic and Therapeutic 835 Management. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol Ther. 2021; 26(1): 12-24. doi: 836 10.1177/1074248420958973 837 838 60. Bennett JA, Mastrangelo MA, Ture SK, Smith CO, Loelius SG, Berg RA, et al. The choline 839 transporter Slc44a2 controls platelet activation and thrombosis by regulating mitochondrial 840 function. Nat Commun. 2020; 11, 3479. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-17254-w 841 842 61. Sibal L, Agarwal SC, Home PD, Boger RH. The Role of Asymmetric Dimethylarginine 843 (ADMA) in Endothelial Dysfunction and Cardiovascular Disease. Curr Cardiol Rev. 2010; 6 (2): 844 82-90. doi: 10.2174/157340310791162659 845 846 62. Zhao WC, Li G, Huang CY, Jiang JL. Asymmetric dimethylarginine: An crucial regulator in 847 tissue fibrosis. Eur J Pharmacol. 2019; 854, 54–61. doi: 10.1016/j.ejphar.2019.03.055. 848 849 63. Ren W, Rajendran R, Zhao Y, Tan B, Wu G, Bazer FW, et al. Amino Acids As Mediators of 850 Metabolic Cross Talk between Host and Pathogen. Front Immunol. 2018; 9, 319. doi: 851 10.3389/fimmu.2018.00319 852 853 64. Li P, Yin YL, Li D, Kim SW, Wu G. Amino acids and immune function. Br J Nutr. 2007. 98 854 (2): 237–252. doi: 10.1017/S000711450769936X 855 856 65. Thiele I, Fleming RMT. Whole-body metabolic modelling predicts isoleucine dependency of 857 SARS-CoV-2 replication. Comput Struct Biotechnol J. 2022; 20: 4098-4109. doi: 858 10.1016/j.csbj.2022.07.019 859 860 66. Oliveira LG, de Souza YA, Yamamoto P, Carregari VC, Crunfli F, Reis-de-Oliveira G, et al. 861 SARS-CoV-2 infection impacts carbon metabolism and depends on glutamine for replication in 862 Syrian hamster astrocytes. J Neurochem. 2022; 163(2): 113-132. 863 67. Páez-Franco JC, Maravillas-Montero JL, Mejía-Domínguez NR, Torres-Ruiz J, Tamez-Torres 864 865 KM, Pérez-Fragoso A. Metabolomics analysis identifies glutamic acid and cystine imbalances in 866 COVID-19 patients without comorbid conditions. Implications on redox homeostasis and COVID-867 19 pathophysiology. PLoS One. 2022; 17(9):e0274910. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0274910. 868 869 68. Frampas CF, Longman K, Spick M, Lewis HM, Costa CDS, Stewart A, et al. Untargeted saliva 870 metabolomics by liquid chromatography-Mass spectrometry reveals markers of COVID-19 871 severity. PLoS One. 2022;17(9):e0274967. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0274967. 872

69. Bell JD, Brown JC, Nicholson JK, Sadler PJ. Assignment of resonances for "acute-phase"
glycoproteins in high resolution proton NMR spectra of human blood plasma. FEBS Lett. 1987;
215(2): 311–315. doi: 10.1016/0014-5793(87)80168-0

- 876
 877 70. Holmes E, Nicholson JK, Lodge S, Nitschke P, Kimhofer T, Wist J. Diffusion and relaxation
 878 edited proton NMR spectroscopy of plasma reveals a high-fidelity supramolecular biomarker
 879 signature of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Analytical Chemistry. 2021; 93(8): 3976–3986.
 880 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.0c04952.
- 881
- 71. Lipman D, Safo SE, Chekouo T. Multi-omic analysis reveals enriched pathways associated
 with COVID-19 and COVID-19 severity. PLoS One. 2022;17(4):e0267047. doi:
 10.1371/journal.pone.0267047.
- 72. Wang Y, Liu S, Liu H, Li W, Lin F, Jiang L, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection of the liver directly
 contributes to hepatic impairment in patients with COVID-19. J Hepatol. 2020; 73 (4): 807–816.
 doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2020.05.002
- 889
- 73. Lagana SM, Kudose S, Iuga AC, Lee MJ, Fazlollahi L, Remotti HE, et al. Hepatic pathology
 in patients dying of COVID-19: a series of 40 cases including clinical, histologic, and virologic
 data. Mod Pathol. 2020; 33, 2147–2155. doi: 10.1038/s41379-020-00649-x
- 893
- Roberts I, Wright Muelas M, Taylor JM, Davison AS, Xu Y, Grixti JM, et al. Untargeted
 metabolomics of COVID-19 patient serum reveals potential prognostic markers of both severity
 and outcome. Metabolomics. 2021; 18, 6. doi: 10.1007/s11306-021-01859-3
- 897
- 75. Vázquez-Medina UM, Cerda-Reyes E, Galeana-Pavón A, López-Luna CE, Ramírez-Portillo
 PM, Ibañez-Cervantes G, et al. Interaction of metabolic dysfunction-associated fatty liver disease
 and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease with advanced fibrosis in the death and intubation of patients
 hospitalized with coronavirus disease 2019. Hepatol Commun. 2022; 6 (8): 2000–2010. doi:
 10.1002/hep4.1957
- 903
- 76.Mooli RGR, Ramakrishnan SK. Emerging Role of Hepatic Ketogenesis in Fatty Liver Disease.
 Front Physiol. 2022; 13:946474. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2022.946474
- 906
 907 77. Perazzo H, Cardoso SW, Ribeiro MPD, Moreira R, Coelho LE, Jalil EM,et al. In-hospital
 908 mortality and severe outcomes after hospital discharge due to COVID-19: A prospective
 909 multicenter study from Brazil. Lancet Reg Health Am. 2022; 11:100244. doi:
 910 10.1016/j.lana.2022.100244. Erratum in: Lancet Reg Health Am. 2022.
- 911
- 78.Gebhard C, Regitz-Zagrosek V, Neuhauser HK, Morgan R, Klein SL. Impact of sex and gender
 on COVID-19 outcomes in Europe. Biol Sex Differ. 2020; 11, 29. doi: 10.1186/s13293-02000304-9
- 915
- 916 79. Bechmann N, Barthel A, Schedl A, Herzig S, Varga Z, Gebhard C. et al. Sexual dimorphism
- 917 in COVID-19: potential clinical and public health implications. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2022;
 918 10 (3): 221–230. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(21)00346-6

919 Supporting Information Caption

920 Supplementary Table 1: List of the target compounds monitored in the method at pH8. 921 Supplementary Table 2: List of the target compounds monitored in the method at pH3. 922 Supplementary Table 3: Parameters used for untargeted analysis in MS-DIAL software. 923 Supplementary Table 4: Assignment table of metabolites that discriminated in the PCA and 924 univariate analyses. 925 Supplementary Table 5: Assigned metabolites with significant differences among groups 926 according to the non-targeted MS-metabolomics in the negative mode. 927 **Supplementary Table 6**: Assigned metabolites according to the non-targeted MS-metabolomics 928 in the positive mode. 929 Supplementary Figure 1. PCA loading factors plot highlights discriminating metabolites 930 according to ¹H NMR-based metabolomics. 931 Supplementary Figure 2. PC1 scores plot versus sample in run order at pH 8 and pH 3 analyses 932 indicating the stability of the system throughout the analytical run. 933 Supplementary Figure 3: Classification and Regression Tree (CART) model indicates that 934 NH3-choline related metabolites and creatine/creatinine present high predictive power in 935 assigning subjects according to their morbidity class. The CART model was built using the 936 metabolites that were found to be discriminatory in the multivariate PCA analysis, which was 937 based on ¹H NMR metabolomics: NH₃-choline-related metabolites, creatine/creatinine, glutamine, 938 alanine, histidine, tyrosine, valine, leucine, isoleucine, CH2 of lipoproteins (VLDL), CH=CH 939 olefinic protons of triacylglycerols, acetoacetate, lactate, acetate, formate, N-acetyl of 940 glycoproteins. In red is the classification that best predicted the morbidity class for control subjects 941 (partition point choline ≥ 2.064), survivors -creatine/creatinine < 1.473 and non-survivors -942 creatine/creatinine ≥ 1.473 .







PC3 (11.1%)



one-carbon metabolism



glucose metabolism, insulin sensitivity and inflammation



lipid metabolism - lipoproteins and ketogenesis



amino acid metabolism



one-carbon metabolism



0.0002

0.0133



